

## ISOMETRIC IMMERSIONS WITH HOMOTHETICAL GAUSS MAP

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an isometric immersion of an  $m$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M$  into the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space. Its Gauss map  $g: M \rightarrow G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into the Grassmannian  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by assigning to every point of  $M$  its tangent space, considered as a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The third fundamental form  $b$  of  $f$  is the pull-back of the canonical Riemannian metric on  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  via  $g$ . In this article we derive a complete classification of all those  $f$  (with flat normal bundle) for which the Gauss map  $g$  is homothetical; i.e.  $b$  is a constant multiple of the Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Using these results we furthermore classify all those  $f$  (with flat normal bundle) for which the third fundamental form  $b$  is parallel w.r.t. the Levi-Civita connection on  $M$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Since the very beginning of differential geometry the Gauss map has played an important role in surface theory. A natural generalization of this classical map for an isometric immersion  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of an  $m$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold into the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space is defined by assigning to every point  $p$  of  $M$  its tangent space  $f_* T_p M$ , considered as a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The Gauss map  $g: M \rightarrow G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into the Grassmannian  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  obtained in this way has been extensively studied, and a beautiful survey on results concerning  $g$  and on alternative definitions of the Gauss map of  $f$  can be found in [11]. In this paper we will mainly consider the pull back  $b$  of the canonical Riemannian metric on  $G_m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  via  $g$ , which is called *the third fundamental form of  $f$* . It is very natural to pose the following problems:

1. Find all  $f$  for which the Gauss map  $g$  is homothetical (i.e.  $b$  is a constant multiple of the Riemannian metric on  $M$ ).

and, more generally:

2. Find all  $f$  for which the third fundamental form  $b$  is parallel.

There are important examples of isometric immersions having the desired properties.

Firstly, if  $M$  is a compact connected Riemannian homogeneous space  $G/K$  such that the isotropy representation of  $K$  is irreducible, then for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}_+$  the so called  $i$ th standard immersion corresponding to the  $i$ th positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian on  $M$  has homothetical Gauss map, see [2], [10],

[18] and Chapter 4, §§5–6, of [1] for the definition and concrete examples.

Secondly, all isometric immersions  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with a parallel second fundamental form have a parallel third fundamental form. Using this fact Ferus could prove a decomposition theorem for these immersions in his article [4] which was an important step towards their classification in his subsequent papers [5], [6].

In view of the complicated geometric and algebraic structure of the above examples it seems too ambitious to try to solve problems 1 and 2 in their general form. However, under the assumption of a flat normal bundle, we obtain complete answers by the following theorems:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional simply connected complete Riemannian manifold and let  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an isometric immersion. Then:*

- (a)  $b = 0$  if and only if  $M = \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $f$  is an isometry of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  onto an  $m$ -dimensional affine subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (b) Let  $f$  have a flat normal bundle. Then  $b = (1/r^2)\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  with  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$  if and only if there exist numbers  $k_0, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M$  is a Riemannian product  $S^{m_1}(r) \times \cdots \times S^{m_{k_0}}(r) \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}$  of Euclidean spheres  $S^{m_i}(r) := \{p \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i+1} \mid \|p\| = r\}$  with  $m_i \geq 2$  and  $k_1$  Euclidean lines  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f$  is a Riemannian product of isometric immersions  $f_1, \dots, f_{k_0+k_1}$ , where  $f_i$  is
  - (i) for  $i = 1, \dots, k_0$ , a canonical imbedding of  $S^{m_i}(r)$  into some Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ , and
  - (ii) for  $i = k_0 + 1, \dots, k_0 + k_1$ , the arclength parametrization of a curve in some Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$  which has constant curvature  $1/r$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional simply connected complete Riemannian manifold and let  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an isometric immersion. Then  $b$  is parallel and the normal bundle of  $f$  is flat if and only if  $M$  is a Riemannian product of simply connected Riemannian manifolds  $M_1, \dots, M_k$  and  $f$  is a Riemannian product of isometric immersions  $f_i: M_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , with homothetical Gauss maps and flat normal bundles.*

The proofs of these theorems will be given in Section 4.

**REMARK.** We make the assumption that ‘ $M$  is simply connected and complete’ in the theorems solely to use the global version of the de Rham decomposition theorem. Without it the theorems hold in an appropriate ‘local’ formulation. For isometric immersions into real space forms of non-null curvature analogous results are valid.

I wish to thank Professor Reckziegel for the discussion during the preparation of this note, which led to several improvements.

2. NOTATIONS

All manifolds, vector bundles, curves, etc., are supposed to be of class  $C^\infty$  unless otherwise explicitly stated. The set of locally defined sections of a vector bundle  $E$  is denoted by  $\Gamma(E)$ .

Furthermore, let  $M$  be a connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m \geq 1$  with Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  and curvature tensor  $R$ , and  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  an isometric immersion into the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space.  $\nu(f)$  denotes the normal bundle of  $f$ ,  $\pi: \nu(f) \rightarrow M$  the bundle projection,  $h: TM \times_M TM \rightarrow \nu(f)$  resp.  $A: \nu(f) \rightarrow \text{End}(TM)$  the normal resp. tangential version of the second fundamental form of  $f$  and  $b$  the third fundamental form of  $f$ . Due to [7] and [9],

$$(1) \quad b(v, w) = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle h(v, e_i), h(w, e_i) \rangle$$

for all  $p \in M$ , all  $v, w \in T_p M$  and every orthonormal basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_m)$  of  $T_p M$ . Under the use of the mean curvature normal  $H$  of  $f$  and of the Ricci tensor  $\text{Ric}$  of  $M$  the Gauss equation leads to the invariant description

$$b(v, w) = m \langle h(v, w), H \rangle - \text{Ric}(v, w).$$

Suppose  $M$  is the Riemannian product of Riemannian manifolds  $M_1, \dots, M_k$ ,  $f_i: M_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$  are isometric immersions ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) and  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is an isometry. Then  $f = \psi \circ (f_1 \times \dots \times f_k)$  is called a Riemannian product of  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ . Denoting the second and third fundamental form of  $f_i$  by  $h_i$  and  $b_i$ , respectively, we get in this situation:

$$(2) \quad h(v, w) = \psi_*(h_1(v_1, w_1), \dots, h_k(v_k, w_k))$$

and

$$(3) \quad b(v, w) = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i(v_i, w_i)$$

for all  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in M$  and all  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k), w = (w_1, \dots, w_k) \in T_p M \cong \bigoplus T_{p_i} M_i$ ; furthermore,

$$(4) \quad \nu(f) \text{ is flat} \Leftrightarrow \nu(f_i) \text{ is flat for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k\},$$

where  $\nu(f)$  is called flat, if the curvature form of the canonical covariant derivative of  $\nu(f)$  vanishes.

### 3. THE GEOMETRY OF ISOMETRIC IMMERSIONS WITH FLAT NORMAL BUNDLE

As in the classical theory of surfaces, any isometric immersion  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  can be studied from the viewpoint of principal curvatures. If  $f$  has a flat normal bundle this investigation leads to strong results, which yield the main tools for the proof of our theorems.

In this case, for every  $p \in M$  there exist an integer  $s = s(p) \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and a uniquely determined subset  $\mathcal{H}_p = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s\}$  of  $\nu_p(f)$  with  $s$  elements such that  $T_p M$  is the orthogonal sum of the *non-trivial* vector subspaces

$$E(\eta_i) := \{v \in T_p M \mid h(v, w) = \langle v, w \rangle \eta_i \text{ for all } w \in T_p M\}, \\ i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Therefore, if  $T_p M \rightarrow E(\eta_i)$ ,  $v \mapsto v^i$  denotes the orthogonal projection, the second fundamental form of  $f$  has the simple representations

$$(5) \quad h(v, w) = \sum_{i=1}^s \langle v^i, w^i \rangle \eta_i \quad \text{for all } v, w \in T_p M$$

and, equivalently,

$$(6) \quad A_\zeta v = \sum_{i=1}^s \langle \eta_i, \zeta \rangle v^i \quad \text{for all } v \in T_p M, \zeta \in \nu_p(f).$$

From these we see that for every  $\zeta \in \nu_p(f)$  the numbers  $\langle \eta_1, \zeta \rangle, \dots, \langle \eta_s, \zeta \rangle$  are the eigenvalues of  $A_\zeta$ ; the eigenspace corresponding to  $\langle \eta_i, \zeta \rangle$  is the orthogonal sum of those  $E(\eta_j)$  with  $\langle \eta_j, \zeta \rangle = \langle \eta_i, \zeta \rangle$ .

For that reason  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_p$  is called a *principal normal* of  $f$  at  $p$ , the linear form  $\langle \eta, \cdot \rangle: \nu_p(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a *principal curvature* and  $v \in E(\eta)$  a *principal vector*.

The function  $M \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $p \mapsto \#\mathcal{H}_p$  ( $:=$  number of the principal normals of  $f$  at  $p$ ) is lower-semicontinuous. Let  $G_s$  denote the open kernel of  $\{p \in M \mid \#\mathcal{H}_p = s\}$ ; then  $\bigcup_{s=1}^m G_s$  is open and dense in  $M$  (see [14, Prop. 1] for a proof of the above statements).

The following proposition describes the global structure of the set of principal normals, for abbreviation we set  $\mathcal{H}_U := \bigcup_{p \in U} \mathcal{H}_p$  for any subset  $U$  of  $M$ .

**PROPOSITION.** (a) *For every  $s \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  with  $G_s \neq \emptyset$  the set  $\mathcal{H}_s := \mathcal{H}_{G_s}$  is an  $m$ -dimensional regular submanifold of  $\nu(f)$ , and  $\pi|_{\mathcal{H}_s}: \mathcal{H}_s \rightarrow G_s$  is an  $s$ -fold covering. Therefore, for every simply connected open subset  $U$  of  $G_s$  there are sections  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s \in \Gamma(\nu(f))$  defined on  $U$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_p = \{\eta_1(p), \dots, \eta_s(p)\}$  for all  $p \in U$ . Furthermore,  $E_i := (E(\eta_i(p)))_{p \in U}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots,$*

$s$ , are  $C^\infty$ -vector subbundles of  $TM|U$ .

- (b)  $\pi|_{\mathcal{H}_M}: \mathcal{H}_M \rightarrow M$  is a proper map, i.e.  $\mathcal{H}_U$  is compact for every compact subset  $U$  of  $M$ .
- (c)  $\mathcal{H}_M$  is a closed subset of  $v(f)$ .
- (d) For every  $C^\infty$ -curve  $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  with  $c([0, 1]) \subset G_s$  and  $c(1) \in \partial G_s$  ( $s \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ) and every initial value  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{c(0)}$  there is a unique continuous lift  $\tilde{c}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_M$  (i.e.  $\tilde{c}(0) = \eta$ ,  $\pi \circ \tilde{c} = c$ ).

REMARK. The ‘principal vector bundles’  $E_i$  in (a) are always integrable, and their integral manifolds (‘curvature surfaces’) are totally umbilical submanifolds of  $M$ . For a proof of these facts – which we do not use in this note – and more information about the geometry of  $E_i$  see [12], [14], [15], [16].

*Proof.* For (a): For every  $p_0 \in G_s$  there are a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p_0$  in  $G_s$  and sections  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s: V \rightarrow G_s$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_p = \{\zeta_1(p), \dots, \zeta_s(p)\}$  for all  $p \in V$ , cf. [14, Prop. 1c]. With the help of such sections we can transfer the  $C^\infty$ -structure on  $M$  to a  $C^\infty$ -structure on  $\mathcal{H}_s$  with respect to which  $\mathcal{H}_s$  is a regular submanifold of  $v(f)$  and  $\pi|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  is an  $s$ -fold covering of  $G_s$ .

Let  $U$  be a simply connected open subset of  $G_s$  and  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s: U \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s$  sections such that  $\mathcal{H}_p = \{\eta_1(p), \dots, \eta_s(p)\}$  for all  $p \in U$ . As  $E_i$  is the kernel of the vector bundle homomorphism  $\bar{h}_i$  from  $TM|U$  into  $\text{Hom}(TM|U, v(f)|U)$  defined by

$$\bar{h}_i(v)(w) := h(v, w) - \langle v, w \rangle \eta_i(p) \quad \text{for all } p \in U \text{ and all } v, w \in T_pM,$$

the function  $p \mapsto \dim E_i(p)$  is upper-semicontinuous on  $U$ . Since  $T_pM$  is the orthogonal sum of the  $E_i(p)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , for every  $p \in U$ , it follows that the dimensions of  $E_1, \dots, E_s$  are constant on  $U$  which means that  $E_1, \dots, E_s$  are  $C^\infty$ -vector-subbundles of  $TM|U$ .

For (b): First we prove a simple characterization of the principal vectors: For every  $p \in M$  and every  $v \in T_pM$

$$(7) \quad v \in \mathcal{E}_p := \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathcal{H}_p} E(\eta)$$

is equivalent to

$$(8) \quad h(v, w) = 0 \quad \text{for all } w \in T_pM \text{ with } \langle v, w \rangle = 0.$$

(8) has the advantage of being formulated without the help of the principal normals.

‘(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (8)’ is trivial.

For ‘(8)  $\Rightarrow$  (7)’: The case  $\mathcal{H}_p = \{\eta\}$  is trivial.

Now let  $\# \mathcal{H}_p \geq 2$  and  $v \in T_p M$  with (8). From the assumption that (7) is wrong follows the existence of  $\eta_i, \eta_j \in \mathcal{H}_p, \eta_i \neq \eta_j$ , such that  $v^i \neq 0, v^j \neq 0$ . The vector  $w := \langle v^j, v^j \rangle v^i - \langle v^i, v^i \rangle v^j$  is orthogonal to  $v$ , but (5) leads to

$$h(v, w) = \langle v^i, v^i \rangle \langle v^j, v^j \rangle (\eta_i - \eta_j) \neq 0$$

which contradicts (8). Thus '(8)  $\Rightarrow$  (7)' is shown.

Now let  $U$  be a compact subset of  $M$  and let  $T^1 M := \{v \in TM \mid \langle v, v \rangle = 1\}$  the unit tangential bundle of  $M$ . Because of (5) the set  $\mathcal{H}_U$  is the image of  $T^1 M \cap \bigcup_{p \in U} \mathcal{E}_p$  under the map  $T^1 M \rightarrow \nu(f), v \mapsto h(v, v)$ . Hence for the proof of (b) it suffices to show:

$$(9) \quad T^1 M \cap \bigcup_{p \in U} \mathcal{E}_p \text{ is compact.}$$

For (9): The fibres of the bundle projection  $\tau: T^1 M \rightarrow M$  are the spheres  $T^1_p M := \{v \in T_p M \mid \langle v, v \rangle = 1\}$ , the vector subbundle  $\mathcal{V} := \text{kernel } \tau_*$  is consequently characterized by

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{V}_e = T_e T^1_{\tau(e)} M = \{W \in T_e T_{\tau(e)} M \mid \langle e, KW \rangle = 0\} \quad \text{for all } e \in T^1 M,$$

where  $K: TTM \rightarrow TM$  is the connection map of  $\nabla$  (in particular  $K|_{T_e T_{\tau(e)} M}$  is the canonical identification of  $T_e T_{\tau(e)} M$  with  $T_{\tau(e)} M$ ); cf. [3]. If we define the section  $\tilde{h}$  of  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \tau^* \nu(f))$  by

$$\tilde{h}_e(W) := h(e, KW) \quad \text{for all } e \in T^1 M \text{ and all } W \in \mathcal{V}_e,$$

then the subset  $T^1 M \cap \bigcup_{p \in M} \mathcal{E}_p$  of  $T^1 M$  is, on account of (8) and (10), the pre-image of the 'null-section'  $\{0_e \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_e, \nu_{\tau(e)}(f)) \mid e \in T^1 M\}$  of  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \tau^* \nu(f))$  under  $\tilde{h}$  and as such closed in  $T^1 M$ . Since  $\tau$  is a proper map,  $\tau^{-1}(U)$  is compact, so

$$T^1 M \cap \bigcup_{p \in U} \mathcal{E}_p = \pi^{-1}(U) \cap \left( T^1 M \cap \bigcup_{p \in M} \mathcal{E}_p \right)$$

is compact which shows (9).

For (c): (c) follows easily from (b).

For (d): Given  $c$  and  $\eta$  as prescribed there exists, according to (a), a unique  $C^\infty$ -lift  $\tilde{c}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s$  of  $c|_{[0, 1]}$  with respect to  $\pi|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  such that  $\tilde{c}(0) = \eta$ . It is enough to show that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \tilde{c}(t)$  exists in  $\mathcal{H}_M$ , because then the continuous extension  $\tilde{c}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_M$  of  $\tilde{c}$  has the required properties. Applying (b) one proves easily with an argumentation similar to the one in [13, p. 15, Prop. 1.4], that the limit set  $\omega(\tilde{c}) := \{\zeta \in \nu(f) \mid \text{There is a sequence } (t_n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ with } t_n \rightarrow 1 \text{ and } \tilde{c}(t_n) \rightarrow \zeta\}$  is not empty and connected. Since  $\omega(\tilde{c}) \subset \mathcal{H}_{c(1)}$  according to (c),  $\omega(\tilde{c})$  consists of one element  $\bar{\eta} \in \mathcal{H}_{c(1)}$ , i.e.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \tilde{c}(t) = \bar{\eta}$ .  $\square$

4. THE PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

*Proof of Theorem 1.* For (a): (a) is trivial.

For (b): The ‘if’ part: The third fundamental forms of all  $f_i$  described in (i) and (ii) are constant multiples of the corresponding Riemannian metric with the factor  $1/r^2$ , from (2) and the definition of the product metric on  $M$  it follows that  $b = (1/r^2)\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

The ‘only if’ part: Assume there is  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $b = (1/r^2)\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . With the notation from Section 3 we get, according to (1) and (5),

$$(11) \quad \|\eta\| = \frac{1}{r} \text{ for all } p \in M \text{ and all } \eta \in \mathcal{H}_p.$$

Define  $k := \max_{p \in M} \# \mathcal{H}_p$  and  $G_k$  as in Section 3.

If  $k = 1$ , then  $G_k = M$ , and  $f$  is because of (5) a totally umbilical immersion of which the mean curvature normal has constant length (see (11)), so in the case  $m = 1$  we get  $M = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  describes a curve parametrized by arclength which has constant curvature  $1/r$ , and in the case  $m \geq 2$  we obtain  $M = S^m(r)$ , and  $f$  is a canonical imbedding of  $S^m(r)$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In the following we assume  $k \geq 2$ .

$$(12) \quad \text{Let } U \text{ be a simply connected open subset of } G_k.$$

Consider the principal normal fields  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k$  defined on  $U$  and the corresponding principal vector bundles  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  as in part (a) of the proposition.

$$(13) \quad \text{Then } E_1, \dots, E_k \text{ are parallel.}$$

For (13): Fix  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i\}, Y \in \Gamma(E_i)$ , and  $X \in \Gamma(TM|U)$ . To prove (13) we must show

$$(14) \quad (\nabla_X Y)^j = 0.$$

Since (14) is linear in  $X$ , we can assume  $X \in \Gamma(E_l)$  for  $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

For (14): For  $\zeta \in \Gamma(\nu(f)|U)$  it follows from the Codazzi equation and (6) that

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= (\nabla_X A_l)(Y) - A_{D_X} Y - ((\nabla_Y A_l)(X) - A_{D_Y} X) \\ &= \langle D_X \eta_i, \zeta \rangle Y - \sum_{t=1}^k \langle \eta_t - \eta_i, \zeta \rangle (\nabla_X Y)^t \\ &\quad - \left( \langle D_Y \eta_i, \zeta \rangle X - \sum_{t=1}^k \langle \eta_t - \eta_i, \zeta \rangle (\nabla_Y X)^t \right) \end{aligned}$$

For  $l = j$  we get from (15):

$$\langle \eta_j - \eta_i, \zeta \rangle (\nabla_X Y)^j = - \langle D_Y \eta_j, \zeta \rangle X.$$

Setting  $\zeta = \eta_j$  into this equation yields  $(\nabla_X Y)^j = 0$  by (11) and the Ricci identity (note  $\langle \eta_j - \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle \neq 0$  because of (11)).

For  $l \in \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i, j\}$  we obtain from (15):

$$(16) \quad \langle \eta_j - \eta_i, \zeta \rangle (\nabla_X Y)^j = \langle \eta_j - \eta_i, \zeta \rangle (\nabla_X Y)^j.$$

Now for all  $p \in U$  there is a normal vector  $\xi \in v_p(f)$  such that  $\langle \eta_j(p) - \eta_i(p), \xi \rangle \neq 0$  and  $\langle \eta_j(p) - \eta_l(p), \xi \rangle = 0$  (otherwise  $\eta_i(p), \eta_j(p)$  and  $\eta_l(p)$  would be three different points on a straight line in  $v_p(f)$  lying at the same time on the sphere  $\{\eta \in v_p(f) \mid \|\eta\| = 1/r\}$ , which is impossible). Setting  $\zeta_p = \xi$  into (16) yields  $(\nabla_X Y)^j = 0$ , again.

For  $l = i$ , at last, we have for every  $Z \in \Gamma(E_j)$ :

$$\langle (\nabla_X Y)^j, Z \rangle = - \langle Y, (\nabla_X Z)^i \rangle = 0$$

(for  $(\nabla_X Z)^i = 0$  by the first part of the proof of (14)), hence  $(\nabla_X Y)^j = 0$  is valid.

Thus  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  are parallel. By this fact, the Gauss equation and (5) it follows that for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and all  $X \in \Gamma(E_i)$ ,  $Y \in \Gamma(E_j)$  with  $\|X\| = \|Y\| = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle R(X, Y)Y, X \rangle \\ &= \langle h(X, X), h(Y, Y) \rangle - \langle h(X, Y), h(X, Y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From this we see that the principal normals of  $f$  at any point  $p \in G_k$  are mutually orthogonal.

Now  $G_k = M$  will be shown.

Assume  $G_k \neq M$ . Since  $G_k$  is not empty and open and  $M$  is connected,  $\partial G_k$  is not empty. Therefore there exists a  $C^\infty$ -curve  $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  such that  $c([0, 1]) \subset G_k$ ,  $c(1) \in \partial G_k$ , and for any of the pairwise distinct initial values  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k \in \mathcal{H}_{c(0)}$  there is a continuous lift  $\tilde{c}_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_M$  of  $c$ ; see Proposition (d). For continuity reasons the principal normals  $\tilde{c}_1(1), \dots, \tilde{c}_k(1) \in \mathcal{H}_{c(1)}$  are mutually orthogonal, and by (11) they all are pairwise distinct, i.e.  $\#\mathcal{H}_{c(1)} = k$  ( $:= \max_{p \in M} \#\mathcal{H}_p$ ). But, as  $\#\mathcal{H}$  is lower-semicontinuous,  $G_k = \{p \in M \mid \#\mathcal{H}_p = k\}$  holds, so  $c(1) \in \partial G_k \cap G_k = \emptyset$ , which is absurd.

This proves  $G_k = M$ ; therefore in (12) we can especially choose  $U := G_k = M$ . Then, by (13) and de Rham's theorem,  $M$  is the Riemannian product of the integral manifolds  $M_1, \dots, M_k$  of  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  through one point  $p_0 \in M$ , which are all simply connected and complete. As  $h(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $x \in \Gamma(E_i)$ ,  $Y \in \Gamma(E_j)$  with  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $i \neq j$  (see (5)),  $f$  is according to the well-



known lemma of Moore ([8, p. 163]) a Riemannian product of isometric immersions  $f_i: M_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . From (2) and (11) follows that  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  are totally umbilical immersions with mean curvature normals of constant length, after an appropriate renumbering we obtain (i) resp. (ii) for  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ .  $\square$

REMARK. We realize by the last lines of the above proof that the simply connectedness and the completeness of  $M$  are only needed for the global decomposition of  $M$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* The 'if' part: Let  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , be as described in the theorem. Then  $b_i$  is (being a constant multiple of the Riemannian metric on  $M_i$ ) parallel w.r.t. the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^i$  of  $M_i$ . Since  $\nabla_X Y = (\nabla_X^1 Y_1, \dots, \nabla_X^k Y_k)$  holds for all  $X, Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_k) \in \Gamma(TM)$ , we obtain using (3):  $\nabla b = 0$ .

The 'only if' part: Suppose  $v(f)$  is flat and  $b$  is parallel. Let  $B$  be the field of self-adjoint endomorphisms on  $TM$  characterized by  $b(v, w) = \langle Bv, w \rangle$  for all  $p \in M$  and all  $v, w \in T_p M$ . As  $B$  is parallel, the eigenvalues of  $B$  are constant and the eigenspace bundles  $F_i := \text{kernel}(B - \lambda_i I)$  corresponding to the mutually distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , are parallel. For that reason  $M$  is the Riemannian product of the integral manifolds  $M_1, \dots, M_k$  of  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  through one point  $p_0 \in M$ , which are all simply connected and complete, see [17, Satz 1]. For every point  $p \in M$  and every orthonormal basis  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-m})$  of  $v_p(f)$  the formula  $B_p = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} A_{\xi_i}^2$  is valid (see (1)); on account of the flatness of  $v(f)$  according to the Ricci equation  $B_p$  commutes with  $A_\zeta$  for all  $\zeta \in v_p(f)$  which implies  $h(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $X \in \Gamma(F_i)$ ,  $Y \in \Gamma(F_j)$  with  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Moore's lemma [8, p. 163], and (3), (4) now prove the assertion on  $f$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. Chen, B. Y., *Total Mean Curvature and Submanifolds of Finite Type*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.
2. do Carmo, M. and Wallach, N., 'Minimal immersions of spheres into spheres', *Ann. of Math.* **95**, (1971) 43–62.
3. Dombrowski, P., 'On the geometry of the tangent bundle', *J. reine angew. Math.* **210** (1962) 73–88.
4. Ferus, D., 'Produkt-Zerlegung von Immersionen mit paralleler zweiter Fundamentalform', *Math. Ann.* **211** (1974) 1–5.
5. Ferus, D., 'Immersionen with parallel second fundamental form', *Math. Z.* **140** (1974) 87 – 93.
6. Ferus, D., 'Symmetric submanifolds of Euclidean space', *Math. Ann.* **247** (1980), 81–93.
7. Leichtweiß, K., 'Über eine Art von Krümmungsinvarianten beliebiger Untermannigfaltigkeiten des  $n$ -dim euklidischen Raumes', *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamb.* **26** (1963/64), 155–190.

8. Moore, J. D., 'Isometric immersions of Riemannian products', *J. Diff. Geom.* **5** (1971), 159–168.
9. Obata, M., 'The Gauss map of immersions of Riemannian manifolds in spaces of constant curvature', *J. Diff. Geom.* **2** (1968), 217–223.
10. Ohnita, Y., 'The first standard minimal immersions of compact irreducible symmetric spaces', in Kenmotsu, K. (ed.), *Differential Geometry of Submanifolds, Proc., Kyoto 1984 (Lecture Notes in Math. 1090)*, pp. 37–49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1984.
11. Osserman, R., 'Minimal surfaces, Gauss maps, total curvature, eigenvalue estimates, and stability', in Hsiang, W. Y. et al. (eds), *The Chern Symposium 1979*, pp. 199–227, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1980.
12. Otsuki, T., 'On principal normal vector fields of submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature', *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **22** (1970), 35–46.
13. Palis, J. and de Melo, W., *Geometric Theory of Dynamical Systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1982.
14. Reckziegel, H., 'Krümmungsflächen von isometrischen Immersionen in Räume konstanter Krümmung', *Math. Ann.* **223** (1976), 169–181.
15. Reckziegel, H., 'On the eigenvalues of the shape operator of an isometric immersion into a space of constant curvature', *Math. Ann.* **243** (1979), 71–82.
16. Reckziegel, H., 'Completeness of curvature surfaces of an isometric immersion', *J. Diff. Geom.* **14** (1979), 7–20.
17. Walden, R., 'Untermannigfaltigkeiten mit paralleler zweiter Fundamentalform in euklidischen Räumen und Sphären', *Manuscr. Math.* **10**, (1973), 91–102.
18. Wallach, N., 'Minimal immersions of symmetric spaces into spheres', in Boothby, W. M. and Weiss, G. L. (eds), *Symmetric Spaces*, pp. 1–40, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.

*Author's address:*

Stefan Nölker,  
Mathematisches Institut der  
Universität zu Köln,  
Weyertal 86–90,  
D-5000 Köln 41,  
F.R.G.

(Received, November 13, 1989)