# Antiplane shear problems of perfect and partially damaged matrix-inclusion systems

X. Zhang, Xian and N. Hasebe, Nagoya

**Summary:** Antiplane shear problem of an infinite medium containing a circular inclusion of different material is investigated in this article. Perfectly bonding between the matrix and the inclusion, as well as partial debonding in the form of a circumferential crack occurring at the interface of these two constituents, are considered. Using the complex variable method in conjunction with a semi-inversed technique, exact expressions for the stress, displacement and stress intensity factor in the problem are obtained for various external loading conditions, including that brought about by stress singularities. A number of numerical results of stress intensity factors for a partially damaged matrix-inclusion system are given, and a discussion is made on the general features of the antiplane shear problem of inhomogeneous matrix-inclusion systems.

#### Scherung von perfekten und teilweise beschädigten Matrix-Einschluß-Systemen außerhalb der Ebene

Übersicht: In dieser Abhandlung wird das Problem der Scherung außerhalb der Ebene für einen unendlichen Körper mit einem kreisförmigen Einschluß aus unterschiedlichem Material untersucht. Es wird die perfekte Verbindung zwischen der Matrix und dem Einschluß ebenso wie teilweise Ablösung in der Form eines Umkreisrisses an der Schnittfläche zwischen den beiden Bestandteilen betrachtet. Unter Verwendung der komplexen Variablenmethode in Verbindung mit einem Halbinversionsverfahren werden exakte Ausdrücke für Spannung, Verschiebung und Spannungsintensitätsfaktor für verschiedene äußere Belastungszustände, einschließlich dem Einfluß von Spannungsingularitäten, erhalten. Es werden numerische Ergebnisse für den Spannungsintensitätsfaktor für ein teilweise beschädigtes Matrix-Einschluß-System gegeben, und die allgemeine Form des Problems der Scherung außerhalb der Ebene für ein nicht homogenes Matrix-Einschluß-System wird diskutiert.

#### **1** Introduction

In recent years, composite materials have been finding an ever growing application in various branches of engineering. Being a necessity to the damage tolerance design, the evaluation of stresses and stress intensity factors in structures of composite materials has become a significant subject in fracture mechanics, to which many references have been dedicated. England [1] studied an arc crack around a circular elastic inclusion by the method of complex variables. Using dislocation density as Green's functions, Erdogan et al. [2] solved the problem of an infinite medium containing a circular inclusion and a neighboring, arbitrary oriented crack. A more complicated situation, with a debonding around and a crack occurring from a circular rigid inclusion, was treated by Hasebe et al. [3] as a mixed boundary value problem under uniform tension. Recently, Luo and Chen discussed an interface crack in a three-phrase composite constitutive model by the use of the method of complex variables [4].

It should be pointed out that the references mentioned above are concerned with plane strain or plane stress problems. As for the problem of antiplane shear, apart from a few papers treating of straight cracks between different materials ([5] for instance), no reference seems available for circumferential interface cracks, a fact manifesting that the problem has not been treated sufficiently and conclusively.

This article deals with the antiplane shear problem of an infinite medium containing a circular inclusion of different material. Its content is now outlined as follows. Both perfect bonding and partial debonding in the form of a circumferential crack between the matrix and the inclusion are considered.

The external forces consist of uniform antiplane shear at infinity and stress singularities. Using the method of complex variables in conjunction with the semi-inversed method, exact expressions for the stresses, displacements and stress intensity factors in the problem are developed. Finally, numerical values of stress intensity factors are presented as well as a discussion on the features of the antiplane shear problem in the matrix-inclusion system.

#### **2** Problem and basic formulation

In this article we deal with the antiplane shear problem of an infinite matrix containing a circular inclusion of different material. The region in the z plane occupied by the matrix is denoted with  $S_1$  and that by the inclusion with  $S_2$ . The two regions are separated via the interface L, which stands for r = 1 (Fig. 1). It is well known that the stresses and the displacement in an antiplane shear problem are related by [6]

$$\tau_{xt_j} = G_j \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x}, \quad \tau_{yt_j} = G_j \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial y}, \quad (1 \text{ a, b})$$

where the displacement  $w_i$  should satisfy the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 w_j}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_j}{\partial y^2} = 0,$$
(2)

where  $j = 1, 2, G_j$  stands for the shear modulus of the material. The subscripts 1 and 2 are used to distinguish similar quantities of the matrix and the inclusion.

To solve the problem we introduce two analytic functions  $\Phi_j(z)$ , j = 1, 2 and write [6]

$$\tau_{xt_j} - i\tau_{yt_j} = \Phi_j(z)$$

$$(3)$$

$$\phi_j(z) + \overline{\phi_j(z)} \qquad d\phi_j(z)$$

$$w_j = \frac{\varphi_j(z) + \varphi_j(z)}{2G_j}, \quad \Phi_j(z) = \frac{a\varphi_j(z)}{dz}.$$
(4a, b)

Also, it is ready to show that

$$\tau_{rt_j} - i\tau_{\theta t_j} = e^{i\theta} \Phi_j(z) \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2.$$
(5)

In this way, by a basic property of an analytic function [7], equation (2) is automatically satisfied. Therefore, the unknown functions  $\Phi_j(z)$  should be determined from the boundary condition of the problem at inifinity and the continuity conditions of traction and displacement at the interface of the two constituents, r = 1. In the case of perfect bonding between the matrix and the inclusion, the continuity conditions take the following form:



Fig. 1. The matrix and inclusion

The function  $\Phi_1(z)$  is originally defined in region  $S_1$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$  in  $S_2$  (Fig. 1). Now they are extended into their counterpart regions,  $S_2$  and  $S_1$ , respectively, after the following formulas [8]:

$$\Phi_1(z) = \overline{\Phi_1} \left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \quad \text{for } z \text{ in } S_2, \tag{7}$$

$$\Phi_2(z) = \overline{\Phi_2}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \quad \text{for } z \text{ in } S_1.$$
(8)

The regions of existence for  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$  now cover the whole z plane except possibly the interface r = 1. In addition, the following properties hold for  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$ :

$$\overline{\Phi_j^+(t)} = \Phi_j^-(t), \quad \overline{\Phi_j^-(t)} = \Phi_j^+(t) \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2$$
 (9 a, b)

where t denotes a point on the interface r = 1, and the superscripts + and - are used to indicate that the affixed function values are approached within region  $S_2$  and region  $S_1$ , respectively. With use of (7, 8, 9a, b), the continuity conditions (6a, b) at the interface can be written as

$$t^{2}\Phi_{2}^{+}(t) - \Phi_{1}^{+}(t) = t^{2}\Phi_{1}^{-}(t) - \Phi_{2}^{-}(t),$$
(10)

$$G_1 t^2 \Phi_2^{+}(t) + G_2 \Phi_1^{+}(t) = G_2 t^2 \Phi_1^{-}(t) + G_1 \Phi_2^{-}(t).$$
(11)

In (11), we have replaced equivalently (6b) with

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w_1}{\mathrm{d}\theta} = \frac{\mathrm{d}w_2}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \quad \text{for} \quad r = 1.$$

-

Now the solution to the problem is reduced to the finding of two complex functions,  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$ , analytic in the whole z plane sectioned by the interface r = 1, and satisfying (10, 11) as well as the boundary conditions at infinity.

# 3 Solutions for a perfectly bonded inclusion

#### 3.1 Uniform shear in the x direction at infinity

The boundary conditions for this particular case are following

$$\tau_{xt} = \tau_0, \quad \tau_{yt} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \to \infty. \tag{12a, b}$$

To solve the problem it is noticed that since there is no singularity of stress or displacement in the whole z plane,  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$  should be holomorphic in both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Besides,

$$\Phi_1(z) \to \tau_0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \to \infty,$$
(13)

because of the boundary conditions (12a, b). On account of the above observations, we assume

in 
$$S_2 = z^2 \Phi_2(z) - \Phi_1(z) = \tau_0 z^2 + f_1(z),$$
 (14)

in 
$$S_1 = z^2 \Phi_1(z) - \Phi_2(z) = \tau_0 z^2 + f_2(z),$$
 (15)

in 
$$S_2 = G_1 z^2 \Phi_2(z) + G_2 \Phi_1(z) = G_2 \tau_0 z^2 + f_3(z),$$
 (16)

in 
$$S_1 = G_2 z^2 \Phi_1(z) + G_1 \Phi_2(z) = G_2 \tau_0 z^2 + f_4(z),$$
 (17)

where  $f_1(z)$ ,  $f_3(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$ ,  $f_4(z)$  stand for holomorphic functions in  $S_2$  and  $S_1$ , respectively. To satisfy (10),  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  should equal to each other on the interface r = 1. As a result, both of them must be a constant [7]:

$$f_1(z) = f_2(z) = \beta = \text{const.}$$
(18)

A similar analysis with respect to  $f_3(z)$ ,  $f_4(z)$  and (11) leads to

$$f_3(z) = f_4(z) = \gamma = \text{const.}$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

Substituting (18, 19) into (14-17) and solving for the latter, we obtain:

in 
$$S_2$$
  $\Phi_2(z) = \frac{2G_2\tau_0 z^2 + G_2\beta + \gamma}{(G_1 + G_2)z^2}, \quad \Phi_1(z) = \frac{(G_2 - G_1)\tau_0 z^2 - G_1\beta + \gamma}{G_1 + G_2},$  (20 a, b)

in 
$$S_1 \qquad \Phi_1(z) = \frac{(G_1 + G_2)\tau_0 z^2 + G_1 \beta + \gamma}{(G_1 + G_2)z^2}, \qquad \Phi_2(z) = \frac{-G_2 \beta + \gamma}{G_1 + G_2}.$$
 (21 a, b)

Constants  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in (20a, b, 21a, b) can be determined from the following conditions: the function  $\Phi_2(z)$  should be holomorphic in  $S_2$ , thus,

$$G_2\beta + \gamma = 0 \tag{22}$$

and due to (20b), (21a) and (7),

$$\beta = -\Phi_1(0) = -\tau_0 \tag{23}$$

Substituting (22) and (23) into (20a) and (21a), the final solution turns out to be

in 
$$S_2 \qquad \Phi_2(z) = \frac{2G_2\tau_0}{G_1 + G_2},$$
 (24)

in 
$$S_1 = \tau_0 + \frac{G_2 - G_1}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{\tau_0}{z^2}$$
 (25)

Furthermore, using (4a, b), the displacements in the matrix and the inclusion can be determined from (24, 25)

in 
$$S_2 w_2 = \frac{2\tau_0}{G_1 + G_2} r \cos \theta + C_0,$$
 (26)

in 
$$S_1 w_1 = \frac{\tau_0}{G_1} \left[ r \cos \theta - \frac{G_2 - G_1}{G_2 + G_1} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \right] + C_0$$
 (27)

with  $C_0$  being a constant signifying the rigid body displacement of the matrix-inclusion system.

# 3.2 Uniform shear in the y direction at infinity

This particular case can be treated in a way similar to that described above. The final result is

in 
$$S_2 \qquad \Phi_2(z) = -\frac{2G_2\tau_1 i}{G_1 + G_2},$$
 (28)

in 
$$S_1 \Phi_1(z) = -i\tau_1 \left[ 1 - \frac{G_2 - G_1}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2} \right],$$
 (29)

where  $\tau_1$  denotes the uniform shear stress at infinity. And,

in 
$$S_2$$
  $w_2 = \frac{2\tau_1}{G_1 + G_2} r \sin \theta + C_2,$  (30)

in 
$$S_1 w_1 = \frac{\tau_1}{G_1} \left[ r \sin \theta - \frac{G_2 - G_1}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \right] + C_2.$$
 (31)

#### 3.3 Singular solutions

First, we introduce the following basic singular solution in an antiplane shear problem for a homogeneous medium:

$$\Phi_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}.$$
(32)

The stress and displacement associated with this singular solution are

$$\tau_{rt_0} = \frac{1}{r}, \quad \tau_{\theta t_0} = 0 \tag{33 a, b}$$

and,

$$w_0 = \frac{1}{G} \log r + C_0.$$
(34)

The solution indicates that there is a source of radial antiplane shear stress of unit intensity at point z = 0. This is another basic form of external loading under which the matrix-inclusion system can statically be deformed in antiplane shear.

Now consider the case, when a source of intensity A(A: a real number) is located at point z = a in the matrix. Obviously, in this case  $\Phi_2(z)$  is holomorphic in both  $S_2$  and  $S_1$ , whereas  $\Phi_1(z)$  has a simple pole at z = a so that

in 
$$S_1 \qquad \Phi_1(z) = \frac{A}{z-a} +$$
a holomorphic function, (35)

in 
$$S_2 \qquad \Phi_1(z) = \frac{Az}{1 - \bar{a}z} +$$
a holomorphic function. (36)

On ground of the above considerations, it is put forward that

in 
$$S_2 = z^2 \Phi_2(z) - \Phi_1(z) = a_0 z^2 + a_1 z + a_2 + \frac{A z^2}{z - a} + \frac{A z}{\bar{a} z - 1} + \alpha_1(z),$$
 (37)

in 
$$S_1 = z^2 \Phi_1(z) - \Phi_2(z) = a_0 z^2 + a_1 z + a_2 + \frac{A z^2}{z - a} + \frac{A z}{\bar{a} z - 1} + \alpha_2(z).$$
 (38)

Similarly,

in 
$$S_2$$
  $G_1 z^2 \Phi_2(z) + G_2 \Phi_1(z) = G_2 \left( a_0 z^2 + a_1 z + \frac{A z^2}{z - a} + \frac{m A z}{\bar{a} z - 1} \right) + a_3 + \alpha_3(z),$  (39)

in 
$$S_1 = G_2 z^2 \Phi_1(z) + G_1 \Phi_2(z) = G_2 \left( a_0 z^2 + a_1 z + \frac{A z^2}{z - a} + \frac{m A z}{\bar{a} z - 1} \right) + a_3 + \alpha_4(z),$$
 (40)

where  $\alpha_i(z)$ , i = 1, 2, 3, 4 are holomorphic functions in  $S_2$  or  $S_1$  and *m* is a constant to be fixed. Following an argument as that applied to  $f_i(z)$ , i = 1, 2, 3, 4 in (14-17), we have

$$\alpha_1(z) = \alpha_2(z) = \delta = a \text{ const.}, \quad \alpha_3(z) = \alpha_4(z) = \eta = a \text{ const.}$$
 (41 a, b)

Since these constants can be regarded as having been included in the constants  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  in (37-38) and (39-40), respectively, they can be taken as zero. Solving for (37-40) thus simplified, we obtain

in 
$$S_2 = \Phi_2(z) = \frac{1}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2} \left\{ 2G_2 \left( a_0 z^2 + a_1 z + \frac{Az^2}{z - a} \right) + G_2 a_2 + a_3 + \frac{(G_2 + m)Az}{\bar{a}z - 1} \right\}.$$
 (42)

Since  $\Phi_2(z)$  is holomorphic at z = 0, the following equations must be true:

$$a_1 = 0, \quad a_3 + G_2 a_2 = 0, \quad G_2 + m = 0.$$
 (43 a, b, c)

Archive of Applied Mechanics 63 (1993)

Substituting the above equations into (42) results:

in 
$$S_2 \qquad \Phi_2(z) = \frac{2G_2}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2} \left\{ a_0 z^2 + \frac{Az^2}{z - a} \right\}.$$
 (44)

On the other hand, for the matrix we have

in 
$$S_1 = \Phi_1(z) = \frac{1}{(G_1 + G_2) z^2} \left\{ (G_1 + G_2) \left( a_0 z^2 + \frac{A z^2}{z - a} \right) + a_2 G_1 + a_3 + \frac{(G_1 - G_2) A z}{\bar{a} z - 1} \right\}.$$
 (45)

Using the boundary condition at finity

$$\Phi_1(z) \to 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \to \infty$$
(46)

and noticing that (37) implies

$$a_2 = -\Phi_1(0), \tag{47}$$

all the constants in (44, 45) can be fixed as

$$a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0, \quad m = -G_2.$$
 (48 a, b)

Combining the above results, the final expressions for  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$  are as follows:

in 
$$S_2 \qquad \Phi_2(z) = \frac{2AG_2}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{1}{z - a},$$
 (49)

in 
$$S_1 = \Phi_1(z) = \frac{A}{z-a} + \frac{G_1 - G_2}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{A}{z(\bar{a}z - 1)},$$
 (50)

in 
$$S_2$$
  $w_2 = \frac{A}{G_1 + G_2} \log \left[ R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos (\phi - \theta) \right] + C,$  (51)

in 
$$S_1$$
  $w_1 = \frac{A}{G_1 + G_2} \log \left[ R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos (\phi - \theta) \right] - \frac{A(G_1 - G_2)}{G_1(G_1 + G_2)} \ln r + C,$  (52)

where R and  $\phi$  stand for the modulus and the argument of the complex number a ( $a = Re^{i\phi}$ ), respectively.

In case the singularity lies within the inclusion, that is, when |a| < 1, the problem can be treated similarly. The result is:

in 
$$S_2 \qquad \Phi_2(z) = \frac{A}{z-a} + \frac{A\bar{a}(G_2 - G_1)}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{1}{\bar{a}z - 1},$$
 (53)

in 
$$S_1 \qquad \Phi_1(z) = \frac{A(G_2 - G_1)}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2G_1A}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{1}{z - a},$$
 (54)

in 
$$S_2$$
  $w_2 = \frac{A}{G_2(G_1 + G_2)} \left[ (G_2 - G_1) \log r + G_2 \log \left\{ R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos (\phi - \theta) \right\} \right] + C,$  (55)

in 
$$S_1$$
  $w_1 = \frac{A}{G_1(G_1 + G_2)} \left[ (G_2 - G_1) \log r + G_1 \log \left\{ R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos (\phi - \theta) \right\} \right] + C.$  (56)

Another interesting case occurs when the singularity is located precisely on the interface, *i.e.*,  $a = a_0$ ,  $|a_0| = 1$ . Solution for this case can be obtained by letting  $a \rightarrow a_0$  either in (49-52) or in (53-56). Both approaches give the same result. It is,

in 
$$S_2 \qquad \Phi_2(z) = \frac{2AG_2}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{1}{z - a_0},$$
 (57)

in 
$$S_1 = \frac{A}{z - a_0} + \frac{G_1 - G_2}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{A}{z(\bar{a}_0 z - 1)},$$
 (58)

and

in 
$$S_2$$
  $w_2 = \frac{A}{G_1 + G_2} \log \left[1 + r^2 - 2r \cos (\phi - \theta)\right] + C,$  (59)

in 
$$S_1$$
  $w_1 = \frac{A}{G_1} \left\{ \frac{G_2 - G_1}{G_2 + G_1} \log r + \frac{G_1}{G_1 + G_2} \log \left[ 1 + r^2 - 2r \cos (\phi - \theta) \right] \right\} + C.$  (60)

#### 4 Solutions for a partially debonded inclusion

Suppose there is a partial debonding on the interface between the matrix and the inclusion in the form of a circumferential crack on r = 1 for  $|\theta| \le \theta_0$ , Fig. 2, the following antiplane shear problems for this partially damaged system will be considered.

### 4.1 Uniform shear in the x direction at infinity

In this case, the boundary conditions at infinity (12 a, b) still applies. The continuity conditions at the interface r = 1, *i.e.*, (6 a, b) remain valid for  $|\theta| \ge \theta_0$ . For  $|\theta| < \theta_0$  they should be replaced by traction free condition on the crack surfaces,

$$|\theta| < \theta_0, \quad \tau_{rt} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad r = 1. \tag{61}$$

To solve the problem, we start from the observation that as can be seen from (61), continuity of stress at the interface is still valid over the whole interface r = 1. Therefore, the function  $\tau_{rt_2} - \tau_{rt_1}$  is continuous in both  $S_2$  and  $S_1$ , and vanish at r = 1. As a result,  $z^2 \Phi_2(z) - \Phi_1(z)$  and  $z^2 \Phi_1(z) - \Phi_2(z)$  are holomorphic respectively in  $S_2$  and  $S_1$ , taking a same value at r = 1. In view of this, both of them should be equal to a polynomial in the z plane. Since  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$  are regular at infinity, the order of the polynomial must not exceed 2. Combining the above points, we obtain,

in 
$$S_2 = z^2 \Phi_2(z) - \Phi_1(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2$$
, (62)

in 
$$S_1 = z^2 \Phi_1(z) - \Phi_2(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2$$
. (63)

By a similar consideration and noticing that  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are discontinuous across the crack surfaces, we write

in 
$$S_2 = G_1 z^2 \Phi_2(z) + G_2 \Phi_1(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + f(z),$$
 (64)

in 
$$S_1 = G_2 z^2 \Phi_1(z) + G_1 \Phi_2(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + f(z),$$
 (65)



Fig. 2. The partially damaged matrix-inclusion system

(73)

where

$$f(z) = \frac{c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots + c_n z^n}{X(z)}$$
(66)

and X(z) stands for a single-valued branch of function  $\sqrt{(z - e^{i\theta_0})(z - e^{-i\theta_0})}$  under the condition  $\lim_{z \to \infty} X(z)/z \to 1$ .

Note that f(z) takes values of opposite sign on the crack surfaces such that

$$f^{+}(t) = -f^{-}(t) \quad t = e^{i\theta}$$
 (67)

and therefore is used to take account of the jump of displacement across the crack surfaces.

Substituting (66) into (64, 65) and subsequently solving (62-65), the following expressions for  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$  are obtained:

in 
$$S_2$$
  $\Phi_2(z) = \frac{1}{(G_1 + G_2) z^2} \times \left\{ b_0 + a_0 G_2 + (b_1 + a_1 G_2) z + (b_2 + a_2 G_2) z^2 + \frac{c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots + c_n z^n}{X(z)} \right\},$  (68)

in 
$$S_2 \qquad \Phi_1(z) = \frac{1}{G_1 + G_2} \times \left\{ b_0 - a_0 G_1 + (b_1 - a_1 G_1) z + (b_2 - a_2 G_1) z^2 + \frac{c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots + c_n z^n}{X(z)} \right\},$$
 (69)

in 
$$S_1 = \frac{1}{(G_1 + G_2) z^2} \times \left\{ b_0 + a_0 G_1 + (b_1 + a_1 G_1) z + (b_2 + a_2 G_1) z^2 + \frac{c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots + c_n z^n}{X(z)} \right\},$$
 (70)

in 
$$S_1 = \Phi_2(z) = \frac{1}{G_1 + G_2}$$
  
  $\times \left\{ b_0 - a_0 G_2 + (b_1 - a_1 G_2) z + (b_2 - a_2 G_2) z^2 + \frac{c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots + c_n z^n}{X(z)} \right\}.$  (71)

Applying (8),  $\Phi_2(z)$  in  $S_1$  can also be obtained in the following form:

in 
$$S_1 \Phi_2(z) = \frac{1}{G_1 + G_2}$$
  

$$\times \left\{ (\overline{b_0} + \overline{a_0}G_2) z^2 + (\overline{b_1} + \overline{a_1}G_2) z + (\overline{b_2} + \overline{a_2}G_2) - \frac{\overline{c_0}z^3 + \overline{c_1}z^2 + \overline{c_2}z + \overline{c_3} + \overline{c_4}/z + \dots + \overline{c_n}/z^{n-3}}{X(z)} \right\}.$$
(72)

In deriving the above formula, the following relation has been used:  $\bar{X}(1/z) = -zX(z).$ 

By comparing similar terms in (71) and (72), we obtain,

$$c_3 = -\overline{c_0}, \quad c_2 = -\overline{c_1}, \quad c_4 = c_5 = \dots = c_n = 0,$$
 (74 a, b, c)

$$b_0 - a_0 G_2 = \overline{b_2} + \overline{a_2} G_2, \quad b_1 - a_1 G_2 = \overline{b_1} + \overline{a_1} G_2,$$
 (75a, b)

$$b_2 - a_2 G_2 = \overline{b_0} + \overline{a_0} G_2. \tag{76}$$

Similarly, by applying (7) to  $\Phi_1(z)$  in  $S_2$  and following a procedure similar to that described above, we obtain,

$$b_0 - a_0 G_1 = \overline{b_2} + \overline{a_2} G_1, \tag{77}$$

$$b_1 - a_1 G_1 = \overline{b_1} + \overline{a_1} G_1, \quad b_2 - a_2 G_1 = \overline{b_0} + \overline{a_0} G_1.$$
 (78 a, b)

From (75a, b), (76), (77) and (78a, b), the following additional result is obtained:

$$a_0 = -\overline{a_2}, \quad a_1 = a_R, \tag{79 a, b}$$

$$b_0 = b_2, \quad b_1 = b_R,$$
 (80 a, b)

where  $a_R$  and  $b_R$  are real numbers. The remaining constants contained in the expressions for  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$  should be determined by the following conditions.

Since for  $z \to \infty$ ,  $\Phi_1(z) \to \tau_0$ , therefore,

$$b_2 + a_2 G_1 + c_3 = \tau_0 (G_1 + G_2). \tag{81}$$

Since for  $z \to 0$ ,  $\Phi_2(z)$  should be holomorphic, so that

$$b_2 - a_2 G_2 + c_3 = 0, (82)$$

$$b_1 + ia_R G_2 + \overline{c_2} + \overline{c_3} \cos \theta_0 = 0.$$
(83)

Since on the crack surfaces, any traction should be absent, we have,

on 
$$L^+$$
  $t^2 \Phi_2^+(t) + \Phi_2^-(t) = 0.$  (84)

The above equation, (84), turns out to be equivalent to the following conditions:

$$c_3 = G_2 \tau_0, \quad c_2 = -G_2 \tau_0 \cos \theta_0 + i c_{2R},$$
(85a, b)

where  $c_{2R}$  is a real number.

The term  $a_1 = ia_R$  in the expressions for  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$  corresponds to the following stress field:

$$\tau_{rt} = 0, \qquad \tau_{\theta t} = a_R/r. \tag{86a, b}$$

A similar explanation applies to the term of  $\text{Im}[c_2] = c_{2R}$  (see (85 b)). Since a stress field of the type of (86 a, b) lacks physical reality and is inconsistent with the nature of this problem, we should take

$$a_R = c_{2R} = 0. ag{87}$$

Using (74a, b, c)–(76), (77)–(83), (85a, b) and (87), all unknown constants contained in the expressions for  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$  can uniquely be fixed. Substitution of these fixed values into (68) and (70) yields

in 
$$S_2 = \Phi_2(z) = \frac{G_2 \tau_0}{G_1 + G_2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{X(z)} \left[ z - \frac{1}{z^2} - \cos \theta_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z} \right) \right] \right\},$$
 (88)

in 
$$S_1 = \frac{\tau_0}{G_1 + G_2} \left\{ G_1 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z^2} \right) + \frac{G_2}{X(z)} \left[ z - \frac{1}{z^2} - \cos \theta_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z} \right) \right] \right\}.$$
 (89)

A parameter of engineering importance in this problem is the mode III stress intensity factors at crack tips, A and B. The factor at tip A can be evaluated from the following formula:

$$K_A = \lim_{\substack{r \to 1 \\ \theta \to \theta_0^+}} \sqrt{2\pi r (\theta - \theta_0)} \tau_{rt}.$$
(90)

Noticing (5), (88) and the following result:

$$\lim_{\substack{r \to 1\\ \theta \to \theta_0^+}} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi(\theta - \theta_0)}r}{X(z)} = -\frac{i\sqrt{\pi} e^{-i\theta_0/2}}{\sqrt{\sin\theta_0}}$$
(91)

the stress intensity factor at tip A can be worked out as

$$K_{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{2G_2\tau_0}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\sin\theta_0}} \left( \sin\frac{3\theta_0}{2} - \cos\theta_0 \sin\frac{\theta_0}{2} \right). \tag{92}$$

The stress intensity factor at tip B can be found similarly and it turns out that

$$K_B = K_A. (93)$$

# 4.2 Uniform shear in the y direction at infinity

In this case, the external loading is effected by a uniform antiplane shear stress  $\tau_{yt} = \tau_1$  at infinity. The basic functions in the problem,  $\Phi_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_2(z)$ , can be determined via a way similar to that for the uniform shear in the x direction. The final result is as follows:

in 
$$S_2 = -\frac{iG_2\tau_1}{G_1+G_2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{X(z)} \left[ -\left(z + \frac{1}{z^2}\right) + \cos\theta_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{z}\right) \right] \right\},$$
 (94)

in 
$$S_1 = -\frac{i\tau_1}{G_1 + G_2} \left\{ G_1 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{z^2} \right) + \frac{G_2}{X(z)} \left[ z + \frac{1}{z^2} - \cos \theta_0 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{z} \right) \right] \right\}.$$
 (95)

The stress intensity factors at crack tips A and B are,

$$K_A = -K_B = \frac{2G_2\tau_1}{G_1 + G_2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sin\theta_0}} \left(\cos\frac{3\theta_0}{2} - \cos\theta_0\cos\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right). \tag{96}$$

# 4.3 Shear tractions on the crack surfaces

In the preceding two subsections, we solve antiplane shear problems of the matrix-inclusion system with a partially debonded interface. The solution approach used is the semi-inversed method. With some physical reasoning, the basic form of the solution for a particular problem is firstly proposed, and the unknown coefficients contained therein are then fixed with various conditions the solution should satisfy. In what follows, this solution method will also be applied.

Let us consider the general case in which all antiplane shear stresses vanish at infinity, whereas on the crack surfaces the following external antiplane shear traction is applied:

$$\tau_{rt} = \cos n\theta \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (97)

For this general case we first propose

in 
$$S_2 = z^2 \Phi_2(z) - \Phi_1(z) = 0,$$
 (98)

in 
$$S_2 \qquad G_1 z^2 \Phi_2(z) + G_2 \Phi_1(z) = \frac{b_{1-n}}{z^{n-1}} + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \frac{1}{X(z)}$$
  
  $\times \left[ \frac{c_{1-n}}{z^{n-1}} + \frac{c_{2-n}}{z^{n-2}} + \dots + \frac{c_{-1}}{z} + c_0 + c_1 z + \dots + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + c_{n+2} z^{n+2} \right],$  (99)

in 
$$S_1 = z^2 \Phi_1(z) - \Phi_2(z) = 0,$$
 (100)  
in  $S_1 = G_2 z^2 \Phi_1(z) + G_1 \Phi_2(z) = \frac{b_{1-n}}{1} + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}$ 

$$\times \left[ \frac{c_{1-n}}{z^{n-1}} + \frac{c_{2-n}}{z^{n-2}} + \dots + \frac{c_{-1}}{z} + c_0 + c_1 z + \dots + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + c_{n+2} z^{n+2} \right].$$
(101)

By solving for (98 - 101), it is obtained that

in 
$$S_2$$
  $\Phi_2(z) = \frac{1}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2} \left\{ \frac{b_{1-n}}{z^{n-1}} + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \frac{1}{X(z)} \times \left[ \frac{c_{1-n}}{z^{n-1}} + \frac{c_{2-n}}{z^{n-2}} + \dots + \frac{c_{-1}}{z} + c_0 + c_1 z + \dots + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + c_{n+2} z^{n+2} \right] \right\},$  (102)

in 
$$S_2 \Phi_1(z) = z^2 \Phi_2(z),$$
 (103)

in 
$$S_1 = \frac{1}{G_1 + G_2} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2} \left\{ \frac{b_{1-n}}{z^{n-1}} + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \frac{1}{X(z)} \times \left[ \frac{c_{1-n}}{z^{n-1}} + \frac{c_{2-n}}{z^{n-2}} + \dots + \frac{c_{-1}}{z} + c_0 + c_1 z + \dots + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + c_{n+2} z^{n+2} \right] \right\},$$
 (104)

in 
$$S_1 \Phi_2(z) = z^2 \Phi_1(z)$$
. (105)

To determine the unknown constants in the above expressions, we write the expression for  $\Phi_2(z)$  in  $S_1$  directly from (102) by employing (8). This gives,

in 
$$S_1 \qquad \Phi_2(z) = \frac{z^2}{G_1 + G_2} \left\{ \overline{b_{1-n}} z^{n-1} + \overline{\frac{b_{n+1}}{z^{n+1}}} - \frac{z}{X(z)} \times \left[ \overline{c_{1-n}} z^{n-1} + \overline{c_{2-n}} z^{n-2} + \dots + \overline{c_{-1}} z + \overline{c_0} + \frac{\overline{c_1}}{z} + \dots + \frac{\overline{c_{n+1}}}{z^{n+1}} + \frac{\overline{c_{n+2}}}{z^{n+2}} \right] \right\}.$$
 (106)

Since (105) and (106) should be identical, comparing the coefficients for similar terms in these two equations results:

$$b_{n+1} = \overline{b_{1-n}}$$

$$\overline{c_{1-n}} = -c_{n+2}, \quad \overline{c_{2-n}} = -c_{n+1}, \quad \overline{c_{3-n}} = -c_n, \quad \overline{c_{-1}} = -c_4, \quad \overline{c_0} = -c_3, \quad \overline{c_1} = -c_2$$
(108 a, b, c, d, e, f)

Similarly, an alternative expression for  $\Phi_1(z)$  in  $S_2$  can be written directly from (104) by employing (7). But this does not give new result.

To utilizing the boundary condition at infinity, that is,

$$\Phi_1(z) \to 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \to \infty$$
 (109)

we first notice that the following expansion hold for 1/X(z) in |z| > 1:

$$\frac{1}{X(z)} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^{n-1},$$
(110)

where

$$D_n = \sum_{K=1}^n u_K u_{n+1-K} \cos\left(n+1-2K\right) \theta_0, \qquad (111)$$

$$u_1 = 1, \quad u_n = \frac{2n-3}{2n-2} u_{n-1}, \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$
 (112 a, b)

Using the expansion (110), it is easy to show that the condition (109) will be met provided the following equations hold:

$$b_{n+1} + c_{n+2}D_1 = 0, (113)$$

$$c_{n+2}D_2 + c_{n+1}D_1 = 0, (114)$$

$$c_{n+2}D_3 + c_{n+1}D_2 + c_nD_1 = 0, (115)$$

... ...

$$c_{n+2}D_{n-1} + c_{n+1}D_{n-2} + c_nD_{n-3} + \dots + c_5D_2 + c_4D_1 = 0,$$
(116)

$$c_{n+2}D_n + c_{n+1}D_{n-1} + c_nD_{n-2} + \dots + c_4D_2 + c_3D_1 = 0.$$
(117)

On the other hand, by using the following expansion for 1/X(z) holding in |z| < 1,

$$\frac{1}{X(z)} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n z^{n-1}$$
(118)

the regular condition of  $\Phi_2(z)$  at z = 0, that is,  $\Phi_2(z)$  should be holomorphic at the origin, can be treated readily. This condition, in addition to once more giving (113-117), leads to the following equation the unknown coefficients should satisfy:

$$c_{1-n}D_{n+1} + c_{2-n}D_n + c_{3-n}D_{n-1} + \dots + c_{-1}D_3 + c_0D_2 + c_1D_1 = 0.$$
(119)

There are  $b_{1-n}$ ,  $b_{n+1}$ ;  $c_{1-n}$ ,  $c_{2-n}$ , ...,  $c_{-1}$ ,  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$ , ...,  $c_{n+1}$ ,  $c_{n+2}$ : in total 2n + 4 unknown coefficients in (102-105), and we have had (107), (108 a, b, c, d, e, f), (113-117) and (119) in total 2n + 3 equations. Another equation needed is afforded by the boundary condition on the crack surfaces, (97). Noticing  $X^+(t) = -X^-(t)$ , this condition finally reduces to

$$\tau_{rt} = \frac{2}{G_1 + G_2} \left\{ \frac{b_{1-n}}{t^n} + \overline{b_{1-n}} t^n \right\} = \cos n\theta.$$
(120)

This equation is satisfied by taking  $b_{1-n}$  a real number determined by

$$b_{1-n} = \frac{G_1 + G_2}{4}.$$
(121)

With  $b_{1-n}$  thus fixed,  $b_{n+1}$ ,  $c_{n+2}$ ,  $c_{n+1}$ , ...,  $c_2$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $c_0$ ,  $c_{-1}$ ,  $c_{-2}$ , ...,  $c_{1-n}$  can successively be determined from (107), (113-117), (119) and (108a, b, c, d, e, f). Substituting these values into (102) and (104), we obtain the explicit expressions for  $\Phi_2(z)$  and  $\Phi_1(z)$ , and by this way completely solve the problem.

The stress intensity factors at the crack tips are

$$K_{A} = K_{B} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sin\theta_{0}}} \left[ -2c_{1-n}\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta_{0} - 2c_{2-n}\sin\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta_{0} - \dots - 2c_{1}\sin\frac{\theta_{0}}{2} \right].$$
 (122)

The solution for any particular case can easily be obtained from the general solution. For instance, for n = 0 in (97) we have

in 
$$S_2 \qquad \Phi_2(z) = \frac{1}{2z^2} \left[ z + \frac{z - z^2}{X(z)} \right],$$
 (123)

in 
$$S_1 \qquad \Phi_1(z) = \frac{1}{2z^2} \left[ z + \frac{z - z^2}{X(z)} \right],$$
 (124)

$$K_A = K_B = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sin\theta_0}} \sin\frac{\theta_0}{2}.$$
 (125)

It is easy to see that by taking  $b_{1-n}$  an imaginary number determined by

$$b_{1-n} = \mathbf{i} \; \frac{G_1 + G_2}{4}.\tag{126}$$

and fix other unknown coefficients in (102) and (104) in accordance with this value of  $b_{1-n}$ , we obtain an exact and explicit solution for the case when on the crack surfaces the following external antiplane shear traction is exclusively applied:

$$\tau_{rt} = \sin n\theta. \tag{127}$$

In this case the stress intensity factors are

$$K_{A} = -K_{B} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sin\theta_{0}}} \left[ 2c_{1-n}^{0} \cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta_{0} + 2c_{2-n}^{0} \cos\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta_{0} + \dots + 2c_{1}^{0} \cos\frac{\theta_{0}}{2} \right], \quad (128)$$

where  $c_K^{0} = c_K/i$ , K = 1 - n, 2 - n, ..., 0, 1.

Finally, since any form of external antiplane traction on the crack surfaces,  $f(\theta)$ , can be expressed by a Fourier series consisted of cosine and sine terms, the general result can be utilized to solve the problem when on the crack surfaces there is an arbitrary distribution of  $\tau_{rt}$ .

## 4.4 Singular loading

By using the result developed in the preceding subsection, the problem in which the partially damaged matrix-inclusion system is effected by a singularity of the type of (32) can be treated simply by the method of superposition.

Suppose there is a singularity, *i.e.*, a source of intensity A located at point z = a on the x axis in the matrix. The solution to this problem for a perfect matrix-inclusion system has been given by (49, 50), and stress  $\tau_{rt}$  at the interface is expressed by

$$\tau_{rt} = -\frac{2AG_2}{G_1 + G_2} \left( \frac{\cos\theta}{a} + \frac{\cos 2\theta}{a^2} + \dots + \frac{\cos n\theta}{a^n} + \dots \right)$$
(129)

This distribution of  $\tau_{rt}$  should be absent on the crack surfaces of the partially damaged matrix-inclusion system. Therefore, to eliminate  $\tau_{rt}$  on the crack surfaces we should apply a distribution of  $\tau_{rt}$  on them, which is equal in magnitude but opposite in sign to that given by (129). This is a problem when the partially damaged matrix-inclusion system is exclusively loaded on the crack surfaces, and the solution is given by (102) and (104). The final solution to this singular loading problem is obtained by adding a solution of type (49, 50) to another one of type (102, 104). Other cases of singular loading can be dealt with similarly.

### 5 Numerical results and discussion

The antiplane shear problem of matrix-inclusion systems has been solved in the preceding sections rigorously. Using various exact expressions developed therein, antiplane shear stresses and stress intensity factors for the systems can easily be obtained. In this section, numerical values of stress intensity factors at the crack tips of a partially damaged matrix-inclusion system (Fig. 2) are given.

First we deal with the case when there is a singularity of intensity  $A_0$  located at the center of the inclusion. In this case, the following stress  $\tau_{rt}$  would develop for a similar perfect matrix-inclusion system ((53, 54) and (5)):

$$\tau_{rt} = A_0 = \text{const.} \quad \text{for} \quad r = 1, \tag{130}$$

which is independent of material constants. The stress intensity factors at the crack tips of the partially damaged matrix-inclusion system should be sought under the condition that on the crack surfaces the following antiplane shear stress is applied:

$$\tau_{rt} = -A_0 \quad \text{for} \quad r = 1. \tag{131}$$

Numerical values of the stress intensity factors have been obtained from (125) and is presented in Fig. 3 for various geometric and material parameters.

Nextly we consider the case in which there is a singularity of intensity  $A_0$  located precisely on the interface r = 1. Using (57, 58) and (5) it is found that the following stress  $\tau_{rt}$  would develop on the interface r = 1 for a similar perfect matrix-inclusion system:

$$\tau_{rt} = \frac{G_2 A_0}{G_1 + G_2}.$$
(132)

Equation (132) shows a wonderful fact, that the stress  $\tau_{rt}$  developed on r = 1 is always a constant, irrelevant to the location of the singularity as long as it lies on the interface r = 1. Consequently, the stress intensity factors in the partially damaged matrix-inclusion system are independent of the location of the singularity also. These stress intensity factors have been worked out from (125) and is presented in Fig. 4.

In case the singularity is located in the matrix at a point x = a on the real axis, the stress  $\tau_{rt}$  developed in a similar perfect matrix-inclusion system would be

$$\tau_{rt} = -\frac{2A_0G_2}{G_1 + G_2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos n\theta}{a^n} \quad \text{for} \quad r = 1$$
(133)

And, when the singularity lies in the matrix at a point y = a on the imaginary axis, the stress  $\tau_{rt}$  described above would take the following form:

$$\tau_{rt} = \frac{2A_0G_2}{G_1 + G_2} \left[ \sum_{n=1,3,5}^{\infty} (i)^{n+1} \frac{\sin n\theta}{a^n} + \sum_{n=2,4,6}^{\infty} (-1) i^n \frac{\cos n\theta}{a^n} \right] \quad \text{for} \quad r = 1$$
(134)



Fig. 3 and 4. 3 Numerical values of stress intensity factors  $(K_A = K_B)$ ; 4. Numerical values of stress intensity factors  $(K_A = K_B)$ 

Table 1. 1	Numerical	values of s	stress intensity	y factors ( $K =$	$=K_A/A_0=I$	$K_{B}/A_{0}, a = 2.0$
------------	-----------	-------------	------------------	-------------------	--------------	------------------------

θ	π/24	π/20	π/16	π/12	π/8
$\overline{G_1/G_2}$					
0.1	0.5541	0.6020	0.6629	0.7408	0.8283
0.5	0.4064	0.4415	0.4861	0.5433	0.6075
1.0	0.3048	0.3311	0.3646	0.4075	0.4559
2.0	0.2032	0.2207	0.2431	0.2716	0.3037
5.0	0.1016	0.1104	0.1215	0.1358	0.1519
10.0	0.0554	0.0602	0.0663	0.0741	0.0828

θ	π/24	π/20	π/16	π/12	π/8		
$G_1/G_2$			$K_A/A_0$				
0.1	-0.2677	-0.2722	-0.2832	-0.3085	-0.3725		
0.5	-0.1963	-0.1996	-0.2077	-0.226 2	-0.2731		
1.0	-0.1472	-0.1497	-0.1558	-0.1690	-0.2049		
2.0	-0.0981	-0.0998	-0.1038	-0.1131	-0.1366		
5.0	-0.0491	-0.0499	-0.0519	-0.0565	- 0.068 3		
10.0	- 0.0267	-0.0272	- 0.028 3	-0.0308	-0.0372		
θ	π/24	π/20	π/16	π/12	$\pi/8$		
$G_1/G_2$	K <sub>B</sub> /A <sub>0</sub>						
0.1	0.0374	0.0371	0.0398	0.0502	0.0866		
0.5	0.0274	0.0272	0.0292	0.0368	0.0635		
1.0	0.0205	0.0204	0.0219	0.0276	0.0476		
2.0	0.0137	0.0136	0.0146	0.0184	0.0318		
5.0	0.0068	0.0068	0.0073	0.0092	0.0160		
10.0	0.0037	0.0037	0.0040	0.0050	0.0087		

**Table 2.** Numerical values of stress intensity factors (a = 2.0)

Employing (122) and (128), numerical values of stress intensity factors in the above two cases have been obtained and are presented in Table 1 (for the singularity lying on the x axis) and Table 2, (for the singularity lying on the y axis) respectively.

It is seen from both the numerical data and the theoretical analysis, that the stress intensity factors are identical for a homogeneous medium and a matrix-inclusion system, provided external loads are applied on the crack surfaces. When external loads in the form of singularities are applied, stress intensity factors for the homogeneous medium and the matrix-inclusion system are different from each other only in a constant factor  $G_2/(G_1 + G_2)$ . On this ground, the special features of a matrix-inclusion system in antiplane shear are less remarkable than those in plane problems.

#### References

- 1. England, A. E.: An arc crack around a circular elastic inclusion. Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech. 33 (1966) 637-640
- Erdogan, F.; Gupta, G. D.; Ratwani, M.: Interaction between a circular inclusion and an arbitrarily oriented crack. Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech. 41 (1974) 1007–1013
- 3. Hasebe, N.; Okumura, M.; Nakamura, T.: Stress analysis of a debonding and a crack around a circular rigid inclusion. Int. J. Fracture 32 (1986) 169–183
- 4. Luo, H. A.; Chen, Y.: Interface crack in a three-phase composite constitutional Model. Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech. 58 (1991) 429-434
- 5. Wu, K. C.; Chiu, Y. T.: Antiplane shear interface cracks in anisotropic bimaterials. Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech. 58 (1991) 399-403
- Hasebe, N.; Sugimoto, T.; Nakamura, T.: Longitudinal shear problem for an elastic body with two fixed edges. Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech. 53 (1986) 814-818
- 7. Kreyszig, E.: Advanced engineering mathematics. New York: John Wiley 1962
- 8. Muskhelishvili, N. I.: Some basic problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity. Leyden: Noordhoff 1977

Received May 25, 1992

Prof. Xiangzhou Zhang Department of Aircraft Engineering Northwestern Polytechnical University Xian 710072 China

Prof. Dr. Norio Hasebe Department of Civil Engineering Nagoya Institute of Technology Nagoya 466 Japan