# **Interrelationship of kernel water activity, soil temperature, maturity, and phytoalexin production in preharvest aflatoxin contamination of drought-stressed peanuts**

Joe W. Dorner, Richard J. Cole, Timothy H. Sanders & Paul D. Blankenship *USDA, ARS, National Peanut Research Laboratory, 1011 Forrester Drive, S. E., Dawson, Georgia 31742, USA* 

Accepted 2 November 1988

*Key words:* aflatoxin, drought, maturity, moisture, peanuts, phytoalexins

## **Abstract**

Samples of Florunner peanuts were collected throughout a period of late-season drought stress with mean geocarposphere temperatures of 29 and 25  $^{\circ}$ C, and determinations of maturity, kernel water activity (a...), percent moisture, capacity for phytoalexin production, and aflatoxin contamination were made. Results showed an association between the loss of the capacity of kernels to produce phytoalexins and the appearance of aflatoxin contamination. Kernel  $a_w$  appeared to be the most important factor controlling the capacity of kernels to produce phytoalexins. Mature peanuts possessed additional resistance to contamination that could not be attributed solely to phytoalexin production. Kernel moisture loss was accelerated in the 29  $\degree$ C treatment compared to the 25  $\degree$ C treatment, and data indicated that the higher soil temperature also favored growth and aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus flavus* in peanuts susceptible to contamination.

## **Introduction**

Preharvest aflatoxin contamination of peanuts *(Arachis hypogaea* L.) is a major economic problem of the peanut industry. *Aspergillus flavus* Link and *A. parasiticus* Speare are the two closely related species of fungi that invade peanuts and subsequently lead to their contamination with aflatoxins  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $G_1$ , and  $G_2$ . These fungi hereafter are collectively termed *A. flavus* and the toxins collectively termed aflatoxin.

Preharvest afiatoxin contamination does not occur when peanuts are provided with adequate moisture throughout the growing season; however, a close association exists between late season drought and aflatoxin occurrence in peanuts before digging [4, 8, 14, 18-20, 26]. Studies have indicated that elevated soil temperatures in addition to drought are necessary for aflatoxin contamination to occur [4, 7]. Blankenship *et al.*  [4] reported that peanuts subjected to drought stress with a mean soil temperature of 23.6  $\degree$ C or lower were not contaminated, except in damaged and loose shelled kernel categories. Cole *et aL* [7] reported a lower soil temperature limit of between 25.7 and 26.3  $\degree$ C for aflatoxin contamination of peanuts subjected to drought stress in the last 4-6 weeks of the growing season.

Preharvest'eontamination appears first, and is more concentrated in smaller, immature kernels. Commercial seed size categories, in order of decreasing size, include: jumbo, medium, number 1, other edible, and oil stock, and the smaller sizes (other edible and oil stock) correspond to the more immature peanuts. Cole *et al.* [7] reported that oil stock peanuts contained 2600 ppb aflatoxin after 30 days of stress, whereas 40-50 days of stress were required for significant contamination of jumbos. Several studies have shown consistently that levels of both *A. flavus* invasion and aflatoxin contamination are higher in smaller, more immature kernels than in mature kernels [6, 7, 14, 21, 22].

Aflatoxin contamination is not always directly correlated with incidence of invasion by *A. flavus.*  Sanders *et al.* [21] found that jumbo peanuts from a 40-day drought treatment had a  $56\%$ colonization rate by *A. flavus* with no aflatoxin contamination. In contrast, number 1 peanuts from a 30-day drought treatment had a colonization rate by *A. flavus* of only  $32.7\%$  but were aflatoxin-contaminated. Peanuts from irrigated plots were colonized by *A. flavus* at rates as high as  $25\%$  without aflatoxin contamination. Cole *et al.* [7] showed that 89% of kernels from a drought treatment were colonized with *A. flavus*  with no aflatoxin contamination, whereas a drought treatment with  $35\%$  of kernels colonized had 45 ppb aflatoxin.

Since colonization or invasion of peanuts by *A. flavus* does not always result in aflatoxin contamination, Cole *etal.* [7] suggested that after invasion occurred, growth of the fungus and aflatoxin production could not occur until a natural resistance mechanism broke down as a result of environmental (water and temperature) stress. It was suggested as early as 1972 that resistance of immature peanut pods to fungi was due to phytoalexins produced in high quantities in response to fungal infection [23 ]. Although the chemical nature of the phytoalexins was not determined, it was shown that peanuts produced phytoalexins when challenged by several species of fungi, including *A. flavus.* Several stilbene phytoalexins subsequently were isolated from inbibed peanut seeds that were sliced and incubated in the dark [1, 15-17]. Wotton and Strange [27] showed that three of these compounds inhibited spore germination and hyphal extension of *A. flavus.* 

If peanuts are protected from fungal proliferation by their capability of producing phytoalexins under ideal growing conditions (ample moisture), such a capability may be decreased or eliminated when severe drought occurs. However, there is no data to support this hypothesis. Peanut phytoalexins have been investigated with cured peanuts that were rehydrated before slicing and then incubated in a moist environment for several days before measuring phytoalexin production. Although large quantities of phytoalexins are produced in this manner, the capacity of kernels to produce phytoalexins under various field conditions remains unknown.

With the evidence suggesting phytoalexin production as a mechanism for natural resistance to contamination, a hypothesis was formulated to more fully explain the phenomenon of preharvest aflatoxin contamination of peanuts. The hypothesis is comprised of a central hypothesis and three sub-hypotheses. The central hypothesis is that phytoalexin production by peanut kernels is the natural resistance mechanism that breaks down under drought stress, allowing aflatoxin contamination to occur. The following subhypotheses serve as the basis for our experiments: (i) In preharvest peanuts, kernel water activity  $(a_{w})$  is the primary factor controlling the phytoalexin-producing capacity of peanut kernels. As kernel  $a_w$  decreases, as a result of prolonged drought, the capacity of those kernels to produce phytoalexins also decreases and eventually is lost. (ii) Immature peanuts lose the capacity to produce phytoalexins sooner than mature peanuts, leading to earlier contamination of the smaller, more immature kernels. (iii) Soil temperature controls the rate at which  $a_w$  of kernels decreases and, therefore, the length of time natural resistance via phytoalexin production persists.

This paper reports the results of experiments designed to test the hypothesis by monitoring kernel  $a_w$ , moisture, capacity for phytoalexin production, and aflatoxin contamination in peanuts of different stages of maturity during a period of drought stress with two different mean soil temperatures.

## **Materials and methods**

## *Culture and treatment of peanuts*

Florunner peanuts were grown in the environmental control plot facility at the National Peanut Research Laboratory, Dawson, GA [3]. Conventional cultural practices were used in growing peanuts through 96 days after planting (DAP) at which time drought peanuts received a final irrigation. A control plot continued to receive optimal moisture and other recommended cultural practices. Two plots were equipped with thermostatically-controlled, lead-shielded heating cables to provide a mean soil temperature of ca. 29  $\degree$ C (optimal for aflatoxin contamination  $[5]$ ). The other three plots were equipped with heating cables as well as epoxy-coated copper tubing through which cool water was circulated as necessary to maintain a mean soil temperature of ca. 25  $\degree$ C (not conducive for aflatoxin contamination [5]). Drought treatments were considered to begin with control of soil temperature at 103 DAP (7 days after final irrigation).

## *Sampling of peanuts*

Samples were collected by hand-digging ca. 18 m of row beginning at 114 DAP in the irrigated control plot, and five other samples were taken periodically with a final sampling at 184 DAP. Samples from the two drought treatments were taken at ca. weekly intervals with the initial sample from the  $29 °C$  treatment taken at 120 DAP (17 treatment days [TD]) and the final sampling at 162 DAP (59 TD). The initial sample from the 25 °C treatment was taken at 121 DAP (18TD) and the final sampling at 183 DAP (80 TD). Immediately after digging, pods were hand-picked from the plants and damaged pods were separated from sound pods.

## *Categorizing maturity stages*

Sound pods were placed in a wet impact blaster [25] to remove the exocarp and expose the color of the middle layer of the hull (mesocarp). Color and structural differences in the mesocarp are the basis for the hull-scrape maturity classification method [ 13, 24], and, on this basis, each pod was placed in one of five maturity stages. The stages in order of increasing maturity were yellow 1, yellow 2, orange, brown, and black.

#### *Water activity and moisture determinations*

Immediately after maturity classification, samples from each maturity stage were taken to determine  $a_w$  and percent kernel moisture. For  $a_w$  measurements, ca. 10 pods per maturity stage were handshelled, kernels split, and placed in sealed sample dishes at  $25^{\circ}$ C until measurements were made with a model E2BFA Beckman hygroline sensor attached to a model VFB2 Beckman hygroline flat-bed recorder (Beckman Industrial Corp., Cedar Grove, NJ). The instrument was calibrated using saturated salt slurries according to instrument instructions. Plug-in units with  $a_{\mu}$  range of 0.50-1.00 were used, and measurements were made in an incubator adjusted to  $25 + 0.2$  °C. For moisture determinations, triplicate 50-pod samples from each maturity stage were handshelled, kernels weighed, oven dried for 6 h at 130  $\degree$ C, and reweighed to determine moisture loss [2]. Percent moisture was calculated as: (initial wet weight - final weight)/initial weight  $\times 100$ .

## *Evaluation of phytoalexin-producing potential*

Standards of known stilbene phytoalexins from peanuts were not available for quantitative purposes. Therefore, phytoalexins were elicited from Florunner peanut kernels, extracted, and purified as previously described by Aquamah *et al.* [1]. Liquid chromatographic (LC) analysis of the purified extract showed seven compounds presumed to be phytoalexins, because they were present only when peanuts were wounded and challenged with fungi [ 1, 15]. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance analyses of the three major compounds showed that they were stilbenes but chemically different from those stilbene phytoaiexins previously reported from peanuts [1, 15-17]. The chemical structures and biological properties of these phytoalexins currently are under investigation.

To measure phytoalexin-producing capacity of sampled peanuts, ca. 6 g of kernels (three replications) from each maturity stage were sliced in 1-2 mm thicknesses, distributed in open 60 mmdiameter tissue culture dishes, and dusted with spores of a non-aflatoxin-producing strain of *A. parasiticus* (CP461; SRRC 2043). The open dishes were incubated in the dark at  $25 + 1.0$  °C for 4 days in sealed dessicators over unsaturated NaC1 solutions of  $a_w$  corresponding to that determined for each maturity stage at digging, in order to maintain  $a_w$  during incubation comparable to that in the field.

Each sample was extracted by homogenization for 1 min in a Waring Blendor with a volume of 95% ethanol equalling  $10 \times$  the weight of the sample. Extracts were filtered by gravity flow through Whatman  $#4$  filter paper, and 10 ml of filtrate was evaporated to dryness with a rotary evaporator at 45 °C. Samples were redissolved in 2 ml of benzene, applied to a silica Sep-PAK (Waters Assoc., Milford, MA), and eluted with 5 ml of benzene followed by 5 ml of benzene-ethyl acetate (1:1,  $v/v$ ). Twenty-five  $\mu$ l of the benzeneethyl acetate eluate was analyzed by LC with a  $\mu$ -porasil Radial-PAK cartridge (Waters Assoc.), a mobile phase of hexane: ethyl acetate: methanol  $(67:32:1, v/v/v)$ , and UV detection at 335 nm. Comparative analysis of all samples for phytoalexins was achieved by summing area counts for peaks corresponding to the phytoalexins previously purified.

#### *Aflatoxin analyses*

The remaining peanuts in each maturity stage were dried, shelled, and analyzed for aflatoxin by the method of Domer and Cole [ 12].

#### **Results**

## *Relationship between soil temperature and kernel moisture*

Peanut kernels within the five maturity stages from the irrigated control plot maintained a high, steady moisture content throughout the experiment (Fig. 1). Although differences in moisture among the five maturity stages appear great, there was no difference in their a<sub>w</sub>, which remained constant at 1.00. These peanuts consistently produced relatively high quantities of phytoalexins, and no sample contained significant aflatoxin contamination ( $> 5$  ppb).

With time, the percent moisture of droughtstressed peanuts decreased, with earlier moisture loss occurring in the 29 °C treatment compared with the 25  $\degree$ C treatment, particularly in the more immature stages (yellow 1, yellow 2, orange). However, the moisture content of kernels within a given maturity stage at any given time was not uniform. For example, in the 141 DAP (38 TD) sampling from the 29 $\degree$ C treatment, the moisture content of kernels in the yellow 2 maturity stage ranged from 40.3% to 17.0% ( $a_w$  range of 1.00 to 0.92). The yellow 1 and orange stages had similar variations, whereas moistures in the mature stages (brown, black) were more uniform. This phenomenon was observed in both drought treatments, but the quantity of low-moisture kernels within a maturity stage at a given time was greater



*Fig. i.*  Percent moisture of peanut kernels of five maturity stages from an irrigated control plot determined over the course of the experiment.

in the  $29^{\circ}$ C treatment. In addition, in the yellow 2 and orange categories, pods containing very low moisture kernels (which had released from the pod) had a characteristic mustard-colored appearance after exocarp removal, which proved to be a consistently sound indicator of low kernel moisture. Kernels in the yellow 1, yellow 2, and orange maturity stages were, thus, further segregated based on visual assessment of kernel moisture. All kernels from mustard-colored pods were placed in one group, and kernels from normally-colored pods were separated into groups of high, medium, and low moisture before determinations of moisture,  $a_{\rm w}$ , phytoalexin-producing potential, and aflatoxin contamination were made. These segregations were essential in testing the hypothesis that kernel  $a_w$  is the primary factor controlling phytoalexin-producing capacity. Any determinations made on a mix of peanuts over a wide range of  $a_w$ , obviously, would fail to reveal the relationship of  $a_w$  to the parameters of interest. Since  $a_w$  and moisture of peanuts in the brown and black maturity stages were generally quite uniform, it was not necessary to further segregate those kernels.

Comparisons of moisture content of particular maturity stages from the 29 and 25  $\degree$ C treatments were, therefore, based on up to four moisture contents. For example, in the yellow 2 group from the 29  $\degree$ C treatment sampled at 148 DAP (45 TD), the moisture content of the different segregations within the group were: mustardcolored,  $11.7\%$ ; normally-colored low moisture,  $15.0\%$ ; medium moisture,  $29.3\%$ ; high moisture,  $37.3\%$ . Therefore, to arrive at a single moisture content for each maturity stage, the weight of kernels in a given moisture range was taken into account and used in the following formula to produce a weighted kernel moisture content (WKMC) for each maturity stage:

 $WKMC =$ 

## $\Sigma$  (dry wt of each segregation  $\times$  its KMC) Sum of segregations dry wt

The moisture loss from kernels of each maturity

from the two drought treatments using the weighted kernel moisture content is illustrated in Fig. 2, indicating that moisture loss, particularly in the more immature peanuts, was accelerated in the 29 °C soil compared with the 25 °C soil. Generally, it took about a week longer for kernels in the cooler treatment to reach lower moistures previously attained in the warmer treatment.

## *Relationship between phytoalexin production and kernel* a<sub>w</sub>

The relationship between phytoalexin production and  $a_w$  is plotted in Fig. 3, with maturities and drought treatments combined. Results showed that kernels of high  $a_w$  produced abundant phytoalexins. As the  $a_w$  of kernels decreased, however, the capacity for phytoalexin production also decreased. Regardless of maturity or treatment, essentially no phytoalexin production occurred when the  $a_w$  of kernels was below 0.95.

Generally, the more immature peanuts (yellow 1, yellow 2, orange) produced more total phytoalexins than mature peanuts (brown, black) at high  $a_w$ . However, the trend toward reduced phytoalexin production as  $a_w$  decreased was the same for all maturities. Mature peanuts did not retain the capacity for phytoalexin production longer than immature peanuts. In this study, the capacity to produce phytoalexins was not dependent on maturity or treatment, but it was highly associated with  $a_{w}$ .

## *Effect of a<sub>w</sub>, treatment, and maturity on aflatoxin contamination*

There was little or no aflatoxin detected in peanuts of high  $a_{w}$ , whereas considerable variation in aflatoxin contamination was found in peanuts of low  $a_w$ . To evaluate the relationship between  $a_w$  and aflatoxin contamination, the percentages of samples contaminated with aflatoxin when  $a_w$  was both above and below 0.95 (the  $a_w$ below which the capacity to produce phytoalexins was lost) were calculated. Table 1 shows the per-



*Fig. 2.* Comparison of moisture loss of peanut kernels of five maturity stages from drought treatments at 25 and 29 °C over the course of the experiment.

centages of all samples that contained > 5 and  $>$  20 ppb aflatoxin when  $a_w$  was  $>$  or <0.95. When data from all maturities and both treatments were combined, only  $6\%$  of all samples analyzed that were  $> 0.95$  a<sub>w</sub> contained  $> 5$  ppb aflatoxin compared with  $35\%$  of the samples that were  $< 0.95 a_w$ . When 20 ppb was used as the cut-off, the percentages were  $2\%$  and  $27\%$  for  $a_w > 0.95$  and <0.95, respectively. Therefore, disregarding the effects of treatment and maturity, a significantly higher percentage of samples were contaminated at  $a_w$ 's below 0.95 compared to samples with  $a_w$  above 0.95.

The effect of soil temperature on aflatoxin contamination can be viewed similarly (Table 1). For samples of  $a_w < 0.95$  with maturities combined, 50% contained  $>$  5 ppb aflatoxin in the 29 °C treatment compared with  $26\%$  from the 25 °C treatment. Forty percent of all samples from the 29 °C treatment contained  $>$  20 ppb compared with 19% of samples from the 25  $\degree$ C treatment when  $a_w$  was < 0.95. In addition to the effect soil temperature had on accelerating loss of kernel moisture, the higher soil temperature also resulted in twice as many contaminated samples.

The effect of maturity on aflatoxin contami-

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

*Fig. 3.* Relationship of phytoalexin production to kernel water activity (a<sub>w</sub>). Total phytoalexins were determined by combining **areas under phytoalexin peaks (area counts).** 

**nation can be seen with treatments both separated**  and combined (Table 1). For samples of  $\lt 0.95$ **aw and with treatments combined, the percentage of samples > 5 ppb decreased with increasing maturity accordingly: yellow 1, 67%; yellow 2, 50%; orange, 24%; brown, 17%; black, 17%. The percentage of samples > 20 ppb followed the same trend: yellow l, 58%; yellow2, 35%; orange, 24%; brown, 8%; black, 8%. These results showed that immature peanuts were more likely to be contaminated than mature peanuts.** 

## *Relationship between phytoalexin production and aflatoxin contamination*

**Table 2 compares phytoalexin production to aflatoxin contamination from each sampling and each maturity stage with the drought treatments separated. In samplings where yellow 1, yellow 2, and orange peanuts were further segregated based on visual moisture assessment, the data are from the** 

*Table 1.* **Percentages of peanut samples contaminated** with aflatoxin at  $> 5$  and  $> 20$  ppb when water activity  $(a_w)$  was  $>$  and  $<$  0.95.

Maturity/treatment	$a_w > 0.95$		$a_w < 0.95$	
	$>$ 5 ppb	$>20$ ppb	$>$ 5 ppb	$>20$ ppb
Maturities and				
treatments				
combined	6	2	35	27
All maturities/25 $^{\circ}$ C	7	0	26	19
All maturities/29 $^{\circ}$ C	5	5	50	40
Yellow $1/25$ °C	18	0	57	43
Yellow $1/29 °C$	0	$\Omega$	80	80
All yellow 1	13	0	67	58
Yellow $2/25 °C$	0	0	50	33
Yellow $2/29$ °C	17	17	50	37
All yellow 2	5	5	50	35
Orange/25 $\degree$ C	8	0	8	8
Orange/29 $\degree$ C	0	0	50	50
All orange	6	0	24	24
Brown/25 $\degree$ C	0	0	$\theta$	$\theta$
Brown/29 $^{\circ}$ C	0	0	50	25
All brown	0	0	17	8
Black/25 $\degree$ C	0	0	14	14
Black/29 $^{\circ}$ C	0	0	20	0
All black	0	0	17	8

![](_page_7_Picture_199.jpeg)

*Table 2.* Phytoalexin production and aflatoxin contamination in five maturities of peanuts grown under drought stress at two soil temperatures.

<sup>a</sup> Data are the mean of three determinations.

driest (lowest  $a_w$ ) kernels from each group. For example, in the 38 TD sampling from the 29  $^{\circ}$ C treatment there were yellow 1, yellow2, and orange maturity stage kernels of high  $a_w$  that produced abundant phytoalexins and had no aflatoxin contamination. However, the driest kernels in each of those categories produced no phytoalexins, and for comparative purposes data from those kernels are presented.

As long as peanuts had the capacity for phytoalexin production, they were not contaminated with aflatoxin (Table 2). At both temperatures, there were 1-2 weeks between the loss of phytoalexin-producing capacity and significant aflatoxin contamination. Significantly, however, this was true only for the more immature peanuts. Kernels in the mature brown and black categories exhibited sustained resistance to aflatoxin contamination well after the disappearance of phytoalexin production, indicating that mature peanuts have additional resistance that cannot be attributed solely to these phytoalexins.

In the 29  $\degree$ C treatment, the capacity to produce phytoalexins was lost in all maturity stages between 24 and 31 TD. However, for the comparable period (25-32 treatment days) in the 25  $^{\circ}$ C treatment, the yellow 1, brown, and black categories retained the capacity for significant phytoalexin production. These data support the results presented concerning the effect of soil temperature on the rate of moisture loss from kernels. Just as it took longer for peanuts to dry down in the cooler soil, likewise phytoalexin-producing capacity lasted longer in the cooler soil.

Temperature also appeared to affect aflatoxin contamination independent of phytoalexin pro-

125

duction and  $a_{\infty}$ . The contamination pattern was much more consistent in the 29 °C treatment than in the 25  $\degree$ C treatment, indicating that not only was more time required at the lower soil temperature to reduce the kernel  $a_w$  to a range in which peanuts become susceptible to contamination, but lower temperature also was less favorable for growth and aflatoxin-producing potential of *A. flavus.* 

## **Discussion**

Experiments were conducted to monitor kernel  $a_w$ , kernel moisture, capacity for phytoalexin production, and aflatoxin contamination in preharvest peanuts during late-season drought with two different mean soil temperatures. The results validated certain aspects of the hypothesis, invalidated others, and shed new light on the interrelationship of the factors involved in preharvest aflatoxin contamination of peanuts. Data supported the central hypothesis that phytoalexin production by peanut kernels is the natural resistance mechanism that breaks down under drought stress allowing aflatoxin contamination to occur, since significant aflatoxin contamination did not occur until after the capacity to produce phytoalexins was lost. This was particularly true for immature peanuts; however, mature peanuts seemed to possess additional resistance beyond that which could be attributed to phytoalexins.

Data also supported the first sub-hypothesis that the capacity for phytoalexin production is controlled primarily by kernel  $a_{w}$ . A clear relationship between the capacity for phytoalexin production and  $a_w$  was seen. Data indicated that Florunner peanuts produce sufficient phytoalexins at high  $a_w$  (> 0.97) to inhibit growth of A. fla*vus* and subsequent aflatoxin contamination. However, regardless of soil temperature or maturity, peanuts could not synthesize phytoalexins when  $a_w$  dropped below 0.95. Observations not reported in the results, concerning the growth of *A. parasiticus* on sliced peanuts incubated for 4 days, also support this subhypothesis. A consistent observation was that growth of A. *parasiticus* 

on sliced kernels, which were near or at a  $a_w$  of 1.00, was severely restricted even though inoculation with abundant *A. parasiticus* spores had taken place. Growth of other fungi (that may have been present) also was restricted at these high  $a_{\rm w}$ 's. This observation is consistent with data reported by Wotton and Strange [28 ] from a timecourse study of colonization of intact peanut kernels by *A. flavus.* They found that after 2 days of incubation, virtual cessation of fungal growth was correlated with increased phytoalexin concentration. However, in our study, sliced kernels near a  $a_w$  of 0.95 (limit for phytoalexin production) supported abundant growth and sporulation of A. parasiticus. As the  $a_w$  of sliced kernels approached 0.92-0.90, growth of *A. parasiticus*  was very slow. Diener and Davis [9, 10] reported that the limiting relative humidity for aflatoxin production after 21 days of incubation at 30  $^{\circ}$ C was ca.  $85\%$  in both sterile and freshly dug peanuts. However, aflatoxin production was much lower as the relative humidity dropped below 89–90 $\frac{\gamma}{6}$ . Diener and Davis [11] speculated that, since drought stress decreases the moisture content of the pod and kernels, they become more susceptible to fungal invasion because of reduced physiological activity of the peanut. Results of our study indicate that this reduced physiological activity may in fact be reduced phytoalexin production, but the effect is on the growth of *A. flavus* in the peanuts rather than on invasion by *A. flavus.* Therefore, it seems that peanuts are most susceptible to aflatoxin contamination when their  $a_w$  is between 0.95 and 0.90. Above  $a_w$  of 0.95, phytoalexins seem to inhibit growth of *A. flavus* and aflatoxin production. As  $a_w$  drops below 0.90, growth and aflatoxin production again become restricted by the reduced availability of water in the peanuts.

The data presented in Table 2 indicated that the relative amounts of phytoalexins that could be produced by peanut kernels was not as important as simply having the capacity for significant production. Only one exception to this statement was found, that being in yellow 1 peanuts from the 37 TD sample from the 25  $\degree$ C treatment. But here, the very low phytoalexin production observed indicated that the majority of kernels already had lost the capacity to produce phytoalexins, and the low aflatoxin concentration indicated that most kemels still were not contaminated at that time. Wotton and Strange [27, 28] concluded that resistance of peanuts to aflatoxin contamination might depend on a rapid and efficient phytoalexin response. However, our studies indicate that as long as  $a_w$  is high enough to support phytoalexin production, sufficient growth of *A. flavus* for aflatoxin production to occur does not take place. It was only after the capacity for phytoalexin production was lost that preharvest aflatoxin contamination of peanuts took place. Therefore, in selecting genotypes for resistance to aflatoxin contamination, it might be worthwhile to look for the capacity to produce phytoalexins at lower  $a_w$ .

The second sub-hypothesis tested in this study related to the increased resistance that large, presumably mature peanuts have been shown to possess compared with small, presumably immature peanuts. We hypothesized that if phytoalexin production was the mechanism of resistance, then immature peanuts must lose that resistance earlier than mature peanuts, leading to earlier and higher levels of contamination. This point was invalidated by this study. It was shown that the capacity for phytoalexin production in both mature and immature peanuts was most influenced by kernel  $a_w$ , and that peanuts of all maturity stages lost the capacity for phytoalexin production at about the same time (ca. 24–31 treatment days in the 29  $\degree$ C treatment and ca. 30-37 treatment days in the 25  $\degree$ C treatment). However, because of the delay in or absence of aflatoxin contamination in mature peanuts, it seems apparent that mature peanuts possess additional resistance to contamination that cannot be attributed solely to phytoalexins.

The final sub-hypothesis stated that the role of elevated soil temperature in preharvest aflatoxin contamination of peanuts was in controlling the rate at which  $a_w$  of kernels decreases. Results showed that, particularly in the more immature stages, the 29  $\degree$ C soil temperature did accelerate moisture loss from kernels compared with the 25 °C treatment. However, an additional effect of

high temperature on aflatoxin contamination also was observed. At 29  $\degree$ C 50% of all samples below 0.95  $a_w$  were contaminated above 5 ppb, whereas only 26% of samples below 0.95  $a_w$  had similar contamination at  $25^{\circ}$ C. This indicated that the higher soil temperature also promoted growth and aflatoxin production by *A. flavus* after phytoalexin-producing capacity was lost.

In conclusion, the interrelationship of several factors seems to be involved in preharvest aflatoxin contamination of peanuts. The scheme in Fig. 4 is an illustration of this interrelationship based on data from the current study as well as prior studies. Simply stated, for a peanut kernel to become contaminated with aflatoxin, it must first be colonized or invaded by *A. flavus,* and the fungus then must grow and produce toxin. Data indicate, however, that under adequate moisture conditions invasion of the fungus elicits phytoalexin production by the peanut that is inhibitory to fungal growth and precludes subsequent aflatoxin contamination. However, when peanuts are subjected to drought stress, two factors are directly affected. First is the soil temperature, which rises dramatically as the peanut canopy recedes [7]. Second is the kernel  $a_w$ , which drops as a result of being in dry soil for an extended period. Elevated soil temperature, in turn, directly affects kernel aw, growth of *A. flavus,* and aflatoxin production. The drop in kernel  $a_w$  is accelerated by the higher soil temperature. Also,

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

*Fig. 4.* Scheme showing the interrelationship of several factors involved in preharvest aflatoxin contamination of peanuts. Arrows indicate an influence of one factor upon another.

growth of *A. flavus* and aflatoxin production are favored by high soil temperature, normally associated with drought stress. Ultimately, however, it seems that the factor most important in the contamination process, particularly in the more susceptible immature kernels, is kernel  $a_w$ because of its affect on both phytoalexin production and growth of A. *flavus*. As long as kernel  $a_w$ remains high, peanuts retain the capacity for phytoalexin production and resistance to contamination. When the  $a_w$  becomes too low for phytoalexin production, however, it is in a range that is quite favorable for growth of A. *flavus* and aflatoxin production.

The one unknown factor not included in the scheme (Fig. 4) is the apparent additional resistance to contamination possessed by the more mature peanuts. Studies currently are underway in an attempt to identify this mechanism of resistance.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We thank R. C. Layton for valuable assistance with data analysis. The technical support of J. W. Kirksey, R. A. Tennille, R. L. Greene, E. C. Gill, B.W. Moore, and K.H. Ashley is gratefully acknowledged.

This study was funded in part by a grant from the Georgia Agricultural Commodity for Peanuts.

### Note

Mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products that may also be suitable.

#### **References**

- 1. Aguamah GE, Langcake P, Leworthy DP, Page JA, Pryce RJ, Strange RN. Two novel stilbene phytoalexins from *Araehis hypogaea.* Phytoehemistry 1981; 20: 1381-83.
- 2. American Society of Agricultural Engineers. ASAE \$410.1. In: Agricultural Engineers Yearbook. St. Joseph, MI, 1983.
- 3. Blankenship PD, Cole RJ, Sanders TH, Hill RA. Environmental control plot facility with manipulable soil temperature. Oleagineux 1983; 38: 615-20.
- 4. Blankenship PD, Cole RJ, Sanders TH, Hill RA. Effect of geocarposphere temperature on pre-harvest colonization of drought-stressed peanuts by *Aspergillus flavus*  and subsequent aflatoxin contamination. Mycopathologia 1984; 85: 69-74.
- 5. Cole RJ, Blankenship PD, Hill RA, Sanders TH. Effect of geocarposphere temperature on preharvest colonization of drought stressed peanuts by *A. flavus* and subsequent aflatoxin contamination. In Kurata H, Ueno Y, eds. Toxigenic fungi - their toxins and health hazard. New York: Elsevier, 1984; 44-51.
- 6. Cole RJ, Hill RA, Blankenship PD, Sanders TH, Garren KH. Influence of irrigation and drought stress on invasion *of Aspergillusflavus* of corn kernels and peanut pods. Dev Ind Microbiol 1982; 23: 229-36.
- 7. Cole RJ, Sanders TH, Hill RA, Blankenship PD. Mean geocarposphere temperatures that induce preharvest aflatoxin contamination of peanuts under drought stress. Mycopathologia 1985; 91: 41-46.
- 8. Dickens JW, Satterwhite JB, Sneed RE. Aflatoxincontaminated peanuts produced on North Carolina farms in 1968. J Am Peanut Res Educ Assoc 1973; 5: 48-58.
- 9. Diener UL, Davis ND. Limiting temperature and relative humidity for growth and production of aflatoxin and free fatty acids by *Aspergillus flavus* in sterile peanuts. J Am Oil Chem Soc 1967; 44: 259-63.
- 10. Diener UL, Davis ND. Effect of environment on aflatoxin production in freshly dug peanuts. Trop Sci 1968; 10: 22-28.
- 11 Diener UL, Davis ND. Aflatoxin formation in peanuts by *Aspergillusflavus.* Ala Agric Exp Stn Bull 493, Auburn University, AL, 1977; 14-15.
- 12. Dorner JW, Cole RJ. Rapid determination of aflatoxins in raw peanuts by liquid chromatography with postcolumn iodination and modified minicolumn cleanup. J Assoc Off Anal Chem 1988; 71: 43-47.
- 13. Henning R. The hull-scrape method to determine when to dig peanuts. The Peanut Farmer 1983; 19(8): 11-14.
- 14. Hill RA, Blankenship PD, Cole RJ, Sanders TH. Effect of soil moisture and temperature on preharvest invasion of peanuts by the *Aspergillusflavus* group and subsequent aflatoxin development. Appl Environ Microbiol 1983; 45: 628-33.
- 15. Ingham JL. 3,5,4'-trihydroxystilbene as a phytoalexin from groundnuts *(Arachis hypogaea).* Phytochemistry 1976; 15: 1791-93.
- 16. Keen NT. The isolation of phytoalexins from germinating seeds of *Cicer arietinum, Vigna sinensis, Arachis hypogaea,*  and other plants. Phytopathology 1975; 65: 91-92.
- 17. Keen NT, Ingham JL. New stilbene phytoalexins from American cultivars of *Arachis hypogaea*. Phytochemistry 1976; 15: 1794-95.
- 18. McDonald D, Harkness C. Aflatoxin in groundnut crop at harvest in Northern Nigeria. Trop Sci 1967; 9:148-61.
- 19. Pettit RE, Taber RA, Schroeder HW, Harrison AL. Influence of fungicides and irrigation practice on aflatoxin in peanuts before digging. Appl Mierobiol 1971; 22: 629-34.
- 20. Porter DM, Garren KH. An analysis of endogeocarpic microflora of peanuts in Virginia. Trop Sci 1968; 10: 100-06.
- 21. Sanders TH, Cole RJ, Blankenship PD, Hill RA. Relation of environmental stress duration to *Aspergillusflavus*  invasion and aflatoxin production in preharvest peanuts. Peanut Sci 1985; 12: 90-93.
- 22. Sanders TH, Hill RA, Cole RJ, Blankenship PD. Effect of drought on occurrence *of Aspergillusflavus* in maturing peanuts. J Am Oil Chem Soc 1981; 58: 966A-70A.
- 23. Vidhyasekaran P, Lalithakumari D, Govindaswamy CV. Production of a phytoalexin in groundnut due to storage fungi. Indian Phytopathol 1972; 25: 240-45.
- 24. Williams EJ, Drexler JS. A non-destructive method for determining peanut pod maturity. Peanut Sci 1981; 8: 131-41.
- 25. Williams EJ, Monroe GE. Impact blasters for peanut pod maturity determination. Trans Am Soc Agric Eng 1986; 29: 263-66, 275.
- 26. Wilson DM, Stansell JR. Effect of irrigation regimes on aflatoxin contamination of peanut pods. Peanut Sci 1983; 10: 54-56.
- 27. Wotton HR, Strange RN. Circumstantial evidence for phytoalexin involvement in the resistance of peanuts to *Aspergillusflavus.* J Gen Microbiol 1985; 131: 487-94.
- 28. Wotton HR, Strange RN. Increased susceptibility and reduced phytoalexin accumulation in drought-stressed peanut kernels challenged with *Aspergillus flavus.* Appl Environ Microbiol 1987; 53: 270-73.

*Address for offprints:* 

J. W. Dorner USDA, ARS, National Peanut Research Lab. 1011 Forrester Drive, SE Dawson, GA 31742, USA.