Physical and chemical characters, phytoplankton and primary production of Ezequiel Ramos Mexía Reservoir (Argentina)

Aldo Mariazzi¹, Victor Conzonno¹, Ricardo Echenique² & Hector Labollita² ¹Instituto de Limnología, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Calle 51 n° 484 CC 712, 1900 La Plata, Argentina; ²División Ficología, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Paseo del Bosque, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

Received 20 June 1989; in revised form 14 September 1989; accepted 25 March 1990

Key words: phytoplankton, primary production, patagonic reservoir

Abstract

We describe the distribution in space and time of a series of physical and chemical variables, phytoplankton, and primary production in Ezequiel Ramos Mexía Reservoir (Argentina). Its waters are soft, poor in nutrients and with a low transparency that greatly depresses primary production. Phytoplankton data indicate the presence of 79 taxa with Bacillariophyceae, Cyanophyta and Chlorophyta alternatively dominant. Chlorophyll *a* was always low and never exceeded 3 mg m⁻³. Based on these results, the trophic status of this ecosystem is discussed.

Introduction

Ezequiel Ramos Mexía Reservoir was formed by damming of the Limay River during 1972, and is located at $39^{\circ} 50'$ S and $69^{\circ} 20'$ W (Argentina). Little research has been done on the physical, chemical and biological aspects of this ecosystem. Land de Castello (1981) gives a description of the abiotic characteristics of the Limay River in the Alicura area; Guarrera *et al.* (1985 & 1987) studied the taxonomics of its Chrysophyceac, Chlorococales and Chamaesiphonales, and Echenique *et al.* (1988) made a comparison of phytoplankton biomass in E. Ramos Mexía and Arroyito Reservoirs.

Several dams are being built in the Limay drainage basin for hydroelectric purposes, and this is expected to have a marked effect on water quality. The objective of the present paper is therefore to provide basic information about the physical and chemical characteristics, phytoplankton and primary production of this reservoir, and to identify its trophic status.

Description of the study area

Morphometric data are given in Table 1. The Limay River Valley is formed by well-graded gravel of clastic pebbles with diameters between 1 and 3 cm, and 30% of sand. The thickness of mantle varied from 10 to 30 meters. The stratigraphic succession of the region around the lake is composed of three kinds of sediments: a) cretaceous sediments, emerging on both sides of the reservoir in a continous way. They are formed of sandstone, sandy claystone, siltstone and clay. The clay is of the montmorillonite group, with low permeability; b) modern sediments, formed by polymictic conglomerates of variable granulometry, with clasts no bigger than 10 cm in diameter, sandy and clayed matrix and c) recent sediments, of fine to very fine sand, forming dunes up to seven meters high, predominantly in the northeast of the lake.

Material and methods

Monthly surveys were made from January 1981 to June 1982 at a single pelagic station (Fig. 1), taking samples at 0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 20 m and at 1 m above the bottom. Samples for chemical analysis were also obtained from Limay and Collón Cura Rivers.



Fig. 1. Geographical location of the Ramos Mexia Reservoir and its affluents with their ionic composition of the data.

Table 1.	Morphometric	parameters	of	E.	Ramos	Mexía
Reservoi	ir.					

Surface area	816 Km ²
Volume	20,200 hm ³
Maximum depth	60 m
Mean depth	24.7 m
Maximum length	59.5 Km
Maximum breadth	13.7 Km
Shore line	346 Km
Development of shore line	3.4
Catchment area	24 200 Km ²
Mean residence time	365 days

Water samples for chemical analysis and photosynthetic pigments were taken by pumping and for phytoplankton and primary production by Van Dorn bottle. Samples were immediately analyzed in laboratories sited on the Reservoir shore. Water temperature was measured directly from the pumping pipe with a thermometer. Transparency was determined with a Secchi disc. light extinction with a Li-Cor underwater photometer (Lambda Instruments, USA), dissolved oxygen by the Winkler method (Alsterberg modification), sodium and potassium by atomic absorption; calcium, magnesium, chloride and carbonate by volumetry; ortophosphates, total phosphorus, nitrates, ammonium, sulphates and reactive silica by spectrophotometry. The methodology used was that of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewaters (1971) and Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes (1976).

Samples for phytoplankton were fixed with 1% lugol solution. Counting was done according to Utermöhl (1958) and Lund *et al.* (1958). Based on this data, a diversity index was calculated applying Shannon & Weaver's formula, as modified by Lloyd *et al.* (1968).

Total chlorophyll was determined by Lorenzen's method (1967), and included phytoplankton below and above 25 μ m. A pigment index was calculated using the ratio of spectrophotometric absorption at the wavelengths of 430 and 665 nm (Margalef, 1965).

Primary production was determined after Steemann Nielsen (1952) by *in situ* incubation of 100 ml light and dark bottles, inoculated with 4 μ Ci of sodium bicarbonate, ¹⁴C. The incubation was from 10.00 to 14.00 hours. The contribution to total primary production of phytoplankton below 25 μ m size was estimated by means of a previous gravity filtration and simultaneous incubation with the other samples. Radioactivity was measured with a liquid scintillation counter (Beckman LS 100), adjusting values according to a quenching curve.

Results

Temperature fluctuated between 8 °C in September 1981 and 21 °C in March 1982 (Fig. 2). There was a period of vertical mixing from March to November, while during summer a slight stratification was observed, with a maximum amplitude of 3 °C in March 1982.

Transparency ranged from 1 to 6 meters, with a mean of 3.3 meters. Figure 3a shows that the Secchi disc disappeared between 25% and 10% of incident light. The extinction coefficient varied from 0.231 m⁻¹ to 0.690 m⁻¹, with a mean 0.447 m⁻¹ (Fig. 3b). The latter showed a significant relation: $E = 0.750 \text{ ml}^{-1} - 0.093 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ S}$; r = 0.83; $P \le 0.001$. Euphotic depth was from 6.4 to 20.4 meters with an average of 11.7 meters.

The different chemical variables are listed in Table 2. Limay River water is low in salinity; after its confluence with Collon Cura River, its concentration increases, but maintaining its ionic composition. Salinity in the lake increases around



	Affluents						Damas Mania	
	Collon cura River		Limay River (Alicura)		Limay River (Pantanito)		Ramos Mexia Reservoir	
	\overline{x}	Range	x	Range	\overline{x}	Range	x	Range
Ortophosphate		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$\mu g P l^{-1}$	5	-	5	-	5	-	5	5-47
Total P								
$\mu g P l^{-1}$	17	5-34	8	5-11	9	5-11	10	5-53
Nitrate								
μg N l ⁻¹	10	-	10	-	10	-	10	10-50
Nitrite								
μg N l ⁻¹	10	-	10	-	10	-	10	-
Ammonia								
μg N 1 - 1	10	-	10	-	10	-	10	-
Silica								
mg $SiO_2 l^{-1}$	18	17.7-18.4	12.5	10.9–13.9	16.8	15.8-17.7	13.3	11.8-15.6
Conductivity								
umho cm ⁻¹	54	49-59	35	33-37	53	48-58	71	63-90
Carbonate			<u>^</u>		•		0	
$\operatorname{mg} \operatorname{CO}_3^{=} 1^{-1}$	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Bicarbonate						00 (00 0	27.0	24.4.41.0
$mg CO_3 H^{-1} I^{-1}$	34.1	32.4-36.9	22.4	21.9-23.2	32	29.6-33.0	37.8	34.4-41.0
Chloride	0.6	02.16	0.0	06.15	0.0	05 07	20	00.43
mg Cl ⁻ ·l ⁻ ·	0.5	0.3-1.5	0.8	0.6-1.5	0.0	0.5-0.7	2.0	0.0-4.3
Sulphate	1.0	05 10	2.0	10 20	1.6	05 20	2.5	00.40
$mg SO_4 I^{-1}$	1.0	0.5-1.0	2.0	1.0-3.0	1.0	0.5-5.0	2.5	0.0-4.0
Calcium $O_2 + + 1^{-1}$	1.60	53 60	5 7	22 70	5.6	25 60	67	00 136
mg Ca I	0.0	3.2-0.9	5.5	5.5-7.8	5.0	3.5-0.9	0.7	0.9-13.0
Magnesium	1.0	00.26	11	04 16	20	00.26	27	00-68
ing Mg 1	1.9	0.9-2.0	1.1	0.4-1.0	2.0	0.9-2.0	5.2	0.0-0.8
Socium $ma Na + 1 - 1$	25	22.28	27	23_33	37	3 3-4 0	48	35-62
nig iva i Dotossium	5.5	5.5-5.0	2.1	2.5-5.5	5.7	5.5~4.0	4.0	5.5-0.2
$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{I}$	0.0	07-11	0.5	04-07	0.8	06-09	0.8	07-11
Solid residue	0.7	0.7-1.1	0.5	0.4-0.7	0.0	0.0 0.2	0.0	V., I.I
ma^{1-1}	177	45 6 <u>51 4</u>	34.8	32 3_39 7	46 3	43 3-49 1	57.8	52 1-69 5
Total hardness	+/./	45.0-51.4	57.0	52.5-57.1	-0. <i>5</i>	TJ.J-TJ.I	57.0	J44.1 ~07.J
$m_{\alpha} CO_{\alpha} Ca 1^{-1}$	22.8	20 9-24 0	17.8	14.0-21.1	22.8	19.4-25.4	29.6	17.0-48 5
ing CO3Ca i	44.0	20.7-24.0	17.0	17.0 201.1	<i></i>	A	27.0	1110 1010

Table 2. Mean values (\bar{x}) and range of annual variation of chemical variables in Ramos Mexia Reservoir and affluents.

20% above that of the river. The values obtained allowed us to identify this ecosystem as hypohaline (total dissolved solids $\leq 0.5 \text{ g} \text{ l}^{-1}$) with soft waters (hardness = 50 mg of CO₃Ca l⁻¹), neutral to slightly alkaline and of the calcium bicarbonate type. Inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus, as well as total phosphorus, were low in the river as in the lake, while mean silica in the lake decreased 20% below that of the river. Dissolved oxygen showed a uniform distribution in the water column across the study period (Fig. 4). Only during March some variation occurred, in which concentrations fluctuated from 8.5 at the surface to 4.8 mg 1^{-1} in deeper layers. This depletion coincided with a slight increase in nitrate and ortophosphate. From April onwards, oxygen profiles became uniform, like the remaining variables.



Fig. 3. Spatial-Time distribution of: a) percentage light transmission and Secchi disc depth (m), and b) extinction coefficient of light.



rig. 4. Seasonal variation of dissolved oxygen (mg1⁻¹) for Ramos Mexia Reservoir.

During the study, 79 algal taxa were identified; 33 belonged to the Bacillariophyceae and 31 to the Chlorophyta (Table 3). Phytoplankton density was uniform across the water column, except in June and October 1981, and in February and March 1982. Total cells m1⁻¹ oscillated from 77 (surface, July 1981) to 3900 (surface, October 1981) (Fig. 5). Integrated phytoplankton values showed three periods of high numerical density (Fig. 6). The first was in late autumn 1981 with 18.5×10^9 cells m⁻² (Table 4), with Bacillariophyceae *Melosira granulata*, *M. granulata* var *angustissima* fa. *spiralis* and *Melosira italica* as main species. The second one occurred in spring 1981 with 13.8×10^9 cells m⁻². The Cyanophyta



Fig. 5. Vertical distribution phytoplankton (cells ml⁻¹) for Ramos Mexia Reservoir, □ total and 22 dominant algal group.

Table 3. Ramos Mexía Reservoir. Phytoplankton species composition

CYANOPHYTA

Anabaena circinalis Rabenh. A. ambigua Rao A. sp. Oscillatoria sp.

CHLOROPHYTA

Chlamydomonas sp. Gonium sociale (Duj.) Warm. Pandorina morum (Muell.) Bory Eudorina elegans Ehr. *E.* sp. Scenedesmus quadricauda (Turp.) Breb. Sc. sp. Crucigenia quadrata Oocystis parva W. & G.S. West O. eremosphaeria G.M. Smith Coelastrum microporum Näg. Tetraedron minimum (A.Br.) Hansg. T. sp. Dictyosphaerium pulchellum Wood Botryococcus braunii Kütz Elakatothrix gelatinosa Wille "Chlorococcal" Asterococcus sp. "Tetrasporal" Binuclearia eriensis Tiff. Ulothrix aff. tenuissima Kütz U. sp. Oedogonium sp. Mougeotia elegentula Wittr. M. sp. Gonatozygon monotaenium var.pilosellum Nordst. Closterium aciculare T. West C. sp. Staurastrum sp. Cosmarium sp. Arthrodesmus convergens Ehr.

CHRYSOPHYTA

Bacillariophyceae Cyclotella stelligera Cl. & Grun. C. sp. Stephanodiscus sp. Melosira distans (Ehr.) Kütz

Melosira italica (Ehr.) Kütz M. granulata (Ehr.) Ralfs M. gran. var. angust. fa. spiralis O. Müll M. varians C.A.Ag. M. sp.Rhizosolenia eriensis H.L. Smith Asterionella formosa Hass. Synedra ulna (Nitz.) Ehr. S. sp. Fragillaria sp. Cocconeis sp. Achnanthes sp. Diatoma sp. Cymbella sp. Gomphonema herculeana Ehr. G. acuminatum var. coronata (Ehr.) Smith G. sp. Rhoicosphenia curvata (Kütz) Grun. Gyrosigma sp. Pleurosigma sp. Pinnularia sp. "Naviculoide" Epithemia sorex Kütz E. argus Kütz E. aff. zebra (Ehr.) Kütz Cymatopleura solea (Breb.) W. Smith Nitzchia sigma (Kütz) W. Smith N. aff. sigmoidea (Ehr.) W. Smith

Chrysophyceae & Xanthophyceae Stelexomonas dichotoma var. arroyitensis Echenique Mallomonas sp. Dynobryon divergens Imhof D. sp. Tribonema angustissimum Pasch.

PYRROPHYTA

Cryptomonas ovata Ehr. Rhodomonas minuta Skuja. Gimnodium sp. Glenodinium sp. "Peridinial"

EUGLENOPHYTA Trachelomonas sp.

Anabaena circinalis prevailed, representing 80% of the community. The last and longest of the three periods occurred from late summer until early autumn 1982, with a maximum of 49.3×10^9 cells m⁻² in April 1982. The Chlorophyta *Binuclearia eriensis* was the best represented

species, but all groups were in steady growth from January to April. The diversity index showed temporal and spatial homogeneity (Fig. 7). However in spring, when *Anabaena circinalis* prevailed in the upper layers, the index reached its lowest value of the whole period $(0.46 \text{ 'bits' cell}^{-1})$.



Fig. 6. Seasonal fluctuation of phytoplankton (cells m⁻²) for Ramos Mexia Reservoir: (—) total; (-·-) Bacillariophyceae; (-×-) Chlorophyta and (.....) Cyanophyta.

Chlorophyll *a* concentrations were generally uniform across the water column, and never exceeded 3 mg m⁻³ (Fig. 8). Integrated values up to 10 meters deep varied between 6 and 28 mg m⁻² (Table 5). Phaeopigment concentrations relative to chlorophyll *a* never surpassed 22%, with a maximum of 3.5 mg m⁻² in April 1982. The contribution of phytoplankton below 25 μ m size to total chlorophyll reached a maximum of 76% in January 1982 and oscillated from



Fig. 7. Phytoplankton species diversity (bits cells⁻¹) for Ramos Mexia Reservoir.

10 to 50%. The lowest value was 7% during February 1982 (Table 5).

The pigment index also showed uniformity across the water column, with values ranging between 2.7 and 3.0 (Fig. 9).

The highest production values were observed between 1 and 5 meters depth (the peak was 13.9 mg C m⁻³ h⁻¹ during February 1981 at 2.5 meters, and was followed by a quick decrease (Fig. 10). An area of photoinhibition between the surface and 1 meter was frequently observed. Optimum production occurred around 25% of incident light. High values coincided with sum-



Fig. 8. Spatial-Time distribution of chlorophyll $a \pmod{m^{-3}}$ for Ramos Mexia Reservoir.

	Chlorophyta	Cyanophyta	Bacillariophyceae	Chrysophyceae Xanthophyceae	Pyrrophyta	Total	
Jun.	3.282	0.122	15.141		_	18.461	
Jul.	1.089	-	7.080	-	0.036	9.049	
Aug.	0.988	-	6.276	0.021	0.251	7.536	
Sept.	0.304	0.713	4.645	0.006	-	5.685	
Oct.	0.506	9.670	3.046	0.137	0.060	13.601	
Nov.	0.386	0.920	2.246	0.555	0.982	5.197	
Jan.	2.110	2.476	6.994	0.848	0.213	12.646	
Feb.	21.804	6.122	8.122	2.393	2.224	40.616	
Mar.	24.820	6.145	10.162	6.722	1.532	49.315	
Apr.	24.585	_	18.632	1.169	0.679	45.115	
Jun.	11.013	0.197	4.504	0.600	2.874	19.163	

Table 4. Ramos Mexía Reservoir. Monthly distribution of phytoplankton (cells $\times 10^9$ m⁻²).

mer, reaching 106.9 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹ in February. Rates were around 25 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹ throughout the rest of the period, being lowest in spring. Phytoplankton below 25 μ m contributed from 18 to 64% to total primary production (Fig. 10).

Discussion and conclusions

Limay River is the major contributor of nutrients and salts to the water of the reservoir. An increase in residence time increases salinity, either due to evaporation or to turbulence, diffussion or other processes at the water/sediment interphase.

Temperature data show that the lake is in almost permanent circulation. Stratification of



Fig. 9. Distribution of photosynthetic pigment index (D430/D665) for Ramos Mexia Reservoir.

dissolved oxygen only appeared during March, but surface supersaturation did not occur, as typical for oligo- to mesotrophic lakes (Golterman, 1975; Margalef, 1976). The uptake of oxygen by microbial activity close to the bottom leads to a slight increase in ortophosphate and nitrate in sample from 1 meter above bottom.

Winds blow mainly from the west along the lake's longitudinal axis. They cause the vertical mixing, which allows a transfer of nutrients to the euphotic zone and a resuspension of particulate matter. A low transparency and shallow photic zone result, causing a low numerical density of phytoplankton and chlorophyll. Primary production is therefore also restricted to the upper layers. Photosynthesis neither modifies pH nor produces a shift in the CO₂-carbonate system. Maximum algal activity, or primary production expressed per unit of chlorophyll, was observed between 1 and 5 meters depth and varied between 1.7 and 13.0 with a mean of 4.3 mg C (mg chlorophyll $a)^{-1}h^{-1}$. High values occurred in summer and were determined in part by temperature, which is higher in the reservoir than in the natural glaciar lakes of the river basin, where production is smaller (Maglianesi et al., 1973).

Nannoplankton contribution to total primary production was important during some periods (more than 50%).

Inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus as well as total phosphorus were low, generating an equally







Fig. 10. Vertical distribution of primary production for Ramos Mexia Reservoir: \Box total phytoplankton and \Box below 25 μ m fraction (\bot) Secchi disc depth.

scarce algal biomass. In agreement with Reynolds & Walsby (1975), low nutrient concentration and short residence time produced few taxa, belonging to the Cyanophyta. However *Anabaena circinalis*, during 'climatic calm' periods, became numerically significant, even producing blooms. Reactive silica was present in concentrations that do not limit Bacillariophyceae. The low values observed in relation to the river were either due to uptake or to precipitation produced by salinity changes.

Specific diversity data fall between oligo and mesotrophic environments, according to Margalef (1977), and chlorophyll a concentrations are typical of oligotrophic lakes (Aruga, 1973; Vollenweider *et al.*, 1974). The pigment index situates this ecosystem in the group with low eutrophy, resembling the values obtained by Margalef *et al.* (1976) for a series of Spanish dams.

The majority of the variables surveyed characterize the lake as oligo to mesotrophic. A low concentration of nutrients and a short water residence time are the main factors responsible of its low degree of maturity.

Acknowledgements

We wish to express our gratitude to Dr S. Guarrera for his permanent advice in the phytoplankton study, to E. Claverie, S. Ilhero, C. Duprez, M. Di Siervi, M. Casco and M. Petrocchi for the assistance in the field and to N. Malacalza for drawing the graphs.

References

- American Public Health Association 1971. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 13th ed. American Public Health Association, New York, 874 pp.
- Aruga, Y., 1973. Organic matter production of plants in waters II (phytoplankton). Kyoritsu Shuppan Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 91 pp.
- Echenique, R. O., S. Guarrera, G. M. Alvarez & J. M. Guerrero, 1988. Estudio comparativo de tres métodos para la estimación de la biomasa del fitoplancton en los

lagos-embalse E. Ramos Mexía y Arroyito (Argentina). Rev. Bras. Biol. 48(3): 517-522

- Environmental Research Center 1976. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio, 298 pp.
- Golterman, H. L., 1975. Physiological Limnology. An approach to the physiology of lake ecosystems. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 489 pp.
- Guarrera, S., R. O. Echenique & H. A. Labollita, 1985. Algunas Craspedomonadophycidae (Chrysophyceae) del sistema del Río LImay (Argentina). Darwiniana 26: 53–59.
- Guarrera, S., M. A. Casco, R. O. Echenique & H. A. Labollita, 1987. Las algas del sistema del Río Limay (R. Argentina). Rev. Mus. La Plata, Secc. Bot. XIV 96: 163-189.
- Land de Castello, H., 1981. Algunos aspectos limnológicos abióticos de las cuencas de los ríos Limay y Neuquén, con especial referencia al Embalse Ramos Mexía. Ecosur 8: 1-27.
- Lloyd, M., J. H. Zar & J. R. Karr, 1968. On the calculation of information theoretical measures of diversity. Am. Midl. Nat. 79: 257-284.
- Lorenzen, C. J., 1967. Determination of chlorophyll and phaeopigments spectrophotometric equations. Limnol. Oceanogr. 12: 343-346.
- Luchini, L., 1981. Estudios ecológicos en la cuenca del Río Limay (Argentina). Rev. Asoc. Cs. Nat. Litoral 12: 44-58.
- Lund, J. G. W., C. Kipling & E. D. Le Cren, 1958. The inverted microscope of estimating algal numbers and the statistical basis of estimations by counting. Hydrobiologia 11: 143–170.
- Maglianesi, R. E., M. S. Radici & M. O. Garcia, 1973. Análisis de la productividad primaria a nivel del fitoplancton y principales variables asociadas, en el Brazo Catedral del Lago Mascardi. Bol. Soc. Arg. Bot. XV: 12-22.
- Margalef, R., 1965. Ecological correlations and the relationship between primary productivity and community structure. Mem. Ist, ital. Idrobiol. 18 suppl.; 355-364.
- Margalef, R., 1976. Biología de los embalses españoles. Inv. v Ciencia 1: 50-62.
- Margalef, R., D. Planas, J. Armengol, A. Vidal, J. Toja & M. Estrada, 1976. Limnología de los Embalses Españoles. Dir. Gen. Obr. Hid. Publ., Madrid, 422 pp.
- Margalef, R., 1977. Ecología. Ed. Omega, Barcelona, 952 pp.
- Reynolds, C. & A. E. Walsby, 1975. Water blooms. Biol. Rev. 50: 437-481.
- Steemann Nielsen, E., 1952. The use of radio-active carbon (¹⁴C) for measuring organic production in the sea. J. Conseil Int. l'explor. Mer 18: 117–140.
- Utermöhl, H., 1958. Zur vervolkmmung der quantitativen phytoplankton methodik. Mitt. int. Ver. Limnol. 9: 1-38.
- Vollenweider, R. A., M. Munawar & P. Stadelman, 1974. A comparative review of phytoplankton and primary production in the Laurentian Great Lakes. J. Fish. Res. Bd Can. 31: 739-762.