## CONTINUATION OF DIFFEOMORPHISMS RETAINING VOLUME

## A. B. Krygin

1. In this paper we shall denote by  $M^m$  a connected, smooth, orientable, closed manifold of class  $C^{\infty}$ , by  $W^m$  its submanifold with smooth boundary  $\partial W^m$ , and by  $\omega_0$  the volume on  $M^m$ . On  $M^m$  some metric is fixed,  $W_i$  are the connected components of  $W^m$ ,  $N_j$  are the connected components of  $M \setminus W$ , and id is the identity mapping of M (with this, if A is a subset then id A is the identity embedding  $A \to M$ ). For any region  $A \subseteq M$  we write  $\langle \omega, A \rangle = \int_{A}^{\infty} \omega$ ; here,  $\omega$  is a differential m-form. The different families of mappings  $(f_t(x), F_t(x), \text{ etc.})$  are smooth functions of t and x of class  $C^{\infty}$ ; the exception is the family of diffeomorphisms entering into the lemma.

Let there be a continuous family of embeddings  $f_t: \partial W \to M$ , with  $f_0 = id |\partial W$ ; it is known [4] that then  $f_t$  can be continued to a family of diffeomorphisms  $\overline{f}_t: M \to M$ , coinciding with  $f_t$  on  $\partial W$  and with id when t = 0; we set  $W_t = \overline{f}_t W$ ,  $W_{it} = \overline{f}_t W_i$ , and  $N_{jt} + \overline{f}_t N_j$ .

<u>THEOREM 1.</u> Let the family of embeddings  $f_t: \partial W \to M$  possess the properties:  $f_0 = id | \partial W, \langle \tilde{\omega}_0, W_i \rangle = \langle \omega_0, W_{i1} \rangle$  and  $\langle \omega_0, N_j \rangle = \langle \omega_0, N_{j1} \rangle$  for all i and j. Then, there exists a family of diffeomorphisms  $F_t: M \to M$ , such that  $F_t \omega_0 = \omega_0$ , with  $F_0 = id$  and  $F_1 | \partial W = f_1$ .

<u>LEMMA.</u> There exists a continuous mapping  $\nu$  of the set of positive definite m-forms  $\omega$  with identical  $\langle \omega, M \rangle = \langle \omega_0, M \rangle$  into Diff(M) such that  $\nu(\omega) * \omega = \omega$ .

<u>Proof of the Lemma.</u> (Actually, we shall repeat the arguments of J. Moser, [1], Theorem 2, although this assertion does not formally appear there.)  $\omega_t = (1-t)\omega_0 + t\omega$  is the family of forms. We specify a vector field V<sub>t</sub> such that the family of diffeomorphisms  $\varphi_t$ : M -M defined by it has the property  $\varphi_t^* \omega_t = \omega_0$ .

We perform the following computations:

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_t^* \omega_t = \varphi_t^* (\dot{\omega}_t + L_{V_t} \omega_t) = \varphi_t^* (\dot{\omega}_t + di_{V_t} \omega_t)$$

(i denotes inner multiplication and L the Lie derivative). Hence,  $\operatorname{div}_t \omega_t = -\dot{\omega}_t$ . Moreover,  $\langle \dot{\omega}_t, M \rangle = 0$ , so that  $\dot{\omega}_t$  is an exact form. By using the expansion given in [2] (§31), we obtain  $\operatorname{div}_t \omega_t = -d\delta G \dot{\omega}_t$ . From the equation  $\operatorname{iv}_t \omega_t = \delta G \dot{\omega}_t$  we uniquely find the field  $V_t$  plus the corresponding family of diffeomorphisms  $\varphi_t$ . We have the equation  $\varphi_1^* \omega = \omega_0$ , i.e., mapping  $\nu(\omega) = \varphi_1$  is the one we seek. This mapping is continuous as a mapping of the space of forms with the topology of  $C^n$  into the space of diffeomorphisms with the topology  $C^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty$ . Indeed, G is continuous as a mapping of the space of differential forms of class  $C^n$  into the space of forms of class  $C^{n+1}$ , so that the mapping  $\omega_t \to V_t$  is continuous as a mapping of a form into a vector field of class  $C^n$ ; finally, the continuity of mapping  $V_t \to \varphi_1$  is, in essence, the theorem on the continuous dependence of the solutions of differential equations on the right-hand sides of those equations.

We note in passing that when  $n = \infty$  the mapping we obtain is a global section of the fibration constituting the diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  of form  $\varphi * \omega_0$ . When  $n < \infty \nu$  is not a section of this fibration since if  $\nu$  is of class  $C^n$  we then have the formula  $\varphi * \omega_0$ , and this means that  $\nu (\varphi * \omega_0)$  will, in general, be forms of class  $C^{n-1}$ . It is possible to consider  $\nu$  as a section of the fibration the space of which consists of the diffeomorphisms of class  $C^n$  with Jacobians of class  $C^n$ . It is not hard to deduce from this that this space is the direct product of the space of forms by Diff  $(M, \omega_0)$  (the space of diffeomorphisms retain volume  $\omega_0$ ).

Moscow State University. Translated from Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 72-76, April-June, 1971. Original article submitted September 7, 1970.

• 1971 Consultants Bureau, a division of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N. Y. 10011. All rights reserved. This article cannot be reproduced for any purpose whatsoever without permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.  $\frac{\text{Proof of Theorem 1.}}{(\mathbf{w}_{1}, \mathbf{w}_{2}, \mathbf{w}_{3}, \mathbf{w}_{4}, \mathbf{w}_{5})} = \langle \omega_{0}, \mathbf{w}_{1} \rangle, \langle \omega_{t}, \mathbf{w}_{1} \rangle \rangle \text{ and } \omega_{1} = \omega_{0}. \text{ We set } \varphi_{t} = \nu(\omega_{t})^{-1} \circ \overline{f_{t}}, \mathbf{w}_{1} \rangle \langle \omega_{0}, \mathbf{w}_{1} \rangle = \varphi_{t} \mathbf{w}_{1}. \text{ The family of embed-dings } \varphi_{t} | \partial \mathbf{w} \text{ has the properties } \varphi_{0} = \overline{f}_{0} = \text{id}, \varphi_{1} = \overline{f}_{1}, \text{ so that } \varphi_{1} | \partial \mathbf{w} = f_{1}, \langle \omega_{0}, \mathbf{w}_{1} \rangle = \langle \omega_{0}, \mathbf{w}_{1} \rangle = \langle \omega_{0}, \mathbf{w}_{1} \rangle . \text{ Indeed,}$ 

$$\langle \omega_{0}, \widehat{N}_{jt} \rangle = \langle \omega_{0}, \nu(\omega_{t})^{-1} N_{jt} \rangle = \langle \nu(\omega_{t})^{-1} \omega_{0}, N_{jt} \rangle = \langle \omega_{t}, N_{jt} \rangle = \langle \omega_{0}, N_{j} \rangle.$$

We now proceed to the construction of Ft. On  $\varphi_t$   $\partial W$  we define the vector field  $V_t(\varphi_t x) = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=a} \varphi_{t+s} x$ .

In a  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $\partial W$  we introduce coordinate y transversal to  $\partial W$ . We continue field  $V_0$  in this neighborhood in the following way:  $V_{0X}(x, y) = V_{0X}(x)$ , and we find  $V_{0y}(x, y)$  from the equation  $diV_0\omega_0 = 0$  with the initial condition  $V_{0y}(x)$ . By an analogous construction we proceed in  $\varphi_t U_{\varepsilon} \partial W$ . We obtain the closed (n-1)-form  $iV_t\omega_0$  in the corresponding neighborhoods of manifolds  $\varphi_t \partial W$ . It remains to construct the family of closed forms w<sub>t</sub> on M coinciding with  $iV_t\omega_0$  in some neighborhood of manifold  $\varphi_t \partial W$ .

Let  $\{z_h\}$  be the nonzero generators of  $H_{n-i}(M, \mathbf{R})$  lying in  $\varphi_t U_{\mathcal{E}} \partial W$  (i.e., of  $H_{n-i}(\partial W, \mathbf{R})$ ). There exists on M a unique harmonic form  $\omega_k$ , such that  $\langle \omega_h, z_h \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle \omega_h, z \rangle = 0$  for all other cycles not depending on  $z_h$ . The cycles of  $H_{n-i}(\varphi_t U_{\mathcal{E}} \partial W, \mathbf{R})$ , not depending on  $\{z_h\}$ , are formed by the boundaries  $\overline{N}_{jt}$  and  $\overline{W}_{it}$ . The values of  $i_V \omega_0$  on them equal zero since

$$\langle i_{V_{t}}\omega_{0}, \partial \overline{N}_{jt} \rangle = \langle di_{V_{t}}\omega_{0}, \overline{N}_{jt} \rangle \langle L_{V_{t}}\omega_{0}, \overline{N}_{jt} \rangle = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} \langle (\varphi_{s} \circ \varphi_{t}^{-1})^{*}\omega_{0}, \overline{N}_{jt} \rangle = 0$$

The form  $\alpha_t = i_{V_t}\omega_0 - \sum_h \langle i_{V_t}\omega_0, z_h \rangle \omega_h$  has zero period and, consequently, is exact on  $\varphi_t U_{\mathfrak{E}} \partial W$ . Let  $g_{\mathfrak{S}}: \varphi_t U_{\mathfrak{E}} \partial W - \varphi_t U_{\mathfrak{E}} \partial W$  be a mapping which, in terms of the coordinates introduced above, is such that  $g_{\mathfrak{S}}(x, y) = (x, sy)$ . We construct an operator on the forms  $\psi$ 

$$k\psi = \int_{a}^{1} \frac{y}{s} i_{d/dy} g_{s}^{*} \psi \, ds.$$

Standard computations in the local coordinates show that  $kd\alpha_t + dk\alpha_t = \alpha_t - g_0^* \bar{\alpha}_t$ , where  $\bar{\alpha}_t = (id|\varphi_t \partial W)^* \alpha_t$  is an (m-1)-form of zero periods on the closed manifold  $\varphi_t \partial W$ . Using the expansion of [2] (\$31), we obtain  $\alpha_t = dk\alpha_t + dg_0^* \delta G \bar{\alpha}_t$ . Let p(y) be a smooth function equal to 1 when  $|y| \leq (\varepsilon/2)$  and equal to 0 when  $|y| \geq \varepsilon$ , so that then the (m-1)-form

$$w_t = \sum_{h} \langle i_{V_t} \omega_0, z_h \rangle \omega_h + d \left[ p(y) \left( k a_t + g_0^* \delta \overline{Ga_t} \right) \right]$$

is closed, is defined on M, and coincides with  $iV_t\omega_0$  in  $\varphi_t U_{\epsilon/2}\partial W$ . In terms of it we uniquely reconstitute vector field  $V_t$  and "motions" F<sub>t</sub>, coinciding with  $\varphi_t$  on  $\partial W$  and retaining volume  $\omega_0$  by virtue of the closure of  $w_t$ .

By analogous and, in part, simpler arguments we prove

<u>THEOREM 2.</u> Let the family of embeddings  $f_t: W \to M$  have the properties:  $f_0 = id | W, f_t^* \omega_0 = \omega_0$ and  $\langle \omega_0, N_{jt} \rangle = \langle \omega_0, N_j \rangle$ . Then, there exists a family of diffeomorphisms  $F_t: M \to M$  such that  $F_t^* \omega_0 = \omega_0$  and  $F_t | W = f_t$ .

Finally, for some connected components of manifold W one can specify "motions"  $f_t : W_i \rightarrow M$ , of their boundaries  $f_t : \partial W_i \rightarrow M$ .

<u>Remark.</u> An important special case is when W is the set of "balls"  $D_i^m$  on closed manifold  $M^m$ , with m > 1. Theorem 1 asserts that for any two sets,  $D_i$  and  $D_i$ , with identical volumes of the corresponding "balls" there exists a motion M retaining volume and translating  $D_i$  into  $\overline{D}_i$ .

This assertion is also true in the case of nonclosed M, which strengthens Lemma 1.1 of [5]. Indeed, let  $\varphi_t : \partial W \to M$  be an arbitrary family of embeddings taking  $\partial D_i$  into  $\partial \overline{D_i}$ . In M we choose a compact manifold with boundary N such that  $N \supset D_{it}$  for all i and t. Splicing boundary N and that of the manifold N' we can obtain a smooth closed manifold (double). On the union of N' and  $\bigcup \partial D_i$  we specify a family of embeddings  $f_t$  as follows:  $f_t | N' = id$  and  $f_t | \partial D_i = \varphi_t$ . In view of what was said above there exist a motion  $\Phi_t$ of the closed manifold such that  $\Phi_t^* \omega_0 = \omega_0$ ,  $\Phi_i D_i = \overline{D_i}$  and  $\Phi_t | N' = id | N'$ . Then, the Ft we have been seeking is defined by: Ft on N coincides with  $\Phi_t$  and Ft = id outside N. 2. We set  $\langle \omega_0, W_i \rangle = a_i, \langle \omega_0, N_j \rangle = b_j, a = \{a_i\}, b = \{b_j\}$ . If the embeddings  $f: W \to M$  or  $f: \partial W \to M$  are diffeotopically identical we have then uniquely defined  $W_i(f) = \overline{f}W_i, N_j(f) = \overline{f}N_j$ , where  $\overline{f}: M \to M$  is related to id by means of the diffeotopy continuing the diffeotopy connecting f with id |W or with id | $\partial W$ . (It is clear then  $N_i(f)$  does not depend on the choice of the continuation.)

We introduce the following topological spaces with  $C^{\infty}$ -topology:

 $E_{ab}(W, M)$  is the set of embeddings  $f: W \rightarrow M$  diffeotopic to id | W and with properties 1)  $\langle \omega_0, W_i(f) \rangle = a_i; 2) \langle \omega_0, N_i(f) \rangle = b_i;$ 

 $E_{ab}(W, M, \omega_0)$  is the set of embeddings  $f: W \rightarrow M$ , diffeotopic to id W and with properties 1, 2, and 3)  $f * \omega_0 = \omega_0$ ;

 $E_{ab}(\partial W, M)$  is the set of embeddings  $f: \partial W \rightarrow M$ , diffeotopic to id  $\partial W$  and satisfying conditions 1) and 2);

 $E_2(W, M)$  and  $E_a(\partial W, M)$  are the corresponding sets of  $E_{ab}(W, M)$  and  $E_{ab}(\partial W, M)$  extended by dropping condition 2).

The following mappings arise:

 $\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Diff}_{0}\left(\mathcal{M},\omega_{0}\right) & \text{Diff}\left(\mathcal{M},\omega_{0}\right) & E_{ab}\left(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M}\right) & E_{ab}\left(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M},\omega_{0}\right) & E_{a}\left(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M}\right) \\ & \downarrow^{\pi}, & \downarrow^{\pi}, & \downarrow^{\pi}, & \downarrow^{\pi} \\ E_{ab}\left(\partial\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M}\right) & E_{ab}\left(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M},\omega_{0}\right) & E_{ab}\left(\partial\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M}\right) & E_{ab}\left(\partial\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M}\right) & E_{a}\left(\partial\mathcal{W},\mathcal{M}\right) \end{array}$ 

(here, Diff<sub>0</sub> is the connected component of id in Diff, and  $\pi$  restriction mapping on, respectively, W or  $\partial W$ ).

THEOREM 3. The triple  $(\text{Diff}_0(\mathbf{M}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_0), \mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathbf{b}}(\partial \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{M}), \pi)$  is a locally trivial fibration.

<u>Proof.</u> Our goal is the construction of a local section, i.e., a continuous mapping  $\eta$  of some neighborhood  $\overline{f_0} \in \mathbf{E}_{\alpha \mathbf{b}}(\partial \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{M})$  in Diff<sub>0</sub>( $\mathbf{M}, \omega_0$ ) such that  $\eta(f) \circ f = f_0$  for all f of  $Uf_0$ . With no loss of generality we can assume that  $f_0 = \mathrm{id} | \partial \mathbf{W}$ . Denoting the exponential geodesic mapping by exp, we construct the homotopy  $f_f(\mathbf{x}) = \exp_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathrm{i} \exp_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1}(f(\mathbf{x})))$ , joining  $f_0$  and  $f_1 = f$ . It is known from Theorem 1 that there exists a family of diffeomorphisms  $\mathbf{F}_t$  retaining  $\omega_0$  and such that  $\mathbf{F}_0 = \mathrm{id}$  and  $\mathbf{F}_1 | \partial \mathbf{W} = f$ . In the construction of  $\mathbf{F}_t$  there is an indeterminacy in the choice of  $\mathcal{F}_t : \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{M}$ , the continuation of  $f_t$ . It can be eliminated by using the local section  $\mathbf{y} : Uf_0 \to \mathrm{Diff}_0(\mathbf{M})$ , constructed by R. Palais in [3] (section 4). We set  $\overline{f}_t = \mathbf{x}(f_t)$ , by which there will be defined on  $Uf_0$  the continuous mapping  $\eta(f) = \mathbf{F}_1$ , q.e.d.

It immediately follows from Theorem 3 that the triples  $(E_{ab}(W, M), E_{ab}(\partial W, M), \pi)$  and  $(E_{ab}(W, M, \omega_0), E_{ab}(\partial W, M), \pi)$  are locally trivial fibrations. By similar methods we prove the local triviality of the fibration  $(Diff_0(M, \omega_0), E_{ab}(W, M, \omega_0), \pi)$ .

THEOREM 4. The triple ( $E_{\alpha}(W, M)$ ,  $E_{\alpha}(\partial W, M)$ ,  $\pi$ ) is a locally trivial fibration.

<u>Proof.</u> For a sufficiently small neighborhood  $Uf_0$  there exist "balls"  $D\rho_j$  of radius  $\rho$  such that  $D\rho_j \subset N_i(f)$  for all f of  $Uf_0$ . We put into correspondence with each  $f \in Uf_0$ 

$$\omega(f) = \begin{cases} \omega_0 & \text{outside} \bigcup_i D_{\rho_i}, \\ \omega_0 + c_i(f) p_i(x) \omega_0 \text{ in } D_{\rho_i}, \end{cases}$$

where  $p_j(x)$  is a smooth function, equal to 1 in  $D_{\rho_j}$  and equal to 0 outside  $D_{\rho_j}$ , while the  $c_j(f)$  are found

from the equation  $\langle \omega(f), N_j(f) \rangle = \langle \omega_0, N_j(f_0) \rangle$ . Using the lemma, we obtain the mapping  $\xi : Uf_0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(M)$ , where  $\xi(f) = \nu(\omega(f))$  and  $\xi(f) * \omega(f) = \omega_0$ . Embedding  $\xi(f)^{-1} \circ f_0$  belongs to  $E_{ab}(\partial W, M)$ . Using the local section  $\eta$ , constructed for the proof of Theorem 3, we get  $\eta(\xi(f)^{-1} \circ f) \circ \xi(f_0)^{-1} \circ f_0 = \xi(f)^{-1} \circ f$  or  $\xi(f) \circ$  $f_0 = f$ , where  $\xi(f) = \xi(f) \circ \eta(\xi(f)^{-1} \circ f) \circ \xi(f_0)^{-1}$  is a mapping of  $Uf_0$  in the set of diffeomorphisms M leaving volume W invariant. Thus, the local section is constructed.

In conclusion, the author wishes to thank D. V. Anosov under whose direction our results were obtained.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. J. Moser, "On the volume elements of a manifold," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., <u>120</u>, No. 2, 286-294, issue 11 (1965).

- 2. G. de Rham, Differentiable Varieties [Russian translation], ILI, Moscow (1956).
- 3. R. Palais, "Local triviality of the restriction map for embeddings," Comm. Math. Helv., <u>34</u>, 305-312 (1960).
- 4. R. Palais, "Extending diffeomorphisms," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., <u>11</u>, No. 2, 274-277 (1960).
- 5. D. V. Anosov and A. B. Katok, "New examples in smooth ergodic theory," Trudy Mosk. Matem. O-va, 23, 3-36 (1970).