

Proof Theory for Minimal Quantum Logic: A Remark

Mitio Takano¹

Received July 29, 1994

It is remarked that the inference rule ($' \rightarrow '$) is superfluous for the sequential system GMQL introduced by H. Nishimura for the minimal quantum logic.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to show that the inference rule

$$(' \rightarrow '): \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\Delta' \rightarrow \Gamma'}$$

is superfluous for the sequential system GMQL introduced by Nishimura (1994) for the minimal quantum logic. Namely, our goal is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem. If a sequent is provable in GMQL, then it is provable in GMQL without ($' \rightarrow '$).

Remember that the antecedent Γ and the succedent Δ of the sequent $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ are finite sets of formulas.

2. AUXILIARY SYSTEM AND LEMMAS

For the proof of the above theorem, we introduce the auxiliary system GMQL[#], which is obtained from GMQL by deleting the inference rule ($' \rightarrow '$) as well as

$$(' \rightarrow): \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\Delta', \Gamma \rightarrow} \quad \text{and} \quad (\rightarrow '): \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma'}$$

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Niigata University, Niigata 950-21, Japan.

while by supplying any sequent of the form α' , $\alpha \rightarrow$ or $\rightarrow \alpha$, α' as an additional axiom sequent, and the rules $(\wedge' \rightarrow)^\#$ and $(\rightarrow \vee')^\#$ which are described below as the additional ones. Namely, the axiom sequents of $\text{GMQL}^\#$ are those having the form: $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$; α' , $\alpha \rightarrow$; or $\rightarrow \alpha$, α' ; while the inference rules of $\text{GMQL}^\#$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{extension}): & \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\Pi, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \Sigma} \\
 (\wedge \rightarrow): & \frac{\alpha, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\alpha \wedge \beta, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}, \quad \frac{\beta, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\alpha \wedge \beta, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta} \\
 (\rightarrow \wedge): & \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \alpha \quad \Gamma \rightarrow \beta}{\Gamma \rightarrow \alpha \wedge \beta}; \quad (' \rightarrow \wedge): \quad \frac{\alpha' \rightarrow \Delta \quad \beta' \rightarrow \Delta}{\rightarrow \Delta, \alpha \wedge \beta} \\
 (\vee \rightarrow): & \frac{\alpha \rightarrow \Delta \quad \beta \rightarrow \Delta}{\alpha \vee \beta \rightarrow \Delta}; \quad (\vee \rightarrow '): \quad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \alpha' \quad \Gamma \rightarrow \beta'}{\alpha \vee \beta, \Gamma \rightarrow} \\
 (\rightarrow \vee): & \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \alpha}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \alpha \vee \beta'}, \quad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \beta}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \alpha \vee \beta} \\
 (' \rightarrow): & \frac{\alpha, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\alpha'', \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}; \quad (\rightarrow '): \quad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \alpha}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \alpha''} \\
 (\wedge' \rightarrow): & \frac{\alpha' \rightarrow \Delta \quad \beta' \rightarrow \Delta}{(\alpha \wedge \beta)' \rightarrow \Delta}; \quad (\wedge' \rightarrow)^\#: \quad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \alpha \quad \Gamma \rightarrow \beta}{(\alpha \wedge \beta)', \Gamma \rightarrow} \\
 (\rightarrow \wedge'): & \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \alpha'}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, (\alpha \wedge \beta)'}, \quad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \beta'}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, (\alpha \wedge \beta)'} \\
 (\vee' \rightarrow): & \frac{\alpha', \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{(\alpha \vee \beta)', \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}, \quad \frac{\beta', \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{(\alpha \vee \beta)', \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta} \\
 (\rightarrow \vee'): & \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \alpha' \quad \Gamma \rightarrow \beta'}{\Gamma \rightarrow (\alpha \vee \beta)'}; \quad (\rightarrow \vee')^\#: \quad \frac{\alpha \rightarrow \Delta \quad \beta \rightarrow \Delta}{\rightarrow \Delta, (\alpha \vee \beta)'}
 \end{aligned}$$

We will prove the following lemmas in the next section.

Lemma 1. (1) If the sequent $\alpha'', \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ is provable in $\text{GMQL}^\#$, then so is $\alpha, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$.

(2) If the sequent $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \alpha''$ is provable in $\text{GMQL}^\#$, then so is $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, \alpha$.

Lemma 2. If the sequent $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ is provable in $\text{GMQL}^\#$, then so is $\Delta' \rightarrow \Gamma'$.

Lemma 3. (1) If the sequent $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ is provable in $\text{GMQL}^\#$, then so is $\Delta', \Gamma \rightarrow$.

(2) If the sequent $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ is provable in $\text{GMQL}^\#$, then so is $\rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma'$.

Proof of Theorem. Suppose that the sequent S is provable in GMQL . By Lemmas 2 and 3, S is provable in $\text{GMQL}^\#$. Since additional axiom sequents of $\text{GMQL}^\#$ are obtainable from axiom sequents of GMQL by (\rightarrow') or (\rightarrow) , and since the additional inference rule $(\wedge' \rightarrow)^\# [(\rightarrow \vee')^\#]$ is justified by $(\rightarrow \wedge)$ and (\rightarrow) $[(\vee \rightarrow)$ and (\rightarrow')], S is provable in GMQL without (\rightarrow') . ■

3. PROOF OF LEMMAS

Proof of Lemma 1. We will prove this by induction on the length of the given proof. We will mention only (1), and denote by S the sequent $\alpha'', \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$.

Case 1. The case where S is an axiom sequent: We divide this case into three subcases according to the form of S .

Subcase 1.1. The subcase where S is $\alpha'' \rightarrow \alpha''$: The sequent $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha''$ is obtainable from the axiom sequent $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ by (\rightarrow'') , and so is provable.

Subcase 1.2. The subcase where S is $\alpha'', \alpha' \rightarrow$: The sequent $\alpha, \alpha' \rightarrow$ is an axiom, and so is provable.

Subcase 1.3. The subcase where S is $\alpha'', \alpha''' \rightarrow$: The sequent $\alpha, \alpha''' \rightarrow$ is obtainable from the axiom sequent $\alpha, \alpha' \rightarrow$ by $('' \rightarrow)$, and so is provable.

In the rest of this proof, we let I be the last inference of the given proof of S .

Case 2. The case where I is (extension): The inference I has one of the following two forms:

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1}{\alpha'', \Gamma_2, \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1, \Delta_2}, \quad \frac{\alpha'', \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1}{\alpha'', \Gamma_2, \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1, \Delta_2}$$

In the former case, by applying (extension) to $\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1$, the sequent $\alpha, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ is provable; while in the latter case, by the induction hypothesis, $\alpha, \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1$ is provable, and so is $\alpha, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ by (extension).

Case 3. The case where I is $('' \rightarrow)$: We divide this case into two subcases according as the principal formula of I is α'' or not.

Subcase 3.1. The subcase where the principal formula of I is α'' : The inference I has one of the following two forms:

$$\frac{\alpha, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\alpha'', \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}, \quad \frac{\alpha'', \alpha, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{\alpha'', \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}$$

In the former case, $\alpha, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ is provable clearly; while in the latter case, it is provable, too, by the induction hypothesis.

Subcase 3.2. The subcase where the principal formula of I is not α'' : The inference I has the form

$$\frac{\alpha'', \beta, \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta}{\alpha'', \beta'', \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta}$$

The sequent $\alpha, \beta, \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta$ is provable by the induction hypothesis, and so is $\alpha, \beta'', \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Delta$ by (" \rightarrow ").

Case 4. The case where I is not (extension) nor (" \rightarrow "): Similar to Subcase 3.2. ■

Proof of Lemma 2. The proof is by induction of the length of the given proof. We will denote by S the sequent $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$.

Case 1. The case where S is an axiom sequent: The sequent S has one of the following three forms: $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$; $\alpha', \alpha \rightarrow$; and $\rightarrow \alpha, \alpha'$. The sequents $\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha'$; $\rightarrow \alpha', \alpha''$; and $\alpha'', \alpha' \rightarrow$ are axioms, and so are provable.

In the rest of this proof, we let I be the last inference of the given proof of S .

Case 2. The case where I is either (extension), $(\wedge \rightarrow)$, $(\rightarrow \wedge)$, $(\vee \rightarrow)$, $(\rightarrow \vee)$, (" \rightarrow "), or (\rightarrow'') : All the cases can be dealt with similarly, so we deal only with the case where I is $(\rightarrow \wedge)$. The inference I has the form

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \alpha \quad \Gamma \rightarrow \beta}{\Gamma \rightarrow \alpha \wedge \beta}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\alpha' \rightarrow \Gamma'$ and $\beta' \rightarrow \Gamma'$ are provable, and so is $(\alpha \wedge \beta)' \rightarrow \Gamma'$ by $(\wedge' \rightarrow)$.

Case 3. The case where I is either $(\wedge' \rightarrow)^\#$ or $(\rightarrow \vee')^\#$: Similar to Case 2, by applying (\rightarrow'') or (" \rightarrow ") in addition.

Case 4. The case where I is either $(\rightarrow \wedge')$ or $(\vee \rightarrow')$: Suppose that I is $(\rightarrow \wedge')$ and has the form

$$\frac{\alpha' \rightarrow \Delta_1 \quad \beta' \rightarrow \Delta_1}{\rightarrow \Delta_1, \alpha \wedge \beta}$$

By the induction hypothesis and Lemma 1, $\Delta_1' \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\Delta_1' \rightarrow \beta$ are provable, and so is $(\alpha \wedge \beta)', \Delta_1' \rightarrow$ by $(\wedge' \rightarrow)^\#$.

Case 5. The case where I is either $(\wedge' \rightarrow)$, $(\rightarrow \wedge')$, $(\vee' \rightarrow)$, or $(\rightarrow \vee')$: Similar to Case 4, by applying (\rightarrow'') or (" \rightarrow ") in addition. ■

Proof of Lemma 3. The proof is by induction on the length of the given proof, too. We will mention only (1), and denote by S the sequent $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$.

Case 1. The case where S is an axiom sequent: The sequent S has one of the following three forms: $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$; $\alpha', \alpha \rightarrow$; and $\rightarrow \alpha, \alpha'$. The sequents $\alpha', \alpha \rightarrow$ and $\alpha'', \alpha' \rightarrow$ are axioms, and so are provable.

In the rest of this proof, we let I be the last inference of the given proof of S .

Case 2. The case where I is either (extension), $(\wedge \rightarrow)$, $(\rightarrow \vee)$, $('' \rightarrow)$, $(\rightarrow ')$, or $(\vee' \rightarrow)$: All the cases can be dealt with similarly, so we suppose that I is $(\rightarrow \vee)$ and has the form

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta_1, \alpha}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta_1, \alpha \vee \beta}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\alpha', \Delta'_1, \Gamma \rightarrow$ is provable, and so is $(\alpha \vee \beta)', \Delta'_1, \Gamma \rightarrow$ by $(\vee' \rightarrow)$.

Case 3. The case where I is $(\rightarrow \wedge')$: Suppose that I has the form

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta_1, \alpha'}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta_1, (\alpha \wedge \beta)'}$$

By the induction hypothesis and Lemma 1, $\alpha, \Delta'_1, \Gamma \rightarrow$ is provable, and so is $(\alpha \wedge \beta)'', \Delta'_1, \Gamma \rightarrow$ by $(\wedge \rightarrow)$ and $('' \rightarrow)$.

Case 4. The case where I is $(\rightarrow \wedge)$: Suppose that I has the form

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \alpha \quad \Gamma \rightarrow \beta}{\Gamma \rightarrow \alpha \wedge \beta}$$

By applying $(\wedge' \rightarrow)^\#$ to $\Gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\Gamma \rightarrow \beta$, the sequent $(\alpha \wedge \beta)', \Gamma \rightarrow$ is provable.

Case 5. The case where I is $(\rightarrow \vee')$: Similar to Case 4, by applying $('' \rightarrow)$ in addition.

Case 6. The case where I is either $(' \rightarrow \wedge)$ or $(\wedge' \rightarrow)$: The inference I has one of the following two forms:

$$\frac{\alpha' \rightarrow \Delta_1 \quad \beta' \rightarrow \Delta_1}{\rightarrow \Delta_1, \alpha \wedge \beta}, \quad \frac{\alpha' \rightarrow \Delta_1 \quad \beta' \rightarrow \Delta_1}{(\alpha \wedge \beta)' \rightarrow \Delta_1}$$

In either case, by applying Lemmas 2 and 1 to $\alpha' \rightarrow \Delta_1$ and $\beta' \rightarrow \Delta_1$, the sequents $\Delta'_1 \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\Delta'_1 \rightarrow \beta$ are provable, and so is $(\alpha \wedge \beta)', \Delta'_1 \rightarrow$ by $(\wedge' \rightarrow)^\#$.

Case 7. The case where I is $(\vee \rightarrow)$: Suppose that I has the form

$$\frac{\alpha \rightarrow \Delta \quad \beta \rightarrow \Delta}{\alpha \vee \beta \rightarrow \Delta}$$

By applying Lemma 2 to $\alpha \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\beta \rightarrow \Delta$, the sequents $\Delta' \rightarrow \alpha'$ and $\Delta' \rightarrow \beta'$ are provable, and so is $\Delta', \alpha \vee \beta \rightarrow$ by $(\vee \rightarrow')$.

Case 8. The case where I is $(\rightarrow \vee)^\#$: Similar to Case 7, by applying $(\rightarrow \rightarrow)$ in addition.

Case 9. The case where I is either $(\vee \rightarrow')$ or $(\wedge' \rightarrow)^\#$: Clear, since the succedent of S is empty. ■

REFERENCE

Nishimura, H. (1994). *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **33**, 103–113, 1443–1459.