# Phosphate uptake in Lemna gibba G1: energetics and kinetics

C.I. Ullrich-Eberius<sup>1</sup>, A. Novacky<sup>2</sup> and A.J.E. van Bel<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut für Botanik der Technischen Hochschule, Schnittspahnstrasse 3, D-6100 Darmstadt, Federal Republic of Germany

<sup>2</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, University of Missouri, 108 Waters Hall, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

<sup>3</sup> Botanisch Laboratorium, Rijksuniversiteit, Lange Nieuwstraat 106, NL-3512 PN Utrecht, The Netherlands

Abstract. Phosphate uptake was studied by determining [<sup>32</sup>P]phosphate influx and by measurements of the electrical membrane potential in duckweed (Lemna gibba L.). Phosphate-induced membrane depolarization  $(\Delta E_m)$  was controlled by the intracellular phosphate content, thus maximal  $\Delta E_m$  by 1 mM  $\hat{H}_2 PO_4^-$  was up to 133 mV after 15 d of phosphate starvation. The  $\Delta E_m$  was strongly dependent on the extracellular pH, with a sharp optimum at pH 5.7. It is suggested that phosphate uptake is energized by the electrochemical proton gradient, proceeding by a  $2H^+/H_2PO_4^$ cotransport mechanism. This is supported also by the fusicoccin stimulation of phosphate influx. Kinetics of phosphate influx and of  $\Delta E_m$ , which represent mere plasmalemma transport, are best described by two Michaelis-Menten terms without any linear components.

Key words: *Lemna* (phosphate uptake) – Membrane potential – Proton/phosphate cotransport.

### Introduction

The electrochemical proton gradient at the plasmalemma was recently proposed to be the primary driving force for phosphate uptake (Ullrich-Eberius et al. 1981). The mechanism was assumed to be a  $2H^+/H_2PO_4^-$  cotransport along the electrochemical proton gradient. In this paper further evidence is presented for  $H^+/H_2PO_4^-$  cotransport as the energy-providing mechanism by the correlation between phosphate influx and phosphate-induced membrane depolarization, pH dependence, dependence on the intracellular phosphate status and the effect of fusicoccin.

Proton/phosphate cotransport is localized most likely at the plasmalemma, not at the tonoplast. This is supported by several strong arguments. i) The maximum membrane depolarization, as analyzed here in phosphate-starved duckweed, is attained within 1 min or less, whereas accumulation in the vacuole under similar conditions becomes apparent only after 2 h (Bieleski and Ferguson 1983; Rebeille et al. 1983). ii) Proton/phosphate cotransport into the vacuole, driven by an electrochemical proton gradient, is not possible, since transport of protons through the tonoplast into the positively charged and acidic vacuole (Rona and Cornel 1979) by itself requires energy. iii) Moreover, in phosphate-starved cells the downhill electrochemical gradient for phosphate is directed towards the vacuole. An energy-free influx of phosphate into the vacuole would thus result in hyperpolarization of the membrane potential  $(E_m)$ and even reduce the  $E_m$  depolarization observed in duckweed instead of generating it. The kinetics of the phosphate-induced rapid  $E_m$  changes thus provides a useful tool for reanalyzing phosphate uptake kinetics as was done for glucose (Novacky et al. 1978; Ullrich-Eberius et al. 1978).

The kinetics of active phosphate uptake was investigated in order to determine whether the amount of energy required for transport processes and different uptake mechanisms, i.e. H<sup>+</sup>/solute cotransport or cation uniport, might be reasons for differences in the kinetic pattern obtained with differently charged substrates (Thellier and Ayadi 1968; Thellier et al. 1981; Grünsfelder and Simonis 1973; Epstein 1976; Nissen 1973; Nissen and Nissen 1983; Komor and Tanner 1975; Jung and Lüttge 1980; van Bel et al. 1982; Kochian and Lucas 1982).

Abbreviations:  $E_m$  = electrical membrane potential difference;  $\Delta E_m$  = phosphate-induced, maximal membrane depolarization; FW = fresh weight

### Material and methods

*Plant material. Lemna gibba* L., strain G1 (obtained from the *Lemna* collection of Professor R. Kandeler, Vienna, Austria) was grown axenically under short-day conditions ( $28^{\circ}$  C/8 h day to  $23^{\circ}$  C/16 h night) at an energy fluence rate of 25 W m<sup>-2</sup>. The nutrient solution contained as macronutrients, 3.96 mM KNO<sub>3</sub>, 5.47 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.22 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 1.47 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and 29 mM sucrose at an initial pH of 4.8.

For phosphate starvation, the plants were kept in a phosphate- and sucrose-free culture medium (-P) for 9 d under long-day conditions (28° C/16 h day to 23° C/8 h night) and for additional 3–5 d in the dark. Prior to the experiments the plants were transferred to standard experimental perfusion solution (Higinbotham et al. 1964) without phosphate for 15 h (1 mM KCl, 1 mM Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 0.25 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, pH 5.7).

Electrophysiological measurements. Lemna plants were mounted in a vertical 4-ml Plexiglas chamber. The chamber was constantly perfused with experimental solution at a rate of 16 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. The pH of the solution was adjusted with HCl and NaOH and, throughout the experiments, was simultaneously controlled in the perfusion chamber by a pH microelectrode (MI-410; Microelectrode Inc. Grenier Industrial Village, Londonderry, N.H., USA). Measurements of  $E_m$  were performed using Ag-AgCl electrodes in glass micropipettes (tip diameter less than 0.5 µm) containing 3 M KCl, inserted from the lateral side of the plant into the pallisade parenchyma. The  $E_m$  was recorded by an electrometer amplifier (Keithley 604, Munich, FRG) and a chart recorder. Further details were as described by Novacky et al. (1978). Experiments were performed in complete darkness at 25° C.

Phosphate uptake. For each sample, 100 mg fresh weight (FW) of plants were floated on 5 ml standard experimental perfusion solution (-P), buffered to pH 5.7 with 1 or 5 mM N-2-hydroxyethypiperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid (Hepes). The incubation was carried out in a photo-Warburg apparatus (VL 166; Braun, Melsungen, FRG), at 25° C. In light experiments, irradiance was 140 W m<sup>-2</sup>. Plants were preincubated in the experimental solution for 1 h in light or dark. The uptake was started by injection of <sup>32</sup>P-labeled (Radiochemical Centre, Amersham, UK) phosphate solution adjusted to pH 5.7. After an incubation time of 10 min the plants were washed five times with 10 ml experimental solution containing unlabeled phosphate for 15 min at 0° C. The <sup>32</sup>P content was determined by measuring the Cerenkov radiation in the solution after digesting the plant material with concentrated H2SO4, H2O2, and HClO4. Fusicoccin was used at a final concentration of 15 µM in 0.1% ethanol.

*Calculations.* The procedure of curve-fitting of the kinetic data of phosphate uptake and phosphate-induced membrane depolarization  $(\Delta E_m)$  to one or to the sum of two Michaelis-Menten terms was carried out by non-linear least-squares regression analysis as described by van Bel et al. (1982).

## Results

*Effect of phosphate starvation.* The rate of phosphate influx was strongly dependent on the intracellular phosphate content, which rapidly decreased in plants growing on a phosphate-deficient nutrient medium (Ullrich-Eberius et al. 1981). Figure 1 shows the strong effect of phosphate starva-



**Fig. 1.** Effect of phosphate starvation on phosphate-induced  $\Delta E_m$  in Lemna gibba. Measurements in darkness with 1 mM  $H_2PO_4^-$  at pH 5.7. Numbers at the traces denote recorded mV. Solid arrowheads indicate addition and open arrowheads removal of phosphate from the perfusion solution. Bars indicate standard deviation (n = 3 to 53 experiments)

tion on the phosphate-induced  $E_m$  depolarization, confirming a causal correlation of phosphate with phosphate uptake and with  $\Delta E_m$ .

pH. Since phosphate uptake rapidly decreased with decreasing extracellular H<sup>+</sup> concentration an investigation was initiated to determine if the phosphate-induced  $E_m$  depolarization would respond similarly. Figure 2 shows that the  $E_m$  between pH 5.6 and 8.3 was about -260 mV on average, but decreased below pH 5.6. In contrast to maximal  $\Delta E_m$  at pH 5.6 (115 mV), no depolarization by phosphate occurred at pH 8 (Figs. 2, 3). However, in the dark at pH 8 there was still [<sup>32</sup>P]phosphate influx, 34% of the maximum rate.

Dependence of  $\Delta E_m$  on  $E_m$ . The magnitude of the membrane potential in duckweed varies depending on the length of the dark period after the phosphate-starvation period under long-day conditions. Thus plants with different membrane potentials were available without applying inhibitors or changing K<sup>+</sup> concentrations (further details see Ullrich-Eberius et al. 1983). Figure 4 shows that



Fig. 2. pH-Dependence of phosphate-induced  $\Delta E_m$ . Measurements in darkness with 1 mM  $H_2PO_4^-$ . Plants were phosphatestarved for 15 d. Numbers at the traces denote recorded mV. *Solid arrowheads* indicate addition and *open arrowheads* removal of phosphate from the perfusion solution

the phosphate-induced  $\Delta E_m$  was strongly dependent on the magnitude of the  $E_m$ , increasing with higher  $E_m$ . Correspondingly phosphate uptake at low  $E_m$  was only 267 nmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW h<sup>-1</sup> but 1,403 nmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW h<sup>-1</sup> at high  $E_m$  (Ullrich-Eberius et al. 1981).

Effect of fusicoccin. Fusicoccin, known to stimulate the H<sup>+</sup>-extrusion pump at the plasmalemma and hence to hyperpolarize the membrane (Marrè 1979; Böcher et al. 1980), increased the rate of phosphate uptake in duckweed, in the light and in the dark, independent of phosphate starvation prior to the experiments (Table 1).

Kinetics of phosphate uptake. [32P]Phosphate uptake was studied after 9 to 12 d of phosphate starvation, i.e. when the increase in phosphate uptake by starvation was strongest. At external concentrations between 1  $\mu$ M and 1 mM, phosphate uptake showed discontinuous uptake isotherms in the light and in the dark (Fig. 5). Eadie-Hofstee plots revealed that two uptake functions might be involved (Fig. 5). Apparent transport constants were in the range of 6.1 to 8.4  $\mu$ M phosphate ( $K_{T_{T}}$ ) and 65 to 76  $\mu$ M ( $K_{T_{\Pi}}$ ), without differences between light and dark conditions (Table 2). Little dependence on the time of phosphate starvation was obvious between 9 and 12 d (Table 2). In contrast,  $V_{max}$ of uptake increased with the period of phosphate starvation and was strongly increased by light (Table 2).

Kinetics of  $\Delta E_m$ . The effect of increasing phosphate concentration on  $E_m$  was studied in plants starved for phosphate for 12 d. Figure 6 shows the increase



Fig. 3. pH-Dependence of  $\Delta E_m$ . Measurements in darkness with 1 mM H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>. Bars indicate standard deviations (n=4 to 7 experiments)



Fig. 4. Dependence of phosphate-induced (1 mM  $H_2PO_4^-$ )  $\Delta E_m$  on  $E_m$  in darkness at pH 5.7. r=0.96

**Table 1.** Stimulation of  $[^{32}P]H_2PO_4^-$  uptake by 15  $\mu$ M fusicoccin (FC) in 0.1% ethanol. Uptake period 10 min, 5 mM Hepes-NaOH at pH 5.7. In experiments with +P plants phosphate concentration was 0.1 mM, with -P plants 1 mM phosphate. -P plants were kept without phosphate for 12 d. Mean values, number of experiments in brackets,  $\pm$ SD

Plants		Control	FC	%
Phospha	ate uptake (n	mol $H_2PO_4^-$ g <sup>-1</sup>	$^{1}$ FW $\cdot$ h <sup>-1</sup> )	
+P	Light	164 (5) ±4.2	184 (7) ±5.8	112
+P	Dark	122 (5) ±4.2	179 (8) ±5.3	147
-P	Dark	1,270 (9) ±25.2	1,549 (15) ±65.2	122

in  $\Delta E_m$  with increasing phosphate concentration, with the typical fast depolarization, spontaneous repolarization and hyperpolarization still in the presence of phosphate, and the typical transient hyperpolarization after removal of phosphate.



**Fig. 5.** Concentration dependence of  $[^{32}P]H_2PO_4^-$  uptake in light (*L*) and darkness (*D*) at pH 5.7. Plants were phosphate-starved for 9, 10 or 12 d. *Left*, linear plot, *right*, Eadie-Hofstee plot. Mean values of single experiments are plotted

**Table 2.** Transport parameters for kinetics of phosphate uptake and phosphate-induced  $\Delta E_m$ , obtained from Eadie-Hofstee plots.  $K_T$  values are expressed in  $\mu$ M phosphate and  $V_{max}$  values in nmol H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup> FW·h<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta$ mV (membrane depolarization)

				$K_{T_1}$	$V_{max_{I}}$	$K_{T_{II}}$	$V_{max_{II}}$
Uptake	9 d	P	Light Dark	8.4 8.3	718 455	65 68	1,170 715
	10 d	P	Light Dark	6.1 6.2	900 680	68 71	1,530 1,060
	12 d	-P	Light Dark	7.9 7.9	1,120 900	74 76	1,850 1,375
Membrane depolarizatio	12 d	P	Dark	3.8	37	39	60

Plotting  $\Delta E_m$  against the extracellular concentration (Fig. 7) again shows a discontinuous dependence on the extracellular concentration (Fig. 7, insert). Both constants of half maximum depolarization were somewhat lower than those for <sup>32</sup>P influx with  $K_{T_1} = 3.8 \,\mu\text{M}$  and  $K_{T_{11}} = 39 \,\mu\text{M}$  (Table 2). The discrepancies between the kinetics of phosphate influx and  $\Delta E_m$  may be explained by the fact that  $\Delta E_m$  values reflect minimum H<sup>+</sup> influx values, because  $\Delta E_m$  is the sum of an H<sup>+</sup> influx and an H<sup>+</sup> efflux component.

Curve-fitting analysis. Additional iterative fitting of the kinetics of phosphate uptake and of phosphate-induced  $\Delta E_m$  to one Michaelis-Menten or the sum of two Michaelis-Menten terms confirmed



Fig. 6. Concentration dependent, phosphate-induced  $\Delta E_m$ . Measurements in the dark at pH 5.7. Solid arrowheads indicate addition of phosphate, open arrowheads removal of phosphate from the perfusion solution. Numbers at the traces denote recorded mV



Fig. 7. Concentration-dependent, phosphate-induced  $\Delta E_m$ , linear and Eadie-Hofstee plot. Bars indicate standard deviation of n=4 to 53 experiments

that both kinetics could better be described by two than by one Michaelis-Menten function (Table 3). The residual fault is lower in the case of two Michaelis-Menten terms and the number of patches is higher.

Differing values between those obtained by the graphical analysis in the Eadie-Hofstee plot and those by iterative fitting to two Michaelis-Menten functions are caused by the fact that the plots give parameters of two Michaelis-Menten functions in sequence and the fitting is based on the assumption of two mechanisms operating simultaneously or overlapping each other. The only clear result we can obtain from both kinetic-analysis methods is that the kinetics of both phosphate uptake and phosphate-induced  $\Delta E_m$  cannot be described by one single Michaelis-Menten function and that in the used concentration range no linear component appears.

Plants			One Michaelis-Menten term			Two Michaelis-Menten terms						
			K <sub>T</sub>	V <sub>max</sub>	rf	Р	$\overline{K_{T_1}}$	$V_{max_1}$	$K_{T_{11}}$	V <sub>max11</sub>	rf	Р
Uptal	ke											
9 d	P	L D	22 14	981 550	$2.45 \cdot 10^{-2} \\ 2.12 \cdot 10^{-2}$	3/18 3/17	5.8 0.26	526 265	250 170	762 458	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$ $2.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$	9/18 12/17
12 d	P	L D	12 9.1	1,358 1,001	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-2} \\ 2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	4/18 6/17	$\begin{array}{c} 10.0\\ 8.0\end{array}$	1,175 894	740 840	6,480 6,529	$\frac{1.5 \cdot 10^{-2}}{8.4 \cdot 10^{-3}}$	5/18 5/17
$\frac{\Delta E_m}{12 \text{ d}}$	P	D	10	50.4	$3.89 \cdot 10^{-2}$	5/11	1.1	14.4	55	45.7	$1.11 \cdot 10^{-2}$	9/11

**Table 3.** Transport parameters for kinetics of phosphate uptake and phosphate-induced  $\Delta E_m$ , calculated by iterative fitting to the functions:  $v = V_{max} \cdot \frac{S}{(K_T + S)}$  and  $v = V_{max_1} \cdot \frac{S}{(K_{T_1} + S)} + V_{max_{11}} \cdot \frac{S}{(K_{T_n} + S)}$ ;  $K_T$  values are expressed in  $\mu$ M phosphate, and  $V_{max}$  values in nmol H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> · g<sup>-1</sup> FW · h<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta$ mV ( $\Delta E_m$ ) (rf = residual fault, P = patches, L = light, D = dark)

# Discussion

Evidence for  $H^+$ /phosphate cotransport. From the present experiments it became guite obvious that phosphate-induced membrane depolarization is linked to phosphate uptake, which is controlled by the intracellular inorganic phosphate concentration (Ullrich-Eberius et al. 1981). Phosphate starvation induced an increase in phosphate uptake and simultaneously it increased the amplitude of  $\Delta E_m$  at the onset of phosphate transport (Fig. 1). If phosphate uptake were energized in a primary ATP-dependent uniport, the membrane would hyperpolarize as the result of an intracellular increase in negative charges. Assuming a phosphate/OH<sup>-</sup> countertransport or a neutral ATP-triggered  $KH_2PO_4$  uptake, no  $E_m$  change should be observed. Since, however,  $E_m$  is strongly depolarized at the onset of phosphate influx, by up to 133 mV (Fig. 2), we take this as strong evidence for the operation of an H<sup>+</sup>/phosphate-cotransport mechanism. Only the actively maintained portion of the  $E_m$  is transiently depolarized by phosphate, not the diffusion potential, which in duckweed is identical with the  $\hat{K}^+$  equilibrium potential  $(E_{K^+})$  (Ullrich-Eberius et al. 1983). Also in the absence of extracellular K<sup>+</sup>, in a solution of Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $E_m$  is depolarized by phosphate (Ullrich-Eberius et al. 1981), thus making a K<sup>+</sup>/phosphate cotransport improbable. In addition, Gibb's free energy ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) for K<sup>+</sup> influx along the electrochemical K<sup>+</sup> gradient would yield only 9.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (at an  $E_m$  of -220 mV), whereas the energy requirement for phosphate uptake under the standard culture conditions is at least 22.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

The energy requirement results from the phosphate distribution in non-starved and phosphatestarved *Lemna gibba* and *Spirodela*, according to Bieleski (1968), Bieleski and Ferguson (1983), and Ullrich-Eberius et al. (1981). In non-starved plants the total phosphate content amounts to 41 µmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW; 70% of it is inorganic phosphate ( $P_i$ ). Ten per cent of the  $P_i$  is estimated to be located in the cytosol. Assuming the cytoplasmic volume to be 10% of the cell volume, the cytosolic  $P_i$  concentration is about 28.7 mM. The concentration of the monovalent  $P_i$  species would be 2.2 mM at pH 8 or 11.5 mM at pH 7.4. At standard culture conditions with an extracellular  $P_i$  concentration of 1.47 mM at pH 4.8 the energy requirement for phosphate uptake ranges between 22.2 and 26.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, depending on the cytosolic pH.

In phosphate-starved plants the total phosphate content is 4  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> FW of which only 3% is  $P_i$ . Ninety per cent of all  $P_i$  is located in the cytosol, maintaining a cytosolic concentration of 0.083 mM H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> at pH 8 or 0.43 mM at pH 7.4. The energy requirement for phosphate uptake between 5  $\mu$ M and 1 mM extracellular phosphate would then range between 15.1 and 32.1 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

The assumption of H<sup>+</sup>/phosphate cotransport as the uptake mechanism is further supported by the strong dependence of  $\Delta E_m$  on the extracellular pH. Beyond pH 8 the  $E_m$  is no longer visibly affected by phosphate (Figs. 2, 3).

In an apparent contradiction, at pH 8 net phosphate uptake still proceeds with 34% of the maximum rate (Ullrich-Eberius et al. 1981). For thermodynamic reasons this influx cannot be explained by passive diffusional influx. It might be explained by the following consideration. In Fig. 4 it was shown that  $\Delta E_m$  was strongly dependent on the magnitude of  $E_m$ . But according to the second term of the Nernst equation for phosphate  $(E_p = RT \ln \frac{[P]_i}{[P]_o} + zF E_m)$  the energy requirement with

increasing  $E_m$  increases simultaneously with the energy available from the electrochemical proton gradient  $(\Delta \tilde{\mu} H^+)$ . Since  $\Delta \tilde{\mu} H^+$  is defined as:  $RT \ln \frac{[\mathrm{H}^+]_{\mathrm{i}}}{[\mathrm{H}^+]_{\mathrm{o}}} + zF E_m$ , only  $\Delta \mathrm{pH}$  must be the crucial determinant for the rate of phosphate uptake and hence for phosphate-induced  $\Delta E_m$ . As the experiments were performed at a constant extracellular pH of 5.7, simultaneously with the change in  $E_m$ the intracellular pH, i.e. the pH of the cytosol in the vicinity of the plasmalemma, must have changed. This must be caused by a change in carbohydrate availability after the plants had been transferred from the long-day culture to permanent dark culture. Similarly, a greater sensitivity to pH<sub>i</sub> or  $\Delta$ pH than to  $\Delta \tilde{\mu} H^+$  was found for  $H^+/$ phosphate cotransport in Saccharomyces (Borst-Pauwels and Peters 1977), for  $H^+$ /glucose cotransport in *Chlorella* (Komor et al. 1979), for  $H^+/Cl^$ cotransport in *Chara* (Sanders 1980), and for  $H^+/$ glucuronic acid cotransport in Rhodotorula (Niemietz and Höfer 1984). At pH 8, in the absence of any ⊿pH (Köhler 1982), the energy requirement for  $H^+$  extrusion is reduced to 20.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore, H<sup>+</sup> extrusion might exceed H<sup>+</sup>/phosphate influx, thereby making  $H^+$ /phosphate influx undetectable by mere  $E_m$  measurements. Nevertheless, H<sup>+</sup>/phosphate cotransport may occur even at pH 8 as a consequence of a local pH gradient in the vicinity of the carrier in the plasmalemma.

Similarly to the apparent, but not real, dependence of phosphate uptake on  $E_m$  the effect of fusicoccin may be explained. Fusicoccin enhances the H<sup>+</sup>-extrusion pump, resulting in increased  $E_m$  (by up to 150 mV in duckweed) and in increased  $\Delta pH$ (Marrè 1979; Böcher et al. 1980). Since an increased  $E_m$  would not stimulate anion uptake, it must be the increased  $\Delta pH$  which enhances phosphate uptake. The much more energy-requiring uptake of sulfate is strongly enhanced by fusicoccin even at pH 8 (Lass and Ullrich-Eberius 1984). This altogether is regarded as not being in contradiction to a H<sup>+</sup>/phosphate-cotransport mechanism.

Biphasic uptake kinetics. Since  $H^+/phosphate$  cotransport is most likely the mechanism for phosphate uptake, membrane potential changes, which are easily measured at the onset of phosphate influx, can be taken as a very rapid reflection of phosphate uptake.

Phosphate uptake was revealed to proceed biphasically with two saturating isotherms. Eadie-Hofstee transformation yielded two apparent transport constants of  $K_{T_{II}}=6$  to 8 µM and  $K_{T_{II}}$ 65 to 76 µM phosphate, without difference between light or dark or by the extent of phosphate starvation. The values of  $V_{max_1}$  were 0.455 to 1.20 and  $V_{max_n}$  values 0.715 to 1.85 µmol phosphate g<sup>-1</sup> FW h<sup>-1</sup>. The  $V_{max}$  values were higher in light and increased with the time of phosphate starvation (Table 2). Correspondingly, membrane depolarization was dependent on the extracellular phosphate concentration and could also be best described by two Michaelis-Menten functions without a linear component (Tables 2 and 3). Whether these two functions correspond to two mechanisms operating in sequence, as Nissen (1973) and Nissen and Nissen (1983) suggested or are overlapping mechanisms, as assumed by Borstlap (1981) and van Bel et al. (1982) cannot be decided by our experiments.

The absence of any linear component for phosphate uptake in *Lemna* is in agreement with the thermodynamic consideration. Uptake of the monovalent phosphate anion into starved duckweed would require energy up to 0.4 to 2.3 M extracellular phosphate, depending on the intracellular phosphate dissociation. A linear, energy-independent influx component can be expected only above this concentration. Such changes in kinetics at high extracellular phosphate concentration, explainable by the electrochemical phosphate potential, were indeed clearly shown in *Elodea* leaves (Grünsfelder and Simonis 1973).

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (C.U-E.) and by the National Science Foundation (A.N.). We thank Professor Milan Höfer for stimulating discussion and Sylvia Lenz for her valuable technical assistance. Fusicoccin was kindly provided by Montedison, Divisione Agricoltura, Centro Ricerche Antiparassitari, Milano.

#### References

- Bieleski, R.L. (1968) Effect of phosphorus deficiency on levels of phosphorus compounds in *Spirodela*. Plant Physiol. 43, 1309–1316
- Bieleski, R.L., Ferguson, I.B. (1983) Physiology and metabolism of phosphate and its compounds. In: Encyclopedia of plant physiology, N.S., vol. 15A: Inorganic plant nutrition, pp. 422–449, Läuchli, A., Bieleski, R.L., eds. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Böcher, M., Fischer, E., Ullrich-Eberius, C.I., Novacky, A. (1980) Effect of fusicoccin on the membrane potential, on the uptake of glucose and glycine, and on the ATP level in *Lemna gibba* G1. Plant Sci. Lett. 18, 215–220
- Borst-Pauwels, G.W.F.H., Peters, P.H.J. (1977) Effect of the medium pH and the cell pH upon the kinetical parameters of phosphate uptake by yeast. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 466, 488-495
- Borstlap, A.C. (1981) Invalidity of the multiphasic concept of ion absorption in plants. Plant Cell Environ. 4, 189–195
- Epstein, E. (1976) Kinetics of ion transport and the carrier concept. In: Encyclopedia of plant physiology, N.S., vol. 2B: Transport in plants. Tissues and organs, pp. 70–94,

Lüttge, U., Pitman, M.G., eds. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York

- Grünsfelder, M., Simonis, W. (1973) Aktive und inaktive Phosphataufnahme in Blattzellen von *Elodea densa* bei hohen Phosphat-Außenkonzentrationen. Planta 115, 173–186
- Higinbotham, N., Etherton, B., Foster, R.J. (1964) Effect of external K, NH<sub>4</sub>, Na, Ca, Mg, and H ions on the cell transmembrane electropotential of *Avena* coleoptiles. Plant Physiol. **38**, 196–203
- Jung, K.-D., Lüttge, U. (1980) Amino acid uptake by Lemna gibba by a mechanism with affinity to neutral L- and Damino acids. Planta 150, 230–235
- Kochian, L.V., Lucas, W. (1982) Potassium transport in corn roots. I. Resolution of kinetics into a saturable and linear component. Plant Physiol. 70, 1723–1731
- Köhler, K. (1982) Untersuchungen zum elektrogenen Membrantransport bei einer photoautotrophen Suspensionskultur von Chenopodium rubrum. Dissertation, Tübingen
- Komor, E., Schwab, W.G.W., Tanner W. (1979) The effect of intracellular pH on the rate of hexose uptake in *Chlorella*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 555, 524–530
- Komor, E., Tanner, W. (1975) Simulation of a high- and lowaffinity sugar-uptake system in *Chlorella* by a pH-dependent change in the  $K_m$  of the uptake system. Planta **123**, 195–198
- Lass, B., Ullrich-Eberius, C.I. (1984) Evidence for proton/sulfate cotransport and its kinetics in *Lemna gibba* G1. Planta 161, 53-60
- Marrè, E. (1979) Fusicoccin: a tool in plant physiology. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. **30**, 273–288
- Niemietz, C., Höfer, M. (1984) Transport of an anionic substrate by the H<sup>+</sup>/monosaccharide symport in *Rhodotorula* gracilis: only the protonated form of the carrier is catalytically active. J. Membr. Biol. (in press)
- Nissen, P. (1973) Multiphasic uptake in plants. I. Phosphate and sulfate. Physiol. Plant. 28, 304-316
- Nissen, P., Nissen, Ø. (1983) Validity of the multiphasic concept of ion absorption in plants. Physiol. Plant. **57**, 47–56
- Novacky, A., Ullrich-Eberius, C.I., Lüttge, U. (1978) Mem-

brane potential changes during transport of hexoses in Lemna gibba G1. Planta 138, 263-270

- Rebeille, F., Bligny, R., Martin, J.-P., Douce, R. (1983) Relationship between the cytoplasm and the vacuole phosphate pool in *Acer pseudoplatanus* cells. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 225, 143–148
- Rona, J.-P., Cornel D. (1979) Résistances électriques chez les cellules libres, les protoplastes et les vacuoles isolées d'Acer pseudoplatanus L. Physiol. Vég. 17, 1–11
- Sanders, D. (1980) The mechanism of Cl<sup>-</sup> transport at the plasma membrane of *Chara corallina* I. Cotransport with H<sup>+</sup>. J. Membr. Biol. **53**, 129–141
- Thellier, M., Ayadi, A. (1968) Interprétation électrocinétique de l'absorption du phosphate par la *Lemna minor*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Sér. D. **267**, 1839–1842
- Thellier, M., Ripoll, C., Demarty, M., Lassalles, J.-P. (1981) Thermodynamic model of ion transport: flux/force relationship and biological implications. In: Biophysics of membrane transport, pp. 31–57, Kuczera, J., Grygorczyk, C., Przestalski, S., eds. Publishing Dept. of the Agricultural University, Wroclaw, Poland
- Ullrich-Eberius, C.I., Novacky, A., Lüttge, U. (1978) Active hexose uptake in *Lemna gibba* G1. Planta **139**, 149–153
- Ullrich-Eberius, C.I., Novacky, A., Fischer, E., Lüttge, U. (1981) Relationship between energy-dependent phosphate uptake and the electrical membrane potential in *Lemna gibba* G1. Plant Physiol. **67**, 797–801
- Ullrich-Eberius, C.I., Novacky, A., Ball, E. (1983) Effect of cyanide in dark and light on the membrane potential and the ATP level of young and mature green tissue of higher plants. Plant Physiol. **72**, 7–15
- van Bel, A.J.E., Borstlap, A.C., van Pinxteren-Bazuine, A., Ammerlaan, A. (1982) Analysis of valine uptake by *Commelina* mesophyll cells in a biphasic active and a diffusional component. Planta 155, 335–341

Received 6 August; accepted 21 December 1983