

© Springer-Verlag New York Inc. 1993

# Mammalian Gene Evolution: Nucleotide Sequence Divergence Between Mouse and Rat

### Kenneth H. Wolfe, Paul M. Sharp

Department of Genetics, University of Dublin, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland

Received: 14 July 1992 / Revised: 15 September 1992

Abstract. As a paradigm of mammalian gene evolution, the nature and extent of DNA sequence divergence between homologous protein-coding genes from mouse and rat have been investigated. The data set examined includes 363 genes totalling 411 kilobases, making this by far the largest comparison conducted between a single pair of species. Mouse and rat genes are on average 93.4% identical in nucleotide sequence and 93.9% identical in amino acid sequence. Individual genes vary substantially in the extent of nonsynonymous nucleotide substitution, as expected from protein evolution studies; here the variation is characterized. The extent of synonymous (or silent) substitution also varies considerably among genes, though the coefficient of variation is about four times smaller than for nonsynonymous substitutions. A small number of genes mapped to the X-chromosome have a slower rate of molecular evolution than average, as predicted if molecular evolution is "male-driven." Base composition at silent sites varies from 33% to 95% G + C in different genes; mouse and rat homologues differ on average by only 1.7% in silentsite G + C, but it is shown that this is not necessarily due to any selective constraint on their base composition. Synonymous substitution rates and silent site base composition appear to be related (genes at intermediate G + C have on average higher rates), but the relationship is not as strong as in our earlier analyses. Rates of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution are correlated, apparently because of an excess of substitutions involving adjacent pairs of nucleotides. Several factors suggest that synonymous codon usage in rodent genes is not subject to selection.

**Key words:** Molecular clocks — Rodents — Genome evolution — G + C content — Codon usage — Dinucleotide mutation effects

As increasingly large amounts of DNA sequence data accumulate, our understanding of the pattern and dynamics of gene sequence divergence is growing clearer. The molecular clock, first postulated by Zuckerkandl and Pauling (1962) and later championed by Allan Wilson (see, for example, Wilson et al. 1977, 1987), is a description of the observed regularity with which substitutions accrue in nucleotide and amino acid sequences. Under the neutral theory (Kimura 1983) the rate of molecular evolution (k) of a sequence is determined by the product of the mutation rate ( $u_T$ ) and the fraction of sites in the sequence (or the average fraction of mutations at those sites) that are selectively neutral ( $f_0$ ):

$$k = u_{\rm T} f_0$$

(Kimura 1977). Thus, the rate of sequence change in different genes or proteins will be similar only if these two factors are the same.

From the first studies of protein evolution it was apparent that the rate of amino acid replacement varies enormously among proteins (see, e.g., Dickerson 1971) and that this can be attributed to differences in the fraction of possible neutral amino acid replacements ( $f_0$ ) between, say, fibrinopeptides on

Correspondence to: K.H. Wolfe

the one hand and histone H4 on the other. (See Table 4.4 of Nei 1987.) While these extreme examples of fast and slow protein sequence evolution have now become the stuff of textbooks, few data have been gathered on the distribution of rates among the less-exceptional proteins. An understanding of the shape of the underlying distribution of rates would contribute toward an understanding of protein evolution in general. However, documentation of rates of evolution of a large number of proteins has been hampered in part by the necessity to combine data from sequence comparisons across different taxonomic groups, thus requiring the use of fossil-based divergence dates along with the ensuing uncertainties (e.g., Li et al. 1985).

Here we overcome this problem by limiting our comparisons to a single pair of species (mouse and rat) so that the relative extents of change seen in different proteins are a direct measure of their relative rates of evolution, regardless of the data of divergence between the two species. (In fact, the actual date of the mouse-rat speciation event is a matter of considerable controversy—see Catzeflis et al. 1992-and will not be discussed in much detail.) The massive popularity of DNA sequencing as a biochemical tool, and of both mouse and rat as model organisms, has resulted in the sequencing of several hundred homologous gene pairs from these species in the decade following the first comparative study (Jagodzinski et al. 1981). By comparing nucleotide as well as amino acid sequences we have also been able to characterize the variation among genes in the rate of silent (synonymous) nucleotide substitution and show that, as with proteins, there are some genes with exceptionally fast or exceptionally slow rates. Since almost all silent sites in mammalian genes are likely to be free to accept nucleotide substitutions, these deviations from a "silent molecular clock" may be due to local variation in the mutation rate  $(u_T)$ ; the possible basis for this variation is discussed. A seemingly paradoxical correlation between the rates of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution in genes is also investigated.

#### **Data and Methods**

Sequences were collected between 1986 and 1992 and were either taken from releases 59–71 of GenBank or obtained from the EMBL and GenBank database electronic mail servers; in a few cases sequences were obtained directly from the literature. Genomic sequences were used in preference to cDNAs where possible at the time of collection. Homologous mouse and rat sequence pairs in GenBank were identified initially by browsing through the sequence definitions with the aid of a text editor or by keyword searches using the retrieval system ACNUC (Gouy et al. 1985). Other sequence pairs were identified by a semiautomated procedure whereby data on polypeptide length and amino acid composition were extracted from each of the 5,568 mouse and 2,543 rat protein-coding sequences in GenBank (release 71) using ACNUC, after which each mouse sequence was compared to each rat sequence and candidate matches of similar length and amino acid composition were flagged for further investigation. This method has the potential to identify all homologous pairs very rapidly (<30 min on a shared VAX computer to make all 14 million possible pairwise comparisons of murid genes) but is biased in favor of highly conserved sequences and cannot find matches between incomplete sequences and full-length homologues. In practice, this method found only about 70% of the pairs that had already been identified manually, but also led to the discovery of 35 additional pairs of homologues that were not evident from the annotation of their database entries.

Coding sequence pairs were then extracted from GenBank using ACNUC, and the deduced protein sequences were aligned using a rapid Needleman-Wunsch method (CLUSTAL; Higgins and Sharp 1989). The DNA sequences were then aligned using the protein alignments as templates. All alignments were inspected by eye for possible frameshift sequencing errors and a number of putative errors were corrected in such a way as to maximize the ratio of synonymous to nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions in the frameshifted region. Three genes were found where the reported mouse and rat sequences were essentially identical; we suspect that the source species is incorrectly identified in database entries M84361 ("rat" CSF-1), X61479 ("rat" c-fms), and M36660 ("mouse" NADPH: menadione oxidoreductase). We assume that these result from laboratory or database confusion and have not included these sequences in the analysis.

The extents of synonymous and nonsynonymous divergence (in terms of nucleotide substitutions per site) were calculated by the method of Li et al. (1985). In this method, sites in codons are classified as 0-fold, 2-fold, or 4-fold degenerate, according to how many alternative nucleotides at the site encode the same amino acid. A correction for superimposed substitutions at single sites is made by the two-parameter method of Kimura (1980), which allows for different rates of transitions and transversions. The numbers of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions per site are then calculated as appropriately weighted averages of these values. (See Li et al. 1985 for more details, including the estimation of standard errors.)

A few sequence pairs were found to give unusually high Ks values (Fig. 2), so we considered the possibility that these might be paralogous. In the case of  $\beta_2$ -microglobulin (K<sub>S</sub> = 0.69) the mouse and rat genes have each been sequenced by at least two independent groups. Multiple independent sequences have also been determined for rat SVS IV ( $K_s = 0.78$ ). Mouse SVS IV has been sequenced by only one laboratory (Chen et al. 1987), but this group obtained the mouse cDNA by using a sequenced rat SVS IV cDNA probe and also directly sequenced most of the mouse protein. Furthermore, Dietrich et al. (1992) were able to map SVS IV (svp-4) to mouse chromosome 2 by polymerase chain reaction amplification using primers corresponding to the published mouse sequence. There is thus no reason to doubt that the mouse and rat SVS IV sequences are orthologues. The gene with the third-greatest  $K_s$  value (0.51) is SPOT-1, which is discussed by Dickinson et al. (1989).

#### Nucleotide and Amino Acid Sequence Divergence Between Mouse and Rat

The nucleotide sequences of the coding regions of 363 genes for which DNA sequences have been de-

	Mean (±SD)	Range	L <sup>b</sup>
Amino acid identity (%)	93.9 (±8.1)	56.0-100.0	136,729
Nucleotide identity (%)	93.4 (±4.1)	69.6–99.0	411,300
Nonsynonymous substitutions $(K_{A})$	$0.032 (\pm 0.049)$	0.000-0.372	318,873
Synonymous substitutions (K <sub>s</sub> )	$0.224 (\pm 0.084)$	0.041-0.780	91,315
Silent site $G+C$ content (%)	62.0 (±11.1)	32.8-95.4	145,357

<sup>a</sup> The 363 genes, and their individual values, are detailed in Appendix 1. Means and standard deviations are weighted by the number of sites in each gene. Silent site G+C content ( $GC_s$ ) is the G+C content at 2-fold and 4-fold degenerate sites in codons.

<sup>b</sup> The total number of residues in each category

termined from both mouse and rat were aligned and compared. The genes, and some statistics describing their molecular evolution, are listed in Appendix 1. The results are summarised in Table 1. The total length of aligned sequences (excluding gaps) is 411 kb. The genes range in size from 135 bp (thymosin  $\beta$ 4) to 8,247 bp (inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate receptor), with an average length of 1,133 bp. As expected, the deduced protein sequences range in degree of divergence. Twenty-five proteins (7%), including some actins, ion-channel proteins, and ribosomal proteins, are identical in mouse and rat. The most divergent proteins are the salivary SPOT-1 protein (56% identity; discussed by Dickinson et al. 1989) and interleukin-3 (59%; see Cohen et al. 1986). Nucleotide sequence identity varies from 70% in the SPOT-1 gene to 99% in the gene encoding the Y-box binding transcription factor. The mean level of sequence identity, weighted by gene length, is 93.4% (standard deviation 4.1%) for nucleotides and 93.9% (±8.1%) for amino acids.

The extents of amino acid and nucleotide sequence divergence are (necessarily) correlated, but the relationship between these two measures is interesting. In genes encoding very highly conserved proteins, amino acid sequence identity exceeds nucleotide identity (Fig. 1), because silent (synonymous) nucleotide substitutions are permitted in these genes. However, in genes encoding lessconserved proteins, nucleotide similarity exceeds protein similarity. This presumably reflects the degeneracy of the genetic code: for example, a single codon with nucleotide substitutions at positions 2 and 3 (the former causing an amino acid replacement, the latter probably silent) exhibits 33% nucleotide sequence identity but 0% amino acid sequence identity. For the mouse-vs-rat data, the point at which nucleotide and amino acid sequence identity levels cross (i.e., are similar) is approximately 93% (Fig. 1b); the mean values happen to be close to this point. This picture is markedly different from that seen in a study of bacterial sequence divergence (67 genes compared between Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium; Sharp 1991). In the bacterial data, protein sequences are invariably more similar

than nucleotide sequences over a range of 76 to 100% amino acid sequence identity, and extrapolation of the relationship suggests a crossover point at around 65% identity (P.M.S., unpublished results). This difference is probably related to a much higher mean ratio of synonymous-to-nonsynonymous divergence in the bacterial genes than in the rodent genes, which is discussed below.

Nucleotide substitutions between two species can be classified as either nonsynonymous (replacement) or synonymous (silent), depending on whether or not they alter the protein sequence. The estimated numbers of nonsynonymous substitutions per site ( $K_A$ ) and synonymous substitutions per site ( $K_S$ ) were calculated by the method of Li et al. (1985), which corrects for multiple hits (Table 1, Appendix 1). These values, and the relationship between them, will be discussed in turn.

#### Nonsynonymous Nucleotide Substitution and Protein Evolution

The estimates of the extents of nonsynonymous nucleotide substitution ( $K_A$ ) among genes reflect the diversity of levels of protein sequence conservation and range from zero in the 25 genes mentioned earlier (and  $K_A < 0.01$  substitution per site in a further 103, or 28% of genes) to  $K_A = 0.372 (\pm 0.053)$  nonsynonymous substitutions per site in the salivary SPOT-1 gene; the weighted mean  $K_A$  is 0.032 (with standard deviation 0.049).

The  $K_A$  values for the 363 genes (Fig. 2a) form a broad, largely unskewed, distribution when plotted on a histogram with a semilogarithmic scale (as originally used by Ochman and Wilson 1987). There is, however, a distinct peak due to a number of genes with extremely low values of  $K_A$  (i.e., encoding almost invariant proteins). This result was also apparent in the small number of genes analyzed by Li et al. (1985; see histograms in Ochman and Wilson 1987; and in Hartl and Clark 1989:369). A similar result is obtained in comparisons of sequences (about 700 genes) between humans and rodents (K.H.W., unpublished results).



Fig. 1. Relationship between nucleotide (% DNA) and amino acid (% AA) sequence identity among 363 genes compared between mouse and rat. The region between 85% and 100% identity is enlarged in **b**. The *dashed lines* indicate equal levels of amino acid and nucleotide sequence identity.

The extent of synonymous substitution also varies between genes, although to a much lesser extent. (See below.) Setting that variation aside for the moment, it is interesting to note that the ratio between the mean synonymous and mean nonsynonymous divergence in the rodent genes is 7.1. This value is a little higher than that reported earlier (about five, among 35 genes; Li et al. 1985) in comparisons among mammalian orders. More significantly, this value is much lower than that seen in bacterial genes (for which the ratio is 24; Sharp 1991). Ochman and Wilson (1987; see also Lawrence et al. 1991) have proposed that this difference is due, at least in part, to the enormous difference in effective population size between bacteria (specifically E. coli) and mammals: selection against slightly deleterious mutant protein sequences may be far more efficient in bacteria. The effect may also be partly due to genes of different functions being included in the two data sets.

If synonymous substitutions are essentially neutral (as discussed below), the ratio of  $K_S$  to  $K_A$ provides a measure of the degree of selective constraint on a protein sequence, independent of other factors (such as local mutation rate differences) that may cause the underlying nucleotide substitution rate to be different in different genes. Values of the  $K_S/K_A$  ratio for individual genes vary from infinity (for the set of 25 genes encoding invariant proteins) to 1.22 for the Blast-1 antigen and 0.89 for interleukin-3. The last value may be remarkable because the nonsynonymous rate is expected to exceed the synonymous rate only in exceptional cases of positive natural selection for amino acid sequence divergence. (See, e.g., Hughes and Nei 1988.) However, interleukin-3 is the only gene (out of 363) for







which  $K_S < K_A$ , and the sequence is sufficiently short that the ratio may not be significantly less than one. Furthermore, it has not been established whether the estimation method of Li et al. (1985) will actually result in a  $K_S/K_A$  ratio of precisely 1.0 for a neutral DNA sequence; it is possible that the method suffers from a small bias, particularly in its treatment of 2-fold degenerate sites, which may overestimate  $K_S$ . Many of the other genes with low  $K_S/K_A$  ratios are either members of the immunoglobulin superfamily (Blast-1, Ig-C-delta, Ig-Vlambda, CD4, CD8 $\alpha$ , and CD43 antigens) or secretory proteins (SPOT-1, beta- and kappa-caseins, whey acidic protein). It is perhaps noteworthy that interleukin-3 is the only gene for which  $K_S < K_A$  despite the considerable overlap between the ranges of  $K_S$  and  $K_A$  (Fig. 2a; there are 99 genes for which  $K_A$  is greater than the lowest  $K_S$  seen in any gene and 350 genes for which  $K_S$  is less than the highest  $K_A$  seen).

#### Silent Sites

The weighted mean extent of synonymous substitution ( $K_S$ ) between mouse and rat genes is 0.224 substitutions per site (standard deviation 0.084). (Li et al. 1987 reported a mean value of 0.237 for 24 genes.) The values for individual genes (Table 1 and Fig. 2) range 19-fold between 0.041 ( $\pm$ 0.014) in the Y-box transcription factor gene and 0.780 ( $\pm$ 0.179) in the gene for seminal vesicle secretory protein IV. [We have consulted the original publications of the sequences at either end of the range of K<sub>S</sub> values (Fig. 2) to verify that these are bona fide homologous single-copy genes from mouse and rat; see also Data and Methods, above.]

The K<sub>s</sub> values form quite a broad, roughly symmetric distribution about the mean (Fig. 2). Is this variation among genes in K<sub>s</sub> greater than that expected by chance due to sampling effects? This can be tested statistically: if the variation in  $K_s$  is due to sampling error, the standard deviation of values around the mean  $K_S$  should be that expected under a binomial distribution. From equations (1)-(10) in Li et al. (1985) (using mean numbers of transitions and transversions per site in all genes, and the harmonic mean number of sites per gene), the standard error of the mean K<sub>s</sub> in mouse-rat genes should be 0.043. This is only half as great as the observed standard deviation of  $K_{S}$  (0.084; Table 1), implying that there is genuine variation in synonymous substitution rates among genes. However, the synonymous substitution rates are considerably less variable than nonsynonymous rates: the coefficient of variation (the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean) of  $K_A$  is about four times that of  $K_S$  (Table 1).

The mean K<sub>s</sub> for mouse-vs-rat genes is very close to the figure of 0.231 ( $\pm 0.009$ ) calculated by Catzeflis et al. (1987, 1989) as the overall extent of sequence divergence between mouse and rat genomes, obtained by DNA-DNA hybridization of single copy genomic DNA (with correction for multiple-hit kinetics). We note, however, that  $K_s$  is generally larger (perhaps for the reason mentioned above) than the substitution rate observed at 4-fold degenerate sites alone (Li et al. 1985), even though both purport to estimate the rate of silent nucleotide substitution. The similarity in substitution rates at silent codon positions and in the genome as a whole (which is largely noncoding) strongly suggests that codon usage in mammalian genes is not constrained by natural selection, as we (Sharp 1989; Wolfe et al. 1989) and others (Eyre-Walker 1991) have argued elsewhere (also, see below).

To estimate the absolute rate of synonymous substitution (per unit time) it is necessary to know when mouse and rat last shared a common ancestor, and estimates of that date are quite controversial. Palaeontological evidence has been interpreted as indicating the date to be about 10 million years ago (see Catzeflis et al. 1992), suggesting a mean absolute rate of  $11.2 \times 10^{-9}$  substitutions per site per year per lineage (or a divergence rate down two lineages of 2.24% per million years). This is approximately two times the average rate in mammals (including rodents) previously expressed as  $4.7 \times 10^{-9}$  substitutions per site per year (Li et al. 1985) or about 1% per million years down two lineages (Wilson et al. 1987). However, Wilson et al. (1987) have argued that the murid fossil record is difficult to interpret, and that the common ancestry of mouse and rat may date from as much as 35 million years ago. In that case, obviously, the rate estimates would be 3.5 times smaller.

## Sex-linked Genes

Some of the heterogeneity in silent substitution rates among mammalian genes could be due to the location of some genes on the sex chromosomes. Miyata et al. (1987a,b) proposed that, because of the vastly greater number of cell generations that occur on average per year in spermatocytes as compared to oocytes, most nucleotide substitutions in mammalian genes arise in the male germline (socalled "male-driven" molecular evolution). As a consequence, the average silent substitution rates for autosomal, X-linked, and Y-linked genes should be in the ratio 1: 0.67: 2, reflecting the proportions of time (50%, 33%, and 100%, respectively) that each type of chromosome spends in males when averaged over many generations. More recently, Miyata et al. (1990) compared sequences between humans and rodent and found that the mean synonymous substitution rate for six X-linked genes was 58% of that in 35 autosomal genes. Some studies have, however, reported individual X-linked genes with high rates of synonymous substitution (e.g., Iizasa et al., 1989).

The data in Appendix 1 includes 11 genes known to be X-linked, and their mean K<sub>S</sub> value is 0.143  $(\pm 0.035)$ . This is 64% of the mean value for the entire data set (which can be assumed to be largely autosomal), or 61% of the mean  $K_S (0.236 \pm 0.063)$ for the 179 genes that have actually been mapped to an autosome in one or both species. The result is thus in close agreement with the predictions from the hypothesis of Miyata et al. (1987a,b). [The mean  $K_A$  for the X-linked genes is also low, 0.009  $(\pm 0.009)$ , as compared to 0.032  $(\pm 0.049)$  for the whole data set.] The X-linked genes do not form a distinct group of slowly evolving sequences, but rather they lie within but toward the lower end of the distribution of K<sub>S</sub> values seen in other genes (Fig. 2b): in order of increasing K<sub>S</sub> the X-linked genes are ranked at positions 6 (a-raf), 10 (PLP), 37 (5-HT-1c receptor), 38 (androgen receptor), 40 (OTC), 51 (HPRT), 63 (NCAM-L1), 76 (PGK1), 84 (connexin-32), 104 (factor IX), and 220 (RPS4X) out of 363. Thus, there are heterogeneous rates for both

X-linked and autosomal genes, but there may well be a systematic (mutation rate) effect such that the former evolve at about two-thirds the rate of the latter. At present, there are no genes in the mouserat data set that are known to be Y-linked: such sequences would provide a valuable test of the "male-driven" molecular evolution hypothesis.

### Variation in Silent-Site G + C Content

Synonymous codon usage is highly heterogeneous among mammalian genes, with the principal variation being in the base composition (G + C content)at silent sites (Ikemura 1985; Sharp et al. 1988). This variation seems to reflect local chromosomal base composition, since the G + C content at silent sites of individual mammalian genes is highly correlated with the G + C content of their introns and flanking sequences (Aota and Ikemura 1986; Ikemura and Aota 1988). This appears to be related to the organization of the mammalian genome into "isochores," i.e., domains of several hundred kilobases each having a relatively homogeneous base composition internally but being different from neighboring isochores (Bernardi et al. 1985). As expected, the mean G + C content at silent codon positions (designated  $GC_s$  and defined as the G + C content at 2-fold and 4-fold degenerate sites) in the mouserat genes studied here varies substantially from 32.8% to 95.4% (in the genes for nucleolar protein B23 and AGP/EBP transcription factor, respectively). The standard deviation of  $GC_{S}$  in the 363 genes is 11.1%, which is 3.7 times greater than that expected due to sampling error (under a binomial distribution around the mean  $GC_S$  of 62.0%).

The values of GC<sub>S</sub> in homologous mouse and rat genes are very strongly correlated (r = 0.973). The greatest difference in  $GC_S$  between the species in a single gene is 10.2% in SVS IV (41.7% in mouse, 51.8% in rat), but the mean absolute difference in  $GC_{S}$  ( $\Delta GC_{S}$ ) is only 1.69%, with no significant net bias in either direction (61.8% mean  $GC_s$  in mouse; 62.2% in rat). Furthermore, there is no significant correlation between  $\Delta GC_S$  and the mean  $GC_S$  in mouse and rat. This contrasts with the divergence in base composition that has occurred between human and rodent genes: human genes tend to have more extreme base compositions than their rodent homologues, a phenomenon that has been termed the "minor shift" (Mouchiroud et al. 1988; Bernardi et al. 1988).

Bernardi et al. (1988) have cited the strong correlation between silent-site G + C contents in homologous mouse and rat genes as evidence that their codon usage (or overall base composition) is being constrained by purifying selection. In fact, the high correlation coefficient may be entirely attributable to the common ancestry of the sequences, since the mean K<sub>s</sub> value of 0.224 substitutions per site implies that about 80% of the silent codon positions are identical by descent. We have investigated this by conducting a simple computer simulation: 363 ancestral sequences were generated (corresponding in length and G + C content to the silent sites in the rodent genes) and nucleotide substitutions (corresponding in number to the product of  $K_S$  and the number of silent sites in each gene) were then made at random assuming that all types of substitution are equally probable. Even with this simplifying assumption (which causes the G + Ccontents of the daughter sequences to converge toward 50%) the mean correlation coefficient from 1,000 replications was 0.950 (range 0.931-0.964), which is close to the correlation coefficient obtained with the real data. Indeed, if the simulation is conducted considering only 4-fold degenerate sites (instead of 2-fold and 4-fold sites combined), the mean correlation coefficient in simulations (0.958) exceeds that from the real data (0.947). There is thus little or no need to invoke causes other than common ancestry to explain the similarity of  $GC_{S}$  in the two species.

#### Excessive Variation in $K_S$ and $GC_S$

The variation among genes in rates of nonsynonymous substitution can be interpreted in terms of differing extents of selective constraints on amino acid sequences (i.e., differences in the proportion of the sequence that is critical to the function of the protein). In contrast, the variation among genes described above in both silent substitution rates and silent-site G + C contents could be explained either by selective constraints on codon usage or by variation in the underlying mutation patterns among loci.

We and others have reported a relationship between the substitution rate and base composition at silent sites in mammalian genes, with genes of high  $GC_{S}$  (and possibly also those of low  $GC_{S}$ ) having lower substitution rates than those of intermediate base compositions (Filipski 1988; Wolfe et al. 1989; Ticher and Graur 1989; Bulmer et al. 1991). When this trend is reinvestigated using the present data set (representing a fivefold increase in data over our 1989 study), the relationship between  $K_S$  and  $GC_S$  is less striking than originally reported (Fig. 3a). In particular, there are several large genes with intermediate GC<sub>S</sub> contents (around 60%) that have low K<sub>S</sub> values. Nevertheless, there is still a paucity of genes having both a high silent substitution rate and extreme values of GC<sub>s</sub>.



Fig. 3. Relationship between silent site divergence (between mouse and rat) and base composition (G + C content). a Number of synonymous substitutions per site (K<sub>S</sub>) vs G + C content at silent sites (GC<sub>S</sub>) for 363 genes. *Circles* represent genes with  $\geq$ 500 silent sites. b Number of substitutions (K<sub>4</sub>) vs G + C content (GC<sub>4</sub>) at 4-fold degenerate sites, considering only codons where no more than one nucleotide substitution has occurred and correcting for multiple hit kinetics by the method of Tajima and Nei (1984). (Cf. Wolfe et al. 1989.) *Each point* represents the pooled result for all genes within a particular 1% interval of GC<sub>4</sub>. *Circles* represent intervals for which the number of sites compared is  $\geq$ 500.

For clarity, in our earlier study (Wolfe et al. 1989) we calculated substitution rates ( $K_4$ , using the multiple-hits correction formula of Tajima and Nei 1984), and base composition (GC<sub>4</sub>) only at 4-fold degenerate sites, and only in codons where there were no additional nucleotide substitutions. These modifications eliminate the correlation between synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates (see below), and also eliminate a possible artifact resulting from multiple-hits corrections on sequences of biased base compositions. Applying these criteria to the expanded data set does not result in a significant relationship between  $K_4$  and  $GC_4$  for individual genes. Nevertheless, an effect is apparent when the silent substitution rate is aver-

aged over all genes within each 1% interval of silent-site G + C content (Fig 3b). With the exception of a few intervals containing only one or a small number of genes,  $K_4$  appears to peak at approximately 60% GC<sub>4</sub>, similar to what has been reported in other studies (Wolfe et al. 1989; Bulmer et al. 1991).

Thus, this study has documented the excessive variability of both substitution rates and base composition at silent sites in codons, but the relationship between the two remains unclear. In a recent analysis of sequences from three orders of mammal (Bulmer et al. 1991), we suggested that unknown factor(s) in addition to silent-site G + C content systematically affect the silent substitution rate (even after correcting for rate differences among lineages) in a manner that is consistent for a particular gene in different species. The discrepancy between the results of the present analysis and those based on the sequence data available four years ago (Filipski 1988; Wolfe et al. 1989; Ticher and Graur 1989) illustrates the need for very large data sets (and hence large numbers of nucleotide substitutions) before generalizations about substitution patterns or biases can be made with confidence. The data studied here comprise perhaps 0.5% of all genes in the mammalian genome and a total of 27,348 nucleotide differences between mouse and rat and so may constitute a representative sample.

The wide range of silent substitution rates and base compositions seen in groups of genes with similar functions (Appendix 1) also points to a lack of gene-expression-related constraint on codon usage. It has been suggested that mammalian codon usage is related to the major tissue of gene expression (Newgard et al. 1986), but this does not seem to be a general observation. Among the mouse-rat genes examined here, apolipoproteins A-II and A-IV are both expressed in liver, but have  $GC_S$  values of 60% and 78%, respectively (and serum albumin, also expressed in liver, has a  $GC_S$  value of 53%). There is also a wide variation in GC<sub>S</sub> values among genes expressed in the testis (41% in a cytochrome c isoform, 78% in HSP70.2), brain (47% in calmodulin I; 79% in creatine kinase B), and pancreas (36% in  $\alpha_2$ -amylase, 73% in ribonuclease), for example. Alternatively, there are 13 ribosomal protein genes listed in Appendix 1 with K<sub>s</sub> values that range between 0.172 and 0.359, and  $GC_S$  values between 48% and 70%. Because they are highly expressed, ribosomal protein genes generally provide the clearest examples of selective codon usage in organisms where translational efficiency is at a premium (e.g., E. coli, Ikemura 1985; Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Sharp et al. 1986; or Drosophila melanogaster, Shields et al. 1988).

 Table 2.
 Numbers of substituted nucleotides at adjacent codon positions 2 and 3<sup>a</sup>

			Posit	tion 3		
			Identical	Different	Ratio <sup>b</sup>	chi <sup>2</sup>
<u> </u>	All sites:					
		{ Identical	52,060	9,225		
	Position 2	{			1.67	63.1
		{ Different	600	202		
B.	Excluding	C <sub>p</sub> G dinucleo	otides:			
	_	{ Identical	50,276	7,154		
	Position 2	{			2.09	107.5
		{ Different	484	170		

<sup>a</sup> Only codons in which (in both species) position 3 is 4-fold degenerate are considered. Position 2 is always 0-fold degenerate.

<sup>b</sup> Ratio of [D:D/(D:D+D:I)]/[I:D/(I:D+I:I)], where I and D represent identical and different nucleotides, respectively, and a colon separates the two bases of a dinucleotide. For example, I:D indicates a dinucleotide where the first base (at codon position 2) is identical in the two species, and the second base (at position 3) is different

# Correlation Between Rates of Synonymous and Nonsynonymous Substitution—Evidence for Doublet Mutations

In the first extensive investigation of nucleotide substitution rates at synonymous and nonsynonymous sites in mammalian genes (Li et al. 1985), there was a positive correlation across genes between the two rates (Graur 1985). A similar correlation was reported by Miyata et al. (1987a,b), and by Ticher and Graur (1989), in comparisons of 39 and 42 genes, respectively, between humans and rodents. In the present mouse-rat data set the correlation coefficient (weighting each gene by its length) between  $K_S$  and  $K_A$  is  $r = 0.45 (\pm 0.05)$ , which is highly significant. This correlation is surprising because the two rates are expected to reflect different causes: the nonsynonymous rate should largely reflect protein sequence conservation, while the synonymous rate reflects the local mutation rate and any possible codon selection. The correlation could exist either because the two rates are similar over the whole gene (such that conserved proteins have low divergence at silent sites, for some reason) or because substitutions at adjacent nucleotide positions have occurred at a frequency greater than would be predicted from either substitution rate alone. Inspection of the 363 mouse-rat sequences suggests that the latter effect is occurring. For example, if the synonymous substitution rate is recalculated ignoring those codons where the species differ by more than a single nucleotide substitution, the resultant modified value of K<sub>S</sub> is not significantly correlated with  $K_A$  (r = 0.10 ± 0.05).

To look at this tandem substitution effect in more detail we identified homologous codons in the mouse and rat sequences where the third position is completely (4-fold) degenerate in both species. (Note that second positions are always 0-fold degenerate, i.e., nondegenerate.) These codons were then subdivided according to whether none, one, or both of these positions differ between the two species. The results reveal a highly significant excess of tandem nucleotide substitutions: where position 2 is unchanged, position 3 is changed in 15.1% of codons, but where a substitution has occurred at position 2, the fraction of differences at position 3 is 25.2% (Table 2A). It is well known (see, for example, Giannelli et al. 1991) that C<sub>p</sub>G dinucleotides have a high mutation rate due to methylation of the cytosine (on either strand) followed by deamination, resulting in either a  $T_pG$  or a  $C_pA$  sequence. As a consequence,  $C_pG$  sites contribute a disproportionate number of single-base mutations, where an ancestral codon NCG is replaced by NTG or NCA in a descendant. If sites containing  $C_pG$  dinucleotides (in either species) are excluded from the analysis, the excess of tandem nucleotide substitutions becomes even more pronounced (Table 2B).

An excess of multiply-substituted codons was first commented upon by Fitch (1980), in a comparison of  $\beta$ -globin sequences from three orders of mammals. The question arises as to whether the excess of tandem substitutions arises from mutational events involving simultaneous substitution of two adjacent nucleotides or from two separate (consecutive) events. Lipman and Wilbur (1985) suggested that a nonsynonymous substitution may lead not only to an amino acid replacement but also to the replacement of an optimal codon for one amino acid by a nonoptimal codon for the new amino acid. Then, in the wake of the nonsynonymous substitution, a mutation leading to a synonymous change in the same codon may be positively selected as a way of generating an optimal codon for the newly specified amino acid. This seems unlikely because selection among synonymous codons is not thought to operate in many (if any) mammalian genes. (See above, and Sharp 1989.) Two other points should be noted. First, the selection coefficients driving sequences toward "re-optimized" codon usage could only be of the same order of magnitude as the nonsynonymous substitution rate. Second, if nonoptimal codons generated by a nonsynonymous mutation were selectively disavantageous, the nonsynonymous change would be expected to be selected against even if the amino acid replacement itself were neutral.

To test whether the tandem differences reflect synonymous codon selection, we have examined

Table 3. Numbers of substituted nucleotides at adjacent codon positions 3 and  $1^{a}$ 

			Posit	tion 3		
			Identical	Different	Ratiob	chi <sup>2</sup>
<b>A</b> .	All sites:					
		{ Identical	45,657	7,968		
	Position 1	{			1.62	109.5
		{ Different	1,304	413		
В.	Excluding	C <sub>n</sub> G dinucleo	otides:			
		{ Identical	44,097	6,167		
	Position 1	{			2.07	222.5
		{ Different	1,096	373		

<sup>a</sup> Only codons in which (in both species) position 3 is 4-fold degenerate, and position 1 of the following codon is nondegenerate, are considered.

<sup>b</sup> Ratio of [D:D/(D:D+I:D)]/[D:I/(D:I+I:I)], where I and D represent identical and different nucleotides, respectively, and a colon separates the two bases of a dinucleotide. For example, I:D indicates a dinucleotide where the first base (at codon position 3) is identical in the two species, and the second base (at position 1 of the next codon) is different

the frequency of tandem differences at bases in neighboring codons (i.e., the 3:1 position involving the third base of a codon and the first base of the next codon). An excess of tandem substitutions, very similar in magnitude to that at 2:3 positions, is seen at the 3:1 position, both when all sites are considered (Table 3A) and when  $C_pG$  sites are excluded (Table 3B). This result might arise if codon pairs are under selection (see, e.g., Gouy 1987) but such selection should be secondary to selection within a codon, *if* that exists. Thus, from the magnitude of the excess of tandem substitutions at both 2:3 and 3:1 positions we conclude that this phenomenon most probably reflects mutational events simultaneously replacing both nucleotides. In support of this, we note that a significant excess of dinucleotide substitutions is seen in noncoding sequences from the primate eta-globin pseudogene region (our unpublished analyses of the data of Goodman et al. (1989)), where codon selection cannot be a factor. Furthermore, we have recently proposed that a significant excess of switches between the TCN and AGY groups of serine codons at highly conserved sites (in a range of proteins from a wide range of species) must also be due to doublet mutations (M. Averhof, K.H.W. and P.M.S., manuscript submitted).

Synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates have also been found to be correlated in studies on bacterial (Sharp and Li 1987; Sharp 1991) and chloroplast genes (Wolfe and Sharp 1988). In at least the case of bacteria, selection among synonymous codons can be a powerful force, and it will be interesting to investigate the possible causes of the  $K_S-K_A$  correlation in those species.

#### Conclusions

Data from individual genes have, in the past, pointed to the diversity of patterns of molecular evolution in mammalian genes. For example, the slow rate of evolution of actins (Alonso et al. 1986). the rapid rate of silent substitution in  $\beta_2$ -microglobulin (Li et al. 1985), and the extremely high G + C content of the metallothionein-I gene (Durnam et al. 1980) have all been reported. In this study, we have attempted to put these observations into a clearer perspective by investigating the mean and range of several measures of the molecular evolutionary process, calculated from a large number of genes compared between a single pair of species (and so having a single divergence time). It is realistic to hope that the large number of genes studied here may be truly representative of those in the mammalian genome.

We have examined the evolution of silent sites in these genes in some detail. Silent sites are of particular interest because they were initially expected to be neutral (King and Jukes 1969). However, while early investigations focused on the comparative homogeneity (relative to nonsynonymous substitution rates) of synonymous substitution rates among mammalian genes (Miyata et al. 1980; Kimura 1981), it is now clear that these rates vary quite substantially. It has also become apparent that alternative synonymous codons are not neutral in many genes in the genomes of bacteria, fungi, and even insects (reviewed in Ikemura 1985; Sharp et al. 1988; Andersson and Kurland 1990), and that different intensities of synonymous codon selection lead to variation in synonymous substitution rates among genes (Sharp and Li 1987, 1989; Sharp 1991). Since it is also clear that codon usage in mammalian genes is highly nonrandom, it might seem reasonable to speculate that codon selection accounts for the silent substitution rate variation; however, several lines of argument and evidence presented here suggest that this is not the case. First, different patterns of codon usage in different mammalian genes are not related to any obvious expression differences among the genes, and codon selection would not be expected to overcome random genetic drift in mammals due to their small effective population sizes. Second a slow rate of synonymous substitution in X-linked genes, and the correlation of nonsynonymous and synonymous rates among genes can each be attributed to mutational causes. Several hypotheses, based on models of variation in DNA polymerase or DNA repair activities, have been put forward to explain why mutation rates and patterns might vary among different regions of the mammalian genome (Filipski 1987, 1988; Sueoka 1988;

Wolfe et al. 1989; Wolfe 1991). However, whether mutational causes alone are responsible for all of the variation seen in  $GC_S$  and  $K_S$  remains to be established. More detailed analysis of the data is in progress and should provide further insight into mammalian gene evolution.

Acknowledgments. This work utilized the facilities of the Irish National Centre for Bioinformatics. We are grateful to Manolo Gouy, Des Higgins, Liz Cowe, and Andrew Lloyd for help with database access, to Anne-Marie Murphy for some preliminary data analysis, and to Michael Bulmer and Adam Eyre-Walker for discussion. We also thank the managers and sponsors of the electronic mail servers at EMBL and GenBank. This study was supported in part by EOLAS grant SC/91/603.

#### References

- Alonso S, Minty A, Bourlet Y, Buckingham M (1986) Comparison of three actin-coding sequences in the mouse; evolutionary relationships between the actin genes of warm-blooded vertebrates. J Mol Evol 23:11–22
- Andersson SGE and Kurland CG (1990) Codon preferences in free-living microorganisms. Microbiol Rev 54:198-210
- Aota S, Ikemura T (1986) Diversity in G + C content at the third position of codons in vertebrate genes and its cause. Nucleic Acids Res 14:6345–6355, and correction 14:8702
- Bernardi G, Olofsson B, Filipski J, Zerial M, Salinas J, Cuny G, Meunier-Rotival M, Rodier F (1985) The mosaic genome of warm-blooded vertebrates. Science 228:953–958
- Bernardi G, Mouchiroud D, Gautier C, Bernardi G (1988) Compositional patterns in vertebrate genomes: conservation and change in evolution. J Mol Evol 28:7–18
- Bulmer M, Wolfe KH, Sharp PM (1991) Synonymous nucleotide substitution rates in mammalian genes: implications for the molecular clock and the relationship of mammalian orders. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:5974–5978
- Catzeflis FM, Sheldon FH, Ahlquist JE, Sibley CG (1987) DNA-DNA hybridization evidence of the rapid rate of muroid rodent DNA evolution. Mol Biol Evol 4:242–253
- Catzeflis FM, Nevo E, Ahlquist JE, Sibley CG (1989) Relationship of the chromosomal species in the Eurasian mole rats of the *Spalax ehrenbergi* group as determined by DNA-DNA hybridization, and an estimate of the spalacid-murid divergence time. J Mol Evol 29:223–232
- Catzeflis FM, Aguilar J-P, Jaeger J-J (1992) Muroid rodents: phylogeny and evolution. Trends Ecol Evol 7:122-126
- Chen YH, Pentecost BT, McLachlan JA, Teng CT (1987) The androgen-dependent mouse seminal vesicle secretory protein IV: characterization and complementary deoxyribonucleic acid cloning. Mol Endocrinol 1:707–716
- Cohen DR, Hapel AJ, Young IG (1986) Cloning and expression of the rat interleukin-3 gene. Nucleic Acids Res 14:3641-3658
- Dickerson RE (1971) The structure of cytochrome c and the rates of molecular evolution. J Mol Evol 1:26-45
- Dickinson DP, Mirels L, Tabak LA, Gross KW (1989) Rapid evolution of variants in a rodent multigene family encoding salivary proteins. Mol Biol Evol 6:80–102
- Dietrich W, Katz H, Lincoln SE, Shin H-S, Friedman J, Dracopoli NC, Lander ES (1992) A genetic map of the mouse suitable for typing intraspecific crosses. Genetics 131:423– 447
- Durnam DM, Perrin F, Gannon F, Palmiter RD (1980) Isolation

and characterization of the mouse metallothionein-I gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 77:6511-6515

- Eyre-Walker A (1991) An analysis of codon usage in mammals: selection or mutation bias? J Mol Evol 33:442–449
- Filipski J (1987) Correlation between molecular clock ticking, codon usage, fidelity of DNA repair, chromosome banding and chromatin compactness in germline cells. FEBS Lett 217:184–186
- Filipski J (1988) Why the rate of silent codon substitutions is variable within a vertebrate's genome. J Theor Biol 134:159–164
- Fitch WM (1980) Estimating the total number of nucleotide substitutions since the common ancestor of a pair of genes: comparison of several methods and three beta hemoglobin messenger RNA's. J Mol Evol 16:153-209
- Giannelli F, Green PM, High KA, Sommer S, Lillicrap DP, Ludwig M, Olek K, Reitsma PH, Goossens M, Yoshioka A, Brownlee GG (1991) Haemophilia B: database of point mutations and short additions and deletions—second edition. Nucleic Acids Res 19 (suppl.):2193–2219
- Goodman M, Koop BF, Czelusniak J, Fitch DHA, Tagle DA, Slightom JL (1989) Molecular phylogeny of the family of apes and humans. Genome 31:316–335
- Gouy M (1987) Codon contexts in enterobacterial and coliphage genes. Mol Biol Evol 4:426-444
- Gouy M, Gautier C, Attimonelli M, Lanave C, di Paola G (1985) ACNUC—a portable retrieval system for nucleic acid sequence databases: logical and physical designs and usage. Comp Appl Biosci 1:167–172
- Graur D (1985) Amino acid composition and the evolutionary rates of protein-coding genes. J Mol Evol 22:53-62
- Hartl DL, Clark AG (1989) Principles of population genetics. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA
- Higgins DG, Sharp PM (1989) Fast and sensitive multiple sequence alignments on a microcomputer. Comp Appl Biosci 5:151-153
- Hughes AL, Nei M (1988) Pattern of nucleotide substitution at major histocompatibility complex loci reveals overdominant selection. Nature 335:167–170
- Iizasa T, Taira M, Shimada H, Ishijima S, Tatibana M (1989) Molecular cloning and sequencing of human cDNA for phosphoriboxyl pyrophosphate synthetase subunit II. FEBS Lett 244:47-50
- Ikemura T (1985) Codon usage and tRNA content in unicellular and multicellular organisms. Mol Biol Evol 2:13–34
- Ikemura T, Aota S (1988) Global variation in G + C content along vertebrate genome DNA. J Mol Biol 203:1–13
- Jagodzinski LL, Sargent TD, Yang M, Glackin C, Bonner J (1981) Sequence homology between RNAs encoding rat alpha-fetoprotein and rat serum albumin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 78:3521-3525
- Kimura M (1977) Preponderance of synonymous changes as evidence for the neutral theory of molecular evolution. Nature 267:275–276
- Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16:111-120
- Kimura M (1981) Estimation of evolutionary distances between homologous nucleotide sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 78:454–458
- Kimura M (1983) The neutral theory of molecular evolution. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England
- King JL, Jukes TH (1969) Non-Darwinian evolution. Science 164:788–798
- Lawrence JG, Hartl DL, Ochman H (1991) Molecular considerations in the evolution of bacterial genes. J Mol Evol 33:241– 250

- Lipman DJ, Wilbur WJ (1985) Interaction of silent and replacement changes in eukaryotic coding sequences. J Mol Evol 21:161-167
- Li W-H, Wu C-I, Luo C-C (1985) A new method for estimating synonymous and nonsynonymous rates of nucleotide substitution considering the relative likelihood of nucleotide and codon changes. Mol Biol Evol 2:150–174
- Li W-H, Tanimura M, Sharp PM (1987) An evaluation of the molecular clock hypothesis using mammalian DNA sequences. J Mol Evol 25:330-342
- Miyata T, Yasunaga T, Nishida T (1980) Nucleotide sequence divergence and functional constraint in mRNA evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 77:7328-7332
- Miyata T, Hayashida H, Kuma K, Yasunaga T (1987a) Maledriven molecular evolution demonstrated by different rates of silent substitutions between autosome- and sex chromosomelinked genes. Proc Jpn Acad Ser B 63:327-331
- Miyata T, Hayashida H, Kuma K, Mitsuyasu K, Yasunaga T (1987b) Male-driven molecular evolution: a model and nucleotide sequence analysis. Cold Spring Harbor Symp Quant Biol 52:863-867
- Miyata T, Kuma K, Iwabe N, Hayashida H, Yasunaga T (1990) Different rates of evolution of autosome-, X chromosomeand Y chromosome-linked genes: hypothesis of male-driven molecular evolution. In: Takahata N, Crow JF (eds) Population biology of genes and molecules. Baifukan, Tokyo, Japan, pp 341–357
- Mouchiroud D, Gautier C, Bernardi G (1988) The compositional distribution of coding sequences and DNA molecules in humans and murids. J Mol Evol 27:311-320
- Nei M (1987) Molecular evolutionary genetics. Columbia University Press, New York
- Newgard CB, Nakano K, Hwang PK, Fletterick RJ (1986) Sequence analysis of the cDNA encoding human liver glycogen phosphorylase reveals tissue-specific codon usage. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83:8132–8136
- Ochman H, Wilson AC (1987) Evolution in bacteria: evidence for a universal substitution rate in cellular genomes. J Mol Evol 26:74-86
- Sharp PM (1989) Evolution at 'silent' sites in DNA. In: Hill WG, Mackay TFC (eds) Evolution and animal breeding; reviews on molecular and quantitative approaches in honour of Alan Robertson. C.A.B. International, Wallingford, UK, pp 24–32

- Sharp PM (1991) Determinants of DNA sequence divergence between Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium: codon usage, map position, and concerted evolution. J Mol Evol 33:23-33
- Sharp PM, Li W-H (1987) The rate of synonymous substitution in enterobacterial genes is inversely related to codon usage bias. Mol Biol Evol 4:222-230
- Sharp PM, Li W-H (1989) On the rate of DNA sequence evolution in *Drosophila*. J Mol Evol 28:398–402
- Sharp PM, Tuohy TMF, Mosurski KR (1986) Codon usage in yeast: cluster analysis clearly differentiates between highly and lowly expressed genes. Nucleic Acids Res 14: 5125–5143
- Sharp PM, Cowe E, Higgins DG, Shields DC, Wolfe KH, Wright F (1988) Codon usage patterns in Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Schizosaccharomyces pombe, Drosophila melanogaster and Homo sapiens; a review of the considerable within-species diversity. Nucleic Acids Res 16:8207-8211
- Shields DC, Sharp PM, Higgins DG, Wright F (1988) "Silent" sites in *Drosophila* genes are not neutral: evidence of selection among synonymous codons. Mol Biol Evol 5:704-716
- Sueoka N (1988) Directional mutation pressure and neutral molecular evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85:2653–2657
- Tajima F, Nei M (1984) Estimation of evolutionary distances between nucleotide sequences. Mol Biol Evol 1:269–285
- Ticher A, Graur D (1989) Nucleic acid composition, codon usage, and the rate of synonymous substitution in proteincoding genes. J Mol Evol 28:286-298
- Wilson AC, Carlson SS, White TJ (1977) Biochemical evolution. Ann Rev Biochem 46:573–639
- Wilson AC, Ochman H, Prager EM (1987) Molecular time scale for evolution. Trends Genet 3:241-247
- Wolfe KH (1991) Mammalian DNA replication: mutation biases and the mutation rate. J Theor Biol 149:441-451
- Wolfe KH, Sharp PM (1988) Identification of functional open reading frames in chloroplast genomes. Gene 66:215-222
- Wolfe KH, Sharp PM, Li W-H (1989) Mutation rates differ among regions of the mammalian genome. Nature 337:283– 285
- Zuckerkandl E, Pauling L (1962) Molecular disease, evolution, and genic heterogeneity. In: Kasha M, Pullman B (eds) Horizons in biochemistry. Academic Press, New York, pp 189– 225

Appendix 1. Molecular evolution and base composition of 363 nuclear genes compared between mouse and rat

Gene	Identi	ity (%)	Nonsynon	ymous	Synonyma	us	GCS	∆GC <sub>S</sub>	Accession number
	DNA	prot.	K <sub>A</sub> x 100	LA	K <sub>S</sub> x 100	Lg	(%)	(\$)	mouse rat
7B2 neuroendocrine protein	95.7	. 97.1	1.2	493	17.1	137	53.3	-1.7	x15830 M63901
alpha-1 protease inhibitor (A1PI)	83.0	73.5	15.9	488	31.9	145	62.0	-2.9	X00945 D00675
nicotinic acetylcholine receptor epsilon (nACHRE)	94.8	96.1	1.8	1128	18.3	348	60.4	0.5	J04698 X13252
nicotinic acetylcholine receptor gamma (nACHRG)	92.9	97.1	1.3	236	30.3	76	77.7	3.5	X03818 X06364
lysosomal acid phosphatase	95.7	96.4	1.7	975	14.1	288	60.3	-0.3	X57199 M27893
alpha-actin (vascular smooth muscle)	94.7	99.5	0.2	886	28.1	246	64.7	-0.4	X07935 X06801
alpha-actin (cardiac)	95.4	100.0	0.0	221	25.8	58	63.2	-1.1	M15501 X00306
alpha-actin (skeletal muscle)	97.3	100.0	σ.ο	882	13.6	249	76.8	0.3	M12347 J00692
beta-actin (cytoplasmic)	96.6	99.7	0.1	875	16.2	251	72.8	-0.7	X03672 J00691
gamma-actin (smooth muscle)	95.1	100.0	0.0	882	25.7	246	63.2	-4.6	M26689 M22323
alpha-c-adaptin (subunit of clathrin AP-2 complex)	95.7	99.6	0.2	2167	19.5	647	63.5	2.7	X14972 X53773
alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH-1; liver)	90.2	89.9	5.0	865	31.9	260	57.0	-0.9	M11307 M15327
alpha-fetoprotein (AFP)	87.0	82.0	9.2	1439	36.3	376	53.4	-2.0	M16381 J00695
AGP/EBP transcription factor (LAP, IL6DBP, SFB)	98.7	99.3	0.3	668	4.7	220	95.4	0.3	M61007 X54626
alpha-lactalbumin	87.6	86.6	6.8	346	47.8	80	57.7	-6.5	M80909 X00461
delta-aminolevulinate dehydratase (ALAD)	95.5	97.3	1.3	749	16.0	241	62.5	0.4	X13752 M14479
serum albumin (ALB)	89,6	90.0	4.9	980	37.7	274	52.8	-5.8	M16111 J00698
aldolase A	96.5	99.2	0.4	833	14.6	259	65.3	2.1	Y00516 X04261
aldolase C	94.6	97.8	1.0	523	24.0	155	62.3	0.4	X03796 X06984
murinoglobulin (alpha(1)-inhibitor III)	87.7	81.0	10.1	3391	26.4	959	51.0	-0.5	M65238 X52984
alpha-2-amylase (pancreatic)	94.0	93.4	3.3	1200	18.9	309	36.1	0.5	J00360 J00703
amyloid beta protein	94.7	99.0	0.4	1635	26.5	450	65.3	3.1	M18373 X07648
androgen receptor (Tfm locus)	96.3	97.6	1.1	2085	13.8	612	58.6	0.0	M37890 M20133
atrial natriuretic factor (ANF, ANP)	93.2	93.4	3.5	347	19.9	109	65.6	-2.9	K02781 K02062
angiotensinogen	90.0	86.8	6.4	1080	26.1	351	73.9	-1.4	J03046 L00091
apolipoprotein A-II	82.2	69.6	15.6	239	41.7	67	60.0	4.9	X04119 X03468

Gene	Identi	ty (%)	Nonsyno	nymous	Synonymc	us	GCS	$\Delta \text{GC}_{S}$	Accession number
	DNA	prot.	K <sub>A</sub> x 10	0 LA	K <sub>S</sub> x 100	LS	(\$)	(%)	mouse rat
anglingspotein b-TV	88.3	81.5	9.4	917	26.4	253	77.8	1.6	M13966 J02588
apolipoprotein E	93.9	92.6	3.7	713	15.9	220	75.4	-0.5	M12414 J02582
a-raf oncogene	98.1	99.1	0.4	1007	7.3	304	65.7 66.6	1.0	M13071 X06942 M31690 M36708
B23 nucleolar protein (N038 protein)	95.8	99.3	0.3	701	22.7	175	32.8	0.6	M33212 M25062
beta-2 adrenergic receptor	93.5	94.3	2.9	981	22.0	273	67.5	-0.2	X15643 X17607
beta-2 microglobulin band 3 anion exchange protein	82.8	94.5	9.4	1909	21.6	635	70.4	-0.2	X01838 100441 X02677 J04793
band 3-related protein (B3RP2)	94.8	98.2	0.8	2794	21.0	905	67.1	-0.7	J04036 J05166
band 3-related protein (AE3, B3RP3)	94.9	98.3	0.8	2772	20.5	909	69.3	0.5	M28383 J05167
Blast-1/BCM1/MRC OX-45 antigen	94.4 82.8	67.1	19.0	563	23.0	157	48.6	-1.9	x17501 X13016
alkaline phosphatase (BLKP-ALP)	93.0	97.1	1.3	1210	30.4	362	70.5	-4.8	J02980 J03572
bone Gla protein (osteocalcin) calcium channel CaCh2a	85.1	76.8	14.4	216 698	25.8 16.3	69 184	69.2 72.5	-1.9	X04142 X04141 M57973 M67516
calcium channel CaCh3b	95.1	100.0	0.0	675	28.1	168	68.1	-1.4	M57975 M57682
carbonic anhydrase III	92.2	93.8	2.8	606	30.5	174	61.8	-0.5	M27796 M22413
calcineurin A-aipna (cataiytic subunit) calmodulin I (brain)	96.4	100.0	0.0	360	31.3	87	47.3	-5.3	M27844 M19312
calreticulin (CRP55, calregulin, HACBP)	96.5	98.3	0.7	1010	17.1	238	60.2	-1.7	x14926 x53363
calspermin / calmodulin-dependent protein kinase IV	91.8	91.4 98.2	4.1	1091	27.7	304 152	56.0 47.0	-4.2	X58995 M64757 M60320 M26686
carboxypeptidase H (carboxypeptidase E)	95.2	97.5	1.3	1114	19.1	314	63.3	0.2	X61232 J04625
beta-casein	89.3	79.7	10.4	521	15.8	160	51.9	-0.9	X04490 M11175
Kappa-casein	87.7	95.3	2.4	1244	22.8	337	44.0 58.2	-1.1	X52108 M11670
cathepsin B	92.3	92.6	3.5	798	27.6	219	55.1	0.8	M14221 M11305
cathepsin D	92.5	91.6	4.4	943	21.6	278	68.4 54 1	-1.5	X53337 X54467
Catnepsin L NF-YA protein (CBF-B: CCAAT binding factor, subunit B)	95.4	99.7	0.1	788	17.2	232	53.4	-0.4	M86215 M34238
cholecystokinin (CCK)	95.2	98.4	0.7	140	21.0	43	72.4	-1.5	M11739 M10352
CD2 antigen (T11, OX-34)	87.2	79.2	11.0	794	26.0	232	54.5	0.4	M19799 X05111
CD4 antigen (LST4, W3/25) CD43 antigen (leukosialin: sialophorin: LV48)	83.4	73.2	14.1	825	27.5	304	52.4	-0.6	X17018 Y00090
CD8 alpha antigen (Lyt-2; MRC OX-8)	79.8	69.0	20.4	526	35.6	170	65.2	-0.4	M12052 X03015
CD8 beta antigen (Lyt-3)	86.1	77.3	11.9	478	29.4	143	63.5	4.0	M16799 X04310
CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP) c-erbA alpha-2 oncogene	95.8 97.8	98.3	0.8	1058	10.0	269 298	71.2	-1.7	X07751 M31174
c-fos oncogene	95.6	96.8	1.4	863	15.0	277	67.6	-1.4	J00370 X06769
chromogranin A	92.1	90.3	4.5	1068	23.4	297	65.7	1.2	M64278 X06832
c-Ki-ras oncogene	94.7	97.9	0.0	231	9.4	57	38.9	5.1	X02452 M12259
creatine kinase M (muscle)	96.4	99.5	0.2	892	17.2	251	81.1	1.6	X03233 M10140
c-mos oncogene	93.2	92.3	3.6	767	18.9	247	69.3 72.4	-3.8	J00372 X00422
complement component C3	91.4	90.2	4.8	3875	26.2	1111	66.8	0.2	K02782 X52477
connexin-32 (beta-1 gap junction protein)	95.8	98.5	0.8	634	16.5	191	72.8	0.2	M63802 X04070
connexin-43	95.2	99.7	0.1	899	24.9	247	68.0 67.7	-1.0	M61896 M19317 x54691 x54081
cytochrome c oxidase subunit Va (COX4)	97.0	99.3	0.3	334	12.7	102	46.9	-0.3	x15963 x15030
cellular retinol binding protein I (CRBPI)	94.1	99.3	0.3	328	31.8	77	68.6	2.0	X60367 M19257
C-reactive protein (CRP) alpha-A-crystallin	85.1	100.0	14.1	524 278	22.9	145	53.5	-3.0	J00376 J00715
beta-A3/A1-crystallin	95.5	97.7	1.0	433	22.4	98	51.3	3.2	J00378 X15143
gamma-A-crystallin (mouse gamma 4; rat gamma 1-1)	96.0	97.7	1.0	417	17.8	105	76.6	2.7	K02587 M19359
gamma-B-crystallin (mouse gamma 5; rat gamma 1-2) gamma-D-crystallin (mouse gamma 1: rat gamma 2-2)	94.9	96.0	1.5	418	29.3	104	78.2	0.6	K02583 M19361
gamma-F-crystallin (mouse gamma 2; rat gamma 4-1)	97.0	97.7	1.0	421	12.7	101	80.0	3.0	K02584
Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD)	95.1	96.8 97 6	1.7	358	18.1	104	47.0	1.0	X06683 Y00404 X52803 M19533
cytochrome P450 IIA3-2 (15-alpha hydroxylase-2)	95.0	95.7	1.9	1152	17.5	330	68.7	-1.6	M26204 J02852
cytochrome P450 17-alpha hydroxylase/C17-20 lyase	88.5	83.2	8.7	1186	28.2	335	61.7	-4.1	M64863 M31681
cystatin C aspartate aminotransferase (cytosolic)	92.5	87.4 95.2	2.4	296	24.9	283	63.2	-1.9	J02623 D00252
cytochrome c (somatic)	97.5	100.0	0.0	251	13.9	64	48.6	5.6	X01756 K00750
cytochrome c (testis-specific)	93.1	96.2	1.6	253	34.6	62	41.0	0.0	M20625 M20624
cytochrome P450IIB (pf3/46)	90.2	88.8	5.1	1141	32.2	332	60.6	0.8	M21856 M11251
cytochrome P-1-450 (P-450c)	92.8	93.1	3.6	1217	22.6	355	64.1	2.1	M10021 K02246
cytochrome P-3-450 (P-450d)	93.5	93.2	3.3	1198	20.6	341	72.3	2.6	M10022 K02422 X62595 M20131
dopamine receptor, D2A subtype	97.1	100.0	0.0	1042	14.5	290	71.4	0.4	X55674 M36831
elongation factor 1 alpha (EF-1 alpha)	94.8	98.5	0.8	1073	23.4	310	51.6	-1.0	X13661 X63561
elongation factor 2 (EF-2) epidermal growth factor (FCF)	93.7	98.9 70 /	0.5	620 2614	31.8 29 3	184	70.3 52 9	-1.9	M/6131 Y0/504
elastase II	88.4	84.5	8.9	616	25.9	197	72.0	-1.2	X04573 L00118
enkephalin A	93.5	97.5	1.0	578	34.2	142	64.3	1.1	M13227 K02805
alpha-enolase (non-neuronal) beta-enolase (muscle-specific)	93.3	96.1	1.9	1005	23.1	301	63.5	5.4	X61600 Y00979
gamma-enclase (neural-specific)	95.2	98.4	0.7	1007	20.9	295	68.2	-2.2	X52380 X07729
entactin	93.7	93.4	3.2	739	19.8	215	51.4	1.3	X14194 M15797
intestinal fatty acid binding protein (I-FABP)	91.5	92.5	3.9	315	30.6	82	49.1	1.8	M65034 K01180
clotting factor IX (Christmas factor)	94.1	92.6	3.3	670	18.1	176	34.0	-1.2	M26236 M26247
fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase (FAH)	94.9	97.4	1.1	975	21.5	282	58.1	-1.4	M84145 M77694
IgE high affinity receptor (Fc-epsilon-RI), alpha subunit	83.7	70.5	16.7	581	24.4	151	49.2	0.8	J05018 M17153
IgE high affinity receptor (Fc-epsilon-RI), beta subunit	89.1	83.8	8.6	548	24.2	157	45.5	-6.6	J05019 M22923
ferritin heavy subunit	96.4	97.3 94 5	1.4	433 422	13.3	±13 127	62.1 70 5	0.0	JUJ941 M18051 J04716 J02741
acidic fibroblast growth factor (FGFA, HBGF1)	95.3	100.0	õ.o	364	23.5	102	66.3	2.0	M30641 X14232
basic fibroblast growth factor (FGFB)	96.1	98.1	0.9	353	14.8	110	64.6	-3.8	M30644 X07285
pnenyialanine nydroxylase fibronectin	92.9	97.8	0.8	248	40.6	500	50.0	-3.7	AD1942 M12337 M18194 T.00191
flk (ferT) tyrosine kinase	93.4	97.2	1.2	768	32.4	201	52.3	0.9	M32054 X13412
furin Kar2 homelogue /furin-like protein)	94.1	96.7	1.5	1821	23.3	558	70.0	1.7	X54056 X55660
prohormone cleavage enzyme (furin-like protein)	95.2 94.4	99.2 95.1	2.2	1775	20.6	418 481	41.0	-1.1	M55009 M83746 M69196 M83745
GABA-alpha receptor, delta subunit	95.3	99.6	0.2	1036	22.2	311	69.7	-0.4	M60596 M35162
GABA-alpha receptor, gamma-3 subunit GAP-43 (P-57 neura)-specific protein	96.6	98.9	0.5	1099	15.6	302	52.8	-0.2	X59300 M81142
glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)	95.0	97.0	1.4	775	19.9	224	70.0	2.6	M32599 X02231
gas3 (growth arrest specific) / SR13 myelin protein	95.0	97.5	1.1	367	19.9	113	73.4	-0.3	M32240 M69139

Gene	Identi	ty (%)	Nonsynon	ymous	Synonymo	us	GCS	∆GC <sub>S</sub>	Accession number
	DNA	prot.	K <sub>A</sub> x 100	LA	K <sub>S</sub> x 100	LS	(\$)	(%)	mouse rat
quanylate hinding protein GRP-1 (mag-1)	84.7	80.1	11.1	1399	43.8	368	55.8	-0.8	M55544 M80367
guanylate cyclase/ANF receptor	95.4	97.0	1.4	2415	16.4	756	66.4	-1.9	J05504 J05677
glucocorticold receptor growth hormone (somatotropin; GH)	94.0 95.4	95.1	1.6	504	16.8	144	44.5	2.2	K03232 J00739
growth hormone receptor (high MW form; GHR)	91.8	89.3	5.4	1508	22.3	406	45.1	-4.4	M33324 J04811
growth hormone releasing factor (GHRF) glutamine synthetase	86.2 92.4	71.8 94.1	2.9	243 881	23.0 31.0	229	64.5	-2.5	M60803 X07921
beta-major globin	91.7	91.8	4.9	339	24.2	102	65.8	2.1	J00413 M17084
beta-minor globin alpha globin	89.4 88.3	88.4 84.5	7.5 8.7	337	26.4	104	68.9	4.6	J00410 M17083
glucokinase	97.8	98.2	0.8	395	8.1	103	74.6	-1.0	M58755 M58759
glutamate dehydrogenase (GLUD) glutathione peroxidase (GSHPX)	94.7	99.1 94.5	2.5	454	26.0 18.3	376 146	59.2 73.3	1.3	x03920 x07365
glucose transporter, facilitated (brain) (GT1)	95.7	99.0	0.4	1119	18.2	357	73.9	-1.7	M22998 M22061
glucose transporter, insulin-regulated (GT2, IRGT) glucose transporter, liver type	95.2 93.7	97.2 94.6	1.3	1137	16.5	364	63.0 54.5	-0.2	M23383 X14771 X15684 J03145
gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH)	93.0	90.0	4.4	212	17.8	58	58.3	3.0	M14872 M31670
glycoprotein hormones, alpha subunit (TSHA)	93.7	94.2	2.5	285	24.3	219	53.8 68.2	-2.8	J00643 J00757 M36777 M17526
G-alpha-i2 protein	95.7	99.2	0.5	847	21.4	218	75.0	1.1	M13963 M12672
G-alpha-s protein glutathione S-transferage Ya	97.8	99.5	0.2	865 528	10.4	227 138	76.0 57.0	-0.5	M13964 M12673 M19250 K00136
glutathione S-transferase Yb	94.1	93.1	3.1	524	20.0	130	70.9	-0.7	J03952 X04229
beta-glucuronidase (GUS)	89.9	87.7	6.0	1511	30.1	433	65.9 68 1	-0.1	M19279 M13962
heme oxygenase	93.2	93.4	3.2	672	22.6	195	68.3	0.7	x13356 J02722
herculin (muscle regulatory factor 4)	96.7	99.2	0.4	563	14.6	163	60.0	1.7	M30499 M27151
hexokinase I (Drain) heparin binding protein / Heymann nephritis antigen (gp330	93.3	96.2 95.5	2.9	743	20.5	196	76.2	1.2	D00622 M31051
H+,K+ ATPase beta subunit (gastric)	93.7	94.9	2.3	699	25.1	183	73.6	-3.2	M80251 M35535
hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 (HNF-1) hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT)	95.0	99.2 98.2	0.4	1424 519	14.5	136	40.8	-1.9	K01515 M63983
hsc70 (heatshock cognate protein)	96.2	99.8	0.0	1512	18.7	426	52.6	0.4	M19141 Y00054
HSD3B (delta-5-3-betahydroxysteroid dehydrogenase) type I HSD27 beatshock protein	91.2 92.4	87.9 97.1	6.3 1.8	872	21.0	24/ 155	57.8	-3.2	X14687 M86389
HSP60 heatshock protein (groEL homologue)	97.8	99.6	0.2	1291	9.6	375	34.1	0.6	X53584 X54793
HSP70.2 heatshock protein (hst70, testis-specific)	96.7	99.4	0.4	1479 210	14.6	420 69	78.1 54.1	-0.4	M20567 X15705 M25389 X52820
interferon gamma	88.7	83.9	7.0	378	39.1	87	60.8	0.8	K00083 X02325
immunoglobulin heavy chain C-delta	84.3	69.7	16.8	466	21.5	137	55.9	-5.6	J00450 J00741
immunoglobulin heavy chain C-epsilon immunoglobulin heavy chain C-gamma-1	87.4	81.4	10.2	753	27.4	216	64.0	3.0	J00453
immunoglobulin heavy chain C-gamma-2b	81.6	73.8	17.3	771	36.1	225	65.0	-7.2	J00461
insulin-like growth factor I, B form (IGF-IB)	94.0	94.7	2.3	305	20.8	94	61.8	0.2	X04482 Y00429
insulin-like growth factor II (IGF-II)	97.8	96.7	1.5	410	4.8	130	71.0	-2.1	M14951 M13969
immunoglobulin light chain C-kappa immunoglobulin light chain C-lambda-2	88.4 89.3	80.2 81.9	8.8	251	20.5	72	52.1	6.9	J00595 M22521
immunoglobulin light chain V-lambda-1	89.1	80.2	10.1	262	17.9	86	43.1	-2.1	J00590 M17092
interleukin 1 alpha interleukin 1 receptor antagonist	89.1 93.3	83.U 89.3	8.7	642 421	14.3	113	51.7	0.4	M74294 M63101
interleukin 2	89.5	81.9	8.7	368	22.4	97	54.4	1.7	X01665 M22899
interleukin 3 interleukin 4	78.0	59.0 60.0	27.3	383	24.4	86	55.1	-1.3	X05253 X16058
interleukin 6	91.7	85.3	6.8	499	17.1	134	44.1	-1.9	M20572 M26745
interleukin 6 receptor	92.2	89.3	5.1	1047	19.8 29.1	324 78	65.4 74.8	4.4	X53802 M58587 X04725 J00747
insulin II	94.0	94.5	2.4	251	20.1	79	75.4	3.0	X04724 J00748
inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate receptor (InsP3R)	95.5 94 7	99.5 98.7	0.2	6482 3206	22.5 25.3	1763 907	62.4 62.9	1.0	X15373 J05510 J05149 M29014
interferon regulatory factor 1 (IRF-1)	91.5	93.6	3.2	785	36.3	199	62.3	-2.4	M21065 M34253
JE gene (PDGF-inducible cytokine)	90.8	81.8	9.1	342 637	13.0 8.1	102 194	52.7 56.6	1.0	M19681 X17053 M15442 X02809
jun-B oncogene	97.7	99.4	0.3	768	8.8	264	74.5	0.4	J03236 X54686
c-jun oncogene (AP-1 transcription factor)	96.5	99.4 71 0	0.3	765	15.1	237 274	79.6	1.6	J04115 X17163 X61597 M67496
KC gene (PDGF-inducible)	92.4	88.5	5.3	218	17.5	70	70.8	-3.2	J04596 M86536
lysosomal membrane glycoprotein lgpA/LAMP1/lgp120	89.1	84.2	8.4	935	24.4	283	63.0 43.7	-4.5	M32015 M34959 J05287 D90211
lysosomal membrane glycoprotein lgpb/LAMP2/lgp96 lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT)	91.8	91.8	4.0	1011	26.3	303	64.5	5.1	J05154 X54096
lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA)	93.1	96.4	1.6	777	30.9	219	63.2	3.5	X02520 X01964
14 kDa lectin (beta-galactoside binding) homeoprotein LEB3 (variant hepatic nuclear factor 1)	94.6 96.7	95.6 97.8	1.9	1297	11.6	374	73.1	1.1	X55842 X56546
luteinizing hormone receptor (LHR)	93.1	94.1	2.7	1618	24.6	482	57.1	-2.6	M81310 M68928
leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF) linecortin I	90.6	91.1 93.9	4.7	468 816	20.1	222	46.2	-1.4	X07486 Y00446
lysyl oxidase (rrg gene)	93.7	95.4	2.0	949	23.7	284	63.8	2.8	M65142 J02903
muscarinic acetylcholine receptor MI myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG, 1B236; shorter form)	95.7	98.5	0.7	1330	18.3	416	70.5	-1.5	M74793 M22357
malic enzyme (cytosolic, mod-1 locus)	93.3	96.5	1.7	1336	28.5	380	50.7	1.9	J02652 M26594
MAP2 microtubule-associated protein metrix Gla protein	93.8	92.4 88.3	3.5	4253	18.4	67	69.6	1.8	D00613 J03026
myelin basic protein (14 kDa; MBP)	96.9	99.2	0.3	287	13.1 '	91	59.0	0.0	L00398 K00512 M14757 M81855
MDR1 multidrug resistance protein metallothionein I	91.9	92.9 95.1	2.1	2987	11.5	37	88.3	0.0	J00605 J00750
metallothionein II	95.7	96.7	1.4	148	19.0	35	75.8	-1.7	K02236 M11794
alpha-cardiac myosin heavy chain MHC-Ia invariant gamma chain (Ii)	95.2	98.8 86.0	7.2	4578	23.4	145	71.7	-2.5	X05428 X13044
potassium channel (MBK1, RCK1; shaker homologue)	98.0	99.4	0.3	1169	9.0	316	71.2	0.6	Y00305 X12589 M30440 T04731
potassium channel (MK2, BK2) potassium channel (MK3, KV3)	97.9 95.6	99.2	0.0	1211	20.1	364	74.3	-1.2	M30441 M31744
myosin light chain (MLC, alkaline, fast skeletal muscle)	96.5	99.3	0.3	357	18.2	93	63.6	-3.0	K02238 K02424
Mn superoxide dismutase (SOD) aspartate aminotransferase (mitochondrial)	94.2 95.2	94.1 98.4	2.8	520 998	21.1	292	66.6	-0.5	J02622 M18467
malate dehydrogenase (mitochondrial MDH)	94.4	98.2	0.8	770	23.9	244	63.3	2.2	M16229 X04240
mitochondrial uncoupling protein (UCP) Mx1 protein (resistance to influenza)	93.0 86.9	96.7 79.4	11.1	1484	28.3	391	58.8	-2.1	M21117 X52711
P0 peripheral myelin protein (Schwann cell)	96.5	97.6	1.1	569	12.3	175	68.3 72 f	-2.0	M62860 K03242 M11154 X04267
myosın heavy chain (embryonic skeletal muscle) myogenin	94.9 96.0	98.1	0.6	515	17.1	155	72.9	1.5	D90156 M24393
Na+, K+ ATPase beta subunit (brain)	94.3	96.4	1.6	726	25.0	186 179	67.0	0.4	X16646 M14137 X16645 J04629
<pre>Na+,K+ Arrase peta-2 / gilal cell adhesion molecule (AMOS) neural cell adhesion molecule NCAM-140</pre>	95.0	98.1	0.8	1984	22.7	557	65.8	1.2	X06328 X06564

Gene	Identi	ty (%)	Nonsynoi	ymous	Synonym	ous	GCS	∆GC S	Accession number
	DNA	prot.	KA x 100	LA	K <sub>S</sub> x 100	LS	(%)	(%)	mouse rat
neural cell adhesion molecule 14	96.0	97.3	1.2	2943	15.4	822	61.4	1.8	x12875 x59149
NFI-B (NF-1 like DNA-binding protein; clone B4)	97.5	99.4	0.4	1151	9.7	349	52.0	-1.3	D90175 X13167 M21497 M21964
neurofilament light chain (NF-H) neurofilament light chain (NF-L; 68 kDa)	96.2	97.2	1.4	670	14.3	173	75.3	2.0	M13016 M25638
neurofilament middle chain (NF-M)	95.2 95.3	97.0 95.9	1.3	2008 567	20.7 16.4	527 169	70.7 60.3	2.3 0.8	X05640 M18628 M35075 M36589
NGF-IA transcription factor (zif/268, Egr-1, krox-24)	96.2	98.3	0.7	1218	15.0	381	64.1	1.7	M22326 M18416
N-myc oncogene nucleolín	94.1 95.3	96.8 94.3	2.6	1667	14.2	448	33.2	0.7	X07699 M55022
06-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase	93.8	92.3	3.7	475	16.4 25.4	152 294	60.0 49.1	1.5	M84524 M76704 M10624 M16982
oestrogen receptor	94.5	97.8	1.0	1403	25.0	394	66.9	2.7	M38651 Y00102
osteoplast specific factor (OSF-1) osteopontin (minopontin)	95.9 89.5	100.0 84.6	0.0 8.1	401 697	23.1 25.1	182	59.8	2.3 -4.5	X13986 M14656
ornithine transcarbamylase (OTC; sparse fur locus)	96.2	97.2	1.2	826	13.8	236	43.8	-2.9	X07092 K03040
basigin / MRC OX4/ antigen p53 oncogene	90.7	89.1	5.2	891	28.1	267	59.8	2.2	K01700 X13058
plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1)	92.6	89.1 83 7	4.9	932 221	18.7	275 73	69.9 61.1	0.3 8.6	M33960 J05206 M18208 M18207
porphobilinogen deaminase (PBG-D)	95.6	96.2	1.9	789	13.9	243	59.0	0.2	M28666 X06827
proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) alpha-PDGF receptor	95.3 92.1	97.3 95.0	2.4	2562	33.8	702	62.0	-2.8	M57683 M63837
protein disulphide isomerase (PDI)	93.3	96.5	1.7	1200	29.8	324	63.2	-0.4	X06453 X02918 X17320 M24852
perforin (cytolysin)	89.2	84.7	8.3	1279	24.2	383	64.7	1.0	X12760 M33605
peripherin (intermediate filament) liver phosphofructokinase (PFK-L)	95.7 94.2	98.8 99.1	0.6	938 1793	18.8 27.9	280 547	78.2 73.2	-1.3 -4.9	X15475 M26232 J03928 X58865
proteoglycan core protein	85.7	83.4	9.5	352	43.9	101	48.9	4.8	M33499 J03224
phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK1) phosphorylase kinase, gamma subunit	96.5 94.1	99.3 98.5	0.3	913	29.4	251	70.6	-0.6	M16216 X07320
phospholipase C-alpha (PIPLC)	95.5	96.6	1.5	1187	17.6	316	47.7	-1.4	M73329 X12355
protein kinase C alpha	94.7	98.7	0.7	1600	27.0	416	64.4	-1.7	M25811 X07286
cAMP-dependent protein kinase, C-alpha subunit	96.7 95.1	99.1 99.0	0.5	837 888	15.6 22.8	216 255	72.4 54.5	0.6	M19960 X57986 M20473 M17086
cAMP-dependent protein kinase, R-II-alpha subunit	92.5	94.6	2.5	878	31.5	232	52.5	1.8	J02935 J02934
protein kinase C beta-II protein kinase C delta	96.6 94.1	99.9 94.9	2.5	1604	21.5	410	72.6	-2.0	X60304 M18330
protein kinase C epsilon	95.6	99.1	0.4	1737	21.1	474	65.9	-1.9	M18331 M35662 M55269
placental lactogen I placental lactogen II	86.5	77.8	11.5	513	28.1	150	45.1	0.7	M14647 M13749
plasma kallikrein pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC)	91.9 93.6	90.3 93.6	4.7 3.3	1511 547	24.8 19.2	403 158	46.6 82.1	0.3	M58588 M62357 J00611 K01878
prolactin receptor (PRLR)	93.3	93.9	2.8	666	25.2	174	43.1	-4.8	M22958 M19304
prolactin (PRL) prion protein (PrP)	90.2	84.5 97.3	1.1	531	22.7	147	53.5 67.9	4.6	M13685 M20313
parathyroid hormone related peptide (PTHRP)	95.5	96.6	1.7	398	14.9	127	70.9	-2.8	M60057 M34112 M38314 X14221
pyrimidine binding protein 1 (PYBP1)	94.9	99.0	0.5	1209	22.7	366	72.7	0.5	x52101 x60789
retinol binding protein (RBP4) retinal degeneration slow (rds locus, peripherin)	97.8 94.5	98.3 97.1	0.7	419 812	7.8 23.8	118 226	70.4 81.3	1.1 -1.5	M74527 K03046 X14770 X52376
renin I	87.6	84.1	8.5	927	34.0	279	67.9	-1.8	X00810 J02941
insulinoma rig gene pancreatic ribonuclease	92.2 85.1	77.2	12.2	353	36.4	94	72.9	-6.1	M27814 J00771
ribosomal protein L19	94.9	99.5	0.2	460	24.6	128	70.1 59.8	4.5	M62952 J02650 X05021 X52733
ribosomal protein L3 (J1 protein)	94.3	99.5	0.2	946	29.9	263	62.4	1.0	Y00225 X62166
ribosomal protein L30 ribosomal protein L32	96.8 96.6	100.0	0.0	2/4 317	17.2	88	48.0	2.4	K02928 K02932 K02060 X06483
ribosomal protein L7	93.5	98.0	0.9	591	31.0	165	57.8	-0.3	M29015 M17422 M14689 X15013
acidic ribosomal phosphoprotein PO	92.1	97.8	1.3	725	35.9	223	68.9	-2.1	x15267 x15096
ribosomal protein S12 ribosomal protein S16	96.2	100.0 98.6	0.0	305 329	18.0 22.6	86 106	59.7 62.6	-2.6 0.6	X15962 M18547 M11408 X17665
ribosomal protein S24	95.2	99.2	0.3	306	21.7	84	49.6	0.7	X60289 X51538
ribosomal protein S4 (RPS4X) ribosomal protein S6	95.2	99.6 100.0	0.3	613 578	23.6 30.7	173	49.0	7.4	Y00348 J03538
RT6.1 (RT6-a) alloantigen	80.2	71.3	18.1	654	45.4	171	45.1	-3.5	X52991 M31138
calpactin I light chain (p11, S100-like clone 42C)	95.5	94.7	2.2	230	15.8	55	57.1	4.1	M16465 J03627
S100-like calcium binding protein (clones pEL98, 42A/eX15) S-antigen (retinal/pineal)	97.4	96.0 97.0	1.7	243 936	7.0 21.9	60 273	69.6 63.9	-2.3 3.0	D00208 J03628 M24086 X15353
serum amyloid P (SAP)	87.6	79.9	11.0	527	21.6	145	46.9	-1.9	M29535
SCIP transcription factor (Tst-1) sterol carrier protein 2 (SCP-2)	98.4 94.0	99.6 96.3	0.2	998 495	23.9	349 147	84.8 57.1	1.3	M62361 M57453
J6 serpin homologue / collagen binding protein (gp46)	94.5	97.6	1.2	951 1070	21.7	291	79.4	2.1	J05609 M69246 M63685 M21410
potassium channel, delayed-rectifier (drk1; shab-like)	94.3	97.3	1.3	1988	24.4	571	80.0	0.5	M64228 X16476
potassium channel, (NGK2, Kv4; shaw-like) snRNP-associated Sm-B protein (Sm11)	96.7 95.5	100.0 98.1	0.0	1161 473	16.0 15.5	343 169	78.3 46.5	0.8	Y07521 M68880 M58761 M29295
snRNP-associated Sm-N protein (Sm51)	97.6	100.0	0.0	535	9.8	185	33.5	2.6	X62648 M29293
somatostatin spot-1 salivary protein	98.0 69.6	56.0	37.2	264 207	8.8 51.3	84 66	35.2	-0.8	M33974 M33976
calbindin D28 (spot 35 protein)	94.6	99.4	0.3	386	31.6 27 A	94 238	51.5 74.7	-5.8	M23663 M31178
mast cell growth factor (SCF, steel locus, c-kit ligand)	95.5	95.0	2.6	479	13.1	124	37.6	1.9	M38436 M59966
substance K receptor (SKR) substance P receptor (SPR)	93.3 95.5	95.3 98.8	2.1	889 952	25.1 20.5	263 269	73.7 73.5	-1.1 -6.4	X62933 M31838 X62934 M64236
seminal vesicle secretory protein IV (SVS S)	74.8	69.0	21.2	234	78.0	66	46.7	-10.2	M35732 M16069
syndecan CD45 antigen (T200, L-CA, Ly-5) longest form	86.2	90.6 79.3	4.7	6⊿5 2954	22.5	805	43.5	-1.4	M23126 Y00065
t complex polypeptide 1b	94.5	97.7 78 7	1.1	1228	23.4	359	41.3	0.1	M12899 D90345
T-cell receptor C-beta	90.9	88.7	6.5	390	21.8	114	64.9	0.9	K01080 M18854
T-cell receptor V-beta (VAK, V-beta-11) transferrin receptor	89.8 90.7	86.0 85.1	8.1 8.7	265 385	21.7 15.9	77 98	49.4 36.0	0.4	NOUO46 M21817 M29618 M58040
transforming growth factor (TGF) beta-1	96.3	98.7	0.7	899	14.9	271	73.4	-0.1	M13177 X52498
thy-1 antigen	88.9	83.2	10.0	367	19.3	116	74.3	6.1	M10246 X02002
tnymidine kinase (TK) thymosin alpha	92.6 97.0	95.0 98.2	3.1 0.8	285 271	27.7 14.2	78 62	72.9 53.5	-1.8 4.4	M11945 M22642 X56135 M20035
thymosin beta-4 TIS11 gene (tristetrangeline, begins Mothenieu)	96.3	97.7	0.9	108	20.6	24	45.5	-4.5	X16053 K01334
your (errocectaprorrate; begins methopped)	24.1	20.9	1./	,	1/.4	200	0.00	0.5	*120202 ¥03203

Gene         Identity (%)         Nonsynonymous         Synonymous         GCg         AGCg         Accession numt           TIS11 gene (rat clone cMG1; begins MetThrThr)         97.7         99.7         0.4         770         8.6         244         77.0         -0.6         M58566 X52590           TIS11 gene (rat clone cMG1; begins MetThrThr)         97.7         99.7         0.4         770         8.6         244         77.0         -0.6         M58566 X52590           TIS21 gene / PC3 (NGF-inducible protein)         94.3         97.5         1.1         370         25.3         104         78.2         -5.8         M64292 M60921           TIS7 gene / PC4 (interferon-related protein)         96.1         97.1         1.3         1050         13.9         297         43.3         -3.7         X17400         J04511           tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha         93.6         94.5         2.6         542         21.8         163         72.6         -3.2         Y0467 <d04511< td="">           transition protein 1 (TP1)         89.4         83.9         8.5         1043         22.0         316         66.4         1.2         M59377         M63122           transition protein 1 (TP1)         96.4         98.2         1.6</d04511<>	
$ \hline \hline$	ene
TIS11 gene (rat clone cMG1; begins MetThrThr)       97.7       99.7       0.4       770       8.6       244       77.0       -0.6       MS8566 X52500         TIS21 gene / PC3 (NGF-inducible protein)       94.3       97.5       1.1       370       25.3       104       78.2       -5.8       M64292 M60921         TIS7 gene / PC4 (interferon-related protein)       96.1       97.1       1.3       1050       13.9       297       43.3       -3.7       X17400 J04511         tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha       93.6       94.5       2.6       542       21.8       163       72.6       -3.2       Y0467 D04511         Thrsreceptor (Goodwin TNFR2; Lewis TNFR1)       89.4       83.9       8.5       1043       22.0       316       66.4       1.2       M59377 M63122         transition protein 1 (TP1)       96.4       98.2       1.6       128       8.6       37       58.5       0.0       X12521 M17096         tissue plasminogen activator (tPA)       91.0       91.9       3.9       1312       33.6       365       61.3       -2.0       J03502 M23697         transition protein 1 (TP1)       91.0       91.9       9.9       1118       24.7       307       66.5       65.2       61.3<	
TIS21 gene / PC3 (NGF-inducible protein)       94.3       97.5       1.1       370       25.3       104       78.2       -5.8       M64292 M6921         TIS7 gene / PC3 (Interferon-related protein)       96.1       97.5       1.1       370       25.3       104       78.2       -5.8       M64292 M6921         TIS7 gene / PC3 (Interferon-related protein)       96.1       97.5       1.3       1050       13.9       297       43.3       -3.7       X17400 J04515         TIS7 gene / PC4 (interferon-related protein)       93.6       94.5       2.6       542       21.8       163       72.6       -3.2       Y0467 D00475         TNF receptor (Goodwin TNFR2; Lewis TNFR1)       89.4       83.9       8.5       1043       22.0       316       66.4       1.2       M59377 M63122         transition protein 1 (TP1)       66.4       98.2       1.6       128       8.6       37       58.5       0.0       X1251 M1706         tiasue plasminogen activator (tPA)       91.0       91.9       3.9       1312       33.6       365       61.3       -2.0       J03520 M23697         transit/retin (prealbumin)       91.0       93.2       4.0       332       29.8       109       61.3       -1.6       X0	IS11 gene (rat clone cMG1; begins MetThrThr)
TIS7 gene / PC4 (interferon-related protein)       96.1       97.1       1.3       1050       13.9       297       43.3       -3.7       X17400 J04511         tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha       93.6       94.5       2.6       54.2       21.8       163       72.6       -3.2       Y0467 D04511         TNF receptor (Goodwin TNFR2; Lewis TNFR1)       89.4       83.9       8.5       1043       22.0       316       66.4       1.2       M59377 M63122         transition protein 1 (TP1)       96.4       98.2       1.6       128       8.6       37       58.5       0.0       X12521 M1796         tissue plasminogen activator (tPA)       91.0       91.9       3.9       1312       33.6       365       61.3       -2.0       J03520 M23697         transition (pTR1)       91.7       89.9       4.9       1118       24.7       307       46.5       -5.5       X63162 X02601         transityretin (prealbumin)       91.0       93.2       4.0       33.2       2.8       109       61.3       -1.6       X0491 K03252	IS21 gene / PC3 (NGF-inducible protein)
tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha       93.6       94.5       2.6       542       21.8       163       72.6       -3.2       Y00467 D00475         TNF receptor (Goodwin TNFR2; Lewis TNFR1)       89.4       83.9       8.5       1043       22.0       316       66.4       1.2       M59377 M631726         transition protein 1 (TP1)       96.4       98.2       1.6       128       8.6       37       58.5       0.0       X12521 M1726         transition protein 2 (TP2)       89.0       84.2       8.4       265       25.9       77       67.0       6.8       J03494 X14776         transition protein 1 (TP1)       91.0       91.9       3.9       1312       33.6       365       61.3       -2.0       J03520 M23697         transition protein 1 (TP1)       91.0       93.9       4.9       1118       24.7       307       46.5       -0.5       X63162 X02697         transityretin (prealbumin)       91.0       93.2       4.0       332       29.8       109       61.3       -1.6       X04191 K03252	IS7 gene / PC4 (interferon-related protein)
TNF receptor (Goodwin TNFR2; Lewis TNFR1)         89.4         83.9         8.5         1043         22.0         316         66.4         1.2         M59377 M53122           transition protein 1 (TP1)         96.4         98.2         1.6         128         8.6         37         58.5         0.0         X1251         M17021           transition protein 2 (TP2)         89.0         84.2         8.4         265         25.9         77         67.0         6.8         J03494 <x14776< td="">           tissue plasminogen activator (tPA)         91.9         3.9         1312         33.6         365         61.3         -2.0         J03520         M23697           transit-1 (pTR1)         91.7         89.9         4.9         1118         24.7         307         46.5         -0.5         X63162         X02521           transthyretin (prealbumin)         91.0         93.2         4.0         332         29.8         109         61.3         -1.6         X04191         K03252</x14776<>	umor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha
transition protein 1 (TP1)       96.4       98.2       1.6       128       8.6       37       58.5       0.0       X12521 M17096         transition protein 2 (TP2)       89.0       84.2       8.4       265       25.9       77       67.0       6.8       J03494 X14776         tissue plasminogen activator (tPA)       91.0       91.9       3.9       1312       33.6       365       61.3       -2.0       J03520 M23697         transin-1 (pTR1)       91.7       89.9       4.9       1118       24.7       307       46.5       -0.5       x63162 X02601         transthyretin (prealbumin)       91.0       93.2       4.0       332       29.8       109       61.3       -1.6       X04191 K03252	NF receptor (Goodwin TNFR2; Lewis TNFR1)
transition protein 2 (TP2)       89.0       84.2       8.4       265       25.9       77       67.0       6.8       J03494 X14776         tissue plasminogen activator (tPA)       91.0       91.9       3.9       1312       33.6       365       61.3       -2.0       J03520 M23697         transin-1 (pTR1)       91.7       89.9       4.9       1118       24.7       307       46.5       -0.5       X63162 X02601         transthyretin (prealbumin)       91.0       93.2       4.0       332       29.8       109       61.3       -1.6       X04191 K03252	ransition protein 1 (TP1)
tissue plasminogen activator (tPA)         91.0         91.9         3.9         1312         33.6         365         61.3         -2.0         J03520         M23697           transin-1 (pTR1)         91.7         89.9         4.9         1118         24.7         307         46.5         -0.5         X63162         X02601           transthyretin (prealbumin)         91.0         93.2         4.0         332         29.8         109         61.3         -1.6         X0491         K03252	ransition protein 2 (TP2)
transin-1 (pTR1)         91.7         89.9         4.9         1118         24.7         307         46.5         -0.5         x63162         x02601           transthyretin (prealbumin)         91.0         93.2         4.0         332         29.8         109         61.3         -1.6         x04191         K03252	issue plasminogen activator (tPA)
transthyretin (prealbumin) 91.0 93.2 4.0 332 29.8 109 61.3 -1.6 X04191 K03252	ransin-1 (pTR1)
	ransthyretin (prealbumin)
trkB oncogene (tyrosine protein kinase; gp145 form) 94.2 98.5 0.6 1926 28.2 537 65.8 -0.2 X17647 M55291	rkB oncogene (tyrosine protein kinase; gp145 form)
alpha-tropomyosin TM2 isoform 2 (fibroblast) 97.3 99.6 0.1 680 13.8 172 61.5 -0.6 M22479 M16432	lpha-tropomyosin TM2 isoform 2 (fibroblast)
beta-tropomyosin (skeletal muscle) 97.4 100.0 0.0 682 13.9 170 69.4 1.5 X12650 L00372	eta-tropomyosin (skeletal muscle)
trypsin Ta (clone pMPt9) 91.4 87.8 6.0 568 20.2 170 62.7 -2.3 X04574 J00778	rypsin Ta (clone pMPt9)
thyrotropin beta subunit (TSHB) 91.6 89.1 4.9 324 25.5 90 54.4 -4.6 M20536 M13897	hyrotropin beta subunit (TSHB)
alpha-tubulin (mouse M-alpha-1) 97.1 100.0 0.0 1052 14.4 301 64.3 0.6 M13445 J00797	lpha-tubulin (mouse M-alpha-1)
UBF1 transcription factor 94.7 98.7 0.5 1843 29.2 449 69.1 3.3 X60831 M61726	BF1 transcription factor
UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UDPGT; 17-beta hydroxysteroid) 90.2 87.9 5.9 1262 30.6 328 40.1 -1.5 X06358 Y00156	DP-glucuronosyltransferase (UDPGT; 17-beta hydroxysteroid)
urate oxidase (UOX) 93.9 94.4 2.6 720 22.8 189 63.9 -2.7 M27695 M63593	rate oxidase (UOX)
vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM-1) 89.6 86.3 6.9 1722 27.7 495 48.2 -0.9 M84487 M84488	ascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM-1)
vitamin D binding protein (Gc globulin) 91.0 90.7 4.7 1110 30.3 306 51.0 -1.1 M55413 M60205	itamin D binding protein (Gc globulin)
whey acidic protein (WAP) 80.8 68.2 19.6 314 31.8 82 59.2 4.6 X01157 X01153	ney acidic protein (WAP)
tryptophan hydroxylase (Tph) 93.6 95.9 1.7 1046 27.4 286 56.7 -1.4 J04758 X53501	rvptophan hydroxylase (Tph)
mXBP transcription factor (CRE-BP2; RATF2; C/EBP-related) 96.7 98.4 0.7 719 12.7 220 40.1 -1.3 M31629 M65148	XBP transcription factor (CRE-BP2; RATF2; C/EBP-related)
Y-box binding protein 1 / enhancer factor I subunit A 99.0 99.7 0.1 744 4.1 222 51.5 -0.9 M60419 M57299	-box binding protein 1 / enhancer factor I subunit A
tyrosine hydroxylase 94.5 97.2 1.3 1137 21.9 357 66.9 -0.8 M69200 M10244	vrosine hvdroxvlase
cytoplasmic protein-tyrosine phosphatase (PTP-S) 95.1 96.7 1.7 863 19.7 226 39.9 -1.3 M81477 X58828	toplasmic protein-tyrosine phosphatase (PTP-S)
ypt-1 (rab-1) oncogene (ras-related) 95.6 99.0 0.4 486 21.8 129 48.3 -7.7 Y00094 J02998	ot-1 (rab-1) oncogene (ras-related)

Notes:  $K_S$  and  $K_A$  are the numbers of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions per site, respectively (Li et al. 1985).  $L_S$  and  $L_A$  are the numbers of synonymous and nonsynonymous sites, respectively.  $GC_S$  is the mean silent-site G + C content in the mouse and rat genes.  $\Delta GC_S$  is  $GC_S$  of the mouse gene minus that of the rat gene. In some cases the accession number listed is one of several from which the sequence used was constructed. A dash indicates that the sequence was taken directly from the literature.