

## ONCE MORE ON THE FUNCTION $\sigma_A(M)$

A. Laurinčikas

Let, as usual,

$$\sigma_a(m) = \sum_{d|m} d^a,$$

and  $\delta = \delta_T > 0$ ,  $\delta_T \rightarrow 0$ , as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . In studying the remainder term of the mean square of the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , near the critical line  $\sigma = 1/2$

$$\int_0^T |\zeta(1/2 + \delta_T + it)|^2 dt, \quad T \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1)$$

it is useful to have a formula with an explicit remainder term for the sum

$$D_0(x, \delta_T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m \leq x} \sigma_{-\delta_T}(m).$$

Here  $x$  can be dependent on  $T$ . The mean values of the function  $\sigma_a(m)$  have been studied by many authors. We note a rather complicated paper [1] where a bibliography on the identities for the sum

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \sigma_a(m)$$

also can be found. In the papers [2-5] formulas for the sums of coefficients are obtained for a wide class of Dirichlet series.

Assume  $q > 0$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1/2$ ,  $\Gamma(s)$  denotes the Euler gamma function and

$$D_{q-1}(x, \delta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{m \leq x} (x-m)^{q-1} \sigma_{-\delta}(m).$$

In view of the inaccessibility of [1] and further research applications of the quantity (1), in the present note we shall give a simple proof of the identity for the sum  $D_{q-1}(x, \delta)$  with  $q > 1/2 + \delta$  that is based on the ideas of the paper [7] (see also [8]). Note that in [1] only integer values of  $q$  are considered. We shall also obtain an approximate formula for the sum  $D_0(x, \delta)$ . Moreover, we shall suppose that  $x$  is a non-integer positive number because in applications it is unimportant whether  $x$  is an integer or not.

Let  $J_\nu(z)$ ,  $I_\nu(z)$ , and  $K_\nu(z)$  denote the Bessel functions, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\nu(z) &= \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (z/2)^{2m}}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(m+\nu+1)}, \\ I_\nu(z) &= \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2m}}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(m+\nu+1)}, \\ K_\nu(z) &= \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_{-\nu}(z) - I_\nu(z)}{\sin \nu\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we put

$$\begin{aligned} {}^+J_\nu(z, \delta) &= \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (z/2)^{2m}}{\Gamma(m+1+\delta)\Gamma(m+\nu+1)}, \\ {}^+I_\nu(z, \delta) &= \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2m}}{\Gamma(m+1+\delta)\Gamma(m+\nu+1)}, \\ \lambda_\nu(z, \delta) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-\nu-\delta} (I_{\nu-\delta}(z) + J_{\nu-\delta}(z)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-\nu} ({}^+I_\nu(z, \delta) - {}^+J_\nu(z, \delta)). \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 1.** For all non-integers  $x > 0$  and  $q > 1/2 + \delta$  the identity

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q-1}(x, \delta) &= -\frac{1}{2} \zeta(\delta) \frac{x^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{x^q \zeta(1+\delta)}{\Gamma(1+q)} + \frac{x^{q-\delta} \zeta(1-\delta) \Gamma(1-\delta)}{\Gamma(1+q-\delta)} \\ &\quad + \frac{x^q (2\pi)^{1+\delta}}{\sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_\delta(m) \lambda_q(4\pi\sqrt{mx}, \delta) \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}_1(z, \delta) &= J_{-1+\delta}(z) + J_{1-\delta}(z) - \frac{2}{\pi} \sin(\pi\delta) K_{1-\delta}(z), \\ \Delta_{-\delta}(x) &= D_0(x, \delta) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta(\delta) - x \zeta(1+\delta) - \frac{x^{1-\delta} \zeta(1-\delta)}{1-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1, when  $q = 1$ , we obtain the following assertion.

**COROLLARY.** For all non-integers  $x > 0$  the identity

$$\Delta_{-\delta}(x) = \frac{x(2\pi)^{1+\delta}}{2 \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_\delta(m) (2\pi\sqrt{mx})^{-1-\delta} \tilde{\lambda}_1(4\pi\sqrt{mx}, \delta)$$

is valid.

In some cases it is useful to have an approximate formula for  $D_0(x, \delta)$  with a finite sum of terms  $\tilde{\lambda}_1(4\pi\sqrt{mx}, \delta)$ . Denote by  $B$  a function (not always the same) which is bounded by a constant.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $N$  be a natural number. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{-\delta}(x) &= \frac{x(2\pi)^{1+\delta}}{\sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}} \sum_{m \leq N} \sigma_\delta(m) (2\pi\sqrt{mx})^{-1-\delta} \tilde{\lambda}_1(4\pi\sqrt{mx}, \delta) \\ &\quad + Bx^\varepsilon + Bx^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} + Bx^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} N^{\frac{\delta}{2}+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the estimate

$$\Delta_{-\delta}(x) = Bx^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{\delta}{6} + \varepsilon}$$

is valid.

In the proof of Theorem 2 we shall use the following two propositions.

LEMMA 1. Let  $c > 0$ ,  $q > 0$ , and the Dirichlet series

$$A(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m m^{-s}$$

absolutely converge for  $\sigma = c$ . Then for all non-integers  $x > 1$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(q+1)} \sum_{m \leq x} a_m (x-m)^q = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{A(s)\Gamma(s)x^{s+q}}{\Gamma(s+q+1)} ds.$$

The equation of Lemma 1 is a variant of the inversion formula for Dirichlet's series. Its proof can be found, for example, in [8, p. 487].

LEMMA 2. Let  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, uniformly in  $\sigma$  on any finite interval,

$$|\Gamma(\sigma + it)| = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|t|} |t|^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{2\pi} (1 + o(1)).$$

The assertion of Lemma 2 is a consequence of the well-known Stirling formula.

Let

$$f(s) = \frac{x^{s+q-1}}{\Gamma(s+\delta)\Gamma(s+q) \cos \frac{\pi s}{2} \cos \frac{\pi(s+\delta)}{2}}.$$

LEMMA 3. Let  $\delta < c < 1/2$ ,  $q > 3 - \delta$ , and  $x > 0$ . Then

$$J := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-c-i\infty}^{-c+i\infty} f(s) ds = \frac{2x^q}{\pi \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}} \lambda_q(2\sqrt{x}, \delta).$$

*Proof.* The function  $f(s)$  has simple poles at the points

$$s = 2k + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad s = 2k + 1 - \delta, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If  $z = s - (2k + 1) \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\cos \frac{\pi s}{2} = \cos \left( \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi z}{2} \right) = (-1)^{k-1} \sin \frac{\pi z}{2} = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\pi z}{2} (1 + o(1)). \quad (2)$$

Similarly, if  $w = s - (2k + 1 - \delta) \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\cos \frac{\pi(s+\delta)}{2} = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\pi w}{2} (1 + o(1)). \quad (3)$$

Let  $L_1 = \{s: \sigma = -c, |t| \leq R\}$ ,  $L_2 = \{s: s = -c + Re^{i\varphi}, |\varphi| \leq \pi/2\}$ . In virtue of Lemma 2, the Stirling formula, and the well-known properties of the function  $\cos s$ ,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{L_2} f(s) ds = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{-c-i\infty}^{-c+i\infty} f(s) ds = - \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{L_1 \cup L_2} f(s) ds.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \frac{\pi(2k+1+\delta)}{2} &= (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\sin \pi\delta}{2}, \\ \cos \frac{\pi(2k+1-\delta)}{2} &= (-1)^k \frac{\sin \pi\delta}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have, by the residue theorem and the Eqs. (2) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned} J &= - \frac{2}{\pi \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2k+q}}{\Gamma(2k+1+\delta)\Gamma(2k+q+1)} \\ &+ \frac{2}{\pi \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2k+q-\delta}}{\Gamma(2k+1)\Gamma(2k+q+1-\delta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{x})^{4k-2\delta}}{\Gamma(2k+1)\Gamma(2k+q+1-\delta)} &= \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{x})^{-q-\delta} (J_{q-\delta}(2\sqrt{x}) + I_{q-\delta}(2\sqrt{x})), \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{x})^{4q}}{\Gamma(2k+1+\delta)\Gamma(2k+q+1)} &= \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{x})^{-q-\delta} (+J_q(2\sqrt{x}) + +I_q(2\sqrt{x})), \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 follows from (4).

LEMMA 4. Let  $q > 3 - \delta$ . Then the assertion of Theorem 1 is valid.

Proof. It is well known that for  $\sigma > \max(1, \operatorname{Re} a + 1)$

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s-a) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_a(m)}{m^s}. \quad (5)$$

Consequently, it follows from Lemma 1 that for  $c > 1$

$$D_{q-1}(x, \delta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\zeta(s)\zeta(s+\delta)\Gamma(s)x^{s+q-1} ds}{\Gamma(s+q)}. \quad (6)$$

Let  $\delta < b < 1/2$ . Then, in virtue of Lemma 2, the estimate

$$\Gamma(s)\Gamma^{-1}(s+q) = B|t|^{-q} \quad (7)$$

is valid for sufficiently large  $|t|$  in the strip  $-b \leq \sigma \leq c$ . Furthermore, the following estimates for the Riemann zeta-function are known to hold for large  $|t|$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s) &= B|t|^{\frac{1}{2}+b} \log |t|, & -b \leq \sigma \leq 0, \\ \zeta(s) &= B|t|^{\frac{1}{2}} \log |t|, & 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1, \\ \zeta(s) &= B \log |t|, & \sigma > 1, \\ \zeta(s+\delta) &= B|t|^{\frac{1}{2}+b-\delta} \log |t|, & -b \leq \sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Since  $q > 3 - \delta$ , in virtue of estimates (7) and (8), the integrand in the formula (6) is estimated as  $B|t|^{-1-\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , in the strip  $-b \leq \sigma \leq c$  for large  $|t|$ . This integrand has simple poles at  $s = 0$ ,  $s = 1$ , and  $s = 1 - \delta$ . Taking into account the equality  $\zeta(0) = -1/2$ , we obtain, by the residue theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q-1}(x, \delta) &= -\frac{x^{q-1}\zeta(\delta)}{2\Gamma(q)} + \frac{x^q\zeta(1+\delta)}{\Gamma(1+\delta)} + \frac{x^{q-\delta}\zeta(1-\delta)\Gamma(1-\delta)}{\Gamma(1+q-\delta)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-b-i\infty}^{-b+i\infty} \zeta(s)\zeta(s+\delta) \frac{\Gamma(s)x^{s+q-1}}{\Gamma(s+q)} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

By means of the functional equation for the Riemann zeta-function

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{(2\pi)^s}{2\Gamma(s) \cos \frac{\pi s}{2}} \zeta(1-s) = \chi(s)\zeta(1-s) \tag{10}$$

and the formula (5), we find that for  $\sigma = -b$

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s+\delta) = \frac{(4\pi^2)^s (2\pi)^\delta}{4\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s+\delta) \cos \frac{\pi s}{2} \cos \frac{\pi(s+\delta)}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_\delta(m)}{m^{1-s}}.$$

Whence, and from Eq. (9), using Lemma 3, we obtain the assertion of the lemma.

In order to prove Theorem 1 it remains to prove Lemma 4 for smaller values of  $q$ . For this aim we shall need the asymptotics of the quantity  $\lambda_q(z, \delta)$ .

**LEMMA 5.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_q(z, \delta) &= z^{-q-\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2} \left( A_1(q, \delta) \cos \left( z - \frac{\pi(q-\delta)}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A_2(q, \delta) \cos \left( z + \frac{\pi(q-\delta)}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + A_3(q, \delta) \sin \left( z - \frac{\pi(q-\delta)}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + Bz^{-q-\delta-\frac{3}{2}} \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2} + Bz^{-4} \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2} \quad \text{for } z \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here the quantities  $A_j(q, \delta)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , are bounded for all  $z$  and  $q$ , and the constant bounding the factor  $B$  is independent of  $q$  on any finite part of the  $q$ -plane.

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be a sufficiently large natural number and

$$I(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-n+\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{-n+\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2s} ds}{\Gamma(s+1+\delta)\Gamma(s+q+1) \sin \pi s \sin \pi(s+\delta)}.$$

From Lemma 2 and the properties of the function  $\sin s$  it follows that the integral  $I(z)$  is the sum of the residues of the integrand at the points

$$\begin{aligned} s &= k, & k &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ s &= k - \delta, & k &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ s &= -k, & \begin{cases} k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 & \text{if } q \text{ is non-integer,} \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, q & \text{if } q \text{ is integer.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} I_q(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi \sin \pi \delta} \left( \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-q} + I_q(z, \delta) - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-q-\delta} I_{q-\delta}(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(z/2)^{-2k}}{\Gamma(-k+1+\delta)\Gamma(-k+q+1)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, the definition of the integral  $I(z)$  implies the estimate

$$I(z) = Bz^{-2n}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Whence, and from Eq. (11), using the supplementary formula for the  $\Gamma$ -function, we obtain

$$\left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-q} + I_q(z, \delta) - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-q-\delta} I_{q-\delta}(z) = \frac{\sin \pi \delta}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(z/2)^{-2k} (-1)^k \Gamma(k-\delta)}{\Gamma(-k+q+1)} + Bz^{-2n} \sin \pi \delta. \quad (12)$$

When  $q$  is a natural number we can obtain an exact formula for the left-hand side of (12). We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=q}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2k-2q}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k-q+1+\delta)} - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-q-\delta} I_{q-\delta}(z) \\ &= \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-q-\delta} I_{-q+\delta}(z) - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-q-\delta} I_{q-\delta}(z) - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-2q} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \frac{(z/2)^{2k}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k-q+1-\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when  $q = 1$ , the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1} + I_1(z, \delta) - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1-\delta} I_{1-\delta}(z) &= \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1-\delta} (I_{-1+\delta}(z) - I_{1-\delta}(z)) - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \sin \pi \delta K_{1-\delta}(z) \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1-\delta} - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

follows.

Now we shall consider the integral

$$J(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-n+\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{-n+\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2s-2} ds}{\Gamma(s+\delta)\Gamma(q+s) \sin \pi(q+s) \sin \pi s \sin \pi(s+\delta)}.$$

First, let the numbers  $q$  and  $q - \delta$  be non-integers. Then the integral  $J(z)$  is equal to the sum of the residues of the integrand at its simple poles

$$\begin{aligned} s &= k, & k &= 1, 2, \dots, \\ s &= k - \delta, & k &= 1, 2, \dots, \\ s &= k - q, & k &= 1, 2, \dots, \\ s &= -k, & k &= 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Reasoning as in the case of the integral  $I(z)$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{\pi \sin \pi q \sin \pi \delta} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q} J_q(z, \delta) + \frac{1}{\pi \sin \pi \delta \sin \pi(q - \delta)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q-\delta} J_{q-\delta}(z) \\ & - \frac{1}{\pi \sin \pi q \sin \pi(q - \delta)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q-\delta} J_{-q+\delta}(z) \\ & + \frac{1}{\pi \sin \pi \delta \sin \pi q} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z/2)^{2k-2} (-1)^k}{\Gamma(-k + \delta) \Gamma(-k + q)} = Bz^{-2n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q} J_q(z, \delta) - \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q-\delta} J_{q-\delta}(z) \\ & = \left(\frac{\sin \pi q}{\sin \pi(q - \delta)} - 1\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q-\delta} J_{q-\delta}(z) - \frac{\sin \pi \delta}{\sin \pi(q - \delta)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q-\delta} J_{-q+\delta}(z) \\ & + \frac{\sin \pi \delta}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(z/2)^{-2k} \Gamma(k - \delta)}{\Gamma(-k + q + 1)} + \frac{B \sin \pi \delta |\sin \pi q|}{z^{2n+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

It is easy to see that Eq. (14) also remains true when  $q$  is an integer.

Let  $m$  be an integer. Below we shall need the Bessel function  $Y_m(z)$  that is defined as

$$Y_m(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\partial J_\nu(z)}{\partial \nu} - (-1)^m \frac{\partial J_{-\nu}(z)}{\partial \nu} \right) \Big|_{\nu=m}$$

If  $q - \delta$  is an integer, then taking into account continuity and using L'Hospital's rule, we deduce from Eq. (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q} J_q(z, \delta) - \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q-\delta} J_{q-\delta}(z) \\ & = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q-\delta} (\cos \pi \delta - 1) J_{q-\delta}(z) + \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-q-\delta} Y_{q-\delta}(z) \\ & + \frac{\sin \pi \delta}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(z/2)^{-2k} \Gamma(k - \delta)}{\Gamma(-k + q + 1)} + \frac{B \sin \pi \delta |\sin \pi q|}{z^{2n+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It is well known (see [9]) that as  $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} J_\nu(z) &= \frac{C_1(\nu)}{\sqrt{z}} \cos \left( z - \frac{\pi \nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + Bz^{-3/2}, \\ J_{-\nu}(z) &= \frac{C_2(\nu)}{\sqrt{z}} \cos \left( z + \frac{\pi \nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + Bz^{-3/2}, \\ Y_\nu(z) &= \frac{C_3(\nu)}{\sqrt{z}} \sin \left( z - \frac{\pi \nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + Bz^{-3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $C_1(\nu)$ ,  $C_2(\nu)$ , and  $C_3(\nu)$  are bounded for all values of  $z$  and  $\nu$ , and a constant, bounding the factor  $B$ , is independent of  $\nu$  on any finite region of the  $\nu$ -plane.

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $q > 3/2 + \delta$ . Then the assertion of Theorem 1 is valid.*

*Proof.* If  $q > 3/2 + \delta$ , it follows from Lemma 5 that the series in the formula for  $D_{q-1}(x, \delta)$  converges absolutely and uniformly with respect to  $x$  on any closed interval which does not contain 0. Moreover, for a fixed  $x$ , the convergence is uniform with respect to  $q$  on any finite region of the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} q \geq 3/2 + \delta + \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consequently, both sides of the equation of Theorem 1 are analytic functions of  $q$  in such a half-plane. Thus, the assertion of the lemma follows from Lemma 4 by analytic continuation.

LEMMA 7. Let  $0 < \sigma_0 \leq \sigma < 2$ . Then for all  $y > 1$

$$\zeta(\sigma) = \sum_{m \leq y} \frac{1}{m^\sigma} + \frac{y^{1-\sigma}}{\sigma-1} + By^{-\sigma}.$$

A constant bounding the factor  $B$  depends only on  $\sigma_0$ .

The proof of the lemma can be found, for example, in [10].

LEMMA 8. Let  $x > 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \sigma_{-\delta}(m) = \frac{x^{1-\delta} \zeta(1-\delta)}{1-\delta} + x \zeta(1+\delta) + Bx^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq x} \sigma_{-\delta}(m) &= \sum_{mn \leq x} \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} m^{-\delta} = \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} \sum_{m \leq \frac{x}{n}} m^{-\delta} \\ &+ \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} m^{-\delta} \sum_{\sqrt{x} < n \leq x/m} 1 + \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} \sum_{\sqrt{x} < m \leq x/m} m^{-\delta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S_1 + S_2 + S_3. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Let  $[u]$  denote the fractional part of  $u$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= [\sqrt{x}] \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} m^{-\delta}, \\ S_2 &= \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} m^{-\delta} \left( \left[ \frac{x}{m} \right] - [\sqrt{x}] \right), \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, in virtue of Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 + S_2 &= \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} m^{-\delta} \left[ \frac{x}{m} \right] = x \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{m^{1+\delta}} + B \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} m^{-\delta} \\ &= x \left( \zeta(1+\delta) - \frac{(\sqrt{x})^{-\delta}}{\delta} + Bx^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}} \right) + Bx^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Since

$$\sum_{m \leq x} m^{-\delta} = \frac{x^{1-\delta}}{1-\delta} + A + Bx^{-\delta},$$

where  $A$  is some constant, we obtain, using Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} \left( \left( \frac{x}{n} \right)^{1-\delta} \frac{1}{1-\delta} - (\sqrt{x})^{1-\delta} \frac{1}{1-\delta} + Bx^{-\delta} n^\delta + Bx^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{x^{1-\delta}}{1-\delta} \zeta(1-\delta) + \frac{x^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}}}{\delta} + Bx^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Whence, and from (16) and (17), the assertion of the lemma follows easily.

LEMMA 9. We have

$$\lambda'_\nu(z, \delta) = -\nu \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1} \lambda_\nu(z, \delta) + \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1} \lambda_{\nu-1}(z, \delta).$$



*Proof.* It is known [9] that

$$J'_\nu(z) = J_{\nu-1}(z) - \frac{\nu}{2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-1} J_\nu(z), \quad (18)$$

$$I'_\nu(z) = I_{\nu-1}(z) - \frac{\nu}{2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-1} J_\nu(z). \quad (19)$$

In a similar manner we find also that

$$+J'_\nu(z, \delta) = +J_{\nu-1}(z, \delta) - \frac{\nu}{2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-1} +J_\nu(z, \delta), \quad (20)$$

$$+I'_\nu(z, \delta) = +I_{\nu-1}(z, \delta) - \frac{\nu}{2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-1} +I_\nu(z, \delta). \quad (21)$$

From Eqs. (18)–(21) and from the definition of the quantity  $\lambda_\nu(z, \delta)$  we deduce the equality of the lemma.

LEMMA 10. We have

$$\lambda''_\nu(z, \delta) = \left(\frac{\nu}{2} + \nu^2\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-2} \lambda_\nu(z, \delta) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\nu\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-2} \lambda_{\nu-1}(z, \delta) + \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-2} \lambda_{\nu-2}(z, \delta).$$

Lemma 10 follows from Lemma 9.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} r_0(x) &= \Delta_{-\delta}(x), \\ r_1(x) &= \int_0^x r_0(t) dt = \sum_{m \leq x} (x-m) \sigma_{-\delta}(m) \\ &\quad - \frac{x^2}{2} \zeta(1+\delta) - \frac{x^{2-\delta} \zeta(1-\delta)}{(2-\delta)(1-\delta)} + \frac{x}{2} \zeta(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

If  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $M < N$  are natural numbers and  $f(x)$  is a function having a continuous second derivative in  $[M, N]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=M+1}^N f(m) \sigma_{-\delta}(m) &= \int_M^N f(t) dD_0(t, \delta) \\ &= \int_M^N f(t) dr_0(t) + \int_M^N f(t) (\zeta(1+\delta) + t^{-\delta} \zeta(1-\delta)) dt \\ &= f(t)r_0(t) \Big|_M^N - f'(t)r_1(t) \Big|_M^N + \int_M^N f''(t)r_1(t) dt \\ &\quad + \int_M^N f(t) (\zeta(1+\delta) + t^{-\delta} \zeta(1-\delta)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Since  $0 < \delta < 1/2$ , the estimate

$$r_0(x) = Bx^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} \quad (23)$$

follows from Lemma 8 for large  $x$ . Applying Lemma 6 with  $q = 2$  and Lemma 5, we find that for sufficiently large  $x$

$$r_1(x) = Bx^{\frac{3-\delta}{4}}. \quad (24)$$

Now let  $f(t) = t^\delta \lambda_q(4\pi\sqrt{xt}, \delta)$ . Then in virtue of the estimates (23) and (24), and Lemmas 9 and 5 we have, for large  $M$  and  $x \in [x_0, X_0]$ , where  $x_0$  and  $X_0$  are fixed positive numbers,

$$(f(t)r_0(t) - f'(t)r_1(t))|_M^N = BM^{-\frac{q}{2} + \frac{1}{4}} \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}, \quad (25)$$

$$\int_M^N f(t)(\zeta(1+\delta) + t^{-\delta}\zeta(1-\delta)) dt = BM^{-\frac{q}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{4}}. \quad (26)$$

In view of Lemma 6,

$$r_1(t) = \frac{t^2(2\pi)^{1+\delta}}{\sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_\delta(m) \lambda_2(4\pi\sqrt{mt}, \delta).$$

By Lemma 5 the series above converges absolutely and uniformly with respect to  $t$  on the interval  $[M, N]$ . Thus,

$$\int_M^N r_1(t) f''(t) dt = \frac{(2\pi)^{1+\delta}}{\sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_\delta(m) \int_M^N t^2 \lambda_2(4\pi\sqrt{mt}, \delta) dt. \quad (27)$$

By Lemmas 9, 10, and 5, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} f''(t) = & x^{-\frac{q}{2} - \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3}{4}} t^{-\frac{q}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{5}{4}} \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2} \left( C_1(t, x, q, \delta) \times \cos \left( 4\pi\sqrt{tx} - \frac{\pi(q-2-\delta)}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right. \\ & + C_2(t, x, q, \delta) \cos \left( 4\pi\sqrt{tx} + \frac{\pi(q-2-\delta)}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \\ & \left. + C_3(t, x, q, \delta) \sin \left( 4\pi\sqrt{tx} - \frac{\pi(q-2-\delta)}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right) + Bx^{-\frac{q}{2} - \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{1}{4}} t^{-\frac{q}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{7}{4}} \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2} + Bx^{-2} t^{\delta-4} \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the quantities  $C_j(t, x, q, \delta)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , are bounded for all  $t$ ,  $x$ , and  $q$ , and a constant bounding the factor  $B$  is independent of  $q$  on any finite region of the  $q$ -plane. Since  $x$  is non-integer and  $0 < \delta < 1/2$ , whence and from (22), (25)–(27), by Lemma 5, we find that if  $q > 1/2 + \delta$ , then the series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_\delta(m) \lambda_q(4\pi\sqrt{mx}, \delta)$$

converges uniformly with respect to  $x \in [x_0, X_0]$ . Moreover, for fixed  $x$  this convergence is uniform with respect to  $q$  on any finite part of the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} q \geq 1/2 + \delta + \epsilon$ . Thus, by analytic continuation and Lemma 6, the theorem follows.

*Proof of Corollary.* If  $q = 1$ , then we find, by (14),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1} J_1(z, \delta) - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1-\delta} J_{1-\delta}(z) \\ & = - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1-\delta} J_{1-\delta}(z) - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1-\delta} J_{-1+\delta}(z) - \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Whence, and from the formula (13), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(z, \delta) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1-\delta} \left( J_{1-\delta}(z) + J_{-1+\delta}(z) - \frac{2}{\pi} \sin \pi\delta K_{1-\delta}(z) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{z}{2} \right)^{-1-\delta} \lambda_1(z, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it remains to use Theorem 1.

To prove Theorem 2 we shall need the following known lemma.

LEMMA 11. Let  $F(x)$  be a real differentiable function such that either  $F'(x) \geq m > 0$  or  $F'(x) \leq -m < 0$  for  $x \in [a, b]$ , and let  $G(x)$  be a monotonic function for  $x \in [a, b]$  such that  $|G(x)| \leq G$ . Then

$$\left| \int_a^b G(x) e^{iF(x)} dx \right| \leq \frac{4G}{m}.$$

Proof of the lemma can be found, for example, in [8].

Proof of Theorem 2. We put  $\sigma_x = 1 + 1/\log x$ . By Eq. (5) we find that for  $\sigma \rightarrow 1 + 0$

$$\zeta(\sigma)\zeta(\sigma + \delta) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{-\delta}(m)}{m^\sigma} = \frac{B}{(\sigma - 1)(\sigma + \delta - 1)} = \frac{B}{(\sigma - 1)^2}.$$

Whence and from the estimate

$$\sigma_{-\delta}(m) = Bm^\varepsilon,$$

which is valid for every fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , repeating the proof of the Perron formula (see [11, pp. 427-428]), we find that for  $U > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq x} \sigma_{-\delta}(m) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_x - iU}^{\sigma_x + iU} \zeta(s)\zeta(s + \delta) \frac{x^s}{s} ds \\ &+ \frac{Bx^{\sigma_x}}{U(\sigma_x - 1)^2} + \frac{Bx^{1+\varepsilon}}{U} + Bx^\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Let  $c > 0$ . We replace the contour in the integral of Eq. (28) by the new contour joining the points  $\sigma_x - iU$ ,  $-c - iU$ ,  $c + iU$ ,  $\sigma_x + iU$ . The union of the former and the latter contours embraces the poles of the integrand at  $s = 0$ ,  $s = 1$ , and  $s = 1 - \delta$ . Consequently, by the residue theorem, the formula (28), and the functional equation (10), taking  $g(s) = \chi(s)\chi(s + \delta)(x^s/s)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{-\delta}(x) &= Bx^\varepsilon + \frac{Bx^{1+\varepsilon}}{U} + BU^{2c-\delta}x^{-c} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-c-iU}^{-c+iU} \zeta(s)\zeta(s + \delta) \frac{x^s}{s} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_\delta(m) \int_{-c-iU}^{-c+iU} \frac{g(s) ds}{m^{1-s}} + Bx^\varepsilon + \frac{Bx^{1+\varepsilon}}{U} + BU^{2c-\delta}x^{-c}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Let  $N$  be a natural number,  $N = Bx^A$ ,  $A > 0$ , and  $U^2/4\pi^2 x = N + 1/2$ . Now we shall estimate the sum

$$Z_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m > N} \sigma_\delta(m) \int_{-c-iU}^{-c+iU} \frac{g(s) ds}{m^{1-s}}.$$

It is well known that for the function  $\chi(s)$  the following asymptotic formula holds:

$$\chi(s) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\left(t+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{B}{t}\right), \quad t \geq t_0 > 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(s)\chi(s + \delta) &= \exp \left\{ (2\sigma + \delta - 1) \log 2\pi - (2\sigma + \delta - 1) \log t + \frac{\pi i}{2} \right. \\ &\left. + 2it \log 2\pi - 2it \log t + 2it \right\} \left(1 + \frac{B}{t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\chi(s)\chi(s+\delta)x^{it}m^{it}s^{-1} = \exp\{(2\sigma+\delta-1)\log 2\pi + iF(t)\}t^{-2\sigma-\delta}\left(1+\frac{B}{t}\right),$$

where

$$F(t) = 2t \log 2\pi - 2t \log t + 2t + t \log x + t \log m.$$

We have

$$F'(t) = \log \frac{4\pi^2 x m}{t^2}.$$

Hence, applying Lemma 11, we find

$$\begin{aligned} Z_N &= Bx^{-c} \sum_{m>N} \frac{\sigma_\delta(m)}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} \left| \int_1^U t^{2c-\delta} e^{iF(t)} dt \right| \\ &\quad + Bx^{-c} N^{-c+\delta+\varepsilon} + Bx^{-c} U^{2c-\delta} N^{-c+\delta+\varepsilon} \\ &= Bx^{-c} U^{2c-\delta} \sum_{m>N} \frac{1}{m^{1+c-\delta-\varepsilon} \log\left(m/\left(N+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)} \\ &\quad + Bx^{-c} N^{-c+\delta+\varepsilon} + Bx^{-\delta/2} N^{\delta/2+\varepsilon} + Bx^{-c} U^{2c-\delta} N^{-c+\delta+\varepsilon} \\ &= Bx^{-c} N^{-c+\delta+\varepsilon} + Bx^{-\delta/2} N^{\delta/2+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Now from (29) and (30) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{-\delta}(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m \leq N} \frac{\sigma_\delta(m)}{m} \int_{-c-iU}^{-c+iU} m^s g(s) ds + Bx^\varepsilon + Bx^{1/2+\varepsilon} N^{-1/2} \\ &\quad + BN^{c-\delta/2} x^{-\delta/2} + Bx^{-c} N^{-c+\delta+\varepsilon} + Bx^{-\delta/2} N^{\delta/2+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

It is clear that

$$\int_{-c-iU}^{-c+iU} m^s g(s) ds = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} m^s g(s) ds - \left( \int_{iU}^{i\infty} + \int_{-i\infty}^{-iU} + \int_{-iU}^{-c-iU} + \int_{-c+iU}^{iU} \right) m^s g(s) ds. \tag{32}$$

Using Lemma 11 again, we find that the two first integrals in brackets of Eq. (32) are estimated as

$$BU^{-\delta} \left( \log \frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{m} \right)^{-1}.$$

Thus, the contribution of these two integrals to the right-hand side of Eq. (31) does not exceed the quantity

$$BU^{-\delta} \sum_{m \leq N} \frac{\sigma_\delta(m)}{m \log((N+1)/m)} = Bx^{-\delta/2} N^{\delta/2+\varepsilon}. \tag{33}$$

The contribution of the two other integrals in brackets of Eq. (32) is

$$B \sum_{m \leq N} \frac{\sigma_\delta(m)}{m} \int_{-c}^0 \frac{(mx)^\sigma}{U^{2\sigma+\delta}} d\sigma = Bx^{-\delta/2} N^{c-\delta/2} + Bx^{-\delta/2} N^{\delta/2+\varepsilon}. \tag{34}$$

Let  $b = 1 - 3\delta/2$ . Then

$$\int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} m^s g(s) ds = \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} m^s g(s) ds. \quad (35)$$

Indeed, the integrand is regular in the strip  $0 \leq \sigma \leq b$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{U \rightarrow \infty} \left( - \int_{iU}^{b+iU} + \int_{-iU}^{b-iU} \right) m^s g(s) ds = 0.$$

Thus, it follows from (31)–(35) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{-\delta}(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m \leq N} \frac{\sigma_\delta(m)}{m} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} m^s g(s) ds + Bx^\epsilon + Bx^{1/2+\epsilon} N^{-1/2} \\ &+ BN^{c-\delta/2} x^{-\delta/2} + Bx^{-c} N^{-c+\delta+\epsilon} + Bx^{-\delta/2} N^{\delta/2+\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Repeating the proof of Lemma 3, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} m^s g(s) ds &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} \frac{(2\pi)^\delta (4\pi^2 mx)^s ds}{4\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(s+\delta) \cos \frac{\pi s}{2} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(s+\delta)} \\ &= \frac{xm(2\pi)^{1+\delta}}{2 \sin \frac{\pi\delta}{2}} (2\pi\sqrt{mx})^{-1-\delta} \tilde{\lambda}_1(4\pi\sqrt{mx}, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Whence and from (36), choosing an appropriate number  $c$ , we obtain the assertion of the theorem.

*Proof of the corollary* follows from Theorem 2, taking  $N = [x^{1/3+\delta/3}]$ .

The author expresses his gratitude to Professor J. Kubilius for his support during the work reported in this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Oppenheim, Some identities in the theory of numbers, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **26**, (2), 295–350 (1927).
2. K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan, Hecke's functional equation and arithmetical identities, *Ann. Math.*, **74** (2), 1–23 (1961).
3. K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan, Functional equations with multiple gamma factors and the average order of arithmetical functions, *Ann. Math.*, **76**, (2), 93–136 (1962).
4. B. C. Berndt, Arithmetical identities and Hecke's functional equation, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, **16**, 221–226 (1969).
5. B. C. Berndt, Identities involving the coefficients of a class of Dirichlet series. I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **137**, 345–359 (1969).
6. H.-E. Richert, Über Dirichletreihen mit Funktionalgleichungen, *Acad. Serbe Sc. Publ. Inst. Math.*, **11**, 37–124 (1957).
7. A. L. Dixon and W. L. Ferrar, Lattice-point summation formulae, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)*, **2**, 31–54 (1931).
8. A. Ivič, *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1985).
9. G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1944).
10. A. A. Karatsuba, *Principles in Analytic Theory of Numbers* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1975).
11. K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg (1957).

(Translated by A. Laurinčikas)