# **Determination of Rare Earth Element Distribution Patterns in Rocks and Minerals by Neutron-Activation Analysis\***

Mark J. Potts\*\*, Thomas O. Early\*\*\*, and A. Gunter Herrmann

Geochemisches Institut der Universität Göttingen

Received September 1, 1972

*Neutronenaktivierungsanalytische Bestimmung der Verteilung der Seltenen Erden in Gesteinen und Mineralien. Ein*  Bontineverfahren ffir die Bestimmung yon 11 Elementen der Seltenen Erden in natiirlich vorkommenden Mineralien und Gesteinen wird beschrieben. Die Proben werden mit thermischen Neutronen bestrahlt und anschließend die Substanzen nach Zusatz von Trägerelementen (Seltene Erden) mit Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> aufgeschlossen. Die Seltenen Erden werden dann als Gruppe mittels eines Hydroxid-Fluorid-Trennverfahrens isoliert. Silicium wird als Gel abgetrennt. Die Messung der Aktivitäten in den Lanthanidenkonzentraten erfolgt mit einer Ge(Li) Gamma-Spektrometer-MeSanordnung hoher AuflSsung. Die bei der chemischen Abtrennung der Seltenen Erden erzielte Ausbeute wird anschließend durch Bestrahlung der Anreicherungsprodukte und Messung der Aktivitäten der Trägerelemente bestimmt.

Die Reproduzierbarkeit und Genauigkeit der Methode wurde dutch die mehrfache Analysierung bestimmter Proben und yon Standardgesteinen (z. B. W-l) gepriift. Mit leichten Anderungen wurde das Verfahren an fiber 100 Gesteins- und Mineralproben erprobt. Es hat sich gezeigt, da\$ mit den 11 durch Neutronenaktivierung quantitativ bestimmten Seltenen Erden die Verteilung dieser Elementgruppe in natfirlich vorkommenden Mineralien und Gesteinen hinreichend gut beschrieben werden kann.

*Summary.* A routine procedure for determining eleven of the fourteen naturally occurring rare earth elements (REE) in rocks and minerals is described. Following thermal neutron activation the samples are decomposed, in the presence of REE carriers, by fusion with  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}_2$ . The REE are separated as a group using a gel removal technique for silica and a conventional hydroxide-fluoride cycle. The REE concentrates are then counted with a high resolution Ge(Li) spectrometer system. Chemical yields are determined by reirradiation and counting of the carrier.

The high precision and accuracy of the technique have been proven through replicate analyses and through analyses of the U.S. Geological Survey standard rock, W-1. The technique, with slight modifications, has been tested to date on more than a hundred rock and mineral samples. Experience has shown that the eleven REE determined by this technique more than adequately describe the total distribution patterns for the REE in naturally occurring samples.

Best. yon Seltenen Erden in Gesteinen und Mineralien; Aktivierungsanalyse, Neutronen.

The REE are highly dispersed in the common rocks and rock-forming minerals, with concentrations for individual elements ranging from about 100 ppm to the sub-ppb level. Sample size also is often limited by availability. Because of the extremely low levels of

the REE in many natural materials and of possible limitations on sample availability, the need for developing new analytical methods has grown. Mass spectrometry (e.g. [6]) and neutron-activation analysis (NAA) (e.g. [4]) are perhaps the only two techniques that are presently capable of meeting these two restrictions.

The present technique, using destructive NAA, is not completely unique. It comprises some of the best and most appropriate techniques of others (see esp.  $[8]$  and  $[1]$ ) and is designed to give maximum sensitivity and relative speed. The method will be

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at 3. Seminar Aktivierungsanalyse, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, May 30/31, 1972.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Present Address: Dept. of Earth Sciences, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri 63130, U.S.A.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109, U.S.A.

described as presently performed on silicate samples. For other sample types, depending upon their physical and chemical state, some modifications may be necessary.

#### **Experimental**

Stock solutions of the individual REE, with the exception of Ca, are prepared from their respective Spec-pure oxides by dissolution in HCl or  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ . The dissolution of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$ , which proceeds only very slowly by this method, warrants further description:

10 ml of  $96\frac{0}{0}$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> are added to a beaker containing approximately 1.5  $g$  CeO<sub>2</sub>. The powder is stirred until all small clumps are broken up. Then 10 ml of  $47\%$  HBr are poured very slowly into the beaker. The beaker is covered, and the solution heated carefully to approximately 80°C. When the escape of the brown  $Br<sub>2</sub>$  vapor has ceased, the solution is cooled, an additional 10 ml HBr added, and the heating procedure repeated. When the  $\text{Br}_2$  vapor is again no longer visible and the solution allowed to cool,  $Ce_2(SO_4)_3$ precipitates from the saturated solution. This precipitate dissolves when the solution is diluted with  $150-180$  ml  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ and warmed slightly, thus ensuring that the reduction of  $Ce<sup>4+</sup>$  to  $Ce<sup>3+</sup>$  is complete. The resulting solution is then transferred to a platinum dish and heated slowly to drive off the excess  $H_2SO_4$ . The dry  $Ce_2(SO_4)_3$  is dissolved in 20-30 ml H~O and diluted to the desired final volume for the stock solution.

The concentrations of the stock solutions are determined by back titration of EDTA following the method of Haskin *et al.* [4]. A standard monitor solution and a carrier solution are prepared from the stock solutions to concentrations similar to those recommended by Denechaud *et al.* [1].

Sample materials, consisting of  $200-500$  mg of finely ground powders, are weighed into 1 ml polyethylene vials and heat-sealed. The REE standard solution is either similarly encapsulated or sealed in quartz tubing. The standard and five or six sample vials are arranged symmetrically in an aluminium irradiation capsule. The capsules are irradiated for 3--7 days (depending upon the expected REE content of the samples) in the thermal column of the reactor FR-2 of the Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe at a flux of  $2.2\times10^{11}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>-sec. The flux dose is either homogenized by means of a rotating sample holder or monitored by a 10--20 mg piece of iron wire wrapped around each sample and standard vial.

After irradiation the samples are allowed to cool for 18--24 h before chemical handling (see Fig. 1). The sample vials are then opened and the contents placed in nickel crucibles in which 2 ml of the REE carrier solution and 5 to 10 mg each of So, Cr, and Co chloride have been evaporated. The Sc, Cr, and Co act as hold-back carriers to facilitate removal of the activated species of these elements from the sample during chemical processing. The samples are fused to red heat with approximately five times their volume  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}_2$ . While still warm, each crucible is placed in a beaker containing  $80-100$  ml  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ . The fusion cake is dissolved with concentrated HC1, and hydroxides are precipitated using concentrated  $NH<sub>a</sub>OH$  and solid  $NH<sub>a</sub>Cl$  as a buffer. This precipitation procedure effects an early removal of the intense Na and K activities in the supernatant solution. Following the method of Denechaud *et al.* [1], the hydroxides are then dissolved



Fig. 1. REE separation scheme

with HCl, the solution evaporated until silica gel forms, and approximately 25 mg gelatin added to aid the coagulation of silica gel. The supernatant solution and washings of the gel mixture are then carried through a three times repeated cycle of fluoride and hydroxide precipitations. The first fluoride precipitate is effected with  $48\bar{\sqrt{}}_0$  HF and the two subsequent precipitations with a 6 N solution of  $NH_4F$ , following the recommended procedure of Stevenson and Nervik [7] for elimination of Sc impurities. During the hydroxide-fluoride cycle, the REE fluorides are dissolved with a few ml of saturated  $H_8BO_8$  solution and about 1 ml of 2 N  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ . The final hydroxide precipate is dissolved with 2 N HC1; and the solution is placed in a clean, 2 ml polyethylene vial for counting. The resulting solution contains only the REE with occasionally detectable impurities of Sc and Ba. An aliquant of the standard solution is weighed into a similar polyethylene vial and prepared for counting. Two experienced workers can fully process five to six samples in approximately 6 h. For readers desiring further description of the chemical separation procedure a detailed flow chart is available from the authors.

Gamma-ray counting of the samples is performed with a 40 cm 3 Ge(Li) detector coupled to a 1024 channel analyzer. Peak resolution of the system for the  $1.33 \text{ MeV}$  Co<sup>60</sup> gammaray is 2.5 keV. The samples are mounted in a specially designed plexiglass holder which permits precise positioning of the samples at variable distances from the detector face. The sample holder and detector are housed within a graded lead shielding (i.e. 5 cm thick walls of Pb lined successively with 0.5 mm thick sheets of Sn and Cu). Samples are counted at three separate decay times (see Table 1) to optimize the peak to background ratios for the nuclides of various half lives. At all times the analyzer dead time is kept at or below  $10^{\circ}/_0$ . All peaks used lie in the energy range  $80-350\,\mathrm{keV}$ , thus utilizing the energy region of maximum detector efficiency and resolution.

The yield of the chemical separation is determined by reirradiation of an aliquant of the REE concentrate from each sample. After the final counting each sample vial is

$\operatorname{Count}$ set	Days after end observ- of irra- diation	$_{\rm ed}$		Nuclide Half-life Energies of γ-rays used (keV)	Interference requiring removal
I	3	$^{166}\mathrm{Ho}$	$26.9~\mathrm{h}$	80.6	
		$\rm ^{153}Sm$	47.0 h	103.2	
		$^{140}\mathrm{La}$	40.2 <sub>h</sub>	328.8	
$_{\rm II}$	10	$^{147}\mathrm{Nd}$	11.1 d	91.1	
		$177$ Lu	6.7 d	208.4	
		175Yb	4.21d 282.6		
ш	$30 - 40$	$^{170}$ Tm	130 d	84.3	$\rm Tb$ $86.8~\rm keV$
		$^{153}\text{Gd}$	242 d	97.4	$+$ Eu-back-
		$141$ Ce	33 $\mathbf d$	145.4	$\mathrm{scatter}$
		160Тb	72.1 d	298.5	$\mathrm{Eu}$ 296.0 keV
		$^{152}\mathrm{Eu}$		12.7 yr. 344.4 or	
				121.8	
${\rm Yield}$	8	$^{153}\mathrm{Sm}$	47.0 <sub>h</sub>	103.2	
		$^{152}\mathrm{Eu}$			
			6.7d	208.4	
		175Yb	4.21d 282.6		
			$72.1\,\mathrm{d}$	298.5	$\mathrm{Eu}$ 296.0 keV
		$^{140}$ La	$40.2\ \mathrm{h}$	328.8	
		$177$ Lu 160Tb	12.7 yr. 121.8		

Table 1. Summary of counting conditions

opened, and its contents transferred to a 100 ml volumetric flask. The solution is brought to volume by the addition of 10 ml concentrated HCl and deionized water. An approximately 500 mg aliquant of this solution is then sealed in a clean 2 ml polyethylene vial and irradiated together with a similarly diluted 2 ml aliquant of the REE carrier solution for 24 h on the rotating sample holder of the same reactor. 8 days after irradiation each sample is counted once and a total of six I%EE determined in this counting (see Table 1). The chemical yields for the other five elements can then be determined by interpolation.

Yields range from  $80-98%$  for the lighter REE and  $30-70^{\circ}/_0$  for the heavier REE. Experiments indicate that the heavy REE are preferentially retained by the silica gel during the chemical separation. This effect demonstrates the important point that chemical separation of the REE as a group can involve significant fractionation of them relative to one another. Thus, determining the yield of one element and assuming the yield to be the same for all the REE (as has been done in some previous studies) may cause significant errors in the results.

Following the yield counting the digital peak data, heretofore stored on paper punch tape, is read into a computer together with suitable information on sample weights, standard concentrations, etc. Peak areas are calculated at full width-half maximum or, if necessary, as full peak areas to negate the effect of peak broadening or drift. The concentrations of the individual REE are then obtained by computer comparison of the peak areas

Table 2. Comparison of REE analyses of the U.S. Geological Survey standard rock W-1 (concentrations in ppm)

			⊥ ⊥
${\bf Element}$	Range of literature values <sup>a</sup> literature this study <sup>b</sup>	values	Average of Average value
La	$-20.0$ 8.8	11.8	10.2 $+0.3$
Сe	$15.1 - 36.6$	23.6	23.5 $+0.5$
Nd	$14.8 - 21.0$	17.1	13.3 $+0.6$
$_{\rm Sm}$	$2.8 - 6.6$	3.91	3.26 $+0.07$
${\bf Eu}$	$1.04 - 1.29$	1.16	1.13 $+0.02$
Gd	$3.4 - 4.2$	3.9	3.7 $+0.4$
TЪ	$0.57 - 0.81$	0.69	0.65 $+0.05$
Ho	$0.55 - 1.35$	0.83	$+0.07$ 0.77
Тm	$0.295 - 0.355$	0.32	$0.30 + 0.03$
YЬ	$1.9 - 2.33$	2.11	$2.00 + 0.09$
Lu	$0.32 - 0.44$	0.36	$0.298 + 0.007$



b For most elements averages are for six analyses of W-1. For Ho only one analysis was performed, for Tm only three. Listed uncertainties are standard deviations based on counting statistics.

of the samples and standard, corrected for interference, flux inhomogenities, radioactive decay, sample weight, and yield.

### **Discussion**

The precision of the analyses, based on statistical counting error, is generally in the range of  $5-10^{\circ}/_{0}$ for samples containing  $50-100$  ppm total REE. Uncertainties are consistently higher for the elements Nd, Gd, Tm, and Ho and consistently lower for Ce, Sm, Eu, and Lu. Duplicate analyses of some samples and replicate analyses of the U.S. Geological Survey standard diabase, W-l, indicate that with few exceptions the concentration values obtained are identical within statistical counting error. Comparison of the REE values obtained for W-1 with those reported in the literature is somewhat hampered by the lack of agreement over the correct values. However, comparison with the range of reported values (see Table 2) indicates that the results of the present technique are generally within the range of values obtained by other highly accurate techniques.

We now know that the abundance distribution of the REE in natural materials, with well established exceptions, is very smooth [5]. Thus it is not necessary to expend the time required to determine all fourteen of the naturally occurring REE in order to define their distribution patterns in rocks and minerals. However, some of the fine structure of the distribution is valuable. The present technique, which allows routine determination of eleven of the REE attempts to strike an optimum compromise between these two factors.

*Acknowledgements.* We gratefully acknowledge the valuable advice on the chemical separation procedure that was given to one of us (T.O.E.) by Naoki Onuma during the developmental stages of this technique. We also wish to thank the staff at the University of Missouri (Columbia), Reactor Facility, and the staff of the Radiochemisches Institut, Kernforsehungszentrum, Karlsruhe, for the use of irradiation and chemical processing facilities.

#### References

- 1. Denechaud, E. B., Helmke, P. A., Haskin, L. A.: J. Radioanal. Chem. 6, 97-113 (1970).
- 2. Fleischer, M.: Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 29, 1263-1284 **(1965).**
- Z. Anal. Chem. 263, 100-107 (1973) 9 by Springer-Verlag 1973
- 3. Fleischer, M.: Geoehim. Cosmochim. Acta 83, 65--79 (1969).
- 4. Haskin, L. A., Wildeman, T. R., Haskin, M. A.: J. Radioanal. Chem. 1, 337--348 (1968).
- 5. Herrmann, A. G.: Yttrium and Lanthanides. In: Handbook of geochemistry, Vol. II/2 (K. H. Wedepohl, Edit.). Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1970.
- 6. Schnetzler, C. C., Thomas, H. H., Philpotts, g. A.: Anal. Chem. 39, 1888-1890 (1967).
- 7. Stevenson, P. C., Nervik, W. F.: Nuclear Science Series NAS-NS 3020, pp. 200-201 (1961).
- 8. Tomura, K., Higuchi, H., Miyaji, N., Onnma, N., Hamaguehi, H.: Anal. Chim. Aeta 41, 217-228 (1968).

Prof. Dr. M. J. Potts Dept. of Earth Sciences Washington University St. Louis, Mo. 63130 U.S.A.

## **Instrumentelle Neutronenaktivierungsanalyse von Gesteinen am Beispiel von 7 Standardgesteinen des US Geological Survey\***

Hans Hartmut Sehoek

Abteilung Geochemie, Mineralogisch-Petrographisches Institut der Universität Tübingen

Eingegangen am 22. August 1972

*Instrumental Neutron-Activation Analysis of Rocks Demonstrated on 7 Standard Rocks of the US Geological Survey.* By means of instrumental neutron-activation analysis the elements Sc, Cr, Co, Rb, Sb, Cs, La, Ce, Nd, Eu, Tb, Yb, Lu, Hf, Ta, and Th were determined in the standard rocks G-2, GSP-1, AGV-1, PCC-1, DTS-1, BCR-1, and W-1 of the US Geological Survey. The results were compared with those of other authors. These standard rocks are sufficiently homogeneous, as regards their trace element distribution, to be used as multielement standards in most analyses of geological material.

*Znsammen/assung. Mit* ttflfe instrumenteller Neutronenaktivierungsanalyse wurden in den Standardgesteinen G-2, GSP-1, AGV-1, PCC-1, DTS-1, BCR-1 und W-1 des US Geological Survey die Elemente Sc, Cr, Co, Rb, Sb, Cs, La, Ce, Nd, Eu, Tb, Yb, Lu, Hf, Ta und Th bcstimmt und mit den Ergebnissen andercr Autoren vergliehen. Die Gesteinsstandards sind beziiglich ihrer Spurenelementverteilung hinreichend homogen, umbei der Analyse von geologischem Material in den meisten Fällen als Multielementstandards verwendet werden zu können.

Analyse von Gesteinen; Aktivierungsanalyse, Neutronen; US-Standardgesteine als Multielementstandards.

#### **Einleitung**

Mit der Entwieklnng und raschen Verbreitung yon hoehauflösenden Ge(Li)-Detektoren hat die instru-

\* Vorgetragen beim 3. Seminar Aktivierungsanalyse im Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, 30./31. Mai 1972.

mentelle Neutronenaktivierungsanalyse (INAA) von komplex zusammengesetzten Substanzen in den vergangenen Jahren sprunghaft an Bedeutung gcwonnen. Viele analytische Probleme können seither unter Verzicht auf aufwendige und oft zeitraubende