

# **Root Studies in the Chilean Matorral**

Adriana Hoffmann<sup>1</sup> and Jochen Kummerow<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratorio de Botánica, Universidad Católica de Chile, and

2 Botany Department, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA 92182, USA

**Summary.** The roots of matorral shrubs were excavated from an  $18 \text{ m}^2$  site of a mixed matorral stand located on a  $27^{\circ}$  NE facing slope at  $1000$  m elevation 40kin NNW from Santiago de Chile. The climate in this area is similar to that of the Southern Californian chaparral. The main species present were *Lithraea caustica, Cryptocarya alba, Colliguaya odorifera, Mutisia retusa,* and *Satureja gilliesii.* After harvesting the above ground biomass, the soil was washed out in 20cm layers down to a depth of 60cm. The roots were harvested according to their position in the site, separated into species and root size classes. Soil analysis indicated a fertile and deep reaching, clayish soil. *L. caustica* was a deep rooting species with many thick roots growing deeper than 60 cm. This species had a massive burl of 67 kg dry weight in the excavation site. *Cryptocarya* was less deep rooting, and *C. odorifera* had a shallow root system. It is thought that the root:shoot biomass ratios of 4.9 and 1.4 for *L. caustica* and *C. alba* respectively are indicative of the forest character of this site in the past. This forest would have been destroyed by continuous charcoal manufacture. The bulk of the fine roots was found in the 20-40cm soil layer. The average distance between fine roots was calculated as 1.9 cm. The results were compared with an earlier excavation in the Californian chaparral.

## **Introduction**

Recent comparative studies of the Californian chaparral and the Central Chilean matorral have contributed substantially to our understanding of structure and primary production in these ecosystems (Kummerow and Fishbeck, 1977; Mooney, 1977). Nevertheless, it is evident that an adequate data base regarding the biological processes below ground is necessary for a more complete picture of the plant community dynamics.

To whom offprint requests should be sent

Initially, the structure of root systems of the main shrub species in the area should be analyzed. Some information in this respect is available from the Southern Californian chaparral. Hellmers et al. (1955) described the root systems of 18 shrub species with respect to their horizontal spread and depth penetration. Information was obtained from road cut observations and hydraulic excavations; rooting depths of 4m and horizontal root extensions of 10 m were found. In the Israeli maquis, root depths of approximately 9 m were reported by Shachori et al. (1967). Recently, more quantitative information on chaparral roots has been added (Kummerow et al., 1977; Miller and Ng, 1977). In general, it can be concluded that horizontal root spread exceeds the extension of the shoot system by several times. With respect to rooting depth, chaparral species are very plastic and adapt to a wide variety of soil conditions.

Information on root systems in the Chilean matorral is scarce. Some mechanical excavations and road cut observations made by Giliberto and Estay (personal communication) have yielded results similar to those obtained by Hellmers et al. (1955) in California. The first hydraulic root excavations in Chile were reported by Miller and Ng (1977). The two species mentioned in this study, *Satureja gilliesii* and *Colliguaya odorifera,* were characterized by shallow fibrous and shallow wide spreading root systems, respectively. The root: shoot biomass ratio (R:S ratio) for both species was 0.7. This value is similar to the R:S ratios reported by Kummerow et al. (1977) from the chaparral in California. The root excavations in Chile and California were made on shallow soils in an open vegetation. It is therefore legitimate to ask what R:S ratios would be found if the analyses were made on a site with several competing species, a closed canopy, and a deeper soil.

The purpose of the present study is two-fold: First, to contribute quantitative data to the generally scarce knowledge of mediterranean scrub root systems; and second, to test the hypothesis that with higher soil fertility the fine root density increases.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### *The Root Excavation Site*

The general area for the root excavations was located within the boundaries of the Fundo Santa Laura, 40 km NNW from Santiago de Chile (33° 4' S latitude, 71°00' W longitude) at an elevation of about 1000m. A detailed description of climate and geography for this specific zone was recently published by Thrower and Bradbury (1977). Dry, warm summer months and mild, humid winters, averaging 350 mm precipitation per year, characterize this part of Central Chile as a typical mediterranean area (Aschman, 1973).

On a 27° northeast facing slope (north=equator facing), a  $3 \times 6$  m site was chosen and marked with angle irons. This choice represented a compromise between logistics and the need for a site with a representative floristie composition and plant cover. A road only 50 m distant from the site, access to an electrical power line, water from a small creek, 50m away and 15 m below the site, were the main practical advantages. Since the creek is usually dry during the summer, excavations were limited to winter and spring, July-October, 1976.

#### *The Vegetation*

The vegetation in the staked  $18 \text{ m}^2$  site included a dense group of evergreen sclerophyllous shrubs, namely *Lithraea caustica* (Anacardiaceae), *Cryptocarya alba* (Lauraceae), and *Colliguaya odorifera*  (Euphorbiaceae). Several specimens of the climbing *Mutisia retusa* (Compositae), the less conspicuous subshrubs, *Satureja gilliesii* (Labiatae), and *Haplopappus* sp. (Compositae), and one specimen of a cactus *(Trichocereus chiloensis)* were also present. Below the canopy and between the shrubs an important herbaceous fraction of the vegetation, composed principally of grasses and geophytes, e.g. *Solenomelus* sp. (Iridaceae), *Trichocline* sp. (Compositae), and *Pasithea* sp. (Liliaceae) was found. However, roots of the herbaceous fraction were not considered in this study.

#### *The Soil*

The soil at the excavation site, originating from an igneous porphyritic rock, has moderate permeability and infiltration capacity. Abundant superficial stones were seen. Soil characteristics and results of chemical soil analysis are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The chemical analysis of the soil samples was made by the Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Palmer Research Center, Palmer, Alaska, under the supervision of Dr. Jay McKendrick.



**Table 1.** Soil horizons and texture at the Fundo Santa Laura root excavation site,  $18 \text{ m}^2$  in size

 $\mathbf{a}$ Color from Munsell Soil Color Table (Munsell Color Company, Inc., Baltimore). Dry soil

<sup>b</sup> pH measured with creosolbromide blue

Table 2. N and P analysis in the root excavation site Fundo Santa Laura, Chile. The two series of samples represent data from two independent soil collections. I: soil collected November, late spring, 1976; II: March (Fall), 1977

Sample location		ppm-N $NH_{4}$	ppm-N NO <sub>3</sub>	$ppm-N$ total	$\%$ total N ppm-P mainly organic	from weak HCl solu.	$\%$ total P organic $+$ nonexchang. mineral
$0-20$ cm depth		16.1	7.5	23.6	0.168	28.1	0.089
	Н	15.2	6.9	22.1	0.137	27.0	0.092
$20-40$ cm depth	I	8.5	6.2	14.7	0.073	5.0	0.082
	Н	10.9	4.0	14.9	0.090	6.5	0.083
$40-60$ cm depth	I	7.8	4.3	12.1	0.066	2.7	0.066
	П	8.7	4.4	13.1	0.065	4.4	0.077
surface,	Ţ	41.3	8.5	49.8	0.224	36.4	0.090
open ground	П.	17.8	14.4	32.2	0.211	56.1	0.099
surface.		27.3	6.3	33.6	0.433	49.7	0.100
under Lithraea	П	28.1	8.7	36.8	0.560	42.0	0.110
surface,		30.7	6.6	37.3	0.354	51.8	0.099
under Cryptocarya II		10.9	7.4	18.3	0.425	80.7	0.119

#### *Harvesting of the Above-Ground Plant Material*

The excavation site was subdivided into 18 one- $m<sup>2</sup>$  plots by means of wires strung between supporting angle irons at ground level. The stems and branches in each  $m<sup>2</sup>$  plot were carefully harvested and individually bagged. Subsequently the material from each plot was separated into species, oven-dried, and the dry weights were recorded. Subdivision of the aboveground material into stem size classes, leaves, etc. was not done since considerable information in this regard is already available (Giliberto et al., 1977).

#### *Root Harvesting*

Water for the hydraulic excavation was pumped (electric pump, 501/min) from the creek through a  $3/4$  garden hose with a spraying nozzle. The  $27^{\circ}$  slope permitted adequate water run-off. Thus, beginning with the lower boundary of the site, it was possible to wash out the upper soil layer to a depth of 20 cm square meter by square meter. Several large rocks, weighing up to about 75 kg, had to be removed during this phase.

The thinner roots (diam. < 1.0cm) that had been exposed during the first phase of excavation were harvested strictly according to the  $m<sup>2</sup>$  limits. In general, it was possible to assign the roots to their corresponding species, but errors in identification may have occurred. Major roots were collected after their position had been recorded by means of in-scale drawings. In the latter cases, specific identification of roots was possible, because, after tracing some of them to their respective crowns and burls, they could be recognized by their morphological features.

After harvesting the first 20 cm layer, the next two layers each 20 cm deep, were treated likewise. In the deeper layers, where fine root masses were fewer but thicker roots more frequent, crowbars were used to loosen the hard clay in order to increase the erosive action of the water necessary to move the clay down the slope. At 60cm depth further excavation proved to be nearly impossible. At this depth fine roots were rare but considerable numbers of thicker roots, mostly from *Lithraea caustica,* were found penetrating the deeper soil layers. Root loss, resulting from the excavation technique, was estimated at 25  $\frac{6}{6}$  for the fine root fractions and 5  $\frac{6}{6}$  for the thicker size classes.

#### *Root Processing*

The root processing followed the method established earlier in California (Kummerow et al., 1977). The roots, identified and bagged in the field, were washed in the laboratory and subdivided into three diameter size classes: Class  $1 = 2.5$  mm,  $2 = 2.6 - 10$  mm  $3 = 2.6$  mm. Although the bulk of the fine root fraction (size Class 1) had root diameters < 1.0mm, it proved impractical to separate these mostly absorbing fine roots from the slightly thicker and mostly conducting roots. Finally, dry weights for each root fraction and species were measured and recorded.

### **Results**

#### *Aboveground Shrub Vegetation*

The experimental site is heterogenous in its floristic composition considering only the number of perennial shrubs. Figure 1, illustrating a bird's eye perspective of the vegetation, emphasizes the dominant role of *Lithraea caustica* in this specific site. A profile drawing (Fig. 2) shows the height relations between the major shrub species and their main rooting patterns. *Mutisia retusa, a*  foliated vine which grows profusely in the shrub canopy, presents a special case.



## *Above- and Below-Ground Biomass*

The total root and shoot biomass recorded per species, without regard to the number of specimens in the excavation site, is summarized in Table 3. The calculated R:S ratio shows that approximately five times the shoot biomass of *Lithraea caustica* is found below ground. Even discounting the 71 kg of burl tissue of this species from the root weight, the R:S ratio would still be 2.9 and thus, much higher than other R:S ratios reported from the mediterranean areas in Chile and California (Miller and Ng, 1977; Kummerow et al., 1977). It should



Fig. 2. Profile drawing through the root excavation site. Abbreviations are as in Figure 1. The *massive black structure* on the *right hand side* of the drawing is a burl with several thick roots emerging from it. *Cryptocarya,* here a small tree, is frequently seen in the area as a dense shrub from stump sprouts

Species	Root biomass g dr.wt.	$\%$ of total	Shoot biomass g dr.wt.	$\%$ of total	Root:shoot biomass ratio
Lithraea caustica	173,054	85.3	34.855	64	4.9 <sup>a</sup>
Cryptocarya alba	24,580	12.1	9.209	18	1.4
Colliguaya odorifera	3,916	1.9	6.094	11	0.6
Mutisia retusa	631	0.3	2,256	4	0.3
Satureja gilliesii	163	0.1	749		0.3
Stachys sp.	94	0.05	256	0.5	0.3
Baccharis rosmarinifolia	501	0.15	154	0.3	$-b$
Trichocereus chiloensis	60	0.03	104	0.2	0,5

Table 3. Root and shoot biomass from an  $18 \text{ m}^2$  experimental site, Fundo Santa Laura, Chile

 $\frac{a}{b}$  The R:S ratio includes the burls with 71 kg in the root system<br>b. Some branches hanging into the experimental site. The root

Some branches hanging into the experimental site. The root crown located beyond the site limits

also be considered that many thick *L. caustica* roots penetrated deeper into the soil than the excavated 60cm, and that the dry weight of this deeper fraction may well equal that of the burls.



Fig. 3. Surface view of the excavation site after the removal of a 20cm soil layer. *Grid squares* are the same as in Figure 1, Few bigger and many fine roots are visible. The *massive areas* in the figure, *open, black,* and *hatched,* represent burls. The *fine roots* are not drawn to scale; this root fraction forms dense mats around the burls.

## *Root Distribution*

The general root distribution was recorded by means of scale drawings. The high root density forbade any attempt to project the major roots (diam.  $> 1.0$  cm) into a single plane. Therefore, drawings for each 20 cm of soil depth were prepared (Figs. 3-5). The larger conducting roots of *L. caustica* formed an



Fig. 4. The same view as in Figure 3 after harvest of the roots and removal of a second 20 cm soil layer. Only roots thicker than 1 cm were drawn to scale. Root species symbols are given in Figure 3

intricate meshwork, and grafts were frequently observed at crossings not only between roots of the same shrub but also between individuals growing several meters apart from each other, e.g. in Figure 5, plots 12 and 16.

Strong evidence for the existence of species-characteristic root depths in this experimental site is shown in Table 4. The total root biomass per species, recorded for three different depth levels and three root diameter classes is given. The fine roots of *L. caustica, C. alba, C. odorifera,* and *Haplopappus* sp. were most dense in the 20-40cm soil level, whereas the fibrous root systems of *Mutisia retusa, Satureja gilliesii, Stachys* sp. and the cactus *Trichocereus chi-* 



Fig. 5. The same view as in Figure 4 after harvest of the roots and removal of a third 20 cm soil layer. Details are given in Figure 4. Note the *root grafts* in squares No. *12* and *16.* The burls of *Lithraea* in squares No. *12* and *15* did not reach deeper down into the ground

*Ioensis* were concentrated in the upper 20 cm of the soil. *Talguenea quinquenervia,*  a summer deciduous shrub, seems to be a member of the first group, but as more than half of the roots of this species grew outside the pIot, the data may not be significant. The same reasoning is valid for *Baccharis rosmarinifolia.* 

The deep rooting pattern of *L. caustica* is very obvious. Roots with diameters  $> 2.5$  mm are concentrated in the 40–60 cm level (Table 4 and Fig. 5). If the burl tissue, 67 kg dry weight alone for square meters 14 and 15, and located mostly in the 20-40 cm level (Table 4 and Fig. 4), were not added to that root fraction, the relative amount of roots in the 40-60 cm level would appear even greater.

Species	Soil depth level	Root diameter classes (mm)			Total root biomass
	cm	$0 - 2.5$	$2.6 - 10$	$>10$	g dr.wt.
Lithraea caustica	$0 - 20$ $20 - 40$ $40 - 60$	471 1,438 990	221 4,812 12,667	14,072 51,391 86,992	14,764 57,641 100,649
Cryptocarya alba	$0 - 20$ $20 - 40$ $40 - 60$	275 804 145	35 1,665 915	6,254 11,457 3,030	6,564 13,926 4.090
Colliguaya odorifera	$0 - 20$ $20 - 40$ $40 - 60$	302 967 $\mathbf{1}$	1,081 880 68	448 169	1,831 2,016 60
Baccharis rosmarinifolia	$0 - 20$ $20 - 40$ $40 - 60$	42	22 266	<u>.</u> 171	64 237
Mutisia retusa	$0 - 20$ $20 - 40$ $40 - 60$	268	363 -		631
Haplopappus sp.	$0 - 20$ $20 - 40$ $40 - 60$	13			13
Satureja gilliesii	$0 - 20$ $20 - 40$ $40 - 60$	150	13		163
Talguenea quinquenervia	$0 - 20$ $20 - 40$ $40 - 60$	21 57 78	44 195 239		65 252 317
Stachys sp.	$0 - 20$ $20 - 40$ $40 - 60$	83	11		94 -
Trichocereus chiloensis	$0 - 20$ $20 - 40$ $40 - 60$	22	7 $\boldsymbol{2}$	30 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$	59 $\overline{c}$

Table 4. Total root biomass harvested from the  $18 \text{ m}^2$  excavation site for each soil layer and separated into root diameter classes

*Cryptocarya alba* is different: Most of the stronger roots were found in the 20- 40 cm level (Table 4). Fewer roots grew down to the depth of 60 cm and deeper penetrating roots were observed only occasionally. *Colliguaya odorifera*  is shallow rooting with most of the stronger roots growing in the upper 20 cm of the ground.

## **Discussion**

In contrast with earlier root studies from Central Chile and Southern California, the root and shoot biomass values reported here came from a vegetation growing on a deep and fertile soil (Table 2). Unfortunately, it was impossible to

proceed with the excavation to a depth greater than 60cm. Not only was the toxicity of roots and shoots of *Lithraea,* comparable to the North American poison oak *(Toxicodendron diversiloburn),* a problem but also the hard clay in the deeper soil layers rendered the hydraulic excavation technique inefficient. Nevertheless, the information collected can be used for a comparison with Californian data.

With the exception of *Lithraea caustica* and, to a lesser degree, *Cryptocarya alba* (Table 3), the Chilean species show R:S ratios comparable to those reported from California (Miller and Ng, 1977; Kummerow et al., 1977). These values are below unity and confirm Barbour's (1973) statement that xerophytic plants need not have high R:S ratios.

*L. caustica* and *C. alba* with R:S ratios of 4.9 and 1.4 respectively, should be considered differently. Both these species are known to form trees when undisturbed. Their floristic importance was stressed by Oberdorfer (1960) who considered the *Lithraeo-Cryptocaryetea* association as the terminal stage in a succession of plant associations. Especially striking is the size of the Lithraea burl (Figs. 3-5). Since the volume of burls increases with age and repeated stem harvesting, the massive burls of both species are probably the result of many years of wood cutting for charcoal manufacture. Several charcoal ovens on the farm grounds are evidence for this activity, which continued until 1959 when the farm owner adopted a policy of vegetation protection (Aschmann and Bahre, 1977). Although an estimate of the age of larger burls is impossible, the high R: S ratios of these two species may be an indication of the original existence of *a Lithraea-Cryptocarya* grove. The fertile soil and adequate moisture supply have probably permitted maintenance of a root system suitable for a forest type vegetation with higher biomass values for the shoot system. The frequent root grafts in *L. caustica* may contribute to the success of this species in the research area. It is postulated that 50 years of undisturbed growth would reestablish this small *Lithraea-Cryptocarya* forest.

The earlier root excavations by Kummerow et al. (1977) and Miller and Ng, (1977) in California and Chile failed to show specific root depths for different shrubs. This was interpreted as resulting from a very shallow soil in which all the roots had to compete for the available resources in a limited space. The excavation reported here deals with a deep and fertile soil, and a clear cut vertical root distribution could be demonstrated (Table 4). Most of the major *Lithraea* roots were found in the deepest soil layer (40-60 cm) and many thick roots penetrating beyond the 60cm excavation limit were observed. Most of the *Cryptocarya* roots were found in the 20-40 cm soil layer, while *Colliguaya* proves to be a shallow rooting species. It is interesting to observe that these three species concentrate their fine root mass very clearly in the 20-40cm soil layer, a tendency much less pronounced in the Californian excavation site.

A comparison of the biomass values per  $m<sup>2</sup>$  obtained in Chile with those in California, using identical methods, is instructive (Table 5). It should be understood that this comparison refers to only two small excavation sites, both arbitrarily chosen, and not meant to represent matorral and chaparral but rather two different kinds of mediterranean scrub. The shoot biomass from the Chilean site was found to be about  $1/3$  and the root biomass 16 times greater

	California Echo Valley	Chile fundo Sta. Laura	
Shoot biomass per $m^2$ , g	2.040	2.988	
Root biomass per $m^2$ , g	685	$11,314^a$	
Fine root mass per $m^3$ , g	110	567	
Fine roots in $\%$ of total roots	9.6	3.0	

**Table 5.** Comparison of shoot and root biomass values per  $m<sup>2</sup>$  with Californian values (Kummerow et al., 1977), obtained with the same method

The value includes  $4.4 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$  of burl tissue. It is estimated that a similar amount of roots was not colllected from soil layers deeper than 60 cm

Table 6. Average N and P values from the Chilean root excavation site and the Californian general research area. The values from Chile are averages from Table 2. The values from California represent averages of 50 independent analyses, from 5 sampling dates between spring and fall and l0 samples for each date. The ratios between the Chilean and Californian data show a higher availability of N and P for the Chilean root excavation site



than the corresponding Californian values. Although the root biomass data for Chile include the burl weights, similar amounts of roots could not be extracted from soil layers below 60 cm, whereas in California root extraction was nearly complete, and thus the comparison may still be fair. A possible reason for this high R: S ratio in Chile has been discussed above. In addition, as shown in Table 6, average N and P concentrations for the Chilean and Californian excavation areas have been compiled. A much higher availability of nitrogen and phosphorous in the Chilean site is obvious and may also be significant in comparing the differing biomass values of the two areas.

Also noteworthy is the difference in fine root masses between the two sites (Table 5). Since these fine roots are concentrated in the upper 40 cm of the soil their density has to be much higher in the Chilean site. Root measurements from container grown matorral shrubs (average from 4 species, Kummerow, unpubl.) show that 1 g of fresh fine roots extended over 6.2 m. The equivalent for chaparral roots from field collections was  $15 \text{ m g}^{-1}$ , i.e. chaparral roots were significantly thinner. It is possible that field collected roots from the matorral are thinner than those from container grown plants. Further research is necessary to decide this question.

The root length data permit calculations of root length densities (RLD). For the matorral and the chaparral sites, 1650 and 3513 m $\cdot$  m<sup>-3</sup> of soil, respectively, were calculated. The RLD can be converted into an average distance between roots because the reciprocal  $(m^3 m^{-1})$  is the average cross sectional area of the cylinder of soil exploited for water and nutrients by the roots (Miller and Ng, 1977). The average distance between fine roots in the Chilean and Californian excavation sites was found to be 1.9 cm and 2.8 cm respectively. If it could be shown that field grown fine roots are thinner than those from container grown matorral shrubs, the average distances between roots will be smaller. This calculation is not considered definite, but it demonstrates clearly the relation between higher nutrient concentrations, shorter root distances, and greater shoot biomass values.

*Acknowledgments.* This study was carried out with the financial support from NSF Grant DEB 75- 19491. The authors are grateful to Prof. Mario Peralta for the soil description, to Robert Mangan for the graphic work, and to Mr. Luis González and Prof. Juan Giliberto for their help with the field work. Dr. Nancy Carmichael assisted patiently with the preparation of the manuscript.

## **References**

- Aschmann, H.: Distribution and peculiarity of mediterranean ecosystems. In: Mediterranean type ecosystems (F. diCastri, H.A. Mooney, eds.), Ecological studies Vol. 7, pp. 11-19. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1973
- Aschmann, H., Bahre, C.: Man's impact on the wild landscape. In: A study of convergent evolution: Scrub ecosystems of California and Chile (H.A. Mooney, ed.), pp. 73-84. Stroudsburg, Penns.: Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross 1977
- Barbour, M.G.: Desert dogma reexamined: root:shoot productivity and plant spacing. Am. Midl. Nat. 89, 41-57 (1973)
- Giliberto, J., Mooney, H.A., Kummerow, J.: Shrub structure analysis. In: Chile-California Mediterranean Scrub Atlas (N.J.W. Thrower, D.E. Bradbury, eds.), pp. 144-147. Stroudsburg, Penns.: Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross 1977
- Hellmers, H., Horton, J.S., Juhren, G., O'Keefe, J.: Root systems of some plants in southern California. Ecol. 36, 667-678 (1955)
- Kummerow, J., Fishbeck, K. (eds.): Chile-California Mediterranean Scrub Atlas, Part II. In: Chile-California Mediterranean Scrub Atlas (N.J.W. Thrower, D.E. Bradbury, eds.), pp. 77-232. Stroudsburg, Penns.: Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross 1977
- Kummerow, J., Krause, D., Jow, W.: Root systems of chaparral shrubs. Oecologia (Berl.) 29, 163-177 (1977)
- Miller, P.C., Ng, E.: Root:shoot biomass ratios in shrubs in southern California and central Chile. Madrofio 24, 215-223 (1977)
- Mooney, H.A. (ed.): A study of convergent evolution: Scrub ecosystems of California and Chile, 224 pp. Stroudsburg, Penns. : Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross 1977
- Oberdorfer, E. : Pflanzensoziologische Studien in Chile, 208 pp. Weinheim: Cramer 1960
- Shachori, A., Rosenzweig, D., Poljakoff-Mayber, A.: Effect of mediterranean vegetation on the moisture regime. In: International Symposium on forest hydrology (W.E. Sopper, H.W. Lull, eds.), pp. 291-311. Oxford: Pergamon Press 1967
- Thrower, N.J.W., Bradbury, D.E. (eds.) : Chile-California Mediterranean Scrub Atlas, Part I, pp. 3-73. Stroudsburg, Penns.: Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross 1977

*Received July 15, 1977*