030289339100061W



# Activities of formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase, methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase, methylenetetrahydromethanopterin reductase, and heterodisulfide reductase in methanogenic bacteria

## Beatrix Schwörer and Rudolf K. Thauer

Laboratorium für Mikrobiologie, Fachbereich Biologie, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Karl-von-Frisch-Straße, W-3550 Marburg/Lahn, Federal Republic of Germany

Received October 11, 1990/Accepted December 19, 1990

Abstract. The activities of formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase, methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase, methylenetetrahydromethanopterin reductase, and heterodisulfide reductase were tested in cell extracts of 10 different methanogenic bacteria grown on H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> or on other methanogenic substrates. The four activities were found in all the organisms investigated: Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum, M. wolfei, Methanobrevibacter arboriphilus, Methanosphaera stadtmanae, Methanosarcina barkeri (strains Fusaro and MS), Methanothrix soehngenii, Methanospirillum hungatei, Methanogenium organophilum, and Methanococcus voltae. Cell extracts of  $H_2/CO_2$  grown M. barkeri and of methanol grown M. barkeri showed the same specific activities suggesting that the four enzymes are of equal importance in  $CO_2$  reduction to methane and in methanol disproportionation to CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>. In contrast, cell extracts of acetate grown M. barkeri exhibited much lower activities of formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase and methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase suggesting that these two enzymes are not involved in methanogenesis from acetate. In M. stadtmanae, which grows on H<sub>2</sub> and methanol, only heterodisulfide reductase was detected in activities sufficient to account for the in vivo methane formation rate. This finding is consistent with the view that the three other oxidoreductases are not required for methanol reduction to methane with  $H_2$ .

Key words: Methanogenic bacteria – Methanofuran – Coenzyme M – Tetrahydromethanopterin – Coenzyme  $F_{420}$  – Disulfide reductase

Methanogenic bacteria are anaerobic archaebacteria. Most of them grow on  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$ , some use methanol,

methylamine, or acetate as methanogenic substrates (Balch et al. 1979).

The mechanism of methane formation has mainly been resolved with *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (Zeikus and Wolfe 1972) which reduces 1 CO<sub>2</sub> with 4 H<sub>2</sub> to 1 CH<sub>4</sub> and 2 H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\Delta$  G<sup>o'</sup> = -131 kJ/ mol). In this organism CO<sub>2</sub> is converted to methane via formylmethanofuran (CHO-MFR), N<sup>5</sup>-formyl-H<sub>4</sub>MPT, N<sup>5</sup>,N<sup>10</sup>-methenyl-H<sub>4</sub>MPT (CH = H<sub>4</sub>MPT), N<sup>5</sup>,N<sup>10</sup>methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT (CH<sub>2</sub> = H<sub>4</sub>MPT), N<sup>5</sup>-methyl-H<sub>4</sub>MPT (CH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>4</sub>MPT), and methyl-coenzyme M (CH<sub>3</sub>-S-CoM) as intermediates (DiMarco et al. 1990). Four redox reactions are involved:

$$CO_2 + MFR + 2 [H] \rightleftharpoons CHO - MFR + H_2O$$
 (1)  
 $E^{\circ \prime} = -497 \text{ mV}$ 

$$CH \equiv H_4MPT^+ + 2 [H] \rightleftharpoons CH_2 = H_4MPT + H^+ \quad (2)$$
$$E^{\circ\prime} = -386 \text{ mV}$$

$$CH_2 = H_4MPT + 2 [H] \rightleftharpoons CH_3 - H_4MPT$$
(3)  
$$E^{\circ\prime} = -323 \text{ mV}$$

$$CH_3 - S - CoM + 2 [H] \rightarrow CH_4 + H - S - CoM \qquad (4)$$
$$E^{\circ\prime} = +26 \text{ mV}$$

For thermodynamic data see Thauer (1990). Reaction (1) is catalyzed by formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase, reaction (2) by methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase, reaction (3) by methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT reductase, and reaction (4) by methyl-coenzyme M reductase plus heterodisulfide reductase. The latter two enzymes mediate partial reactions (5) and (6), respectively (Thauer 1990).

$$CH_{3}-S-CoM + H-S-HTP$$
  

$$\Rightarrow CH_{4} + CoM-S-S-HTP$$

$$\Delta G^{\circ \prime} = -45 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

$$CoM-S-S-HTP + 2 [H]$$
(5)

$$\approx H - S - CoM + H - S - HTP$$
(6)  
$$E^{\circ'} = -210 \text{ mV}$$

The physiological electron donor of formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase (Bobik and Wolfe 1988;

Offprint requests to: R. K. Thauer

*Non-standard abbreviations:* MFR, methanofuran;  $H_4$ MPT, tetrahydromethanopterin; H-S-CoM, coenzyme M; H-S-HTP, 7-mercaptoheptanoylthreonine phosphate (component B); CoM-S-S-HTP, heterodisulfide of H-S-CoM and H-S-HTP

Börner et al. 1989) and of heterodisulfide reductase (Hedderich et al. 1989) are not yet known. The methylene- $H_4MPT$  reductase is specific for reduced coenzyme  $F_{420}$ (te Brömmelstroet et al. 1990) and the methylene- $H_4MPT$ dehydrogenase directly reacts with  $H_2$  (Zirngibl et al. 1990). After incubation of cell extracts under aerobic conditions a coenzyme  $F_{420}$ -dependent methylene- $H_4MPT$  dehydrogenase is also detectable (Mukhopadhyay and Daniels 1989). Methyl-coenzyme M reductase was found to be highly specific for H-S-HTPas electron donor (Ellermann et al. 1988).

The five oxidoreductases involved in  $CO_2$  reduction to methane have been purified and characterized from *M. thermoautotrophicum:* Formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase (Börner et al. 1989; Karrasch et al. 1989b); methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase (Hartzell et al. 1985; Mukhopadhyay and Daniels 1989; Zirngibl et al. 1990); methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT reductase (te Brömmelstroet et al. 1990; Ma and Thauer 1990a and b); methyl-coenzyme M reductase (Ellefson et al. 1982; Ellermann et al. 1988, 1989; Rospert et al. 1990); and heterodisulfide reductase (Hedderich et al. 1989, 1990).

We describe here the presence of the 5 oxidoreductases in ten methanogenic bacteria belonging to different taxonomic groups (Woese 1987) and/or grown on substrates other than  $H_2/CO_2$ .

## Materials and methods

Methanofuran, tetrahydromethanopterin, and coenzyme  $F_{420}$  were isolated from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (strain Marburg). Formylmethanofuran was prepared from methanofuran by formylation with p-nitrophenylformate (Donnelly and Wolfe 1986). L-CoM-S-S-HTP was synthesized as described by Ellermann et al. 1988.

Methanococcus voltae (DSM 1537), M. thermoautotrophicum (strain Marburg) (DSM 2133) (Brandis et al. 1981), Methanobacterium wolfei (DSM 2970), Methanobrevibacter arboriphilus (strain AZ) (DSM 744) (Zehnder and Wuhrmann 1977), Methanosphaera stadtmanae (DSM 3091) (for valid name see Int J Syst Bacteriol, 1985, 35: 535), Methanosarcina barkeri (strain Fusaro) (DSM 804) (Kandler and Hippe 1977), M. barkeri (strain MS) (DSM 800) (Bryant and Boone 1987), Methanothrix soehngenii (DSM 2139), Methanospirillum hungatei (DSM 1101), Methanogenium organiophilum (DSM 3596) were from the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen (Göttingen, FRG). Cells of M. soehngenii grown on acetate (Jetten et al. 1989a) were a gift from M. Jetten (Wageningen).

The bacteria were grown as described: M. thermoautotrophicum (Schönheit et al. 1980); M. wolfei, medium of M. thermoautotrophicum supplemented with sodium tungstate (1  $\mu$ M) (Winter et al. 1984); M. arboriphilus (Pfaltz et al. 1987); M. stadtmanae (Miller and Wolin 1985); M. barkeri (Karrasch et al. 1989a); M. hungatei (Bott et al. 1985); M. organophilum (Widdel 1986) and M. voltae (Whitman et al. 1982). The cells were harvested in the exponential growth phase, washed once with 50 mM potassium phosphate pH 7 containing 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, and suspended in the same buffer to a final cell concentration of 20-40 mg (dry weight) per ml. The suspension was then anaerobically passed 3 times through a French pressure cell at 140 MPa. The French pressure lysate was anaerobically centrifuged for 40 min at  $27000 \times g$  and  $4^{\circ}$ C. The supernatant, which is designated cell extract, was stored under H<sub>2</sub> as gas phase at  $0^{\circ}$  C and analyzed for enzyme activities within 5-7 h. When only very little activity was found the activity was determined directly after cell lysis in the repetitive experiment.

Enzyme assays were performed in 1.3-ml cuvettes closed with a rubber stopper. Anaerobic conditions were achieved by repeatedly evacuating and gassing the cuvettes with nitrogen. Additions were made with microliter syringes.

Formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase was measured by following the reduction of methylviologen at 578 nm ( $\varepsilon = 9.7 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ ) (Börner et al. 1989). The 0.7-ml assay mixture contained: 50 mM Tricine/KOH pH 8.0; 2 mM dithiothreitol; 100 mM NaCl; 10 mM methylviologen, and 70  $\mu$ M formylmethanofuran. The reaction was started with cell extract.

Methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase was assayed by following the formation of methenyl-H<sub>4</sub>MPT at 340 nm ( $\varepsilon = 20.8 \text{ mM}^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) or by following the reduction of coenzyme F<sub>420</sub> at 420 nm ( $\varepsilon = 33.56 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at pH 6.5) (Zirngibl et al. 1990). The 1-ml assay mixture contained: 120 mM potassium phosphate pH 6.5; 3 mM formaldehyde; 40–50  $\mu$ M H<sub>4</sub>MPT; and, where indicated, 40  $\mu$ M coenzyme F<sub>420</sub>. The reaction was started with cell extract.

Methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT reductase was tested by following the oxidation of reduced coenzyme  $F_{420}$  with methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT at 420 nm ( $\epsilon = 43 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at pH 8.5) (Ma and Thauer 1990a). The 1 ml assay mixture contained: 100 mM *Tris*/HCl pH 8.5; 10 mM mercaptoethanol; 16  $\mu$ M coenzyme  $F_{420}$ ; and 3 mM sodium dithionite for the reduction of  $F_{420}$  to  $F_{420}H_2$ . After 3–4 min at 55°C 15 mM formaldehyde and 16  $\mu$ M H<sub>4</sub>MPT were added. The gas phase was 40% N<sub>2</sub>/60% acetylene. The reaction was started with cell extract.

Heterodisulfide reductase (Hedderich et al. 1989) was measured by following the oxidation of benzylviologen at 578 nm ( $\varepsilon = 8.6$  mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). The 0.8 ml assay mixture contained: 50 mM *Tris*/ HCl pH 8; 2 mM benzylviologen; 50 mM sodium dithionite; and 0.4 mM CoM-S-S-HTP. The reaction was started with cell extract.

Protein was determined with BCA reagent (bicinchoninic acid) from Pierce (Rockford, Ill., USA) using the standard protocol (Smith et al. 1985).

#### Results

For the determination of enzyme activities cells were used which were harvested in the exponential growth phase. The specific rates of methane formation ( $\mu$ mol/min  $\cdot$  mg protein) in the exponentially growing cultures are given in Table 1. They were calculated from the doubling times t<sub>d</sub> (min) and the growth yields Y<sub>CH4</sub> (g cells/mol CH<sub>4</sub>) assuming that (i) the average amount of cells forming methane during a doubling period (t<sub>2</sub>-t<sub>1</sub>) is 1.5 times that at t<sub>1</sub> and (ii) that the cells consisted of 50% protein (factor 2).

Specific rate of CH<sub>4</sub> formation =  $2/1.5 \cdot Y_{CH_4} \cdot t_d$ 

The specific rates of  $CH_4$  formation in exponentially growing cultures can be used as a guide line for the evaluation of the specific activities of methanogenic enzymes in cell extracts.

The specific activities of the enzymes were generally determined at the growth temperature (Table 1). Only the methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT reductase – because of experimental reasons (Ma and Thauer 1990a) – was always tested at  $55^{\circ}$ C.

The following enzyme activities were determined in extracts of freshly harvested cells: formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase; methylene- $H_4MPT$  dehydrogenase; methylene- $H_4MPT$  reductase; heterodisulfide reductase; and methyl-coenzyme M reductase. The presence of these oxidoreductases in ten methanogenic bacteria and the

Organisms	Grown on	Growth temperature (°C)	Doubling time $(t_d)$ (h)	Specific rate of methane formation <sup>a</sup> $(\mu mol \cdot min^{-1})$ mg protein <sup>-1</sup> )
Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum	H <sub>2</sub> /CO <sub>2</sub>	65	2	5.6
Methanobacterium wolfei	$H_2/CO_2$	61	4	2.8
Methanobrevibacter arboriphilus	$H_2/CO_2$	37	8	1.4
Methanosphaera stadtmanae	$Methanol/H_2$	37	11	0.3
Methanosarcina barkeri (Fusaro)	H <sub>2</sub> /CO <sub>2</sub>	37	12	0.9
	Methanol		12	0.3
	Acetate		24	0.6
Methanosarcina barkeri (MS)	Acetate	37	24	0.6
Methanothrix soehngenii	Acetate	37	168 <sup>b</sup>	0.1
Methanospirillum hungatei	$H_2/CO_2$	37	13	0.9
Methanogenium organophilum	$H_2/CO_2$	30	6	1.9
Methanococcus voltae	$H_2/CO_2$	37	6	1.9

Table 1. Specific rate of methane formation in exponentially growing cultures of methanogenic bacteria, from which cell extracts were prepared for the determination of enzyme activities. Bacteria belonging to different taxonomic groups are separated by a horizontal line

<sup>a</sup> The specific rate was calculated from the doubling time  $t_d$  and from the following averaged growth yields Y (g cells/mol methane): Y = 2 g/mol for H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> as substrate (Zehnder and Wuhrmann 1977; Schönheit et al. 1980)), Y = 1.5 g/mol for acetate as substrate (Huser et al. 1982; Weimer and Zeikus 1978a), Y = 6.7 g/mol for methanol as substrate (Weimer and Zeikus 1978b; Hippe et al. 1979). It was assumed that the cells were composed of 50% protein. See also text

<sup>b</sup> From Jetten et al. (1989b)

catalytic properties of these enzymes are successively described.

If not otherwise indicated, the enzyme activities were recovered to over 95% in the  $100,000 \times g$  (40 min) supernatant.

## Formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase

This enzyme was tested in the direction of formylmethanofuran oxidation to methanofuran and  $CO_2$ . Methylviologen was used as artificial electron acceptor. The formylmethanofuran was prepared from methanofuran isolated from *M. thermoautotrophicum*. For the interpretation of the data it is important to note that some methanogenic bacteria contain modified methanofurans (White 1988).

Using the described assay relatively high specific activities of formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase were found in *M. thermoautotrophicum*, *M. wolfei*, *M. arboriphilus*, *M. barkeri*, and *M. soehngenii* (Table 2). In the other methanogens formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase activity was also definitely present, however, the specific activity was only very low. In the case of *M. stadtmanae* this can be explained since formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase has no apparent function in methane formation from methanol and H<sub>2</sub>. In the case of *M. hungatei* and *M. voltae* a reason could be that the enzyme was assayed with the non-physiological coenzyme. *M. hungatei* and *Methanococcus* species are known to contain a methanofuran which differs from that isolated from *M. thermoautotrophicum* (White 1988).

Formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase form M. barkeri (Karrasch et al. 1990a, b) has been purified and

shown to catalyze the oxidation of *N*-furfurylformamide as pseudo-substrate (Breitung et al. 1990). Only formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase from *M. wolfei* was found to share this property. The specific activity with *N*furfurylformamide (100 mM) was  $0.1 \,\mu$ mol/min  $\cdot$  mg protein in cell extracts of *M. barkeri* and 0.17  $\mu$ mol/min  $\cdot$  mg protein in cell extracts of *M. wolfei*.

## Methylene- $H_4MPT$ dehydrogenase

This enzyme was tested in the direction of methylene- $H_4MPT$  oxidation to methenyl- $H_4MPT$ . The activity in the absence of any added electron acceptor is designated the H<sup>+</sup>-reducing activity. The activity, which was dependent on coenzyme  $F_{420}$ , is designated the  $F_{420}$ -reducing activity.

All the bacteria belonging to the methanomicrobium group were found to contain the  $F_{420}$ -reducing methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase activity. The bacteria belonging to the methanobacterium group generally exhibited only H<sup>+</sup>-reducing methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase activity. In *M. voltae* both activities were found (Table 2).

The specific activity of methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase in cell extracts of M. stadtmanae and of M. soehngenii was very low. As formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase this enzyme has no apparent function in methanogenesis from methanol and H<sub>2</sub> or from acetate. The specific activity of methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase in cell extracts of M. arboriphilus was also relatively low. The reason for this is not understood. Different pH and buffers were tried. **Table 2.** Specific activity of formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase, methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase, methylenetetrahydromethanopterin reductase, and heterodisulfide reductase in cell extracts of methanogenic bacteria grown on  $H_2/CO_2$  or on other substrates (see Table 1). Bacteria belonging to different taxonomic groups are separated by a horizontal line. Note that the specific activities are not  $V_{max}$  values. As of experimental reasons they were determined at relatively low substrate concentrations

Organisms	Grown on	Formyl-MFR dehydrogenase	Methylene-H₄MPT dehydrogenase	Methylene-H <sub>4</sub> MPT reductase	Heterodisulfide reductase		
		(µmol min <sup>-1</sup> mg protein <sup>-1</sup> )					
Methanobacterium							
thermoautotrophicum	$H_2/CO_2$	1.1	7.0 <sup>a</sup>	4.6	0.48		
Methanobacterium wolfei	$H_2/CO_2$	1.7	2.3ª	5.26	0.2		
Methanobrevibacter arboriphilus	$H_2/CO_2$	0.7	0.04ª	6.6	0.23		
Methanosphaera stadtmanae	$Methanol/H_2$	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.17		
Methanosarcina barkeri (Fusaro)	H <sub>2</sub> /CO <sub>2</sub>	1.3	2.5 <sup>b</sup>	6.9	0.3		
× ,	Methanol	1.3	2.8 <sup>b</sup>	5.4	0.34		
	Acetate	0.27	0.14 <sup>b</sup>	2.2	0.25		
Methanosarcina barkeri (MS)	Acetate	0.3	0.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.72	1.0		
Methanothrix soehngenii	Acetate	0.15	0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.1	0.36		
Methanospirillum hungatei	$H_2/CO_2$	0.03	8.0 <sup>b</sup>	0.34	1.0		
Methanogenium organophilum	$H_2/CO_2$	0.02	8.0 <sup>b</sup>	0.1	1.0		
Methanococcus voltae	$H_2/CO_2$	0.02	0.7 <sup>a</sup> 7.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.42	0.1		

<sup>a</sup> H<sup>+</sup>-reducing methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase

<sup>b</sup> F<sub>420</sub>-reducing methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase

## Methylene- $H_4MPT$ reductase

This enzyme was tested in the direction of methylene- $H_4MPT$  reduction to methyl- $H_4MPT$  with reduced coenzyme  $F_{420}$  as electron donor.

All the bacteria tested, with exception of *M. stadt-manae*, contained high specific activity of this enzyme (Table 2). The reductase was always specific for coenzyme  $F_{420}$ . Neither NADPH, NADH or reduced viologen dyes could substitute for  $F_{420}/H_2$  in the assay. The enzyme has been purified from *M. thermoautotrophicum* (te Brömmelstroet et al. 1990; Ma and Thauer 1990a, b) and from *M. barkeri* (Ma and Thauer 1990c).

#### *Heterodisulfide reductase*

This enzyme was tested by following the oxidation of reduced benzylviologen with CoM-S-S-HTP. As a control the rate of oxidation with CoM-S-S-CoM and HTP-S-S-HTP was assayed. The specific activities given in Table 2 have been corrected for these rates, which were generally very low.

All the methanogens investigated contained heterodisulfide reductase in specific activities above 0.1  $\mu$ mol/ min  $\cdot$  mg protein. The highest specific activity (Table 2) relative to the specific rate of methane formation in vivo (Table 1) was found in *M. barkeri* (strain MS) and the lowest in *M. voltae*, where it represents only 5% of the in vivo rate. The reductase in the latter organism was found to rapidly loose activity probably explaining this result.

The heterodisulfide reductase activity in M. barkeri (strain MS) differed from the activity in all the other

investigated methanogenic bacteria in that it was found to be over 50% associated with the membrane fraction. In *M. soehngenii* it was 20%. In all the other methanogens over 90% of the activity was recovered in the  $100,000 \times g$ supernatant.

### Methyl-coenzyme M reductase

This enzyme was tested by measuring the formation of CH<sub>4</sub> from CH<sub>3</sub>-S-CoM in the presence of dithiothreitol (15 mM) and aquocobalamin (0.3 mM)(Ellermann et al. 1988). With this assay methyl-coenzyme M reductase activity was found in all the methanogens investigated. The specific activity was, however, always only a few percent or even a few per thousandth of that expected from the observed in vivo methane formation rates (Table 1). Therefore these activities were not included in Table 2. Why the enzyme is relatively inactive in vitro is not yet understood (Ellermann et al. 1989). Most of the investigated bacteria have been shown to contain high concentrations of the enzyme: M. thermoautotrophicum (Ellermann et al. 1989; Rospert et al. 1990); M. arboriphilus (Ellermann, unpublished data); M. stadtmanae (Rouvière and Wolfe 1987); M. barkeri (Moura et al. 1983; Rouvière and Wolfe 1987); M. soehngenii (Jetten et al. 1990); M. organophilum (Widdel et al. 1988); and M. voltae (Konheiser et al. 1984) (see also Hartzell and Wolfe 1986).

## Discussion

For the determination of enzyme activities in the ten methanogenic bacteria investigated we have always used



Fig. 1. Scheme of the energy metabolism of methanogenic bacteria. Reactions, intermediates and oxidoreductases involved in CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to CH<sub>4</sub>, in CH<sub>3</sub>OH disproportionation to CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, in CH<sub>3</sub>OH reduction with H<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>4</sub>, and in acetate disproportionation to CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. The question mark indicates that the reversibility of the CH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>4</sub>MPT :coenzyme M methyl-transferase reaction remains to be demonstrated. It could also be that CH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>4</sub>MPT is directly formed from CH<sub>3</sub>OH and H<sub>4</sub>MPT rather than indirectly via CH<sub>3</sub>-S-CoM. CH  $\equiv$  H<sub>4</sub>MPT = N<sup>5</sup>,N<sup>10</sup>-methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT; CH<sub>2</sub> = H<sub>4</sub>MPT = N<sup>5</sup>-methyl-H<sub>4</sub>MPT. For complete structures of MFR and H<sub>4</sub>MPT see DiMarco et al. (1990)

the same protocol: the cells were harvested in the exponential growth phase; cell extracts were prepared in 50 mM potassium phosphate pH 7 containing 0.5 mM dithiothreitol; the cells were lysed by three times passage of the suspensions through a French pressure cell at 140 MPa; and the enzyme activities were assayed directly after cell lysis. This protocol may have been optimal for the determination of the one enzyme but less optimal for the other. This has to be kept in mind when comparing the enzyme activities, especially when only low activities were found.

The results indicate that formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase, methylene- $H_4MPT$  dehydrogenase, methylene- $H_4MPT$  reductase, heterodisulfide reductase, and methyl-coenzyme M reductase are present in all the ten methanogenic bacteria investigated.

In *M. stadtmanae* grown on  $H_2$  and methanol the activities of formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase, methylene- $H_4MPT$  dehydrogenase, and methylene- $H_4MPT$  reductase were very low indicating that the three enzymes are not required in methane formation from  $H_2$  and methanol. In acetate grown *M. barkeri* the specific activities of formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase and of methylene-H<sub>4</sub>MPT dehydrogenase were much lower than in H<sub>2</sub>/ CO<sub>2</sub> or in methanol grown cells indicating that these two enzymes are not required for acetate fermentation to CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>. In H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> grown *M. barkeri* and in methanol grown *M. barkeri* the specific activities of the five oxidoreductases were almost identical indicating a role in both CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to methane and in methanol disproportionation to CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>. Similar results have recently been reported for *Methanosarcina thermophila* grown on acetate, methane, or H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> (Jablonski et al. 1990).

In all the methanogens investigated heterodisulfide reductase was found in significant specific activities (Table 2) and methyl-coenzyme M reductase is reported to be present in high enzyme concentrations (for references see the results section on methylcoenzyme M reductase) indicating that these two oxidoreductases are essential for methanogenesis from all substrates.

A scheme of the energy metabolism of methanogenic bacteria, which takes these and other results into account, is given in Fig. 1. The scheme shows that in the fermentation of  $H_2/CO_2$ , of methanol, and of acetate common reactions are used (see also Fischer et al. 1989; Mahlmann et al. 1989). It also shows that for the fermentation of acetate an additional oxidoreductase, carbon monoxide dehydrogenase, is essential, which is not required in the fermentation of the other substrates. Carbon monoxide dehydrogenase is involved in the catalysis of the following reaction:

 $CH_{3}CO-S-CoA + H_{4}MPT$   $\Rightarrow CH_{3}-H_{4}MPT + CO_{2} + CoASH + 2 [H]$ (7)  $E^{\circ \prime} = -200 \text{ mV}$ 

The enzyme has been purified from M. barkeri (Krzycki et al. 1989) and from M. soehngenii (Jetten et al. 1989a). For recent reviews on the role of carbon dehydrogenase see Thauer et al. (1989) and Thauer (1990).

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. We thank Sabine Scholz, Gerhard Börner, and Thomas Rösser for the preparation and synthesis of coenzymes.

### References

- Balch WE, Fox GE, Magrum LJ, Woese CR, Wolfe RS (1979) Methanogens: reevaluation of a unique biological group. Microbiol Rev 43:260-296
- Bobik TA, Wolfe RS (1988) Physiological importance of the heterodisulfide of coenzyme M and 7-mercaptoheptanoyl-threonine phosphate in the reduction of carbon dioxide to methane in *Methanobacterium*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85:60-63
- Börner G, Karrasch M, Thauer RK (1989) Formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase activity in cell extracts of *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* and of *Methanosarcina barkeri*. FEBS Lett 244:21-25
- Bott MH, Eikmanns B, Thauer RK (1985) Defective formation and/or utilization of carbon monoxide in  $H_2/CO_2$  fermenting methanogens dependent on acetate as carbon source. Arch Microbiol 143:266-269
- Brandis A, Thauer RK, Stetter KO (1981) Relatedness of strains ∆H and Marburg of *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum*. Zentralbl Bakteriol Hyg [C] 2:311-317
- Breitung J, Börner G, Karrasch M, Berkessel A, Thauer RK (1990) N-Furfurylformamide as a pseudo-substrate for formylmethanofuran converting enzymes from methanogenic bacteria. FEBS Lett 268:257-260
- Bryant MP, Boone DR (1987) Emended description of strain MS<sup>T</sup> (DSM 800<sup>T</sup>), the type strain of *Methanosarcina barkeri*. Int J Syst Bacteriol 37:169–170
- DiMarco AA, Bobik TA, Wolfe RS (1990) Unusual coenzymes of methanogenesis. Annu Rev Biochem 59:355-394
- Donnelly MI, Wolfe RS (1986) The role of formylmethanofuran: tetrahydromethanopterin formyltransferase in methanogenesis from carbon dioxide. J Biol Chem 261:16653-16659
- Ellefson WL, Whitman WB, Wolfe RS (1982) Nickel-containing factor F<sub>430</sub>: chromophore of the methylreductase of *Methanobacterium*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 79:3707-3710
- Ellermann J, Hedderich R, Böcher R, Thauer RK (1988) The final step in methane formation. Investigations with highly purified methyl-CoM reductase (component C) from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (strain Marburg). Eur J Biochem 172:669-677
- Ellermann J, Rospert S, Thauer RK, Bokranz M, Klein A, Voges M, Berkessel A (1989) Methyl-coenzyme-M reductase from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (strain Marburg). Purity, activity and novel inhibitors. Eur J Biochem 184:63-68

- Fischer R, Thauer RK (1989) Methyltetrahydromethanopterin as an intermediate in methanogenesis from acetate in *Methanosarcina barkeri*. Arch Microbiol 151:459-465
- Hartzell PL, Wolfe RS (1986) Comparative studies of component C from the methylreductase system of different methanogens. Syst Appl Microbiol 7:376-382
- Hartzell PL, Zvilius G, Escalante-Semerena JC, Donnelly MI (1985)
   Coenzyme F<sub>420</sub> dependence of the methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase of *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 133:884-890
- Hedderich R, Berkessel A, Thauer RK (1989) Catalytic properties of the heterodisulfide reductase involved in the final step of methanogenesis. FEBS Lett 255:67-71
- Hedderich R, Berkessel A, Thauer RK (1990) Purification and properties of heterodisulfide (CoM-S-S-HTP) reductase from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (strain Marburg). Eur J Biochem 193:255-261
- Hippe H, Caspari D, Fiebig K, Gottschalk G (1979) Utilization of trimethylamine and other N-methyl compounds for growth and methane formation by *Methanosarcina barkeri*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 76:494–498
- Huser BA, Wuhrmann K, Zehnder AJB (1982) Methanothrix soehngenii gen. nov. sp. nov., a new acetotrophic non-hydrogenoxidizing methane bacterium. Arch Microbiol 132:1-9
- Jablonski PE, DiMarco AA, Bobik TA, Cabell MC, Ferry JG (1990) Protein content and enzyme activities in methanol- and acetategrown *Methanosarcina thermophila*. J Bacteriol 172:1271-1275
- Jetten MSM, Stams AJM, Zehnder AJB (1989a) Purification and characterization of an oxygen-stable carbon monoxide dehydrogenase of *Methanothrix soehngenii*. Eur J Biochem 181:437-441
- Jetten MSM, Stams AJM, Zehnder AJB (1989b) Isolation and characterization of acetyl-coenzyme A synthase from *Methanotrix soehngenii*. J Bacteriol 171:5430-5435
- Jetten MSM, Stams AJM, Zehnder AJB (1990) Purification and some properties of the methy-CoM reductase of *Methanothrix soehngenii*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 66:183-186
- Kandler O, Hippe H (1977) Lack of peptidoglycan in the cell walls of *Methanosarcina barkeri*. Arch Microbiol 113:57-60
- Karrasch M, Bott M, Thauer RK (1989a) Carbonic anhydrase activity in acetate grown *Methanosarcina barkeri*. Arch Microbiol 151:137-142
- Karrasch M, Börner G, Enßle M, Thauer RK (1989b) Formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase from methanogenic bacteria, a molybdoenzyme. FEBS Lett 253:226-230
- Karrasch M, Börner G, Enßle M, Thauer RK (1990a) The molybdoenzyme formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase from *Methanosarcina barkeri* contains a pterin cofactor. Eur J Biochem 194:367-372
- Karrasch M, Börner G, Thauer RK (1990b) The molybdenum cofactor of formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase from *Methanosarcina barkeri* is a molybdopterin guanine dinucleotide. FEBS Lett 274:48-52
- Konheiser U, Pasti G, Bollschweiler C, Klein A (1984) Physical mapping of genes coding for two subunits of methyl-CoM reductase component C of *Methanococcus voltae*. Mol Gen Gen 198:146-152
- Krzycki JA, Mortenson LE, Prince RC (1989) Paramagnetic centers of carbon monoxide dehydrogenase from aceticlastic *Methanosarcina barkeri*. J Biol Chem 264:7217-7221
- Ma K, Thauer RK (1990a) Purification and properties of N<sup>5</sup>,N<sup>10</sup>methylenetetrahydromethanopterin reductase from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (strain Marburg). Eur J Biochem 191:187-193
- Ma K, Thauer RK (1990b) Single step purification of methylenetetrahydromethanopterin reductase from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* by specific binding to Blue Sepharose CL-6B. FEBS Lett 268:59-62
- Ma K, Thauer RK (1990c) N<sup>5</sup>,N<sup>10</sup>-Methylenetetrahydromethanopterin reductase from *Methanosarcina barkeri*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 70:119-124

- Mahlmann A, Deppenmeier U, Gottschalk G (1989) Methanofuran-b is required for CO<sub>2</sub> formation from formaldehyde by *Methanosarcina barkeri*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 61:115-120
- Miller TL, Wolin MJ (1985) *Methanosphaera stadtmaniae* gen. nov., sp. nov.: a species that forms methane by reducing methanol with hydrogen. Arch Microbiol 141:116-122
- Moura I, Moura JJG, Santos H, Xavier AV, Burch G, Peck HD Jr, LeGall J (1983) Proteins containing the factor  $F_{430}$  from *Methanosarcina barkeri* and *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum*. Isolation and properties. Biochim Biophys Acta 742:84-90
- Mukhopadhyay B, Daniels L (1989) Aerobic purification of N<sup>5</sup>,N<sup>10</sup>-methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase, separated from N<sup>5</sup>,N<sup>10</sup>-methenyltetrahydromethanopterin cyclohydrolase, from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* strain Marburg. Can J Microbiol 35:499-507
- Pfaltz A, Kobelt A, Hüster R, Thauer RK (1987) Biosynthesis of coenzyme F430 in methanogenic bacteria. Identification of  $15,17^3$ -seco-F<sub>430</sub>- $17^3$ -acid as an intermediate. Eur J Biochem 170:459-467
- Rospert S, Linder D, Ellermann J, Thauer RK (1990) Two genetically distinct methyl-coenzyme M reductases in *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* strain Marburg and AH. Eur J Biochem 194:871-877
- Rouvière PE, Wolfe RES (1987) Use of subunits of the methylreductase protein for taxonomy of methanogenic bacteria. Arch Microbiol 148:253-259
- Schönheit P, Moll J, Thauer RK (1980) Growth parameters (K<sub>s</sub>,  $\mu_{max}$ , Y<sub>s</sub>) of *Methanobacteirum thermoautotrophicum*. Arch Microbiol 127:59-65
- Smith PK, Krohn RI, Hermanson GT, Mallia AK, Gartner FH, Provenzano MD, Fujimoto EK, Goeke NM, Olson BJ, Klenk DC (1985) Measurement of protein using bicinchoninic acid. Anal Biochem 150:76-85
- te Brömmelstroet BW, Hensgens CMH, Keltjens JT, Drift C van der, Vogels GD (1990) Purification and properties of 5,10-meth-

ylenetetrahydromethanopterin reductase, a coenzyme  $F_{420}$ -dependent enzyme, from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* strain  $\Delta$ H. J Biol Chem 265:1852–1857

- Thauer RK (1990) Energy metabolism of methanogenic bacteria. Biochim Bipohys Acta 1018:256-259
- Thauer RK, Möller-Zinkhan D, Spormann AM (1989) Biochemistry of acetate catabolism in anaerobic chemotrophic bacteria. Ann Rev Microbiol 43:43-67
- Weimer PJ, Zeikus JG (1978a) Acetate metabolism in *Methanosarcina barkeri*. Arch Microbiol 119:175-182
- Weimer PJ, Zeikus JG (1978b) One carbon metabolism in methanogenic bacteria. Arch Microbiol 119:49-57
- White RH (1988) Structural diversity among methanofurans from different methanogenic bacteria. J Bacteriol 170:4594-4597
- Whitman WB, Ankwanda E, Wolfe RS (1982) Nutrition and carbon metabolism of *Methanococcus volate*. J Bacteriol 149:852-863
- Widdel F (1986) Growth of methanogenic bacteria in pure culture with 2-propanol and other alcohols as hydrogen donors. Appl Environ Microbiol 51:1056-1062
- Widdel F, Rouvière PE, Wolfe RS (1988) Classification of secondary alcohol-utilizing methanogens including a new thermophilic isolate. Arch Microbiol 150:477-481
- Winter J, Lerp C, Zabel H-P, Wildenauer FX, König H, Schindler F (1984) Methanobacterium wolfei, sp. nov., a new tungstenrequiring, thermophilic, autotrophic methanogen. Syst Appl Microbiol 5:457-466
- Woese CR (1987) Bacterial evolution. Microbiol Rev 51:221-271
- Zehnder AJB, Wuhrmann K (1977) Physiology of a Methanobacterium strain AZ. Arch Microbiol 111:199-205
- Zeikus JG, Wolfe RS (1972) Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum sp. n., an anaerobic, autotrophic, extreme thermophile. J Bacteriol 109:707-713
- Zirngibl C, Hedderich R, Thauer RK (1990) N<sup>5</sup>,N<sup>10</sup>-methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* has hydrogenase activity. FEBS Lett 261:112-116