

Free Differential Algebras: Their Use in Field Theory and Dual Formulation

LEONARDO CASTELLANI¹ and ALBERTO PEROTTO²

¹*II Facoltà di Scienze M.F.N. di Torino, sede di Alessandria, Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy*
e-mail: castellani@to.infn.it

²*Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy*

(Received: 1 December 1995)

Abstract. The gauging of free differential algebras (FDA's) produces gauge field theories containing antisymmetric tensors. The FDA's extend the Cartan–Maurer equations of ordinary Lie algebras by incorporating p -form potentials ($p > 1$). We study here the algebra of FDA transformations. To every p -form in the FDA, we associate an extended Lie derivative ℓ generating a corresponding 'gauge' transformation. The field theory based on the FDA is invariant under these new transformations. This gives geometrical meaning to the antisymmetric tensors. The algebra of Lie derivatives is shown to close and provides the dual formulation of FDA's.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (1991). 81R50, 16W30, 22E70.

Key words: free differential algebras, antisymmetric tensors, p -forms, supergravity theories.

1. Introduction

Free differential algebras [1–3] have emerged as underlying symmetries of field theories containing antisymmetric tensors, as, for example, supergravity and superstring theories. Within the group-geometric method of [3–5], a systematic algorithm exists that produces Lagrangians invariant under any given FDA.

Actually, the FDA symmetries related to the antisymmetric tensors were not explicitly discussed in [1–3]. They were treated in [6, 5], where they could be deduced from the BRST algebra of FDA's by interpreting the ghosts as gauge parameters.

Here we present a direct geometric interpretation of antisymmetric tensors: they are the gauge fields of gauge transformations generated by a new type of Lie derivative. These new Lie derivatives, together with the usual Lie derivatives along the Lie algebra tangent vectors, close on an algebra that can be called the dual formulation of FDA's, extending ordinary Lie algebras.

Our arguments are developed in the case of the simplest FDA extension of the Cartan–Maurer equations, containing a two-form.

2. Group Geometry and Dynamical Fields

We sketch the basic steps of the group-geometric approach of [4, 3]. See [5] for a short review.

2.1. LIE ALGEBRA, TANGENT VECTORS, VIELBEINS

Consider an ordinary Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(G)$, with abstract generators T_A satisfying the commutation relations

$$[T_A, T_B] = C^C{}_{AB} T_C. \quad (2.1)$$

On the group manifold G we can find a basis of tangent vectors t_A closing on the same algebra as in (2.1). Their duals are the left-invariant one-forms σ^A (cotangent basis), also called vielbeins, satisfying the Cartan–Maurer equations:

$$d\sigma^A + \frac{1}{2} C^A{}_{BC} \sigma^B \wedge \sigma^C = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

as can be seen by using (2.1) and $\sigma^A(t_B) = \delta_A^B$. Thus, the commutation algebra (2.1) and the Cartan–Maurer equations (2.2) are equivalent descriptions of the same group structure. The Jacobi identities

$$C^A{}_{B[C} C^B{}_{DE]} = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

necessary for the consistency of (2.1), ensure the integrability of Equation (2.2), i.e. the nilpotency of the external derivative $d^2 = 0$.

2.2. DYNAMICAL FIELDS, CURVATURES AND BIANCHI IDENTITIES

The main idea of [4, 3] is to consider the one-forms σ^A as the fundamental fields of the geometric theory to be constructed. More precisely, the dynamical fields are the vielbeins μ^A of \tilde{G} , a smooth deformation of the group manifold G referred to as ‘soft group manifold’. In general, μ^A does not satisfy the Cartan–Maurer equations any more, so that

$$d\mu^A + \frac{1}{2} C^A{}_{BC} \mu^B \wedge \mu^C \equiv R^A \neq 0 \quad (2.4)$$

The extent of the deformation $G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ is measured by the curvature two-form R^A . $R^A = 0$ implies $\mu^A = \sigma^A$ and vice-versa. The deformation is necessary in order to allow configurations with nonvanishing curvature.

Applying the external derivative d to the definition (2.4), using $d^2 = 0$ and the Jacobi identities (2.3), yields the Bianchi identities

$$(\nabla R)^A \equiv dR^A - C^A{}_{BC} R^B \wedge \mu^C = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

An example: $G =$ Poincaré group

Consider $\tilde{G} =$ smooth deformation of the Poincaré group, whose structure constants are read off the corresponding Lie algebra

$$[P_a, P_b] = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$$[M_{ab}, M_{cd}] = \eta_{ad}M_{bc} + \eta_{bc}M_{ad} - \eta_{ac}M_{bd} - \eta_{bd}M_{ac}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$[M_{ab}, P_c] = \eta_{bc}P_a - \eta_{ac}P_b. \tag{2.8}$$

Denoting by V^a and ω^{ab} the vielbein μ^A when the index A runs on the translations and on the Lorentz rotations, respectively, Equation (2.4) takes the form

$$R^a = dV^a - \omega^{ab} \wedge V^c \eta_{bc}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} - \omega^{ac} \wedge \omega^{db} \eta_{cd}. \tag{2.10}$$

The fundamental fields V^a and ω^{ab} are interpreted as the ordinary vierbein and the spin connection, respectively, and Equations (2.10) define the torsion and the Riemann curvature. These satisfy the Bianchi identities

$$dR^a - R^{ab}V^b + \omega^{ab}R^b \equiv \mathcal{D}R^a - R^{ab}V^b = 0, \tag{2.11}$$

$$dR^{ab} - R^{ac}\omega^{cb} + \omega^{uc}R^{cb} \equiv \mathcal{D}R^{ab} = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Products between forms are understood to be exterior products, \mathcal{D} is the Lorentz covariant derivative, and repeated indices are contracted with the Minkowski metric η_{ab} .

Note that the fields $\mu^A(y)$ depend on all the soft group manifold coordinates y . In the Poincaré example, this means that the vierbein and the spin connection depend on the coordinates y^a associated to the translations (the ordinary spacetime coordinates) and on the coordinates y^{ab} associated to the Lorentz rotations. Since we want to have *spacetime fields* at the end of the game, we have to find a way to remove the y^{ab} dependence. This is achieved when the curvatures are *horizontal* in the y^{ab} directions (see later).

How do we find the dynamics of $\mu^A(y)$? We want to obtain a geometric theory, i.e. invariant under diffeomorphisms of the soft group manifold \tilde{G} . We need, therefore, to construct an action invariant under diffeomorphisms, and this is simply achieved by using only diffeomorphic invariant operations as the exterior derivative and the wedge product. The building blocks are the one-form μ^A and its curvature two-form R^A , and exterior products of them can make up a Lagrangian D-form (where D is the dimension of spacetime).

2.3. DIFFEOMORPHISMS

The variation under diffeomorphisms $y + \varepsilon$ of the vielbein field $\mu^A(y)$ is given by the Lie derivative of the vielbein along the infinitesimal tangent vector $\epsilon \equiv \varepsilon^A t_A$:

$$\delta\mu^A = \mu^A(y + \varepsilon) - \mu^A(y) = d(i_\epsilon\mu^A) + i_\epsilon d\mu^A \equiv \ell_\epsilon\mu^A \quad (2.13)$$

On p -forms $\omega_{(p)} = \omega_{B_1\dots B_p}\mu^{B_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mu^{B_p}$, the *contraction* i_v along an arbitrary tangent vector $\mathbf{v} = v^A t_A$ is defined as

$$i_v \omega_{(p)} = p v^A \omega_{AB_2\dots B_p} \mu^{B_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mu^{B_p} \quad (2.14)$$

and maps p -forms into $(p - 1)$ -forms.

The operator

$$l_v \equiv d i_v + i_v d \quad (2.15)$$

is the *Lie derivative* along the tangent vector \mathbf{v} and maps p -forms into p -forms. Equation (2.13) gives the variation under diffeomorphisms of any p -form.

We now rewrite the variation $\delta\mu^A$ of Equation (2.13) in a suggestive way, by adding and subtracting $C^A{}_{BC}\mu^B\varepsilon^C$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mu^A &= d\varepsilon^A + C^A{}_{BC}\mu^B\varepsilon^C - 2\mu^B\varepsilon^C(d\mu^A)_{BC} - C^A{}_{BC}\mu^B\varepsilon^C \\ &= (\nabla\varepsilon)^A + i_\epsilon R^A, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where we have used the definition (2.4) for the curvature, and the G -covariant derivative ∇ acts on ε^A as

$$(\nabla\varepsilon)^A \equiv d\varepsilon^A + C^A{}_{BC}\mu^B\varepsilon^C \quad (2.17)$$

2.4. HORIZONTALITY

All the invariances of the geometric theory are contained in Equation (2.16). In particular, suppose that the two-form $R^A = R^A{}_{BC}\mu^B \wedge \mu^C$ has vanishing components along the directions of a subgroup H of G :

$$R^A{}_{BH} = 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} A, B \text{ run on } G \\ H \text{ runs on } H \subset G \end{array} \quad (2.18)$$

Then we say that R^A is *horizontal* on H , and the diffeomorphisms along the H -directions reduce to *gauge transformations*:

$$\delta\mu^A(y) = (\nabla\varepsilon)^A. \quad (2.19)$$

Moreover, the dependence on the y^H coordinates becomes inessential, in the sense that it factorizes after a finite gauge transformation (see [3, 5]). The theory ‘remembers’ the invariance under y^H -diffeomorphisms by retaining the gauge invariance under H , with ε^H interpreted now as a gauge parameter.

For example, in Poincaré gravity the curvatures are horizontal along the Lorentz directions: then the fields V^a and ω^{ab} live on the coset space

$$\frac{G}{H} = \frac{\text{Poincaré}'}{\text{Lorentz}}, \tag{2.20}$$

i.e. on ordinary spacetime. The Lagrangian is integrated on a D-volume (D-dimensional spacetime), and is therefore a D-form. The resulting theory is invariant under D-spacetime diffeomorphisms, and under local Lorentz rotations.

Finally, we recall the algebra of Lie derivatives on the group manifold. From

$$\ell_{\varepsilon^B \mathbf{t}_B} \mu^A = d\varepsilon^A + (C^A{}_{BC} - 2R^A{}_{BC}) \mu^B \varepsilon^C, \tag{2.21}$$

cf. (2.16), we deduce

$$[\ell_{\varepsilon_1^A \mathbf{t}_A}, \ell_{\varepsilon_2^B \mathbf{t}_B}] = \ell_{[\varepsilon_1^A \partial_A \varepsilon_2^C - \varepsilon_2^A \partial_A \varepsilon_1^C + \varepsilon_1^A \varepsilon_2^B (C^C{}_{AB} - 2R^C{}_{AB})] \mathbf{t}_C}, \tag{2.22}$$

where the partial derivative ∂_A of a function f is defined by $df \equiv (\partial_A f) \mu^A$ or also $\partial_A f \equiv \mathbf{t}_A(f)$. One can verify the standard formula

$$[\ell_{\varepsilon_1^A \mathbf{t}_A}, \ell_{\varepsilon_2^B \mathbf{t}_B}] = \ell_{[\varepsilon_1^A \mathbf{t}_A, \varepsilon_2^B \mathbf{t}_B]}. \tag{2.23}$$

In particular, the Lie derivatives $\ell_{\mathbf{t}_A}$ on the undeformed group manifold G close on the Lie algebra

$$[\ell_{\mathbf{t}_A}, \ell_{\mathbf{t}_B}] = C^C{}_{AB} \ell_{\mathbf{t}_C}. \tag{2.24}$$

3. Free Differential Algebras

The dual formulation of Lie algebras provided by the Cartan–Maurer equations (2.2) can be naturally extended to p -forms ($p > 1$)

$$d\theta_{(p)}^i + \sum \frac{1}{n} C^i{}_{i_1 \dots i_n} \theta_{(p_1)}^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{(p_n)}^{i_n} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$p + 1 = p_1 + \dots + p_n.$$

p, p_1, \dots, p_n are the degrees of the forms $\theta^i, \theta^{i_1}, \dots, \theta^{i_n}$; the indices i, i_1, \dots, i_n run on irreps of a group G , and $C^i{}_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ are generalized structure constants satisfying generalized Jacobi identities due to $d^2 = 0$. When $p = p_1 = p_2 = 1$ and i, i_1, i_2

belong to the adjoint representation of G , Equations (6.1) reduce to the ordinary Cartan–Maurer equations. The (anti)symmetry properties of the indices i_1, \dots, i_n depend on the bosonic or fermionic character of the forms $\theta^{i_1}, \dots, \theta^{i_n}$.

If the generalized Jacobi identities hold, Equations (3.1) define a *free differential algebra* (FDA). The possible FDA extensions G' of a Lie algebra G have been studied in [1, 2], and rely on the existence of Chevalley cohomology classes in G [7]. Suppose that, given an ordinary Lie algebra G , there exists a p -form:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{(p)}^i(\sigma) &= \Omega_{A_1 \dots A_p}^i \sigma^{A_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma^{A_p}, \\ \Omega_{A_1 \dots A_p}^i &= \text{constants}, \quad i \text{ runs on a } G\text{-irrep},\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

which is covariantly closed but not covariantly exact, i.e.

$$\nabla \Omega_{(p)}^i \equiv d\Omega_{(p)}^i + \sigma^A \wedge D(T_A)^i_j \Omega_{(p)}^j = 0, \quad \Omega_{(p)}^i \neq \nabla \Phi_{(p-1)}^i.\tag{3.3}$$

Then $\Omega_{(p)}^i$ is said to be a representative of a Chevalley cohomology class in the $D_{(p)}^i$ irrep of G . ∇ is the boundary operator satisfying $\nabla^2 = 0$ (it would be proportional to the curvature 2-form on the *soft* group manifold). The existence of $\Omega_{(p)}^i$ allows the extension of the original Lie algebra G to the FDA G' :

$$d\sigma^A + \frac{1}{2} C^A_{BC} \sigma^B \wedge \sigma^C = 0,\tag{3.4}$$

$$\nabla \Sigma_{(p-1)}^i + \Omega_{(p)}^i(\sigma) = 0,\tag{3.5}$$

where $\Sigma_{(p-1)}^i$ is a new $(p-1)$ -form, not contained in G . Closure of Equations (6.4) is due to $\nabla \Omega_{(p)}^i = 0$.

It is clear that $\Omega_{(p)}^i$, differing by exact pieces $\nabla \Phi_{(p-1)}^i$ lead to equivalent FDA's, via the redefinition $\Sigma_{(p-1)}^i \rightarrow \Sigma_{(p-1)}^i + \Phi_{(p-1)}^i$. What we are interested in are really nontrivial cohomology classes satisfying Equations (3.3).

The whole game can be repeated on the free differential algebra G' which now contains $\sigma^A, \Sigma_{(p-1)}^i$. One looks for the existence of polynomials in $\sigma^A, \Sigma_{(p-1)}^i$

$$\Omega_{(q)}^i(\sigma, \Sigma) = \Omega_{A_1 \dots A_r i_1 \dots i_s}^i \sigma^{A_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma^{A_r} \wedge \Sigma_{(p-1)}^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \Sigma_{(p-1)}^{i_s}\tag{3.6}$$

satisfying the cohomology conditions (3.3). If such a polynomial exists, the FDA of Equations (3.4), (3.5) can be further extended to G'' , and so on.

Note 1: In constructing D -dimensional supergravity theories we usually choose as starting point the super-Poincaré Lie algebra. The possible G' extensions to FDA's depend on the spacetime dimension D . For example, in $D = 11$ there is a cohomology class of the super-Poincaré algebra in the identity representation

$$\Omega(V, \omega, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \Gamma^{ab} \psi V^a V^b,\tag{3.7}$$

where ψ is the gravitino field, dual to the supersymmetry charge; $d\Omega = 0$ holds because of the $D = 11$ Fierz identity

$$\bar{\psi}\Gamma^{ab}\psi \bar{\psi}\Gamma^a\psi V^b = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

This allows the extension of the algebra (3.4) by means of a three-form A :

$$dA - \Omega(V, \omega, \psi) = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

Note 2: Only nonsemisimple algebras can have FDA extensions in nontrivial G -irreps. Indeed, a theorem by Chevalley and Eilenberg [7] states that there is no nontrivial cohomology class of G in nontrivial G -irreps when G is semisimple.

As we have done in the case of ordinary Lie algebras, we find a dynamical theory based on FDA's by allowing nonvanishing curvatures. This means, for example, that $D = 11$ supergravity is based on a deformation of the fields V, ω, ψ, A such that the super-Poincaré curvatures and the A -curvature are different from zero. For the geometric construction of the action we refer the reader to [3, 5]. Other theories with antisymmetric tensors have been interpreted as gaugings of free differential algebras: see [3] for a detailed study.

4. The FDA1 Algebra

We consider here the simplest extension of a Lie algebra, denoted by FDA1:

$$d\sigma^A + \frac{1}{2}C^A_{BC} \sigma^B \sigma^C = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} dB^i + C^i_{Aj} \sigma^A B^j + \frac{1}{6}C^i_{ABC} \sigma^A \sigma^B \sigma^C \\ \equiv \nabla B^i + \frac{1}{6}C^i_{ABC} \sigma^A \sigma^B \sigma^C = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where B^i is a two-form in a representation D^i_j of G . The generalized Jacobi identities ($d^2 = 0$), besides the usual ones for C^A_{BC} , are

$$C^i_{Aj} C^j_{Bk} - C^i_{Bj} C^j_{Ak} = C^C_{AB} C^i_{Ck}, \quad \text{representation condition}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$4C^j_{[ABC} C^i_{D]j} + 6C^E_{[AB} C^i_{CD]E} = 0, \quad \text{3-cocycle condition}. \quad (4.4)$$

Equation (4.3) implies that $(C_A)^i_j \equiv C^i_{Aj}$ is a matrix representation of G , while Equation (4.4) is just the statement that $C^i \equiv C^i_{ABC} \sigma^A \sigma^B \sigma^C$ is a 3-cocycle, i.e. $\nabla C^i = 0$. If we allow the left-hand sides of Equations (4.1), (4.2) to be nonvanishing curvatures R^A, R^i , respectively, we find the Bianchi identities:

$$dR^A - C^A_{BC} R^B \mu^C = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

$$dR^i - C^i_{Aj} R^A B^j + C^i_{Aj} \mu^A R^j - \frac{1}{2} C^i_{ABC} R^A \mu^B \mu^C = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

where we use the same symbol B^i for the ‘soft’ 2-form. The curvatures can be expanded on the μ^A, B^i basis as

$$R^i = R^i_{ABC} \mu^A \mu^B \mu^C + R^i_{Aj} \mu^A B^j, \quad (4.7)$$

$$R^A = R^A_{BC} \mu^B \mu^C + R^A_i B^i. \quad (4.8)$$

4.1. LIE DERIVATIVES

Using the definition (2.15) and the expression of the FDA curvatures, we find the action of the Lie derivative on B^i :

$$\ell_{\varepsilon^B t_B} B^i = \left(R^i_{Aj} - C^i_{Aj} \right) \varepsilon^A B^j + \left(3R^i_{ABC} - \frac{1}{2} C^i_{ABC} \right) \varepsilon^A \mu^B \wedge \mu^C \quad (4.9)$$

the action on μ^A remaining the one given in (2.21) Here we find something interesting: the Lie derivatives do not close any more as in Equation (2.22). Before computing this modified algebra, let us define

(i) a new contraction operator $i_{\varepsilon^j t_j}$ by its action on a generic p-form $\omega = \omega_{i_1 \dots i_n A_1 \dots A_m} B^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge B^{i_n} \wedge \mu^{A_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mu^{A_m}$ as

$$i_{\varepsilon^j t_j} \omega = n \varepsilon^j \omega_{j i_2 \dots i_n A_1 \dots A_m} B^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge B^{i_n} \wedge \mu^{A_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mu^{A_m}, \quad (4.10)$$

where ε^j is a 1-form. This operator still maps p -forms into $(p-1)$ -forms. We can also define the contraction i_{t_j} , mapping p -forms into $(p-2)$ -forms, from

$$i_{\varepsilon^j t_j} = \varepsilon^j i_{t_j} \quad (4.11)$$

In particular

$$i_{t_j} (B^i) = \delta^i_j \quad (4.12)$$

so that t_j can be seen as the ‘tangent vector’ dual to B^j . Note that $i_{\varepsilon^j t_j}$ vanishes on p -forms that do not contain at least one factor B^i .

(ii) a new Lie derivative given by

$$\ell_{\varepsilon^i t_i} \equiv i_{\varepsilon^i t_i} d + d i_{\varepsilon^i t_i} \quad (4.13)$$

This new derivative commutes with d , satisfies the Leibnitz rule, and acts on the fundamental fields as

$$\ell_{\varepsilon^j \mathbf{t}_j} \mu^A = \varepsilon^j R^A_j, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\ell_{\varepsilon^j \mathbf{t}_j} B^i = d\varepsilon^i + (C^i_{Aj} - R^i_{Aj}) \mu^A \wedge \varepsilon^j. \quad (4.15)$$

Using these new objects, and the Bianchi identities (4.7), (4.8), we can compute the commutator of two ‘usual’ Lie derivatives (acting on μ^A or on B^i) and find:

$$\begin{aligned} [\ell_{\varepsilon^A \mathbf{t}_A}, \ell_{\varepsilon^B \mathbf{t}_B}] &= \ell_{[\varepsilon^A \partial_A \varepsilon^C - \varepsilon^A \partial_A \varepsilon^C + \varepsilon^A \varepsilon^B (C^C_{AB} - 2R^C_{AB})] \mathbf{t}_C} \\ &\quad + \ell_{(C^i_{ABC} - 6R^i_{ABC}) \mu^A \varepsilon^B \varepsilon^C \mathbf{t}_i}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

This result has an important consequence: if the field theory based on FDA1 is geometric, i.e. its action is invariant under diffeomorphisms generated by the ‘usual’ Lie derivative, then the new Lie derivative defined in (4.13) must also generate a symmetry of the action, since it appears on the right-hand side of (4.16). Thus, when we construct geometric Lagrangians gauging FDA1, we know *a-priori* that the resulting theory will have symmetries generated by the new Lie derivative. In other words, the transformations (4.14), (4.15) are invariances of the action.

It becomes now essential to find the total FDA algebra of transformations, and show that it closes. Using the Bianchi identities, it is a straightforward exercise to find the remaining commutators:

$$[\ell_{\varepsilon^A \mathbf{t}_A}, \ell_{\varepsilon^j \mathbf{t}_j}] = \ell_{[\varepsilon^A \mathbf{t}_A, \varepsilon^k + (C^k_{Bj} - R^k_{Bj}) \varepsilon^B \varepsilon^j] \mathbf{t}_k}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$[\ell_{\varepsilon^i \mathbf{t}_i}, \ell_{\varepsilon^j \mathbf{t}_j}] = \ell_{R^B_{ij} (\varepsilon^i (\varepsilon^j)_B - \varepsilon^j (\varepsilon^i)_B) \mathbf{t}_B}, \quad (4.18)$$

where ε^i_A are the components of the 1-form ε^i , i.e. $\varepsilon^i \equiv \varepsilon^i_A \mu^A$. In particular, we can find the commutators of the Lie derivatives on the rigid FDA1 ‘manifold’ by taking $\varepsilon^A = \text{const.}$, $\varepsilon^i_B = \text{const.}$ and vanishing curvatures:

$$[\ell_{\mathbf{t}_A}, \ell_{\mathbf{t}_B}] = C^C_{AB} \ell_{\mathbf{t}_C} + C^a_{ABC} \ell_{\sigma^C \mathbf{t}_a}, \quad (4.19)$$

$$[\ell_{\mathbf{t}_A}, \ell_{\sigma^B \mathbf{t}_B}] = [C^k_{Ai} \delta^B_i - C^B_{AF} \delta^k_i] \ell_{\sigma^F \mathbf{t}_k}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$[\ell_{\sigma^A \mathbf{t}_A}, \ell_{\sigma^B \mathbf{t}_B}] = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

This algebra can be considered the dual of the FDA1 system given in (4.1), (4.2), and generalizes the Lie algebra of ordinary Lie derivatives (generating usual diffeomorphisms) of (2.24). Notice the essential presence of the 1-form σ in front of

the 'tangent vectors' t_i . There are $\dim(G) \times \dim(D)$ independent extended Lie derivatives $\ell_{\sigma^A t_i}$, with $\dim(G)$ =dimension of the Lie algebra, $\dim(D)$ = dimension of the D_j^i , irrep of G to which B^i belongs.

Notice that (4.19)–(4.21) is the Lie algebra of a semidirect product

$$G \otimes [U(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes U(1)]$$

with $\dim(G) \times \dim(D)$ $U(1)$ factors, corresponding to the new $\ell_{\sigma^A t_i}$ Lie derivatives.

References

1. Sullivan, D.: Infinitesimal computations in topology, *Bull. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci., Publ. Math.* **47** (1977).
2. D'Auria, R. and Fré, P.: *Nuclear. Phys. B* **201** (1982) 101;
Castellani, L., Fré, P., Giani, F., Pilch, K. and van Nieuwenhuizen, P.: *Ann. Phys.* **146** (1983), 35;
van Nieuwenhuizen, P.: Free graded differential algebras, in: *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, Lecture Notes in Phys. 180, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
3. Castellani, L., D'Auria, R. and Fré, P.: *Supergravity and Superstrings: a Geometric Perspective*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1991.
4. Ne'eman, Y. and Regge, T.: *Phys. Lett. B* **74** (1978) 31; *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* **1** (1978) 5;
D'Adda, A., D'Auria, R., Fré, P. and Regge, T.: *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* **3** (1980), 6;
D'Auria, R., Fré, P. and Regge, T.: *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* **3** (1980) 12.
5. Castellani, L.: *Internat. J. Modern. Phys. A* **7** (1992), 1583.
6. Boukraa, S.: *Nuclear. Phys. B* **303** (1988), 237.
7. Chevalley, C. and Eilenberg, S.: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1948), 85.