

ESTIMATES OF SEMIINVARIANTS AND CENTERED MOMENTS
OF STOCHASTIC PROCESSES WITH MIXING. I

V. Statulevicius and D. Jakimavicius

UDC 519.21

1. Introduction and Basic Results

Let X_t , $t = 1, 2, \dots$, be a stochastic process defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , and $\{\mathcal{F}_t, 1 \leq t < \infty\}$ be a family of σ -algebras such that

- 1) $\mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F} \quad \forall s, t$;
- 2) $\mathcal{F}_{s_1}^{t_1} \subset \mathcal{F}_{s_2}^{t_2} \quad \forall [s_1, t_1] \subset [s_2, t_2]$;
- 3) $\mathcal{F}_s^t \supset \sigma\{X_u, s \leq u \leq t\}$.

We introduce, as usual, the α -mixing, φ -mixing, and ψ -mixing functions by the following relations:

$$\alpha(s, t) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_s^t, B \in \mathcal{F}_t^\infty} |P(AB) - P(A)P(B)|,$$

$$\varphi(s, t) = \sup_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{F}_s^t, B \in \mathcal{F}_t^\infty \\ P(A) > 0}} \left| \frac{P(AB) - P(A)P(B)}{P(A)} \right|,$$

$$\psi(s, t) = \sup_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{F}_s^t, B \in \mathcal{F}_t^\infty \\ P(A) > 0, P(B) > 0}} \left| \frac{P(AB) - P(A)P(B)}{P(A)P(B)} \right|.$$

Let $E|X_t|^k < \infty$ for some $k \geq 2$ and $\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})$ be the k -th order correlation function of the stochastic process X_t , i.e., the mixed semiinvariant of the process X_t

$$\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k}) = \frac{1}{i^k} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial u_1 \dots \partial u_k} \ln E e^{i(u, X)} \Big|_{u=0},$$

where $u \in R^k$, $X = (X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})$ [2].

The centered moment $\widehat{E} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}$ is defined as follows:

$$\widehat{E} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k} = E X_{t_1} X_{t_2} \dots X_{t_{k-1}} \widehat{X}_{t_k},$$

The symbol " $\widehat{}$ " over a random variable means that it is centered by its expectation $\widehat{\xi} = \xi - E\xi$ (cf. [4-6]).

This paper is devoted to upper estimates of the function $\widehat{E} X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k}$, and with their help estimating the mixed semiinvariant $\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})$ and k -th order semiinvariant $\Gamma_k(S_n)$ of the sum $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ since

$$\Gamma_k(S_n) = \sum_{1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_k \leq n} \Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k}). \quad (1.1)$$

The estimates are found in terms of one of the mixing functions α , φ , and ψ and the moment $E|X_t|^k, 1 \leq k$. As is known ([4, 5], cf. also [3]) $\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})$ can be expressed in terms of the function $\widehat{E} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}$ by the following formula:

Institute of Mathematics and Cybernetics, Academy of Sciences of the Lithuanian SSR.
Translated from Litovskii Matematicheskii Sbornik (Lietuvos Matematikos Rinkinys), Vol. 28,
No. 1, pp. 112-129, January-March, 1988. Original article submitted February 18, 1987.

$$\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k}) = \sum_{\nu=1}^k (-1)^\nu \sum_{\substack{I \\ \bigcup_{p=1}^{\nu} I_p = I}} N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_\nu) \prod_{p=1}^{\nu} \widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{I_p}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\sum_{\substack{I \\ \bigcup_{p=1}^{\nu} I_p = I}}$ denotes summation over all partitions of the set of indices $I = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ into

unordered subsets $I_p \subset I$. Further, if $I_p = \{t_1^{(p)}, \dots, t_{k_p}^{(p)}\}$, then by definition we set $X_{I_p} := X_{t_1^{(p)}} \dots X_{t_{k_p}^{(p)}}$. The integers $0 \leq N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_\nu) \leq (\nu-1)!$ depend only on the partition $\{I_1, \dots, I_\nu\}$. If $N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_\nu) > 0$, then

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\nu} \max_{t_i, t_j \in I_p} (t_j - t_i) \geq \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} (t_j - t_i). \quad (1.3)$$

An exact formula for $N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_\nu)$ is given in Sec. 3.

We give the basic results. For simplicity of notation, we shall assume everywhere that $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_k$.

THEOREM 1. 1) if $|X_t| \leq C$ with probability 1, $t = t_1, \dots, t_k$, then for all $i, 1 \leq i < k$,

- a) $|\widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 2^k C^k \alpha(t_i, t_{i+1}),$
- b) $|\widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 2^{k-1} C^k \varphi(t_i, t_{i+1}),$
- c) $|\widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 2^{k-2} C^k \psi(t_i, t_{i+1});$

2) if for some integer $k \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0$, $\mathbf{E} |X_t|^{(1+\delta)k} < \infty$, $t = t_1, \dots, t_k$, then for all $i, 1 \leq i < k$,

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} \alpha^{\delta/(1+\delta)}(t_i, t_{i+1}) \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbf{E} |X_{t_j}|^{(1+\delta)k};$$

3) if for some collection $p_j \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq k$ with $\sum_{j=1}^k 1/p_j = 1$ exist $\mathbf{E} |X_{t_j}|^{p_j}, 1 \leq j \leq k$, then for all $i, 1 \leq i < k$,

- a) $|\widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 2^{k-1} \varphi^{\sum_{j=1}^i 1/p_j}(t_i, t_{i+1}) \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbf{E} |X_{t_j}|^{p_j},$
- b) $|\widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 2^{k-2} \psi(t_i, t_{i+1}) \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{E} |X_{t_j}|^{p_j};$

4) if $\exists a_1 > 0, C_1 \geq 1: \mathbf{E} e^{a_1 |X_t|} \leq C_1, t = t_1, \dots, t_k$, then for all $i, 1 \leq i < k$, and any $\delta > 0$

- a) $|\widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 3k! 2^{k-1} C_1 ((1+\delta)/a_1)^k \alpha^{\delta/(1+\delta)}(t_i, t_{i+1}),$
- b) $|\widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \hat{p}_j 2^{k-1} C_1 (1/a_1)^k \varphi^{\sum_{j=1}^i 1/p_j}(t_i, t_{i+1})$

for any collection $p_j \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq k$, with $\sum_{j=1}^k 1/p_j = 1$, where $\hat{u} = \min \{v \geq u | v \text{ is an integer}\}$.

We consider the case when the variables X_t are connected in a Markov chain ξ_t (i.e., $X_t = g_t(\xi_t)$, where $g_t(x)$ is a measurable function for each t) with transition probabilities

$P_{st}(x, A) = P\{\xi_t \in A | \xi_s = x\}$ and $P_t(A) = P\{\xi_t \in A\}$. In this case we set $\mathcal{F}_s^t = \sigma\{\xi_u, s \leq u \leq t\}$. Then $\varphi(s, t) = \sup_{x, A \in \mathcal{F}_s^t} |P_{st}(x, A) - P_t(A)| \leq 1 - \alpha_{st}$, where α_{st} is the coefficient of ergodicity of the function P_{st} .

$$\alpha_{st} = 1 - \sup_{x, y, A \in \mathcal{F}_s^t} |P_{st}(x, A) - P_{st}(y, A)|.$$

If $\alpha^{(n)} = \min_{1 \leq s < t \leq n} \alpha_{s, s+1}$ is the coefficient of ergodicity of the chain, then it is known that $1 - \alpha_{st} \leq$

$$(1 - \alpha^{(n)})^{t-s} \leq e^{-\alpha^{(n)}(t-s)} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq s \leq t \leq n.$$

Let l_1, \dots, l_r be growth points of the sequence $t_1, \dots, t_k, m_1 + \dots + m_j = \max\{i : t_i = l_j\}, 1 \leq j \leq r$.

THEOREM 2. Let the variables X_t be connected in the Markov chain ξ_t ;

1) if $|X_t| \leq C$ with probability 1, $t = t_1, \dots, t_k$, then

$$\text{a) } |\widehat{E} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 2^{k-1} C^k \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \varphi(l_j, l_{j+1}),$$

$$\text{b) } |\widehat{E} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 2^{k-r} C^k \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \psi(l_j, l_{j+1});$$

2) if for some collection $q_j \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq r$, with $\sum_{j=1}^r 1/q_j = 1$ the $E|X_{t_j}|^{m_j q_j}, 1 \leq j \leq r$, exist, then

$$\text{a) } |\widehat{E} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 2^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \varphi^{1/q_j}(l_j, l_{j+1}) \prod_{j=1}^r E|X_{t_j}|^{m_j q_j},$$

$$\text{b) } |\widehat{E} X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}| \leq 2^{k-r} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \psi(l_j, l_{j+1}) \prod_{j=1}^r E|X_{t_j}|^{m_j}.$$

Having the estimates of Theorems 1 and 2, from (1.2), considering the behavior of N_t , we get an estimate for $\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})$.

THEOREM 3. 1) if $|X_t| \leq C$ with probability 1, $t = t_1, \dots, t_k$, then for all $i, 1 \leq i < k$

$$\text{a) } |\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})| \leq (k-1)! 2^k C^k \alpha(t_i, t_{i+1}),$$

$$\text{b) } |\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})| \leq (k-1)! 2^{k-1} C^k \varphi(t_i, t_{i+1}),$$

$$\text{c) } |\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})| \leq (k-1)! 2^{k-2} C^k \varphi(t_i, t_{i+1});$$

2) if for some integer $k \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0$ the $E|X_{t_i}|^{(1+\delta)k}, t = t_1, \dots, t_k$, exist, then for all $i, 1 \leq i < k$,

$$|\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})| \leq 3(k-1)! 2^{k-1} \alpha^{\delta/(1+\delta)}(t_i, t_{i+1}) \prod_{j=1}^k E|X_{t_j}|^{(1+\delta)k};$$

3) if for some collection $p_j \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq k$, with $\sum_{j=1}^k 1/p_j = 1$ the $E|X_{t_j}|^{p_j}$ exist, then for all $i, 1 \leq i < k$,

$$|\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})| \leq (k-1)! 2^{k-1} \varphi^{\sum_{j=1}^i 1/p_j}(t_i, t_{i+1}) \prod_{j=1}^k E|X_{t_j}|^{p_j};$$

4) if $\exists a_1 > 0, C_1 \geq 1; Ee^{a_1 |X_t|} \leq C_1, t = t_1, \dots, t_k$, then for all $i, 1 \leq i < k$, and any $\delta > 0$,

$$|\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})| \leq 3k! 4^{k-1} (C_1(1+\delta)/a_1)^k \alpha^{\delta/(1+\delta)}(t_i, t_{i+1}).$$

THEOREM 4. Let the random variables X_t be connected in a Markov chain ξ_t (as in Theorem 2):

1) if $|X_t| \leq C, t = t_1, \dots, t_k$, then for all $k \geq 2$

$$a) \quad |\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_r})| \leq (k-1)! 2^{k-1} C^k \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \varphi(l_j, l_{j+1}),$$

$$b) \quad |\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_r})| \leq (k-1)! 2^{k-r} C^k \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \psi(l_j, l_{j+1});$$

2) if for some collection $q_j \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq r$, with $\sum_{j=1}^r 1/q_j = 1$ the $E|X_{t_j}|^{m_j q_j}, j=1, \dots, r$, exist, then

$$a) \quad |\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_r})| \leq (k-1)! 2^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \varphi^{\sum_{i=1}^j 1/q_i}(l_j, l_{j+1}) \prod_{j=1}^r E|X_{t_j}|^{q_j m_j},$$

$$b) \quad |\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_r})| \leq (k-1)! 2^{k-r} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \psi(l_j, l_{j+1}) \prod_{j=1}^r E|X_{t_j}|^{m_j};$$

3) if $\exists a_1 > 0, C_1 \geq 1: Ee^{a_1 |X_t|} \leq C_1, t=t_1, \dots, t_k$, then for all $k \geq 2$ and $\delta < 0$

$$|\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})| \leq k! 4^{k-1} (C_1 (1 + \delta)/a_1)^k \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \varphi^{\delta/(1+\delta)}(l_j, l_{j+1}).$$

Having the results of Theorems 3 and 4, we can quickly get estimates for $\Gamma_k(S_n)$ from (1.1) under different mixing conditions and different restrictions on the behavior of the moments $E|X_t|^k$. However, they will not be optimal with respect to the order of the semi-variant k , since for this, as we see in the proof of the theorems, it is necessary to study and estimate the dependence of $\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})$ on t_1, \dots, t_k more closely. This is done with the help of (1.1), (1.2), and the estimates for $\widehat{E}X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}$, which are given in Theorems 1 and 2.

We set

$$\Lambda_n(f, u) = \max \left\{ 1, \max_{1 \leq t \leq n} \sum_{s=1}^n f^{1/u}(s, t) \right\}$$

for any function $f \geq 0$ and $u > 0$.

THEOREM 5. 1) if $|X_t| \leq C$ with probability 1, $t = 1, \dots, n$, then for all $k \geq 2, \beta > 0, \delta > 0$

$$a) \quad |\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq 2k! 8^{k-1} C^k \Lambda_n^{k-1}(\alpha, k-1)n,$$

$$b) \quad |\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq k! 8^{k-1} C^{k-2} \Lambda_n^{k-2}(\varphi, (1+\beta)(1+1/\delta)(k-2)) \times \\ \times \sum_{1 \leq s \leq t \leq n} \varphi^{\beta\delta/(1+\beta)(1+\delta)}(s, t) E^{\delta/(1+\delta)} |X_s|^{1+1/\delta} E^{1/(1+\delta)} |X_t|^{1+\delta};$$

2) if for some $k \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0, E|X_t|^{(1+\delta)k} < \infty, t=1, \dots, n$, then for all $\beta > 0$

$$a) \quad |\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq 2k! 12^{k-1} \Lambda_n^{k-1}(\alpha, (1+1/\delta)(k-1)) n \max_{1 \leq t \leq n} E^{1/(1+\delta)} |X_t|^{(1+\delta)k},$$

$$b) \quad |\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq \\ \leq k! 8^{k-1} \Lambda_n^{k-2}(\varphi, (1+\beta)(1+1/\delta)(k-2)) \max_{1 \leq t \leq n} E^{(k-2)/(1+\delta)k} |X_t|^{(1+\delta)k} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{1 \leq s \leq t \leq n} \varphi^{\beta\delta/(1+\beta)(1+\delta)}(s, t) E^{1/(1+\delta)k} |X_s|^{(1+\delta)k} E^{1/(1+\delta)k} |X_t|^{(1+\delta)k};$$

3) if $\exists a_1 > 0, C_1 \geq 1: Ee^{a_1 |X_t|} \leq C_1, t=1, \dots, n$, then for all $k \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$|\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq 2(k!)^2 12^{k-1} (C_1 (1 + \delta)/a_1)^k \Lambda_n^{k-1}(\alpha, (1+1/\delta)(k-1)) n.$$

THEOREM 6. Let the random variables X_t be connected in a Markov chain ξ_t (as in Theorem 2);

1) if $|X_t| \leq C$ with probability 1, $t = 1, \dots, n$, then for all $k \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$a) |\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq k! 8^{k-1} C^k \Lambda_n^{k-1}(\varphi, 1)n,$$

$$b) |\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq k! 8^{k-1} C^{k-2} \Lambda_n^{k-2}(\varphi, 1+1/\delta) \sum_{1 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_{k-2} \leq n} \varphi^{\delta/(1+\delta)}(s, t) \mathbf{E}^{\delta/(1+\delta)} |X_{s_1}|^{1+1/\delta} \mathbf{E}^{1/(1+\delta)} |X_{t_1}|^{1+\delta};$$

2) if for some $k \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0$, $\mathbf{E} |X_t|^{(1+\delta)k} < \infty$, $t=1, \dots, n$, then

$$a) |\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq k! 8^{k-1} \Lambda_n^{k-1}(\varphi, 1+1/\delta) n \max_{1 \leq t \leq n} \mathbf{E}^{1/(1+\delta)} |X_t|^{(1+\delta)k},$$

$$b) |\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq k! 8^{k-1} \Lambda_n^{k-2}(\varphi, 1+1/\delta) \max_{1 \leq t \leq n} \mathbf{E}^{(k-2)/(1+\delta)k} |X_t|^{(1+\delta)k} \times \\ \times \sum_{1 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_{k-2} \leq n} \varphi^{\delta/(1+\delta)}(s, t) \mathbf{E}^{1/(1+\delta)k} |X_{s_1}|^{(1+\delta)k} \mathbf{E}^{1/(1+\delta)k} |X_{t_1}|^{(1+\delta)k};$$

3) if $\exists a_1 > 0$, $C_1 \geq 1$: $\mathbf{E} e^{a_1 |X_t|} \leq C_1$, $t=1, \dots, n$, then for all $k \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0$

$$|\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq (k!)^2 8^{k-1} (C_1(1+\delta)/a_1)^k \Lambda_n^{k-1}(\varphi, 1+1/\delta)n;$$

4) if $\exists a_1 > 0$, $C_1 \geq 1$: $\mathbf{E} e^{a_1 |X_t|} \leq C_1$, $t=1, \dots, n$, then for all $k \geq 2$,

$$|\Gamma_k(S_n)| \leq k! 16^{k-1} (C_1/a_1)^k \Lambda_n^{k-1}(\psi, 1)n.$$

The estimates for $\Gamma_k(S_n)$ found in Theorems 5 and 6 let us get theorems on large deviations for the distribution $\mathbf{P}(Z_n < x)$ of the normalized sum $Z_n = S_n/B_n$, $B_n^2 = \mathbf{E} S_n^2$ (we shall assume everywhere that $\mathbf{E} X_t = 0$, $t=1, \dots, n$). For this we shall use the following lemma.

LEMMA. If for the random variable Z with $\mathbf{E} Z = 0$, $\mathbf{E} Z^2 = 1$, there exist $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\Delta > 0$ such that for the k -th-order seminvariant one has

$$|\Gamma_k(Z)| \leq (k!)^{1+\gamma} \Delta^{k-2}, \quad k=3, 4, \dots, \quad (1.4)$$

then in the interval $0 \leq x < \Delta\gamma$

$$\Delta_\gamma = c_\gamma \Delta^{1/(1+2\gamma)}, \quad c_\gamma = 1/6 (\sqrt{2}/6)^{1/(1+2\gamma)}$$

one has (cf. [8])

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}(Z > x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = \exp\{L_\gamma(x)\} \left(1 + \theta_1 f(x) \frac{x+1}{\Delta_\gamma}\right), \\ \frac{\mathbf{P}(Z < -x)}{\Phi(-x)} = \exp\{L_\gamma(-x)\} \left(1 + \theta_2 f(x) \frac{x+1}{\Delta_\gamma}\right), \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$L_\gamma(x) = \sum_{3 \leq k < p} c_k x^k, \quad p = \begin{cases} 1/\gamma + 2, & \gamma > 0, \\ \infty, & \gamma = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$|c_k| \leq 2/k (16/\Delta)^{k-2} ((k+1)!)^\gamma, \quad k=3, 4, \dots, \quad |\theta_i| \leq 1, \quad i=1, 2,$$

$$f(x) = \frac{60(1+10\Delta_\gamma^2 \exp\{-(1-x/\Delta_\gamma)\sqrt{\Delta_\gamma}\})(1+2(x/\Delta_\gamma)^2)}{1-x/\Delta_\gamma}.$$

Moreover (cf. [9]), if

$$|\Gamma_k(Z)| \leq (k!/2)^{1+\gamma} H/\bar{\Delta}^{k-2}, \quad k=2, 3, \dots, \quad \bar{\Delta} > 0, \quad H > 0,$$

then for all $x \geq 0$,

$$\mathbf{P}(\pm Z \geq x) \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{H+(x/\bar{\Delta})^{1/(1+2\gamma)}}\right\}. \quad (1.6)$$

From this

$$\mathbf{P}(\pm Z \geq x) \leq \begin{cases} \exp\{-x^2/4H\}, & x \leq (H^{1+\gamma}\bar{\Delta})^{1/(1+2\gamma)}, \\ \exp\{-(x\bar{\Delta})^{1/(1+\gamma)}/4\}, & x \geq (H^{1+\gamma}\bar{\Delta})^{1/(1+2\gamma)}. \end{cases}$$

Under the condition (1.4),

$$\sup_x |\mathbf{P}(Z < x) - \Phi(x)| \leq 18/\Delta_\gamma, \quad (1.7)$$

and if in addition we know an upper bound for $|f_Z(u) - e^{-u^2/2}|$, $f_Z(u) = \mathbb{E}e^{uZ}$ outside the interval $[0, \Delta_\gamma]$, then the following relation holds [10]:

$$\sup_x |\mathbf{P}(Z < x) - \Phi(x)| \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \frac{3 \cdot 6^\gamma}{\Delta} + 100 \Delta_\gamma e^{-3\sqrt{\Delta_\gamma/2}} + \frac{3}{2T} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\Delta_\gamma}^T |f_Z(u) - e^{-u^2/2}| \frac{du}{u} \right\} \quad (1.8)$$

for any $T \geq \Delta_\gamma$.

In order not to complicate the proof too much, we give the theorems of large deviations here for $\mathbf{P}(Z_n < x)$ only for the case of a stationary sequence X_t , $t = 1, 2, \dots$. In the case of a general nonstationary sequence, it is better to express the estimates of Theorems 5 and 6 for $\Gamma_k(Z_n)$ in terms of $\Lambda_n^{k-2}(\dots) L_{kn}$ instead of $\Lambda_n^{k-2}(\dots) n \max_{1 \leq t \leq n} \mathbb{E}|X_t|^k/B_n^k$, where $L_{kn} = \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_t|^k/B_n^k$.

For this it is necessary to express the sum S_n in terms of new consolidated summands and to study the behavior of B_n^2 . This will be done in a subsequent paper, published in Lietuvos Matematikos Rinkiny. Estimates for $\Gamma_k(S_n)$ in terms of the conditional moments $\mathbb{E}(|X_t|^k | \mathcal{F}_t^{-1})$ will also be given.

Thus, in the following theorems we consider a stationary sequence X_t with $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$, $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 = 1$, $B_n^2 = \mathbb{E}S_n^2 \geq \sigma_0^2 n$. We set $Z_n = S_n/B_n$.

THEOREM 7. 1) if $|X_1| \leq C$, with probability 1, $\alpha(s, t) \leq K_1 e^{-b_1(t-s)}$, then

$$|\Gamma_k(Z_n)| \leq (k!)^2 \frac{8C^2 K}{b_1 \sigma_0^2} e^{1+b_1} \left(\frac{8Ce}{b_1 B_n} \right)^{k-2},$$

$k > 2$, $K = \max(1, K_1)$, i.e., for $Z = Z_n$ the large deviation relations (1.5) and (1.6) hold as well as the estimates (1.7) and (1.8) for

$$\gamma = 1, \quad \Delta_\gamma = c_\gamma (B_n/H_0)^{1/3},$$

where

$$H_0 = \frac{8eC}{b_1} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{8KC^2}{b_1 \sigma_0^2} e^{1+b_1} \right\},$$

$$\bar{\Delta} = \frac{B_n b_1}{8eC}, \quad H = \frac{32KC^2}{\sigma_0^2 b_1} e^{1+b_1};$$

2) if $\exists a_1 > 0$, $C_1 \geq 1$: $\mathbb{E}e^{a_1 |X_1|} \leq C_1$, $\alpha(s, t) \leq K_1 e^{-b_1(t-s)}$, then

$$|\Gamma_k(Z_n)| \leq (k!)^3 \frac{96 \sqrt{K} C_1^2}{a_1^2 b_1 \sigma_0^2} e^{1+b_1/2} \left(\frac{48eC_1}{a_1 b_1 B_n} \right)^{k-2},$$

$k > 2$, $K = \max(1, K_1)$, i.e., for $Z = Z_n$ the large deviation relations (1.5) and (1.6) as well as the estimates (1.7) and (1.8) for

$$\gamma = 2, \quad \Delta_\gamma = c_\gamma (B_n/H_0)^{1/5},$$

where

$$H_0 = \frac{48eC_1}{a_1 b_1} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{96 \sqrt{K} C_1^2}{a_1^2 b_1 \sigma_0^2} e^{1+b_1/2} \right\},$$

$$\bar{\Delta} = \frac{a_1 b_1 B_n}{48eC_1}, \quad H = \frac{768 \sqrt{K} C_1^2}{a_1^2 b_1 \sigma_0^2} e^{1+b_1/2};$$

3) if $\exists a_1 > 0$, $C_1 \geq 1$: $\mathbb{E}e^{a_1 |X_1|} \leq C_1$, $\psi(s, t) \leq K_3 e^{-b_3(t-s)}$, then

$$|\Gamma_k(Z_n)| \leq (k!)^2 \frac{2KC_1^2}{a_1^2 b_3 \sigma_0^2} e^{1+b_3} \left(\frac{4eKC_1}{a_1 b_3 B_n} \right)^{k-2},$$

$k > 2$, $K = \max(1, K_3)$, i.e., for $Z = Z_n$ the large deviation relations (1.5) and (1.6) hold as well as the estimates (1.7) and (1.8) for

$$\gamma = 1, \quad \Delta_\gamma = c_\gamma (B_n/H_0)^{1/3},$$

where

$$H_0 = \frac{4eKC_1}{a_1 b_3} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{2KC_1^2}{a_1^2 b_3 \sigma_0^2} e^{1+b_1} \right\},$$

$$\bar{\Delta} = \frac{a_1 b_3 B_n}{4eKC_1}, \quad H = \frac{8KC_1^2}{a_1^2 b_3 \sigma_0^2} e^{1+b_1}.$$

THEOREM 8. Let the random variables X_t be connected in a Markov chain ξ_t ; 1) if $|X_1| \leq C$ with probability 1, $\varphi(s, t) \leq e^{-b_1(t-s)}$, then

$$|\Gamma_k(Z_n)| \leq k! \frac{8(1+b_2)C^2}{b_2 \sigma_0^2} \left(\frac{8(1+b_2)C}{b_2 B_n} \right)^{k-2},$$

$k > 2$, i.e., for $Z = Z_n$ the large deviation relations (1.5) and (1.6) hold as well as the estimates (1.7) and (1.8) for

$$\gamma = 0, \quad \Delta_\gamma = c_\gamma B_n / H_0,$$

where

$$H_0 = \frac{8(1+b_2)C}{b_2} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{8(1+b_2)C^2}{b_2 \sigma_0^2} \right\},$$

$$\bar{\Delta} = \frac{b_2 B_n}{8(1+b_2)C}, \quad H = \frac{16(1+b_2)C^2}{b_2 \sigma_0^2}$$

(cf. also [4]);

2) if $\exists a_1 > 0, C_1 \geq 1: E e^{a_1 |X_1|} \leq C_1, \varphi(s, t) \leq e^{-b_1(t-s)}$, then

$$|\Gamma_k(Z_n)| \leq (k!)^2 \frac{32(2+b_2)C_1^2}{a_1^2 b_2 \sigma_0^2} \left(\frac{16(2+b_2)C_1}{a_1 b_2 B_n} \right)^{k-2},$$

$k > 2$, i.e., for $Z = Z_n$ the large deviation relations (1.5) and (1.6) hold as well as the estimates (1.7) and (1.8) for

$$\gamma = 1, \quad \Delta_\gamma = c_\gamma (B_n / H_0)^{1/3},$$

where

$$H_0 = \frac{16(2+b_2)C_1}{a_1 b_2} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{32(2+b_2)C_1^2}{a_1^2 b_2 \sigma_0^2} \right\},$$

$$\bar{\Delta} = \frac{a_1 b_2 B_n}{16(2+b_2)C_1}, \quad H = \frac{128(2+b_2)C_1^2}{a_1^2 b_2 \sigma_0^2}$$

(cf. also [33, 34]);

3) if $\exists a_1 > 0, C_1 \geq 1: E e^{a_1 |X_1|} \leq C_1, \psi(s, t) \leq K_3 e^{-b_1(t-s)}$, then

$$|\Gamma_k(Z_n)| \leq k! \frac{16(1+b_3)KC_1^2}{a_1^2 b_3 \sigma_0^2} \left(\frac{16(1+b_3)KC_1}{a_1 b_3 B_n} \right)^{k-2},$$

$k > 2, K = \max(1, K_3)$, i.e., for $Z = Z_n$ the large deviation relations (1.5) and (1.6) hold as well as the estimates (1.7) and (1.8) for

$$\gamma = 0, \quad \Delta_\gamma = c_\gamma B_n / H_0,$$

where

$$H_0 = \frac{16(1+b_3)KC_1}{a_1 b_3} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{16(1+b_3)KC_1^2}{a_1^2 b_3 \sigma_0^2} \right\},$$

$$\bar{\Delta} = \frac{a_1 b_3 B_n}{16(1+b_3)KC_1}, \quad H = \frac{32(1+b_3)KC_1^2}{a_1^2 b_3 \sigma_0^2}.$$

THEOREM 9. Let the random variables X_t be m -dependent;

1) if $|X_1| \leq C$ with probability 1, then

$$|\Gamma_k(Z_n)| \leq k! \frac{16(m+1)C^2}{\sigma_0^2} \left(\frac{8C(m+1)}{B_n} \right)^{k-2},$$

$k > 2$, i.e., for $Z = Z_n$ the large deviation relations (1.5) and (1.6) hold as well as the estimates (1.7) and (1.8) for

$$\gamma = 0, \quad \Delta_\gamma = c_\gamma B_n / H_0,$$

where

$$H_0 = 8(m+1)C \max \left\{ 1, \frac{16(m+1)C^2}{\sigma_0^2} \right\},$$

$$\bar{\Delta} = \frac{B_n}{8(m+1)C}, \quad H = \frac{32(m+1)C^2}{\sigma_0^2};$$

2) if $\exists a_1 > 0, C_1 \geq 1: E e^{a_1 |X_{t_1}|} \leq C_1$, then

$$|\Gamma_k(Z_n)| \leq (k!)^2 \frac{96(m+1)C_1^2}{a_1^2 \sigma_0^2} \left(\frac{16(m+1)C_1}{a_1 B_n} \right)^{k-2},$$

$k > 2$, i.e., for $Z = Z_n$ the large deviation relations (1.5) and (1.6) hold as well as the estimates (1.7) and (1.8) for

$$\gamma = 1, \quad \Delta_\gamma = c_\gamma (B_n/H_0)^{1/3},$$

where

$$H_0 = \frac{16(m+1)C_1}{a_1} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{96(m+1)C_1^2}{a_1^2 \sigma_0^2} \right\},$$

$$\bar{\Delta} = \frac{a_1 B_n}{16(m+1)C_1}, \quad H = \frac{384(m+1)C_1^2}{a_1^2 \sigma_0^2}.$$

Some more partial results were published by the authors previously (cf. [3-7]), some results are cited in conference reports (cf. [11-21]) without proof. The papers of I. G. Zhurbenko [22-24], A. V. Bulinskii [25], N. M. Zuev [26, 27], P. M. Lappo [29], and of other authors are devoted to estimates of $\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})$.

Using a different approach, the authors of the present paper gave sharper estimates for $E X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_k}$, $\Gamma(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})$, and $\Gamma_k(S_n)$ with respect to $k!$, α , φ , ψ , n , and the moments $E|X_{t_k}|^k$ with numerical constants.

2. Notation

For the convenience of the reader and more clarity in the paper we use standard notation.

1. Constants. We shall denote positive constants by the letters C, K, a , and b with or without indices.

2. Sets (the definitions are taken from [32], Chap. I). Finite sets will be denoted by the letters $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}, I, J$, possibly in square brackets $[\]$, with or without indices, and it will be assumed that the sets below have the same structure throughout the entire paper:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_s\}, \quad a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_s,$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$$I = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\} | t_j \in \mathcal{N}\}, \quad t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_k,$$

$$J = \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}, \quad l_1 < \dots < l_r,$$

J is the support of the k -set I ,

$\{I_1, \dots, I_\nu\}$ — is a partition of the set I ,

$$I_p = \{t_1^{(p)}, \dots, t_{k_p}^{(p)}\}, \quad t_1^{(p)} \leq \dots \leq t_{k_p}^{(p)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \nu,$$

$$k_1 + \dots + k_\nu = k,$$

$\{J_1, \dots, J_\nu\}$ is the partition of the set J , corresponding to the partition $\{I_1, \dots, I_\nu\}$ of the set I , such that J_p is the support of the k_p -set I_p ,

$$J_p = \{l_1^{(p)}, \dots, l_{r_p}^{(p)}\}, \quad l_1^{(p)} < \dots < l_{r_p}^{(p)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \nu,$$

$$r_1 + \dots + r_\nu \geq r.$$

(m_1, \dots, m_r) is the vector of exponents of the primary specification of the set I , generated by the set J , $m_1 + \dots + m_r = k$,

$(m_1^{(p)}, \dots, m_{r_p}^{(p)})$ is the vector of exponents of primary specification of the set I_p , generated by the set J_p ,

$$m_1^{(p)} + \dots + m_{r_p}^{(p)} = k_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \nu,$$

$$[\mathcal{A}] = [\mathcal{A}]_I = \{t \in I | a_1 \leq t \leq a_s\}.$$

Obviously

$$[I] = [J] = I,$$

$$[I_p] = [J_p].$$

We introduce three more notations for sets

$$\mathcal{N}_u^v = \{u, u+1, \dots, v\}, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{N}, \quad u \leq v \leq n,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_u = \{u, u+1, \dots, n\}, \quad u \in \mathcal{N}, \quad u \leq n,$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{(i)} = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_i\}, \quad i \leq s.$$

The symbol $|\mathcal{A}|$ will, as usual, denote the number of elements of the set \mathcal{A} .

3. Sums. We shall denote the s -Cartesian power of the set \mathcal{A} by ${}_{(s)}\mathfrak{A}$.

$${}_{(s)}\mathfrak{A} = \underbrace{\mathcal{A} \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}}_{s \text{ times}}.$$

Indices are preserved upon passing from a set to its Cartesian power, for example,

$${}_{(s)}[\mathfrak{A}]^{(i)} = \underbrace{[\mathcal{A}]^{(i)} \times \dots \times [\mathcal{A}]^{(i)}}_{s \text{ times}},$$

$${}_{(s)}\mathfrak{N}_u^v = \underbrace{\mathcal{N}_u^v \times \dots \times \mathcal{N}_u^v}_{s \text{ times}}.$$

In accord with the notation introduced for Cartesian powers, we denote by

$$\sum_{a \in {}_{(s)}\mathfrak{A}} - \text{ is the sum over all } a = (a_1, \dots, a_s) \in {}_{(s)}\mathfrak{A},$$

$$\sum_{a \in {}_{(s)}\mathfrak{A}}^{(\leq)} - \text{ is the sum over all } a \in {}_{(s)}\mathfrak{A} \quad \text{such that} \quad a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_s,$$

$$\sum_{a \in {}_{(s)}\mathfrak{A}}^{(<)} - \text{ is the sum over all } a \in {}_{(s)}\mathfrak{A} \quad \text{such that} \quad a_1 < \dots < a_s.$$

Sometimes, instead of ${}_{(s)}\mathfrak{A}$ we shall simply write \mathfrak{A} , provided the number of coordinates of the vector a , which determines the value of the index (s) , is known.

If it will be convenient for us, as the notation for the domain of summation of the vector a we shall use the set \mathcal{B} ; in this case the summation will be over elements of the set \mathcal{B} with values from \mathcal{A} for each of them, and the value of the index (s) will be equal to the cardinality of the set \mathcal{B} ; \sum will denote the sum over all ν -block partitions $(I_1,$

$$\bigcup_{p=1}^{\nu} I_p = I$$

$\dots, I_\nu)$ of the set I .

Following [31], by a partition of the natural number k we mean any finite nonincreasing sequence of natural numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\nu$ such that $\sum_{p=1}^{\nu} \lambda_p = k$; we call the λ_p the parts of the partition $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\nu)$.

By a composition of the natural number k we mean any finite sequence of natural numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\nu$ such that $\sum_{p=1}^{\nu} \lambda_p = k$.

We denote by $p(k, \nu)$ the number of partitions of the number k into ν parts, and by $c(k, \nu)$ the number of compositions of the number k into ν parts. Then

$$c(k, \nu) = \binom{k-1}{\nu-1} = \frac{(k-1)!}{(\nu-1)!(k-\nu)!}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$p(k, \nu) \leq c(k, \nu),$$

$\sum_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\nu) \vdash k}$ is the sum over all partitions of the number k into ν parts,

$\sum_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\nu = k}$ is the sum over all compositions of the number k into ν parts.

We need some facts from the theory of partitions [30, 32].

By the Stirling number of the second kind $s(k, \nu)$ we mean the number of ways of partitioning a k -element set into ν nonempty subsets. In our standard notation this definition can be written in one of the following ways:

$$s(k, \nu) = |\{ \{I_1, \dots, I_\nu\} \}|, \quad (2.2)$$

$$s(k, \nu) = \sum_{\bigcup_{p=1}^{\nu} I_p = I} 1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$s(k, \nu) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_\nu = k} \frac{k!}{k_1! \dots k_\nu! \nu!}. \quad (2.4)$$

The following part of the paper contains the proofs of the results. It is divided into sections. The numbering of formulas and also of auxiliary assertions supplementary to the basic results and their corollaries is done as follows: we indicate the number of the section and the number of the formula (assertion) with respect to the beginning of the section.

We shall refer to the basic results analogously, i.e., write, for example, "Theorem 3.1.b" instead of "part b of Assertion 1 of Theorem 3," etc.

3. Decomposition of the Mixed Semiinvariant with Respect to Centered Moments

Following [4, 5], we define a function $N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_\nu)$ with nonnegative integral values, on the set of all ν -block partitions (I_1, \dots, I_ν) of the set I . Its explicit form is given by (11) of [5]. Here we give an equivalent representation.

Let $\{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_\mu\}$ be a system of subsets of the set I .

We shall say that $\{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_\mu\}$ covers $t \in I$ if

$$\{q : t \in \mathcal{A}_q \setminus \{t\}, 1 \leq q \leq \mu\} \neq \emptyset. \quad (3.1)$$

We call the number

$$n_t(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_\mu) = |\{q : t \in \mathcal{A}_q \setminus \{t\}, 1 \leq q \leq \mu\}|$$

the maximal covering number of the point t by the system $\{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_\mu\}$.

We set

$$N_1(I) = 1, \\ N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_\nu) = \prod_{j=2}^{\nu} n_{I_j^{(p)}}(I_1, \dots, I_\nu), \quad 2 \leq \nu \leq k. \quad (3.2)$$

Following [22, 23], we make correspond to the set I and to each block I_p of a partition (I_1, \dots, I_ν) the vectors of exponents of primary specification $\mu = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and $\mu^{(p)} = (\mu_1^{(p)}, \dots, \mu_r^{(p)})$ with respect to the set J . Obviously in $\mu^{(p)}$ there are exactly r_p nonzero components, equal to $m_1^{(p)}, \dots, m_{r_p}^{(p)}$ and located in $\mu^{(p)}$ at those places at which $l_1^{(p)}, \dots, l_{r_p}^{(p)}$ are situated among the numbers l_1, \dots, l_r .

In our notation

$$t_{\min}(\mu^{(p)}) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq r} \{j : \mu_j^{(p)} \neq 0\}, \\ t_{\max}(\mu^{(p)}) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \{j : \mu_j^{(p)} \neq 0\}.$$

The "reflexivity" property of a sequence of vectors $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(v)}: \mu^{(1)} + \dots + \mu^{(v)} = \mu$ (cf. [22, 23]) is defined by the inequalities

$$t_{\max}(\mu^{(p)}) \geq t_{\min}(\mu^{(p+1)}), \quad 1 \leq p < v,$$

$$t_{\max}(\mu^{(v)}) \geq t_{\min}(\mu^{(1)}).$$

The concept of "indecomposability" is introduced in [1]. It turns out that the vectors of an "indecomposable" sequence $\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(v)}$ such that $\lambda^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^{(v)} = \lambda$, as well as $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(v)}$, are the vectors of corresponding exponents of primary specification of the sets I_1, \dots, I_v with respect to J , and one has the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \{ I_1, \dots, I_v \} \mid N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_v) > 0 \} = \\ & = \{ \{ I_1, \dots, I_v \} \mid \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(v)} \text{ is "reflective"} \} \supset \\ & \supset \{ \{ I_1, \dots, I_v \} \mid \lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(v)} \text{ is "indecomposable"} \}. \end{aligned}$$

The mixed semiinvariant can be decomposed with respect to moments in the following ways:

$$\Gamma(X_{I_1}, \dots, X_{I_k}) = \sum_{\nu=1}^k (-1)^{\nu-1} (\nu-1)! \sum_{\substack{\nu \\ \bigcup_{p=1}^{\nu} I_p = I}} \prod_{p=1}^{\nu} \mathbf{E} X_{I_p}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\Gamma(X_{I_1}, \dots, X_{I_k}) = \sum_{\nu=1}^k (-1)^{\nu-1} \sum_{\substack{\nu \\ \bigcup_{p=1}^{\nu} I_p = I}} N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_\nu) \prod_{p=1}^{\nu} \widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{I_p}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Gamma(X_{I_1}, \dots, X_{I_k}) = \sum_{\nu=1}^k (-1)^{\nu-1} \sum_{\substack{\nu \\ \bigcup_{p=1}^{\nu} I_p = I}} N_\nu^{(2)}(I_1, \dots, I_\nu) \prod_{p=1}^{\nu} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{(2)} X_{I_p}, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\mathbf{E} X_{I_p} = \mathbf{E} X_{I_1^{(p)}} \dots X_{I_{k_p}^{(p)}} = \mathbf{E} X_{I_1^{(p)}}^{m_1^{(p)}} \dots X_{I_{r_p}^{(p)}}^{m_{r_p}^{(p)}},$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{I_p} = \mathbf{E} X_{I_1^{(p)}} X_{I_2^{(p)}} \dots X_{I_{k_p-1}^{(p)}} \widehat{X}_{I_{k_p}^{(p)}},$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{(2)} X_{I_p} = \mathbf{E} X_{I_1^{(p)}}^{m_1^{(p)}} X_{I_2^{(p)}}^{m_2^{(p)}} \dots X_{I_{r_p-1}^{(p)}}^{m_{r_p-1}^{(p)}} \widehat{X}_{I_{r_p}^{(p)}}^{m_{r_p}^{(p)}},$$

$$\widehat{\xi} = \xi - \mathbf{E} \xi.$$

(3.3) is proved in [1]; (3.4) in [4, 5], although the idea of decomposition with respect to centered moments is already realized in [3] (cf. Lemma 6). (3.5) is a modification of a formula of [22, 23]. Since the kernels of the maps N_ν and $N_\nu^{(2)}$ coincide, passage from the method of centered $\widehat{\mathbf{E}} X_{I_p}$ to $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}^{(2)} X_{I_p}$ only replaces N_ν by $N_\nu^{(2)}$.

We shall use (3.4), since the number $N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_\nu)$ has a number of good properties, one of which is the estimate

$$N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_\nu) \leq (\nu-1)!,$$

and another is proved below.

LEMMA 3.1.

$$\sum_{\substack{\nu \\ \bigcup_{p=1}^{\nu} I_p = I}} N_\nu(I_1, \dots, I_\nu) = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-\nu+j}{k-\nu} (\nu-j-1)! s(k, \nu-j). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Direct comparison of the right sides of (3.3) and (3.4) leads to the relations

$$s(k, \nu) = \frac{1}{(\nu-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \binom{k-\nu+j}{k-\nu} N(k, \nu-j), \quad 1 \leq \nu \leq k, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$N(k, \nu) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \\ \cup_{p=1}^{\nu} I_p = I}} N_{\nu}(I_1, \dots, I_{\nu}).$$

We note that $N(k, \nu)$ is the number of terms of the form $\prod_{p=1}^{\nu} \widehat{E} X_{I_p}$, which appear in the decomposition of the mixed seminvariant by (3.4).

For example, for $k = 6$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0!s(6, 1) &= \binom{5}{5} N(6, 1), \\ 1!s(6, 2) &= \binom{5}{4} N(6, 1) + \binom{4}{4} N(6, 2), \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ 5!s(6, 6) &= \binom{5}{0} N(6, 1) + \binom{4}{0} N(6, 2) + \dots + \binom{0}{0} N(6, 6). \end{aligned}$$

From this,

$$\begin{aligned} N(6, 1) &= 1, \quad N(6, 2) = 26, \quad N(6, 3) = 66, \\ N(6, 4) &= 26, \quad N(6, 5) = 1, \quad N(6, 6) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By substitution of (3.7) into (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} N(k, \nu) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-\nu+j}{k-\nu} (\nu-j-1)! s(k, \nu-j) = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} (-1)^j \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-j-1} \frac{(k-\nu+i+j)!}{(k-\nu)! i! j!} N(k, \nu-i-j) = \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{\substack{i+j=\alpha \\ i, j \geq 0}} \frac{(k-\nu+\alpha)!}{(k-\nu)! i! j!} (-1)^j N(k, \nu-\alpha) = N(k, \nu) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\nu-1} \frac{(k-\nu+\alpha)!}{(k-\nu)! \alpha!} N(k, \nu-\alpha) \sum_{\substack{i+j=\alpha \\ i, j \geq 0}} (-1)^j \frac{\alpha!}{i! j!}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{\substack{i+j=\alpha \\ i, j \geq 0}} (-1)^j \frac{\alpha!}{i! j!} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \geq 1$, (3.6) is proved.

COROLLARIES of Lemma 3.1.

$$1) \quad N(k, \nu) = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} (-1)^{\nu-j+1} \binom{k-j-1}{k-\nu} j! s(k, j+1); \quad (3.8)$$

$$2) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^k N(k, \nu) = (k-1)! \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. (3.8) follows quickly from (3.7) if one changes the direction of summation from $\nu - 1$ to 0. (3.9) follows from the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=1}^k N(k, \nu) &= \sum_{\nu=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} (-1)^{\nu-j+1} \binom{k-j-1}{k-\nu} j! s(k, j+1) = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=j+1}^k (-1)^{\nu-j+1} \binom{k-j-1}{k-\nu} j! s(k, j+1) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-j-1} (-1)^{\nu} \binom{k-j-1}{k-j-\nu-1} j! s(k, j+1) = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} j! s(k, j+1) \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-j-1} (-1)^{\nu} \binom{k-j-1}{k-j-\nu-1} + (k-1)! s(k, k). \end{aligned}$$

Since $s(k, k) = 1$, and the left term of the last equation vanishes, (3.9) is proved.

LITERATURE CITED

1. V. P. Leonov and A. N. Shiryaev, "Technique of calculating semiinvariants," *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, 4, No. 3, 342-355 (1959).
2. A. N. Shiryaev, "Questions of the spectral theory of highest moments. I," *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, 5, No. 3, 293-313 (1960).
3. V. A. Statulyavichus, "Local limit theorems and asymptotic decompositions for inhomogeneous Markov chains," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, 1, No. 1-2, 231-314 (1961).
4. V. A. Statulyavichus, "Limit theorems for sums of random variables, connected in a Markov chain. I-III," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, 9, No. 2, 345-362 (1969); No. 3, 635-672 (1969); 10, No. 1, 161-169 (1970).
5. V. A. Statulyavichus, "Limit theorems for stochastic functions. I," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, 10, No. 3, 583-592 (1970).
6. V. A. Statulyavichus, "Theorems of large deviations for sums of independent random variables. I," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, 19, No. 2, 199-208 (1979).
7. D. A. Yakimavichyus, "Estimates of correlation functions of higher order for random variables connected in an inhomogeneous Markov chain," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, 27, No. 1, 195-202 (1987).
8. R. Rudzkis, L. Saulis, and V. Statulyavichus, "General lemma on probabilities of large deviations," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, 18, No. 2, 99-116 (1978).
9. R. Yu. Bentkus and R. A. Rudzkis, "Exponential estimates of the distribution of random variables," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, 20, No. 1, 15-30 (1980).
10. L. Saulis, "General lemmas on approximations by normal distribution," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, 21, No. 2, 175-189 (1981).
11. V. A. Statulevicius, "Limit theorems for dependent random variables under various regularity conditions," in: *Proc. Intern. Congress Math., Vancouver (1974)*, pp. 173-181.
12. V. A. Statulevicius, "Application of semiinvariants to asymptotic analysis of distributions of random processes," *Multivar. Anal.*, 4, 325-337 (1977).
13. V. A. Statulevicius, "On limit theorems for random processes," in: *Second Japan-USSR Symp. Probab. Theory, Kyoto (1972)*, pp. 138-152.
14. V. A. Statulevicius, "On limit theorems for dependent random variables," in: *Second Intern. Vilnius Conf. Probab. Theory and Math. Stat. Abstracts of Commun.*, Vol. 3 (1977), pp. 212-215.
15. V. A. Statulevicius, "On limit theorems for dependent random variables," in: *Third Intern. Vilnius Conf. Probab. Theory and Math. Stat. Abstracts of Commun.*, Vol. 3 (1981), pp. 320-323.
16. V. A. Statulevicius, "Limit theorems for random processes," in: *Proc. Second Symp. of the Southern Africa Math. Sci. Assoc., Univ. Zimbabwe (1983)*, pp. 84-106.
17. V. A. Statulevicius, "On some methods of the proof of limit theorems for the sums of dependent random variables," in: *Fourth Japan-USSR Symp. Probab. Theory Abstracts of Commun.*, Kyoto (1986), pp. 51-52.
18. V. A. Statulyavichus, "Improvements of limit theorems for weakly dependent random variables," in: *Proc. Sixth All-Union Conference on Probab. Theory and Math. Stat.* [in Russian], Vilnius (1962), pp. 113-119.
19. D. A. Yakimavichyus, "Estimates of centered moments of stochastic processes with mixing," in: *28th Conference of the Lithuanian Mathematical Society. Abstracts of Reports* [in Russian], Vilnius (1987), pp. 386-387.
20. D. A. Yakimavichyus, "Estimates of mixed semiinvariants of stochastic processes with mixing," in: *28th Conference of the Lithuanian Mathematical Society, Abstracts of Reports* [in Russian], Vilnius (1987), pp. 384-385.
21. V. A. Statulyavichus and D. A. Yakimavichyus, "Estimates of k -th-order semiinvariants of a sum of mixing random variables," in: *28th Conference of the Lithuanian Mathematical Society. Abstracts of Reports* [in Russian], Vilnius (1987), p. 322.
22. I. G. Zhurbenko, "Estimate of mixed semiinvariants for a class of stochastic processes," *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, 15, No. 3, 541-543 (1970).
23. I. G. Zhurbenko, "Strong estimates of mixed semiinvariants," *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, 13, No. 2, 293-308 (1972).

24. I. G. Zhurbenko and N. M. Zuev, "Estimates of higher spectral densities of stationary processes, satisfying Cramer's condition with mixing à la Rosenblatt," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, **15**, No. 1, 111-124 (1975).
25. A. V. Bulinskii, "Estimates of mixed semiinvariants and higher covariances of bounded random variables," *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, **19**, No. 4, 869-873 (1974).
26. N. M. Zuev, "Estimates of mixed semiinvariants of stochastic processes," *Mat. Zametki*, **13**, No. 4, 581-586 (1973).
27. N. M. Zuev, "Estimate of mixed semiinvariants of stochastic processes satisfying a mixing condition of almost Markov type," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, **21**, No. 2, 81-87 (1981).
28. M. Iosifescu, "Recent advances in mixing sequences of random variables," in: *Third Intern. Summer School on Probab. Theory and Math. Statist. Varna, 1978, Sofia (1980)*, pp. 111-138.
29. P. M. Lappo, "Estimates of mixed semiinvariants of stochastic processes with mixing and their applications," *Candidate's Dissertation*, Minsk (1985).
30. M. Abramovits and I. Stigan (eds.), *Handbook on Special Functions [in Russian]*, Nauka, Moscow (1979).
31. G. Andrews, *Theory of Partitions [Russian translation]*, Nauka, Moscow (1982).
32. V. N. Sachkov, *Introduction to Combinatorial Methods of Discrete Mathematics [in Russian]*, Nauka, Moscow (1982).
33. S. V. Nagaev, "Limit theorems for homogeneous Markov chains," *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, **2**, No. 4, 389-416 (1957).
34. S. V. Nagaev, "Improvement of limit theorems for homogeneous Markov chains," *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.*, **6**, No. 1, 67-86 (1961).

ADMISSIBILITY OF THE NONPARAMETRIC ANALOG OF THE PITMAN
ESTIMATE IN THE CASE OF ONE UNKNOWN PARAMETER

A. Tempelman and J. Jaura

UDC 519.24

In this paper we prove the admissibility of the estimate (1) of an element $g\theta\varphi$ of a Hilbert space H , where φ is a known element, g_t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a one-parameter group of affine isometries of the space H , and θ is an unknown number. Special cases of (1) are the Pitman estimates (1') (cf. Example 1) and (1'') of the function $\varphi(x-\theta)$, $\varphi \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ under an unknown translation θ of the argument (cf. Example 2). The method applied was developed in the proof of Theorem 3 of [8].

Let H be a separable real or complex Hilbert space, g_t , $t \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ be a continuous group of isometric affine transformations of the space H ; in other words: 1) $g_t g_{t_2} = g_{t+t_2}$, $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$; 2) for any $\varphi \in H$ the map $t \mapsto g_t \varphi$ is continuous; 3) $g_t \varphi = U_t \varphi + \psi(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi \in H$, where U_t are orthogonal (unitary in the complex case) operators, $\psi(t) \in H$; it is clear that g_t are isometric, i.e., $\|g_t \varphi - g_t \psi\| = \|\varphi - \psi\|$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi, \psi \in H$. Such groups can be of two types.

Type 1: "rotation" about a point $\psi_0 \in H$, i.e., $g_t \varphi = U_t(\varphi - \psi_0) + \psi_0$, where $U_t = U_t^1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a group of orthogonal (unitary) operators.

Type 2: $g_t \varphi = U_t \varphi + t\psi_0$, where $U_t = U_t^1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a group of orthogonal (unitary) operators, ψ_0 is a characteristic element of them (if U_1 is the identity transformation E , then $g_t \varphi = \varphi + t\psi_0$ is translation by the element $t\psi_0$ if $U_1 \neq E$, then $g_t \varphi$ is a helical motion along the axis $t\psi_0$, $-\infty < t < \infty$).

For transformations of type 1, $\|g_t \varphi - g_u \varphi\| \leq C = \|\varphi\| + 2\|\psi_0\|$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi \in H$. For transformations of type 2, $\|g_t \varphi - g_u \varphi\| \leq |t-u|$, $\varphi \in H$.

Institute of Mathematics and Cybernetics, Academy of Sciences of the Lithuanian SSR.
Translated from *Litovskii Matematicheskii Sbornik (Lietuvos Matematikos Rinkiny)*, Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 130-143, January-March, 1988. Original article submitted December 17, 1986.