© Springer-Verlag New York Inc. 1994

Molecular Characterization of the Rh-like Locus and Gene Transcripts from the Rhesus Monkey (*Macaca mulatta*)

Isabelle Mouro,¹ Caroline Le Van Kim,¹ Baya Cherif-Zahar,¹ Isabelle Salvignol,² Antoine Blancher,² Jean Pierre Cartron,¹ Yves Colin¹

¹Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Unité 76 Institut National de Transfusion Sanguine, Paris, France ²Centre de Transfusion Sanguine, Hopital Purpan, Toulouse, France

Received: 16 February 1993/Accepted: 5 April 1993

Abstract. The human Rh blood group locus consists of two structurally related genes (D and CcEe) in Rh-positive haplotypes but a single gene (CcEe) in Rh-negative haplotypes. The genome of rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta), while not expressing any of the human Rh D, C, c, E, or e specificities, carries a Rh-like locus strongly related to the human Rh locus. Southern blot analysis suggested the presence of only one *Rh-like* gene with an additional truncated fragment corresponding to the 5' region. RNA preparations from M. mulatta bone marrow cells contained Rh-like species of 1.7 kb. Two allelic Rh-like transcripts were amplified by PCR and sequenced. The predicted translation product of the first transcript was a 417-amino-acid protein closely similar to the human Rh counterpart. The predicted product of the second transcript consisted of a 361amino-acid polypeptide truncated in the NH₂ terminal region and differing from the former by a few substitutions. The macaque Rh-like protein sequences differed from those of human D and Cc/Ee polypeptides by 22-25%, whereas the degree of identity between the human proteins was 91.5%. Implications of these results in the analysis of the evolutionary pathway of the Rh locus are discussed.

Key words: Rhesus blood group system — Macaca mulatta — PCR amplification — Southern blot analysis — Rh-like cDNAs — Gene duplication

Introduction

Rhesus (Rh) together with ABO are the main blood group systems in man that have proven to be important in transfusion and clinical medicine. Grossly, individuals are subdivided into "Rhpositive" and "Rh-negative" according to the presence or absence of the D antigen on red cells. The Rh system, however, is much more complex, and as many of 47 antigens, including those of the Cc and Ee series, have been described (Issitt 1989). Recent studies have shown that the Rh antigens are carried by at least three analogous integral membrane proteins of 30-32 kDa that are not glycosylated or phosphorylated. (For review see Agre and Cartron 1991.) The primary structure of these proteins was deduced from the nucleotide sequence of their cloned mRNAs (Chérif-Zahar et al. 1990; Avent et al. 1990; Le Van Kim et al. 1992), showing that the D and non-D polypeptides exhibit 82% sequence homology (Le Van Kim et al. 1992). Whether the Rh antigens are the products of one gene (Wiener 1944) or several closely linked genes (Fisher 1944) was clarified only recently. Indeed, the Rh locus is composed of two structurally related genes (D and

Correspondence to: Y. Colin, INSERM U76, INTS, 6 rue Alexandre Cabanel, 75015 Paris, France

CcEe) in Rh-positive haplotypes but of a single gene (CcEe) in Rh-negative haplotypes (Colin et al. 1991). Accordingly, the Rh locus most likely evolved by duplication of an ancestral gene.

It is known that, among the nonhuman primates, apes express Rh-like antigens (Socha and Ruffié 1983) while Old World monkeys (baboons, macaques, etc.) do not. Human anti-c antibodies agglutinate gibbon, chimpanzee, orangutan, and gorilla red cells whereas human anti-D react only with chimpanzee, orangutan, and gorilla cells (Wiener et al. 1964; Shaw 1986; Socha and Ruffié 1990). Analogs of nonhuman Rh proteins have also been described recently in monkey, cow, cat, and rat as 32-kDa erythrocyte polypeptides (Saboori et al. 1989). All these studies established that other species than man and apes may carry Rh-like proteins that have not been yet characterized. In this paper, we report on the molecular genetic analysis of the Rh-like locus of rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta) which was historically associated with the discovery of the Rh system (Landsteiner and Wiener 1940).

Materials and Methods

Materials. Restriction enzymes, bacterial alkaline phosphatase, and pUC vectors were from Appligene (Strasbourg, France). T4 polynucleotide kinase, DNA polymerase I Klenow fragment, and radiolabeled nucleotides were from Amersham (Bucks, UK). Avian myeloblastosis virus (AMV) reverse transcriptase was obtained from Promega Biotec (Madison, WI) and *Thermus aquaticus* polymerase (*Taq* polymerase) was from Perkin-Elmer-Cetus (Norwalk, CT, USA). Random priming labeling kits were from Boehringer Mannheim (Germany) and pUC sequencing kits were from Pharmacia (Uppsala, Sweden).

DNA Probes. The complete human Rh cDNA (RhIXb clone) and PCR-amplified exon-specific fragments deduced from the RhCcEe gene structure analysis (exon1: transcribed sequence from nucleotide [nt.] 1 to nt. 223; exon5: nt. 711 to nt. 875; exon7: nt. 1013 to nt. 1146; exon10: nt. 1305 to nt. 1539; Cherif-Zahar et al. 1993) were prepared as described previously (Colin et al. 1991) and labeled by the random priming method.

Southern Blot Analysis. Human and M. mulatta (rhesus monkey) genomic DNA extracted from peripheral leukocytes (Sambrook et al. 1989) was digested by restriction enzymes (10 U/ μ g DNA), resolved by electrophoresis in 0.8% agarose gel, and transferred as described by Southern to a Zeta probe GT nylon membrane (Biorad, Richmond, VA, USA). Hybridization with the DNA probes (10⁶ cpm/ml) was performed for 24 h at 65°C in 7% SDS, 0.5 M NaHPO₄, 1 mM EDTA. Final washes were carried out at 65°C for 45 min in 5% SDS, 40 mM NaHPO₄, 1 mM EDTA and for 30 min in 1% SDS, 40 mM NaHPO₄, 1 mM EDTA.

Northern Blot Analysis. Total RNAs from M. mulatta bone marrow cells and from the human erythroleukemic cells K562 and HEL were prepared by the guanidium-isothiocyanate/ cesium chloride method (Sambrook et al. 1989), resolved by electrophoresis on 1% agarose, 1.1% formaldehyde, and transferred onto Zeta probe GT nylon membrane. Hybridization with the cDNA probe was performed under the conditions described for the Southern blots.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Amplification. The primers used for PCR amplification were synthesized on a Milligen Biosearch 8700 DNA synthesizer and purified on a 20% acrylamide/urea gel. The nucleotide sequence of these primers was deduced from the 5' and 3' noncoding region of the previously isolated human Rh cDNA clone (Chérif-Zahar et al. 1990) and was, respectively, ⁵'GCA CAG AGA CGG ACA CA³' and ⁵'TGC TGT CAT GAG CGT TTC TC^{3'}; 1.5 μ g of total RNA from M. mulatta was converted in the first cDNA strand as described previously (Colin et al. 1989). One-fifth of the cDNA products were enzymatically amplified between the 5' and 3' primers described above by the PCR method (Saiki et al. 1988) in the presence of Perfect Match Enhancer (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Thirty-five cycles of amplification were performed in a Perkin-Elmer Cetus thermal cycler under the following conditions: denaturation for 1 min at 94°C, primer annealing at 46°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 1 min 30 sec. Amplified cDNA products were purified on agarose gels and then subcloned in Puc 18 vectors.

DNA Sequencing. Inserts from recombinant Puc 18 vectors were sequenced on both strands by the dideoxy chain termination method (Sanger et al. 1977) with a Pharmacia T7 sequencing kit.

Results

Southern Blot Analysis of the "Rh-like" Locus from M. mulatta

As a first approach to determine whether the M. mulatta genome contains an Rh-like locus, a comparative Southern blot analysis of the human and monkey genomes was performed. DNAs from one RhD-positive (DCCee) and one RhD-negative (ddccee) donor and as well as from M. mulatta were digested by EcoRI, HindIII, and BglII and hybridized with the human Rh cDNA probe isolated previously (Chérif-Zahar et al. 1990). As shown in Fig. 1A, a strong cross-hybridization was observed between M. mulatta DNAs and the human probe, even under high-stringency conditions. Because the human Rh locus is composed of either two related genes (D and CcEe) or only one gene (CcEe) in RhD-positive and RhD-negative haplotypes, respectively, such a polymorphism was investigated by analyzing the hybridization pattern of the genome from 10 unrelated M. mulatta monkeys. While D-positive and D-negative hybridization patterns were clearly distinct (Fig. 1A), the presence or the absence of only one band resumes the polymorphism observed in M. mulatta DNA with the three restriction enzymes used (Fig. 1A). In order to determine whether this polymorphism might be





associated with the presence of one or two Rhrelated genes in different M. mulatta genomes, the same blots were rehybridized with exon-specific probes derived from the human CcEe gene structure. As expected, the exon1-specific probe detected two bands in the human D-positive DNA (D

and CcEe gene fragments), but only one (CcEe gene fragment) in the D-negative sample (Colin et al. 1991). Two hybridization bands were also detected in nine of the *M. mulatta* DNAs, but only one in the 10th sample (Fig. 1B). All other CcEe gene exonic probes (exons5, 7, and 10) revealed only one band



Fig. 2. Identification of *M. mulatta* Rh-like transcript by Northern blot analysis: $5 \mu g$ of total RNAs from *M. mulatta* bone marrow and human erythroleukemic cells (K562 and HEL) was resolved on a denaturing agarose gel, transferred to Zeta Probe GT membrane, and hybridized with the ³²P-labeled human Rh cDNA probe. The weak signal at 3.5 kb seen with the human erythroleukemic cells was not detected in *M. mulatta* RNAs preparation, even on longer exposure.

in all macaque DNA samples tested so far. Intensities of these nonpolymorphic bands were rather constant for a given probe. By contrast, these three exonic probes revealed clearly two bands in human D-positive control DNA and only one in human D-negative sample. All these results indicate that *M. mulatta* genome contains a single Rh-like gene while an additional Rh-related 5'-gene fragment was detected in nine out of 10 individuals.

Identification of Nucleotide Sequence of M. mulatta "Rh-like" Transcripts

Northern blot analysis performed under highstringency conditions with the human Rh cDNA probe revealed the presence of Rh-related transcript(s) in bone marrow cells of *M. mulatta* (Fig. 2). The mRNA species exhibited the same size as the major 1.7-kb human Rh transcripts identified in K562 and HEL cells (Cherif-Zahar et al. 1990). However, the minor mRNA species of 3.5 kb found in human cells and corresponding to the use of a second polyadenylation signal in the RhD gene (Le Van Kim et al. 1992) was not detected in monkey cells.

Taking into account the similarities between the human and monkey Rh transcripts, oligonucleotides deduced from the 5' and 3' untranslated sequences common to D and CcEe human cDNAs (see Materials and Methods) were used as primers in PCR amplifications of M. mulatta first-strand cDNAs. Two PCR experiments were carried out independently to eliminate possible mutations due to the Tag polymerase activity. A PCR product of 1.3 kb was obtained, which corresponded to the size of the human Rh cDNAs amplified in the same conditions. Sequence analysis of several recombinant clones revealed the presence of two types of M. mulatta Rh-like cDNAs called MAC-A and MAC-B. Transcripts MAC-A exhibit the same open reading frame of 1,251 bases as the human Rh cDNAs (Fig. 3). Transcripts MAC-B differ from the former by six nucleotide substitutions (positions 212, 320, 575, 747, 784, 1028) and by the deletion of one nucleotide in position 85 resulting in a premature stop codon at nucleotide 113. Since an AUG codon surrounded by consensus translation initiation sequences (Kozak 1986) is found in position 169, this mRNA might be translated in a truncated polypeptide which differs from the Rh-like protein described above by the absence of 56 NH₂-terminal amino acids and by five amino acid substitutions (positions 71, 107, 192, 262, 343). The coding nucleotide sequences of the MAC-A and MAC-B transcripts (Fig. 3) diverged by 10-11% compared either with the human RhD or RhCcEe cDNAs, while the divergence between the two human cDNAs is 3.5% (Le Van Kim et al. 1992).

Amino Acid Analysis and Structural Comparison of Human and Monkey Rh Polypeptides

The predicted translation products of MAC-A and MAC-B cDNAs are proteins of 417 and 361 amino acids (Mr 45,500 and 39,700, respectively), which exhibited 22-25% divergence compared either with the human D or RhCc/Ee proteins (Fig. 4). The strong similarities observed between sequences of macaque and human Rh-polypeptides are in accordance with the resemblance between two-dimensional iodopeptide maps of human and macaque Rh-related polypeptides (Saboori et al. 1989). Hydropathy plot calculations (Engelman et al. 1986) suggest that the *M*. mulatta Rh-like proteins have a membrane organization similar to the human Rh proteins with multispanning hydrophobic transmembrane domains (Cherif-Zahar et al. 1990; Avent et al. 1990; Le Van Kim et al. 1992). The discrepancy between the apparent molecular mass of the isolated proteins (32 kDa) and the calculated molecular mass of the predicted products of the Rh-like

RhCcEe cDNAs are *underlined*. Symbols \circ and \wedge indicate positions which differ from only D or CcEe cDNAs, respectively. Polymorphic position between the two Rh-like allelic cDNAs and deduced encoded polypeptides are printed in *italics*.

Fig. 3. Nucleotide sequence of the *M. mulatta* Rh-like cDNA, comparison with the human Rh cDNAs, and predicted amino acid sequence of the Rh-like protein. The amino acids which differ from those observed in the human proteins are *underlined*. Nucleotide positions which differ from both human RhD and

Met ATG	Ser AGC	Ser TCT	Lys AAG	Tyr TAC	Pro CCG	Arg CGG	Ser TCT	Val GTC	Arg CGG	<u>Cys</u> IGC	Cys TGC	Leu CTG	Pro CCC	Leu CTC	Trp TGG	Ala GCC	Leu CTA	18 54
Thr ACA	Leu CTG	Glu GAA	Ala GCA	Ala GCT	Leu CTC	Ile ATT	Leu CTC	Leu CTC	Phe TTC	<u>Phe</u> T <u>T</u> T	Phe TTT	Phe TTT	Thr ACC	<u>Tyr</u> <u>T</u> AC	Tyr TA <u>C</u>	Asp GAC	Ala GCT	36 108
Ser TCC	Leu TTA	Glu GAG	Asp GAT	Gln CAA	Lys AAG	Gly GGG	Leu CTC	Val GTG	Ala GC <u>G</u>	Ser TCC	Tyr TAT	Gln CAA	Val GT <u>C</u>	<u>Cys</u> <u>T</u> GC	Gln CAA	Asp GAT	Leu CTG	54 162
Thr ACC	Val GTG	Met ATG	Ala GCG	<u>Val</u> G <u>T</u> C	<u>Leu</u> <u>C</u> TT	Gly GGC	Leu TTG	Gly GGC	Phe TTC	<u>Phe</u> <u>T</u> TC	Thr ACC	Ser TC <u>G</u>	<u>Asn</u> A <u>A</u> T °	<u>Leu</u> TT <u>G</u>	Arg CGG	Arg AGA	<u>Asn</u> <u>A</u> AC	72 216
Ser AGC	Trp TGG	Ser AGC	Ser AGT	Val GTG	Ala GCC	Phe TTC	Asn AAC	Leu CTC	Phe TTC	<u>Leu</u> <u>C</u> TG	Leu CTG	Ala GC <u>C</u>	Leu CTT	Gly GGT	Val GTG	Gln CAG	Trp TGG	90 270
Ala GCA	Ile ATC	Leu CTG	Leu CTG	Asp GAC	Gly GGC	Phe TTC	Leu CTG	Ser AGC	Gln CAG	Phe TTC	<u>Ser</u> <u>T</u> CT	Pro CCT	Gly GGG	Lys AAG	Val GTG	<u>Ala</u> G <u>C</u> C	Ile ATC	108 324
<u>Lys</u> A <u>A</u> A	Leu CTG	Phe TTC	Ser AGT	Ile ATT	Arg CGG	Leu CTG	Ala GCC	Thr ACC	<u>Arg</u> A <u>G</u> G	Ser AG <u>C</u>	<u>Thr</u> <u>A</u> CT	<u>Met</u> ATG	Ser TCG	<u>Met</u> ATG	Leu CTG	Ile ATC	Ser TCA	126 378
<u>Met</u> <u>AT</u> G	Asn AAT	Ala GCT	Val GTC	Leu <u>C</u> TG	Gly GGG	Lys AAG	Val GTC	Asn AAC	Leu TTG	<u>Val</u> G <u>T</u> G	Gln CAG	Leu TTG	Val GTG	Val GTG	Met ATG	<u>Glu</u> G <u>A</u> G	Leu CTG	144 432
Val GTG	Glu GAG	<u>Leu</u> <u>C</u> TG	Thr ACA	<u>Val</u> G <u>TC</u>	<u>Phe</u> TT <u>T</u>	Gly GGC	<u>Thr</u> A <u>C</u> C °	<u>Met</u> ATG	Arg AGG	<u>ile</u> AT <u>A</u>	Val GTC	Ile ATC	<u>Asn</u> A <u>A</u> T	Asn AAT	Ile ATC	Phe TTC	<u>Lys</u> AA <u>A</u>	162 486
<u>Ile</u> A <u>T</u> A	Asp GAC	Tyr TAC	<u>Gly</u> <u>GG</u> C	Met ATG	Asn AAC	<u>Met</u> ATG	<u>Met</u> A <u>T</u> G	His CAC	<u>Ile</u> ATC ^	<u>His</u> <u>C</u> AC	Val GTG	Phe TTC	Ala GCA	Ala GCC	Tyr TAT	Phe TTT	Gly GGG	180 540
Leu CTG	<u>Thr</u> ACT	Val GTG	Ala GCC	Trp TGG	Cys TGC	Leu CTG	Pro CCA	Lys AAG	Pro CCT	Leu CTA	Pro C <i>C</i> C	<u>Lys</u> AAG	Gly GGA	Thr AC <u>A</u>	Glu GAG	Asp GAT	Lys AA <u>A</u> ^	198 594
<u>Tyr</u> Tat	Gln CAG	<u>Thr</u> A <u>C</u> A ^	<u>Thr</u> <u>A</u> CA	Thr ACG	<u>Ser</u> A <u>GC</u>	Pro CCC	Ser AGT	Leu TTG	<u>Phe</u> T <u>T</u> T	Ala GCC	Met ATG	Leu CTG	Gly GGC	<u>Thr</u> <u>A</u> CC	Leu CTC	Phe TTC	Leu TTG	216 648
Trp TGG	<u>Met</u> AT <u>G</u>	Phe TTC	Trp TGG	Pro CCA	<u>Thr</u> A <u>C</u> T	Phe TTC ^	Asn AAC	Ser TCT	<u>Ala</u> <u>G</u> CT ^	Leu CTG	Leu CTG	<u>Leu</u> <u>CT</u> A	<u>Asn</u> A <u>A</u> T	Pro CCA	Ile ATC	<u>Glu</u> <u>G</u> AA ^	Arg AGG	234 702
Lys AAG	Asn AA <u>C</u>	Ala GCC	<u>Val</u> <u>G</u> TG ^	Phe TTC	<u>Ser</u> A <u>G</u> C	Thr ACC	Tyr TAC	Tyr TAT	Ala GCT	<u>Leu</u> <u>C</u> TA	Ala GCA	Val GTC	Ser AG <u>C</u>	<u>Ala</u> G <u>C</u> G	Val GT <u>T</u>	Thr ACA	Ala GCC	252 756
Ile ATC	Ser TCA	<u>Val</u> G <u>T</u> G	Ser TCA	Ser TCC	Leu TTG	Ala GCT	His CAC	Pro CCC	<u>Gly</u> G <u>G</u> A	<u>Gly</u> <u>G</u> GG ^	Lys AAG	Ile ATC	<u>Asn</u> A <u>A</u> C	<u>Met</u> A <u>T</u> G °	Thr ACT	Tyr TAT	<u>Met</u> <u>A</u> TG	270 810
His CAC	<u>Asn</u> A <u>A</u> T	Ala GC <u>A</u>	<u>Ala</u> G <u>C</u> G	Leu TTG	Ala GCA	Gly GGA	Gly GG <u>T</u>	Val GTG	Ala GCT	<u>Leu</u> <u>C</u> TG	<u>Ser</u> <u>A</u> GT	<u>Ala</u> <u>G</u> CC	Ser TC <u>A</u>	Cys TGT	His CAC	<u>Val</u> <u>G</u> TG	Ile ATC	288 864
<u>His</u> C <u>A</u> T	Ser TCT	Pro CC <u>T</u>	Trp TGG	<u>Ile</u> ATT	Ala GCC	Met ATG	Val GTG	Leu CT <u>A</u>	Gly GGT	Leu CTT	Val GTG	Ala GCT	Gly GGG	Leu CTG	Ile ATC	Ser TCC	<u>Ile</u> ATC °	306 918
Gly GGG	Gly GGA	Ala GCC	Lys AAG	<u>Cys</u> T <u>G</u> C °	Leu CTG	Pro CCG	<u>Val</u> G <u>T</u> G	Cys TGT	<u>Phe</u> T <u>T</u> T	Asn AAC	Arg CGA	Val GTG	Leu CTG	Gly GGG	Ile ATT	<u>His</u> C <u>A</u> C °	<u>Glu</u> <u>GAG</u>	324 972
<u>Ser</u> A <u>G</u> C	<u>His</u> <u>CA</u> C	<u>Ser</u> <u>AG</u> C ^	<u>Val</u> <u>G</u> TG	<u>His</u> <u>CA</u> C	<u>Tyr</u> T <u>A</u> C	<u>Thr</u> A <u>C</u> C	Phe TTC	<u>Gly</u> <u>G</u> GC	Leu TTG	<u>Pro</u> C <u>C</u> G	<u>Ala</u> G <u>C</u> T	Leu CTG	Leu CTT	Gly GGA	Glu GAG	Ile ATC	<u>Thr</u> A <u>C</u> C °	342 1026
<i>Tyr</i> TAC	Ile ATT	Val GTG	Leu CTG	<u>Met</u> ATG	<u>Ala</u> G <u>C</u> G	Leu CTT	Arg <u>CG</u> T °	Val GTC	Val GTC	Trp TG <u>G</u> °°	Ala GCC	<u>Ser</u> AGC	<u>Ser</u> A <u>G</u> T	<u>Asn</u> <u>AA</u> C	Met ATG	Ile AT <u>C</u>	Gly GGC	360 1080
Phe TTC	Gln CAG	Val GTC	Leu CT <u>T</u>	Leu CTC	Ser AGC	<u>Thr</u> A <u>C</u> T	Gly GGG	<u>Thr</u> <u>AC</u> A	Leu CTC	Ser AGC	Leu TTG	Ala GCC	<u>Met</u> AT <u>G</u>	<u>Ala</u> G <u>C</u> G	<u>Met</u> AT <u>G</u>	<u>Ser</u> <u>AG</u> T	<u>Ile</u> <u>A</u> TC	378 1134
Thr AC <u>A</u>	Ser TCT	Gly GGT	Leu CTC	Leu CTG	Thr ACA	Gly GGT	Leu TTG	Leu CT <u>T</u>	Leu CTA	Asn AAT	Leu CT <u>C</u>	Lys AAA	Ile ATA	Trp TGG	Lys AAA	<u>Gly</u> G <u>G</u> A	Pro CCT	396 1188
His CAT	<u>Val</u> G <u>T</u> G	Ala GCT	Lys AAA	Tyr TAT	Phe TTT	Asp GAT	Asp GAC	Gln CAA	<u>Ala</u> G <u>CC</u>	Phe TTC	Trp TGG	<u>Glu</u> <u>G</u> AG	Phe TTT	Pro CCT	His CAT	Leu TTG	Ala GCT	414 1242
Val GTT	Gly GGA	Phe TTT	taaq	y <u>a</u> aaa	aagca	atcca	agaa	aaao	caago	geetç	gttca	aaaa	acaaq	gacaa	actto	ect <u>t</u> t	cac	417 1310
tgtt	geet	gcat	ttgt	acgt	cgaga	aaacq	gctca	atgad	cagca	1								1354

20 30 40 50 60 70 10 MSSKYPRSVRCCLPLWALTLEAALILLFFFFTYYDASLEDQKGLVASYQVCQDLTVMAVLGLGFFTSNLR MAC-A MAC-B -----G-----AI----L--SF-Cc/Ee -----G-----A-----L---F-80 90 100 110 120 130 RNSWSSVAFNLFLLALGVQWAILLDGFLSQFSPGKVAIKLFSIRLATRSTMSMLISMNAVLGKVNLVQLV MAC-A MAC-B Cc/Ee 150 160 170 180 190 200 VMELVELTVFGTMRIVINNIFKIDYGMNMMHIHVFAAYFGLTVAWCLPKPLPKGTEDKYQTTTSPSLFAM MAC-A MAC-B Cc/Ee 220 230 240 250 260 270 280 LGTLFLWMFWPTFNSALLLNPIERKNAVFSTYYALAVSAVTAISVSSLAHPGGKINMTYMHNAALAGGVA MAC-A MAC-B Cc/Ee 290 300 310 320 330 340 350 LSASCHVIHSPWIAMVLGLVAGLISIGGAKCLPVCFNRVLGIHESHSVHYTFGLPALLGEITYIVLMALR MAC-A MAC-B -Cc/Ee VGT---L-P---L-----LV-H 360 370 380 390 400 410 VVWASSNMIGFQVLLSTGTLSLAMAMSITSGLLTGLLLNLKIWKGPHVAKYFDDQAFWEFPHLAVGF MAC-A T-G-GNG-----I-E----IVIAL-----A--E-----V--K------MAC-B D Cc/Ee

Fig. 4. Amino acid sequence comparison of *M. mulatta* Rh-like proteins and human Rh proteins. MAC-A and MAC-B are the deduced proteins encoded by the two Rh-like allelic cDNAs. (See text.) D and Cc/Ee proteins are deduced from previous cDNA analysis (Le Van Kim et al. 1992; Cherif-Zahar et al. 1990). Identical positions are noted as *dashes*.

cDNAs (45.5 kDa and 39.7 kDa) is more likely the result of the abnormal behavior of these highly hydrophobic molecules in NaDodSO₄ gels, as previously observed for the human Rh polypeptides (Agre and Cartron 1991). Out of the seven cysteine residues of the 417-amino-acid Rh-like monkey protein (MAC-A, Fig. 4), five are conserved in the Cc/ Ee proteins and four in the D polypeptide. The exofacial cysteine 285, which may be involved in the antigenic properties of the human Rh proteins (Cherif-Zahar et al. 1990; Le Van Kim et al. 1992; Green 1966) is conserved between all proteins. Of the two cysteine residues (Cys-11 and Cys-51) present in this M. mulatta protein but absent in the human polypeptides, one (Cys-51) has an exofacial position. Cysteine 316 is present in D and Cc/Ee proteins but is absent from the macaque proteins. The predicted MAC-B protein would carry only four cysteines (positions 186, 285, 311, 315) due to the truncated 56 N-terminal amino acids.

Discussion

Our results have established that the genome of rhesus monkeys (M. mulatta), while not expressing any of the epitopes recognized by the human Rh alloantibodies of the D, C, c, E, or e specificities (for review see Socha and Ruffié 1983), carries an Rh-like locus strongly related to the human Rh locus. In human, the Rh locus is polymorphic since

RhD-positive haplotypes carry two *Rh-related* genes (*D* and *CcEe*) and RhD-negative haplotypes only one (*CcEe*) (Colin et al. 1991). This polymorphism was not observed in rhesus monkeys, which all possess a single copy of *Rh-like* gene per haploid genome. However, in DNA samples of nine out of 10 animals, the human exon1 probe detected an Rh-related 5'-fragment which most likely corresponds to a truncated *Rh* gene. Two allelic transcripts (MAC-A and MAC-B, Fig. 4) of the *Rh-like* gene have been isolated. The deduced amino acid sequence indicated that the monkey Rh-like proteins exhibit 75–78% similarity to the human Rh proteins.

The Rh-like proteins presumably inserted in the red cell membrane would account for the reactivity of the M. mulatta red blood cells with two monoclonal antibodies (anti-Rh29) that recognize nonpolymorphic epitopes at the red cell surface of human and nonhuman primates (Socha and Ruffié 1990). Furthermore, these polypeptides may correspond to the Rh analog protein of 32 kDa isolated from rhesus monkey erythrocyte membranes (Saboori et al. 1989). Among the 95- and 90-amino-acid substitutions found between the M. mulatta and the human D and Cc/Ee proteins, respectively, only 11 and nine are within the first 100 residues and only three and four within the last 40 amino acids, indicating a high degree of conservation of the NH₂and COOH-terminal regions of the Rh-related proteins (Fig. 4 and Le Van Kim et al. 1992). Whether these regions may define the common iodopeptides found on two-dimensional maps of the 32-kDa proteins isolated from human, rhesus monkey, cow, cat, and rat erythrocytes and may represent conserved, functionally important domains of the Rhrelated polypeptides (Saboori et al. 1989) could be determined when the Rh-like molecules from these different species are cloned.

Since their discovery, a controversy has arisen because of the confusion between the human alloantibody responsible for hemolytic disease of the newborn (Levine and Stetson 1939; Levine et al. 1941a,b) and the heteroantibody (named "anti-Rhesus") raised in rabbits and guinea pigs injected with red cells from rhesus monkeys (Landsteiner and Wiener 1940). These antibodies were recognized later as distinct antigens and the name "Rh" was retained for the clinically important alloantibody, whereas the heteroantibody was renamed "LW" in honor of the discoverers, Landsteiner and Wiener (Levine et al. 1961, 1963). However, our present results and those discussed above demonstrate that the M. mulatta genome contains a functional Rh-like locus and that macaque erythrocytes carry membrane proteins strongly related to the human Rh polypeptides. Moreover, recent findings based on the reactivity of nonhuman primate erythrocytes with a large panel of Rh and Rh-related monoclonal antibodies indicate that macaque red cells give positive reactions not only with anti-LW antibodies, but also with anti-Rh29 (nonpolymorphic epitope of Rh proteins) and with a few anti-D antibodies (Socha and Ruffié 1990). Therefore, among the heteroantibodies obtained after immunization of rabbits and guinea pigs with rhesus monkey erythrocytes, some could display Rh specificity, as claimed by Landsteiner and Weiner (1940) and by Moureau (1941), and others LW specificity (Levine et al. 1941a,b).

Since eukaryotic cells transfected with the recombinant human cDNAs failed to react with any of the D, C, c, E, and e antibodies (Hermand et al. 1993 and our unpublished data), sequence comparison of Rh-like proteins from different apes and monkeys with Rh polypeptides from donors of different Rh phenotypes will hopefully provide an alternative approach to delineate these epitopes. Redblood-cell-typing data indicate that anthropoid apes (gibbons, gorilla, orangutans, chimpanzees) carry the c blood group antigen and that gorilla and chimpanzees in addition carry a red blood cell antigen strongly related to the human D antigen named Rh₀^{Go} (Moor Jankowski and Wiener 1972) and R^c (Socha and Moor Jankowski 1980), respectively. However, none of the higher primates expresses E or e antigens, a finding confirmed recently with monoclonal antibodies, except for some chimpanzees (Socha and Ruffié 1990). On the other hand, Cercopithecoidae (macaques, baboons, etc.) and lower primates are unreactive with c and most D blood group antibodies (Socha and Ruffié 1983, 1990). It was thus suggested that an ancestral Rh gene might have evolved to encode for the blood factor "c" and later to factor "D," probably by a duplication and mutational events (Wiener et al. 1964). Our present results support this hypothesis. The presence of an additional truncated 5'-fragment in some macaque haplotypes indicates that the Rh locus of the common ancestor of anthropoid apes and Old World monkeys was already composed of two Rh-related genes, one of which was partially or totally deleted in contemporary rhesus monkeys. In man, only some haplotypes conserved the two ancestral copies. However, it is also possible that the common ancestor of anthropoid apes and Old World monkeys had only one Rh-related gene and that independent duplication events took place in the ancestors of rhesus monkeys and these of man.

Antibodies of Rh specificities have been extensively used to identify Rh-related blood group systems in nonhuman primates such as the RCEF system in chimpanzees (Wiener and Socha 1974; Socha and Moor-Jankowski 1980; Socha and Ruffié 1990). However, the contribution of these serological studies to the understanding of the primate phylogeny was limited because they required the conservation of well-defined antigenic structures. It is anticipated that the availability of molecular Rh and Rh-like probes will help to delineate more precisely the evolutionary pathway of the Rh locus and will provide important clues to the conserved domains of these proteins that may be assigned to a potential function.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported in part by the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale and the Caisse Nationale d'Assurance Maladie des Travailleurs Salariés. The support from NATO (grant 88/0556) is also acknowledged.

References

- Agre P, Cartron JP (1991) Molecular biology of Rh antigens. Blood 78:551-563
- Avent ND, Ridgwell K, Tanner MJA, Tanner DJ (1990) cDNA cloning of a 30 kDa erythrocyte membrane protein associated with Rh (Rhesus)-blood-group-antigen expression. Biochem J 271:821–825
- Chérif-Zahar B, Bloy C, Le Van Kim C, Blanchard D, Bailly P, Hermand P, Salmon C, Cartron JP, Colin Y (1990) Molecular cloning and protein structure of a human blood group Rh polypeptide. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87:6243–6247
- Chérif-Zahar B, Mattéi MG, Le Van Kim C, Bailly P, Cartron JP, Colin Y (1991) Localization of the human Rh blood group gene structure to chromosome 1p34.3–1p36.1 region by in situ hybridization. Hum Genet 86:398–400

- Chérif-Zahar B, Le Van Kim C, Rouillac C, Raynal V, Cartron JPC, Colin Y (1993) Organization of the gene encoding the human blood group RhCcEe antigens and characterization of the promoter region. Genomics (in press)
- Colin Y, Le Van Kim C, Tsapis A, Clerget M, d'Auriol L, London J, Galibert F, Cartron JP (1989) Human erythrocyte glycophorin C. J Biol Chem 264:3773–3780
- Colin Y, Chérif-Zahar B, Le Van Kim C, Raynal V, Van Huffel V, Cartron JP (1991) Genetic basis of the RhD-positive and RhD-negative blood group polymorphism. Blood 78:2747– 2752
- Engelman DM, Steitz TA, Goldman A (1986) Identifying nonpolar transbilayer helices in amino acid sequences of membrane proteins. Ann Rev Biophys Biophys Chem 15:321-353
- Fisher RA, cited in Race RR (1944) An "incomplete" antibody in human serum. Nature 153:771-772
- Green FA (1966) Sulfhydryl reagents and Rh activity of erythrocyte stroma. Nature 211:852
- Hermand P, Mouro I, Huet M, Bloy C, Suyama K, Goldstein J, Cartron JP, Bailly P (1993) Immunochemical characterization of Rh proteins with antibodies raised against synthetic peptides. Blood (in press)
- Issitt PD (1989) The Rh blood group system, 1988: eight new antigens in nine years and some observations on the biochemistry and genetics of the system. Transf Med Rev 3:1-12
- Kozak M (1986) Bifunctional messenger RNAs in eukaryotes. Cell 47:481-483
- Landsteiner K, Wiener AS (1940) An agglutinable factor in human blood recognized by immune sera for Rhesus blood. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 43:223
- Levine P, Celano M, Fenichel R, Pollack W, Singher H (1961) A 'D-like' antigen in Rhesus monkey, human Rh positive and human Rh negative red blood cells. J Immunol 87:747–752
- Levine P, Cellano MJ, Wallace J, Sanger R (1963) A human "D-like" antibody. Nature 198:596-597
- Levine P, Stetson RE (1939) An unusual case of intragroup agglutination. JAMA 113:126-127
- Levine P, Burnham L, Katzin EM, Vogel P (1941a) The role of iso-immunization in the pathogenesis of erythroblastosis fetalis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 42:925–937
- Levine P, Katzin EM, Burnham L (1941b) Isoimmunization in pregnancy, its possible bearing on the etiology of erythroblastosis fetalis. JAMA 116:825–827

- Le Van Kim C, Mouro I, Chérif-Zahar B, Raynal V, Cherrier C, Cartron JP, Colin Y (1992) Molecular cloning and primary structure of the human blood group RhD polypeptide. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89:10925–10929
- Moor Jankowski J, Wiener AS (1972) Red cell antigens of primates. In: Pathology of Simian primates part I. Karger, Basel, pp 270–317
- Moureau P (1941) Recherches sur un nouvel hémo-agglutinogène du sang humain. Acta biol Belgica 1:123
- Petz LD, Garratty G (1980) Acquired immune hemolytic anemia. New York, Churchill Livingstone
- Race RR, Sanger R (1975) Blood groups in man, 6th ed. Oxford, Blackwell, pp 178–260
- Saboori A, Denker BM, Agre P (1989) Isolation of proteins related to the Rh polypeptides from non-human erythrocytes. J Clin Invest 83:187–191
- Saiki RK, Gelfand DH, Stoffel S, Scharf SJ, Higuchi R, Horn GT, Mullis KB, Erlich HA (1988) Primer-directed enzymatic amplification of DNA with a thermostable DNA polymerase. Science 239:487–491
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
- Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR (1977) DNA sequencing with chain terminating inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 74: 5463-5467
- Shaw MA (1986) Monoclonal antibodies anti-LWab and anti-D reagents recognize a number of different epitopes. J Immunogenet 13:377–386
- Socha WW, Ruffié J (1983) Blood groups of primates: theory, practice and evolutionary meaning. Alan R Liss Inc, New York, pp 75–90
- Socha WW, Ruffié J (1990) Monoclonal antibodies directed against human red cell antigens in tests with the red cells on nonhuman primates. Rev Fr Transfus Hémobiol 33:39–48
- Socha WW, Moor Jankowski J (1980) Chimpanzee R-C-E-F blood group system: a counterpart of the Rh-Hr blood groups. Folia Primatol (Basel) 33:172–188
- Wiener AS (1944) The Rh series of allelic genes. Science 100: 595-597
- Wiener AS, Moor Jankowski J, Gordon EB (1964) Blood groups of apes and monkeys. IV. The Rh-Hr blood types of anthropoid apes. Am J Hum Genet 16:246–253