

# Asymptotic Properties of Eulerian Numbers

L. Carlitz\*, D. C. Kurtz, R. Scoville, and O. P. Stackelberg\*\*

## 1. Introduction

The Eulerian numbers [1, 3] may be defined by means of

$$\frac{1-\lambda}{1-\lambda e^{-x}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n(\lambda)}{(\lambda-1)^n} \frac{x^n}{n!} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$A_n(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n,k} \lambda^k. \tag{1.2}$$

It follows that

$$A_{0,0} = 1, \quad A_{n,0} = 0 \quad (n > 0), \tag{1.3}$$

$$A_{n+1,k} = (n-k+2) A_{n,k-1} + k A_{n,k} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$A_{n,k} = A_{n,n-k+1} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n). \tag{1.5}$$

The following table of values of  $A_{n,k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n \leq 6$ , is easily computed using (1.4):

1					
1	1				
1	4	1			
1	11	11	1		
1	26	66	21	1	
1	57	302	302	57	1

The number  $A_{n,k}$  has the following combinatorial interpretation [6, Ch. 8]. A permutation  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  of  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$  is said to have a *rise* at  $a_i$  if  $a_i < a_{i+1}$ ; also it is customary to count a conventional rise to the left of  $a_1$ . Then  $A_{n,k}$  is the number of permutations of  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$  with  $k$  rises.

Kurtz [5] has proved that

$$A_{n,k}^2 > A_{n,k-r} A_{n,k+r} \quad (0 < r < k \leq n). \tag{1.6}$$

It follows that, for odd  $n$ ,  $A_{n,k}$  has a unique maximum at  $k = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)$ ; for even  $n$ , the maximum occurs at  $k = \frac{1}{2}n$  and  $\frac{1}{2}n+1$ .

It follows from (1.1) that  $A_n(\lambda)$  satisfies the recurrence

$$A_{n+1}(\lambda) = (n+1)\lambda A_n(\lambda) + (1-\lambda)\lambda \frac{dA_n(\lambda)}{d\lambda}. \tag{1.7}$$

---

\* Supported in part by NSF grant GP-17031.

\*\* Supported in part by NSF grant GP-29008.

Frobenius [3] has noted that the  $n-1$  roots of  $\lambda^{-1}A_n(\lambda)$  are real, negative and distinct; if  $\lambda_0$  is a root then  $\lambda_0^{-1}$  is also a root. Moreover the roots of  $\lambda^{-1}A_{n+1}(\lambda)$  are separated by those of  $\lambda^{-1}A_n(\lambda)$ .

It follows from (1.4) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n A_{n,k} = n!. \quad (1.8)$$

Also by repeated differentiation of (1.7) we get

$$A'_n(1) = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)! \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$A''_n(1) = \frac{1}{12}(3n-2)(n+1)! \quad (n > 1). \quad (1.10)$$

In the present paper we shall prove the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *We have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{[x_n]} A_{n,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x \exp(-t^2/2) dt,$$

where

$$x_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{12}(n+1)} x + \frac{1}{2}(n+1). \quad (1.11)$$

**Theorem 2.** *For  $x_n$  defined by (1.11), we have*

$$\frac{1}{n!} A_{n, [x_n]} = \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi(n+1)}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{3}{2}})$$

uniformly for all  $x$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 was suggested by Harper's proof [4] of the similar result for Stirling numbers of the second kind. The proof of Theorem 2 makes use of a Berry-Esséen type rate of convergence theorem [2, p. 251] and also the strong logarithmic concavity property (1.6) of the Eulerian numbers.

## 2. Random Variables and Moments

Following Harper [4], we introduce a triangular array of row independent random variables  $X_{nk}$  taking on only the values 0 and 1 by giving their probability densities:

$$P[X'_{nk} = 0] = r_{nk}/(1+r_{nk}), \quad P[X'_{nk} = 1] = 1/(1+r_{nk})$$

for  $k=1, 2, \dots, n$ ; and  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , where  $0=r_{n1} < r_{n2} < \dots < r_{nn}$  are the negatives of the roots of  $A_n(\lambda)$ . Setting

$$S'_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X'_{nk}$$

we have

$$ES'_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/(1+r_{nk}) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\text{Var } S'_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (1/(1+r_{nk}) - 1/(1+r_{nk})^2). \quad (2.2)$$

Now

$$A_n(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^n (\lambda + r_{nk}), \quad (2.3)$$

and differentiating logarithmically, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda + r_{nk})^{-1} = A'_n(\lambda)/A_n(\lambda). \quad (2.4)$$

For  $\lambda=1$  we have then by (1.7), (1.8), (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$ES'_n = A'_n(1)/n! = (n+1)/2. \quad (2.5)$$

In similar fashion we get from (1.8), (1.9), (1.10) and (2.2)

$$\text{Var } S'_n = (A''_n(1) + A'_n(1))/A_n(1) - (A'_n(1)/A_n(1))^2 = (n+1)/12. \quad (2.6)$$

Next we compute the distribution function

$$F'_n(x) = P[S'_n \leq x] \quad (2.7)$$

by noting that

$$\begin{aligned} P[S'_n = p] &= P[\text{exactly } p \text{ of the } X'_{nk} \text{ are } 1] \\ &= \sum \prod_{k_i} (1+r_{nk_i})^{-1} \prod_{k_j} r_{nk_j}/(1+r_{nk_j}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where the  $k_i$ 's are the  $k$ 's for which  $X_{nk} = 1$ , and the  $k_j$ 's are the  $k$ 's for which  $X_{nk} = 0$ ; the number of  $k_i$ 's is  $p$  and the number of  $k_j$ 's is  $n-p$ . Since  $A_{np}$  is the elementary symmetric function of degree  $n-p$  of  $r_{n1}, r_{n2}, \dots, r_{nn}$ , it follows from (2.3 and (2.8) that

$$P[S'_n = p] = A_{n,p}/n!. \quad (2.9)$$

Hence

$$F'_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{[x]} A_{n,k}/n!. \quad (2.10)$$

### 3. The Central Limit Theorem with Error Term

Let

$$X_{n,k} = (X'_{n,k} - EX'_{n,k}) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$S_n = (S'_n - ES'_n)/(\text{Var } S'_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let  $F_{n,k}$  be the distribution function of  $X_{n,k}$ . Now it is easy to see that the Lindeberg condition

$$\lim_n \sum_k \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} x^2 dF_{n,k}(x) = 0$$

holds and the array  $X_{n,k}$  satisfies the central limit theorem. The distribution functions  $F_n$  of  $S_n$  converge pointwise to the normal distribution

$$N(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt$$

that is

$$F_n(x) = P[S_n \leq x] \xrightarrow{n} N(x) \quad \text{for all } x. \quad (3.2)$$

But by (2.5), (2.6) and (3.1)

$$P[S_n \leq x] = P\left[S'_n \leq x \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12} + \frac{n+1}{2}}\right]. \quad (3.3)$$

Put

$$x_n = x \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12} + \frac{n+1}{2}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Then by (2.7) and (2.9)

$$F_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor x_n \rfloor} A_{n,k}/n! \xrightarrow{n} N(x) \quad \text{for all } x. \quad (3.5)$$

This proves Theorem 1.

We state now a Berry-Essén type theorem giving a rate of convergence to the normal distribution for triangular arrays. The result is similar to and proved in exactly the same manner as the Theorem in [2, p. 521]:

If for  $k=1, 2, \dots, n$ ; and  $n=1, 2, \dots$

$$(\text{Var } X_{nk})^{-1} E|X_{nk}|^3 < \lambda \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a constant, and the left side of (3.6) is 1 if  $\text{Var } X_{nk} = 0$ , and if

$$\text{Var } S_n \xrightarrow{n} \infty, \quad (3.7)$$

then for all  $n$  and for all  $x$

$$|F_n(x) - N(x)| \leq 10 \lambda (\text{Var } S_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.8)$$

To apply this estimate to our array we compute

$$\begin{aligned} E|X_{nk}|^3 &= E|X'_{nk} - 1/(1+r_{nk})|^3 \\ &= (1 - 1/(1+r_{nk}))^3 (1+r_{nk})^{-1} + (1+r_{nk})^{-3} r_{nk} (1+r_{nk})^{-1} \\ &= r_{nk} (r_{nk}^2 + 1) (r_{nk} + 1)^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(\text{Var } X_{nk})^{-1} E|X_{nk}|^3 = (r_{nk}^2 + 1) (r_{nk} + 1)^{-2} \leq 1$$

since  $r_{nk} \geq 0$ , and (3.6) holds with  $\lambda = 1$ . The condition (3.7) is also satisfied, and hence by (3.8)

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor x_n \rfloor} \frac{A_{n,k}}{n!} - N(x) \right| < 10 \sqrt{12} (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $x$  and for all  $n$ .

#### 4. Asymptotic Estimates of $A_{n, [x_n]}$

We can use the central limit theorem (3.5) and the logarithmic concavity of the  $\{A_{n,k}\}$ , (1.6) to establish a local limit theorem giving asymptotic estimates of  $A_{n, [x_n]}$ .

For  $0 < x < y$  we have by (3.4) and (3.5)

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{[x_n]+1}^{[y_n]} A_{n,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \int_x^y e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$y_n = y \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} + \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

Since  $A_{n,k}$  is monotone over the range of summation we get

$$([y_n] - [x_n]) \frac{A_{n, [x_n]}}{n!} \geq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{[x_n]+1}^{[y_n]} A_{n,k} \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$([y_n] - [x_n]) \frac{A_{n, [y_n]}}{n!} \leq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{[x_n]+1}^{[y_n]} A_{n,k}. \quad (4.3)$$

Next we divide both sides of (4.2) and (4.3) by  $y-x$ , and noticing that

$$\lim_n \frac{[y_n] - [x_n]}{y-x} \sqrt{\frac{12}{n+1}} = 1,$$

we get from (4.1) that

$$\lim_n \inf \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} \frac{A_{n, [x_n]}}{n!} \geq \frac{(y-x)^{-1}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^y e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\lim_n \sup \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} \frac{A_{n, [y_n]}}{n!} \leq \frac{(y-x)^{-1}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^y e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt. \quad (4.5)$$

If we fix  $x$  and let  $y \downarrow x$  in (4.4), and if we fix  $y$  and let  $x \uparrow y$  in (4.5) we get

$$\lim_n \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} \frac{A_{n, [x_n]}}{n!} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \quad (4.6)$$

for all  $x > 0$ . Actually we can take  $x=0$  in (4.4) but we cannot take  $y=0$  in (4.5).

In similar fashion we get equation (4.6) for all  $x < 0$ , but  $x=0$  presents a special problem. If  $x=0$ , then  $x_n = \frac{n+1}{2}$ . Let  $m = \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]$ . By symmetry,

$$A_{n,m} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} A_{n,k}.$$

By (4.4) with  $x=0$  we have

$$\lim_n \inf \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} \frac{A_{n,m}}{n!} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}. \quad (4.7)$$

To bound  $A_{n,m}$  above use the logarithmic concavity (1.6) applied to  $A_{n,m}$ ,  $A_{n,[x_n]}$  and  $A_{n,[z_n]}$ , where  $z_n$  is chosen so that

$$[x_n] - m = [z_n] - [x_n] \quad (4.8)$$

and  $x$  is positive. Now

$$A_{n,[x_n]}^2 \geq A_{n,m} A_{n,[z_n]} \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} \frac{A_{n,m}}{n!} \leq \frac{\left(\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} \frac{A_{n,[x_n]}}{n!}\right)^2}{\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} \frac{A_{n,[z_n]}}{n!}}.$$

Simple computations establish

$$\left|z_n - 2x \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} - \frac{n+1}{2}\right| \leq 3, \quad (4.10)$$

and we get from (4.6)

$$\limsup_n \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} \frac{A_{n,m}}{n!} \leq \frac{(e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}/\sqrt{2\pi})^2}{e^{-\frac{(2x)^2}{2}}/\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (4.11)$$

for all  $x > 0$ . The left hand side of (4.11) is independent of  $x$ , and so letting  $x \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$\limsup_n \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}} \frac{A_{n,m}}{n!} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}. \quad (4.12)$$

Now (4.12) combined with (4.7) proves (4.6) for all  $x$ .

Finally, we can apply the central limit theorem with Berry-Esséen type error estimates (3.9) to prove Theorem 2. As before, let  $0 < x < y$ . Then by (3.9)

$$([y_n] - [x_n]) \frac{A_{n,[y_n]}}{n!} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \int_x^y e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt + \frac{20\sqrt{12}}{\sqrt{n+1}}. \quad (4.13)$$

We fix  $y$ , let  $x = y - n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  (for  $n$  large) and divide both sides of (4.13) by  $(y-x) \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}}$ . Now

$$\left|([y_n] - [x_n]) - (y-x) \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12}}\right| \leq 2,$$

and we have by (4.13)

$$\frac{A_{n,[y_n]}}{n!} \leq \sqrt{\frac{12}{n+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{n^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \int_{y-n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^y e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt \right) + O(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \quad (4.14)$$

since by (4.6)

$$\sqrt{\frac{12}{n+1}} \frac{2}{n^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{A_{n,[y_n]}}{n!} = O(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By applying the law of the mean we get

$$\frac{1}{n^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{y-n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^y e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt = e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Hence altogether we get

$$\frac{A_{n, [y_n]}}{n!} \leq \sqrt{\frac{12}{n+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (4.15)$$

for all  $y > 0$ . The constant in  $O$  is independent of  $y$ .

In similar fashion we get (as before)

$$\frac{A_{n, [x_n]}}{n!} \geq \sqrt{\frac{12}{n+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (4.16)$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ . These arguments carry over to the case  $y < x < 0$ , and so we have

$$\frac{A_{n, [x_n]}}{n!} = \sqrt{\frac{12}{m+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (4.17)$$

for all  $x \neq 0$ . For  $x=0$  we have only (4.16). To finish the proof of Theorem 2 we use the logarithmic concavity of  $\{A_{n, k}\}$ . We choose  $x_n$  and  $z_n$  as in (4.8). For convenience we work with logarithms. By (4.9)

$$\log \frac{A_{n, m}}{n!} \leq 2 \log \frac{A_{n, [x_n]}}{n!} - \log \frac{A_{n, [z_n]}}{n!}, \quad (4.18)$$

and by (4.17)

$$\log \frac{A_{n, [x_n]}}{n!} = \log \left( \sqrt{\frac{12}{n+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right) - O(e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (4.19)$$

for all  $x \neq 0$ . If we bound  $x$  by  $|x| < M$ , then the constant of  $O$  is independent of  $x$ . Now by (4.10)

$$z_n = (2x + \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{12} + \frac{n+1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\varepsilon| \leq 3 \sqrt{\frac{12}{n+1}}.$$

Then (4.19) with  $x$  bounded gives

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{A_{n, [z_n]}}{n!} &= \log \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi(n+1)}} e^{-\frac{(2x+\varepsilon)^2}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \log \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi(n+1)}} e^{-\frac{(2x)^2}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and by (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20)

$$\log \frac{A_{n, m}}{n!} \leq \log \left( \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi(n+1)}} e^{x^2} \right) + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.21)$$

uniformly for  $0 < x < M$ . The left hand side of (4.21) is independent of  $x$ , as is the constant in the  $O$  term, and hence

$$\log \frac{A_{n,m}}{n!} \leq \log \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{12}{n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}} \right) + O(n^{-\frac{1}{3}}). \quad (4.22)$$

Exponentiating and combining (4.22) and (4.16) we get

$$\frac{A_{n, [xn]}}{n!} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{6}{\pi(n+1)}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{3}}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for all  $x$ . This proves Theorem 2.

### References

1. Carlitz, L.: Eulerian numbers and polynomials. *Math. Mag.* **33**, 247-260 (1959).
2. Feller, W.: *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, vol. 2. New York: Wiley 1966.
3. Frobenius, G.: Über die Bernoullischen Zahlen und die Eulerschen Polynome. *Sitzungsberichte der Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* 1910, 809-847.
4. Harper, L. H.: Stirling behavior is asymptotically normal. *Ann. math. Statistics* **38**, 410-414 (1967).
5. Kurtz, D. C.: A note on concavity properties of triangular arrays of numbers. *J. Combinat. Theory* (to appear).
6. Riordan, J.: *An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis*. New York: Wiley 1958.
7. Foata, D., Schützenberger, M. P.: *Théorie géométrique des polynômes eulériens*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **138**. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1970.

L. Carlitz, D. C. Kurtz  
R. Scoville, O. P. Stackelberg  
Department of Mathematics  
Duke University  
Durham, N.C. 27706  
USA

(Received July 31, 1971)