The Maudsley Reactive and Nonreactive Strains of Rats: A Survey¹

P. L. Broadhurst²

Received 22 July 1974—Final 3 Oct. 1974

Experiments with two strains of rats, the Maudsley Reactive and Nonreactive, developed in London, England, and which were performed during 1964–1974 by a variety of investigators are summarized in a table. It is concluded that the results support the strains' standing as exemplars of differences in emotional reactivity.

KEY WORDS: rat; selective breeding; emotionality; Maudsley strains.

INTRODUCTION

A program of bidirectional selection for extremes of emotional elimination in the restandardized open-field test of Hall (1938) was started in 1954 (Broadhurst, 1957, 1958*a*) and led to the foundation of the Maudsley Reactive and Nonreactive strains of rats, MR and MNR, respectively, to use the now generally accepted nomenclature (Festing and Staats, 1973). It hardly needs reiterating that the strain designations "reactive" and "nonreactive" relate solely to defecation scores in the open field for which selection was practiced. The progress of this selection experiment was reported by Broadhurst (1958*b*, 1960, 1962) through the fifteenth generation of selection (S_{15}), and incidental presentation of results to S_{20} may be found in, for example, Broadhurst (1963, 1964, 1966, 1967, 1970),

The preparation of this paper was supported in part by a grant from the (British) Medical Research Council to Professor J. L. Jinks and the writer, and in part by the latter's Resident Fellowship at NIAS (Netherlands Institute for Advanced Study) for 1973–1974.

¹ This paper is dedicated on his sixty-fifth birthday to Calvin S. Hall, one of the pioneers of psychogenetics on whose foundations the next generation of workers has, typically, built.

² University of Birmingham, Birmingham, England.

^{© 1975} Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording, or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher.

Broadhurst

Broadhurst and Eysenck (1965), Eysenck (1965), Gray (1971), and Rick and Fulker (1972). Their main importance lies in the demonstration that relaxation of selection from S_{16} onward occasioned no reversion toward the parental values of the foundation population.

Ten years after the strains had been founded, the outcome was surveyed by Eysenck and Broadhurst (1964) in terms of the extent to which the phenotypic differences in open-field defecation and in the inversely correlated ambulation scores, now stable and reliable differentiating features of the MR and MNR strains (see Table I, items 1–31), underlie the many other differences, physiological as well as behavioral, which characterize them. Our earlier review of over 50 different measures of all sorts taken from the two strains led us to the conclusion that the manifold differences detected pointed to a very real differentiation in their general emotional responsiveness brought about by the selection for high and low defecation in the open-field test. Now, a further 10 years later, the strains have been exported to other laboratories in both Britain and North America and over 70 further studies using them had been reported up to early 1974.

The purpose of the present paper is to review this new body of evidence, again with the intention of assessing its bearing on the prediction that the reactive strain will behave in a more fearful, emotional manner, relative always to the nonreactive. Attention is drawn to other surveys of some of this material, not always arriving at similar conclusions (Robinson, 1965; Benešová and Beneš, 1968; Archer, 1973).

RESULTS

The main presentation of the relevant data is the tabular summary given in Table I, which is modeled closely on that published in 1964 (Eysenck and Broadhurst) in order to provide continuity and to facilitate comparison with the older work. Some notes on the principles governing the construction and interpretation of the table follow.

First, the survey is focused on strain comparisons only, so that studies which reported results from only one of the two strains are excluded, together with the few which did not separate the data or which used numbers too small to permit comparison. No emphasis is laid on the effect of other variables, either constitutional, especially sex differences, or experimental, that is, treatment variables. It has, however, not always been possible to avoid taking such results into account in evaluating the strain comparisons, noted in the column headed "Difference," since they sometimes determine outcomes in interaction with strain differences. Where such differences do not reach a conventionally accepted level of significance, the letters "ns," indicating "no significant difference," are entered in column 5.

Second, it is obvious that any interpretation of the impact of the results on the general hypothesis under test will be a subjective matter. Policy here has been to adopt a conservative approach, so that the summary symbol + in the penultimate column of the table headed "Evaluation," meaning that the strain difference reported appears to support the notion that the strains represent a valid dichotomy with respect to general emotionality, is used rather sparingly, with recourse being had in case of doubt to the 0 symbol, which is otherwise generally reserved for outcomes which appear to have little or no bearing on the question. A minus sign (-)is used when the results go counter to what might be expected on the basis of an emotionality hypothesis. Apparent idiosyncrasies in these respects can often be related to experimental details in the published report which render dubious what might appear to be an obvious interpretation or to the crucial bearing the results have on some argument in the literature about the hypothesis in question. The outraged reader is invited to consult the reference concerned, but may still disagree!

Third, the table is subdivided somewhat arbitrarily into four rather broad topic areas, behavioral, psychophysiological, psychoendocrinological, and psychopharmacological. This separation was made in order to facilitate comparison between experiments, but, as before, it has necessarily resulted in multiple entries in cases where a single publication reports results bearing on several topic areas. The headings used in the table are also sometimes inappropriate to the detailed subject matter, so that "Test" in column 2 must sometimes be interpreted as "situation" or even "drug." Similarly, it has not always been possible, given the need for economy of wording in a table of this kind, to specify precisely the details of mensuration in column 3. A question mark (?) has been entered, especially in column 4, in cases where a necessary item of information is not available from the report, or was not supplied by the author of the present paper or by authors of the papers in question, many of whom have been kind enough to respond to these and other queries in correspondence.

Finally, the meshing of this survey with its predecessor (Eysenck and Broadhurst, 1964), which likewise sought to be as contemporary as possible in an expanding field and hence also referred to unpublished material, presented a problem which has been resolved as follows. While a few items previously missed have been included, none of the previous material is repeated, except in a case where it was accidentally misquoted (item No. 122) and a few other cases where a published reference is now available for what was previously attributed to a "personal communication."

	Table 1. Pullu	RIDE T. DURINIERY OF RESULTS USING ALL SHE ALL SHE TANK	AL DUE ALLY BUISU	IN IN CUTAL	H S
Test	Measure	Generation	Generation Difference	Evalu- ation	Reference
avioral n field	Defecation	ઌૢૼઌૻૢઌૢ૿ઌૢ૿ઌ૿ૢઌૢ૿ઌ૿ૢ ૿૿ૺૼૻૺૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢઌૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢ	MR more MR more MR more MR more MR more MR more MR more MR more	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Broadhurst and Eysenck (196 Gray and Levine (1964) Gray <i>et al.</i> (1965) Broadhurst (1965) (see also t Sudak and Maas (1964) Joffe (1965b, 1969) Harrington and Hanlon (1966) Rick <i>et al.</i> (1971), Rick and 1 (1972)

Table I. Summary of Results Using MR and MNR Strains

Reference	Broadhurst and Eysenck (1965) Gray and Levine (1964) Gray et al. (1965) Broadhurst (1965) (see also text) Sudak and Maas (1964) Joffe (1965b, 1969) Harrington and Hanlon (1966) Rick et al. (1971), Rick and Fulker (1972) Blizard (1970) Harrington (1972) Imada (1970) Powell and North-Jones (1974) Satinder (1974a) Satinder (1974a) Eller (1974) Chamove (1974) Harrington and Hanlon (1966) Chamove (1974) Chamove (1974) Broadhurst and Eysenck (1965) Gray and Levine (1964) Gray and Levine (1964) Gray and Maas (1965) Sudak and Maas (1964) Joffe (1965b, 1969)	
Evalu- ation	+++++++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	
Difference	MR more MR more MNR more	
Generation	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
Measure	Defecation Defecation change Defecation change, prepuberty Ambulation	
Test	A. Behavioral Open field	
Item		

302

Rick et al. (1971), Rick and Fulker (1972)	Harrington (1972) Timede (1970)	Powell and North-Jones (1974)	Satinder (1974a)	Eller (1974)	Blizard (1971)	Blizond (1071)	Troch (1070)		Harrington and Hanion (1900)	Gray (1965)	Gray et al. (1965)	Blizard (1971)	Blizard (1971)	Blizard (1971)		Garg $(1969a, b)$	Gupta and Gregory (1967)	Holland and Gupta (1967)	Gregory and Liebelt (1967)	Weldon $(1968b)$	Gregory $(1967a)$	Groves (1971)	Garg $(1969a, b)$	Gregory and Liebelt (1967)	Weldon (1968b)	Gregory $(1967a)$	Groves (1971)	Gregory et al. (1967)	Harrington $(1971b)$	Harrington $(1971a)$	Harrington (1971a)	Gregory (1967b)	
- -	+ +	+ 1	+	+	+	I	-	⊦	l	ł	+	1	+	I		+	I	1	ł	+	+	+	+	1	+	I	0	I	0	+	1	1	
MNR more	MNR more	SU STOTIC AT AT AT	MNR more	MNR more	MR more	2		MIK MOFE	MINK more	ns	MNR more	ns	MNR more	ns		MNR more	ns	ns	ns	MNR more	MNR more	MNR more	MNR longer	ns	MNR longer	ns	ns	ns	MNR more	MR longer	ns	ns	
03 03 0	S _{33,34,40}	236 2	$S_{35,40}$	S_{46}	S_{31}	U	231 231	2 0 8	021 2	\mathbf{x}_{17}	\mathbf{S}_{19}	S_{31}	\mathbf{S}_{31}	S_{31}		$S_{26,27}$	S_{28}	\mathbf{S}_{28}	S_{30}	\mathbf{S}_{31}	5	\mathbf{S}_{41}	$\mathbf{S}_{26,27}$	S_{30}	S_{31}	¢.,	S_{41}	S_{28}	۰.	c	e . 1	\mathbf{S}_{30}	
Ambulation					Defecation	A while that	Deterrition	Derecanon	Detecation	Rearing amount		Rearing frequency											Rearing duration					Ambulation	Revolutions	Latency		\mathbf{A} ctivity	
Open field					Light and noise as	open neld	Duinling		Handing	Time sampling		Light as open field	Noise as open field	Light and noise as	open neu	Rearing cage												Alley	Activity wheel	Emergence, familiar	Emergence, novel	Rearing cage, after	snock
26	27 28	29	30	31	32	22	20	# 2	60 60 60	36	37	38	39	40		41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	26	57	

The Maudsley Reactive and Nonreactive Strains of Rats: A Survey

Continued	
ï	
Table	

Reference	Gray (1965) Gray <i>et al.</i> (1965) Gray <i>et al.</i> (1965) Broadhurst and Wallgren (1964) Broadhurst (1966) Broadhurst and Wallgren (1964) Gupta and Holland (1969b) Rick <i>et al.</i> (1971), Rick and Fulker (105ei)	(1972) Satinder (1971) Broadhurst (1964) Broadhurst and Wallgren (1964) Joffe (1964) Gupta and Holland (1969a) Martin and Powell (1970) Rick <i>et al.</i> (1971), Rick and Fulker (1972) Satinder (1971)	Powell and North-Jones (1974) Wilcock (1966) Joffe (1964) Savage (1965) Broadhurst (1966) Broadhurst (1966) Satinder (1971) Satinder (1971) Satinder (1971) Powell and North-Jones (1974) Gupta and Holland (1972a)
Evalu- ation	0 0 0 + 1 +	100++++ 1	10++++1+1+0
on Difference	ns MR more ns MNR more ns MNR more ns MNR more	ns ns MNR more MNR more MNR more MNR more MNR more MNR more	ns MR improved MR more MR longer MR longer ns MNR longer ns MNR longer ns MNR longer MNR longer MNR longer MNR higher
Generation	$\sum_{30}^{10} \sum_{10}^{10} \sum_{10$	Sec. 37 Sec. 3	?
Measure	Grooming Various others First avoidance Intertrial crossing	Avoidances	Effects of preshock Failures to avoid Avoidance latency Escape latency Multivariate "conditionability"
Test	Time sampling Avoidance conditioning		
Item	$58 \\ 59 \\ 60 \\ 63 \\ 63 \\ 63 \\ 64 \\ 65 \\ 64 \\ 65 \\ 64 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65$	66 67 69 69 69 71 72 73	74 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 78 88 88 88 88 88

Gupta and Holland (1972 a)	Satinder (1972b)	Satinder (1972b)	Ferraro and York (1968)	Keehn $(1972a)$ Trans. 223 Yeel (1066)	Ferraro and Tork (1908) Weldon (1969)		Ferraro and York (1968)	Ferraro and York (1968)			Keehn (1972b)		Keehn $(1972b)$	Keehn (1979h)		Keehn $(1972a, 1974)$	Weldon $(1968a)$	Weldon (1908a)	Weldon $(1968a)$		Garg and Holland (1967, 1968a, 1969),	Garg (1970) Garg and Holland (1968b)	Garg and Holland (1967)	Garg and Holland (1967, 1968 <i>a, b, c,</i> 1969)	Garg and Holland (1967)
0	0	0	+,	0 9	- +	-	+	+	¢	D	0		0	C	>	0	-+	╞	÷	1	0	0	0	I	0
MR higher	MNR more affected	ns	MNR faster	MK taster	MNR longer	0	MNR longer	MR more		MINK more	MNR more		ns	MNR more	A TATLE AT LETTE	ns	MNR more	MIK more	MNR more		MR faster	MNR faster	ns	MR more	MNR more
	$S_{35,40}$		¢-• (539 С39					7	$\mathbf{\tilde{D}}_{39}$							$S_{30,31}$			i	$\mathrm{S}_{26,27}$				
Multivariate "non- irrevelant activity"	Avoidances	Intertrial crossing	Lever press rate	T	Lever press duration Lever press duration	for light	Shocked lever press	duration Response decrement	to shock	scnedule-induced lick rate	Schedule-induced	nck rate for alcohol	Schedule-induced	alcohol drunk Schedule-induced	water drunk	Lever biting	Lever press for light	Lever press 10r dark preference	Lever press for	change	Running time		Change after pain	Errors	Change after pain
Avoidance conditioning	Avoidance condition- ing, modified		Skinner box																		Hebb-Williams maze				
85	98	87	88	680	91 91		92	93		94	95		96	47	5	98	66 1 001	001	101		102	103	104	105	106

ntinued	
ပိ ·	
able I	
Ĥ	

Reference	Weldon (1967) Weldon (1967) Powell (1970) Powell (1970)	Wraight $et al.$ (1967) Wraight $et al.$ (1967)	Weldon (1967)	Weldon (1967) Weldon (1967)	Wilcock (1968)	Wilcock (1968)	Wilcock (1968)	Wampler (1964)	Lonowski et al. (1974)		Lonowski et al. (1974)	Broadhurst and Eysenck (1965)	Imada (1972)	Imada (1972)	Imada (1972)		Satinder $(1974c)$	Joffe (1965b, 1969)	Eller (1974)	Joffe (1969), Fulker (1970)
Evalu- ation	+++c	010	0	0+	0	0	0	Ŧ	÷		0	0	0	╊	+		0	0	÷	0
ı Difference	MR longer MNR more ns MNR more	ns MNR more	ns	ns MNR more	MR more	MNR more	ns	MR faster	MR more		MNR more	ns	ns	MNR more	MR more	affected	MNR differen- tiate more	ns	MR decrease	ns
Generation	~ S ²²²	\mathbf{S}_{22}			\mathbf{S}_{26}		i	\mathbf{S}_{21}	ŝ			S_{16}	\mathbf{S}_{36}				$S_{39,40,45}$	\mathbf{S}_{23}	\mathbf{S}_{46}	Σ_{23}
Measure	Running time Alternations Errors after reversal	Swimming time Reminiscence	Eating, buzzer, and shock	Eating after buzzer Eating under threat	Running	Backing	Various others	Swimming speed	Muricide		Mouse carrying	Water intake		Water intake, under brighter light	Water intake, with	\mathbf{shock}	Various measures	Offspring defecation	and conducenting Offspring defecation	Offspring ambulation
Test	T-maze	Underwater Y-maze	Mowrer box		Response to shock			Water escape	Interspecific	aggression		Mild stress					Naturalistic stress	Stress applied to	rnouters	
Item	107 108 110	111 112	113	114 115	116	117	118	119	120		121	122	123	124	125		126	127	128	129

Eller (1974)	Joffe (1965 α)	Joffe (1969)	Joffe (1969)	Broadhurst and Eysenck (1965)	Broadhurst (1966)	Imada (1970)	Fowell and North-Jones (1970)	Satinder (19/4a) Setinder (1074a)	Broadhurst and Eysenck (1965)	•	Joffe (1969)	Joffe (1969)	Joffe (1969)	Imada (1970)	Imada (1970)	Blizard (1970) Imada (1970)	Imada (1970)		Blizard (1970)			Hanlon	Harrington and Hanlon (1966)	Blizard (1971)	Blizard (1975)
+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		5		0	0	0	0	0	00	00		╀	0	0	0	0	≁	+
MR increase	ns	IIS	ns	MR heavier	MR heavier	MR heavier		MR heavier	MNR more		MNR larger	ns	ns	MNR more	ns	MNR more	MNR higher	0	su	MNR higher	MNR more	MNR higher	MR greater	MR greater	MR more
S_{46}	\mathbf{S}_{23}	\mathbf{S}_{23}		\mathbf{S}_{16}	\mathbf{S}_{17}	ñ Na	~ ~	O35,40	\mathbf{S}_{16}		\mathbf{S}_{23}^{23}			\mathbf{S}_{36}		18 19 19	236		\mathbf{S}_{31}	\mathbf{S}_{27}				$\mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{31}$	~-
Offspring ambulation S46	Various	Birth weight of	Weaning weight of offenring	Adult weight					Weight loss after	stress	Number born	Litter size	Pup weight	Food intake	Water intake	Defecation boluses	Defecation boluses.	corrected weight	Digestive transit time	Heart rate	Heart rate, handling	Heart rate	Heart rate, decrease	Heart rate variabil-	ity Colonic pressure
Stress applied to mother	Stress applied to foster mother	D. 1 sychophysicalear Body size									Litter size	Stress applied to mother	Stress applied to foster mother	Home cage								Open field		Light and noise as	open field
130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	130 130	140		141	142	143	144	145	146	148		149	150	151	152	153	154	155

Continued
Ι.
Table

ę			st (1965)			1)	n (1964)				ick and Fulker	(erat) yor					ck (1965)			ok (1965)		ck (1965)
Reference	Blizard (1975) Wilcock (1968)	nouana (1974)	Mikhail and Broadhurst (1965)	Mikhail (1969) Mikhail (1979)	(7101) IIMITAT	Bailey and Heald (1961	Broadhurst and Watson (1964)	Sudak and Mass (1964)	Rick et al. (1967)		Rick et al. (1971), Rick and Fulker	(1912), Furker and IN Biolz of $nl (1967)$	Failer (1063a 1060)	Fener (1963a, 1969)	Feuer (1963 <i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , 1969)		Broadhurst and Eysenck (1965)	Feuer (1969)	Feuer (1963b, 1969)	Broadhurst and Eysenck (1965) Fener (1969)	Broadhurst (1973)	Broadhurst and Eysenck (1965) Feuer (1969)
Evalu- ation	++。	þ	0	+-	0	0	0	+	1		÷	C			0		0	+.	0	00	0	00
Difference	MR more MNR higher	ns	ns	MR more	CIT	ns	ns	MR more	MNR more		MR higher	20		MR. more	MR more		ns	MR more	ns	MR heavier ns	ns	MNR heavier MNR heavier
Generation	2% 2%		S_{20-22} 1	S_{26}	~		,.		S_{20+}]		S_{30}	~	, V		,,,,			S_{18-20}		Se de la companya de la compa		
Measure	Colonic pressure Electrical resistance	Growth Index	Gastric ulcers			Electrophoresis	Cholinesterase	Serotonin	GABA, cerebral	hemispheres	I	GARA alsouthare	Brein	Liver	Blood serum		Gland weight	Serum eosinophils	Serum eosinophils, after ACTH	Gland weight	Plasma testosterone	Gland weight
Test	Response to shock	Response to snock, plus carcinogen	Immobilization restraint	Tarrahilitan an	straint, plus shock	Brain protein	Brain metabolism						Cholostonol contont	CITOTES COLOR COLORIDA		C. Psychoendocrinological	Pituitary			Gonads, testes		Gonads, ovaries
Item	156 157	158	159	160	101	162	163	164	165		166	187	101	001	170		171	172	173	174 175	176	177 178

		0	0					Holland			Holland	
Gray and Levine (1964)	Gray and Levine (1964)	Broadhurst and Eysenck (1965) Feuer (1969) Feuer (1963b, 1969)	Bernet (1974) Broadhurst and Fysenck (1965) Feuer (1969)	Feuer (1969) Feuer (1969)	Fulker (1972) Feuer (1969) Remot. (1974)	Gupta and Holland (1969a)	- Gupta and Holland (1969b) Gregory <i>et al.</i> (1967)	Gupta and Gregory (1967), Holland	and Gupta (1907) Gray (1974) Gray (1974)	Gregory <i>et al.</i> (1967) Gupta and Holland (1972 <i>b</i>)	Gupta and Gregory (1967), Holland	and Gupta (1904) Gupta and Holland (1972b) Gupta and Holland (1969a) Gupta and Holland (1972b) Satinder (1971)
0	0	+++	0 +	+0	101	+	00	0	00	0 0	0	+++1
MR more	ns	MR heavier MNR more MR more	ns ns MR heavier	MR more MNR more	ns MR more MNR more	MNR more	ns	ns	MNR none MNR no response	ns MNR augment	ns	MNR augment MNR augment MNR augment MR augment
\mathbf{S}_{18}		${ m S_{16}}{ m S_{18-20}}$	x x x 318 818		S. S	$S_{26,27}$	\mathbf{S}_{28}		¢.,	$\overset{\mathbf{N}_{28}}{\mathrm{S}_{30}}$	\mathbf{S}_{28}	S ₃₀ S _{26,27} S _{36,37}
Defecation, induced	Ambulation, induced	Gland weight Serum thyroxine Response to	tayroxme Metabolism Gland weight	Gland corticosteroid Serum corticosteroid	Serum cholesterol Urine catecholamines	Avoidances decline,	epinephrine Activity, epinephrine Ambulation,	epinephrine Rearing, epinephrine	Sex difference Exogenous testosterone	Activity cage Open-field	Rearing	Avoidance conditioning
Gonads, ovaries		Thyroid function	Adrenal function						EEG theta driving	Amphetamine Amphetamine	Amphetamine	
179	180	181 182 183	$184 \\ 185 \\ 186 $	$\frac{187}{188}$	189 190 191	192	$\begin{array}{c} 193\\ 194 \end{array}$	195	196 197	198 199	200	201 202 203 204

led
atim
Cor
Ι.
Table

Reference	Powell and Hopper (1971)	Satinder (1972b) Satinder (1972b)	Satinder (1971)	Powell and Hopper (1971)	Satinder (1971) Gupta and Holland (1969b)	Gupta and Holland (1972b) Satinder (1971) South Jaco (1971)	Satinder (1972) Satinder (1971)	Satinder (1971)	Satinder (1971)	Satinder (1971)	Garg $(1969a, b)$ Garg $(1969a, b)$
Evalu- ation	0	+0	+	0	0+	+ -	5	1	0	+	+0
Difference	ns	MR augment MR augment	MR decline	su	MNR augment MNR augment	MNR augment MR augment	us MR augment	MR decline	MNR augment	MNR augment	MNR augment ns
Generation	e	$S_{35,40}$	S36,37	¢	S _{26,27} S _{26,27}		S36, 37				S26,27
Measure	Avoidance	Avoidance condi-	tioning, modified Avoidance condi-	tioning, latency Avoidance condi- tioning, escape	latency Avoidance condi- tioning, intertrial	crossing	Avoidance	conditioning Avoidance condi- tioning lotonin	Avoidance condi- tioning, escape	latency Avoidance condi- tioning, intertrial	crossing Rearing, frequency Rearing, duration
Test	Amphetamine						Caffeine				Nicotine
Item	205	$206 \\ 207$	208	209	$210 \\ 211$	212 213	215	216	217	218	$\begin{array}{c} 219\\ 220\end{array}$

Garg and Holland (1967)	Garg and Holland (1967, 1968a, 1969)	Wraight et al. (1967)	Wraight et al. (1967) Garg (1969a), Garg and Holland (1967, 1088-)	Garg (1970) Gregory <i>et al.</i> (1967)	Gupta and Gregory (1967), Holland	Gupta and Holland (1969a)	Martin and Powell (1970) Martin and Powell (1970)	Martin and Powell (1970) Martin and Powell (1970)	Garg (1969 a), Garg and Holland (1967,	Garg and Holland (1967, 1968b)	Gregory et al. (1967)	Gupta and Holland (1972b) Gupta and Gregory (1967), Holland	and Gupta (1907) Gupta and Holland (1972b) Gupta and Holland (1969a)	Holland and Gupta (1966)
+	0	0	0 0	00	0	+	00	0	0	0	0	0 0	0+	+
MNR decline	ns	ns	ns MNR decline	ns ns	ns	MNR decline	ns ns	MNR augment MNR more	MNR augment	ns	ns	ns ns	ns MR augment	MR augment
$\mathrm{S}_{26,27}$		\mathbf{S}_{22}	$S_{26,27}$	\mathbf{S}_{28}		$S_{26,27}$	¢-		$\mathrm{S}_{26,27}$		S_{28}	\mathbf{S}_{30}	${ m S}_{26,27}$	\mathbf{S}_{28}
Hebb-Williams maze. errors	Hebb-Williams	maze, time Y-maze, underwater swimming time	Y-maze, reminiscence Hebb-Williams maze errors	Open-field ambulation	Rearing	Avoidance conditioning	Avoidance condi- tioning, intertrial	Avoidance condi-	Hebb-Williams	Hebb-Williams	maze, ume Open-field ambulation	Rearing	Avoidance	Builton mino
Nicotine			Picrotoxin	Amylobarbitone					Pentobarbital		Methylpentynol			
221	222	223	$224 \\ 225$	226 227	228	229	$230 \\ 231$	$232 \\ 233$	234	235	236	$237 \\ 238$	$239 \\ 240$	241

The Maudsley Reactive and Nonreactive Strains of Rats: A Survey

tinued	
I. Con	
Table]	

Reference	Gupta and Holland (1972b)	Gupta and Holland (1969b)	Gupta and Holland (1972b)	Gupta and Holland (1972b) Gupta and Holland (1972b)	Gupta and Holland (1972b)	Gregory (1968)	Gregory (1968) Broadhurst (1964)	Keehn (1972b) Broadhurst and Wallgren (1964)	Powell (1970) Brewster (1969)	Brewster (1969)	Brewster (1969)	Satinder (1972 <i>a</i>) Brewster (1968, 1969, 1972)
Evalu- ation	0	!	0	00	0	0	•+	o !	00	+	+	+ !
on Difference	ns	MNR augment	MNR augment	ns ns	MNR augment	ns	ns MR augment	ns	ns ns	MR higher	MR higher	MR higher MNR higher
Generation	S_{30}	$\mathrm{S}_{26,27}$	\mathbf{S}_{30}				S_{20}	S_{21}^{23}	$?_{25,26}$			S _{33,38} S _{26,27,29}
Measure	Avoidance condi-	buoning Avoidance condi- tioning, intertrial	crossing Open-field ambulation	Rearing Avoidance	Avoidance condi- tioning, intertrial	crossing Open-field ambulation	Rearing Avoidance	Licking for alcohol Avoidance condi-	T-maze reversal Preference ratio			
Test	Methylpentynol		Methylpentynol, plus amphetamine			Prenylamine	Reserpine	Trihexyphenidyl Alcohol	Alcohol preference,	Alcohol preference, 0.102	Alcohol preference, 5 and 10%	Alcohol preference, 5% only
Item	242	243	244	$245 \\ 246$	247	248	$249 \\ 250$	$251 \\ 252$	$253 \\ 254$	255	256	257 258

									, 1972)							
Satinder (1974a)	Satinder (1972a)	Satinder (1974a)	Satinder (1974a)	Satinder (1974a)	Brewster (1969)	Brewster (1969)	Satinder (1974a)	Brewster (1969).	Satinder (1972 <i>a</i>) Brewster (1968, 1969, 1972)	Satinder (1972a)	Satinder $(1974a)$	Satinder (1974a)	Satinder (1974a)	Satinder (1972a)	Satinder (1974a)	Satinder (1974a)
0	0	÷	0	+	1	0	I	+	+1	0	0	+	0	+	+	+
ns	ns	MR higher	ns	MR more	MNR more	ns	MNR more	MR more	MR more MNR more	ns	ns	MR more	ns	MR mostly	MR mostly	more MR higher
$S_{33,40}$	$S_{33,38}$	$S_{35,40}$			$S_{25,26}$		S _{35,40}	$S_{25,26}$	S _{33,38} S _{26,27,29}	$S_{33,38}$	$S_{35,40}$			$S_{33,38}$	S _{37,43}	
Preference ratio			Preference ratio, retest		Intake							Intake, retest		Various measures	Relative intakes	
Alcohol preference,	Alcohol preference, 2007	Alcohol preference, 64.07	0/ ±0	Alcohol preference, 0.25-22.07	Alcohol preference,	Alcohol preference, 0.1.02	Alcohol preference,	Alcohol preference, 5 and 1002	Alcohol preference,	5% only Alcohol preference,	AU70 Alcohol preference,	Alcohol preference,	1, 4, 0, 10% Alcohol preference, 0.95 5 9 29 6407	Forced alcohol	Forced alcohol choice	Preference after alcohol choice
259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	$268 \\ 269$	270	271	272	273	274	275	276

Reference	Satinder (1974b)	Satinder $(1974b)$	Katz (1973)	Satinder (1974b)	
Evalu- ation	0	0	0	0	
Generation Difference					
eration	4 ns	ns	ns	4 ns	
Gen	S _{39,44}		¢-i	$S_{39,44}$	
Measure	Various measures				
Test	Preference for	Forced morphine	nondmnsuoo	Preference after	morphine consumption
Item	277	278	279	280	

Table I. Continued

Broadhurst

CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions arrived at as a result of this survey are briefly stated. They echo those Eysenck and Broadhurst expressed in 1964 and point to the massive support the many findings lend to the status of the two Maudsley strains as representing extremes of expression of a behavioral phenotype arrived at as a result of artificial selection. Despite a fair number of instances where differences which might reasonably be expected to correlate with the bidirectional selection have not appeared, there continues to be considerable evidence that the strains differ in several fundamental ways relating not only to behavior but also to associated functions which are putative substrates of it.

Differences are one thing, but interpretation is another, and the evidence in this respect is perhaps less conclusive, though still strong. A construct of emotionality still seems to be supported by the differences noted, despite exceptions for which in many cases, though not all, certain extenuating circumstances can be found. It is concluded therefore that the strains continue to be characterized by relatively stable differences in a generalized trait of emotional reactivity which expresses itself in many and various ways.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Mr. E. F. Gouthwaite and Dr. Anne Broadhurst assisted in the compilation of material, as did many of the authors concerned in checking sections of it. They cannot, however, be held responsible for any remaining errors of fact or interpretation, which are the author's alone.

REFERENCES

- Archer, J. (1973). Tests for emotionality in rats and mice: A review. Anim. Behav. 21:205-235.
- Bailey, B. F. S., and Heald, P. J. (1961). The separation of the cytoplasmic proteins of brain by electrophoresis in a starch gel medium. J. Neurochem. 6:342-349.
- Benešová, O., and Beneš, V. (1968). The relation between the type of higher nervous activity, some biochemical parameters and the reactivity to drugs. Act. Nerv. Super. 10:223–231.

Bernet, F. (1974). Personal communication.

- Blizard, D. A. (1970). The Maudsley strains: The evaluation of a possible artifact. Psychon. Sci. 19:145-146.
- Blizard, D. A. (1971). Autonomic activity in the rat: Effects of genetic selection for emotionality. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 76:282-289.
- Blizard, D. A. (1975). Colonic reactivity in curarized rats of the MR and MNR strains: Differential response to novel stimuli and classical conditioning procedures. *Behav. Genet.* 5:90 (abst.).

- Brewster, D. J. (1968). Genetic analysis of ethanol preferences in rats selected for emotional reactivity. J. Hered. 5:283-286.
- Brewster, D. J. (1969). Ethanol preference in strains of rats selectively bred for behavioral characteristics. J. Genet. Psychol. 115:217-227.
- Brewster, D. J. (1972). Ethanol preference in strains of rats selectively bred for behavioral characteristics. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 197:49-53.
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1957). Determinants of emotionality in the rat. I. Situational factors. Brit. J. Psychol. 48:1–12.
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1958a). Determinants of emotionality in the rat. II. Antecedent factors. Brit. J. Psychol. 49:12–20.
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1958b). Studies in psychogenetics: The quantitative inheritance of behaviour in rats investigated by selective and cross-breeding. Bull. Brit. Psychol. Soc. 34:2A (abst.).
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1960). Experiments in psychogenetics: Applications of biometrical genetics to the inheritance of behaviour. In Eysenck, H. J. (ed.) *Experiments in Personality*, Vol. I: *Psychogenetics and Psychopharmacology*, Routledge and Kegan Paul, London, pp. 1–102.
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1962). A note on further progress in a psychogenetic selection experiment. Psychol. Rep. 10:65-66.
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1963). The choice of animal for behaviour studies. L.A.C. Coll. Papers 12:65-80.
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1964). The hereditary base for action of drugs on animal behaviour. In Steinberg, H., de Reuck, A. V. S., and Knight, J. (eds.), *Animal Behaviour and Drug Action*, Ciba Foundation Symposium jointly with Co-ordinating Committee for Symposia on Drug Action, Churchill, London, pp. 224–236.
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1966). Behavioral inheritance: Past and present. Cond. Reflex 1:3-15.
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1967). The biometrical analysis of behavioural inheritance. Sci. Progr. 55:123-139.
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1970). Psikhogenetika i biometricheskii analiz nasledovaniya u krysy. Genetika 6:91-99.
- Broadhurst, P. L. (1973). Unpublished data.
- Broadhurst, P. L., and Eysenck, H. J. (1965). Emotionality in the rat: A problem of response specificity. In Banks, C. and Broadhurst, P. L. (eds.), Stephanos: Studies in Psychology Presented to Cyril Burt, University of London Press, London, pp. 202-221.
- Broadhurst, P. L., and Wallgren, H. (1964). Ethanol and the acquisition of a conditioned response in selected strains of rats. *Quart. J. Stud. Alcohol* 25:476-489.
- Broadhurst, P. L., and Watson, R. H. J. (1964). Brain cholinesterase, body build and emotionality in different strains of rats. Anim. Behav. 12:42-51.
- Chamove, A. (1974). Personal communication.
- Eller, G. (1974). Personal communication.
- Eysenck, H. J. (1965). Smoking, Health and Personality, Weidenfeld and Nicholson, London.
- Eysenck, H. J., and Broadhurst, P. L. (1964). Experiments with animals: Introduction. In Eysenck, H. J. (ed.), *Experiments in Motivation*, Pergamon, Oxford, pp. 285–291.
- Ferraro, D. P., and York, K. M. (1968). Punishment effects in rats selectively bred for emotional elimination. *Psychon. Sci.* 10:177-178.
- Festing, M., and Staats, J. (1973). Standardized nomenclature for inbred strains of rats: Fourth listing. *Transplantation* 16:221-245.
- Feuer, G. (1963a). Adrenal cortical activity and stress response in rats selectively bred for differences in behaviour. J. Physiol. 169:43P-44P.
- Feuer, G. (1963b). Influence of thyroid and adrenocorticotrophic hormones and of genetic factors on cholesterol metabolism in the rat. In Grant, J. K. (ed.), *The Control of Lipid Metabolism*, Academic Press, London, pp. 99-100.
- Feuer, G. (1969). Difference in emotional behaviour and in function of the endocrine system in genetically-different strains of albino rats. In Bajusz, E. (ed.), *Physiology and Pathology* of Adaptation Mechanisms, Pergamon, Oxford, pp. 214–233.

- Fulker, D. W. (1970). Maternal buffering of rodent genotype responses to stress: A complex genotype-environment interaction. *Behav. Genet.* 1:119-124.
- Fulker, D. W. (1972). Personal communication.
- Fulker, D. W., and Rick, J. T. (1973). Effects of preweaning stimulation on the GABA production in rat cortex. *Develop. Psychobiol.* 6:349-356.
- Garg, M. (1969*a*). The effects of some central nervous system stimulant and depressant drugs on rearing activity in rats. *Psychopharmacologia* 14:150–156.
- Garg, M. (1969b). Variations in effects of nicotine in four strains of rats. Psychopharmacologia 14:432-438.
- Garg, M. (1970). Combined effect of drug and drive on the consolidation process. Psychopharmacologia 18:172-179.
- Garg, M., and Holland, H. C. (1967). Consolidation and maze learning: A comparison of several post-trial treatments. *Life Sci.* 6:1987–1997.
- Garg, M., and Holland, H. C. (1968a). Consolidation and maze learning: A further study of post-trial injections of a stimulant drug (nicotine). Int. J. Neuropharmacol. 7:55-59.
- Garg, M., and Holland, H. C. (1968b). Consolidation and maze learning: The effects of posttrial injections of a depressant drug (pentobarbital sodium). *Psychopharmacologia* 12:127-132.
- Garg, M., and Holland, H. C. (1968c). Consolidation and maze learning: The effects of posttrial injections of a stimulant drug (picrotoxin). *Psychopharmacologia* 12:96-103.
- Garg, M., and Holland, H. C. (1969). Consolidation and maze learning: A study of some strain/drug interactions. *Psychopharmacologia* 14:426–431.
- Gray, J. A. (1965). A time-sample study of the components of general activity in selected strains of rats. Can. J. Psychol. 19:74-82.
- Gray, J. A. (1971). The Psychology of Fear and Stress, Weidenfeld and Nicholson, London.
- Gray, J. A. (1974). Personal communication.
- Gray, J. A., and Levine, S. (1964). The effect of induced oestrus on emotional behaviour in selected strains of rats. *Nature* 201:1198–1200.
- Gray, J. A., Levine, S., and Broadhurst, P. L. (1965). Gonadal hormone injections in infancy and adult emotional behaviour. *Anim. Behav.* 13:33–45.
- Gregory, K. (1967a). A note on strain differences in exploratory activity (rearing). Life Sci. 6:1253-1256.
- Gregory, K. (1967b). Stress and strain—The suppression of activity in two strains of rats after exposure to electric shock. Act. Nerv. Super. 9:140–144.
- Gregory, K. (1968). The action of the drug prenylamine (Segontin) on exploratory activity in strains of rats selectively bred for differences in emotionality. *Psychopharmacologia* 13:29–34.
- Gregory, K., and Liebelt, E. (1967). An examination of sex and strain differences in the rearing response to a novel environment. Act. Nerv. Super. 9:137-139.
- Gregory, K., Gupta, B. D., and Holland, H. C. (1967). The effects of drugs on activity in two strains of rats selectively bred for high and low emotionality. *Life Sci.* 6:981–988.
- Groves, J. R. (1971). Some determinants of individual differences in rats: Behavioural arousal and learning. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of London.
- Gupta, B. C., and Gregory, K. (1967). The effects of drugs and their combinations on the rearing response in two strains of rats. *Psychopharmacologia* 11:365-371.
- Gupta, B. D., and Holland, H. C. (1969a). An examination of the effects of stimulant and depressant drugs on escape/avoidance conditioning in strains of rats selectively bred for emotionality/non-emotionality. *Psychopharmacologia* 14:95-105.
- Gupta, B. D., and Holland, H. C. (1969b). An examination of the effects of stimulant and depressant drugs on escape/avoidance conditioning in strains of rats selectively bred for emotionality/non-emotionality: Intertrial activity. *Int. J. Neuropharmacol.* 8:227-234.
- Gupta, B. D., and Holland, H. C. (1972a). An examination of the effects of stimulant and depressant drugs on escape/avoidance conditioning in strains of rats selectively bred for emotionality/non-emotionality: A multivariate analysis of the effects of drugs on conditioned avoidance responses and intertrial activity. *Neuropharmacology* 11:23-30.

- Gupta, B. D., and Holland, H. C. (1972b). Emotion as a determinant of the effects of drugs and their combination on different components of behaviour in rats. *Neuropharmacology* 11:31–38.
- Hall, C. S. (1938). The inheritance of emotionality. Sigma Xi Quart. 26:17-27, 37. Also in Martin, W. F., and Stendler, C. B. (eds.) (1954). Readings in Child Development, Harcourt Brace, New York, pp. 58-68.
- Harrington, G. M. (1971a). Strain differences among rats initiating exploration of different environments. Psychon. Sci. 23:348-349.
- Harrington, G. M. (1971b). Strain differences in rotating wheel activity of the rat. Psychon. Sci. 27:363-364.
- Harrington, G. M. (1972). Strain differences in open-field behavior of the rat. Psychon. Sci. 27:51-53.
- Harrington, G. M., and Hanlon, J. R. (1966). Heart rate, defecation and genetic differences in rats. Psychon. Sci. 6:425–426.
- Holland, H. C. (1974). Temperament and tumour: A note on the growth index in two strains of rats following the implantation of a carcinogenic agent. Act. Nerv. Super. 16:17-19.
- Holland, H. C., and Gupta, B. D. (1966). The effects of different doses of methylpentynol on escape/avoidance conditioning in two strains of rats selectively bred for high and low "emotionality." *Psychopharmacologia* 9:419-425.
- Holland, H. C., and Gupta, B. D. (1967). Effects of drugs on the rearing response in emotionally reactive and non-reactive rats. Act. Nerv. Super. 9:134–136.
- Imada, H. (1970). Amount of open-field defecation, home cage defecation and food and water intake in Maudsley reactive and non-reactive strains of rats. Ann. Anim. Psychol. 20:1-6.
- Imada, H. (1972). Emotional reactivity and conditionability in four strains of rats. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 79:474–480.
- Joffe, J. M. (1964). Avoidance learning and failure to learn in two strains of rats selectively bred for emotionality. *Psychon. Sci.* 1:185–186.
- Joffe, J. M. (1965a). Effect of foster-mothers' strain and pre-natal experience on adult behaviour in rats. Nature 208:815-816.
- Joffe, J. M. (1965b). Genotype and prenatal and premating stress interact to affect adult behavior in rats. Science 150:1844-1845.
- Joffe, J. M. (1969). Prenatal Determinants of Behaviour, Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- Katz, D. M. (1973). Personal communication. In Kumar, R., and Stolerman, I. P., Morphine dependent behaviour in rats; Some clinical implications. *Psychol. Med.* 3:225-237.
- Keehn, J. D. (1972a). Schedule-induced drinking and biting by the Maudsley strains of rats. Unpublished manuscript.
- Keehn, J. D. (1972b). Effects of trihexyphenidyl on schedule-induced alcohol drinking by rats. Psychon. Sci. 29:20-22.
- Keehn, J. D. (1974). Agresión dependiente de programas. In Bandura, A., and Ribes, E. (eds.), Modificación de Conducta: Análisis Experimental de la Delincuencia y la Agresión Social, Trillas, México.
- Lonowski, D. J., Levitt, R. A., and Larson, S. D. (1974). Mouse killing and carrying by Maudsley and Long-Evans strain rats. Unpublished manuscript.
- Martin, L. K., and Powell, B. J. (1970). Role of drug effects and UCS intensity in avoidance acquisition of the Maudsley MNR and MR strains. *Psychon. Sci.* 18:44–45.
- Mikhail, A. A. (1969). Genetic predisposition to stomach ulceration in emotionally reactive strains of rats. Psychon. Sci. 15:245-247.
- Mikhail, A. A. (1972). The effects of conditioned anxiety on the recovery from experimental ulceration. J. Psychosom. Res. 16:115–122.
- Mikhail, A. A., and Broadhurst, P. L. (1965). Stomach ulceration and emotionality in selected strains of rats. J. Psychosom. Res. 8:477-479.
- Powell, B. J. (1970). Alcohol effects on reversal learning in the Maudsley MR and MNR strains. Proc. 78th Ann. Convention APA, pp. 825–826.
- Powell, B. J., and Hopper, D. J. (1971). Effect of strain differences and D-amphetamine sulfate on avoidance performance. *Psychon. Sci.* 22:167–168.
- Powell, B. J., and North-Jones, M. (1974). Effects of early handling on avoidance performance of Maudsley MR and MNR strains. *Develop. Psychobiol.* 7:145–148.

- Rick, J. T., and Fulker, D. W. (1972). Some biochemical correlates of inherited behavioural differences. In Bradley, P. B., and Brimblecombe, R. W. (eds.), *Biochemical and Pharmacological Mechanisms Underlying Behaviour, Progress in Brain Research*, Vol. 36, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 105-113.
- Rick, J. T., Huggins, A. K., and Kerkut, G. A. (1967). The comparative production of γ -amino butyric acid in the Maudsley reactive and non-reactive strains of rat. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 20:1009-1012.
- Rick, J. T., Tunnicliff, G., Kerkut, G. A., Fulker, D. W., Wilcock, J., and Broadhurst, P. L. (1971). GABA production in brain cortex related to activity and avoidance in eight strains of rat. *Brain Res.* 32:234–238.
- Robinson, R. (1965). Genetics of the Norway Rat, Pergamon, Oxford.
- Satinder, K. P. (1971). Genotype-dependent effects of *D*-amphetamine sulphate and caffeine on escape-avoidance behavior of rats. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 76:359-364.
- Satinder, K. P. (1972a). Behavior-genetic-dependent self-selection of alcohol in rats. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 80:422-434.
- Satinder, K. P. (1972b). Effects of intertrial crossing punishment and *d*-amphetamine sulfate on avoidance and activity in selectively bred rat strains. *Psychon. Sci.* 29:291–293.
- Satinder, K. P. (1974a). Genetic analysis of chronic alcohol intake in rats: Behavioral model. Unpublished manuscript.
- Satinder, K. P. (1974b). Genetic analysis of oral self-administration of morphine in rats. Unpublished manuscript.
- Satinder, K. P. (1974c). Genotype-specific reactions of rats to a cat. Unpublished manuscript.
- Savage, R. D. (1965). An analysis of learning curves: Inherited strain and environmental determinants. Behav. Res. Ther. 2:281-283.
- Sudak, H. S., and Maas, J. W. (1964). Behavioral-neurochemical correlation in reactive and nonreactive strains of rats. *Science* 146:418–420.
- Wampler, R. S. (1964). Summation of water aversion and hunger drives in two strains of rats selectively bred for emotionality. Paper presented at meeting of Midwestern Psychological Association.
- Weldon, E. (1967). An analogue of extraversion as a determinant of individual differences in behaviour in the rat. Brit. J. Psychol. 58:253-259.
- Weldon, E. (1968a). Stimulus or stimulation: Relevant cues in a learning situation involving differences in light reinforcement. *Psychon. Sci.* 10:239–240.
- Weldon, E. (1968b). To see, or not to see: Rearing activity as a function of changes in the visual field. Psychon. Sci. 12:83-84.
- Weldon, E. (1969). Rearing activity as a measure of sensory reinforcement. Bull. Brit. Psychol. Soc. 22:148 (abst.).
- Wilcock, J. (1966). The role of interfering and facilitatory responses in avoidance conditioning in rats. Bull. Brit. Psychol. Soc. 19:A28 (abst.).
- Wilcock, J. (1968). Strain differences in response to shock in rats selectively bred for emotional elimination. Anim. Behav. 16:294-297.
- Wilcock, J., and Broadhurst, P. L. (1967). Strain differences in emotionality: Open-field and conditioned avoidance behavior in the rat. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 63:335–338.
- Wraight, K. B., Weldon, E., Gupta, B. D., and Holland, H. C. (1967). The effects of post-trial injections of nicotine on the learning of an underwater discrimination task by rats. *Anim. Behav.* 15:287-290.