

# **The YiO2/Ta Ratio as an Indicator of the Degree of Differentiation of Tin Granites**

## **M. Boissavy-Vinau**

Laboratoire de Géologie Appliquée, Paris

## **G. Roger**

Equipe de Recherche Provinces Métallogéniques, Paris

Tin deposits in Eastern Marche (French Massif Central) and North of Viseu (Portugal) are associated with final stages of differentiation of granitoid magmatism. Fractional crystallization causes increase in Sn, W, Ta, Rb, Cs, F and Li, and decrease inTi, La, U, Th, Ba etc. That trend is very clearly expressed by tantalum, strongly correlated with tin, and by titanium. Hence the  $TiO_2/Ta$  ratio is a good indicator of granitic melt differentiation. Its value gradually ranges from 4 900 in the less differentiated granodiorite to  $\lt 1$  in the most differentiated granite from Marche area. That ratio could be a useful guide to suitable areas for tin exploration.

### I. SEARCH FOR TIN DEPOSITS

Panning is a technique suitable for prospection of every type of tin-deposits, primary (veins, disseminations etc.) as well as secondary (placers).

Geophysical methods can be used for regional exploration, for example to indicate the general plan form of granitic batholiths and then to delineate areas likely for prospection (Bott et al., 1958, in Hosking, 1974). In some particular cases, geophysical surveys can even be used for prospection: e.g. airborne magnetism in case of deposits containing magnetite associated with cassiterite (Hosking, 1974), or refraction seismic methods in ease of small placers and of placers directly related to the morphology of the bedrock (Thawisak Danusawad, 1969).

The geochemical prospection applied to soil or rock samples is widely con-

sidered to be a method convenient to tin exploration. This method holds advantage to be useful in areas without streams, where panning is then not suitable (Sa-Ngob Kaewbaidhoon, 1969). Tin content is analyzed in any case, and in addition other elements considered to be geochemical indicators, useful at least locally: Cu, Zn, Mo (Hosking, 1965), Bi, As, Li, Rb, Be, F (Tisehendorf, 1973, 1977). A comprehensive geochemical comparison of "specialized", "precursors" and "normal" granites of various tin regions in the world was given by Tischendorf (1977), who suggests that stannigene granites could be characterized by the following anomalous averages of trace elements:  $30 \pm 20$  ppm Sn,  $220 \pm 120$  ppm or 400\_+ 200 ppm Li, 550+ 200 ppm Rb,  $13\pm 6$  ppm Be,  $3700\pm 1500$  ppm F.

For tin (and W, Be, Ta....) deposits related to granitic intrusives important guides for exploration, at a regional

Authors	Ratio	Rocks or minerals	Range of values
Tischendorf et al (1972)	Mg/Ti	Normal granites Specialized granites	40 $10 - 0.3$
Beus and Sitnin (1968)	Mg/Li	Barren granites Ore-bearing granites	$270 \pm 80$ $75 \pm 30$
Beus and Sitnin (1968)	Zr/Sn	Barren granites Ore-bearing granites	$76 \pm 20$ $30 \pm 10$
Tischendorf (1977)	K/Rb	Normal granites Specialized granites	$> 100$ (max. 300) $< 100$ (min. 20)
Beus and Grigorian K/Rb (1975)		Normal granites Granites related to mineralization	170 130
Taylor et al (1956)	K/Rb	Differentiated granites and pegmatites	< 144
Taylor and Heier (1960)	Ba/Rb	Feldspars from augen gneiss Feldspars from pegmatite Feldspars from mineralized pegmatite of economic size	20.6 6.06 1.29
Shmakin (1973)	Rb/Ba	K-feldspars from muscovite pegmatites K-feldspars from rare-metal pegmatites Biotite from muscovite peg- $_{\rm mattes}$ Biotite from rare-metal peg- matites Muscovite from muscovite pegmatites Muscovite from rare-metal pegmatites	0.276 23.2 0.99 62 0.321 1928
Ahrens (1964)	Rb/T1	Granites Pegmatites Biotite from granites Lepidolite from granites	200 115 230 140

Table I. Ratios proposed as indicators of tin granitoids

of rocks (greisens, albitites .... ) charac- magmatic evolution or during hydrotherterized by hydrothermal alterations and real and metasomatic processes are conand, generally speaking, in the granitic obviously decrease in the course of magrocks allows to improve the lithologic matic evolution (Groves and McCarthy, guides. The chemical elements whose 1978). Commonly, the geochemical in-

scale, depend on lithology: special types concentration widely ranges either during metasomatism. Geochemical evolution sidered to be relevant exploration indicaof some elements in these special rocks tors: e.g. Li and Rb increase, Ba and Sr

dicators are ratios between two elements either in rocks or in minerals. These ratios involve two chemical elements varying in a very different way, or even inversely: Mg/Ti, Mg/Li, Zr/Sn, K/Rb,  $Rb/Ba$ ,  $Rb/T1$  (Table 1). For pegmatites, Ginzburg (1960) proposes several indicator ratios increasing in the course of development of the pegmatitic process:  $(Nb + Ta)/Ti$ , Ta/Nb, Mn/Fe, Rb/K, Hf/Zr,  $\Sigma(Y)/\Sigma(Ce)$ , Ga/Al. These ratios were not included in table 1 for lack of values. A new ratio  $(TiO_2/Ta)$  is proposed here as a very accurate indicator of magmatic differentiation.

### II. THE  $TiO_2/Ta$  RATIO

The magmatic evolution was recently compared in three composite batholiths bearing tin or tungsten mineralizations (M. Boissavy-Vinau, 1979; M. Boissavyvinau et al., 1979): the eastern Marche with a Sn, Li,  $W$ , Be mineralized albitic cusp of leueogranite (Montebras, Allier, Massif Central); the NW end of the Margeride with the wolframite lodes of Enguial6s and Leucamp; the North Viseu district (Beira Alta, Portugal) with the cassiterite pegmatite of Lagares.

97 rock samples representative of the main granitic facies have been analyzed for the following elements: major element oxides by quantometry (C. R. P. G., Nancy), 23 trace elements (Sn, W, Ta, RE, U, Th, Zr, Hi, Ba, Sr, Cr, Co, Ni, Sc, Sb, As, Cs, Rb) by neutron activation (Lab. Pierre Siie, CEN Saclay), F and Li by flame spectrophotometry and fluorimetry (Lab. de Géologie Appliquée, Paris).

The general outline of the petrographic and geochemical evolution is very similar in the two tin areas.

i. There are two successive fractionated suites, whose different stages are represented by eight to ten granitoid facies.

2. The evolution of granitic magma, involving a fractional crystallization process, is very advanced.

3. In the final stage of the magmatic evolution, tin is more concentrated than tungsten.

Continued fractional crystallization in the rest melts causes enrichment in the following elements: Sn, W, Ta, Rb, Cs, F and Li and on the other hand impoverishment in: Ti, U, Th, Hf, Ba, Sr, Zr, Ni, Co, Sc, RE.

Two elements are closely representative of that double trend: titanium and tantalum. Hence their ratio is a good indicator for the evolution degree of granitic magma differentiation. A clear positive correlation between tantalum and tin is evidenced (Fig. I).

Because the studied tin deposits are related with the most differentiated granitoids, then the  $TiO_2/Ta$  ratio can be proposed as a regional guide for selecting suitable areas for detailed investigation.

The use of this ratio as an indicator of magmatic differentiation degree presents several advantages.

I. Late hydrothermal processes that affect the most fractionated granites leach some elements as rubidium or lithium. Consequently the range of values for ratios usually proposed as geochemical indicators  $Mg/Li$ , Ba/Rb, K/Rb, is not strictly significant of the magmatic processes. On the other hand tantalum and titanium are stable during these post magmatic alteration processes.

2. Ti and Ta are progressively fractionated all along the magmatic differentiation.

3. Their ratio varies conspicuously along the magmatic differentiation: in the Marche area it ranges from 4 900 in the earliest granitic facies to 1 in the final tin mineralized albitic granite (Table 2).

Such a range of values is much wider than for other ratios previously proposed (Table 1), and hence the  $TiO_2/Ta$ ratio is a very suitable geochemical indicator.

Lithology		$N^O$ of samples	$\text{TiO}_2$ %	Mean contents Ta ppm	TiO <sub>2</sub> /Ta (ppm)(ppm)
suite First	Gueret granodiorite	$\overline{2}$	0, 71	1.45	4896
	Chanon granite C1	5.	0.32	2.4	1333
	Chanon granite C <sub>2</sub>	5	0.22	2, 2	1000
	Jalèches granite	4	0.17	4.6	370
	Toulx granite	4	0.057	10.07	57
suite Second	Saint Silvain and Grand Roche granites	6	0.10	13.96	71.6
	Montebras microgranite	$\overline{2}$	0.07	27.5	25.4
	Montebras albitic granite	$\overline{2}$	0.02	211.5	0.94

Table 2. Evolution of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and Ta contents in the Marche area's granitic complex

Gueret: granodiorite with oriented structure - Chanon: biotite rich cordierite porphyritic granite; muscovite very rare in C1 facies, more abundant in C2 facies -Jalèches: K-feldspars rich two micas granite, free of albitization - Toulx: albite, tourmaline and two micas granite.

St-Silvain and Grand Roche: albitized two micas granite, muscovite rich -Montebras cusp microgranite with phenocryts of K-feldspars, quartz, muscovite and altered biotite - Montebras cusp albitic granite: intergrowths of lath-shaped albite crystals and muscovite flakes, interstitial microcline, late crystallized quartz, cassiterite, topaz, tantalite-columbite.



Fig. 1. Sn vs Ta plot of analyzed samples

4. Neutron activation is the most useful analytic method for weak traces of tin and tantalum (about 1 ppm). The tantalum analysis offers the advantage to be faster than the tin analysis which involves a chemical extraction.

Two other analytic methods can be used for traces of tantalum: colorimetry (> I0 ppm) and X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (>30 ppm). Their detection limits are low enough for analysis of differentiated granites (see table 2) and then these methods could be more suitable than neutron activation for exploration purposes.

In conclusion, it appears that the  $Ti<sub>2</sub>O/Ta$  ratio is a specially suitable indicator of magmatic evolution by fractional crystallization, and in our opinion it could eventually be a reliable tool for assessing the tin potential of granitoids. These results concern two tin areas: the Marche and the North Viseu districts. Is it relevant to extend it to other tin granitic complexes? Actually, we cannot answer this question because of lack of comparisons with other tin provinces or districts, - lack of general data about the geochemical properties of tantalum in granitic melts.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by grant n<sup>o</sup> 2838 in Action Thématique Programm6e "Formation et distribution des gisements" from the Centre National de la Recherche Seientifique. We wish to express our thanks to the Groupe des Sciences de la Terre, Laboratoire Pierre Süe, C.E.N. Saclay for its helpful assistance in analyzes of Ta and Sn by neutron activation method.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahrens L H (1964) The significance of the chemical bond for controlling the geochemical distribution of the elements. Part 2. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, 5, Pergamon Press
- Beus AA, Grigorian S V (1975) Geochemical exploration methods for mineral deposits. Applied Publishing Ltd., Wilmette, Illinois, p 287
- BeusAA, SitninA A (1968) Geochemical specialization of magmatic complexes as criteria for the exploration of hidden deposits. XXIII Internat. geol. Congress. Geochemistry, 6: 101 -105
- Boissavy-Vinau M (1979) Processus géochimiques de concentrations liés à l'évolution de magmas granitiques. Application aux filons à Sn-W du Massif Central et du Nord Portugal. Thèse 3ème cycle, Univ. P. & M. Curie, Paris
- Boissavy-Vinau M, Derré C, Jaffrezic H, Roger G, Treuil M (1979) L'étain et le tungstène dans l'évolution granitique: le secteur N de Viseu (Portugal). Comparaisons avec le Massif Central francais. VI Reuniao Geologia do Oeste Peninsular, Porto-Oviedo
- Bott M H P, DayAA, Masson-Smith D (1958) The geological interpretation of gravity and magnetic surveys in Devon and Cornwall. Phil Trans 251: 161-191
- Ginzburg A I (1960) Specific Geochemical Features of the Pegmatitie Proccess. Rep. XXI Internat. geol. Congress Norden, Part XVII, p 111-121
- Groves D I, McCarthy T S (1978) Fractional crystallization and the origin of tin deposits in granitoids. Mineral. Deposita 13:11-26
- Hosking K F G (1985) The search for tin. Min Magazine 113: 261-273, 368-383, 448-481
- {1974) The search for tin deposits. Fourth World Conference on tin, International Tin Council, London, 55 p
- Sa-Ngob Kaewbaidhoon (1969) Problems of applying geochemical methods in finding tin deposits in Thailand. Second Technical Conference on tin, Bangkok. Intern. Tin Council 2: 443- 451
- Shmakin B M (1973) Geochemical specialization of Indian Precambrian pegmatites in relation to alkali and ore element content of the minerals. Geochemistry International 10, 4:890-899
- Taylor S R, Emeleus C H, Exley C S (1956) Some anomalous  $K/Rb$  ratios in igneous rocks and their petrological

significance. Geochim Cosmochim Acta I0 224-229

- Taylor S R, Heier KS (1960) The petrological significance of trace element variations in feldspars. Proe. XXI Intern. geol. Congr. Norden 14:47-61
- Thawisak Danusawad (1969) On applying geophysical methods in exploring alluvial tin deposits. Second Technical Conference on tin, Bangkok, Intern. Tin Council 2:467-487
- Tischendorf G (1973) The metallogenetic basis of tin exploration in the Erzgebirge. Trans Inst Mining Metall B, 82:9-24
- (1977) Geochemical and petrographic characteristics of silicic magmatic rocks associated with rare-element mineralization. In: Stemprok M, Burnol L, Tischendorf G (eds) IGCP Metallization Associated with Acid Magmatism. Vol. 2, Prague, Geol. Surv. Cechoslovacia, p 41-98
- Tischendorf G, Lächelt S, Lange H, PalchenW, MeinelG (1972) Geochemi-France

cal specialization of granitoids in the Territory of the German Democratic Republic. 24th IGC, Sec. 4, 266-275 Montreal

Received November 20, 1979

Mme M. Boissavy-Vinau Laboratoire de Géologie Appliquée Université P. & M. Curie 4, place Jussieu F-75230 Paris-C@dex 05 France

G. Roger

- E.R. du CNRS "Provinces Métallogéniques"
- Laboratoire de Géologie Appliquée
- Universit@ P. & M. Curie
- 4, place Jussieu
- F-75230 Paris C@dex 05