

# **High-Purity F Atom Beam Source**

U. Schwalm

Max-Planck-Institut für Strömungsforschung, D-3400 Göttingen, Fed. Rep. Germany

Received 25 June 1982/Accepted 16 November 1982

**Abstract.** Fluorine atoms are generated by 2.45 GHz microwave dissociation of 99.99 % F 2 in a synthetic sapphire single-crystal discharge tube. Typical  $F_2$  gas flow and beam intensity are: 0.1 mbar  $1\,\text{s}^{-1}$  and  $9.5 \times 10^{17}$  atoms sterad<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The measured degree of dissociation into atoms is  $D > 98$ %. The high total F atom flux together with the high purity of the beam seem to make the source a very promising device for both reaction kinetic- and molecular beam-experiments

PACS: 07.77

For quantitative investigations of the reactions of free atoms in the gas phase the purity of the involved species is of special importance. This has to be considered particularly for experiments involving F atoms, because possible interference with the very fast secondary reactions with  $F_2$  molecules may complicate the interpretation of the reaction paths [1]. For beam scattering experiments using electron bombardment ionization detectors molecular fluorine or other fluorine atom-containing molecules in the beam will show up as an additional signal on the atomic mass, because of dissociative ionization. Lowering the electron energy to a value below the appearence potential and by this way discriminating against the molecular contribution is difficult in the case of molecular fluorine because of its low bond energy [2] and also leads to a considerable loss in sensitivity. On the other hand, the low bond energy, together with the large expected cross-section for electron bombardment dissociation [3], seem to make fluorine one of the most promising species for microwave dissociation. Unfortunately near 100% microwave dissociation of  $F_2$  has so far only been reported for extremely dilute mixtures of a few percent  $F_2$  in He and Ar with  $F_2$ -partial pressures in the  $10^{-2}$ mbar range or below. In both types of mixtures it was felt that the degree of dissociation decreased considerably with increasing  $F<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations [3-9]. For almost complete dissociation this requires a relatively high pumping speed per mole of produced F atoms and also the ability to handle large amounts of the rare gases.

Quartz and glass discharge tubes, which have been used in many experiments, show an etching effect after a few hours of operation. The reason seems to be the formation of reaction products like  $\text{SiF}_4$ , resulting in F-atom consumption as well as a beam contamination with these species which lead to F-atom formation again under electron bombardment conditions. Another disadvantage of those tube materials is the short lifetime of the discharge tube due to the penetration of holes in the discharge region which may appear after only a few days of operation.

The above considerations led to the investigation of a microwave source for F atoms working with pure oxygen-free  $F_2$  in the overall "clean" environment of a synthetic sapphire single crystal discharge tube. Sapphire is a desirable material because of the following reasons : Under normal operating temperatures the formed  $\text{AIF}_3$  film has a vapour pressure low enough to protect the tube against reactions with  $F$  and  $F<sub>2</sub>$ . This results in a very long lifetime of the discharge tube and a beam which is free of reaction products of fluorine with the tube material. In addition, the recombination coefficient for fluorine atoms on sapphire at 300 K is about half of that for quartz [10]. As compared to amorphous alumina, which even in its dense form is found to be slightly permeable to atmospheric gases [11], sapphire has a stability to changes in temperature which is orders of magnitude higher  $\lceil 12 \rceil$  making it the most promising material for handling fluorine under conditions of electric discharges.

# **1. Experimental Assembly**

The beam source is shown in Fig. 1. The 150mm long synthetic sapphire tube (TYCO Saphicon Division,



**Fig. 1. Roughly to scale schematic view of the F-atom source. The numbers refer to the following parts (1 discharge tube, 2 disk with beam defining aperture, 3 and 4 compression O-ring seals, 5 microwave cavity, 6 connection to the gas inlet system)** 

Milford, New Hampshire, USA) 1 has 12.7 mm O.D. and 8 mm I.D. One end of the tube is pressed against a thin l mm sapphire disk (Gebr. Weinz GmbH, Veitsroth, Fed. Rep. Germany) 2 with a l mmaperture. The tube is sealed with compression Viton Orings on both sides, 3 and 4. While 4 is a commerical version, 3 has been modified in order to provide the shortest possible distance A between the disk 2 and the microwave cavity (Type 214L, Electromedical supplies, Greenham, England) 5. The gas inlet system  $6$  is built in all metal form from stainless steel tubes with 6 mm O.D. and 4 mm I.D. Gas flow is controlled by all metal valves (Nupro SS-4BMG and SS-6BG-MM) and measured by a monel mass flowmeter (ENALL-50P, Teledyne Hastings-Raydist, Hampton, Virginia, USA). The 99.99%  $F_2$  (MAN, Neue Technologie, Munich, Fed. Rep. Germany) is stored in a stainless steel tank (1000 mbar, 1001; MAN, Neue Technologie).

The atom source is mounted on a high vacuum chamber with an oil diffusion pump and a freon cooled baffle, providing a vacuum of  $4 \times 10^{-5}$  mbar with an  $F_2$  gas flux of 0.1 mbar ls<sup>-1</sup>. Under this condition the streaming velocity of the gas and the residence time in the discharge region are  $4 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  and about 10ms, respectively. The length of the flight path in the source chamber is given by the source-skimmer distance and equal to about 20 mm. The discharge is powered by a microwave generator (Microtron Mark III, Electromedical supplies), and the actual power dissipation is 25 W. The beam passes through three differential pumping stages. A mechanical Fizeau type velocity selector in the first provides the facility of analyzing the particle velocity and so determining the effective source temperature. The beam particles are detected 1.5 m from the source by a mass spectrometer in an UHV system consisting of an 90 eV electron bombardment ion source [13], magnetic deflection (100 mm radius) and an open multiplier.

# **2. Beam-Analysis**

## *2.1. Intensity*

During all determinations of the beam properties the detector vacuum was kept below  $1 \times 10^{-11}$  mbar. After exposure to the beam the residual gas mass spectrum shows a significant peak on mass 19, while the signal on mass 38 is at least a factor of 100 smaller. This indicates the expected behaviour of the highly reactive fluorine atoms, namely the generation of relatively volatile metal (hexa)-fluorides on the metal ionizer surfaces, which could be effectively removed only by repetitive electron bombardment baking of all ionizer parts, which are warmed up at normal operating conditions.

The maximum permissible  $F_2$  gas flux for long term detector operation is therefore found to be  $0.1 \text{ mbar} \text{ls}^{-1}$  and all investigations on the source, reported here, refer to this value. For a short time however, the source has been operated with the maximum  $F_2$  gas flux for the source chamber pumping system,  $0.85$  mbar ls<sup>-1</sup>, and showed 97% of dissociation for 120 W microwave power. The source intensity I in the forward direction is calculated from

$$
I = CX^2 / (A_0 P_{\rm F}),\tag{1}
$$

where  $C$  denotes the observed count rate,  $X$  is the source-detector distance and  $A_0$  the active detector area. The detection probability for F atoms,  $P_F$ , is calculated from

$$
P_{\rm F} = P_{\rm IH_{2}} (\sigma_{\rm F_{2}} / \sigma_{\rm H_{2}}) R(\bar{v}_{\rm H_{2}} / \bar{v}_{\rm F}) B, \qquad (2)
$$

where  $P_{\text{IH}_2}$  is the experimentally determined detection probability of the ionizer for an  $H_2$  beam at 300 K [13], being equal to  $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ .  $\sigma_{\text{F}_2}$  and  $\sigma_{\text{H}_2}$  denote the cross sections for 90 eV electron bombardment ionization for  $F_2^{[14]}$  and  $H_2^{[15]}$ .  $\bar{v}_{\text{H}_2}$  and  $\bar{v}_{\text{F}}$  are the mean velocities of the H<sub>2</sub>- and F-beam at  $300$  K and 364 K (see below). The detection efficiency  $B$  of the multiplier for mass  $\sim$  20 particles at 4 keV ion energy is 0.6 [16]. R denotes the detection probability for F atoms relative to the one for  $F<sub>2</sub>$  molecules and is calculated [17] from

$$
R = [(U_a - 1)/(U_m - 1)] \cdot [\ln(1.25 \ U_a)/\ln(1.25 \ U_m)]/2, (3)
$$

where  $U_a$  and  $U_m$  are the ratios of the energy of the bombarding electron and the corresponding ionization energy of the particle.

With electron energy  $E_{el}=90 \text{ eV}$ ,  $U_a=5.165$ , and  $U_m$ =5.685, we find R=0.423 and  $P_F$ =1.9 × 10<sup>-5</sup>. From (1) the value for  $I$  is found to be

$$
I = 9.5 \times 10^{17}
$$
 atoms sterad<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

The overall error in I arising from the determination of  $A_0$ ,  $\sigma_{\text{F}_2}$  and  $\sigma_{\text{H}_2}$ , is believed not to exceed  $\pm 35$ %.

#### *2.2. Degree of Dissociation*

The degree of dissociation D is defined as

$$
D = (I_1 - I_2)/I_1, \tag{4}
$$

where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are the measured mass spectrometer signals on the molecular mass with discharge off and on, respectively. The corresponding background signal  $I<sub>b</sub>$  is obtained with the beam flag closed.  $I<sub>b</sub>$  is independent of the discharge and several orders of magnitude smaller than both  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ . D is typically 98%  $\langle D \rangle$  = 100%. This definition of the degree of dissociation gives information about the intensity on the atomic mass only under the assumption that the only recombination process is the one leading to the formation of new  $F_2$  molecules. The recombination of F atoms with different species would not affect the value of D obtained from (4), but would lead to a decrease in F atom density. Extensive beam analysis however, carried out with the mass spectrometer detector shows - besides F and  $F_2$  - only 0.3% of HF and about the same amount on mass 34, which is probably  $\rm CH_3F$ . Since titanium sublimation and sputter ion pumps are used on this system, it is likely that both species  $-HF$ and  $CH<sub>3</sub>F$  are formed in the detector.

This demonstrates clearly that almost complete dissociation of  $F_2$  in a microwave discharge can even be obtained in a pure  $F_2$  atmosphere with an elevated source pressure in the  $10^{-1}$  mbar range. For still higher microwave power this has also been shown for a source pressure of about l mbar (Sect. 2.1.). The relative fraction of dissociatively ionized  $F_2$  molecules is found to depend strongly on the energy of the bombarding electrons and could be limited to 10 % at 90 eV.

## *2.3. Translational Beam Temperature*

The source temperature was measured by recording the F atom~signal as a function of the frequency of the mechanical velocity selector [18], which is directly proportional to the velocity of the transmitted particles. The distribution is shown in Fig. 2. The maximum is found near  $v_m = 689 \,\text{ms}^{-1}$ . As the detection probability is inversely proportional to the particle velocity, the translational beam temperature Tis calculated as

$$
T = (m \cdot v_m^2)/3k = 364 \text{ K} \,. \tag{5}
$$

Because of the small Knudsen number  $K_n$ 

$$
K_n = \lambda/d = 0.2\tag{6}
$$

a slight narrowing of the distribution is expected together with a slight shift to higher velocities. Furthermore at small particle velocities self-scattering may play a role, which would also lead to an apparent shift of the whole distribution to higher velocities.



Fig. 2. Measured intensities of fluorine atoms under typical operating conditions of the source as a function of velocity

From these considerations the temperature  $T$  given above may be regarded as an upper limit.

## **3. Discussion**

The main advantages of the present source are, besides the relatively simple microwave operation, the high purity of the beam and the high atom density. As 99.99 % Fluorine is used together with a sapphire tube, the system, once passivated, yields almost only F atoms. Another advantage of the pure gas and the sapphire tube combination is the almost complete elimination of higher-order fluorides from the discharge, leading to an easy ignition and a very good long-term stability of the source. The fact that the source could be operated over a wide range of gas flows from  $0.1 \text{ mbar} \text{ls}^{-1}$  to  $0.8 \text{ mbar} \text{ls}^{-1}$  with more than 97 % of dissociation, seems to rule out the need for any carrier gas. The same argument seems to hold for oxygen and oxygen containing molecules, which are assumed to catalyze F atom formation in  $F_2$ -Ar and  $CF<sub>4</sub>$  discharges [5]. The low required pumping speed per mole of produced  $F$  atoms is especially important in beam experiments.

Unfortunately the dielectric constant of sapphire  $(\varepsilon)$  $= 10$ ) [19], and consequently the dielectric loss factor, is about as twice as high as the one of quartz. This seems to be the reason for the relatively large amount of energy required for 99% dissociation of 0.1 mbar ls<sup>-1</sup>  $F_2$ , which is 25 W. Moderate compressed

air cooling, however, has been found to be largely sufficient during many hundred hours of operation until now.

*Acknowledgements.* I would like to thank Prof. Dr. J. P. Toennies for suggesting this work, for many stimulating discussions during its course and for reading and commenting on the manuscript. Furthermore I wish to thank Dr. E. Jacob, MAN, Neue Technologic, Munich, for suggesting the use of a synthetic sapphire discharge tube, for introduction to fluorine handling, for supplying the high purity  $F_2$  gas and for calling part of the literature to my attention. I also thank Dr. J. Wanner, Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Garching, and Prof. Dr. L. Y. Rusin, Institute of Chemical Physics, Adacemy of Sciences, Moscow, and K. Müller for many helpful discussions. I am also grateful to K. Miiller for his help with the measurements.

## **References**

1. H.Gg. Wagner, J. Wolfrum: Angew. Chem. (Ink Ed.) 10, 604 (1971)

- 2. J. Berkowitz, A.C. Waht: Adv. Fluorine Chem. 7, 147 (1973) E.A. Colbourn, M. Dagenais, A.E. Douglas, J.W. Raymonda: Can. J. Phys. 54, 1343 (1976)
- 3. P.C. Nordine, D.E. Rosner: J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I 72, 1526 (1976)
- 4. H.Gg. Wagner, J. Warnatz, C. Zetzsch : Angew. Chem. (Int. Ed.) 10, 564 (1971)
- 5. C.E. Kolb, M. Kaufman: J. Phys. Chem. 76, 947 (1972)
- 6. M.A.A. Clyne, D.J. McKenney, R.F. Walker: Can. J. Chem. 51, 3596 (1973)
- 7. D.E. Rosner, H.D. Allendorf: J. Phys. Chem. 75, 308 (1971)
- 8. J.C. Polanyi, K.B. Woodall: J. Chem. Phys. 57, 1574 (1972)
- 9. L. Stein, J. Wanner, H. Walther: J. Chem. Phys. 72, 1128 (1980)
- 10. V.S. Arutyunov, A.M. Chaikin: Kinet. Katal. 18, 157t (1977)
- 1t. M.E. Jacox: Chem. Phys. 42, 133 (1979)
- 12. E. Jacob: MAN Munich (private communication)
- 13. B. Lantzsch: Max-Planck-Institut für Strömungsforschung, Report 120/1974
- 14. R.E. Center, A. Mandl: J. Chem. Phys. 57, 4104 (1972)
- 15. D. Rapp, P. Englander-Golden: J. Chem. Phys. 43, 1464 (1965)
- 16. EMI Note R/PO34Y72
- 17. H.W. Drawin: Z. Physik 164, 513 (1961)
- 18. H. Pauly, J.P. Toennies: Methods Exp. Phys. 7A, 227 (1968)
- 19. Manufacturer's specification (Tyco Saphicon, Milford NH, USA)