## An Existence Theorem for a Problem from Boundary Layer Theory

## S. P. HASTINGS

Communicated by J. SERRIN

The main object of this paper is to prove that the boundary value problem

$$\begin{array}{c}
f''' + f''(f + cg) + (1 - f'^{2}) = 0 \\
g''' + g''(f + cg) + c(1 - g'^{2}) = 0
\end{array} \tag{1}$$

$$f(0) = f'(0) = g(0) = g'(0) = 0,$$
(2)

$$f'(\infty) = g'(\infty) = 1 \tag{3}$$

has at least one solution for any  $c \ge 0$ . We also consider the asymptotic behavior of solutions as the independent variable becomes large. These equations arise in the theory of three dimensional boundary layers and describe incompressible flow near a stagnation point. They were derived by HOWARTH in 1951 and their significance is discussed in his article [6]. Negative values of c are also of interest physically, but, in common with similar problems which have been treated before, this case seems more difficult than the case  $c \ge 0$ . In fact DAVEY has shown in [2] that the problem has no solution for c < -1; fortunately, however, physically meaningful situations can always be reduced to the case  $c \ge -1$ .

References [13], [8], [9], [2], [7] and [10] contain earlier theoretical work in the same general area as the present paper. The first four of these references deal with the well-known Falkner-Skan equation

$$f''' + f''f + \lambda(1 - f'^{2}) = 0, \qquad (4)$$

a full discussion of which appears in [3]. Note that when c=0 equations (1) reduce to (4) plus an easily solved equation for g. It follows from known results about (4) that the problem (1)-(3) therefore has a solution when c=0; hence we shall consider only c>0.

The proof of our result will be based on a discussion of the topology of the space of initial conditions. It will be clear that the method applies to more general problems, but for simplicity we shall restrict our discussion to the problem (1)-(3).

We begin with several topological propositions concerning the Euclidean plane  $E^2$ .

**Lemma 1** [11, pg. 73]. Let  $\gamma$  be a connected subset of  $E^2$  which intersects both U and  $E^2 - U$ , where U is a subset of  $E^2$ . Then  $\gamma$  intersects  $\partial U$ , the boundary of U.

S. P. HASTINGS:

The next lemma may be found in a dual form involving closed sets in reference [11, pg. 137]. The present form is an obvious consequence.

**Lemma 2.** Let A and B be open subsets of  $E^2$  with components  $A^*$  and  $B^*$  such that  $A^* \cap B^*$  is disconnected. Then  $A \cup B \neq E^2$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  be open subsets of  $E^2$ , with  $S_1 \cap T_1$  and  $S_2 \cap T_2$ empty. Suppose there are components  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  of  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  respectively, such that  $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ ,  $Q_1 \cap R_2$ ,  $Q_2 \cap R_1$ , and  $R_1 \cap R_2$  are all non-empty. Then  $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup T_1 \cup T_2 \neq E^2$ .

**Proof.** In Lemma 2, let  $A = S_1 \cup S_2$  and  $B = T_1 \cup T_2$ . Let  $A^*$  be the component of A containing  $Q_1 \cup Q_2$  and let  $B^*$  be the component of B containing  $R_1 \cup R_2$ . The hypotheses imply that  $Q_1 \cap R_2$  and  $Q_2 \cap R_1$  are non-empty and disjoint; thus to apply Lemma 2 it is sufficient to show that at least one of these sets is a union of components of  $A^* \cap B^*$  (in fact, they both are).

Suppose that  $Q_1 \cap R_2$  is not such a union. Then there is a component  $\Omega$  of  $A^* \cap B^*$  which intersects both  $Q_1 \cap R_2$  and  $E^2 - (Q_1 \cap R_2)$ . By Lemma 1,  $\Omega$  intersects  $\partial(Q_1 \cap R_2)$  and so  $\Omega$  intersects  $\partial Q_1$  or  $\partial R_2$ . If, for example, there is a point  $p \in \Omega \cap \partial Q_1$ , then we have first of all  $p \in \Omega \Rightarrow p \in A^* \Rightarrow p \in S_1 \cup S_2$  and  $p \in \Omega \Rightarrow p \in B^* \Rightarrow p \in T_1 \cup T_2$ . Then secondly we have also  $p \in \partial Q_1 \Rightarrow p \notin S_1 \Rightarrow p \in S_2 \Rightarrow p \notin T_2 \Rightarrow p \in T_1$ . But  $p \in T_1 \cap \partial Q_1$  is impossible because  $T_1$  and  $Q_1$  are disjoint open sets. Other cases being handled similarly, this completes the proof.

**Remark.** These results are also true in higher dimensions. In [4] we used them to discuss the existence of solutions which are bounded on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  for systems of differential equations, and it seems likely that other problems can be found for which a method based on Lemma 3 would be useful. (The proof of the above lemma which we gave in [4] was incomplete.)

**Lemma 4** [11, pg. 123]. Let p and q be points of  $E^2$  which are separated by a closed set K (that is, p and q lie in distinct components of  $E^2 - K$ ). Then p and q are separated by some component of K.

In order to prove the existence of a solution to (1)-(3), consider for each pair of real numbers  $(\alpha, \beta)$  the solution f, g of (1), (2) such that  $f''(0) = \alpha, g''(0) = \beta$ . We now define sets  $S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2$  as follows:

 $S_1 = \{(\alpha, \beta) | \text{ there exists an } x_1^+ > 0 \text{ such that } f'(x_1^+) > 1, \text{ and } f'(x) \ge 0 \text{ for } 0 \le x \le x_1^+ \}$   $S_2 = \{(\alpha, \beta) | \text{ there exists an } x_2^+ > 0 \text{ such that } g'(x_2^+) > 1, \text{ and } g'(x) \ge 0 \text{ for } 0 \le x \le x_2^+ \}$   $T_1 = \{(\alpha, \beta) | \text{ there exists an } x_1^- > 0 \text{ such that } f'(x_1^-) < 0, \text{ and } f'(x) \le 1 \text{ for } 0 \le x \le x_1^- \}$  $T_2 = \{(\alpha, \beta) | \text{ there exists an } x_2^- > 0 \text{ such that } g'(x_2^-) < 0, \text{ and } g'(x) \le 1 \text{ for } 0 \le x \le x_2^- \}.$ 

Clearly  $S_1 \cap T_1$  and  $S_2 \cap T_2$  are empty.

**Lemma 5.** The sets  $S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2$  are open.

(The precise definitions of  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , and the use of this lemma were suggested to the author by conversations with Professor J. SERRIN and Dr. J. B. MCLEOD about their work in this area. Also, we were introduced to this problem as a result of a series of lectures by Professor SERRIN and the ensuing notes [12]). Proof of Lemma 5. Equations (1) can be written in the form

$$[f'' e^{H(x)}]' = -(1-f'^{2}) e^{H(x)}$$

$$[g'' e^{H(x)}]' = -c(1-g'^{2}) e^{H(x)}$$
(5)

where

$$H(x) = \int_{0}^{x} (f(s) + c g(s)) ds.$$
 (6)

We first show  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are open. Let  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  be a point in  $T_1$  and let  $f_1, g_1$ be the corresponding solution of (1)-(2). We shall show that  $x_1^-$  in the definition of  $T_1$  can be chosen so that  $f'_1(x) < 1$  on  $(0, x_1^-]$ . This will imply that some neighborhood of  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  lies in  $T_1$ , because solutions of (1) are continuous with respect to initial conditions. Suppose  $x_1^-$  cannot be chosen as described. Then there must exist a  $y_1 > 0$  with  $f'_1(y_1) = 1$ ,  $f'_1(y) \le 0$  in a neighborhood of  $y_1$ . But then  $f''_1(y_1) = 0$ , and by the uniqueness of solutions of (1) we must have  $f'_1(x) \equiv 1$ , contradicting (2). Thus  $T_1$  is open, and similarly,  $T_2$  is open.

To prove that  $S_1$  is open let  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$  be a point in  $S_1$  and note that (1) implies  $\alpha_2 > 0$ . Let  $f_2, g_2$  be the corresponding solution of (1) - (2). We shall show that the point  $x_1^+$  in the definition of  $S_1$  can be chosen so that  $f'_2(x) > 0$  on  $(0, x_1^+]$ . This will imply that a neighborhood of  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$  lies in  $S_1$ , again because of continuity with respect to initial conditions.

Suppose  $x_1^+$  cannot be chosen as described. Then there must exist a value  $y_2 > 0$  with  $f'_2(y_2) = 0$ ,  $f''_2(y_2) = 0$ , and  $0 \le f'_2(x) \le 1$  for x in  $[0, y_2]$ . Also  $f''_2$  must have at least two zeros in  $[0, y_2]$ . Just as in the proof that  $T_1$  is open, it must in fact be true that

$$0 \le f'_2(x) < 1$$
 for  $x \text{ in } [0, y_2]$ . (7)

From (5) and (7) we see that  $[f''e^H]' < 0$  on  $[0, y_2]$ . Therefore  $f''e^H$  can have at most one zero on  $[0, y_2]$  which gives a contradiction. Therefore  $S_1$ , and similarly  $S_2$ , are open.

It is apparent that the half planes  $\alpha < 0$  and  $\beta < 0$  are subsets of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  respectively. Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be the components of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  containing these half planes so that, in particular  $R_1 \cap R_2$  is non-empty. The definitions of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  will depend on the next lemma.

**Lemma 6.** There are continuous functions  $M(\cdot)$  and  $N(\cdot)$  defined on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\alpha \ge M(|\beta|)$  implies  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_1$ , while  $\beta \ge N(|\alpha|)$  implies  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_2$ .

**Proof.** Integrating the second equation of (1) and using (2) gives

$$g''(x) = \beta - \int_{0}^{x} g''(s) (f(s) + c g(s)) ds - c \int_{0}^{x} (1 - g'(s)^{2}) ds$$

$$= \beta - c x - g'(x) (f(x) + c g(x)) + \int_{0}^{x} (f'(s) g'(s) + 2c g'(s)^{2}) ds.$$
(8)

For a given  $(\alpha, \beta)$  suppose  $\delta > 0$  is chosen so that  $f'(x)^2 \leq 1$ ,  $g'(x)^2 \leq 1$  on  $[0, \delta]$ . Then on this interval  $|f(x)| \leq x$ ,  $|g(x)| \leq x$  and from  $(8) -|\beta| - (2c+2)x \leq g''(x) \leq |\beta| + (3c+2)x$ .

Now for any  $\beta$  let  $\delta = \delta_{\beta}$  be the positive root of

$$(3c+2)\frac{\delta^2}{2}+|\beta|\delta-1.$$

If  $f'(s)^2 \leq 1$ ,  $g'(s)^2 \leq 1$  on [0, x], and  $0 \leq x \leq \delta_{\beta}$ , we find

$$|g'(x)| \leq \int_{0}^{x} |g''(s)| \, ds \leq \int_{0}^{\delta_{\beta}} (|\beta| + (3c+2)s) \, ds = 1.$$

It follows that  $|g'(x)| \leq 1$  and  $|g(x)| \leq x$ , at least as long as  $f'(x)^2 \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq x \leq \delta_{\beta}$ . Integrating the first equation of (1) gives

$$f''(x) = \alpha - f'(x)(f(x) + c g(x)) - x + \int_0^x (2f'(s)^2 + cf'(s) g'(s)) ds$$
  

$$\geq \alpha - (2 + 2c) x.$$

Therefore, for any  $\alpha > 0$ , we have  $f''(x) \ge \alpha/2$  and  $f'(x) \ge \alpha x/2$  at least until  $x = \alpha/(4c+4)$  or  $x = \delta_{\beta}$  or f'(x) > 1. Hence if we set  $M(|\beta|) = 2\sqrt{2+2c} + 2/\delta_{\beta}$ , then  $\alpha \ge M(|\beta|)$  implies  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_1$ . The function  $N(|\alpha|)$  can be defined similarly.

Now define  $Q_1$  to be the component of  $S_1$  containing the set  $\alpha \ge M(|\beta|)$ , and define  $Q_2$  to be the component of  $S_2$  containing the set  $\beta \ge N(|\alpha|)$ . In order to complete the proof of the theorem we require the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.** There exists a number m such that if  $\alpha \ge m$  and  $\beta \ge m$  then  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_1 \cup S_2$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $f'(x)^2 \leq 1$ ,  $g'(x)^2 \leq 1$  on some interval  $[0, x_1]$ . Then on this interval we have  $|f(x)| \leq x$ ,  $|g(x)| \leq x$ , and

$$f''(x) \ge \alpha - (2+2c) x$$
  

$$f'(x) \ge \alpha x - (2+2c) x^2/2$$
  

$$g''(x) \ge \beta - (2+2c) x$$
  

$$g'(x) \ge \beta x - (2+2c) x^2/2.$$

Therefore, for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  sufficiently large at least one of the values f'(x), g'(x) must exceed 1 before becoming negative.

**Proof of the Main Result.** From Lemma 6 it follows that  $Q_1 \cap R_2$  and  $Q_2 \cap R_1$  are non-empty and, as we remarked above,  $R_1 \cap R_2$  is also non-empty. If the same is true of  $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ , then Lemma 3 shows that there is a point  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in the complement of  $V = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup T_1 \cup T_2$ . Suppose, on the other hand, that  $Q_1 \cap Q_2$  is empty. Then let W denote the quadrant  $\alpha > m$ ,  $\beta > m$ .

106



Since  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are disjoint, any point p in  $Q_2$  is separated from any point q in  $Q_1$  by the boundary  $\partial Q_2$  of  $Q_2$ . By Lemma 4 some component  $\gamma$  of  $\partial Q_2$  separates p from q. Also  $\gamma$  can be chosen independently of p and q because all points in the connected open set  $Q_2$  lie in the same component of the complement of  $\gamma$ , and the same holds for all points of  $Q_1$ .

Since  $Q_2$  is unbounded  $\gamma$  must also be unbounded. Furthermore,  $\gamma$  must intersect both W and  $R_1$ . Letting  $p_1$  be a point on  $\gamma \cap W$  we see from Lemma 7 that  $p_1 \in S_1$ . If  $S_1^*$  is the component of  $S_1$  containing  $p_1$ , then  $S_1^* \cap R_1$  is empty, since  $S_1 \cap R_1$  is empty. It follows that  $\gamma$  intersects both  $S_1^*$  and  $E^2 - S_1^*$ ; hence by Lemma 1  $\gamma$  intersects  $\partial S_1^*$ . A point  $p_2$  in  $\gamma \cap \partial S_1^*$  lies in  $\partial S_1 \cap \partial S_2$ , and because  $T_1 \cap S_1$  and  $T_2 \cap S_2$  are empty,  $p_2 \notin V$ .

Thus, in any case there is at least one point  $(\alpha, \beta) \notin V$ . It remains to show that if f, g is the corresponding solution of (1), then  $f'(\infty) = g'(\infty) = 1$ .

From the definitions of  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $T_1$ , and  $T_2$  we see that  $0 \le f'(x) \le 1$  and  $0 \le g'(x) \le 1$ , as long as f(x) and g(x) exist. It easily follows from (1) that f and g can be extended to  $[0, \infty)$ . From (5) we conclude that f'' and g'' are eventually of one sign; hence f' and g' are eventually monotone. Let  $\omega_1 = \lim_{x \to \infty} f'(x) = f'(\infty)$ ,  $\omega_2 = g'(\infty)$ . Define  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  by  $f'(x) = \omega_1 + \varphi(x)$ ,  $g'(x) = \omega_2 + \psi(x)$ . Substituting these in (1) and integrating the first equation of (1) yields

$$\varphi'(x) - \varphi'(0) + \varphi(x)(\omega_1 + \omega_2 c) x + \varphi(x) \int_0^x (\varphi(u) + c \psi(u)) du + (1 - \omega_1^2) x - \int_0^x \varphi(s) (3\omega_1 + \omega_2 c + 2\varphi(s) + c \psi(s)) ds = 0.$$

The conditions  $\varphi(\infty) = \psi(\infty) = 0$  show that  $\varphi'(x) = (1 - \omega_1^2)x + o(x)$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Since  $0 \le \omega_1 \le 1$  we must have  $\omega_1 = 1$ , and similarly  $\omega_2 = 1$ , completing the proof.

We finish with a few remarks about the behavior of solutions of (1)-(3). A physically meaningful solution f, g must satisfy  $f' \ge 0$ ,  $g' \ge 0$ , and it is clear that these conditions hold for the solution obtained in our proof. Using (5) we can show further that 0 < f' < 1, 0 < g' < 1, f'' > 0, g'' > 0, f''' < 0, g''' < 0 on  $(0, \infty)$ .

The asymptotic behavior of f, g is more complicated. We are interested in the rates at which  $f' \rightarrow 1, g' \rightarrow 1$ . Fortunately the main part of the necessary work can

8 Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., Vol. 33

S. P. HASTINGS:

be carried over from the discussion of the Falkner-Skan equation in [3], (see also [1]). We shall outline the procedure briefly; the reader is referred to [3, pgs. 534, 536] for the details.

Letting  $\varphi(x) = f'(x) - 1$ ,  $\psi(x) = g'(x) - 1$ , and u(x) = f(x) + cg(x), it follows from (1) that

$$\varphi'' + u \,\varphi' - (1 + f') \,\varphi = 0$$
  
$$\psi'' + u \,\psi' - c (1 + g') \,\psi = 0.$$

Eliminating the middle terms in these equations by setting

$$\Phi(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{x}u(s) ds} \varphi(x), \quad \Psi(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{x}u(s) ds} \psi(x),$$
$$\Phi'' - q_{1} \Phi = 0, \quad \Psi'' - q_{2} \Psi = 0$$

we obtain

where  $q_1 = 1 + f' + \frac{1}{4}u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u'$  and  $q_2 = c(1+g') + \frac{1}{4}u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u'$ . We know  $f' \sim 1$ ,  $g' \sim 1$ ,  $u' \sim 1 + c$ , and by carrying out the procedure in [3] on f and g in parallel we obtain

$$1 - f'(x) \sim a_1 x^{-1 - \frac{2}{1 - c}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1 + c)x^2 - a_2 x}$$
$$f''(x) \sim (1 + c) x (1 - f'(x))$$

and

$$1 - g'(x) \sim b_1 x^{-1 - \frac{2c}{1+c}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+c)x^2 - b_2 x}$$
$$g''(x) \sim (1+c) x (1 - g'(x))$$

for some constants  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$ .

## **Bibliography**

- 1. COPPEL, W. A., On a differential equation of boundary layer theory. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A, 253, 101-136 (1960).
- 2. DAVEY, A., Boundary-layer flow at a saddle point of attachment. J. Fluid Mech. 10, 593-610 (1961).
- 3. HARTMAN, P., Ordinary Differential Equations. New York: John Wiley 1964.
- 5. HOWARTH, L., The boundary layer in three dimensional flow I. Phil. Mag. (7) 42, 239-243 (1951).
- HOWARTH, L., Laminar Boundary Layers. Handbuch der Physik, vol. 8/1. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer 1959.
- 7. Ho, D., & H. K. WILSON, A boundary value problem arising in boundary layer theory. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 27, 165-174 (1967).
- 8. IGLISCH, R., Elementarer Existenzbeweis für die Strömung in der laminaren Grenzschicht zur Potentialströmung  $U=u, x^m$  mit m>0 bei Absaugen und Ausblasen. Zeit. Ang. Math. Mech. 33, 143-147 (1953).
- 9. IGLISCH, R., & F. KEMNITZ, Über die in der Grenzschichttheorie auftretende Differentialgleichung  $f'''+f''f+\beta(1-f'^2)=0$  für  $\beta<0$  bei gewissen Absauge- und Ausblasengezetzen. 50 Jahre Grenzschichtforschung. Braunschweig (1955).

108

Boundary Layer Theory

- McLeod, J. B., & J. SERRIN, The existence of similar solutions for some boundary layer problems. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 31, 288-303 (1968).
- 11. NEWMAN, M. H. A., Elements of the Topology of Plane Sets of Points, 2nd Edition. Cambridge: Univ. Press (1961).
- 12. SERRIN, J., Lectures on the Navier-Stokes Equation. 1967 Edinburgh Instructional Conference on Differential Equations.
- 13. WEYL, H., On the differential equations of the simplest boundary-layer problems. Ann. Math. 43, 381-407 (1942).

The University Dundee, Scotland and Department of Mathematics Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio

(Received December 4, 1968)