LETTER TO THE EDITOR

On Spherically Symmetric Perfect Fluid Distributions and Class One Property

J. KRISHNA RAO†

Department of Mathematics, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad 9 (India)

Received 10 April 1971

Abstract

It is shown that for a spherically symmetric perfect fluid solution to be of class one, either (i) $\epsilon = 0$, or (ii) $\epsilon + R = 0$, ϵ and R being respectively the eigenvalue of the Weyl tensor in Petrov's classification and spur of the Ricci tensor. Hence, it is deduced that whereas every conformally flat perfect fluid solution is of class one, the converse is not true in general. However, the converse does hold for all solutions with $\rho = 3p$.

The problem of embedding a four dimensional Riemannian manifold, with signature (+ - - -), in higher dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space has attracted considerable attention in recent years in connection with the symmetry properties of elementary particles. Explicit embedding transformations for a number of well known relativistic Riemannian space-times have been given by Rosen [3, 4]. In the present note we show that every spherically symmetric perfect fluid solution is of class one if and only if either (i) $\epsilon = 0$, or (ii) $\epsilon + R = 0$, where ϵ is the eigenvalue of the trace free Weyl tensor in Petrov's classification and R is the spur of the Ricci tensor.

We take the spherically symmetric line-element

$$ds^{2} = -e^{\alpha} dr^{2} - r^{2} (d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}) + e^{\beta} dt^{2}$$
(1)

where α and β are functions of r and t only. Throughout this note we use relativistic units (c=1, G=1) and denote partial differentiation with respect

† Present address: Department of Mathematics, South Gujarat University, Surat 1 (India).

Copyright © 1971 Plenum Publishing Company Limited. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of Plenum Publishing Company Limited.

J. Krishna Rao

to r and t by a prime and a dot respectively. The non-vanishing components of the Einstein tensor G_a^b for the metric (1) are given by

$$G_{1}^{1} = (1/r^{2}) \left[-1 + e^{-\alpha} (1 + r\beta') \right]$$

$$G_{2}^{2} = G_{3}^{3} = -\epsilon + (1/r^{2}) \left[1 - e^{-\alpha} (1 + r\alpha' - r\beta') \right]$$

$$G_{4}^{4} = (1/r^{2}) \left[-1 + e^{-\alpha} (1 - r\alpha') \right]$$

$$e^{\alpha} G_{4}^{1} = -e^{\beta} G_{1}^{4} = \dot{\alpha}/r$$

$$\epsilon = -(1/4) e^{-\alpha} \left[2\beta'' + \beta'(\beta' - \alpha') + 2r^{-1}(\alpha' - \beta') \right]$$

$$+ (1/4) e^{-\beta} \left[2\ddot{\alpha} + \dot{\alpha}(\dot{\alpha} - \dot{\beta}) \right] + (1/r^{2})(1 - e^{-\alpha})$$
(2)

where

is the eigenvalue of the trace free Weyl tensor in Petrov's classification as shown by the author [2]. It may be mentioned here that
$$\epsilon = 0$$
 implies all components of the Weyl tensor vanish identically and hence the space-time is conformally flat.

$$T_a{}^b = (\rho + p)u_a u^b - pg_a{}^b, \ u_a u^a = 1, \ u^2 = u^3 = 0.$$
(3)

It was shown by Karmarkar [1] that a necessary and sufficient condition for a spherically symmetric space-time described by the line-element (1)to be of class one is

$$3(1 - e^{-\alpha})^2 + r^2(1 - e^{-\alpha})(G_4^4 + G_1^1 - 4G_2^2) - r^4(G_1^1 - G_4^4 - G_1^4 - G_4^4 - G_4^$$

Combining (2) and (3) with the help of Einstein's field equations and substituting in (4) we get

$$\epsilon[\epsilon + 8\pi(\rho - 3p)] = 0$$

or equivalently

$$\epsilon(\epsilon+R)=0.$$

Therefore, for a spherically symmetric perfect fluid solution to be of class one, either

(i)
$$\epsilon = 0$$
, or (ii) $\epsilon + R = 0$. (5)

Hence we have the following result: Every conformally flat perfect fluid solution is of class one. The converse is not true in general although it does hold for all solutions with $\rho = 3p$. A number of solutions obtained by Vaidya [6, 7] which include as particular cases some well known isolated and global distributions of matter all satisfy (5(i)) and therefore are of class one. A solution satisfying (5(ii)) has been given by Tikekar [5] recently.

References

- 1. Karmarkar, K. R. (1948). Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., A 27, 56.
- 2. Krishna Rao, J. (1966). Curr. Sci., 35, 589.
- 3. Rosen, J. (1965). Rev. Mod. Phys., 37, 204.
- 4. Rosen, J. (1965). Nuovo Cimento, 38, 631.
- 5. Tikekar, R. S. (1970). Curr. Sci., 39, 460.
- 6. Vaidya, P. C. (1968). Phys. Rev., 174, 1615.
- 7. Vaidya, P. C. (1968). Curr. Sci., 37, 191.

386