# The Nucleotide Sequence of the Entire Ribosomal DNA Operon and the Structure of the Large Subunit rRNA of *Giardia muris*

Harry van Keulen,<sup>1</sup> Robin R. Gutell,<sup>2</sup> Scott R. Campbell,<sup>1</sup> Stanley L. Erlandsen,<sup>3</sup> and Edward L. Jarroll<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, Cleveland State University, 1983 E. 24th Street, Cleveland, OH 44115, USA

<sup>2</sup> Molecular Cellular and Developmental Biology, Campus Box 347 University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Cell Biology and Neuroanatomy, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, MN, USA

The total nucleotide sequence of the Summary. rDNA of Giardia muris, an intestinal protozoan parasite of rodents, has been determined. The repeat unit is 7668 basepairs (bp) in size and consists of a spacer of 3314 bp, a small-subunit rRNA (SSUrRNA) gene of 1429, and a large-subunit rRNA (LSU-rRNA) gene of 2698 bp. The spacer contains long direct repeats and is heterogeneous in size. The LSU-rRNA of G. muris was compared to that of the human intestinal parasite Giardia duodenalis, to the bird parasite Giardia ardeae, and to that of Escherichia coli. The LSU-rRNA has a size comparable to the 23S rRNA of E. coli but shows structural features typical for eukaryotes. Some variable regions are typically small and account for the overall smaller size of this rRNA. The structure of the G. muris LSU-rRNA is similar to that of the other Giardia rRNA, but each rRNA has characteristic features residing in a number of variable regions.

Key words: Giardia muris — Protozoan parasite — Ribosomal rRNA genes — Ribosomal DNA sequence — Large-Subunit rRNA — Sequence comparison

#### Introduction

The majority of eukaryotes studied to date carry a set of tandemly repeated units containing the ribo-

somal RNA (rRNA) genes which consist of a nontranscribed spacer, a transcribed spacer, the smallsubunit rRNA (SSU-rRNA) gene, an internal transcribed spacer, the 5.8S rRNA gene, a second internal transcribed spacer, and the large-subunit rRNA (LSU-rRNA) gene (Gerbi 1985). Variations on this theme include the size of the nontranscribed spacer, which can be either uniform or non-uniform in size within a species, and the size and sequence of the variable regions in the mature rRNA genes.

Journal of

© Springer-Verlag New York Inc. 1992

The SSU-rRNAs have proven useful in providing a phylogenetic framework to study taxonomic segregation of organisms (Woese 1987). Based on this criterion, the protozoan parasite Giardia duodenalis (synonymous for G. lamblia and G. intestinalis) has been described as representing the earliestdiverging lineage in the eukaryotic line of descent (Sogin et al. 1989) The Giardia rRNA genes are unusual in several respects: not only is the entire transcription unit small, but also the SSU-rRNA gene is small and resembles in size prokarvotic rDNA more closely than eukaryotic rDNA. However, the G + C content of the rRNA genes of G. duodenalis is high (75%), which could make comparison with other rRNA genes with lower G + Ccontent problematic. Giardia duodenalis is only one of five known species of Giardia. The others are G. muris, G. ardeae, G. psittaci, and G. agilis (Filice 1952; Erlandsen and Bemrick 1987; Erlandsen et al. 1990). One of these species, G. muris, has a rDNA repeat unit that is larger and has a different restric-

Offprint requests to: H. van Keulen

1	GATCOGGACT	CGAGATCAAA	AGACCGGAGG	GCGAGGGCGG	GTCCGCGGGT
101	CCTCGAACG	GAACGGATCG	GGGGTCCATG	CCCTGGCCCG	GGAGTCCACA
151	TGGGTCCTCA	CGGGGGGCCTT	CCCTCCAGGG	GTCATTCGAG	GTGTCCCCGG
201	GGGTCCCCTG	GGTCCATGGG	GCCCTTGAAG	TCCTTCAAGA	TGAACGGGGA
251	CGGGGGGCCCG	GTCCTCACGG	GGTGGGGGCCC	TCACACGGGG	GGGCTCATGG
351	ATCCGGGTCA	TCGGACCATG	TGGTCATATG	GATGGGCCTT	CGGGGGGGCCA
401	CGGTCCCTCG	GGGGTGGGTC	ATCGAATGGG	ATGGGGGACC	ATCTTCCCCA
451	TTGGGGTCCG	GGGTCCCCCT	CGACCCTCAC	AGGTCCCCCT	CGAGTCCTCC
501	ATGAACGGGA	CGGAGGTCCA	CGGGGGGCCAG	GGGATCCCCG	TGGGGTCCCC
601	CCCAAGCCCT	CACGGGATCA	TCGTACGGGT	ACGGGGGGGTC	ATGGCTCCAT
651	CATACGAGGG	ACGGGGGCTC	CCGGGGGCCCT	CACGGGGGTCC	TTCAAGACGA
701	ACGGGGGCCTC	CCCCCATAGG	TCCATGGACC	TTCATGGGTG	GGGGTGTGGT
751	CCATCATACG	GAIGGGGGTT	TTCACGTCCC	CTCATACGGG	CCACATGGAC
851	CGGGTCATCA	GGGGGGATCCG	GGTCATCATA	TGGGGCCCGG	GCCTCATATC
901	CATGGACGGG	GACTCCCCCA	CGGGGGGGGGC	CCCCTTCATC	GATCGGGTCC
951	CTCACGGATC	CTTCAGAGGG	TGAAGATCGG	ACACGGCATG	GGACTTTCAG
1051	GUCUCUCAUGG	TCATCGGAC	GGACGATCGA	CGACGCTCAT	CATTCCAGAC
1101	GGGAGGGAAC	CCTTCCCGCT	TTCTCCTTCA	TTCAAGTTTA	GGACCGGAGG
1151	GGCGCCGAAG	CGACGGGCCC	CCTCAGAGGG	GGACCGAAGG	GGCGGCCCGA
1201	ACGGAGGCCA	CIGIGGGCAC	CGGCCACIGA	CIGGGCGIGI	TCCCCCCCC
1301	CTCATCACCT	CTCATCACTT	ATTATCGTCC	CTTATCGTGT	CTCGTGTCCCC
1351	TTATCGTCTC	TTATCATCTC	TTATCGTCCC	CCGTCATCTC	TCATCGCTCT
1401	CATCGCGTCC	CCAGCCCCCC	CACTCCTCTC	GT <u>GGATCC</u> CC	CIGGCCCCCI
1451	CTCAT <u>GGATC</u>	CTCATGGATC CTTGAATCAC	CTCATGCCCC	CCCCTCTCAG	GCCTCCCCCC
1551	TTCCTCCCTC	AGCCCTCCCC	ACCCTCCAC	GCACGGGCCC	CCATCCCCTC
1601	CTACCCCACG	TCGGGAGCCC	TCTCCCACAT	CCGTCTGACT	CGTCCCCCA
1651	ACCCCCAGGT	CTCCAGATCC	TCCTGGATTA	ATATTTACTA	ATAAAATCCA
1751	CCCGTCCCCC	CTGCACCCTT	TOGACGGTGT	TACGTGACTG	TGCACGCAGT
1801	GGGAGGGCCG	TGGGGGGTCG	TGGGGATGGC	AGGAGACTIG	CATGGGGTCA
1851	TCCTTGGGGA	CGGGTCGAGA	GGGATGGAGG	GGCCACCTAA	GGGCCTTATG
1901	GGGGCCTATG	AGCGATCTTT	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	GAGGCT <u>CCCG</u>	ACTCGACTT
2001	CGGACACCAA	CTTACACCTA	AAATCGAGCA	GAAAGTGCAC	GAAAGATGGA
2051	GGCCGACTTT	CGGCTACTAT	AGGGGAAGGA	GGAAGGCCGG	GC <u>CCCGGG</u> GG
2101	ACGGGGGGACG	AAGTGCTGCG	CGGGGCGGGG	AGGAGAAG <u>GA</u>	<u>TC</u> GGAGGGGT
2151	CACCIGGGGA	CCCTCAATAA	GTGGACGAAA	GGTGGAGGCC	GACTTTCCGC
2201	TACTGTAGGG	GCCAGGAGGC	CGGGG <u>CCCGG</u>	QGGCCCGAAG	GGCGAGGCCG
2251	GCCGAGGG <u>CC</u>	GCCCCCCCCTC	AAATCGGACG	GGGGGAGGGG	CGAGAGGCCC
2301	AAATCGGACG	GGGAGGAGGG	CTCCAGGGCC	CGCCCCCCCT	CAAATCGAAC
2351	GGGGGAGGGG	CGAGAGGCCC	AAATCGGACG	GGGAGGAGGG	CTCCAGGGCC
2401	GCCCCCCCTC	AAATCGAACG	GGGGGGAGGGG	CGAGAGGCCC	AAATCGGACG *******
2451	GGGAGGAGGG	CTCCAGGG <u>CC</u>	GCCCCCCCTC	AAATCGAACG	GGCGAGGCGA
2501	GAGGCCCAAA	TCGGACGGGA	GGAGGGCTCC	AGGCCCGCCC	CCCCTCAAAT
2551	CGAACGGGGGG	GAGGGGCGAG	AGGCCCCCAA	ATCGGACGGG	GAGGAGGCTC
2601	CAGGC <u>CCG</u> CC	CCCCCTCAAA	TCGAACGGGG	GGAGGGGCGA	GAGGCCCCCA
2651	AATCGGACGG	GGAGGAGGCC	CCCTCCGAAC	GGC CCCCTCC	CCC CTCGGTC
2701	Treffere	CCCCCCTCGC	CEGGTEETGA	ACGAAGIGCC	GTCGCAGGGA
2751	GGGGAGTGAG	CIGGGGAAGA	AGGACCACAA	CCCCCACCTA	GAGACCITCA
2801	ATAAGIGGCC	CAAAGATGGA	GGCCGATITT	CGGCTACTAT	AGGGAAGGCA
2851	CUACUAGGCC	GGACCGGGAC	GAGAAGACCC	ACCCGACGAC	GAGIGIG <u>GAT</u>
2901	UGTACGACGA	V1	GGGTCCGGCC	CACAACGGAC	GGATCGACCG
2951 3001 3051 3101 3151 3201	ATCGACAGAC ACAAAAGCCA ACAACGGTAC AACCCAGCGC GGAGCGAATG GTGGTCTACG	CATCCGGTTG TGCAAGCGGA GAGTCTGACC CAAGACGAGT CCCCGGATGAG GAGGGGATGA	ATCC/IGCCGG CACGAGGTAT GGGGGTGAAG GCTCAAGAGC GTTCCGAGGT TGCCTGGCGG	AGTACTACGC GAAGTGGCGG GCTAGACGGA GGGGAAGGAA ATTACCTAGT AGGATCAGGG	TACCCCAAGG ACGGCTCGGT TACCGCTGGC AGCACGCGAT CGGTAGAGTA TTTGACTCCG

Fig. 1. The nucleotide sequence of *Giardia muris* rDNA. The RNA-like DNA strand of the longest rDNA is given corresponding to the map. (See insert.) The positions of the 5' and 3' ends of the SSU-rRNA (numbers 1 and 2) and 5.8S rRNA (numbers 3 and 4) genes are indicated with numbered arrowheads. Here, only the first 23 and last 37 bases of the LSU-rDNA are indicated with arrowheads (5, 6). The sequence of a shorter spacer-specific Sau3AI fragment, position 2139–2901, is aligned with and written under the DNA sequence; the identical base sequences are indicated by dots (.) under the bases, and deletions are indicated by asterisks (\*). The positions of a number of direct repeats are indicated by underlining the beginning of each repeated sequence with a short arrow ( $\rightarrow$ ). The various restriction enzyme sites,

3251	GAGAACGGGC	CTGAGAGACG	GCCCGTACAT	CCAAGGACGG	CAGCAGGCGC
3301	GGAACTTGCC	CAATGCGTGA	AGGCGTGAGG	CAGCAACGGG	GGATCCCATG
3351	AAATGGGAAG	ACTGGGGGGT	AGATGACCCC	AGCACAAGTC	GAGGGAAAGG
3401	TCTGGTGCCA	GCAGCCGCGG	TAATTCCAGC	TCGGCAGGCG	TCGTACGGCG
3451	CTGTTGCAGT	TAAAACGTCC	GGAGTCGAGA	CGTCCAGCCG	GGAGGAAAGA
3501	GGAGCGCTTA	AGGCGGGGAGT	GAGTACGAGA	AAGCCCGGGA	CGGACATGAA
3551	GGTGAATGGG	TAAGGGCATG	TGTATTGGTG	GGGGACGGGT	GAAATAGGAT
3601	GATCCGACCA	AGACAGACAA	AGGCGTAGGC	ACTTGCCAAG	ACCATATCAG
3651	TCGAACCAGG	ACGAAGCCCG	GGGGCGAGAA	GGCGATTAGA	CACCACCGTA
3701	TTCCCGGGGCG	TAAACGATGC	CACCGAGAGA	CTGGCCAGGT	CGTCAGGATC
3751	GAAGGGAAAC	CGATCAGGGT	ACGGGCTCTG	GGGGGAGTAT	GGCCGCAAGG
3801	CTGGAACTTG	AAGGCATTGA	CGGAGG <u>GGTA</u>	CCACCAGACG	TGGAGTCTGC
3851	GGCTCAATTT	GACTCAACGC	GAACACCTTA	CCAGGCCCAG	ACGTACGGAG
3901	GATCGACGGT	TGAGAGGACC	TTCGTGATCG	TACGAGTGGT	GGTGCATGGC
3951	CGTTCACAGC	CCGTGGCTTG	AGCCGTCTGC	TTGACTGCGA	CAACGAGCGA
4001	GACCCTAACC	TGGATGGGAC	CGCCAATGGT	GAATTGGAGG	AAGGTGGGGC
4051	GATAACAGGT	CTGTGATGCC	CTTAGACGCC	CTGGGCTGCA	CGCGTACTAC
4101	ACTGTGGGGA	TGAAACCACG	TCGAGTTGTG	AAGCTTGATG	AGATCAACCC
4151	CCACGTGGTT	GGGATCGTGG	ACTGGAACGT	CCTCGTGAAC	CTGGAATGTC
4201	TAGTAGGCGT	AGGTCATCAA	TCTACGCCGG	ATACGTCCCT	GCCCCTTGTA
4251	CACACCGCCC	GTCGCTCCTA	CCGACTGGGT	CTTCTGGCGA	GCTCCTGGGA
4301	GGGATGAACC	GAACAGGGAC	GAACCGCGAG	GCTTGGAGGA	AGGAGAAGTC ₩2
4351	GTAACAAGGT	ATCCGTAGGT	GAACCTGCGG	ATGGATCCAT	CGAGAGGGAA
		▼:	3 '		
4401	GGTACGAGGA	TGAAGGCAAT	GAGATGACGC	GACCCGGTGG	ATGCCTTGGC
4451	TCGGGGGACG	ATGAAGGACG	TGGCTGACGA	CGATACTCGA	TGTGGTCCAA
				<b>∀</b> 4	4
4501	GCACGTGACA	TCGATCTTCG	AATGGATCAT	CGGGGTGGTG	GGGTGATCTA ▼5
4551	CGAACTGGGT	TGTTCGAGGG	GTTGAACGAT	GGGGAATGAG	ATGAGGATCC
4601	CCCCCCACTC	CGATGAAGAT	<large< td=""><td>e subunit rl</td><td>DNA&gt;</td></large<>	e subunit rl	DNA>
				₹6	
7251	CGCCTGAGGT	TTGGTTCGGG	TTGGCATTCC	CCCCGAGCCC	CCGAGGGTCG
7301	AAGACTGAGG	GGGTGAGGGA	AGGGCCGGAA	CAACCCCCCC	GAGGGTCGTG
7351	AGACGAAGGG	GCCGGAAGCG	AGGCCCCCCG	CCCCGAGGAA	GACCTGAAGG
7401	GGGGTGAGGG	CATCCCCCGT	CATACCAGGG	GGGTGGGAAG	GGCTCCCTCC
7451	GGTCGTGGGA	CCAGGGGGGT	GAGGGCCCCC	GGTCGTAAGG	GGGCATCCGA
7501	GGGGTGAGAC	TTGAGGCGCC	GAGGGTCATA	CCAGGGGGGG	TGAGGGCCCA
7551	AGGGGGGGCGT	CCCCCGCCCG	GATCGACCTG	AAGCGAGATC	AGACCCCCGT
7601	GGGTCGAAGG	CCCGGGTACG	GGGGCCTACA	GAAGGATACC	AGATTTGGAA
7651	CGGTTACAGT	GGATTTTG			



corresponding to the map, are indicated by underlining. The closed arrows, position 128–973, indicate an open reading frame for 281 amino acids. Insert: physical and genetic map of the *G. muris* rDNA. The positions of the rRNA genes are indicated by boxes over the restriction enzyme map; from left to right the boxes represent SSU-rDNA, 5.8S rDNA, and LSU-rDNA. The arrows indicate the direction and extent of DNA sequence analysis. An oligonucleotide, used to supplement the sequence analysis, is indicated at the 5' end of the SSU-rDNA as an upright bar on an arrow and has the sequence 5'GATCCTGCCGGAG3'. Restriction enzyme sites: BamHI (B); HindIII (H); KpnI (K); PvuII (P); Sau3AI (S); TaqI (T); XhoI (X); the short bars without letters are the SmaI sites.

tion enzyme recognition pattern than G. duodenalis (van Keulen et al. 1991a). The rDNA of G. muris also has a much lower G + C content (61.9%) than that of G. duodenalis (Boothroyd et al. 1987). To provide a basis for further analysis of the taxonomic and evolutionary position of the genus Giardia, the DNA sequence of the entire rDNA operon of Giardia muris was determined from available clones. This report will focus on the spacer and LSU-rRNA gene and its RNA structure. A comparison between the SSU-rRNA of G. muris, G. duodenalis, and G. ardeae and its implication in phylogeny will be presented in a later publication.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Isolation of DNA. Giardia muris trophozoites were obtained from cysts isolated from CF1 mice by standard methods (Robert-Thomson et al. 1976; Sauch 1984). Trophozoites were harvested from *G. muris* cysts which were induced to excyst as previously described (Campbell et al. 1990). Total genomic DNA was isolated according to a standard procedure described previously (van Keulen et al. 1985). Plasmid DNA was isolated by the alkaline minipreparation procedure of Birnboim (1983) modified by the use of ammonium acetate for the precipitation of the genomic DNA complex (Bird and Wu 1989).

Southern Blot Analysis. Total genomic DNA was digested (4  $\mu$ g per digestion) with various restriction enzymes and fractionated according to size on 1% agarose gels. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide, photographed, and prepared for Southern transfer to nitrocellulose filters according to standard procedures (Maniatis et al. 1982).

All cloned DNAs used as probes were digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes and separated from vector DNA by agarose gel electrophoresis. The DNA fragments were purified by the freeze-phenol procedure (Benson 1984). Nick-translations and hybridizations were carried out as described previously (Campbell et al. 1990).

DNA Sequence Analysis. The appropriate DNA restriction enzyme fragments were size fractionated, purified, and cloned in M13mp18 or mp19 vector DNA with JM 101 or JM 109 as host strains (Messing 1983). For the single-stranded DNA isolations and the sequencing reactions, the protocols supplied with the Sequenase and TAQuence kits were used (United States Biochemical Corp., Cleveland, OH). Areas with extreme band compressions were analyzed by using dITP with Sequenase or 7-deaza-dGTP with TAQuence in the reaction labeling mixture. When necessary the standard 8% acrylamide/8 M urea sequencing gels were substituted for 8% acrylamide/8 M urea/20% formamide gels. Most of the sequence was determined by analysis of both strands; in small remaining sections where this could not be done the sequence analysis was repeated using the two different enzymes. The oligonucleotide used as internal primer for sequence analysis was synthesized in the microchemical facility at the University of Minnesota and had the sequence 5'GATCCT-GCCGGAC3'. The sequence data were analyzed with the DNASTAR sequence programs (DNASTAR Inc., Madison, WI). The sequence of the LSU-rRNA of G. muris was compared to those from G. duodenalis (Healey et al. 1990), G. ardeae (van Keulen et al. 1991b), Escherichia coli (Brosius et al. 1980), and Halobacterium halobium Mankin and Kagramanova 1986). Sequence similarity was determined by the DNASTAR alignment program, based on the procedure of Wilbur and Lipman (1983) with a K-tuple size of 5, range of 20, and gap penalty of 6. Sequence alignment was performed by aligning the sequences according to the procedure of Woese et al. (1983).

Secondary Structure. The secondary structure diagrams were drawn in a format based on that of E. coli 23S rRNA model, which may be regarded as the standard or prototype structure (Gutell et al. 1990). The figures were laid out with the assistance of a new RNA graphics program, XRNA, developed by Bryn Weiser (unpublished). Identification of some of the variable regions and estimates of their sizes were based on the compendium of 23S-like rRNAs by Gutell et al. (1990).

## Results

#### Structure of the rDNA Operon and Spacer DNA

The rDNA repeat of G. muris contains two HindIII and two KpnI sites (Fig. 1). Cloning of the operon was performed by isolation of the two HindIII and three KpnI fragments from genomic DNA digests and insertion in plasmid vectors. The clones consist of HindIII fragments of 5.2-kilobasepair (kbp) (pGmr5.2) and 2.55 kbp (pGmr2.5) and of KpnI fragments of 6.5-kbp (pGmr6.5), 6.2 kbp (pGmr6.2), and 1.15 kbp (pGmr1.15). Digestion of the clones pGmr6.5 and pGmr6.2 with BamHI revealed that the size difference resided in 1.8- and 1.5-kbp BamHI fragments, respectively, which hybridized to SSU-rDNA probes (not shown). The size difference was caused by the presence of differently sized Sau3AI fragments in the various clones which are located 5' to the SSU-rRNA gene (Fig. 1). Since differently sized clones could be artifacts from extension/deletion of the cloned DNAs in E. coli due to repeated sequences, the possible presence of variously sized BamHI fragments in genomic DNA was determined by hybridization of nick-translated Sau3AI fragment to size-fractionated restriction enzyme digests of G. muris genomic DNA. Indeed, four bands hybridized to the Sau3AI fragment, indicating that there were several differently sized spacer DNAs present. These same bands also hybridized to a SSU-rDNA probe (data not shown).

The restriction enzyme map and entire sequence

Fig. 2. Sequence alignment of G. muris, G. ardeae, G. duodenalis LSU-rRNA with E. coli 23SrRNA. The sequence of the LSU-rRNA of G. muris was aligned with the sequence of G. ardeae (van Keulen et al. 1991b), with G. duodenalis from position 856-3745 of the sequence published by Healey et al. (1990) for G. intestinalis except that a third C was inserted after position 3500 (unpublished observation) and with E. coli (Brosius et al. 1980). The dashes (-) indicate spaces created in the sequence for optimal alignment.

E.coli G.muris G.ardeos G.intestinalis	GGUUAAGGGACUAAGGGUACAGGGUGGAUGCCUGGGCGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGGUGGGU	101 88 87 89
E.coli G.muris G.ardece G.intestinalis	UAACCGGGG   auUUCCGAAUGGG (GAAA) CCC   AGUGUGU (UUCG) ACACACUAUCAUUAACU-GA {-AUCCA}UAGGUUAAUGAGGCGAACCGGGGGGAACU   GA (AACA) UC acaucga   -ucUUCCGAAU { } }	201 166 174 182
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	ULAGUACCCCGAGGAAAAGAAAUC (AACC) GAGAUUCCCCCAGUAGC (GCGA) GCGAACGGGGGAGCAGCCCA (<	297 283 281 289
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	GAAGCGUCU (-GGAA-) AGGCGCGGAUACAGGG (UGACAGC) CCCGUA   CACAAAAAUGCACAUGCUGUGAGCUCGAU   GAGUAGGGCGGGA (CACGUGGUA) UCCUGUCUGAAUAUGGGG gaguggg (uggas) ccca-caccaagCAGGG (UGACAGC) CCC-GUA   gggau-ggacacaa-ccccaccgac   GAGUACGUCUCUCUCU (ugagag) UGAAGGGGGagAGGAG gagugggg (gggagc) ccca-cgcccgaGAGGG (UGACAGC) CCCGUA   gggau-ggacacaa-ccccaccgac   GAGUACGUCUCUCUCUCU (ugagag) UGAAGGAGGAGAGGAA gagugggg (cccgagg) gccc-cgcccgaGAGGG (UGAAGC) CCCCU   eggc-ggg-ggc-ggcgccgggccugcgggg   GAGUACGCCUCU (ugagag) UGCAGGGGGGaGGAAG cgagggggg (cccgagg) gccc-cgccgaGAGGG (UGCAAGC) CCCGUA   eggcggccgccgggccugcgcgg   GAGUAGCGCUGCU (ugagag) UGCAGGGGGaGGAAG	410 384 384 392
E.coli G.muris G.ardeoe G.intestinalis	(G-ACCAU-) CCUCCAAGGCUAAAUACUCCUGACUGACCGAUAGUGAAC-CAGUACC (GUGA) GGGAAAGGCGAAAAGAACCCC (GGCGA-) GGGGAGUGAAAAAGAACC-UGAAACCGUGUACGU< (GUGGUCC) CUUCUAAGGCUAAUACCGCCCGAGACCGAUAGUGACCAAGUAQU (GCGA) ACGUAAGGUGGAAAAGAACCCC (GGCCCC) GGU-CAAAGACCCUGAACCGGUggegGG< <cc (GUGAUGC) CUUCCAAGGCUAAUACGCCGGAGCGAUAGGGACCAAGUAQU (GCGA) ACGUAAAGGAGAUGCC (cccga) GGCA-gu-CAAAAGACC-UGAAACCGCGGGCG (GGGCGGCC) CUUCCAAGGCUAAUA-CGCCCGGGACCGAUAGGGGACCAAGUAGC (GCGA) GCUAACGGUGAAAAGGACGCC (cu-gc-) GGCC-gcu-CAAAAGACC-UGAACCGGCGGC (GGGCGGCC) CUUCCAAGGCUAAUA-CGCCCGGGACCGAUAGGGGACCAAGUAGC (GCGA) GCUAACGGUGAAAAGGACGCC (cu-gc-) GGCC-gcu-CAAAAGACC-UGAACCGGCCGCCGCC (GGGCGGCC) CUUCCAAGGCUAAAUA-CGCCCGGGACCGAUAGGGGACCAAGUAGC (GCGA) GCUAACGGUGAAAAGGACGCC (cu-gc-) GGCC-gcu-CAAAAGACC-UGAACCGGCCGCC (GGCGGGCC) CUUCCAAGGCUAAAUA-CGCCCGGGACCGAUAGGGGACCAAGUAGC (GCGA) GCUAACGGUGAAAAGGACGCC (cu-gc-) GGCC-gcu-CAAAAGACC-UGAACCGGCCGGCCGCC</cc 	525 505 503 509
E.coli G.muris G.ardese G.intestinalis		615 593 589 575
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	A) -GGGGAGCCGAAGG (GAAA) CCC CGGAGAGCCGUAAGUGCAGGGUAAGUGCAGGGUAAGUAGACCCGAAACCCGGUAAUCAG   a) gucaagacuucaaggg (gccc) cuucac	690 663 672 675
E.coli G.muris G.ardeoe G.intestinalis	CCNUGGGCAGGUUGAAGGUUGGG (UAAC) ACUAACUGGAGGCCCGCCGAC-UA-AU (GUUGAAAA) AUUAGCGGAUGACUUGUGGCUGGGGGU (GAAAG) ACCAAUCAAACCGGGAGAUAGCUUGUU ACUUGACAAGGUUGAAGCACAC (GAAA) GCCUUGGGGAGGCCCGACUGCGA-GUECUGA (CGUGCAAA) UGCUCGGUCGAGUUAAUGUAAGUGGCUGGCGACGAACAACAACAACUGGUUGCUUGUU GCCCGGCCAAGGCCAACCAGC (GAAA) GCCUUGGUGGGGCCCGACCG-GUUGUUG (GGUGAAAA) UGCUCGGUCGGGCGGGGGUUGGAGUGGUUGGAACUGGUUGUUG GCCCGGCGAGGGCCAACCAGC (GAAA) GCCUUGGUGGGCCCGACCG-GUUGUUG (GGUGAAAA) UGCUCGGUCGGGCGGGGGGUUGGAGUGGUUGGUGGUGGUUGUUGUUGUUGUUG	812 786 795 798
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	UCCCCGAAAGCUAU (UUAG) GUAGCGCCUCGUGAAUUCAU ;CUCCGG   GGGUAGACACUGUUUCGGCAAGGGGGUC (AUCCC) GACUUACCAACCCGAUGCAAACUGCGAAUA   CCGG CCUCCGAAAUUCUC (CCCA) GGUUAGCCGACAUC   augaacaguugcccu   AGUUAGGCACUGUUGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	924 901 908 911
E.coli G.muris G.ardeoe G.intestinalis	AGAUGUUNUCACGGGAGACACACGGCGGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCG	1017 1023 1029 1032
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	UUNAGUGGGAAACGAUGUGGGAAGGCCCAGACAGGCAGGAUGUUGGC (UUAGAAGCA) GCCAUCAUUUNAAGAAAGC (GUAAUA) GC   UCACUGGUCGAGUCGGCCUGCGGGGAAGAUGUAACGGGGC-U CUcgau-caas-oGGGUCCGUCGAUGUGACAGCUGGAAGUUGGCC (UUACAGUA) GGAAGCCUULAAGGAGGG (GUAACA) AC   CCACCAGCCGAAUCGAGGGCGCGGAAAAUGGAAC-ACGCCG CGgag-ggaa-CGGGUCGGCCGGUCGGACAGCUGGAAAGUGGCC (CUAGAAGU) GGAAUCCUCCAAGGAGUG (GUAACA) AC   CCACCAGCCGAAUCGAGGCGCGCCGCAAAAUGGAAC-CGCAGC CCcgg-ggaa-CGGGUCGGCCGGUCGCGGCGGCAAAAUGGAGC (CCAGAAGUC) GGCAUCCUCCAGGGAGUGU (GUAACA) AC   CCACCAGCCGAAUCGGGGCGGCAAAAUGGAAC-CGCAGC CCcgg-ggaa-CGGGUCGGCCGGUCCCGACAGGUGGCG (CCAGAAGUGGCC (CCAGAAGUC) GGCAUCCUCCAGGGAGUGU (GUAACA) AC   CCACCAGCCGAAUCGGGCCGGCCGGAAAAUGGAAC-CGCAGC CCcggaa-ggaa-CGGGUCGGCCGGUCCCGACAGGUGGCG (CCAGAAGUGGCC (CCAGAAGUC) GGCAUCCUCCAGGGAGUGU (GUAACA) AC   CCACCAGCCGAAUCGGCCGGCCGGAAAAUGGAGC CCcggaa-ggaa-CGGGUCGGCCGGCCGGACAGGUGGCC (CCAGAAGUGGCC (CCAGAAGUC) GGCAUCCUCCAGGGAGUGU (GUAACA) AC   CCACCAGCCGAUCGGCCGGCCGGAAAAUGGAGC-GCGCCG	1141 1145 1151 1153
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intertinalis	AAACCAUGC   ACCGAAGCUGCGG   CAGCGACGC (UUAU-) GCGUUGUUGGGUAGGGGAGCGUUCUGUa = GCCUG-CGAAGGUGUGCU (GUCA) GGCAUGCUGG   AGGUA-UCAGAAGUGCGAAUGCUGA AAGCAUACG   AUCCGGCACCCCAC   cgaga (ugug-)ucucgGGUAGGAGGUcgucauguagagu-cgaagccauggg (GUCA) ccacgugugg   aucgagucAUGAUUCUCGG GAGCCUGGG   AUCCAGCCGGC   cgccg (cgcg-) cggcgGGUAGGACGCgcgggggcaucgggu-cgaagccauggg (GUCA) ccacgucgg)   aucgagucCCGGUCCGAUCUAGU GAGCCUGGG   AUCCAGCCCGGC   cgccg (cgcg-) cggcgGGUAGGACGCcgcaggggccacggggggcggagggggggggggggagggggg	1260 1256 1261 1266
E.coli G.anuris G.ardese G.intesvinalis	CAUAAGUAAGGAURAAGCGGGU (GAAAA) GCCCGCU (CGCCGGAA-GACCAAGGGUUCCUGUCCUACG (UUAAU) CG (GGCAGGGUGAGUCCCUAAGGCGAGGCC (GAAA) <	1367 1355 1361 1367
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	ac) gccguaccaugggg (ucau) ccucacaggacpauagggugaCCGG (UUAAUAU) CCUGUACUUGGUGUJACU<	1439 1465 1468 1471
E.coli G.muris G.ardece G.intestinalis	UGUUGGCCGGG (GAAGGUUGU) CCCGGUUUAAGCGUGUAGC  UGGUU-UUCCAGG (CAAAU) CCGGAAAAUCAAGGCUGAGGGGGUGAUGACGAAGCACGAACAAAUGCC Acaugguggga (gucaucccg-) uccuaccccaguucccccauguccaguaguac (uucag) guggugccagggggacggggagggagggagggagggag Aggcgguggga (gcggccgcg-) ucccagccccggucc	1557 1556 1561 1564
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	CUGCUUCC-AGGANAAGCCUCUAAGCAUCAGGUACAUCAAAUCGUACCCCAAACC (GACACA) GG   UGTUCAGGUA (GAGAA) UACCAAGGCGC   IUUGAGAGAACUCGGGUCAAGGAACUAGGCAAAA g-gucauccaugaaacccguuguguccccccceauccugaUCGUAC-ACCAACC (GCAACA) GG   ACUCCAGGU (GAUCA) GCCUCUAGGC-  IgggagAGACCAUGACUAAGGAAGUCGGCAUG g-gucaecccugaaacccguuguguccccacceauccugaUCGUACcCAACC (GCAACA) GG   ACUCCGGGGU (GAUCA) GCCUCUAGGC-  IgggagAGACCAUGACUAAGGAAGUCGGCAUG g-gucaecccugaaacaggggggggcggccggccggccgGCGUACcCAACC (GCAGCA) GG   ACUCCGGGGU (GAUCA) GCCUCUAGGC-   IgggagAGAACCAUGACCAUAGGAAGUCGGCAUG ggccgccccugaaacagggggggcgccggccggccgCGUACCGACC (GCAGCA) GG   ACUCCGGGGU (CAGCA) GCCUCUAGGC   IgggagAGAACGAUGACCAUGACUCAGGAAGUCGGCAAG	1679 1673 1676 16 <b>8</b> 0
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	UGGUGCCGUAAC (UUCG) GGAGAAGGCAC   GCUGAUAUGUAGGUGAGGUCC (CUCGC) <	1787 1757 1765 1771
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	CACAGCACUGUGC-AAACAC (-gaaa) GUGGACGUAUACGGUGUGACGCCUGCCCGGU  GCCG-GAAGGUUAAUUGAUGGGUUAGC (GCAA) GCGAAGCUCUUGAUCAAGCC   CCGGUAAAC  GGC  G CAUAGCGUCCUGCCAGUCGU (caugg) AUGACGUUACAACGUGAUUUCUGCCCAGU  GCCACGACGgucac	1906 1862 1868 1874
E.coli G.muris G.ardece G.intestinalis	GCCGU (AACUAUA) ACGGUCCUAAGG (UAGCGAAAUU) CCUU (GUCGGG (UAAGU) UCCGACCUGCACGAAUGGCGUAUGACCAGCGCUGCUCCACCCAGACACUAGUGAAAUGAACGAGGUCACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACG	2028 1983 1989 1994
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	GAAGAUG) CAGUGUACCCGCGGCAAGAAGACGCAAGAAGACCCCUUAA   ACCUUUACUAUAGCUUGAACCUUGAUGCAUUGUAGCGUUGAAGGCUUGAAGGGUGGAGGCUUUGAAGUGGGACGCCGGCGGCAGGGGAGGCUUUGAAGUGGGACGACGACGACGAGGGAGG	2153 2086 2092 2097
E.coli G.muris G.erdeae G.intestinalis	AUGGAGCCGACCUUGAAAUACCACCCUUUAAug-UUUGAUGUUCUAACGUUGACCGCG (UAAUC) CGGGUUGC<	2262 2180 2186 2191
E.coli G.muris G.ardece G.intestinalis	CC (UAAAGAGUAAC) GGAGGAGGACGAAGGUGGC (UAAUCCUGG (UCOGACA) UCAGGAGGUUAGUGCAAUGGCAUAGCCACUGACUGACUGA	2382 2299 2306 2312
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	GUCAUAGUGAUCCOGGUGG-UUCUG (~AAUGGA) AGGGCCAUCGCUCAACGGAUAAAAGGUACUCCGGGGAUAACAGGCUCAUACGGCCCAAGAG (UUCAU) AUCGACGGCGGUGUUUGGCACCUGAU GGCCUACGAUCCUUCAacGgcug (sgguaauce) gagcguggag-gugacagaaAAGUAACAGGGAUAACUGGCUGGGCGCCCAAGAG (UUCAU) AUCGACGGGGGUUUUUGAUCCUUCGAU GGCCUACGAUCCUUCGG-cgcuc (ggguauc) gagcguggag-gugacagaaAAGUAACUGGCUGGGGCUUGUGGCGCCAAGGG (CCCC) AGCGACGGGGCUUUUUGAUCCUUCGAU GGCCUACGAUCCUUCGG-cgcuc (ggguauc) gagcguggag-gugacagaaAAGUAACUGGCUGGGGAUACUGGCGCCCAAGGG (CCCC) AGCGACGGGGCUUUUUGAUCCUUCGAU GGCCUACGAUCCUUCGG-cgcuc (ggguauc) gagcguggag-gugacagaaAAGUAACUGGCUGGGGAUGUGUGGCGCCCAAGGG (CCCC) AGCGACGGGGCUUUUUGAUCCUUCGAU	2504 2422 2426 2432
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	GUCGGCUC   AUCAC   AUCCUGGGGCUG (AAGU) AGGUCCCAAGGU   AUGCC (UGUUC) GCCAUUUAAAGUGGUACGCGAGCUGGGUUUAGACGUC (GUGA) GACAGUUAGUUUGGEUCCUAUCUGCGUGG GUCGGCUC   UUCCU   ACCGUCCGCAUG (CAUC) GGGGCGGAAGCGU   CGGAU (UGUUC) ACCGULUA -AGGAUCGUGGGUUUAGACGUC (GUGA) GACAGGUUAGUUUAUCCUGCGGG GUCGGCUC   UUCCU   ACCGUCCGCGCG (CAUC) GGGCGGAAGCGU   CGGAU (UGUUC) ACCCGULUC-AGGAUCGUGGUUUAGACGUC (GUGA) GACAGGUUAGUUUAUCCUGCGgaC GUCGGCUC   UUCCU   ACCGUCCGCGCG (CAUC) GGGCGGAAGCGU   CGGAU (UGUUC) ACCCGULUC-AGGAUCGUGGUUUAGACGUC (GUGA) GACAGGUUAGUUUAUCCUGCgaCG GUCGGCUC   UUCCU   ACCGUCCGCGCG (CAUC) GGCGCGAAGCGU   CGGAU (UGUUC) ACCCGULUC-AGGAUCGUGGCUUGGUUUAGACCGUC (GUGA) GACAGGUUAGUUUUAUCCUGCGgaCG GUCGGCUC   UUCCU   ACCGUCCGCGCG (CAUC) GGCGCGAAGCGU   CGGAU (UGUUC) ACCCGULUC-AGGAUCGUGGCUUGGUUUAGACCGUC (GUGA) GACAGGUUAGUUUUAUCCUGCGGGGU	2625 2541 2545 2551
E.coli G.muris G.ardece G.intestinalis	CCCUGGAGAAACUGAGGGGGCCUGCUCC (UACUAC (GAGA) GGACC) GGAGUGGAGGAUGACUGGUGUCUGGGU   UGUCAU (GCCA) AUGGCACUGCCOGUAGCUAAAUGCGGAAGAUGAGUGAGGAUGCUGGU ( -aucuccaugeguccaguACGUCGGGU (CAGUAC (GAGA) GGAAC) ACCCGUCGGGGAGCeucegau-eucCCGG   UUGUCAU (GCCA) AUGGCAGUG-ugucggegeucgg-ggggacUAGGACU (G cegggggcagaggACGGCGGGU (CAGUAC (GAGA) GGAAC) ACCCGUCGGGGGCegeeggu-eucCCGGG   UUGUCAU (GCCA) GGBCAGGGCCGGU-eugucggg-ggggacUAGGACU (G cegggggcagaggACGGCGGGGU (CAGUAC (GAGA) GGAAC) ACCCGUCGGGGGCegeeggu-eucCGGG   UUGUCC (GGCC) GGBCAGGGCCGGU-eggceggg-ggggacUAGGACU (G 	2747 2658 2658 2664
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	AAAGCAUCUA) AGCACGAAACUGGCCCGAGAUGAGUCUCCC<	2826 2731 2749 2759
E.coli G.muris G.ardeae G.intestinalis	CGACGUUSAUAGGCCGGGUGUGUAAGCGCA(GCGA-)UGCGUUGAGCUAACCGGUACUAAUGAACCGUGAGGCUUAACCuu j cccuuuu-cuccgaccuac-uguacggcguggagcuuc (uugcg) accgccugagguaugguusggugggguuggauuccccgag	2904 2811 2817 2836

for the SSU-rDNA, 5.8S rDNA, and spacer DNA and part of the LSU-rDNA of G. muris rDNA is presented in Fig. 1. The position of the LSU-rDNA is indicated by the first 23 and last 37 nucleotides. The entire sequence of the LSU-rDNA is shown separately in Figs. 2 and 3. The sequence of one of the shorter Sau3AI fragments in the spacer is indicated in Fig. 1, where it is aligned with the sequence of the same region corresponding to the largest Sau3AI fragment found. The observed size difference is obviously the result of variation in the number of repeated sequences in the spacer DNA. These repeats are indicated in the figure. The boundaries of the mature rRNAs that were determined previously (van Keulen et al. 1991a) are indicated by arrowheads (Fig. 1). The exact position of the 3' end of the LSU-rRNA is the least certain.

The entire operon is 7668 nucleotide (nt) long and contains a SSU-rRNA gene of 1429 nt and a LSU-rRNA gene of approximately 2698 nt. The overall G + C content of G. muris rDNA is 61.9%. The G + C content of the LSU-rDNA is 57.2%. An open reading frame of 281 amino acids was found in the spacer DNA.

## Structure of the LSU-rRNA

The sequence alignment of the LSU-rDNA including 5.8S rDNA of G. muris with that of G. duodenalis, G. ardeae, and E. coli is presented in Fig. 2. Overall similarity of the LSU-rDNA, not adjusted for secondary structure, was determined from sequence alignment of G. muris LSU-rDNA with those of G. duodenalis, G. ardeae, E. coli, and H. halobium and was 65%, 71%, 46%, and 47%, respectively.

Secondary structure models for G. muris, G. ardeae, and G. duodenalis LSU-rRNA were constructed. The structure of the G. muris rRNA is shown in Fig. 3. Domains (roman numerals) and regions of interest (A-H) are indicated which are based on the secondary structure models described by Gutell et al. (1990). Since the 5.8S domain differs among the three species, the ones for G. ardeae and G. duodenalis are shown separately in Fig. 4, where the tentative helices A and B are indicated in the G. duodenalis structure. The size of the same region in G. muris is smaller, 6 nt compared to 19. The same is true for the G. ardeae RNA, which has only 5 nt here. The regions where the largest differences were found among the three species, namely C, D, F, and H, are shown separately in Fig. 5.

Region C consists of approximately 34 nt in G. muris and 35 in G. ardeae, but only 16 nt in G. duodenalis, with the helical segment of only 8 nt.

Region F shows less difference among the *Giardia* rRNAs, being 20 for *G. muris* and 26 and 28 nt for *G. ardeae* and *G. duodenalis*, respectively. Region H is also larger in these latter two species. Of these three regions, the ones of *G. ardeae* and *G. duodenalis* are more similar to each other than to that of *G. muris*.

## Discussion

The entire nucleotide sequence of the rDNA operon of G. muris has been determined. The organization of the rDNA of G. muris is different from that found in two other *Giardia* species (G. duodenalis and G. ardeae). The spacer of G. muris rDNA is larger than the one in G. duodenalis (van Keulen et al. 1991) and it is the only one of the three that is heterogenous in size. The difference resides in sequences upstream of and close to the SSU-rRNA gene. Many tandem repeats are localized in this segment of the rDNA. This phenomenon of tandem repeats in spacer DNA is common in rRNA genes and is believed to be involved in transcription regulation (Jacob 1986; Sollner-Webb and Tower 1986). Why there is heterogeneity in the G. muris spacer DNA and not in those of G. duodenalis and G. ardeae is unclear.

An open reading frame (ORF) in the antisense strand of the *G. duodenalis* LSU-rDNA has been described by Upcroft et al. (1991). There was no evidence for a similar ORF in the *G. muris* sequence. However, an ORF for 281 amino acids was present in the spacer DNA, on the same strand as the rRNA genes, which would yield a protein of about 30 kDa. Whether this ORF codes for a real protein in *G. muris* remains to be determined.

When the SSU-rRNA of G. duodenalis was analyzed, the rRNA appeared to resemble in size prokaryotic 16S rRNA. Phylogenetic analysis showed G. duodenalis as the earliest-branching eukaryote studied to date (Sogin et al. 1989). A similar observation can be made in the case of G. muris and G. ardeae (manuscript in preparation). As might be expected, the LSU-rRNA of Giardia shows similar features. It is, with respect to its size, similar to prokaryotic rRNA, but contains in its secondary structure many typical eukaryotic features. Notably, these eukaryotic features are among the smallest described so far. Space limitations prevent the discussion of all of the eukaryotic signature features Giardia maintains, but a few will be discussed below. For an optimal analysis of similarity and differences among the Giardia LSU-rRNA, the secondary structures of these molecules were constructed. The structure of the G. duodenalis LSUrRNA was taken from the sequence determined by



Fig. 3. Secondary structure of the *G. muris* LSU-rRNA. The secondary structure is divided in six domains, indicated with roman numerals (I-VI). The positions of some variable regions are indicated with A-H and are based on the compendium of Gutell et al. (1990). (A) Domains I-III and (B) IV-VI. Continued on page 324.

Healey et al. (1990), referred to as *G. intestinalis* in their paper. (Minor corrections were made based on our own sequence analysis.) The following general features appeared when the various domains and helices were analyzed.

## Domain I

The first feature is what appears to be a 5.8S rRNA that is not a part of the LSU-rRNA as is the case in prokaryotes and *Vairimorpha* (Vossbrinck and



Fig. 3. Continued from page 323.

Woese 1986). It is possible to isolate 5.8S rRNA from G. duodenalis (Montanez et al. 1989) and S1 mapping has identified the position of the 5' end of the LSU-rRNA (Boothroyd et al. 1987). The size of the Giardia 5.8S rRNA, however, appears to be smaller than that in other eukaryotes (Edlind and Chakraborty 1987; van Keulen et al. 1991a). Although the exact 5' and 3' ends of the RNA are not known, the 5.8S rRNA sequence can be estimated from the base-pairing scheme. A similar, though not identical, structure was obtained by Edlind et al. (1990) for the G. duodenalis 5.8S domain. The shorter size of the Giardia 5.8S rRNA seems to be the result of shortening of helices A and B in a tentative G. duodenalis model. These helices are absent in G. muris and G. ardeae. An absence of helix A and B is also found in Pirulla marina; helix B is absent in many but not all plastid RNAs [see Gutell et al. (1990) for a survey of these irregular helices]. The largest variation in size is seen in region C, also described as domain D2 (Michot and Bachellerie 1987), which is considered a eukaryotic-

![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 4. The structure of the 5.8S rRNA domain. The 5.8S rRNA domain of G. duodenalis (A) and G. ardeae (B). Helices A and B are indicated in the G. duodenalis structure.

specific secondary structure element (Michot and Bachellerie 1987). This region in eubacteria is about 30 nt and in archaebacteria about 80 nt. In eukaryotes, however, the size varies from 213 (*Crithidia*)-873 (*Homo*) nt. In contrast to these large sizes, this equivalent region in *G. muris* is only 34 nt and in *G. duodenalis* only 16 nt. The size of this region in *G. ardeae* is almost the same as that in *G. muris* (35 nt). Region C in *G. duodenalis* is the most truncated found so far.

# Domain II

Region D is variable in size and secondary structure. This region is similar in G. duodenalis and G. ardeae in contrast to what is observed for region C. No phylogenetically conserved helix can be formed for D in G. muris. Variable region E is generallconsidered kingdom specific and is a typical eukaryotic signature sequence.

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Domain III

A number of variable regions are present in this domain. In *Giardia*, these appear to be truncated, accounting in part for the small size of the rRNA.

## Domain IV

The second characteristically eukaryotic variable region, indicated as F in Fig. 3, or D8 in the nomenclature of Michot and Bachellerie (1987), resides in this domain. It is 45 nt in *E. coli*, 22–37 nt in archaebacteria, and ranges from 143 (*Tetrahymena*) to 718 (*Homo*) nt in eukaryotes, with lower eukaryotes having the smaller size. This region is only 20 nt in *G. muris* and is 28 nt in *G. duodenalis*. In *G. ardeae* it is again similar to that of *G. duodenalis*, namely, 26 nt.

## Domain V

Region G is reduced in all three *Giardia* species, with a size (22 nt) which is similar to that of prokaryotes (30 nt in *E. coli*). This region is smaller in *Giardia* than the same region in all other analyzed eukaryotes, where it ranges from 74 (*Tetrahymena*) to 261 (*Crithidia*) nt. The overall structure of this domain and the sequence of the unpaired bases in the central loop are similar to *E. coli* LSU-rRNA, with a high degree of sequence conservation.

## Domain VI

This domain shows the least sequence homology to other LSU-rRNA. However, when folded, this domain has, despite being quite variable, a secondary structure that is similar to that same domain in all LSU-rRNA. The putative 3' end of the LSU-rRNA can therefore be localized with a reasonable degree of certainty. Since it is not possible to isolate enough rRNA from G. muris cysts to identify the exact position of the 3' end of the LSU-rRNA, sequence comparison to G. ardeae and G. duodenalis was used to estimate the possible 3' end. The loss of sequence homology between G. ardeae and G. duodenalis was used as an indication of the 3' end (van Keulen et al. 1991a). This suggests that the G. duodenalis LSU-rRNA ends much earlier than other investigators have reported (Boothroyd et al. 1987; Healey et al. 1990). Based on the putative folding of domain VI, this conclusion still holds. Additional evidence comes from a reevaluation of the size of the LSU-rRNA from G. duodenalis by gel electrophoresis of glyoxal-treated RNA which included more markers than previously used. A size of about 1.7 kb was obtained (unpublished results). Based on the alignments of Fig. 2, this agrees well with the observed size of the LSU-rRNA. The major variable region in domain VI is indicated with an H in Fig. 3. This region is much larger in other eukaryotes (64 nt [*Euglena*]-230 nt [*Rattus*] than in *Giardia:* 19 nt in *G. muris* and 38-40 nt in the other two species of *Giardia*.

In conclusion, the size of the LSU-rRNA of G. muris is, together with the similar structures of G. duodenalis and G. ardeae LSU-rRNA, prokaryotic rather than eukaryotic. However, a number of phylogenetic signatures link Giardia with eukaryotes. Two variable regions in particular, C and F, but also G and H, are examples of a considerable shortening of the rRNA size where these structures are among the shortest found in eukaryotic LSU-rRNA. All this supports the suggestion that Giardia appears to occupy a unique position having one of the most truncated LSU-rRNAs found in eukaryotes so far. This is consistent with other observations to the effect that Giardia belongs to the earliest and deepest branching of the eukaryotic evolutionary tree. However, to give Giardia the status of "missing link" between pro- and eukaryotes, as others (Kabnick and Peattie 1991) have suggested, cannot be maintained, since Giardia LSU-rRNA shows many typical eukaryotic features. The rDNA gene, as a whole, has many distinctly eukaryotic features, being tandemly repeated, having spacer DNA with variable sizes, and containing a separate gene for the 5.8S rRNA. The short size of the entire operon—which is the result of a short spacer, a smaller 5.8S rRNA, and the shortening in size of important structural elements in the rRNAs, as shown here for the LSU-rRNA---and the finding of open reading frames in the rDNA operon, appear to make Giardia rRNA genes unique.

Acknowledgments. We gratefully acknowledge Bryn Weiser's programming expertise, which resulted in the secondary structure drawings. We would also like to thank the W.M. Keck Foundation for their generous support of RNA Science on the Boulder campus. This work was supported by grants from the Ohio Boards of Regents Academic and Research Challenge Programs (H.v.K., E.L.J.) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency cooperative agreement CR-816637-01-0 to the University of Minnesota (S.L.E.) and Cleveland State University (E.L.J.). The EMBL Data Library accession number is X65063. R.R.G. is an associate in the Evolutionary Biology Program of the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research.

#### References

- Benson SA (1984) A rapid procedure for isolation of DNA fragments from agarose gels. BioTechniques 2:66–68
- Birnboim HC (1983) A rapid alkaline extraction method for the isolation of plasmid DNA. Meth Enzymol 100:243–255

- Bird RC, Wu G (1989) An alternative to the dry ice/ethanol bath for precipitation of nucleic acids. BioTechniques 7:337-338
- Boothroyd JC, Wang A, Campbell DA, Wang CC (1987) An unusually compact ribosomal DNA repeat in the protozoan *Giardia lamblia*. Nucl Acids Res 15:4065–4084
- Brosius J, Dull TJ, Noller HF (1980) Complete nucleotide sequence of a 23S ribosomal RNA gene from *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 77:201–204
- Campbell SR, van Keulen H, Erlandsen SL, Senturia JB, Jarroll EL (1990) Giardia sp: comparison of electrophoretic karyotypes. Exp Parasitol 71:470–482
- Edlind TD, Chakraborty PR (1987) Unusual ribosomal RNA of the intestinal parasite *Giardia lamblia*. Nucl Acids Res 15: 7889–7901
- Edlind TD, Sharetzsky C, Cha ME (1990) Ribosomal RNA of the primitive eukaryote *Giardia lamblia:* large subunit domain I and potential processing signals. Gene 96:289–293
- Erlandsen SL, Bemrick WJ (1987) SEM evidence for a new species, *Giardia psittaci*. J Parasitol 73:623-629
- Erlandsen SL, Bemrick WJ, Wells CL, Feely DE, Knudson L, Campbell SR, van Keulen H, Jarroll EL (1990) Axenic culture and characterization of *Giardia ardeae* from the great blue heron (*Ardea herodias*). J Parasitol 76:717-724
- Filice FP (1952) Studies on the cytology and life history of a giardia from the laboratory rat. Univ Calif Publ Zool 57:53-146
- Gerbi SA (1985) Evolution of ribosomal DNA. In: MacIntyre RJ (ed) Molecular evolutionary genetics. Plenum, New York, pp 410–517
- Gutell RR, Schnare MN, Gray MW (1990) A compilation of large subunit (23S-like) ribosomal RNA sequences presented in a secondary structure format. Nucl Acids Res 18(Suppl):2119– 2330
- Healey A, Mitchell R, Upcroft JA, Boreham PFL, Upcroft P (1990) Complete nucleotide sequence of the ribosomal RNA tandem repeat unit from *Giardia intestinalis*. Nucl Acids Res 18:4006
- Jacob ST (1986) Transcription of eukaryotic ribosomal RNA gene. Mol Cell Biochem 70:11-20
- Kabnick KS, Peattie DA (1991) *Giardia*: A missing link between prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Am Scientist 79:34–43
- Maniatis T, Fritsch EF, Sambrook J (1982) Molecular cloning, a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- Mankin AS, Kagramanova VK (1986) Complete nucleotide sequence of the single ribosomal RNA operon of *Halobacte*-

rium halobium: secondary structure of the archaebacterial 23S rRNA. Mol Gen Genet 202:152-161

- Messing J (1983) New M13 vectors for cloning. Methods Enzymol 101:20-78
- Michot B, Bachellerie J-P (1987) Comparisons of large subunit rRNAs reveal some eukaryote-specific elements of secondary structure. Biochimie 69:11-23
- Montanez C, Cervantes L, Ovando C, Ortega-Pierres G (1989) Giardia lamblia: isolation of RNA. Exp Parasitol 68:354-356
- Roberts-Thomson IC, Stevens DP, Mahmoud AAF, Warren KS (1976) Giardiasis in the mouse: an animal model. Gastroenterology 71:57-61
- Sauch JF (1984) Purification of *Giardia muris* cysts by velocity sedimentation. Appl Environ Microbiol 48:454–455
- Sogin ML, Gunderson JH, Elwood HJ, Alonso RA, Peattie DA (1989) Phylogenetic meaning of the kingdom concept: an unusual ribosomal RNA from *Giardia lamblia*. Science 243:75– 77
- Sollner-Webb B, Tower J (1986) Transcription of cloned eukaryotic ribosomal RNA genes. Ann Rev Biochem 55:801-830
- Upcroft JA, Healey A, Mitchell R, Boreham PFL, Upcroft P (1991) Antigen expression from the ribosomal DNA repeat unit of *Giardia intestinalis*. Nucl Acids Res 18:7077–7081
- van Keulen H, Loverde PT, Bobek LA, Rekosh DM (1985) Organization of the ribosomal RNA genes in Schistosoma mansoni. Mol Biochem Parasitol 15:215–230
- van Keulen H, Campbell SR, Erlandsen SL, Jarroll EL (1991a) Cloning and restriction enzyme mapping of ribosomal DNA of *Giardia duodenalis*, *Giardia ardeae* and *Giardia muris*. Mol Biochem Parasitol 46:275–284
- van Keulen H, Horvat S, Erlandsen SL, Jarroll EL (1991b) Nucleotide sequence of the 5.8S and large subunit rRNA genes and the internal transcribed spacer and part of the external spacer from *Giardia ardeae*. Nucl Acids Res 19:6050
- Vossbrinck CR, Woese CR (1986) Eukaryotic ribosomes that lack 5.8S RNA. Nature 320:287-288
- Wilbur WJ, Lipman DJ (1983) Rapid similarity searches of nucleic acid and protein data banks. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 80:726-730
- Woese CR, Gutell R, Gupta R, Noller HF (1983) Detailed analysis of the higher order structure of 16S-like ribosomal ribonucleic acids. Microbiol Rev 47:621–669
- Woese CR (1987) Bacterial evolution. Microbiol Rev 51:221-271

Received August 19, 1991/Revised April 22, 1992