

# Tritium Isotope Separation by CO<sub>2</sub>-Laser Irradiation at Low Temperatures

K. Takeuchi and S. Satooka

The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research, Wako, Saitama 351, Japan

## Y. Makide

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113, Japan

Received 4 August 1983/Accepted 21 October 1983

Abstract. Tritium isotope separation by  $CO_2$ -laser induced multiphoton dissociation of  $CTF_3$  is investigated. For the optimization of the performance of this working substance, trifluoromethane, the conditions to yield high-selectivity at high-operating pressure and low-critical fluence for complete dissociation are studied using our deconvolution procedure. The irradiation conditions are varied over the following ranges; wavenumber:  $1052-1087 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , gas temperature:  $25 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-78 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $CHF_3$  pressure:  $5-205 \,\text{Torr}$ . The selectivities exceeding  $10^4$  are observed for  $85-205 \,\text{Torr}$   $CHF_3$  at  $-78 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  by the irradiation at  $1057 \,\text{cm}^{-1}$ .

PACS: 82.50, 82.40, 42.60

As much as  $2.5 \times 10^4$  Ci of tritium (<sup>3</sup>H or T) is accumulated in spent nuclear fuel after the generation of  $10^9$  W·yr of electricity by typical nuclear reactors [1], and is released mainly at reprocessing plants.

The concern to control tritium effluent to the environment is fortified by the fact that tritium is very easily taken into living organisms in the form of tritiated water (HTO).

For the purpose of removal of tritium at the very lowlevel from the effluent of reprocessing plants, a development of a new tritium separation process is called for.

Laser-induced tritium isotope separation technique may be ideal for such an object, since the required energy for separation is directly related to the tritiated molecules present at very low-concentration levels while major untritiated molecules are left unaffected.

In 1979, our group [2] and the group at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) [3] both performed the fundamental vibrational frequency analysis of halogenated methanes independently and reached the same conclusion that among tritiated halogenated methanes only tritiated trifluoromethane  $(CTF_3)$  has selective absorption in the CO<sub>2</sub>-laser range.

Each group learned the effort of the other group after laser isotope separation of tritium was first published by our group [4]. The LLNL group measured the spectrum of synthesized  $CTF_3$  [5] and confirmed the predicted absorption of  $CTF_3$ .

Although trifluoromethane had been reported as an excellent working substance for laser-induced deuterium separation [6], the use of this substance for tritium isotope separation was not straightforward, since the  $v_5$  absorption mode of CDF<sub>3</sub> at 970–980 cm<sup>-1</sup> is estimated to shift away from the CO<sub>2</sub> laser range when it is tritiated. After the vibrational frequency analysis, it was revealed that a new  $v_2$  absorption of CTF<sub>3</sub> is located in the 9 µm range of a CO<sub>2</sub> laser.

Tritium isotope separation by  $CO_2$ -laser induced multiphoton dissociation of trifluoromethane has been intensively investigated by our group [7–10] since then. A selectivity – determining mechanism was revealed in the course of kinetic studies: the selectivity was controlled by the direct multiphoton dissociation of  $CHF_3$  rather than V–V energy transfer from multiphoton excited  $CTF_3$  to unexcited  $CHF_3$ .

The fact that  $CHF_3$  dissociation was unaffected by  $CTF_3$  dissociation was confirmed by two-experimental results: A 10<sup>3</sup>-fold change of  $CTF_3$  partial pressure does not affect the specific dissociation rates for both  $CHF_3$  and  $CTF_3$  [7], and a reduction of the gas temperature increased the selectivity and the operating pressure remarkably [10].

Newly developed deconvolution procedure [9, 11] was applied to the analysis of batch irradiation experiments. It was found that the relation between the selectivity and the operating pressure is controlled by two different mechanisms. At lower pressures, isotopically-selective slightly pressure-dependent process dominates, while it is overwhelmed by isotopically non-selective strongly pressure-dependent process at higher pressures. It was discussed in [9] that this process is neither due to simple gas-heating effect nor collision-induced dissociation of multiphoton excited molecules alone. The nature of this second process is still partly unknown. Needless to mention, this process is not related to gas breakdown. The advent of this process is controlled by pressure but not by fluence: even at the lowest fluence in the experiment the selectivity was found to be lost when the pressure is above a certain value. More detailed investigation of this process is expected to help understanding the role of collisions in multiphoton dissociation.

From a practical viewpoint, it is necessary to optimize the gas temperature and irradiation wavelength in order to obtain the best performance of this working substance, trifluoromethane. Three major criteria of such optimization are operating pressure, selectivity and critical fluence. While the selectivity should satisfy a certain requirement, increase of the operating pressure and decrease of the critical fluence are strongly required for the increase of the process treatment rate.

Although thorough optimization of the whole process should be done after the design of a new continuous photoreactor for tritium isotope separation, it is very useful at this stage to know how these criteria can be affected by controllable variables such as temperature and irradiation wavenumber.

#### 1. Experiment

Preparation of the tritiated trifluoromethane was similar to the procedure previously reported [9].

The beam from a TEA-CO<sub>2</sub> laser (Lumonics 821) with long pulse duration (100 ns FWHM spike followed by

 $\sim 1 \,\mu s$  tail) was focused by a BaF<sub>2</sub> lens (f.l. = 38 cm) into a Pyrex glass cell (45 cm in length, 2 cm in diameter) equipped with a glass circulation pump to avoid incomplete mixing at high-pressures.

The cell was placed in a thermally insulated box packed with crushed dry ice or filled with coolant slush while the KBr windows and the circulation pump were kept at the room-temperature. The temperature of the reaction region near the focal spot ( $\sim 20$  cm in length) was kept at -78 °C with dry ice or at -47 °C with *m*-xylene slush. A heat-exchange section was inserted between the pump placed at the room-temperature and the cell immersed in the coolant.

The depletions of  $CHF_3$  and  $CTF_3$  were measured with a thermal-conductivity detector and a proportional counter, respectively, after the gas chromatographic separation (Porapak Q).

## 2. Deconvolution

Our deconvolution procedure was explained previously [9]. Briefly, the pulse energy  $(E_0)$  dependence of the specific dissociation rate  $b [\equiv -\ln(1-X)/t, X$  being the fraction dissociated after t pulse irradiation] is converted to the fluence  $(\Phi)$  dependence of the fractional conversion per pulse (q) as follows. The relation between q and  $\Phi$  is assumed to be expressed as

$$q = (\Phi/\Phi_c)^n \quad \text{for} \quad \Phi < \Phi_c, \tag{1a}$$

$$q=1$$
 for  $\Phi \ge \Phi_c$ . (1b)

The beam envelope is given by

$$r^2 = r_t^2 (1 + Z^2/a^2). (2)$$

The value *n* of (1a) is determined as the slope of the log *b* vs. log  $E_0$  plot in the low-pulse energy region. With the experimentally determined dimensionless reaction volume  $Y \ (\equiv bV_{cell}/V_f, V_{cell}: cell volume, V_f:$  focal volume), the dimensionless fluence  $F \ (\equiv \Phi/\Phi_c, \Phi_c: critical fluence)$  is calculated as

$$Y = \Theta \cdot F^n \quad \text{for} \quad F < 1 \,, \tag{3}$$

$$\Theta = \int_{0}^{L/a} (1+k^2)^{1-n} dk, \qquad (4)$$

$$Y = (F-1)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{3}(F-1)^{3/2} + \Psi F^n \quad \text{for} \quad F \ge 1,$$
 (5)

$$\Psi = \int_{(F-1)^{1/2}}^{L/a} (1+k^2)^{1-n} dk, \qquad (6)$$

where L and a denote the cell half length and the Rayleigh range, respectively. Finally, q is obtained from F according to (1a) or (1b) for given  $\Phi$ . The previously determined value (0.0148 cm<sup>2</sup>) was used for the effective spot size  $(\pi r_t^2)$ .

Tritium Isotope Separation

## 3. Results and Discussion

In order to investigate the dependences of  $\Phi_c$  and *n* on operating pressure, irradiation wavelength and temperature, the experimental conditions must be carefully chosen since there are regions, where experiments are not possible due to either the non-selective process or gas breakdown.

The measurement of  $b_H$  (the specific dissociation rate of CHF<sub>3</sub>) is further limited. The non-selective process or gas breakdown tends to occur at relatively lowpressure as the irradiation wavenumber and/or the gas temperature are increased. On the contrary, irradiation at lower wavenumber and/or at lower temperature tends to suppress the dissociation of CHF<sub>3</sub> drastically and the measurement of  $b_H$  becomes increasingly difficult.

#### 3.1. Fluence Dependence of CTF<sub>3</sub> Dissociation

## The Effect of Temperature

The  $q_T$  vs.  $\Phi$  plot after deconvolution for the mixture of 1- $\mu$ Torr CTF<sub>3</sub> and 5-Torr CHF<sub>3</sub> is shown in Fig. 1 for three temperatures levels: +25 °C (Curve c), -47 °C (Curve b), and -78 °C (Curve a). The laser line chosen was 9R(14) at 1075 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The values for  $\Phi_{cT}$  and  $n_T$  are found almost unaffected by a temperature change from 25° to -78 °C, as shown in Table 1.

## The Effect of CHF<sub>3</sub> Pressure

The effect of  $CHF_3$  pressure on the dissociation of  $CTF_3$  is shown both in Fig. 1 and Table 1. The gas



Fig. 1. Fractional conversion per pulse  $q_T$  for CTF<sub>3</sub> vs. fluence  $\Phi$ 

	CHF <sub>3</sub> pressure	Line	Wave-	Temper-	$\Phi_{cT}$	$n_T$
	[Torr]		[cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	ature [°C]	[J/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	
a	5	9 <i>R</i> (14)	1075 -	- 78	115	2.8
b	5	9R(14)	1075 -	-47	138	2.6
$c^{a}$	5	9R(14)	1075 -	+25	136	2.5
d	85	9R(14)	1075 -	- 78	76	4.9
е	85	9P(8)	1057 -	- 78	62	5.8
f	45	9R(14)	1075 -	- 78	79	3.4
ga	45	9R(14)	1075 -	+25	47	5.4
ĥª	35	9R(14)	1075 -	+25	60	4.2
i <sup>a</sup>	25	9R(14)	1075 -	+25	65	4.4
ja	15	9R(14)	1075 -	+25	110	2.6
kª	5+ 20 Torr Ar	9R(14)	1075 -	+25	65	3.3
la	5+ 60 Torr Ar	9R(14)	1075 -	+25	39	4.2
mª	5 + 100 Torr Ar	9 <b>R</b> (14)	1075 -	+ 25	34	4.8

<sup>a</sup> Our preceding work [9]

Table 2. Values of  $n_H/n_T$ 

	CHF <sub>3</sub> pressure [Torr]	Line	Wave- number [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	Temper- ature [°C]	n <sub>T</sub>	$n_H/n_T$
d	85	9 <i>R</i> (14)	1075 -	- 78	4.9	1.23
j <sup>a</sup>	15	9R(14)	1075	25	2.6	1.15
c <sup>a</sup>	5	9R(14)	1075	25	2.5	1.12
mª	5+100 Torr Ar	9 <i>R</i> (14)	1075	25	4.8	1.27

<sup>a</sup> Our preceding work [9]. The cases when the CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure was above 15 Torr at 25 °C were excluded because the reaction mechanism of CHF<sub>3</sub> is changed

temperature was fixed at  $-78 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  and the irradiation line at  $9R(14) \,(1075 \,\text{cm}^{-1})$ , while the CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure was chosen at 5 Torr (Curve *a*), 45 Torr (Curve *f*) or 85 Torr (Curve *d*).

The value of  $n_T$  increases and that of  $\Phi_{cT}$  decreases with increasing CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure. Also shown in Table 2 is the CHF<sub>3</sub> or buffer gas pressure dependence of  $n_T$  at the room-temperature, as reported in [9], which exhibits the same trend.

## The Effect of Irradiation Wavelength

Results of the relation between  $q_T$  and  $\Phi$  at R(14) line (1075 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and P(8) line (1057 cm<sup>-1</sup>) are compared in Fig. 1 for 85-Torr CHF<sub>3</sub> at -78 °C (Curve *d* vs. Curve *e*). Table 1 summarizes the values of *n* and  $\Phi_{cT}$ . The variation of the irradiation laser line from 9R(14) at 1075 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 9P(8) at 1057 cm<sup>-1</sup> does not change  $n_T$  significantly.

All the data in Table 1 show strong correlation between  $n_T$  and CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure, and negligible dependences of  $n_T$  on temperature and irradiation wavelength in the region studied.

At first, the relation between  $\Phi_{cT}$  and CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure will be discussed. The decrease of  $\Phi_{cT}$  with increasing CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure is a characteristic behavior of the small molecules observed in CHF<sub>2</sub>Cl [12], CF<sub>3</sub>I [13], CF<sub>3</sub>Cl [14], CDF<sub>3</sub> [15], etc. This phenomenon is considered due to collisional rotational hole filling that increases the average number of photons absorbed by one molecule at a given laser fluence. For the 9P(8) line (1057 cm<sup>-1</sup>) irradiation at -78 °C, the trend is observed to continue until CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure reaches 100 Torr, while further increase in CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure reverses the trend due to collisional quenching.

Compared with a monotonous decrease of the specific dissociation rate at constant pulse energy (or equally monotonous increase in  $\Phi_{cT}$  with pressure) in the 10–20 Torr range for larger molecules as penta-fluoroethane-T [16] (CF<sub>3</sub>CTF<sub>2</sub>), trifluoromethane-T (CTF<sub>3</sub>) was found to give a maximum dissociation rate at relatively high operating pressure.

Since operation at high-pressures is necessry in realistic laser isotope separation processes [17], it is advantageous for CTF<sub>3</sub> that the minimum  $\Phi_{cT}$  is obtained at high-pressure using CO<sub>2</sub> lasers with standard pulse duration (100 ns - 1 µs). For an improvement of the characteristic curve of  $\Phi_{cT}$  vs. operating pressure of halogenated ethanes such as CF<sub>3</sub>CTF<sub>2</sub>, the use of a short-pulse CO<sub>2</sub> laser may be required.

Secondly, the regular trend of increasing the value of  $n_T$  with CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure (or buffer gas pressure in our preceding study [9]) should be noted in Table 1. It may reflect an intrinsic effect of molecular collisions to multiphoton dissociation. For a qualitative explanation of the increasing trend of *n* with pressure, it will be necessary to solve numerically master equations of multiphoton dissociation with collisional terms included.

#### 3.2. Fluence Dependence of CHF<sub>3</sub> Dissociation

As mentioned in Sect. 3.1, the condition where both  $b_T$ and  $b_H$  can be measured is limited. Figure 2 shows the plot of  $q_T$  and  $q_H$  as a function of  $\Phi$  for 5-Torr CHF<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C and for 85-Torr CHF<sub>3</sub> at -78 °C. These two conditions were chosen as the cases, where the comparison of  $n_T$  and  $n_H$  is possible. Direct comparison of the values of the selectivity for the two cases is meaningless due to the following reason.

In the former case, the selectivity is constant (~50) until the CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure reaches 15 Torr but it decreases rapidly above 15 Torr for the irradiation at 9R(14) line (1075 cm<sup>-1</sup>) at 25 °C. Namely, the former condition is at the plateau of the characteristic curve of the selectivity vs. pressure.

On the other hand, the latter condition [CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure 85 Torr, -78 °C, 9R(14) line at  $1075 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ] is in the region, where the selectivity starts to fall off with



Fig. 2.  $q_T$  and  $q_H$  vs.  $\Phi$  for 5 Torr CHF<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C and for 85 Torr CHF<sub>3</sub> at -78 °C

increasing pressure. The selectivity value at the plateau, if it exists, cannot be accurately determined in the latter case since it must be higher than  $10^3$ .

Instead of a direct comparison of the values of selectivity, a comparison of the values of  $n_T$  and  $n_H$  may be quite suggestive. As shown in Table 2, the ratio  $n_H/n_T$ is between 1.1 and 1.3 for all the cases studied including the previously mentioned cases at the roomtemperature [9], although the value of  $n_T$  varies from 2.5 to 4.9 depending on the CHF<sub>3</sub> or Ar pressure.

The near agreement of the values of  $n_T$  and  $n_H$  may be due to the selectivity-determining mechanisms: CHF<sub>3</sub> dissociation is originated from its multiphoton process rather than the energy transfer from CTF<sub>3</sub>. The dissociation of CHF<sub>3</sub> parallels the dissociation of CTF<sub>3</sub> and they only differ in the linear absorption crosssection.

Based on (1a), the selectivity in the region where the reaction saturation does not occur is expressed as

$$S_0 \equiv q_T / q_H = \Phi_{cT}^{-n_T} \cdot \Phi_{cH}^{n_H} \cdot \Phi^{n_T - n_H} \,. \tag{7}$$

Since  $n_T$  and  $n_H$  are close,  $S_0$  does not dependent much on  $\Phi$ , as shown by (7) and in Fig. 3.

If the geometrically biased selectivity in this region is defined by  $S \equiv b_T/b_H$ , the error, when S is used instead of  $S_0$ , is estimated as

$$\zeta = S/S_0 = \frac{\int_{0}^{L/a} (1+k^2)^{1-n_T} dk}{\int_{0}^{L/a} (1+k^2)^{1-n_H} dk}.$$
(8)



Fig. 3. Intrinsic selectivity  $S_{\rm 0}$  vs.  $\varPhi$  for the same conditions as in Fig. 2

Since  $\zeta$  in (8) does not deviate from unity significantly when  $n_T \simeq n_H$ , S is alternatively used for the discussion of the selectivity in the next section instead of  $S_0$  which requires extensive data collection for  $n_H$  and  $n_T$ .

By shifting the irradiation wavenumber from  $1075 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [9R(14) line] to  $1057 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [9P(8) line], the selectivity was further increased.

The value of  $b_H$  became too small to be determined accurately when the selectivity exceeds  $10^3$  in the depletion measurement of CHF<sub>3</sub> after irradiation.

As will be discussed next, the measurement of the selectivity at  $1057 \,\mathrm{cm^{-1}}$  at  $-78 \,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$  was attempted using a  $\mathrm{C_2F_4}$  analysis. Tuccio and Hartford [18] proposed a method to determine the selectivity in H–D separation on the basis of the following assumptions. Tetrafluoroethylene  $\mathrm{C_2F_4}$  is formed in the dissociation reaction  $\mathrm{CHF_3} \rightarrow \mathrm{CF_2} + \mathrm{HF}$  followed by the reaction  $2 \,\mathrm{CF_2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C_2F_4}$ . The  $\mathrm{CF_2}$  radical may not result in  $\mathrm{C_2F_4}$  due to secondary reactions (possibly wall reactions, reaction with oxygen leaked in the cell, etc.).

The application of this method to tritium isotope separation is simpler and straightforward compared with that of deuterium isotope separation, since the partial pressure of resonant  $CTF_3$  is more than  $10^6$ times smaller than that of  $CHF_3$ , and  $CF_2$  radical formation originated from  $CTF_3$  dissociation is negligible. When a  $CF_2$  radical is assumed to be lost in the first-order way before recombination, the disappearing rate of  $CF_2$  radicals can be written as a function of time  $\theta$  after primary dissociation by

$$\frac{d[\mathrm{CF}_2]}{d\theta} = -k_1[\mathrm{CF}_2]^2 - k_2[\mathrm{CF}_2], \qquad (9)$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the rate constants for recombination and the secondary reaction.

The rate of  $C_2F_4$  formation is given by

$$\frac{d[\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{F}_4]}{d\theta} = k_1 [\mathcal{C}\mathcal{F}_2]^2.$$
(10)



Fig. 4.  $b'_H/b_H$  vs.  $b_H$ [CHF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> plot to determine maximum value of k

Let the apparent specific dissociation rate  $b'_H$  be defined by  $b'_H = -\ln(1 - X_H)/t$  with  $X_H = 2[C_2F_4]_{\infty}/$  $[CHF_3]_0$ , where  $[CHF_3]_0$  is the CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure before irradiation and  $[C_2F_4]_{\infty}$  is the  $C_2F_4$  pressure after the termination of the radical reaction. The value for  $b'_H$  is always less than or equal to that of  $b_H$ . Since almost all  $C_2F_4$  molecules are originated from the dissociation of CHF<sub>3</sub> but not CTF<sub>3</sub>, the relation between  $b'_H$  and  $b_H$  is given by

$$b'_{H} = b_{H} \eta \equiv b_{H} \left( 1 - \frac{\ln(1+z)}{z} \right),$$
 (11)

where  $\eta$  is the efficiency of the radical recovery as  $C_2F_4$ , and the dimensionless parameter z is given by

$$z = b_H [CHF_3]_0 / k$$
 with  $k = k_2 / k_1$ . (12)

The larger the value of z is, the closer to unity becomes the value of  $\eta$ . Consequently, the  $b_H$  value can be calculated from experimentally obtained  $b'_H$  and k even if  $b_H$  cannot be determined by depletion measurement.

In order to determine the value of k, a series of experiments were performed under the condition where both  $b'_H$  and  $b_H$  can be measured simultaneously. The sample gas (CHF<sub>3</sub>: 5 Torr, CTF<sub>3</sub>: 1 µTorr) was irradiated at 9R(14) line (1075 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and -47 °C.

Figure 4 shows experimentally obtained  $b'_H/b_H$  as a function of  $b_H$  [CHF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub>. The broken lines are theoretical curves with k values assumed as given in the figure. No deviation of  $b'_H/b_H$  from unity was observed even at the lowest value of  $b_H$ [CHF<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub>.

The estimation of the experimental error involved indicates that k is not larger than  $4 \times 10^{-8}$  Torr. For H–D separation at room-temperature, k was estimated to be  $5.5 \times 10^{-8}$  Torr by Tuccio and Hartford and to be less than this value by Marling et al. [6].

The selectivity for 85-Torr  $CHF_3$  at -78 °C with irradiation at 9R(14) line  $(1075 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  is found to be

Table 3. The selectivity vs. operating pressure at  $1\,\mu\text{Torr CTF}_3$  pressure

	CHF <sub>3</sub> pressure	$b_T \times 10^6$	$b'_H  imes 10^6$	$h_H  imes 10^6$	$S = b_T / b_T$	
(I)	85 Torr	64.9	$< 3.2 \times 10^{-3}$	<4.3×10 <sup>-3</sup>	> 15,000	
(II)	165 Torr	18.0	$< 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	>11,000	
(III)	205 Torr	21.1	$< 0.83 \times 10^{-3}$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	>18,000	

Laser line: 9P(8) at 1057 cm<sup>-1</sup>

Temperature: -78 °C

Pulse energy  $E_0: 0.8 \text{ J}$ 

between 160 and 200 for the fluence range of  $40-70 \text{ J/cm}^2$ . The selectivity on the lower wavenumber side is much larger than these values. But accurate determination becomes increasingly difficult. The tetrafluorethylene concentration became below the detection limit at 9P(8) line irradiation at  $1057 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  even at such a high CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure as 205 Torr, and there was no way to investigate the selectivity vs. operating pressure characteristics at this condition. Consequently, only the minimum value of the selectivity at a certain CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure levels will be discussed assuming k is equal to the maximum possible value ( $4 \times 10^{-8}$  Torr).

Table 3 summarizes the experimental results: the selectivity is at least larger than  $10^4$  for 85, 165, and 205 Torr. The variation of the minimum value of the selectivity in Table 3 with CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure is due to the different irradiation pulse number ( $10^5$  for 85 Torr CHF<sub>3</sub>, and  $2 \times 10^5$  for 165 and 205 Torr CHF<sub>3</sub>), different values of  $b_T$  and different amount of gas injected into the radio gas chromatograph. This variation does not imply any trend in the selectivity vs. operating pressure characteristics.

#### 3.3. MPD Spectra

## MPD Spectrum of CTF<sub>3</sub>

Figure 5 indicates the effects of temperature and gas pressure on the MPD spectrum ( $q_T$  vs. irradiation wavenumber for fixed  $\Phi$ ). The fluence is normalized to 54 J/cm<sup>2</sup>.

For all the cases shown in Fig. 5, the value of  $q_T$  at 9P(10) line  $(1072 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  is higher than that at 9P(8) line  $(1057 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ . This naturally leads to the conclusion that the peak of the multiphoton dissociation spectra of CTF<sub>3</sub> lies between these wavenumbers but closer to  $1072 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The peak is probably located in the region of  $1065-1070 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , where the CO<sub>2</sub> laser lines are unavailable or very weak, but not in the neighbourhood of  $1057 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , as suggested by Nève de Mévergine et al. [19].

The dependence of the specific dissociation rate b on the pulse energy varies from the  $n^{th}$  power dependence



Fig. 5. MPD spectra of  $\text{CTF}_3$ :  $q_T$  vs. irradiadiation wavenumber at  $\Phi = 54 \text{ J/cm}^2$ 

in (3) to the 3/2-power dependence in the high- $E_0$  region.

Normalization of the pulse energy with 3/2-power dependence must be limited to the tightly focused geometry  $(F \ge 1)$ . For other cases  $(F \sim 1 \text{ or } F < 1)$ , (1a) should be used with accurate values of  $\Phi_{cT}$  and *n*. Application of the 3/2-power dependence may lead to erroneous spectra when the focal fluence is not much greater than the critical fluence.

The effect of the gas temperature was investigated at a fixed pressure of 5 Torr for three temperature levels:  $25 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Curve c),  $-47 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Curve b), and  $-78 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Curve a).

The spectrum for 5 Torr CHF<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C (Curve c) is relatively flat and  $q_T$  values at such wavenumber as  $1075 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [9R(14) line],  $1072 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [9R(10) line], and  $1057 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [9P(8) line] do not differ considerably.

If this were the only result obtained, it would be possible to assume that the peak is either at  $1075 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $1072 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  or  $1057 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . But the comparison of Curves *c*, *b*, and *a* yields a much closer view of the spectra.

The multiphoton spectra are expected to be sharpened by reducing the gas temperature as well as the linear absorption spectra. As a result, in the neighbourhood of the MPD peak,  $q_T$  will be increased with reduced temperature. Such increase was observed at  $1072 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [9*R*(10) line], but the trend was reversed at  $1057 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [9*P*(8) line]. This indicates that the line at  $1072 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is within the two inflection points of the spectra while the line at  $1057 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is not. This also confirms our estimation of the location of the MPD peak at  $1065-1070 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . As the next step, Curves *a*, *e*, and *f* in Fig. 5 are compared to see the effect of CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure on  $q_T$  at -78 °C. More than a four-fold increase of  $q_T$  is observed at  $1072 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [9*R*(10) line] and at  $1057 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [9*P*(8) line] when the CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure is increased from 5 to 85 Torr. This is due to the collision-assisted rotational hole-filling, as discussed in Sect. 3.1 and shown in Table 1.

## 3.4. MPD Spectra of CHF<sub>3</sub>

The temperature dependence of the MPD spectra of  $CHF_3$  was obtained only for 5-Torr  $CHF_3$ , as shown in Fig. 6. No attempt was made to investigate the  $CHF_3$  pressure dependence on the MPD spectra, because the increase of  $CHF_3$  pressure in the high wavenumber range tends to evoke the isotopically non-selective process or gas breakdown. The irradiation wavenumber was shifted to the high wavenumber side until accurate measurement of  $b_H$  became possible.

As discussed previously, the dissociation of CHF<sub>3</sub> follows the multiphoton absorption of CHF<sub>3</sub> [9]. Since the irradiation wavenumber is far from the band center of the corresponding linear absorption of CHF<sub>3</sub> near 1140 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the temperature reduction functions to decrease  $q_H$ . The very sharp increase of  $q_H$  of the curves in Fig. 6 toward the high wavenumber side may be attributed to the initiation of the isotopically non-selective process discussed in [9].

Figure 6 shows that the temperature reduction from  $25^{\circ}$  to  $-47^{\circ}$ C is more effective than that from  $-47^{\circ}$ 



Fig. 6. MPD spectra of CHF<sub>3</sub>:  $q_H$  vs. irradiation wavenumber at  $\Phi = 54 \text{ J/cm}^2$ 

to -78 °C: the curves for  $-78^{\circ}$  and -47 °C are close compared with the curve for 25 °C. This fact may support our explanation for the mechanism deteriorating the selectivity at high-pressure: absorption by molecules at hot bands excited during the duration of a laser pulse by a collisional process. Once hot-band absorption is suppressed at  $-47^{\circ}$ C, further decrease of temperature to  $-78^{\circ}$ C does not affect the MPD spectrum significantly.

#### 4. Conclusion

Multiphoton dissociation of the  $CTF_3/CHF_3$  system was investigated in relation to tritium isotope separation by CO<sub>2</sub> laser irradiation.

A deconvolution model where the fractional conversion per pulse q is expressed as  $q = (\Phi/\Phi_c)^n$  was utilized, and the effects of CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure, irradiation wavelength and gas temperature to the yield and the selectivity were tabulated in terms of the values of n and  $\Phi_c$ . Observed results are as follows.

1) The values of n is unaffected by the change of the temperature and irradiation wavenumber but it increases significantly with increasing CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure.

2) The value of  $\Phi_{cT}$  decreases with increasing CHF<sub>3</sub> pressure up to 85 Torr.

3) The value of  $n_H$  is always slightly greater than that of corresponding  $n_T$ .

4) The selectivity exceeds  $10^4$  at 9P(8) line (1057 cm<sup>-1</sup>) at -78 °C.

5) The study of the multiphoton spectra of  $\text{CTF}_3$  based on the deconvolution model shows that the peak is located at  $1065-1070 \,\text{cm}^{-1}$ .

6) The study of the MPD spectra of  $CHF_3$  gives the supporting evidence to the mechanism that deteriorates selectivity.

#### References

- 1. L.L. Burger, L.E. Trevorrow: Proc. ANS-AIChE Meeting (1976)
- Y. Ishikawa, S. Arai, R. Nakane: Proc. autumn meeting of Japan Atomic Energy Society, Tokai-mura (1979); J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 17, 275 (1980)
- I.P. Herman, J.B. Marling: Univ. Calif. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Reports UCID-18509-79-4 (Jan. 1980) and UCID-18509-80-1 (April 1980)
- Y. Makide, S. Hagiwara, O. Kurihara, K. Takeuchi, Y. Ishikawa, S. Arai, T. Tominaga, I. Inoue, R. Nakane: J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 17, 645 (1980)
- 5. I.P. Herman, J.B. Marling: J. Phys. Chem. 85, 493 (1981)
- J.B. Marling, I.P. Herman, S.J. Thomas: J. Chem. Phys. 72, 5603 (1980)
- 7. Y. Makide, S. Hagiwara, T. Tominaga, K. Takeuchi, R. Nakane: Chem. Phys. Lett. 82, 18 (1981)
- Y. Makide, S. Hagiwara, T. Tominaga, O. Kurihara, R. Nakane: Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isotopes 32, 881 (1981)

- 9. K. Takeuchi, I. Inoue, R. Nakane, Y. Makide, S. Kato, T. Tominaga: J. Chem. Phys. 76, 398 (1982)
- K. Takeuchi, O. Kurihara, S. Satooka, Y. Makide, I. Inoue, R. Nakane: J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 18, 972 (1981)
- 11. K. Takeuchi, Y. Makide, I. Inoue: J. Chem. Eng. Jpn. 16, 136 (1983)
- 12. R. Duperrex, H. van den Bergh: J. Chem. Phys. 75, 3371 (1981)
- V.N. Bagratashvili, V.S. Doljikov, V.S. Letokhov, E.A. Ryabov: Laser Induced Processes in Molecules, ed. by K.L. Kompa and S.D. Smith, Springer Ser. Chem. Phys. 6 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1979) pp. 179-185
- 14. K. Takeuchi, R. Nakane, I. Inoue: J. Chem. Eng. Jpn. 14, 395 (1981)
- 15. I.P. Herman, J.B. Marling: Chem. Phys. Lett. 64, 75 (1979)
- Y. Makide, S. Kato, T. Tominaga, K. Takeuchi: Appl. Phys. B 28, 341 (1982)
- 17. F.T. Alridge, I.P. Herman, J.B. Marling, L.L. Wood: USGRA 78, 25 (UCID-17736-78-1) (1978)
- 18. S.A. Tuccio, A. Hartford, Jr.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 65, 234 (1979)
- M. Nève de Mévergnies, F. Verhoeven, P. de Marmol, G. Koch: J. Chem. Phys. 77, 4786 (1982)