# **Karyological studies on Uruguayan spiders II. Sex chromosomes in spiders of the genus Lycosa (Araneae-Lycosidae)**

### A. Postiglioni & N. Brum-Zorrilla

*Division Citogenetica, Instituto de lnvestigaciones Biologicas Clemente Estable, Av. Italia 3318, Montevideo, Uruguay* 

### **Abstract**

Different sex-determining mechanisms ( $\hat{\sigma}$ : XO; X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>2</sub>O; X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>2</sub>X<sub>3</sub>O) were studied in species of the Lycosidae family, named for the purpose of description as follows: *Lycosa* sp.<sub>1</sub> (malitiosa group), *Lycosa thorelli* Keyserling, *Lycosa* sp.<sub>2</sub> *(thorelli group)* and *Lycosa* sp.<sub>3</sub>. While *L.* sp.<sub>1</sub> *(malitiosa group)* shows a single metacentric sex-chromosome, *L. thorelli* and *L.* sp., present a multiple system (X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>2</sub>O). A new system  $(X_1X_2X_3O)$  was found in L. sp., *(thorelli group)* (coexisting with L. *thorelli* in the same habitat). Its particular behaviour during meiotic prophase is discussed. The sex-chromosome lengths were compared in the statistical analysis. An anova test with two unequal classes (Group  $A =$  sex-chromosomes from  $X<sub>i</sub>X<sub>i</sub>O$ species; Group B = sex-chromosomes from  $X_1X_2X_3O$  species) and the additional component variance were used for quantitative comparisons. A non-disjunction phenomenon in the second male meiosis is postulated as the origin of specimens with an  $X_1X_2X_3O$  sex-determining mechanism.

# **Introduction**

Up to date 240 species of spiders, belonging to the group Arachneida have been studied cytologically by many researchers (Wallace, 1909; Revell, 1947; Patau, 1948; Bole-Gowda, 1950, 1952, 1958; Suzuki & Okada, 1950; Suzuki, 1954). All of them -except for 38 species of the suborder *Arachnomorphae*  have a multiple  $X_1X_2O$  mechanism in the male and an  $X_1X_2X_2$  in the female. Of the 38 species, 26 possess a single X in the male and the remaining 12 species present a multiple sex mechanism of the type  $X_1X_2X_3O$  (White, 1973, 1978).

Early studies on the chromosomes of *Lycosidae*  spiders (Montgomery, 1905; Painter, 1914; Hard, 1939; Hackman, 1948; Suzuki, 1954; Mittal, 1963) demonstrated the presence of an  $X_1X_2O$  sexdctermining mechanism in the male of this family. More recently, Diaz & Saez (1965, 1966) and Brum-Zorrilla & Cazenave (1974), found the  $X_1X_2O$ (male) system as the most frequent in Uruguayan *Lycosidae* species; *Lycosa nordenskjOldii,* which has a simple XO system, is an exception within this group (Diaz & Saez, 1965). The variation of the sex chromosome found by cytological observation of Lycosa  $sp_{-1}$  *(malitiosa group); Lycosa thorelli* Keyserling; *Lycosa* sp., (*thorelli* group) and *Lycosa*  $sp_{-3}$ , was analysed by statistical tests permitting formulation of a hypothesis of sex chromosome evolution in these spiders.

# **Material and methods**

#### *Specimens*

Four species, arranged in two systematic groups of spiders were studied.

- The *malitiosa* group. Within this group the species studied are named for the purpose of description as *Lycosa* sp.i.
- The *thorelli* group. Within this group *Lycosa*

*thorelli* Keyserling and *Lycosa* sp.2 were studied. In addition, another species of the genus *Lycosa,*  not included within the preceding groups was studied and will be called *Lycosa* sp.,. This species showed a black abdomen and would presumably be related to *Lycosa poliostoma*  (Capocasale, personal communication).

The source and number of specimens of  $L$ . sp. *(malitiosa group) L. thorelli Keyserling, L. sp., (thorelli group), and L. sp.*, are shown in Table 1. The sex chromosome nomenclature proposed by White (1973) was used in the table. We wish to point out that the specimens of L. sp.<sub>2</sub> (thorelli group) were found in the same population as those of *L. thorelli.* 

### *Tissues*

Adult male specimens of the four different species were employed. Testicular tubules were treated according to the methods used in a previous paper (Brum-Zorrilla & Postiglioni, 1980).

#### *Statistical analysis*

Eighteen sexchromosomes (6 cells) from  $L$ . sp., *(thorelli group,*  $X_1X_2X_3O$ ) and 30 sexchromosomes (15 cells) from *L. thorelli* and *L.* sp.,  $(X_1X_2O)$  were selected for the statistical analysis.

In all cases the sex-chromosomes were measured in diakinetic cells using the differentiating characteristics observed during this stage:  $X_1X_2$  sexchromosomes presented a parallel disposition and a positive heteropycnosis. The absence of chiasmata was taken into account for  $X_1X_2X_3$  sex-chromosome identification.

As no morphological differences were observed

among the sex-chromosomes of the three studied species, and banding techniques were not successful in this material, for our quantitative comparisons we used their lengths. Sex-chromosome total lengths were measured on enlarged prints  $(X7500)$ by means of a planimeter (accuracy:  $0.1 \mu m$ ).

For the statistical analysis, each X chromosome was independently measured and they were divided into two groups: (A) the X chromosomes from species with  $X_1X_2O$  sex mechanism (L. *thorelli* and  $L$ . sp.<sub>3</sub>) and (B) those from  $L$ . sp.<sub>2</sub> *(thorelli group,*  $X_1X_2X_3O$ ).

The anova test with two classes for unequal samples and the additional variance component were applied as comparison methods.

#### **Results**

# *Cytological observations*

Diploid chromosome numbers of  $L$ . sp. *(malitiosa group), L. thorelli, L. sp.<sub>2</sub> (thorelli* group) and  $L$ . sp., are presented in Table 1. The chromosomes of the four mentioned spider species are telocentric (autosomes and X chromosomes), except for the single sex chromosome of  $L$ . sp., *(malitiosa* group) which is a metacentric element (Fig. 1). Terminal and interstitial chiasmata were observed only at late diakinesis of the studied species (Figs 2, 3, 4). In *L. thorelliand L.*  $\text{sp}_{.3}$  the sex chromosomes  $(X_1X_2)$  are easily recognized during the meiotic prophase by their positive heteropycnosis (Figs 2, 3).

In the case of L. sp.<sub>2</sub> *(thorelli group)* the sex chromosomes  $(X_1X_2X_3)$  showed a similar degree of condensation to the autosome bivalents (Fig. 4),

*Table 1.* Source and chromosome number of specimens collected.

<b>Species</b>	Locality	Number of specimens	Diploid number	
$LVcosa$ sp., ( <i>malitiosa</i> group)	Canelones (Uruguay)	3	$22 + XO$	
<i>Lycosa thorelli</i> Keyserling	Montevideo (Uruguay)	15	$20 + X_1X_2O$	
$Lycosa$ sp., (thorelli group)	Montevideo (Uruguay)	6	$20 + X_1X_2X_3O$	
<i>Lycosa</i> sp.,	Montevideo (Uruguay)	18	$20 + X_1X_2O$	



*Figs 1-4.* Sex chromosomes of *Lycosa* species: (1) Spermatogonial metaphase of *Lycosa* sp.<sub>1</sub> (malitiosa group); (2-4) Diakinesis: (2) *L. thorelli,* (3) *L.* sp.<sub>3</sub>, (4) *L.* sp.<sub>2</sub> *(thorelli group). Bars represent 10*  $\mu$ *m.* 

but could 'be recognized by the absence of chiasmata. The sex chromosomes  $X_1X_2X_3$  show a peculiar behaviour during the meiotic prophase. At early prophase (Fig. 5) the three elements are associated end-to-end in a ring-like configuration. One of them is more eu-pycnotic and shows a low degree of condensation. As meiosis advances, repulsion takes place between them (Fig. 6) and one of the three members begins to separate while the other two remain associated at zygonema (Fig. 7). At pachynema the isolated sex chromosome reaches condensation in advance of the others (Fig. 8) and the three elements always appear independently located among the autosomes at diakinesis (Fig. 4).

## *Statistical analysis*

As both species  $-L$ . *thorelli* and L. sp.,  $-$  present similar sex-determining systems they were considered together for the statistical analysis. The result of the anova test applied to the comparison between this group  $(A)$  and the X chromosomes

from *L.* sp., *(thorelli group)* (group B) showed a high F value (9.52). Therefore the null hypothesis must be rejected ( $p < 0.005$ ) (Table 2).

The intergroup variation represented 27.47% of the total observed variance. On the contrary, the variation found within each group corresponded to 72.53%.

# **Discussion**

Multiple sex chromosomes  $X_1X_2X_3$  have been studied in a total of eight species belonging to the family *Sparassidae* and *Angelenidae* by several authors (Sokolska, 1925; Revell, 1947; Hackman, 1948; Suzuki & Okada, 1950; Bole-Gowda, 1952). This mechanism is considered to be the less frequent among those occurring in spiders. The present research demonstrated, for the first time, its occurrence in the family *Lycosidae.* Suzuki (1950) found this sex determining mechanism in *Heteropoda venatoria* (Sparassidae, 1911 +



*Figs 5* -8. Early prophase of *Lycosa* sp.<sub>2</sub> (thorelli group): (5) Leptonema; - (6) middle leptonema; - (7) zygonema; - (8) pachynema. Arrows indicate sex-chromosomes (see discussion for sex-chromosome identifications). Bars represent 10  $\mu$ m.

*Table 2.* Analysis of variance for two unequal classes  $(X_1X_2, Y_3)$  $X_1X_2X_3$ .

Source of variation	Degrees of Sum of freedom	squares	Mean of F squares	
Between samples			223.336 223.336 9.52	
Within samples	46		1079.185 23.4605	
Total	47	1302.521		

 $F_{.005(1,46)} = 4.08$  $n_A = 30$  $n_B = 18$ 

 $X_1X_2X_3O$  but its behaviour during meiotic prophase clearly differs from L. sp., (thorelli group) *(Lycosidae,*  $10^{11} + X_1X_2X_3O$ *).* While in the species studied by Suzuki only one element of the 3 X's takes a ring-like configuration, in *L. sp.*, *(thorelli* group) the three sex chromosomes are associated end-to-end to form a ring. The independence of the three X's in our material, shown in diakinesis, was not found by Suzuki (1950) who observed that the

longest of the three elements is the one lying apart while the other two maintain a close, parallel, position.

According to our observations the two sex chromosomes existing in Uruguayan *Lycosidae*  spiders  $(X_1X_2$  of *L. thorelli* and *L.* sp.<sub>3</sub>) are highly condensed and adopt a parallel disposition. However,  $X_1X_2X_3$  of L. sp.<sub>2</sub> (thorelli group) appear elongated and lie independently among the autosomes during late meiotic prophase. Development studies at the ultrastructural level revealed the presence of a 'Junction Lamina' between  $X_1X_2$  sexchromosomes of *L. malitiosa* (Benavente & Wettstein, 1977). This would imply that both elements have developed a structural characteristic which keeps them closely associated up to late diplotene. However, small bundles of fibrils among the non-homologous sex chromosomes were observed in one species with three X's (Tegenaria *domestiea,* Angelenidae) by Benavente & Wettstein (1978). A correlated study at the optic and ultrastructural level would be necessary for an adequate

comparison of the structure and behaviour of sex chromosomes in our species. Such studies in  $L$ , sp., *(thorelli* group) may help to clarify this point.

Suzuki (1954) tried to explain the possible relationship between the origin and evolution of the three sex-determining mechanisms found up to the present in spiders  $(XO, X_1X_2O, X_1X_2X_3O)$ , on the basis of several hypotheses formulated by other authors (Patau, 1948; Bole-Gowda, 1952). Robertsonian rearrangements (Robertson, 1916) either centric fusion or reciprocal translocation and also inversions of metacentric or acrocentric sex chromosomes are involved in their hypothesis.

The results obtained by the application of improved cytological techniques in *Lycosidae*  spiders showed that telocentric chromosomes predominate over acrocentric ones. On the other hand the morphological uniformity found in the autosomes and sex chromosomes could support the concept of the great conservatism and stability of the karyotype in this family (Brum-Zorrilla & Postiglioni, 1980).

The karyotypic ortho-selection found in spiders (White, 1973, 1975) leads us to suggest that fusion/fission rearrangements, or duplication with subsequent loss of homology (White, 1973) rather than inversions would be the cause of sex chromosome evolution in these spiders. White (1978) emphasized that centric or tandem fusions between  $X_1X_2$  sex chromosomes have rarely occurred to form a metacentric sex chromosome, therefore a strong barrier must exist to the establishment of such rearrangements. It would imply that the  $X_1X_2$  sex chromosome mechanism of spiders has been maintained since their Paleozoic origins.

According to the information available at present on different sex determining systems in spiders, our results may be interpreted as follows:

- (1) The XO system found in the few specimens of  $L$ . sp. *(malitiosa* group) could have originated by a centric fusion of telocentric X chromosomes or alternatively may have existed as an ancestral system of this type.
- (2) The sex chromosomes of *L. thorelli* and L. sp.3 turned out to be  $X_1X_2$  in the male. If both facts: terminal position of the centromere and length of sex chromosomes are taken into consideration, either non-disjunction or duplication of a single telocentric X chromosome - both

phenomena with a subsequent loss of homology – could be postulated as giving origin to this sexdetermining mechanism. White (1973) suggested duplication as a mechanism of speciation in other groups of spiders. However, the nondisjunction mechanism, which will be discussed later for the origin of the  $X_1X_2X_3$ sex-mechanism, could be also postulated as the origin of the  $X_1X_2$  sex-system in spiders. But the origin by a centric fission from species with a metacentric X or the existence of  $X_1X_2$  sex chromosomes as an ancestral mechanism can not be ruled out.

(3) Several hypotheses have been formulated to explain the origin of multiple sex chromosomes  $X_1X_2X_3$  (Suzuki, 1954; White, 1973). White (1973) suggested that  $X$ , would be originated by autoduplication of a small chromosome fragment derived from the  $X_1$  or the  $X_2$  sex chromosome. However, the different chromosomal rearrangements that have been postulated by the authors mentioned, are not based on statistical analysis.

According to the results of our statistical test, the null hypothesis should be rejected. Therefore, both groups of measurements should be considered as different. This hypothesis implies that if a species with 3 X's evolves from an ancestral species with only two sex-chromosomes - taking for granted that these two elements would not suffer changes in their length during the whole process  $-$  the observed variation would be the result of the presence of the new sex chromosome. This result would support the hypothesis presented by White (1973). But as shown in Table 2, the value of the mean of squares between samples is considerably higher than the corresponding mean of squares within samples and the existence of an additional component of variance must be taken into consideration. 72.53% of the total observed variance corresponds to the intragroup variance. Such a result leads us to conclude that the F value obtained does not indicate a real difference between both samples.

If the latter hypothesis is correct, minor differences between both ancestral X chromosome lengths would be responsible for the observed results. In this case the non-disjunction mechanism could be postulated as the most probable mechanism in the evolution of the sex-chromosomes of these spiders. Then, two different chromosomal

segregations could be produced. A single failure during the second meiotic division of the male involving either  $X_1$  or  $X_2$  would lead to the formation of three types of gametes:  $X_1X_2X_2$ ,  $X_2O$  and OO or alternatively  $X_1X_2X_2, X_1O$  and OO. Gametes with one X chromosome would be obviously able to form progeny with 3 X's. As previously described, one of the sex chromosomes has a particular behaviour during the meiotic prophase. It shows an earlier condensation and isolation at pachynema, while the remaining elongated chromosomes are still associated by a thin filament.

The  $X_1X_2O$  sex chromosome mechanism has been considered as an ancestral form, so both sex chromosomes may have suffered independent mutations during the course of evolution. If one of them was involved in a recent non-disjunction event with subsequent loss of homology originating the few spiders with 3 X's, the affected chromosome and the new one would denote a similar behaviour in their meiotic prophase, while the other ancestral chromosome (either  $X_1$  or  $X_2$ ), would maintain its individuality. Even though at present we do not have enough information to rule out any of the alternatives presented above, we are inclined to postulate a non-disjunction phenomenon during the second male meiotic division followed by a subsequent loss of homology, as the mechanism which may have produced this particular chromosome rearrangement in the few specimens of spiders with a  $X_1X_2X_3O$  sex system observed in the population of *L." thorelli.* 

Additional cytological studies of this group of arthropods would help us to confirm the origin of this sex-determining mechanism in other spiders.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors wish to thank Prof. Roberto M. Capocasale and Prof. Fernando Costa, from the Division of Experimental Zoology of our Institute (IIBCE) for providing the specimens as well as their systematic classification. We are also grateful to Dr. Fernando Nieto from the Centro Latinoamericano de Perinatologia for his statistical guidance, Dr. J. Roberto Sotelo and Dr. Horacio Cardoso from our Institute, for their valuable discussions and critical reading.

This work was supported by 'Programa Regional de Desarrollo Cientifico y Tecnológico de la

Organizaci6n de Estados Americanos' (OAS) and Ministerio de Educación y Cultura. Montevideo, Uruguay.

# **References**

- Benavente, R. & Wettstein, R., 1977. An ultrastructural cytogenetic study on the evolution of sex chromosomes during the spermatogenesis of Lycosa malitiosa (Arachnida). Chromosoma 64: 255-277.
- Benavente, R. & Wettstein, R., 1978. Ultrastructural cytogenetics of the sex determination mechanisms of Araneids. Rev. Microscopia electron. 5 (1): 320.
- Bole-Gowda, B. N., 1950. The chromosome study in the spermatogenesis of two lynx-spiders (Oxyopidae). Proc. zool. Soc. Beng. 3:95 107.
- Bole-Gowda, B. N., 1952. Studies on the chromosomes and the sex-determining mechanism of four hunting spiders (Sparassidae). Proc. zool. Soc. Beng. 5: 51-70.
- Bole-Gowda, B. N., 1958. A study of the chromosomes during meiosis in twenty-two species of Indian spiders. Proc. zool. Soc. Beng. II: 69-108.
- Brum-Zorrilla, N. & Cazenave, A. M., 1974. Heterochromatin localization in the chromosomes of Lycosa malitiosa (Arachnida). Experientia 30: 94-95.
- Brum-Zorrilla, N. & Postiglioni, A., 1980. Karyological studies on Uruguayan spiders. I. Banding pattern in chromosomes of Lycosa species (Araneae-Lycosidae). Genetica 54:  $149 - 153$ .
- Diaz, M. O. & Saez, F. A., 1965. Karyotype of South-American Araneida. Mem. Inst. Butantan Symp. Int. 33(1): 153-154.
- Diaz, M. O. & Saez, F. A., 1966. Investigaciones citogenéticas sobre algunas especies de araneidos uruguayos. An. lI Congr. Lat. Am. Zool. 2: 3-9.
- Hackman, W., 1948. Chromosomenstudien an Araneen mit besonderer Berticksichtigung der Geschlechtschromosomen. Acta zool. fenn. 54: 1-101.
- Hard, W. L., 1939. The spermatogenesis of the lycosid spider Schizocosa crassipes (Walckenaer). J. Morph. 65: 121- 150.
- Mittal, O. P,, 1963. Karyological studies on the Indian spiders. 1. A comparative study of the chromosomes and sexdetermining mechanisms in the family Lycosidae. Res. Bull. (N.S.) Panjab Univ. Sci. 11: 59-86.
- Montgomery, T. H., 1905. Spermatogenesis of Syrbula and Lycosa, with general considerations upon chromosome reduction and the heterochromosomes. Proc. Acad. nat. Sci. Philad. 57: 162-205.
- Painter, T. S., 1914. Spermatogenesis in spiders. Zool. Jb., Anat. Ontog. 38: 509-576.
- Patau, K., 1948. X-segregation and heterochromasy in the spider Aranea reaumuri. Heredity 2: 77-100.
- Revell, S. H., 1947. Controlled X-segregation at meiosis in Tegenaria. Heredity 1: 337-347.
- Robertson, W. R. B., 1916. Chromosome studies. I. Taxonomic relationship shown in the chromosomes of Tettigidae and Acrididae. V-shaped chromosomes and their significance in Acrididae, Locustidae and Gryllidae: chromosomes and variation. J. Morphol. 27: 179-331.
- Sokolska, J., 1925. Les hétérochromosomes pendant la spermatogenèse de l'araignée domestique (Tegenaria domestica CL). Bull. Int. Acad. Sci. Lett. Cracovie 3B: 447 49 I.
- Suzuki, S. & Okada, A., 1950. A study on the chromosomes of a spider, Heteropoda venatoria with special references to  $X_{1}$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  chromosomes. J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. B, 11:  $29 - 44.$
- Suzuki, S., 1954. Cytological studies in spiders. III. Studies on the chromosomes of fifty-seven species of spiders belonging to seventeen families, with general consideration on chromosomal evolution. J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. B, 15: 23-136.
- Wallace, L. B., 1909. The spermatogenesis of Angelena naevia. Biol. Bull. 17:120 160.
- White, M. J. D., 1973. Animal cytology and evolution. 3rd. ed. London, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- White, M. J. D., 1975. Chromosomal repatterning. Regularities and restrictions. Genetics 79: 62-72.
- White, M. J. D., 1978. Modes of speciation. London, Cambridge Univ. Press.

Received 30.11.1979 Accepted 15.11.1980