The assessment of ²¹⁰Pb data from sites with varying sediment accumulation rates

P. G. Appleby¹ & F. Oldfield²

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics & Theoretical Physics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, U.K. ² Department of Geography, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, U.K.

Keywords: paleolimnology, ²¹⁰Pb, sediment accumulation

Abstract

The last few years have seen a dramatic growth in the use of 210 Pb sediment dating. Despite this, considerable doubt still surrounds the nature of the processes by which 210 Pb is deposited in lake sediments, and this has lead to a situation where there is a choice of dating models offering different interpretations of 210 Pb data. In assessing 210 Pb data it is therefore essential to first of all determine whether data is consistent with the assumptions of the dating model, and to then compare the 210 Pb chronology with independent dating evidence. We have tested 210 Pb data from a wide variety of sites, and our calculations indicate that the crs (constant rate of 210 Pb supply) model provides a reasonably accurate chronology when the total 210 Pb contents of cores from neighbouring locations are comparable.

Introduction

In most of the early papers on ²¹⁰Pb chronology (Krishnaswamy *et al.* 1971; Koide *et al.* 1973; Robbins & Edgington 1975), the methods used assumed a constant rate of sediment accumulation, and were applicable to sediment cores in which the unsupported ²¹⁰Pb activity declined exponentially down the core. There is, however, abundant evidence for accelerating accumulation rates in many lakes in recent times, and a need therefore to develop a reliable model for calculating ²¹⁰Pb dates in sites with varying rates of sediment accumulation.

Considerable doubt still surrounds the precise nature of the processes by which 210 Pb is deposited in lake sediments, and for this reason 210 Pb data from sites with varying sediment accumulation rates is interpreted by different authors in different ways. Since these processes may well vary from site to site it is unlikely that one model will be universally applicable. The purpose of this paper is to outline the principal assumptions used in 210 Pb chronology, and to present techniques for assessing the consistency of data with these assumptions.

²¹⁰Pb Chronology of lake sediments

The processes by which ²¹⁰Pb is delivered to catchment surfaces have been described in detail elsewhere (e.g. Krishnaswamy & Lal, 1978). The radium isotope ²²⁶Ra (half-life 1622 yrs.) decays to yield the inert gas ²²²Rn. This in turn decays (with a half-life of 3.83 days) through a series of short-lived isotopes to ²¹⁰Pb (half-life 22.26 yrs.). A fraction of the ²²²Rn atoms formed by ²²⁶Ra decay in soils escape from the soil particles into the interstices and diffuse through the soil into the atmosphere where they decay to ²¹⁰Pb. This is removed from the atmosphere by rain, snow, or dry fallout, falling either onto the land surface where it is trapped in surface soils (Benninger et al. 1975), or into lakes or oceans. ²¹⁰Pb falling into lakes is scavenged from the lake waters by sediments, and deposited on the bed of the lake. Krishnaswamy & Lal (1978) have estimated that the mean ²¹⁰Pb flux onto the land surface is about 0.45 pCi cm⁻² a⁻¹. Local values of the mean ²¹⁰Pb flux are governed by local or regional meteorological factors, and range from 0.15 pCi cm⁻² a^{-1} in Australia to 0.96 pCi cm⁻² a^{-1}

Hydrobiologia 103, 29-35 (1983).

[©] Dr W. Junk Publishers, The Hague. Printed in the Netherlands.

in Hokkaido, Japan.

The ²¹⁰Pb activity of lake sediments has two components, a supported component C_s deriving from ²²²Rn decay within the sediment column, and an unsupported (or excess) component C deriving from the atmospheric fallout of ²¹⁰Pb. C_s can, for most purposes, be approximated by the ²²⁶Ra concentration. In the absence of ²¹⁰Pb fallout, ²¹⁰Pb and ²²⁶Ra would be in radioactive equilibrium. C is determined by subtracting C_s from the total ²¹⁰Pb concentration.

The unsupported ²¹⁰Pb concentration in each sediment layer declines with its age in accordance with the usual radioactive decay law. This law can be used to calculate the age of the sediment provided that the initial unsupported ²¹⁰Pb concentration when laid down on the bed of the lake can be estimated in some way.

If the erosive processes in the catchment are steady, and give rise to a constant rate of sediment accumulation, it is reasonable to suppose that every sediment layer will have the same initial unsupported ²¹⁰Pb concentration. In this case the unsupported ²¹⁰Pb concentration will decline exponentially with the cumulative dry mass of sediment. When the unsupported ²¹⁰Pb concentration C is plotted on a logarithmic scale, the resulting ²¹⁰Pb profile will be linear. The sediment accumulation rate can be determined graphically from the mean slope of the profile, or analytically by using a least squares fit procedure. In this model, sometimes referred to as the constant flux-constant sedimentation rate (cf:cs) model, the exact mechanism by which the sediment accumulated ²¹⁰Pb is immaterial.

²¹⁰Pb chronology under varying sediment accumulation rates

In many cases it is clear that rates of erosion and sedimentation have varied significantly during the past 150 years. In this event the ²¹⁰Pb profile may be expected to be non-linear. Many authors have observed such profiles. Figure 1 shows ²¹⁰Pb profiles from Lough Erne in N. Ireland which are both non-linear and non-monotonic over depths of up to 30 cm. Since changing accumulation rates may well result in variations in the initial ²¹⁰Pb concentra-



Fig. 1. Non-linear ²¹⁰Pb profiles from Lough Erne, N. Ireland (Oldfield et al. 1978).

tions of sediments, in order to construct a reliable ²¹⁰Pb chronology it is necessary to understand more precisely the processes by which sediment particles adsorb ²¹⁰Pb. The problem is further complicated by the fact that non-linear profiles may be caused by a number of other factors, including migration of ²¹⁰Pb through interstitial waters near the sediment water interface (Koide *et al.* 1973), mixing of near-surface sediments by physical (Petit, 1974) or biological (Robbins *et al.* 1977) processes, post-depositional redistribution of sediments either discontinuously through slumping (Edgington & Robbins 1977) or more or less continuously by sediment erosion.

There are essentially two models which are mathematically practicable for calculating ²¹⁰Pb dates under varying sediment accumulation rates, the constant rate of ²¹⁰Pb supply (c.r.s.) model and the constant initial concentration (c.i.c.) model. The physical bases for these models are discussed in more detail in Oldfield & Appleby (in press).

The constant rate of supply (or constant flux) model assumes that there is a constant fallout of ²¹⁰Pb from the atmosphere to the lake waters resulting in a constant rate of supply of ²¹⁰Pb to the sediments irrespective of any variations which may have occurred in the sediment accumulation rate. This model was proposed by Krishnaswamy et al. (1971). In support of this model, Benninger et al. (1975) have estimated that, at least in some cases, > 99% of the ²¹⁰Pb deposited on the land surface is trapped in the soil layers. The dominant source of the ²¹⁰Pb in lake waters is then direct fallout onto the lake surface. Studies of the residence time of dissolved ²¹⁰Pb in lake waters (Schell 1977; Durham & Joshi 1980) have shown that this ²¹⁰Pb is rapidly transferred from the water to particulates.

If the assumptions of the c.r.s. model are satisfied, it may be shown that the cumulative residual unsupported 210 Pb, A, beneath sediments of age t varies according to the formula:

 $A = A(o)e^{-kt}$

where A(o) is the total residual unsupported ²¹⁰Pb in the sediment column and k is the ²¹⁰Pb radioactive decay constant. A and A(o) are calculated by direct numerical integration of the ²¹⁰Pb profile. The age of sediments of depth x is then given by:

$$t = \frac{l}{k} \ln \frac{A(o)}{A}.$$

The sedimentation rate can be shown to be given directly by the formula (Appleby & Oldfield 1978):

$$r = \frac{kA}{C}$$

The ²¹⁰Pb supply rate is given by:

$$P = kA(o)$$

This procedure for calculating ²¹⁰Pb dates was first outlined by Goldberg (1963), and is set out in detail in Appleby & Oldfield (1978), and Robbins (1978).

The constant initial concentration (or constant specific activity) model assumes that an increased flux of sedimentary particles from the water column will remove proportionally increased amounts of 210 Pb from the water to the sediments. Under the assumptions of this model sediments will have the same initial unsupported 210 Pb concentration irrespective of any variations in sediment accumulation rate.

If the assumptions of the c.i.c. model are satisfied, the unsupported 210 Pb concentration will vary with depth in accordance with the formula:

$$C = C(o) e^{-kt},$$

where C(o) is the unsupported ²¹⁰Pb concentration of sediments at the sediment water interface. The age of a sediment layer with ²¹⁰Pb concentration C is therefore

$$t = \frac{1}{k} \ln \frac{C(o)}{C}$$

The calculation of 210 Pb dates by this procedure is illustrated in Pennington *et al.* (1976).

Assessment of ²¹⁰Pb data for consistency with dating models

The ²¹⁰Pb supply for a given core is likely to depend in a complex way on both the atmospheric input and sediment accumulation rate. The determination of a ²¹⁰Pb chronology will be feasible only

if one or other of these factors is dominant. In considering a given data set it is therefore necessary to establish whether it conforms to either the c.r.s. model or c.i.c. model.

If the c.r.s. model is applicable, the following consequences may be noted.

- Non-monotonic profiles may be expected in response to major changes in the accumulation rate, since faster net sediment accumulation will tend to depress initial unsupported ²¹⁰Pb concentrations, and vice versa.
- 2. Different cores from the same lake, or from the same depositional zone within a very large lake, or from different lakes within the same general area will have comparable ²¹⁰Pb residuals (i.e. total residual unsupported ²¹⁰Pb contents) despite differences in the accumulation rates.

3. The ²¹⁰Pb residuals of the cores should reflect the ²¹⁰Pb fallout from the atmosphere. Since the ²¹⁰Pb fallout lies in the range 0.2–0.9 pCi cm⁻² a⁻¹, depending on the locality, the ²¹⁰Pb residuals should lie in the range 6–30 p Ci cm⁻².

All three points are well illustrated by the data from Lower Lough Erne. The profiles (Fig. 1) are nonmonotonic. The ²¹⁰Pb residuals are virtually identical, 19.2 pCi cm⁻² for FM1 and 20.7 pCi cm⁻² for SM1 despite a 3-fold difference in the accumulation rates. The corresponding ²¹⁰Pb supply rates are 0.6 pCi cm⁻² a⁻¹ and 0.64 pCi cm⁻² a⁻¹ respectively. Table 1 summarises results from a variety of sites which satisfy the c.r.s. criteria. Figure 2 illustrates the convergence of the cumulative ²¹⁰Pb residuals for cores from two sites.

Table 1. 210 Pb parameters and sedimentation rates for cores from a variety of sites satisfying the c.r.s.	.s. criteria.
--	---------------

Coring site		Total residual	Unsupported	Mean sedimentation rate during		²¹⁰ Pb flux
		²¹⁰ Pb content A(o) (pCi cm ⁻²)	at surface C(O) (pCi g ⁻¹)	(a) past 30 years r(o) (g m ⁻² a ⁻¹)	(b) past 100 years $\overline{r}(g m^{-2} a^{-2})$	²¹⁰ Pb residual pCi cm ⁻² a ⁻¹
Ireland (Oldfield	<i>et al.</i> 1978)					
Lower L. Erne	Core SM1	20.7	7.13	0.08	0.037	0.64
	Core FM1	19.2	1.82	0.31	0.12	0.60
Upper L. Erne	Core FM2	14.9	1.26	0.35	0.13	0.46
L. Augher (1977)		12.7	1.81	0.19	0.11	0.40
Wales (Elner & W	Vood 1980)					
Llyn Peris	Core A	38.8	1.08	1.07	0.27	1.21
	Core E	42.8	1.99	0.67	0.28	1.33
England						
Rostherne Mere	Core RMII	6.5	2.24	0.084	0.055	0.20
	Core N79	5.4	1.87	0.088	0.052	0.17
Newton Mere		5.3	4.43	0.032	0.029	0.17
Belgium (Oldfield	l et al. 1980)					
L. Mirwart	Core 1	10.5	3.29	0.092	0.14	0.33
	Core 2	10.0	2.21	0.13	0.055	0.31
	Core 3	10.7	2.34	0.14	0.12	0.33
Finland (Appleby	et al. 1979)					
Laukunlampi		20.5	36.7	0.011	0.0072	0.64
Lovojarvi		20.1	5.55	0.078	0.059	0.63
Paajarvi		24.5	14.2	0.044	0.059	0.76
L. Michigan U.S. Edgington 1975	A. (Robbins &					
S. Margin	Core 54	3.98	10.08	0.0075	0.0069	0.12
	Core 31	5.09	6.72	0.018	0.011	0.16
S. Central	Core 11	5.37	7.10	0.020	0.019	0.17
	Core 17	6.04	11.42	0.013	0.013	0.19
N. Central	Core 105	8.14	14.5	0.014	0.010	0.25
	Core 103	8.31	15.1	0.014	0.011	0.26



Fig. 2. Cumulative total residual unsupported ²¹⁰Pb contents for cores from (a) Lower Lough Erne and (b) Lake Mirwart, Belgium (Oldfield *et al.* 1980).

If the c.i.c. model is applicable, the following consequences may be noted.

- 1. The unsupported ²¹⁰Pb concentration must show a monotonic decline with depth.
- 2. The total cumulative residual unsupported ²¹⁰Pb in sediment cores from the same lake should vary roughly in proportion to the mean sediment accumulation rate.

In view of the efficiency at which ²¹⁰Pb is scavenged from lake waters by particulates, it is unlikely that this model will be widely applicable except possibly at sites where sediment focusing is a major factor.

In order to assess whether one or other of the models is generally valid, we have plotted the 210 Pb residuals A(o) and surface 210 Pb activities C(o) for about 50 cores against the corresponding mean sedimentation rates \bar{r} (Fig. 3). If the c.r.s. model were generally valid, there should be no significant relation between A(0) and \bar{r} . On the other hand, since the ²¹⁰Pb activity is inversely proportional to the sediment accumulation rate the graph of C(o) against \bar{r} , plotted on log-log paper, should approximately follow a line making an angle of 45° with each axis. If the c.i.c. model were generally valid, A(o) should be proportional to \bar{r} , and there should be no significant relation between C(o) and \bar{r} . The graphs clearly support the c.r.s. model. The great majority of the cores have a ²¹⁰Pb residual in the range 6-30 pCi cm⁻². The average value of 17.7 pCi cm⁻² corresponds to a mean ²¹⁰Pb supply rate of 0.55 pCi cm⁻² a⁻¹. This compares well with estimates of the mean ²¹⁰Pb fallout.

Figure 3(a) includes data from Lake Michigan in the U.S.A. and Lough Neagh in N. Ireland which appears to be consistent with the c.i.c. model. In the case of the Lake Michigan data (from Robbins & Edgington 1975), six of the cores (see Table 1) have



Fig. 3. ²¹⁰Pb parameters vs mean sediment accumulation rate \bar{r} during the past 100 years for about 50 cores from various localities. Fig. 3(a) plots the total residual unsupported ²¹⁰Pb content A(o) vs \bar{r} . Fig. 3(b) plots the unsupported ²¹⁰Pb concentration at the surface C(o) vs \bar{r} .

²¹⁰Pb residuals which correspond more or less to the measured atmospheric ²¹⁰Pb fallout of $\sim 0.2 \text{ pCi cm}^{-2} \text{ a}^{-1}$. The two remaining cores, however, 29 and 100A, have ²¹⁰Pb residuals well in excess of these values. Both sets of results can be interpreted in terms of post-depositional sediment focusing (Oldfield & Appleby, in press).

When the ²¹⁰Pb profiles satisfy the assumptions of either model, errors in the chronology may still arise as a result of vertical mixing processes in the near surface sediments. Robbins *et al.* (1977) have modified the constant flux-constant sedimentation rate model to allow for such processes. A modified c.r.s. model which takes account of sediment mixing is set out in Oldfield & Appleby (in press).

Summary

Perhaps the most important conclusion that we would reach is that there is no single model that will

give a reliable ²¹⁰Pb chronology in all cases, and that each data set must be evaluated independently for consistency with one or other of the dating models. A tentative procedure for evaluating data is as follows:

I Linear Profiles

All models give the same chronology

- II Non-linear Profiles
- (a) If the ²¹⁰Pb residuals are comparable with the known atmospheric flux, or with the ²¹⁰Pb residuals or nearby cores, the c.r.s. model would appear to be applicable. In our experience, ²¹⁰Pb dates calculated in this way have generally been consistent with independent dating evidence.
- (b) If the ²¹⁰Pb residuals do not satisfy the requirements of the c.r.s. model, but there is independent evidence that the primary sediment accumulation rate has been constant, the c.i.c. model will be applicable. This case may occur in situations where sediment focusing takes place.
- (c) If the ²¹⁰Pb residuals do not satisfy the requirements of either model, a ²¹⁰Pb chronology cannot be reliably established. We have found that ²¹⁰Pb dates calculated in these circumstances have in most cases been in conflict with independent dating evidence.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Dr. R. W. Battarbee for permission to use his data from Lough Erne and Loch Augher, Mr. R. Nelms for permission to use his data from Rostherne mere, and Mr. J. P. Smith for permission to use his data from Newtonmere.

References

- Appleby, P. G. & Oldfield, F., 1978. The calculation of lead-210 dates assuming a constant rate of supply of unsupported ²¹⁰Pb to the sediment. Catena 5: 1-8.
- Appleby, P. G., Oldfield, F., Thompson, R., Huttunen, P. & Tolonen, K., 1979. ²¹⁰Pb dating of annually laminated lake sediments from Finland. Nature 280: 53-55.
- Benninger, L. K., Lewis, D. M. & Turekian, K. K., 1975. The use of natural ²¹⁰ Pb as a heavy metal tracer in the river estuarine system. In: T. M. Church (ed.). Marine Chemistry in the Coastal Environment. Am. Chem. Soc. Symp. Ser. 18: 202-210.

- Durham, R. W. & Joshi, S. R., 1980. Recent sedimentation rates, ²¹⁰Pb fluxes, and particle settling velocities in Lake Huron, Laurentian Great Lakes. Chem. Geol. 31: 53-66.
- Edgington, D. N. & Robbins, J. N., 1976. Pattern of deposition of natural and fall-out radionuclides in the sediments of Lake Michigan and their relation to Limnological processes. In: Nriagu, J. O. (Ed.). Environmental Biogeochemistry, 2. Ann. Arbor Science, M.1.: 705-729.
- Elner, J. & Wood, C., 1980. The history of two linked but contrasting lakes in N. Wales from a study of pollen, diatoms and chemistry in sediment cores. J. Ecol. 68: 95-121.
- Goldberg, E. D., 1963. Geochronology with ²¹⁰ Pb. In: Radioactive Dating. Int. Atom. Energy Ag. Vienna: 121-131.
- Koide, M., Bruland, K. W. & Goldberg, E. D., 1973. Th-228/Th-232 and Pb-210 geochronologies in marine and lake sediments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 37: 1171-1187.
- Krishnaswamy, S., Lal, D., Martin, J. M. & Meybeck, M., 1971. Geochronology of lake sediments. Earth planet. Sci. Lett. 11: 407–414.
- Krishnaswamy, S. & Lal, D., 1978. Radionuclide Limnochronology. In: Lerman, A. (Ed.). Lakes, Chemistry, Geology & Physics. Springer Verlag, N.Y.: 153-177.
- Olfield, F. & Appleby, P. G., in press. Empirical testing of ²¹⁰ Pb dating models for lake sediments. In: Haworth, E. Y. & Lund, J.W. G. (Eds.). Lake Sediments and Environmental History. Leicester Univ. Press.

- Oldfield, F., Appleby, P. G. & Petit, D., 1980. A re-evaluation of lead-210 chronology and the history of total lead influx in a small South Belgian Pond. Ambio 9: 97-99.
- Oldfield, F., Appleby, P. G. & Battarbee, R. W., 1978. Alternative ²¹⁰Pb dating: results from the New Guinea Highlands and Lough Erne. Nature 271: 339-442.
- Pennington, W., Cambray, R. S., Eakins, J. D. & Harkness, D. D., 1975. Radionuclide dating of the recent sediments of Blelham Tarn. Freshwat. Biol. 6: 317-331.
- Petit, D., 1974. Pb-210 et isotopes stables du plomp dans des sediments lacustres. Earth planet. Sci. Lett. 23: 199-205.
- Robbins, J. A., 1978. Geochemical and geophysical applications of radioactive lead. In: Nriagu, J. O. (Ed.). Biogeochemistry of Lead in the Environment. Elsevier Scientific, Amsterdam: 285–393.
- Robbins, J. A. & Edgington, D. N., 1975. Determination of recent sedimentation rates in Lake Michigan using ²¹⁰Pb and Cs-137. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 39: 285-304.
- Robbins, J. A., Krezoski, J. R., Mozley, S. C., 1977. Radioactivity in sediments of the Great Lakes: Post-depositional redistribution by deposit-feeding organisms. Earth planet. Sci. Lett. 36: 325-333.
- Schell, W. R., 1977. Concentrations, physico-chemical states and mean residence times of ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po in marine and estuarine waters. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta. 41: 1019– 1031.