# *Short Communication*



## **The Close Phylogenetie Relationship of** *Nitrobacter* **and** *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*

Elke Seewaldt<sup>1</sup>, Karl-Heinz Schleifer<sup>1</sup>, Eberhard Bock<sup>2</sup>, and Erko Stackebrandt<sup>1</sup>

 $<sup>1</sup>$  Lehrstuhl für Mikrobiologie, Technische Universität München, Arcisstr. 21, D-8000 München 2, Federal Republic of Germany</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Institut für Botanik und Mikrobiologie, Universität Hamburg, Jungiusstr. 1 - 6, D-2000 Hamburg, Federal Republic of Germany

**Abstract.** The phylogenetic position of *Nitrobacter winogradskyi* and two other nitrite-oxidizing bacteria was elucidated comparing oligonucleotides of the 16S ribosomal RNA. *Nitrobacter winogradskyi* and the *Nitrobacter* isolate 'Yukatan' are genetically nearly identical; *Nitrobacter* isolate X14 is more distantly related. Phylogenetically, *Nitrobacter* is a member of a group of purple non-sulfur bacteria that is defined by various species of *Rhodopseudomonas, Rhodomicrobium vannielii, Rhodospirillum rubum* and their nonphototrophic relatives. *Nitrobacter* shares a high sequence similarity to *Rhodopseudomonas palustris.* These findings **are**  in accord with several common taxonomic characteristics, and in addition support the conversion hypothesis for the origin of this group of chemolithotrophic bacteria.

**Key words:** *Nitrobacter winogradskyi - Rhodopseudomonas*   $palustris$  - Chemolithotrophy - Phylogeny - 16S ribosomal catalogues

The phylogenetic origin of chemolithotrophic bacteria is still a matter of speculation. According to the conversion hypothesis, all bacteria producing ATP by oxidative phosphorylation originated from photosynthetic ancestors many times in parallel (Broda 1970, 1971, 1978). This hypothesis is bases on the similarities of the electron-flow chain in photosynthesis and respiration, e.g. the presence of cytochromes, quinones, flavoproteins and non-heme Fe-S-proteins (Gaffron 1965; Olson 1970), and the connection of these chains to membranes (Broda 1978). Other authors favour hypotheses whereby the bulk of aerobic bacteria stem directly from anaerobic respiring bacteria, which, like other major groupings e.g. **the**  anaerobic chemoorganotrophic bacteria, the phototrophic bacteria and the 'photergers' (halobacteria and relatives, Schwemmler 1979) originated from primitive porphyrin synthesizing bacteria (Sagan 1967; Margulis 1968, 1970; Schwemmler 1979). According to this view, the respiration chain and the electron-flow chain of photosynthesis evolved independently and the increasing number of redox compounds in electron-flow chains of aerobic respiring bacteria, as compared to those of anaerobic respiring bacteria (nitrate and sulfate respiration) reflects the course of the evolution of these systems.

The validity of the phylogenetic trees deduced from similarities in bioenergetic processes and metabolic pathways

can now be tested; they can be compared to genealogical trees derived from comparison of primary structures of conserved and ubiquitously distributed macromolecules. As a consistant result of the sequencing studies (Schwartz and Dayhoff 1978 ; Hori and Osawa 1979; Dickerson 1980; Fox et al. 1980; Gibson et al. 1980), the aerobic chemoorganotrophic respiring bacteria were not found to form a coherent cluster. Various groups of aerobic Gram-negative bacteria evolved independently from each other within different lines of descent defined by purple sulfur- and non-sulfur phototrophic bacteria, respectively, while the aerobic Grampositive bacteria evolved separately (Fox et al. 1980; Stackebrandt and Woese 1981). These findings support the conversion hypothesis.

As an extension of these studies we now present data on the phylogeny of the nitrite-oxidizing bacteria based on analysis and comparison of RNase  $T<sub>t</sub>$  resistant oligonucleotides of their 16S ribosomal RNA (16S rRNA).

### **Material and Methods**

*Nitrobacter winogradskyi* strain Engel and *Nitrobacter* strain 'Yucatan' (strain collection of E. Bock) were grown lithoautotrophically in 18 1 of a mineral medium containing 0.5 g NaCl per 1,000 ml destilled water, 0.05 g  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$  $\cdot$  7 H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.15 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.003 g CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 0.05 mg  $(NH_4)_6MO_7O_{24}$  · 4  $H_2O$ , 0.15 mg Fe SO<sub>4</sub> · 7 H<sub>2</sub>O, pH 7. The medium was supplemented with 0.2% and 0.02%  $\overline{N}$  aNO<sub>2</sub> for *N. winogradskyi* and strain 'Yucatan', respectively. To obtain about the same cell yield as with *N. winogradskyi,* growing cells of strain 'Yucatan' were supplemented five times with  $0.02\%$  NaNO<sub>2</sub> every third day. Doubling time for the autotrophic growth was 13 h and longer. *Nitrobacter* strain X 14 was grown mixotrophically in a 19 1 batch of the above mineral medium, supplemented with  $0.2\%$  NaNO<sub>2</sub>,  $0.0055\%$ sodium pyruvate, 0.015 % yeast extract (Difco Lab., Detroit, MI, USA) and 0.015 % Bacto-peptone (Difco), pH 7.5. Cell doubling time was  $10-11$  h.

Cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed one time with Hatefi buffer containing 0.05 M Tris-HCL, 0.66 M sucrose, 0.001 M histidine, pH 8.0 and immediately frozen at **-70~** Isolation of the 16S rRNA, digestion of **the** RNA with RNase T<sub>1</sub>, labelling of 5' ends with  $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P-ATP (Amersham-Buchler, Braunschweig, FRG), and polynucleotide kinase, fingerprinting of the oligonucleotides and the sequence determination followed the description of Stackebrandt et al. (1981). The calculation of the binary coefficients, SAB values, has been described by Gibson et al. (1980).

*Offprint requests to:* E. Stackebrandt

6-mers		7-mers cont'd		9-mers cont'd	
<b>ACCACG</b>	(2)	<b>UAAUACG</b>	(1,2)	<b>AAACUUCAG</b>	(2)
<b>CACAAG</b>	(1,2)	<b>AAAUUCG</b>	(1,2)	<b>CUAACUUCG</b>	(1,2)
<b>ACCAAG</b>	(1)	<b>AAACUUG</b>	(1)	<b>UCCAACUUG</b>	(1,2)
<b>CCCUAG</b>	(1,2)	<b>CUCUUAG</b>	(2)	<b>AUCUUULACG</b>	(2)
<b>CCCAUG</b>	(1,2)	<b>UCCUUAG</b>	(1,2)	<b>UUUAAUUCG</b>	(1,2)
<b>UCCACG</b>	(1,2)	<b>CUUUAAG</b>	(1,2)	<b>UACCUUUUG</b>	(1,2)
<b>CUAACG</b>	(1,2)	<b>AUAUUCG</b>	(1,2)	<b>UUUUACCUG</b>	(1,2)
<b>AUCCAG</b>	(2)				
<b>UAAACG</b>	(1,2)	8-mers		10-mers	
<b>ACAAUG</b>	(1,2)			CACAACCCAG	
<b>AAUACG</b>	(2)	<b>AACACCAG</b>	(1,2)	<b>UCACACCAUG</b>	(1)
<b>CCCUUG</b>	(1,2)	<b>ACCCCUAG</b>	(1)	<b>CAACCCCUAG</b>	(1,2)
<b>UUCCCG</b>	(1,2)	<b>CUAACCCG</b>	(1,2)		(2)
CCUCUG	(2)	<b>CUACACUG</b>	(2)	$11$ -mers	
<b>UUCCAG</b>	(1)	<b>CCCUUACG</b>	(1,2)		
<b>UCUCAG</b>	(1,2)	<b>CCACAUUG</b>	(1,2)	<b>CUCAACUCCAG</b>	(1,2)
<b>UCCAUG</b>	(2)	<b>AUACCCUG</b>	(1,2)	<b>AACCUUACCAG</b>	(1,2)
<b>UCACUG</b>	(2)	<b>CUACAAUG</b>	(1,2)	<b>CUUAACACAUG</b>	(1,2)
<b>AUCCUG</b>	(1,2)	<b>AAUCACUG</b>	(2)	<b>CUACCAUUUAG</b>	(1,2)
<b>ACUCUG</b>	(2)	<b>AAAUCCUG</b>	(1)		
<b>UAAUCG</b>	(1,2)	<b>UCCUCAUG</b>	(1,2)	$12$ -mers	
<b>AUACUG</b>	(1,2)	<b>AAUUACUG</b>	(1)	<b>ACCUUCUCUUCG</b>	
<b>AUAAUG</b>	(1)	<b>UCUUUAAG</b>	(1)	<b>UUUACUCACUAG</b>	(1,2)
<b>CCUUUG</b>	(1,2)	<b>AUUUAUCG</b>	(1,2)		(1,2)
		<b>CUCUUUUG</b>	(1,2)	14-mers	
7-mers				<b>CCCAAACUCCUACG</b>	
CAACCCG	(1)	9-mers		<b>AUUAAAACUCAAAG</b>	(1,2)
<b>CAAACAG</b>	(1,2)	CAACCCCCG	(1,2)		(1,2)
CAACUCG	(2)	<b>CUCACCAAG</b>	(1,2)	$16$ -mers	
CAAUACG	(1,2)	<b>UACACACCG</b>	(1,2)		
<b>UAACACG</b>	(2)	<b>CUACACACG</b>	(1,2)	CAAAUCUCAAAAAACG (1.2)	
<b>UAACAAG</b>	(1,2)	<b>UCACACCUG</b>	(1)		
<b>CAUUCCG</b>	(1,2)	<b>CACUCUAAG</b>	(1,2)	$3'$ -end	
<b>CUCACUG</b>	(1,2)	<b>CUAAUACCG</b>	(1,2)	AUCACCUCCUUUCU <sub>OH</sub>	(1,2)

Table 1. Oligonucleotide catalogues. The sequences listed are found in the organisms as numbered (1) *Nitrobacter winogradskyi* strain Engel, (2) *Nitrobaeter* strain X 14. Modified nucleotides are indicated by a superscript dot

#### **Results and Discussion**

Table 1 lists the oligonucleotide catalogues for *Nitrobacter winogradskyi* and *Nitrobacter* strain X 14. The catalogue for *Nitrobacter* strain 'Yucatan' was found to be identical to that of *N. winogradskyi,* except for an additional oligonucleotide CAACCCCUAG. The  $S_{AB}$  values for the binary comparison of the catalogues together with those found between the catalogues of *Nitrobacter* strains and various other Gramnegative bacteria are presented in Table 2.

On the basis of their unique inorganic reductant the three *Nitrobacter* strains were expected to be closely related, as indeed they are. *N. winogradskyi* and strain 'Yucatan' cannot be separately classified on the basis of thier RNA catalogues. A similar high degree of identity in RNA catalogues has already been found between various strains *ofEscherichia coli*  (Uchida et al. 1974); this indicates that strain 'Yucatan' can be treated taxonomically as an obligate chemolithoautotrophic strain of *N. winogradskyi*. Strain X 14, on the other hand, is more distantly related to the other two *Nitrobacter*  strains  $(S_{AB}$  values of 0.82 and 0.81). The genealogical differences found between strain X 14 and *N. winogradskyi*  support the description of strain  $X$  14 as a new species, which so far was based only on phenotypical differences (Bock et al., in preparation).

The comparison of the 16S rRNA catalogues of *Nitrobacter* with those from representatives of various other Table 2. SAB values and total number of bases (in brackets) in oligonucleotides (hexamers and larger) common to the catalogues of *Nitrobacter*  strains and various reference organisms, whose catalogues have been published previously  $(1) =$ Gibson et al. 1980;  $(2) =$  Uchida et al. 1974;  $(3) =$ Bonen et al. 1979



groups of Gram-negative eubacteria, e.g. purple sulfur- and non-sulfur bacteria (Gibson et al. 1980), enterobacteria (Uchida et al. 1974) and cyanobacteria (Bonen et al. 1979) reveals that *Nitrobacter* is a member of that group of



Fig. l. Dendrogram of relationship showing the phylogenetic position of *Nitrobacter*. The figure was constructed by average linkage clustering (among the merged groups). Due to the omission of modified oligonucleotides smaller than hexamers in the calculation of  $S_{AB}$  values, the branching points within the dendrogram differ slightly from those published previously (Gibson et al. 1979; Fox et al. 1980). *Rsp = Rhodospirillum; Rps = Rhodopseudomonas; Par = Paracoccus; Rhi = Rhizobium; Rm = Rhodomicrobium; Nbc = Nitrobacter; Chr = Chromatium; E = Eseherichia; Alc = Alcaligenes; Sph = Sphaerotilus; Synechoc = Synechococeus* 

organisms, containing *Rhodopseudomonas sphaeroides, R. capsulata, R. palustris, Rhodospirillum rubum, R. viridis, Rhodomicrobium vannielii* and their non-phototrophic relatives, e. g. *Paracoccus denitrificans, Aquaspirillum itersonii, Rhizobium leguminosarum, Agrobaeterium tumefaciens* and *Pseudomonas diminuta* (purple non sulfur group I, according to Gibson et al. 1980). Figure I is the dendrogram of relationship of *Nitrobacter* and various representatives of purple bacteria. Included are also some of those heterotrophic relatives, whose phylogenetic position has been presented previously (Fox et al. 1980), but whose RNA catalogues have not yet been published.

*Nitrobacter* is closely related to *Rhodopseudomonas pal ustris.* The S<sub>AB</sub> values found between these organisms (average value of 0.77) are only slightly lower than those found between *N. winogradskyi* and *Nitrobacter* strain X 14 (0.8'2). Morphological and biochemical characteristics, e.g. reproduction by budding and a similar mol  $\frac{6}{6}$  G + C content of their DNA (Pfennig and Trüper 1974; Watson 1974) support the specific relatedness between *N. winogradskyi* and *Nitrobacter* strain X14. Further common properties are a negative Gram staining reaction,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixation by the Calvin cycle, the presence of polyphosphate granules, storage of poly- $\beta$ -hydroxybutyrate and an extensive intracytoplasmatic membrane-system paralleling the cytoplasmatic membrane. Moreover, *N. winogradskyi* is highly sensitive to visible light (Bock 1970) and furthermore, *R. palustris*  like other purple non-sulfur bacteria, is able to grow aerobically in the dark.

The high phylogenetic relationship found between *Nitrobacter* and *R. palustris* is another example of the chemolithotrophic bacteria having evolved from the phototrophic bacteria. This has already been seen with *Paracoccus*  *denitrificans*, a hydrogen-oxidizing, facultative chemolithotrophic species. Its phylogenetic position has been elucidated independently by sequencing studies on the 16S rRNA (Fox et al. 1980; Gibson et al. 1980), and cytochrome c (Ambler et al. 1979) and by the properties of cytochrome  $c$  (Dickerson 1980). According to the hypotheses of Margulis (1968, 1970) and Schwemmler (1979) *Nitrobacter* and *P. denitrificans*  should have been grouped together more closely in one line of descent, which in addition should be clearly separated from other lines of descent, defined by phototrophic bacteria and by chemoorganotrophic bacteria. As demonstrated in Fig. I, this is not the case. On the contrary, our results are in agreement with the conversion hypothesis of Broda (1971, 1978) whose arguments, e.g. the similarities in the electronflow chains of phototrophic bacteria and aerobic respiring bacteria, are now seen to be valid.

According to Fox et al. (1980),  $S_{AB}$  values of 0.5 may be equalized with the onset of the aerobic earth atmosphere, i.e. about  $1.0-1.2 \times 10^9$  years ago. Thus it follows from the rather high  $S_{AB}$  value found for the branching point of the *Nitrobacter* line of descent that this group is comparatively recent in evolutionary terms. It presumably evolved at the same time  $(3-5 \times 10^8$  years ago), when the branching within other major groups of aerobic bacteria occurred, e.g., the enterobacteria, fluorescent pseudomonas, coryneform bacteria, actinomycetes and staphylococci (Fox et al. 1980; Stackebrandt and Woese 1981).

*Acknowledgement.* This work was supported by a grant from the Gesellschaft ffir Biotechnologische Forschung, Braunschweig. We wish to thank Professor George Fox, University of Houston, for the calculation of  $S_{AB}$  values.

### **References**

- Ambler RP, Daniel M, Hermoso I, Meyer T, Bartsch RG, Kamen MD (1979) Cytochrome  $C_2$  sequence variation among the recognized species of purple non-sulfur photosynthetic bacteria. Nature 278 :  $659 - 660$
- Bock E (1970) Untersuchungen fiber die Wechselwirkung zwischen Licht und Chemosynthese am Beispiel yon *Nitrobacter winogradskyi.* Arch Mikrobiol 70:217-239
- Bonen L, Doolittle WF, Fox GE (1979) Cyanobacterial evolution: results of 16 S ribosomol ribonucleic acid sequence analyses. Can J Biochem 57: 879 - 888
- Broda E (1970) The evolution of bioenergetic processes. Prog Biophys Molec Biol 21:145 - 208
- Broda E (1971) The origins of bacterial respiration. In: R Buvet C Ponnamperuma (eds) Chemical evolution and the origin of life. North-Holland Publ Comp, Amsterdam, pp 446-452
- Broda E (1978) The evolution of the bioenergetic processes. Pergamon Press, Oxford New York, pp 93-106
- Dickerson RE (1980) Evolution and gene transfer in purple photosynthetic bacteria. Nature 283:210-212
- Fox GE, Stackebrandt E, Hespell RB, Gibson J, Maniloff I, Dyer TA, Wolfe RS, Balch WE, Tanner RS, Magrum LJ, Zablen LB, Blackemore R, Gupta R, Bonen L, Lewis BJ, Stahl DA, Luehrsen KR, Chen KN, Woese CR (1980) The phylogeny of Prokaryotes. Science 209:457-463
- Gaffron H (1965) The role of light in evolution: Transition from a one quantum to a two quanta mechanism. In: SW Fox (ed) The origins of prebiological systems and their molecular matrices. Academic Press, New York, pp 437-460
- Gibson J, Stackebrandt E, Zablen LB, Gupta R, Woese CR (1980) A phylogenetic analysis of the purple photosynthetic bacteria. Curr Microbiol 3: 59 - 64
- Hori H, Osawa S (1979) Evolutionary change in 5 S RNA secondary structure and a phylogenetic tree of 54 5S RNA species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 76:381-385
- Margulis L (1968) Evolutionary criteria in thallophytes: A radical alternative. Science 161 : 1020-1022
- Margulis L (1970) Origin of eukaryotic cells. Yale University Press, New Haven

Olson JM (1970) The evolution of photosynthesis. Science 168:438 - 446

- Pfennig N, Trüper HG (1974) The phototrophic bacteria. In: RE Buchanan, NE Gibbons (eds) Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology, 8th edn. William & Wilkins, Baltimore, pp 29-33
- Sagan L (1967) On the origin of mitosing cells. J Theoret Biol  $14:225-$ 274
- Schwartz RM, Dayhoff MO (1978) Origins of prokaryotes, mitochondria, and chloroplasts. Science 199:395-403
- Schwemmler W (1979) Mechanismen der Zellevolution. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin New York, pp 115-154
- Stackebrandt E, Woese CR (1981) The evolution of prokaryotes. In: MI Carlile, IF Collins, BEB Moseley (eds) Molecular and cellular aspects of microbial evolution. Cambridge University Press, pp  $1 - 32$
- Stackebrandt E, Ludwig W, Schleifer KH, Gross HJ (1981) Rapid cataloging of ribonuclease  $T_1$  resistant oligonucleotides from ribosomal RNAs for phylogenetic studies. J Mol Evol 17:227-236
- Uchida T, Bonen L, Schaup HW, Lewis BJ, Zablen L, Woese CR (1974) The use of ribonuclease  $U_2$  in RNA sequence determination: some corrections in the catalogue of oligomers produced by ribonuclease T<sub>1</sub> digestion of *Escherichia coli* 16S ribosomal RNA. J Mol Evol **1 : 173-184**
- Watson SW (1974) *Nitrobacteriaceae.* In: RE Buchanan, NE Gibbons (eds) Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology, 8th edn. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, pp  $450 - 546$

Received January 13, 1982/Accepted February 18, 1982