Applied and Microbiology Biotechnology © Springer-Verlag 1989

Taxonomy of methanogens by ELISA techniques

Dieter Bryniok and Walter Trösch

Fraunhofer-Institut für Grenzflächen- und Bioverfahrenstechnik, Nobelstrasse 12, D-7000 Stuttgart 80, Federal Republic of Germany

Summary. Polyclonal antisera have been used for the development of a novel serotaxonomic system for methanogenic bacteria by quantifying immunological cross-reactions of the antisera with heterologous strains. This system corresponds well to phylogenetic relationships among methanogenic bacteria as analysed by other authors, and is in good agreement with the existing immunological fingerprint for immunotyping of methanogenic bacteria.

Introduction

A recent paper (Bryniok and Trösch 1989) describes the development of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) techniques for quantitative determination of methanogenic species from pure cultures as well as from complex environments. For these tests polyclonal antisera were used against 29 methanogenic strains.

Identification of methanogenic bacteria from complex populations with unknown microbial composition occurs via immunological cross-reactions. Therefore relationship-correlated crossreactions are necessary for the application of immunological methods in such populations. Crossreactions between different strains of the same species should be significantly high, and high cross-reactions between different families should not occur, as shown in previous serological analyses of the relationships among methanogenic bacteria (Conway de Macario and Macario 1986; Conway de Macario et al. 1982b; Macario and Conway de Macario 1983). These conditions were verified using a semiquantitative slide assay (Conway de Macario et al. 1982a, 1983) and resulted in an immunological fingerprint system for the taxonomy of methanogenic bacteria.

With respect to the main purpose of our investigation, the quantitative determination of methanogens, it was necessary to evaluate the crossreactions between the strains used and to quantify them. This means that the portion of antibodies reacting with each of the heterologous strains had to be quantified. Such a portion can be measured with an indirect ELISA by building up calibration curves for the antisera with their homologous antigens and comparing the absorbance values from a second ELISA performed with the heterologous antigen with those of the calibration curve.

Materials and methods

Bacteria. Methanogenic bacteria used as antigens for the production of polyclonal antisera are listed in Table 1, which also includes media and incubation temperatures. Eight of the strains used in this investigation were isolated in our own laboratory; the others were obtained from the German type culture collection (Dt. Slg. für Mikroorganismen, DSM, Braunschweig, FRG). At least one representative strain of each genus of methanogenic bacteria was selected, except for *Methanothrix* and some other genera that are not as relevant to technological applications. More than two strains have been selected from *Methanobacterium* and *Methanosarcina* as these are the most commonly occurring methanogens in biogas reactors.

Culture media. The methanogens were cultured using the serum bottle modification (Miller and Wolin 1974) of the Hungate technique (Hungate 1969) in the media of Balch et al. (1979): Methanobacteriales and *Methanospirillum* in medium 1; Methosarcinaceae in medium 3 supplemented with 5 ml methanol/1 (MeOH 3); all other methanogens in medium 3 without supplement.

Determination of working dilutions and cross-reactions. The working dilutions for the indirect ELISA were fixed by adsorbing 1:2 dilution series of the antisera to microtitration

Offprint requests to: W. Trösch

 Table 1. Methanogenic bacteria and culture conditions as described in Materials and methods

Species	Strain	Source1	Medium	Temperature
Methanobacterium formicicum	MF	DSM 1535	Balch 1	37 °C
Methanobacterium formicicum	JF-1	DSM 2639	Balch 1	37 °C
Methanobacterium formicicum	IVA	IGB ²	Balch 1	37 °C
Methanobacterium bryantii	M.o.H.	DSM 863	Balch 1	37 °C
Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum	ΔH	DSM 1053	Balch 1	55 °C
Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum	IIA	IGB^2	Balch 1	55 °C
Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum	ЛВ	IGB^2	Balch 1	55 °C
Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum	IIC	IGB^2	Balch 1	55 °C
Methanobrevibacter ruminantium	M1	DSM 1093	Balch 1	37 °C
Methanococcus vannielii	SB	DSM 1224	Balch 3	37 °C
Methanococcus voltae	PS	DSM 1537	Balch 3	37 °C
Methanogenium marisnigri	JR1	DSM 1498	Balch 3	25 °C
Methanogenium sp.	I1	IGB_2^2	Balch 3	55 °C
Methanogenium sp.	П1	IGB ²	Balch 3	55 °C
Methanospirillum hungatei	JF1	DSM 864	Balch 1	37 °C
Methanoplanus limicola	M3	DSM 2279	Balch 3	37 °C
Methanoplanus sp.	VIII2	IGB ²	Balch 3	37 °C
Methanosarcina barkeri	MS	DSM 800	MeOH 3	37 °C
Methanosarcina sp.	KS	IGB [∠]	MeOH 3	37 °C
Methanosarcina sp.	G1	DSM 3338	MeOH 3	37 °C
Methanosarcina mazei	MC ₃	DSM 2907	MeOH 3	37 °C
Methanosarcina mazei	S-6	DSM 2053	MeOH 3	37 °C
Methanosarcina acetivorans	C2A	DSM 2834	MeOH 3	37 °C
Methanosarcina sp.	MST-A1	DSM 2905	MeOH 3	55 °C
Methanosarcina thermophila	TM-1	DSM 1825	MeOH 3	55 °C
Methanosarcina sp.	CHTI-55	DSM 2906	MeOH 3	55 °C
Methanosarcina sp.	MP	DSM 2980	MeOH 3	55 °C
Methanolobus tindarius	Tindari 3	DSM 2278	MeOH 3	25 °C
Methanolobus vulcani	PL-12/M	DSM 3029	MeOH 3] 37 ℃

¹ Strains obtained from the German type culture collection (Deutsche Sammlung für Mikroorganismen, DSM)

² Strains isolated in the Fraunhoferinstitut für Grenzflächenund Bioverfahrenstechnik

plates, which were precoated with the homologous antigens, and performing the indirect ELISA as described in Bryniok and Trösch (1989). When the resulting absorbance values were plotted against the dilution steps, sigmoid calibration curves appeared.



Fig. 1. Calibration curve for anti-Methanosarcina thermophila strain TM-1-serum (a), and linearized form, calculated parameters: A = -0.0346, B = 2.961, C = 4.256, D = 1.727 (b); for A-D see Materials and methods

For the determination of cross-reactions the antisera were serially diluted 1:2. The wells of a microtitration plate, precoated with the heterologous antigen, were filled with the serum dilutions, as was another plate precoated with the homologous antigen. The indirect ELISA was performed in both microtitration plates. The quasi-calibration curve obtained from the heterologous test cold be compared to the calibration curve from the homologous control experiment and crossreactions could thus be calculated.

Evaluation of calibration curves. The most exact mathematical procedure for the evaluation of the sigmoid ELISA calibration curves is the linearization by a log-logistic function and subsequent statistical analysis (Dudley et al. 1985; Winkler et al. 1986). The function with four unknown parameters to be determined is therefore called a four-component logit:

$$y = A + B \cdot \frac{\exp(C + D \cdot \ln x)}{1 + \exp(C + D \cdot \ln x)} \tag{1}$$

or in an algebraic transformation:

$$\ln\left(\frac{y-A}{B-y-A}\right) = C \cdot D \ln x \tag{2}$$

$$\bar{y} = C + D\bar{x} \tag{2.1}$$



Fig. 2. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) experiments with anti-Methanosarcina sp. strain CHTI-55 (a); \odot , homologous reaction, cross-reaction with \blacksquare Methanosarcina thermophila strain TM-1; \blacktriangle , Methanosarcina acetivorans strain C2A; \Box , Methanogenium marisnigri strain JRI; and O, Methanobacterium formicium strain JF-1. b Linearized form, cross-reactions with Methanobacterium formicium JF-I were too low to obtain a reasonable linearization of the measured values

D. Bryniok and W. Trösch: Taxonomy by ELISA

Table 2. Immunological cross reactions

						1.	minu	locus;	ymau	c reau	uon v	4 JUL (percer	itai it	aauoi	1004	ic non	nonog	ousic	action	i carc	ulaica	as c.	plan		410 003	,					
				35	39		3	53				33	54	37	98			5	79		8		38	5	53	2	02	52	8	8	78	29
	Antisemm			۲ I	26		õ	2				8	12	15	14			-	33		õ		33	53	8	28	29	18	53	53	52	30
Order				í																							-		33		3	Σ
Eamily			DSM-		_		H.													ы							2	7	Ĕ		dar	12
Goput	Species	Strain	No.	Ę	2	X	Å.	H	≤	Ē	Ŋ	Ţ	8	ŝ	2	ret.	Ħ	E	М3	Ę	Ŵ	9	E	ş	3	ลิ	Ϋ́ς	Z	B	₿.	ē.	r.
Uciidas			110.	<u> </u>			~	~	H			<u> </u>									~		<u> </u>	~		<u> </u>						
Methanobacteriales																																
Methanobacteriace	ae																															
Methanobacteri	um			_	0.00000		1000000	<u> </u>								_				r	_	<u> </u>		_	_					r		
Methanobacter	ium formicicum	MF	1535	-	331.2	58.6	21.8	_									2.0		1.9		_											
Methanobacter	ium formicicum	JF-1	2639	49.6		30,2	1.1	0.2	1.6	1.4	1.2			_					<u> </u>											-		
Methanobacter	ium formicicum	IVA		88.6	49.5										_		<u> </u>	ļ			_		_		_							
Methanobacteri	ium bryantii	M.o.H.	863	15.4	μ												└──										_					
Methanobacteri	ium thermoautotrophicum	ιΔH	1053						20.8	35.6	25.5						I															
Methanobacteri	ium thermoautotrophicum	1 IIA		IL_				30.7		84.8	96.3																					
Methanobacteri	ium thermoautotrophicum	ı IIB						7.2	55.7		50.2																					
Methanobacter	ium thermoautotrophicum	a IIC						25.8	56.4	88.3																						
Methanobreviba	cter																														-	
Methanobrevib	acter ruminantium	M1	1093		Г																											
Methanococcales				I — – I																												
Methanococcaceae																																
Methanococcus																																
Methanococcus	vannielii	SB	1224		T	1								2.6			T	1														0.6
Methanococcus	voltae	PS	1537										8.5																			
Methanomicrobiales	5																															
Methanomicrobia	Ceae			1																												
Methanogenium	1			í I																												
Methanogenius	n marieniari	TRI	1498		T											8.1	9.5	1	0.9												<u> </u>	
Methanogenius	# cn	11			-										22.4		59.1		-													
Methanogeniu	n op.	π1			-	t									21.6	60.6	8	2.9	2.4	3.3		0.6	1.1				0.8		1.2	0.9		
Methanocoirilla	m op.			·	· · ·	L							<u> </u>																			
Methanospirille	um hunoatei	TF1	864		<u> </u>	—	Г	0.6				0.8					4.2	1			_										- 1	
Mathanonlanaceae						·								·								<u> </u>										
Methanonlanus	•			1																												
Mathemanlanus	liminola	M2	2270	lr	T	T			· · · · · ·			<u> </u>				19	117	174		123				<u> </u>			T				1	
Methanoplanus	Innicona Innicona	VIIID	LLIJ	⊪	+								-		07	25	23	26	12.6	12.5	0.6											_
Methanopunus	· sp.	VILLE				·	L								0.7	2.0	12.5	12.0	1240		0.0	L						h				
Methanogaroing	ac .																															
Methanosarcina	i Kaukani	Me	800		1	T	<u> </u>									00	Tī ī	Tño	56	[1]]		20.5	14 8	27.5	14.0	11.4	12.41	20.0	81	16.2	33	61
Methanosarcina	i oarkers	WS NS	800			<u> </u>						-				0.2	1 <u></u>	0.7	0.0	1.1	106	50.5	61.9	51.5	X8 .0	15.1	26.2	13.3	14.2	13.0	35	67
Methanosarcina	a sp.	C1	3339	⊢		+												15	0.5		17 2	70000	0,0,00	76.0	6 1 7	23.4	20.0	16.6	11 0	20.5	21	42
Meinanosarcina	i sp	NC	2007	/⊢	+						-		07			26	114	1			14 1	66.51	24.6	202324	15 1	22.4	4n 1	64.5	24.0	25.0	20	1.0
Methanosarcina	n mazer	MC3	2907	⊪	-	· · · ·	-						0.7			2,0	1.4	-			0 7	144	74.U	50 D	42.4	17.0	41.6	010	14.9	23.7	3.0	1.2
Methanosarcina	i mazei	3-0	2033	1									0.5	_	12	0.0	10.0	┼──	07	05	0.1	40.11		22.0	10 1	17.2	+1, J	20.3	14.0	20.7	2.0	5 1
Meinanosarcina	acenvorans	ULA NOT A	1 2005	⊪––			-						-		1.2	<u> </u>	1.7	112	0.7	0.5	12.6	21.0	31.3	20.7	10.1	1.1.7	22241	46.6	10.1	20.1	5.2	5.5
Methanosarcina	isp.	MSIA	12905	II	0.0	<u> </u>		⊢ -I						0.0	0.1		4. /	11.0	<u> </u>		13.3	51.0	66.1	44.1	44.2	11.0		90:3	03.2	20.4	10	3.3
Methanosarcina	i inermopnua	1M-1	1023	⊢	0.0	-								0.0	2.1	100	<u></u>		<u> </u>		10.7	11.0	1.3.1	47.0	20.3	13.3	0.1.0	-	0.7.4	1.3.3	4.5	4.3
Methanosarcina	zsp.	CHII-5	5 2906	I⊢	-		<u> </u>						1.1		3.0	0.0	┢	10.0			19.1	3.3	11.0	12.9	13.3	14.8	04.1	19.4	20.0	60:5	3.0	1.2
Methanosarcino	a sp.	MP	2980	J	1	I	I	<u> </u>	L	L				L		L	L	11.1	L	L	14.5	0.9	1.4.1	13.5	14.0	6.2	36,21	13.0	00.2		1.9	3.9
Methanolobus					1.0	r—							0.6	0.7	0.7	0.0	Log	To r	0.0		<u>.</u>	4.61		on le d		in a l		ज्या	100	1.5		10.0
Methanolobus t	undarius	Imdari	5 2218	⊪––	11.0	ļ						-	0.0	0.7	0./	0.5	10.7	0.5	0.5	0.0	<u>4</u>	1.5	3.1	3.9	4.0	1.1	10.2	3.5	1.0	4.3	10:11	10.7
Methanolobus	vulcani	PL-12/	M 3029		<u> </u>	I	L .	L		L						L	L		0.8				Ð.8	10.8	1.2	3.6	<u>3.7</u>	0.5	2.9	2.1	10.4	
			1		homo	logon	s reac	tion	E		Cross	react	ion 5	0 - 10	0%		Cros	s-reac	tion ?	0 - 50	%	ം	mss-r	eactio	m 5 -	20 %		۳Cm	xs-re:	action	0.5 -	5%
									E						1	10000	1 *** 0455									20 10	L	_ ~~v				

empty fields: cross reactions below 0.5 per cent or not detectable

$$\bar{y} = \ln\left(\frac{y-A}{B-y-A}\right)$$
 and $\bar{x} = \ln x$ (2.2)

where y = absorbance in the ELISA; x = concentration of antiserum or antigen; A = lower asymptotic absorbance value; B = upper asymptotic absorbance value; C = intersection of the linear form; D = slope of the linear form.

Winkler et al. (1986) have written a program for the calculation of the four parameters, identification and possible elimination of outliners, and for the calculation of the concentrations of unknown samples with the evaluated calibration curve. This program was slightly modified and completed with input and output routines to be suitable here.

Calculation of working dilutions. The sigmoid curves resulting from the ELISA described above were evaluated with this computer program and the dilution producing an absorbance value of 2.0 in the ELISA was calculated. To ascertain a sufficient excess of antibodies in the test, a fivefold concentration was used for routine application of the indirect ELISA. To avoid high values of unspecific reactions, higher concentrations were not used.

Calculation of cross-reactions. The quasi-calibration curves obtained from ELISA experiments with heterologous antigens were linearized in the same way as calibration curves obtained with homologous antigens. For each dilution step the transformed absorbance value:

autoutes a semisined in the text)

$$y' = \frac{y - A}{B - y - A} \tag{3}$$

of the quasi-calibration curve of the heterologous ELISA was divided by the transformed homologous value of the same dilution step and multiplied by 100 to give the percentage of the cross-reaction.

Results and discussion

Absorbance values of ELISAs performed with dilution series of polyclonal antisera and homologous antigens gave sigmoid calibration curves when plotted versus dilution steps. Calibration curves as well as cross-reaction determinations were performed with triple values for each dilution step. Standard deviations generally did not exceed 5% and certain exceptions reached maxi246





mally 10%. Figure 1a shows a calibration curve for anti-*Methanosarcina thermophila* TM-1 serum as a typical example for calibration curves for antisera, as used for the determination of working dilutions of the antisera. Figure 1b shows the linearized form as evaluated with the computer program basing on the four-component logit (Eq. 1).

If cross-reactions were high enough to result in a complete sigmoid curve, the curves obtained with heterologous antigens in sigmoid curves shifted leftwards parallel to the X-axis. Poor cross-reactions showed only the lower part of the sigmoid plots (Fig. 2). All cross-reactions between methanogenic strains were determined at least twice, and if they were higher than 20% at least three times. The mean values exceeding 0.5% are listed completely in Table 2.

Cross-reactions between the methanogenic bacteria were considerable, according to their phylogenetic relationships as indicated by 16 s rRNA sequences, DNA homology or morphological and physiological properties (Balch et al. 1979; Tu et al. 1982; Sowers et al. 1984). Crossreactions were high between strains of the same species and low or not detectable between strains of different species or genera. The only crossreactions between different families exceeding 1% occurred between Methanoplanaceae and Methanomicrobiaceae. In fact, the relationship of Methanoplanaceae to Methanomicrobiaceae is shown to be much higher than any other relationship between methanogenic families (Tu et al. 1982). In other cases Methanoplanus is even seen as a member of Methanomicrobiaceae (Jones et al. 1987). Moreover, the results shown were in good agreement with other investigations (Conway de Macario and Macario 1986; Macario and Conway de Macario 1983). Significant cross-reactions were found here in the same clusters as in their investigations.

Isolates from the Fraunhofer-Institut für

Grenzflächen- und Bioverfahrenstechnik were also immunotyped in Table 2, showing perfect agreement with the physiological characterization performed in parallel, as will be shown elsewhere (Bryniok et al. in preparation).

By registration of iummunoenzymatic crossreactions in dilution series of antisera and calculation by linearizing and division of transformed values by those of homologous reactions, it has been demonstrated for the first time, to our knowledge, that it is possible to obtain dilutionindependent immunological values comparable to the S_{AB} values of rRNA sequencing. As with DNA or RNA sequences, amino acid sequences of unique enzymes or other molecular fine structures of biological macromolecular, antigenic determinants of cell surfaces are subject to statistically detectable evolutionary changes.

Phylogenetic investigations which are based on immunological data might otherwise be uncertain in respect to individual properties of the immune system of the specific immunized animal species. Moreover, antibodies in polyclonal antisera should react with all surface determinants of the immunizing antigen, but varying immunogenity due to the different chemical compositions of surface components could then lead to cross-reactions which do not correspond to the phylogenetic relationship of the antigens. Nevertheless, a dendrogram (Fig. 3) constructed with the data of Table 2 was in very good agreement with dendograms constructed by rRNA or DNA sequence analysis (Balch et al. 1979; Sowers et al. 1984) or cross-hybridization (Tu et al. 1982).

References

Balch WE, Fox GE, Magrum LJ, Woese CR, Wolfe RS (1979) Methanogens: reevaluation of a unique biological group. Microbiol Rev 43:260-296

- Bryniok D, Trösch W (1989) ELISA techniques for the determination of methanogenic bacteria. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 32:235-242
- Conway de Macario E, Macario AJL (1986) Immunology of Archaebacteria: identification, antigenic relationships and immunochemistry of surface structures. Syst Appl Microbiol 7:320-324
- Conway de Macario E, Macario AJL, Wolin MJ (1982a) Specific antisera and immunological procedures for characterization of methanogenic bacteria. J Bacteriol 149:320-28
- Conway de Macario E, Wolin MJ, Macario AJL (1982b) Antibody analysis of relationships among methanogenic bacteria. J Bacteriol 149:316-319
- Conway de Macario E, Macario AJL, Jovell RJ (1983) Quantitative slide microimmunoenzymetic assay (Micro-SIA) for antibodies to particulate and non-particultate antigens. J Immunol Methods 59:39-47
- Dudley RA, Edwards P, Ekins RP, Finney DJ, McKenzie IGM, Raab GM, Rodboard D, Rodgers RPC (1985) Guidelines for immunoassay data processing. Clin Chem 31:1264-1271
- Hungate RE (1969) A roll tube method for cultivation of strict anaerobes. Methods Microbiol 3B:117-132
- Jones WJ, Nagle DP, Whitman WB (1987) Methanogens and the diversity of arachaebacteria. Microbiol Rev 51:135-177
- Macario AJL, Conway de Macario E (1983) Antigenic fingerprinting of methanogenic bacteria with polyclonal antibody probes. Syst Appl Microbiol 4:451-458
- Miller TL, Wolin MJ (1974) A serum bottle modification of the Hungate technique for cultivating obligate anaerobes. Appl Microbiol 27:985-987
- Sowers KR, Johnson JL, Ferry JG (1984) Phylogenetic relationships among the methylotrophic methane-producing bacteria and emendation of the family Methanosarcinaceae. Int J Syst Bacteriol 34:444-450
- Tu J, Prangishvilli D, Huber H, Wildgruber G, Zillig W, Stetter KO (1982) Taxonomic relations between Archaebacteria including 6 novel genera examined by cross hybridizations of DNAs and 16S rRNAs. J Mol Evol 18:109-114
- Winkler G, Heinz FX, Kunz C (1986) A personal computer program using a 4-component logit for the evaluation of ELISA calibration curves with unknown asymptotic values. Comput Method Program Biomed 22:167-70

Received 19 May 1989/Accepted 14 July 1989