Stella Marie Reamon-Büttner¹, Günter Wricke* & Lothar Frese²

¹ Institute of Applied Genetics, University of Hannover, Herrenhäuser Str. 2, 30419 Germany; ²Institute for Crop *Sciences and Plant Breeding, Bundesallee 50, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany (*author for correspondence)*

Received 31 March 1995; Accepted 20 June 1995

Key words." Corollinae, genetic diversity, isozyme markers, RAPD markers, wild beets

Abstract

Interspecific relationship among wild beets in section Corollinae was analyzed. Isozyme allele composition supports the concept of three basic species consisting of two diploid species, *Beta lomatogona* and *Beta macrorhiza* and the tetraploid species, *Beta corolliflora.* The polyploid and apomictic species referred to as *Beta trigyna* and *Beta intermedia* were found as hybrids. Based on isozyme and RAPD results, *B. corolliflora* was also found more related to *B. macrorhiza* than to *B. lomatogona.* From the phylogenetic trees constructed from isozyme data, *B. corolliflora* and *B. macrorhiza* seem to be the end members of the evolutionary line. Analysis of genetic diversity showed that *B. corolliflora* was more polymorphic and heterozygous than *B. lomatogona* and *B. macrorhiza.* Differences between *B. macrorhiza* accessions from Turkey and Dagestan were found.

Introduction

The genus Beta of the family Chenopodiaceae consists of four sections namely: Beta (Vulgares), Procumbentes (Patellares), Corollinae and Nanae. Section Beta contains both wild and cultivated beets including sugar beet, *Beta vulgaris* L. The other three sections comprise only wild beets.

In section Corollinae, there are about five known species. These are the diploid *Beta lomatogona* Fisch. et Mey. and *Beta macrorhiza* Stev. and the polyploid species *Beta corolliflora* Zoss. ex Buttler, *Beta intermedia* Bunge and *Beta trigyna* Wald. et Kit. The Corollinae species are mainly distributed in the highlands of Asia Minor encompassing Turkey, Iran, Armenia, Georgia and Dagestan. They can also be encountered in Central to Eastern Europe (Crimea, Bulgaria, Romania and Hungary).

The basic chromosome number of Corollinae species is $n = 9$. They are characterized by a very hard seed coat and slow growth. Corollinae species are known as sources for resistance to several diseases affecting sugar beet (Van Geyt et al., 1990). In addition, they show high tolerance to drought, cold, frost and salinity.

Apomictic reproduction is one important characteristic of this section (Barocka, 1966; Jassem, 1990; Reamon-Büttner, 1994). The species are known to cross pollinate each other giving rise to new different morphotypes that are then later fixed by apomixis. This leads to difficulty in the taxonomy of the Corollinae species, which is further aggravated by the lack of morphological markers to distinguish the species. Until now the taxonomy in this section is not clear.

In an extensive study of the Corollinae species using morphological characters and geographic distribution patterns, Buttler (1977) suggested that only the sexual species, namely *B. Iomatogona, B. macrorhiza* and *B. corolliflora* should be considered as the basic species and the rest, as hybrids or apomictic forms. *B. trigyna* has been presumed to be a hybrid form between *B. corolliflora* and *B. lornatogona* (Zossimovitch, 1940). *B. intermedia* has been likewise described by Scheibe (1934) as an intermediary form ofB. *trigyna* and *B. lomatogona.* Barocka (1966) earlier proposed the subdivision of section Corollinae into Lomatogonae and Trigynae based on differences in

floral morphology between *B. lomatogona* and *B. trigyna.* Such subdivision has been supported by two studies using molecular techniques (Fritzsche et al., 1987; Santoni & Berville, 1992). Moreover, Buttler (1977) suggested that aside from the *B. macrorhiza* gene pool in Turkey, there could be a second one in the Eastern Caucasus. To acquire authentic material, two plant explorations were conducted in the Caucasus in 1990 and 1991 (Frese & Burenin, 1991).

This study was, therefore, conducted to help clarify the taxonomy of section Corollinae and to verify assumptions made earlier about Corollinae species using molecular techniques. In addition, improved understanding of the structure of genetic diversity can contribute to a more rational collection and preservation of Corollinae germplasm in genetic resources holdings as well as to its utilization in sugar beet breeding programs.

Materials and methods

Plant material

The different accessions of Corollinae species used in this study are shown in Table 1. Except for the two *B. corolliflora* accessions (labeled USA and Bulgaria) which were obtained from the Institute of Plant Breeding and Acclimatization, Bydgoszcz, Poland, most accessions were provided by the German-Dutch Beta collection held by the Institute of Crop Science in Braunschweig, Germany. The *B_ corolliflora* (USA) accession was originally from the Coons collection (Beltsville, Maryland). Chromosome number was determined using the technique described in Löptien (1984).

To overcome poor germination due to the very hard seed coat, seeds were treated with concentrated sulphuric acid for 1-2 h in a beaker, transferred to a sieve and then washed in running water to remove debris. Under the stereomicroscope, while holding the seeds with forceps, the apical lid was removed using a scalpel. The seeds were planted singly in sterile soil; germination started in about a week, which would otherwise take months.

The mode of reproduction, i.e. sexual or apomictic, was determined through isozyme analysis. Isozyme loci which were in heterozygous condition were selected as markers and segregation of alleles was observed after self- or open-pollination. Progenies that displayed the maternal zymogram and chromosome number were considered of apomictic origin.

Isozyme analysis

The enzymes were extracted from the leaves of about 2-3-month-old plants. This was carried out through an extraction equipment using 250 μ l of a 5% sucrose solution with 0.1% mercaptoethanol for every 2-3 leaves. The extract was centrifuged at 14 000 rpm at 15 min at 4 $^{\circ}$ C.

The enzymes isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICD), malate dehydrogenase (MDH), peroxidase (PRX), leucine aminopeptidase (LAP), glutamate oxaloacetate (GOT), superoxide dismutase (SOD) phosphoglucomutase (PGM) and acid phosphatase (ACP) were used. The electrophoretic conditions and staining procedures were adapted from Wagner (1990) and Wagner & Wricke (1991). The isozymes observed migrated anodally except PRX which migrated to the cathode.

Isozyme loci are written in italics i.e. *Lapl.* Then this is followed by the allele number such as in *Lap1-1.* Number 1 designates the fastest locus and the fastest allele. When the alleles are separated by commas, it means that the accession or the species is polymorphic in these given alleles. In *B. trigyna* and *B. intermedia,* the isozyme phenotypes or allele combinations are given e.g. *Lapl-135, Lap1-14, Lapl-15.* The phenotype *Lapl-135,* shows the combination of allele numbers 1, 3 and 5.

RAPD analysis

DNA was extracted adapting the CTAB method of Saghai-Maroof et al. (1984) from representatives of several accessions totalling from 2-18 plants for each species: *B. corolliflora* (18), *B. macrorhiza* (2), B. *lomatogona* (11), *B. trigyna* (11) and *B. intermedia* (5). A double strength CTAB extraction buffer was used in the isolation of DNA from fresh young leaves. The arbitrary decamer primers A-05, A-18, and A-19 were purchased from Operon Technologies (Almeda, California). DNA amplification was done according to the method described by Uphoff & Wricke (1992).

Genetic diversity and phylogenetic trees

For the study of genetic diversity and construction of phylogenetic trees, the computer programs Biosys-I

No. BGRC/IDBB No. Species Country of origin Chromosome No. of lsoenzyme locus/ and district no. (2n) plants observed alleles *Lap1 Prxl Got3* 1 017812 2523 *B. corolliflora* Turkey/Kars 36 43 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3, 4 2 017822 2533 *B. corolliflora* Turkey/Kars 36 74 3, 4, 5 1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3, 4 3 018254 2790 *B. corolliflora* Turkey/Erzurum 36 104 3, 4, 5 1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3.4 4 018255 2791 *B. corolliflora* Turkey/Erzurum 36 75 3, 4, 5 1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3, 4 5 035314 3221 *B. corolliflora* Transcaucasian 36 5 3, 4 1, 2 2, 4 6 018252 2788 *B. corolliflora* Turkey 36 2 3, 4, 5 1, 2 2, 3 7 018156 2373 *B. corolliflora* Turkey 36 4 - - 2, 4 8 018246 2326 *B. corolliflora* Turkey 36 24 2, 3, 4, 5 1, 3 1, 2 9 Bulgaria *B. corolliflora* Bulgaria 36 36 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 1, 2 2, 4 10 USA *B. corolliflora* 36 24 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 1, 2 2, 4 11 061245 8539 *B. macrorhtza* Dagestan 18 9 3 1 2 12 061247 8541 *B. macrorhtza* Dagestan 18 4 3 1 2 13 061248 8542 *B. macrorhiza* Dagestan 18 14 3 1 2 14 061249 8543 *B. macrorhiza* Dagestan 18 2 3 1 2 15 061250 8544 *B. macrorhiza* Dagestan 18 3 3 1 2 16 061251 8545 *B. macrorhiza* Dagestan 18 5 3 1 2 17 061252 8546 *B. macrorhiza* Dagestan 18 21 3 1 2 18 061254 8548 *B. macrorhiza* Dagestan 18 14 3 1 2 19 058253 7315 *B. macrorhiza* Dagestan 18 2 3 1 2 20 058255 7317 *B. macrorhiza* Dagestan 18 48 3 1 2 21 049830 3688 *B. macrorhiza* Turkey 18 7 4, 5 2, 3 2 22 018256 2792 *B. macrorhiza* Turkey 18 29 4, 5, 6 3 1, 2 23 018257 2793 *B. macrorhiza* Turkey 18 1 4, 5 3 2 24 018259 2795 *B. macrorhiza* Turkey 18 4 4, 5 3 1, 2 25 054215 6120 *B. macrorhiza* Turkey 18 5 4 3 1, 2 26 018242 2322 *B. macrorhiza* Turkey/Erzurum 18 2 4, 5 3 2 27 017829 2998 *B. lomatogona* Turkey/Erzurum 18, 27 40 1 1, 2 2 28 017830 2999 *B. lomatogona* Turkey/Erzurum 18 55 1 2, 3 1, 2, 4 29 017831 3000 *B. lomatogona* Turkey/Cankiri 18 40 1 2 2 30 017832 3001 *B. lomatogona* Turkey/Erzurum 18, 27 52 1, 3 1, 2, 3 2 31 054213 6121 *B. lomatogona* Turkey 18 37 1 2, 3 2 32 017971 2945 *B. lomatogona* Turkey/Eskisehir 18 7 1 2, 3 2 33 058251 7313 *B. Iomatogona* Armenia - 19 1 1, 2 2 34 058258 8155 *B. lomatogona* Iran/Ardabil - 15 1 1, 2 2 35 035294 3201 *B. lomatogona* Turkey 18, 27 4 1 - - 36 035306 3213 *B. trigyna** 54 I 135 12 22 37 035308 3215 *B. trigyna** 54 6 135 - 22 38 035344 3250 *B. trigyna** Turkey 54 3 135 12 22 39 035313 3220 *B. trigyna** Turkey 54 34 135 - 22 40 035309 3216 *B. trigyna** 45 9 135 12 12 41 035343 3249 *B. trigyna** Turkey 45 1 135 - 22 42 010084 3083 *B. trigyna** 45 23 135 12 12 43 018152 2369 *B. intermedia** Turkey/Erzincan 36 17 14 12 12 44 017919 2483 *B. intermedia** Turkey/Eskisehir 45 2 13 12 22 45 049849§3707 from *B. trigyna* to Turkey 36, 45 8 1, 3, 5 1, 2, 4 species mixture (?)

Table 1. The different Corollinae accessions used for isozyme and RAPD analyses including alleles observed in three isozyme loci per accession

* For these species, the isozyme phenotypes are given.

§Discussed in text.

(copyright 1989 David L. Swofford, Illinois Natural History Survey) and Phylip 2.1 (copyright 1993 Joseph Felsenstein and the University of Washington) were utilized. The allele frequency in each locus was determined and served as the input data for both programs. For the tetraploid *B. corolliflora,* it was assumed that a plant possessed four gene doses and the banding intensity reflected allele dosage. Genetic variability measures used were the number of polymorphic loci and average heterozygosity. Genetic distance was based on Nei (1972). The phylogenetic trees were constructed with the UPGMA method (Sneath & Sokal, 1973) and Neighbor Joining (NJ) method (Saitou & Nei, 1987). The UPGMA method constructs rooted trees which means that the ancestor is identified.

Results

Isozyme screening of different Corollinae species and accessions

Table 2 and Fig. 1 show the isozyme loci and alleles found in the Corollinae species. A total of six alleles were observed at *Lapl,* four alleles at *Got3,* and three alleles at both *Prxl* and *Sod3. Lapl* and *Prxl* behaved as monomers while *Got3* and *Sod3* as dimers.

The two PGM loci were polymorphic. *Pgml* consisted of three bands in heterozygous condition and two bands when homozygous. In *B. corolliflora* which produced a few seeds when selfed, the two bands of the homozygous phenotype did not segregate in the progeny; the middle band was always present. This locus has been interpreted as polymorphic for two alleles and a monomer. Five alleles were observed at *Pgm2* which also behaved as a monomer.

ICD showed in homozygous condition a threebanded phenotype. Three alleles were noted at *Icdl* and it is interpreted as a dimer. The products formed between two alleles could be seen in the combination *lcdl-13. Mdhl* is a dimer and two alleles were observed in the Corollinae species. *Mdh2* comigrated with *Mdhl.*

B. lomatogona

In this study, *B. lomatogona* refers to a diploid and a sexual species with chromosome number $2n = 2x = 18$. As shown in Table 2, the investigated accessions of *B. lomatogona* differed from the other basic species, *B. macrorhiza* and *B. corolliflora* by the type of alleles it contained. *B. lomatogona* exhibited only the alleles *Lapl-1, Pgml-1* and *Icdl-3.* It did not contain *Pgm2-1.* Although the presence of the three *Sod3* alleles was noted in *B. lomatogona, Sod3-1* was seldom. It was only found in one accession and with a low frequency of 0.069. Most of the plants screened carried the *Sod3-2* allele. *Got3-3* was not observed in the accessions studied. *Got3-1* and *Got3-4* were found in just one accession with frequencies 0.076 and 0.015. Obviously, *Got3-2* was prevalent in *B. lomatogona. Prxl-1* was not present and the average frequency of *Prxl-3* in three accessions was 0.03.

Table 2. Isozyme alleles observed in Corollinae species

Species	Chromosome number	Isozyme loci							
		Sod3	Prx	Lapl	Got3	Peml	Pgm2	Icd1	Mdh1
B. macrorhiza	$2n = 18$	1.2	1, 2, 3	3, 4, 5, 6	1.2	2			
B. corolliflora	$2n = 4x = 36$	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 2, 3, 4	1.2	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1.2	1, 2
B. lomatogona	$2n = 18$	1, 2, 3	2.3		1, 2, 4		2, 3, 4, 5	3	1, 2
B. intermedia*	$2n = 4x$, $5x = 36$, 45	12	12	13.14	22	12	13	13	12
$B.$ trigyna*	$2n = 5x$, $6x = 45$, 54	12	12	135	12.22	12	12, 13, 15	13	12
	Total no. of alleles	3	3.	6	4	2	5	3	2

* For these species, the isozyme phenotypes are given.

B. corolliflora

B. corolliflora is an autotetraploid (Reamon-Büttner & Wricke, 1993) with chromosome number $2n = 4x = 36$. Several morphotypes of these species were observed. *B. corolliflora* exhibited sexual reproduction with apomictic tendencies such as spontaneous dihaploidy. A dihaploid plant was found in the progeny of *a B. corolliflora* plant which produced a few seeds when selfed. It did not vary in allele content from the other progeny except that it appeared weak and had narrower lamina.

This species was found highly polymorphic. It had the most number of alleles compared to *B. lomatogona* and *B. macrorhiza.* For instance, *B. corolliflora* exhibited three alleles in *Sod3* and *Prxl;* four alleles in *Got3;* five alleles in *Lap1* and *Pgm2.*

B. corolliflora had more common alleles with B. *macrorhiza* than with *B. lomatogona.* This pertains especially to those alleles not found in *B. lomatogona.* These included *Prxl-1, Pgml-2* and *Icdl-1. Lap1-1* which is a characteristic allele of *B. lomatogona* and not present in *B. macrorhiza* occurred at a low average frequency of 0.04 in four *B. corolliflora* accessions. In the accessions studied, *B. corolliflora* and B. *macrorhiza* shared at least three LAP alleles. *Got3-3* and *Icdl-2* were only observed in *B. corolliflora.*

B. macrorhiza

B. macrorhiza is diploid with chromosome number $2n = 2x = 18$. It showed sexual reproduction and characteristically fleshy, oblong ovate leaves. As already mentioned, the investigated accessions of B. *macrorhiza* shared more alleles with *B. corolliflora* than with *B. lomatogona.* Compared to *B. corolliflora,* however, *B. macrorhiza* contained fewer alleles and was also less polymorphic (Table 2). For example, only one kind of an allele such as *Mdhl- 1, lcdl-* 1 and *Pgml-* 2 was present in *B. macrorhiza.* The alleles found at *Lap1, Pgml, Pgm2* and *Icdl,* provided a distinct difference between *B. macrorhiza* and *B. lomatogona.* For instance, at *Pgml* where there were two alleles namely: *Pgml-1* and *-2, B. macrorhiza* had the allele *Pgml-2* while *B. lomatogona, Pgml-1.* Another example was at *Pgm2,* where there were five alleles; *B. macrorhiza* exhibited *Pgm2-1* while *B. lomatogona, Pgm2-2* to -5.

B. intermedia

Two *B. intermedia* accessions were studied; one was tetraploid and the other one was pentaploid. No variation in isozyme pattern within the accession was found. It seemed to be fixed. When plants from the tetraploid accession were either selfed or open-pollinated, no segregation of alleles was observed in the progeny. They all exhibited the maternal zymogram, indicating apomictic reproduction.

The two accessions showed the same allele components except at *Lapl* wherein the pentaploid accession had the phenotype *Lap1-13* and the tetraploid, *Lapl-*14. If *B. intermedia* is a hybrid form, then this would somehow be reflected in the allele combinations it has. *B. intermedia* exhibited the phenotypes *Lap1-13, -14, Prxl-12, Pgml-12, Pgm2-13* and *Icd1-13.* Taking into consideration the alleles present in the basic species especially *B. lomatogona* and *B. corolliflora,* the allele combinations in *B. intermedia* would already suggest hybridity. But the occurrence of the phenotype *lcdl- 13* gave the strongest indication for the hybrid nature of B. *intermedia. Icdl-13* never occurred within any of the basic species accessions. The allele *lcdl-1* was only observed in *B. corolliflora* and *B. macrorhiza* while *Icdl-3,* in *B. lomatogona.*

Fig. 1. The enzyme systems and alleles (indicated by numbers) observed in the Corollinae species. The SOD and PGM2 zymograms were from *B. lomatogona,* PRX and GOT from *B. corolliflora,* LAP, PGMI, ICD and MDH from different Corollinae species and *Beta vulgaris:* (C) *B. cotolliflora,* (L) *B. Iomatogona,* (M) *B. macrorhiza,* (I) *B. intermedia,* (T) *B. trigyna* and (V), *B. vulgaris.* At ICD, 3x and 4x were triploids and tetraploid plants from *B. lomatogona* populations.

B_ trigyna

The *B. trigyna* accessions studied were either pentaplaid or hexaploid. Plants belonging to both ploidy levels showed large leaves that were papery in texture. Similarly in *B. intermedia,* isozyme patterns in B. *trigyna* did not vary within the accession. Most interesting was that all the plants exhibited the phenotype *Lapl-* 135.

B. trigyna is considered as a hybrid form probably from *B. lomatogona* and *B. corolliflora* (Zossimovitch, 1940). The alleles found in five isozyme loci namely: *Lapl, Pgml, Pgm2, Prxl* and *lcdl* support hybridity. Like in *B. intermedia,* the most convincing combination could be seen at *lcdl. B. lomatogona* contained the *Icdl-3* allele only, while *B_ corolliflora, lcdl-1* and *-2. Icdl-2* was seldom in the *B. corolliflora* accessions screened. Therefore, *B. lomatogona* could have been the likely donor of *Icdl-3* in *B. trigyna* while *B. corolliflora* contributed *lcdl-1.*

Other Corollinae accessions

Some accessions of Corollinae species screened for isozyme analysis could be other forms of hybrids or inadvertently misclassified. For example, BGRC 035311 was classified as *B. trigyna.* The 59 plants studied had chromosome number $2n = 4x = 36$ and exhibited polymorphism in at least five isozyme loci_ Based on plant morphology and isozyme alleles found, this accession could be more appropriately classified as *B_ corolliflora.*

Another accession BGRC 017811 that was classified as *B. corolliflora* resembled *B. trigyna* more than *B. corolliflora.* No variation in isozyme pattern was found. The plants exhibited a characteristic *B. trigyna* isozyme phenotype *Lap1-135* and with chromosome number $2n = 5x = 45$. This accession could likewise be classified as *B. trigyna.*

BGRC 035304 was classified as *B. trigyna.* The plants were pentaploid and similar to *B. trigyna* in gross plant morphology. They also contained similar alleles found in *B. trigyna* except that instead of the *Lap1-135* phenotype, they had the phenotype *Lap1-16.* The allele *Lap1-6* was only observed in *B. macrorhiza* in the present study. Considering, however, the many similarities in *B. macrorhiza* and *B. corolliflora, Lap l-*6 could also be present in *B. corolliflora.* If *B. trigyna* would only comprise plants with the phenotype *Lap1-* 135, then this accession would appropriately fall under *B. intermedia* which could also be a hybrid form of *B_ Iomatogona* and *B. corolliflora.* A significant difference between *B. trigyna* and *B. intermedia* could be that the former possessed the phenotype *Lap1-135* while *B. intermedia, Lapl-13* and -14. Taking into account the other LAP alleles in *B. corolliflora,* allele combinations such as *Lap1-12* and -15 could not be discounted.

BGRC 049849 was classified as *B. trigyna_* Five plants were tetraploid and three plants were pentaploid. The plant morphology of the pentaploids was in the direction of *B. trigyna/B, intermedia.* Isozyme analysis showed that the three pentaploids, on one hand, had the *Lap1-13,* which was the typical isozyme phenotype for *B. intermedia.* The tetraploids, on the other hand, showed polymorphism involving the alleles *Lapl-3,* -4 and -5 and exhibited plant morphology like *B. corolliflora.* This accession, therefore, could not be considered as *B. trigyna* but a mixture of species. It was demonstrated in this accession that isozyme alleles could be used to identify a certain Corollinae species.

Two accessions, namely, BGRC 049696 and 010081 were classified under *B. lomatogona.* Chromosome counts gave a mixture of diploids, triploids and tetraploids. The diploids all looked like *B. lomatogona* in plant morphology and also showed the alleles expected of a diploid *B. lomatogona.* These, however, differed from the polyploids in their allele composition. The polyploids had the following allele combinations: *Lap1-13,-14,-15* and -135 as well as gross plant morphology observed in *B. intermedia* and *B. trigyna.* In addition to the LAP alleles, they exhibited *Prxl-1, Pgml-2, Pgm2-1* and *lcdl-1,* alleles that were typical for *B. corolliflora.* It could be observed in these two accessions that only the diploids fit in as a basic species while the polyploids as hybrids fall into the category of *B. intermedia* or *B. trigyna.*

Genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationship in the basic species B. corolliflora, B. macrorhiza and B. Iomatogona

The accessions which were studied for genetic diversity are shown in Table 3. The hybrid species *B. intermedia* and *B. trigyna* were not included since due to apomictic breeding systems, no polymorphism was found.

The *B. corolliflora* accessions differed in the type and frequency of alleles they contain. At *Prxl,* the alleles *Prxl-1* and -2 were most frequent but *Prxl-2* was not observed in the accession BGRC 018246 (C1). *Prxl-3* was frequent in C2 (Erzurum), C3 (Erzurum) and C5 (Kars). While *Got3-2* with frequency ranging from 0.53 to 0.86 was the most common allele in the B . *corolliflora* accessions, *Got3-3* was rare with a maximum frequency of 0.09. *Got3-3* was present in the Erzurum and Kars accessions_ *Lap1-1* was not usually found in *B. corolliflora. Lap1-2* appeared most in C4 (Kars), *Lap1-4* in C8, *Lap1-5* in C2 (Erzurum) and C3 (Erzurum). *Lap1-3* was frequent in all accessions. *Sod3-1* was most frequent while *Sod3-3* with a maximum frequency of 0.13 was seldom. *Sod3-3* was detected in C2 (Erzurum), C3 (Erzurum) and C4 (Kars).

Population	BGRC No.	Species	Origin	Location	Longitude	Latitude	Sea level
C1	BGRC 018246	B. corolliflora	Turkey				
C ₂	BGRC 018254	B. corolliflora	Turkey/	Domlu/Tortum,	04120E	04000N	2170
			Erzurum	3 km N			
				Karayollari			
				Guze			
C ₃	BGRC 018255	B. corolliflora	Turkey/	Domlu/Tortum.	04120E	04000N	2170
			Erzurum	3 km N			
				Karayollari			
				Guze			
C ₄	BGRC 017812	B. corolliflora	Turkey/Kars	Kars/Arpacay,	04306E	04040N	1700
				5 km S Melik			
				Koyu			
C ₅	BGRC 017822	B. corolliflora	Turkey/Kars	Ladikars/Kotek,	04300E	0400N	1850
				1 km S Pasli			
				Koyu			
C ₆	Bulgaria	B. corolliflora	Bulgaria				
C7	USA	B. corolliflora					
C ₈	BGRC 035311	B. corolliflora*	Turkey				
MI	BGRC 018256	B macrorhiza	Turkey				
M ₂	BGRC 061282	B . macrorhiza	Dagestan				
M ₃	BGRC 058255	B. macrorhiza	Dagestan				
LI	BGRC 017830	B. lomatogona	Turkey/	Karaurgan/	04200E	0400N	1990
			Erzurum	Horasan, 7 km			
				S Yukari/Asagi			
L2	BGRC 017829	B. lomatogona	Turkey/	Horasan/	04200E	0400N	1220
			Erzurum	Pasinler, 9 km			
L ₃	BGRC 017831	B. lomatogona	Turkey/	Sabanozu/	03320E	04020N	930
			Cankiri	Cankiri, 2 km			
				W Kreuz. Sedi.			
L ₄	BGRC 017832	B. lomatogona	Turkey/ Erzurum	Horasan/	04200 E	04000N	1600
L ₅	BGRC 054213	B. lomatogona		Pasinler, 9 km W			

Table 3. The accessions of *B. lomatogona, B. macrorhiza and B. corolliflora* included in the study of genetic diversity

* Received under the name *B. trigyna.*

There was not much difference among the B. *lornatogona* accessions except at *Sod3. Sod3-3* was found in *B. lomatogona* collected in Erzurum but was absent in L3 (Cankiri). *Got3-1* and *Got3-4* were only observed in L1 (Erzurum) but with low frequencies of 0.076 and 0.015, respectively. *Prxl-3* was also rare in *B. lomatogona_* The most frequent alleles in all accessions were *Sod3-2* (0.50-1.0), *Got3-2* (0.91-1.0) and *Prxl-2* (0.93-1.0). *Pgml-1* and *Lapl-I* were characteristic of *B. lomatogona.*

The Turkey and Dagestan accessions of B. *macrorhiza* differed from each other. Although only three accessions were included for the analysis of genetic diversity, a total of 16 accessions were screened. The *B. macrorhiza* (Dagestan) accessions were characterized by the alleles *Prxl-l* and *Lap1-3,* while *B. macrorhiza* (Turkey) contained *Prxl-2* and -3 and *Lapl-4,* -5 and -6.

Tables 4 and 5 show the genetic variability in B . *corolliflora, B. lomatogona* and *B. macrorhiza.* The B. *macrorhiza* accessions and one *B. corolliflora* accession could not be included for the genetic variability at *Sod3* and *Pgml* in Table 4 since not enough data were obtained. Based on % polymorphic loci, *B. corolliflora* was highly polymorphic compared to *B_ macrorhiza* or *B. lomatogona. B. corolliflora* showed also the high-

Population	Mean sample	Mean no. of	Percentage of	Mean heterozygosity		
	size per locus	alleles per locus	loci polymorphic*	Observed	Expected** (Hardy-Weinberg)	
C ₂	72.6	3.0	100.0	0.725	0.543	
C ₃	61.2	3.0	100.0	0.779	0.538	
C ₄	24.8	3.4	100.0	0.574	0.452	
C ₅	48.8	3.0	100.0	0.683	0.401	
C ₆	24.4	2.6	100.0	0.797	0.471	
C7	21.2	2.6	100.0	0.746	0.466	
C8	39.2	3.0	100.0	0.805	0.520	
Ll	44.2	1.8	40.0	0.077	0.096	
L2	23.8	1.2	20.0	0.200	0.102	
L ₃	30.0	1.0	0,0	0.000	0.000	
L4	31.0	1.6	40.0	0.113	0.123	
L ₅	37.0	1.4	0.0	0.016	0.016	

Table 4. Genetic variability in five isozyme loci* in *B. corolliflora and B. lomatogona* populations

** Prxl, Got3, Lap1, Sod3 and Pgml.*

** Nei (1978).

Table 5. Genetic variability in three isozyme loci* in *B. corolliflora, B. macrorhiza and B. lomatogona* populations

Population	Mean sample	Mean no. of	Percentage of	Mean heterozygosity			
	size per locus	alleles per locus	loci polymorphic*	Observed	Expected** (Hardy-Weinberg)		
C1	24.0	2.7	100.0	0.485	0.367		
C ₂	91.3	3.3	100.0	0.688	0.565		
C3	72.0	4.0	100.0	0.744	0.531		
C4	27.3	4.0	100.0	0.547	0.546		
C5	49.3	3.7	100.0	0.788	0.447		
C ₆	24.7	3.0	100.0	0.870	0.577		
C7	20.3	3.0	100.0	0.844	0.566		
C8	48.0	3.7	100.0	0.838	0.587		
M ₁	28.7	2.0	66.7	0.213	0.172		
M ₂	21.0	1.0	0.0	0.000	0.000		
M3	48.0	1.0	0.0	0.000	0.000		
LI	43.7	2.0	33.3	0.040	0.070		
L2	25.0	1.0	0.0	0.000	0.000		
L ₃	30.0	1.0	0.0	0.000	0.000		
L4	28.3	1.3	33.3	0.016	0.047		
L5	37.0	1.3	0.0	0.009	0.009		

** Prxl, Got3 and Lap1.*

** Nei (1978).

est mean number of alleles per locus. In terms of mean heterozygosity, *B. corolliflora* likewise exhibited higher values than the two other species. For *Prxl, Got3* and *Lap1, B. corolliflora* had an average of 0.73, B. *lomatogona,* 0.01 and *B. macrorhiza,* 0.07.

The genotype frequencies in *Sod3* in three geographically closely located populations of *B. corolliflora are* exhibited in Table 6. Since the genotype frequencies in the three populations were very similar, the values were summed up and placed under the

Genotypes	Population			Observed		Expected		$(E-O)2/E$
	C ₂	C ₃	C ₅	(O)		(E)		
	(Erzurum)	(Erzurum)	(Kars)					
$Sod-3-$								
1111	7	3	$\overline{4}$	14		16.70		
2222				$\boldsymbol{0}$	14	0.92	17.72	0.74
3333				$\overline{0}$		$\mathbf 0$		θ
1112	17	16	$\overline{7}$	40		32.30		1.83
1122	10	9	3	22		23.45		0.08
1222	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{4}$		6		7.57		0.32
1113	$\overline{2}$	3	7	12		8.61		
1133				$\overline{0}$	13	1.67	10.42	0.63
1333			\mathbf{I}	1		0.14		
2223		1				0.97		
2233				$\bf{0}$		0.40	1.44	0.13
2333				$\mathbf 0$		0.07		
1123				$\mathbf 0$		12.52		
1223	7	$\overline{4}$	ı	12	17	6.05	20.20	0.50
1233	1	3	ı	5		1.63		
Total	46	43	24	113		113		$\chi^2 = 4.23$ ns

Table 5. Genotypic frequency at *Sod3* in three *B. corolliflora* populations at Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and chromosome segregation

column "observed". These summed values were then used for the estimation of the expected values under Hardy-Weinberg conditions. The expected frequencies after chromosome segregation did not vary from that of the observed values.

Figure 2 shows the constructed phylogenetic tree based on the UPGMA method. There are four distinct groups: (1) *B. macrorhiza* (M1, Turkey), (2) B. *lomatogona* group, (3) *B. corolliflora* group, and (4) B. *macrorhiza* (M2 and M3, Dagestan) and *B. corolliflora* $(C1)$. The plants in C1 looked intermediate between B. *corolliflora* and *B. macrorhiza.* This tree shows that B. *corolliflora* is more related to *B. macrorhiza* than to B. *lomatogona. B. corolliflora* and *B. macrorhiza are* also the end members of the divergence line. There is also a distinct difference between the Dagestan and Turkey B . *macrorhiza* accessions_ Similar results were found in the tree constructed from the Neighbor-Joining method (Fig. 3).

RAPD analysis

In the screening of Corollinae species, the primers A-05, A-18 and A-19 were used. After amplification with primer A-05 three fragments were scored (Fig.

Fig. 2. The tree constructed after the Neighbor-Joining Method and genetic distance of Nei (1972): (C) *B. corolliflora,* (M) B. *macrorhiza,* and (L) *B. lomatogona.*

4a). The Corollinae species exhibited Fragment 1 but *B. lomatogona* was polymorphic. Fragment 2 seemed to be species specific_ It was found in *B. lomatogona* although polymorphic but not in *B. macrorhiza* and B. *corolliflora.* This fragment was also found in *B. intermedia* and *B. trigyna.* Fragment 3 was observed only in *B. corolliflora.* A total of 10 fragments were scored for primer A-18 (Fig. 4b). These fragments were all found in *B. corolliflora.* Fragment 6 was detected in *B. corolliflora* and *B. macrorhiza* but not in *B. lomatogona.* It

Fig. 3. The tree constructed after the Neighbor-Joining Method and genetic distance of Nei (1972): (C) *B. corolliflora,* (M) B. *macrorhiza, and (L) B. lomatogona.*

was also present in *B. intermedia* and *B. trigyna.* In the material investigated with primer A-19, one fragment could be used to separate the species. This fragment (Fig. 4c) was found in *B. corolliflora* and *B. macrorhiza* but not in *B. lomatogona.* In *B. trigyna* and *B. intermedia,* it was detected in some plants. Based on the three RAPD primers, it was observed that *B. corolliflora* is more related to *B. macrorhiza* than to *B. lomatogona.* The hybridity in *B. intermedia* and *B. trigyna* could not be clearly determined. However, RAPD results do not contradict the assumptions that hybrid species probably stem from *B. corolliflora* and *B. lomatogona.*

Discussion

Isozyme analysis showed that the Corollinae species could be classified into three basic species: *B. lomatogona* (2x), *B. macrorhiza* (2x) and *B. corolliflora* (4x),

and that *B. intermedia* and *B. trigyna are* hybrids. These results support the taxonomy of section Corollinae advanced by Buttler (1977) who introduced the concept of three basic species in Corollinae namely: *B. lomatogona, B. macrorhiza* and *B. corolliflora.* This concept was also supported by $Ozg\ddot{o}r$ (1992). If the three basic species represent a continuous variation of isozyme alleles, *B. lomatogona* occupies one end and *B. macrorhiza,* the other end. *B. corolliflora* is in between but much more related to *B. macrorhiza* than to *B. lomatogona.*

Hybridity in *B. trigyna* and *B. intermedia* was reflected in their allele composition found in different isozyme loci. *B. trigyna* could be a hybrid form of *B. lomatogona* and *B. corolliflora* as earlier proposed by Zossimovitch (1940). Based on the alleles found, *B_ intermedia* could be more likely also a hybrid form of *B. corolliflora* and *B. lomatogona* and not B. *trigyna* and *B. lomatogona* as described by Scheibe (1934). Results also showed that there is confusion in the classification of Corollinae species. Buttler (1977) already traced the case of *B. trigyna* and *B. corolliflora.* According to this author, *B. corolliflora* was once considered as identical to *B. trigyna* until the separation of these two species by Zossimovitch (1940). Other reasons given by Buttler were the underevaluation of geographical distribution as well as reproduction systems as relevant characters in systematic classification.

B. corolliflora was more polymorphic and heterozygous than *B. macrorhiza* and *B. Iomatogona.* The remarkably high level of heterozygosity in *B. corolliflora* is in accordance with tetrasomic inheritance which was observed in this species (Reamon-Büttner $\&$ Wricke, 1993) and confirming further its autotetraploid origin. Similarly in naturally occurring autotetraploids belonging to the family Saxifragaceae, it was observed that they showed a much increased level of heterozygosity as compared to the diploid cytotypes (Soltis & Riesenberg, 1986, Wolf et al., 1987). The genotypic frequencies in *Sod3* found likewise in three geographic closely related wild populations of *B. corolliflora* support further the autotetraploid origin of *B. corolliflora.*

The close relationship between *B. corolliflora* and *B. macrorhiza* was again clearly depicted in the phylogenetic trees. This finding is in agreement with that of Buttler (1977) who found that *B. corolliflora* and *B_ macrorhiza* correspond in many features. These include flower structure; special form and position of the tepals; similar variability; orientation of the tepals in the fruit and in the degree of polycarpy; and similar

N N M M L L L L L C C C C C C C C N N Mr

Mr C C I I T T L **N** 266

Fig. 4. Amplification with primers A-05 (a) and A-18 (b) and A-19 (c) in different accessions of *B. corolliflora* (C), *B. macrorhiza* (M), B. *lomatogona* (L) *and B. nana* (N). (Mr) size marker.

distribution area with corresponding areal diagnosis. With these criteria, *B. lomatogona* deviates from B. *corolliflora.* Similar relationship was found among B. *corolliflora, B. macrorhiza* and *B. lomatogona* based on DNA fingerprinting (Jung et al., 1993) and rDNA markers (Santoni & Berville, 1992).

Buttler (1977) claimed that *B_ macrorhiza* originating from the Caucasus and the *B. macrorhiza (B. foliosa)* originating in Turkey belong to one species, although a very variable one. Due to lack of authentic material from the Caucasus, he could not confirm the possibility of a sub-species separation of the two areal populations. The data on at least two isozyme loci *Prxl* and *Lap1* from authentic *B. macrorhiza* accessions from the Caucasus confirm the existence of two

different gene pools in these species. These two B . *macrorhiza* gene pools were also depicted in the phylogenetic trees.

In the UPGMA tree, *B. corolliflora* and B. *macrorhiza* (Dagestan) are at the end of the evolutionary line. This is also in agreement with earlier conclusion of Buttler (1975; 1977) that *B. corolliflora* and *B. macrorhiza* are the end members of the evolutionary line in section Corollinae. Buttler (1997) considering the differences between *B. corolliflora* and B. *macrorhiza* proposed that evolution would be possible in both directions except that of chromosome number which is from diploid to tetraploid. This implies that *B. corolliflora* is more recent than *B. macrorhiza.*

B_ macrorhiza (Turkey) appears to be the most ancestral accession in the UPGMA tree. According to Buttler (1977) the progenitor of section Corollinae has probably the morphology within the range of the recent species *B. corolliflora* and *B. macrorhiza.* The region of East Anatolia and Armenia is the assumed evolutionary centre of section Corollinae, where the distribution areas of all three basic species overlap. A more detailed study of the East Anatolia genepool of B. *macrorhiza* to which M1, BGRC 018256 belongs, can perhaps provide valuable information on the evolution of section Corollinae.

If *B. corolliflora* is an autotetraploid, what could have been its progenitor? No reports of diploid B . *corolliflora* populations have been found until now. One *B. corolliflora* accession (C1, BGRC 018246, Turkey) clustered with the *B. macrorhiza* accessions collected in Dagestan. The plants in this accession looked intermediate between *B. corolliflora* and B. *macrorhiza.* In view of this, a question is posed as to whether *B. macrorhiza* is the ancestor of *B. corolliflora.* Considering the alleles observed in different B. *corolliflora* accessions, they are generally found in B. *macrorhiza.*

The tendency to develop unreduced male gametes has been observed in *B. macrorhiza* (Jassem et al., 1985) while that of unreduced female gametes has not yet been reported. Perhaps the combination of two unreduced gametes could be a possible mechanism for the development of an autoteraploid from B. *macrorhiza.* Asker (1979) reported on such a mechanism in the Bothriochloa-Dicanthium Complex (Panicoideae).

From *B. macrorhiza* accessions from Turkey, Buttier (1977) found two triploid plants which could have been resulted from the combination of unreduced and reduced gametes. The triploid plants developed weakly and did not survive. Assuming that *B. macrorhiza* is the ancestor of *B. corolliflora,* a possible mechanism would also be the fertilization of a triploid plant (the 2x gamete) with unreduced diploid pollen. A spontaneous dihaploid *B. corolliflora* has been observed also in the present study and in another one (Jassem, 1990). Perhaps this phenomenon might also have played a role in the evolution of the *B. corolliflora/B, macrorhiza.* Many wild populations of these two species have been discovered but have not yet been analyzed.

The results obtained with RAPD analysis of Corollinae support further the relationship that *B. corolliflora* has more similarities with *B. macrorhiza* than with *B. lomatogona.* However, the RAPD results with *B. macrorhiza are* preliminary until more plants are screened. From the isozyme data, it could be observed that *B. trigyna* and *B. intermedia* are hybrid forms, most likely from *B. corolliflora* and *B. lomatogona.* With the primers used, hybridity could not be determined with certainty although the results do not contradict the findings on isozymes. Actually, the RAPD markers behaved as expected if the genomes of B. *corolliflora* and *B_ lomatogona* were combined.

Based on floral morphology Barocka (1966) proposed a subdivision of the Corollinae section into Trigynae and Lomatogonae. This subdivision was considered by Buttler (1977) as not justifiable arguing among others that difference in the two flower types is not enough to create sub-sections since even with this criterion at the species level *B. lomatogona* separates from *B_ macrorhiza/B, corolliflora.* Two other studies, however, using molecular techniques support Barocka's proposal. Fritzsche et al. (1987) found differences in the restriction patterns of chloroplast DNA between B. *trigyna* and *B. lomatogona,* which they used to support the subdivisions Trigynae and Lomatogonae. Unfortunately, other Corollinae species were not included in their study. With RAPD markers, different conclusions could be made if only *B. trigyna* and *B. lomatogona* would be compared and also without taking into consideration the data on isozyme analysis. Santoni & Berville (1992) using nuclear rDNA markers found that the BamHI site was absent in *B. lomatogona* and B. *intermedia* while it was present in *B. trigyna, B. corolliflora* and *B_ macrorhiza.* With this observation, which was based on a single plant per species or seed material, they also proposed the subdivisions Lomatogonae and Trigynae. In the RAPD analysis, no such subdivisions have been observed.

As observed in the study of Corollinae species, it would be wise to consider at least the plant morphology and chromosome number of plant material if used for further investigation. Isozyme screening would be a helpful method in differentiating the species and in determining genetic diversity for genetic resources conservation of wild beets. A better approach to taxonomy in this section, however, would be multidisciplinary where morphological, biochemical and molecular data are taken into consideration.

Acknowledgements

The financial support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) and the technical assistance of **Ms. I_ Robotta are gratefully acknowledged_ We also** thank the Institut für Pflanzenbau, FAL, Braunschweig, **Germany and the Institute of Plant Breeding and Acclimatization, Bydgoszcz, Poland for the seed material.**

References

- Asker, S., 1979. Progress in apomixis research. Hereditas 91: 231-240.
- Barocka, K.-H., 1966. Die Sektion Corollinae der Gattung Beta (Tournef.) L. Z. Pflanzenzücht. 56: 379-388.
- Buttler, K.P, 1975. *Beta corulliflora.* Mitt. Bot. Miinchen 12:289 296.
- Buttler, K.P., 1977. Revision von Beta Sektion Corollinae (Chenopodiaceae) 1. Selbststerile Basisarten. Mitt. Bott. München 13: 255-336.
- Frese, L. & B.Y. Burenin, 1991 Sammlung genetischer Ressourcen von Beta und Lactuca in Georgien und Dagestan (UdSSR) yon 21.08.91 bis zum 14.09.91. CGN Collection Activities 3. CPRO-DLO CGN, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Fritzsche, K., M. Metzlaff, R. Melzer & R. Hagemann, 1987. Comparative restriction endonuclease analysis and molecular cloning of plastid DNAs from wild species and cultivated varieties of the genus Beta (L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 74: 589-594.
- Jassem, B., 1990. Apomixis in the genus Beta (Review) Apomixis Newsletter 2: 7-23.
- Jassem, B., E. Jazdzewska & M. Szota, 1985 Investigations on phylogenies of wild species of the Beta genus within the Corollinae section. Hodowa Roslin Aklimatyzacja I Nasiennictwo 29: $1 - 10$.
- Jung, C., K. Pillen. L. Frese, S. Fähr & A.E. Melchinger, 1993. Phylogenetic relationships between cultivated and wild species of the genus Beta revealed by DNA fingerprinting Theor. Appl. Genet. 86: 449-457.
- Löptien, H., 1984. Breeding nematode-resistant beets. Development of resistant alien additions by crosses between *Beta vulgaris* and wild species of the section Patellares. Z. Pflanzenzücht. 92: 208-220.
- Nei, M., 1972. Genetic distance between populations. American Naturalist 106: 283-292.
- Nei, M., 1978. Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic

distance from a small number of individuals. Genetics 89: 583-590.

- Özgör, E.O., 1992. *Beta L.* of Turkey. Türkiye Seker Fabrikalari A. S. Genel Müdürlügü Yayin No. 216.
- Reamon-Büttner, S.M., 1994. Genomorganisation, verwandtschaftlichen Beziehungen und genetische Diversität von Wildrüben in den Sektionen Procumbentes, Corollinae und Nanae. Dissertation. Universität Hannover.
- Reamon-Biittner, S.M. & G. Wricke, 1993. Evidence of tetrasomic inheritance in *Beta corolliflora.* J. Sugar Beet Res. 30:321-327.
- Saghal-Maroof, M.A., K.M. Soliman, R.A. Jorgensen & R.W. Allard, 1984. Ribosomal DNA spacer-length polymorphism in barley: Mendelian inheritance, chromosomal location and population dynamics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 81: 8014-8018.
- Saitou, N. & M. Nei, 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4: 406-425.
- Santoni, S. & A. Berville, 1992. Characterization of the nuclear ribosomal DNA units and phylogeny *of Beta L.* wild forms and cultivated beets. Theor. Appl. Genet. 83: 1009-1016.
- Scheibe, A, 1934. Uber die Wildzuckerrtiben Anatoliens *Beta lomatogona* F. et *M., B. intermedia* Bge. und *B. trigyna W.* et K. Angewandte Genetik 16: 305-349.
- Sneath, P.H. & R.R. Sokal, 1973. Principles of numerical taxonomy. WH. Freeman, San Francisco.
- Soltis, D.E. & L.H. Riesenberg, 1986. Autoploidy in *Tolmiea menziesii* (Saxifragaceae): genetic insights from enzyme electrophoresis. Am. J. Bot. 73: 310-318.
- Uphoff, H. & G. Wncke, 1992. Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers in sugar beet *(Beta vulgaris* L.): mapping the genes for nematode resistance and hypocotyl colour. Plant Breed. 109: 168-171.
- Van Geyt, J.P.C., W Lange, M. Oleo & Th. Sm. De Bock, 1990. Natural variation within the genus Beta and its possible use for breeding sugar beet: a review. Euphytica 49: 57-76.
- Wagner, H., 1990. Genetische Untersuchungen und Kopplungsanalysen yon Isoenzymen und Morphologischen Markern bei *Beta vulgaris.* Dissertation. Universität Hannover.
- Wagner, H. & G. Wricke. 1991. Genetic control of five isozyme systems in sugar beet *(Beta vulgaris* L.). Plant Breed. 107: 124- 130.
- Wolf, P.G., P.S. Soltis & D.E. Soltis, 1987. Autopolyploid evolution in *Heuchera grossular~fblia* (Saxifragaceae). (Abstract). Am. J. Bot. 74: 767.
- Zossimovitch, V.P., 1940. Wild Beta species and the origin of cultivated beets. Svieklovodstwo (Kiev) pp. 17-88.