# **Analytical Study on 3D-Printed Concrete Wall with Different Wall Configurations When Exposed to High Temperature**



**B. Vignesh and N. Parthasarathi** 

### **1 Introduction**

Concrete is one of the most widely used modern building materials. Threedimensional-printed concrete is a relatively new concept that has been getting more attention in recent times. The concrete mixture used here consists of water, cement, and aggregates, which is designed to be easily extruded through the nozzle of the 3D-printing equipment. The structures are built with the concept of layering where a layer will be deposited on a previously poured layer repeatedly until the required structure is formed. Firstly, a model will be created, and then, the co-ordinates will be converted into code and that will be fed into the 3D printer. Using 3D-printed concrete is cost-effective as it eliminates the need for casting concrete into molds or framework. The need for intensive labor is avoided in this process, and complex geometric structures can be easily created within a tight schedule with minimal chances of human error. It also helps in reducing construction waste, which makes it environmentally friendly. Three-dimensional-printed concrete can achieve a curing time as short as three days, allowing for the rapid construction of entire structures within hours, making it time efficient. These factors help us to understand that the 3D-printed concrete is a relatively quicker and cheaper alternative to conventional concrete. Paul et al. [\[1](#page-10-0)] talked about the advantages of the 3D-printed concrete and the materials that are used. One of the major advantages is that it is easier to design even the complex geometries rapidly. Fine particles are used significantly in 3DPC, but the coarse aggregates are not generally used. The most commonly used materials are cement, sand, water, fly ash, silica fume, super plasticizer, and fiber particles additionally to improve the tensile strength and toughness of 3DPC. Lyu et al. [[2\]](#page-10-1) explained the basic principles and process of concrete 3D-printing technology and

B. Vignesh  $\cdot$  N. Parthasarathi ( $\boxtimes$ )

Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Tamilnadu 603203, India e-mail: [parthasn@srmist.edu.in](mailto:parthasn@srmist.edu.in) 

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2024 O. Gencel et al. (eds.), *Sustainable Innovations in Construction Management*, Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering 388, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-6233-4\\_46](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-6233-4_46)

also give detailed review of the material properties. The mechanical properties of the 3D-printed concrete are in research and development stage, and hence, it can be used for low-rise buildings but cannot be used for high-rise buildings in one print, but the parts can be printed separately and later assemble it, like pre-fabricated buildings. Only high strength concrete can be used in 3DPC for more strength, ordinary cement which cannot meet the requirements for the performance of the building. Adding fiber to the 3DPC will help in increasing the tensile strength and the toughness. A numerical model was developed by Wolfs et al. [[3\]](#page-10-2) to analyze the behavior of 3D-printed concrete. The model focused on studying the linear stress–strain relationship of the material until failure occurred. In order to obtain the necessary material properties, compression tests and direct shear tests were conducted. The findings revealed that as the fresh concrete ages, there is a linear increase in both Young's modulus and cohesion. However, the Poisson's ratio remained constant at 0.3, which was determined from the lateral deformations observed during the compression test, while the angle of internal friction also remained unchanged. In a study conducted by Suntharalingam et al. [\[4](#page-10-3)], the performance of different types of 3D-printed concrete walls, including solid, cavity, and composite walls, was examined in relation to their behavior under fire. The findings indicated that when exposed to standard fire conditions, non-loadbearing cavity walls made of 3D-printed concrete did not exhibit as good performance as solid 3D-printed concrete walls. EN 1992-1-2 [[5\]](#page-10-4) provides details about the thermal properties of concrete that incorporates siliceous and calcareous aggregates at elevated temperatures. This includes information on thermal conductivity, specific heat, and density. Marais et al. [\[6](#page-10-5)] analyzed the performance of high strength concrete and lightweight foam concrete under high temperatures. The results showed that the materials with high thermal conductivity perform well as 3DPC wall and the ones with lower thermal conductivity perform better as normal solid wall, and 3D-HSC wall performs better than HSC solid wall, whereas LWFC solid wall performs better than 3D-LWFC wall. Suntharalingam et al. [\[7](#page-10-6)] studied the fire performance of 20 different 3DPC wall configurations using finite element models, specifically evaluating their response under standard fire conditions. The study's results highlighted that 3D-printed concrete (3DPC) non-load-bearing cavity walls show considerable resistance when exposed to standard fire loads. Notably, there was a noteworthy enhancement in fire performance by increasing the thickness of the walls arranged in parallel rows. These non-load-bearing cavity walls exhibited impressive resistance for a duration of four hours under standard fire load conditions.

#### **2 Modeling**

The dimension considered for the 3D-printed models has been provided in Table [1.](#page-2-0)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

#### **3 Finite Element Analysis**

The finite element modeling for multiple 3D-printed concrete walls with and without openings was modeled using ABAQUS. The software was used to analyze the loaded 3D-printed concrete walls at high temperature, and the displacement and stresses were also studied by exposing the model to fire conditions.

#### *3.1 Properties and Specimen Details*

The dimensions of the 3DPC wall are 1000 mm length, 500 mm width, and 100 mm depth. The 3D-printed concrete wall has 50 layers with each layer having the 10 mm layer thickness. Properties such as conductivity, specific heat, Young's modulus, and mass density are given in Table [1](#page-2-0) as per the EN 1992-1-2 [\[5](#page-10-4)] which provides the thermal properties at elevated temperature. The properties obtained were slightly modified as per Suntharalingam [[7\]](#page-10-6). Totally, 16 sets of 3DPC walls are there with square, circular, rectangular, triangular, and hexagonal openings. The material and thermal properties are provided in Table [2](#page-3-0), and the specimen details are provided in Table [3.](#page-3-1)

The top surface of the various types of 3D-printed concrete wall models is shown in Figs. [1,](#page-3-2) [2,](#page-4-0) [3,](#page-4-1) [4,](#page-4-2) [5,](#page-4-3) [6,](#page-4-4) [7,](#page-4-5) [8,](#page-4-6) [9,](#page-4-7) [10,](#page-5-0) [11,](#page-5-1) [12](#page-5-2), [13](#page-5-3), [14](#page-5-4), [15](#page-5-5) and [16.](#page-6-0)

#### *3.2 Modeling and Meshing*

The part was created and then assembled using the method of layering with 50 layers and layer thickness of 10 mm. Tie interaction and film coefficient were provided using EN ISO 6946 [[8\]](#page-11-0). The model was analyzed for the time period of 2 h or 7200 s. Pressure was created on the top surface of the wall, and the surface heat flux was applied on the front surface of the wall as per ISO-834-10:2014 [\[9](#page-11-1)]. The bottom side of the 3DPC wall is fixed. In the fixed boundary condition, the displacement and the rotation in the x, y, and z directions  $(U1, U2, U3, UR1, UR2, UR3)$  are zero. The film

Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	Conductivity (W/ m K	Mass density (N/ $mm2$ )	Specific heat (J/ kg K	Young's modulus (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )
23	1.95	$2.40E - 09$	600	27.368.16
100	1.77	$2.40E - 09$	900	27,368.16
200	1.55	$2.35E - 09$	1000	26,016.82
300	1.36	$2.32E - 09$	1050	23,278.2
400	1.19	$2.28E - 09$	1100	20,539.59
500	1.04	$2.26E - 09$	1100	16,431.67
600	0.91	$2.24E - 09$	1100	12,323.75
700	0.81	$2.22E - 09$	1100	8215.83
800	0.72	$2.20E - 09$	1100	4107.91

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 2** Material and thermal properties

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Table 3** Details of specimen

Model number	Model name	Opening name	No. of openings
1	Solid wall		
$\overline{2}$	BS1	Square	5
3	BS <sub>2</sub>	Square	10
$\overline{4}$	BS3	Mini-square	10
5	BS4	Mini-square	20
6	BT1	Triangle	5
7	BT <sub>2</sub>	Triangle	10
8	BT3	Mini-triangle	10
9	BT4	Mini-triangle	20
10	BT5	<b>Truss</b>	21
11	BH1	Hexagon	5
12	BH <sub>2</sub>	Hexagon	10
13	BR1	Rectangle	5
14	BR <sub>2</sub>	Mini-rectangle	10
15	BR <sub>3</sub>	Mini-rectangle	20
16	BHT1	Hexagon and triangle	28



<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Fig. 1** Solid wall



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** BS1 wall



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 3** BS2 wall



<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Fig. 4** BS3 wall



<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Fig. 5** BS4 wall



<span id="page-4-4"></span>**Fig. 6** BT1 wall



<span id="page-4-5"></span>**Fig. 7** BT2 wall



<span id="page-4-6"></span>**Fig. 8** BT3 wall

<span id="page-4-7"></span>

**Fig. 9** BT4 wall

<span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>

<span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span>**Fig. 13** BR1 wall

coefficient was applied as per EN ISO 6946:2017 [[8\]](#page-11-0). Here, coupled temperature– displacement analysis has been carried out in the transient state. By implementing the convergence study, optimum mesh size of 25 mm was determined and applied to all the models as shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0). Eight-node brick element has been used as it can yield more precise outcomes and handle irregular shapes with minimal compromise in accuracy (Figs. [17](#page-6-1) and [18](#page-6-2)).



<span id="page-5-4"></span>**Fig. 14** BR2 wall



<span id="page-5-5"></span>**Fig. 15** BR3 wall

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Fig. 16** BHT1 wall



<span id="page-6-1"></span>Fig. 17 Convergence study for optimum mesh size



**Fig. 18** Surface heat flux and pressure applied on solid wall and BS2

# <span id="page-6-2"></span>**4 Results and Discussion**

### *4.1 Results*

The results of the finite element study on loaded 3D-printed concrete wall are provided below, and multiple graphs are were modeled to study the behavior of the 3DPC wall under pressure and surface heat flux. The results obtained are provided in Table [4](#page-7-0).

Model name	Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	Displacement (mm)	Shear stress $(N/mm^2)$
Solid wall	922	3.2	1.8
BS1	891	2.78	1.46
BS <sub>2</sub>	877	2.02	1.01
BS3	811	2.04	1.57
BS4	839	2.6	1.76
BT1	826	2	1.43
BT <sub>2</sub>	759	1.37	1.62
BT3	784	1.72	1.8
BT4	806	1.87	1.77
BT <sub>5</sub>	836	1.57	0.98
BH <sub>1</sub>	837	2.41	1.44
BH <sub>2</sub>	792	1.72	1.26
BR1	909	1.65	0.92
BR <sub>2</sub>	878	2.65	1.17
BR <sub>3</sub>	867	2.1	1.06
BHT1	760	1.33	1.15

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 4** Results obtained

## *4.2 Discussion*

The time versus temperature curve for 3DPC concrete wall is given in Fig. [19.](#page-7-1) This graph showcases the plotted values against time (minutes) and temperature (°C), following the ISO-834 [\[9](#page-11-1)] standard. The surface temperature and core temperature are plotted with respect to time (Fig. [20](#page-8-0)).



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 19** Time vs. temperature curve as per ISO-834



Temperature vs Displacement

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 20** Temperature vs. displacement graph

The temperature vs. displacement graph has been plotted based on the point at which the failure occurs, in order to understand the effect of the pressure and surface heat flux applied over the 3D-printed concrete walls (Fig. [21](#page-8-1)).

The temperature variance graph has been plotted to understand the behavior of various 3D-printed concrete walls with and without the openings when exposed to high temperatures (Fig. [22](#page-9-0)).

The displacement graph has been plotted to understand the displacement occurring on various 3D-printed concrete walls (Fig. [23](#page-9-1)).

The shear stress graph has been plotted to understand the shear stress occurring on various 3D-printed concrete walls (Fig. [24](#page-9-2)).

The time period graph has been plotted to understand the time required by various 3D-printed concrete walls with and without the openings to fail due to the pressure and surface heat flux applied over it.



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 21** Temperature variance graph



<span id="page-9-0"></span>



Model Name

<span id="page-9-1"></span>



Model Name

<span id="page-9-2"></span>**Fig. 24** Time period graph

# **5 Conclusion**

With the results obtained from performing finite element research on the study of three-dimensionally printed concrete walls under high temperatures, a comprehensive analysis was conducted on 16 wall models, including variations with and without openings of different shapes. Based on these analyses, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- It can be observed that the maximum displacement of 6.20 mm was found in the 3DPC solid wall which has no openings. When the openings are provided in the wall, a maximum displacement of 5.78 mm can be observed in the BS1 wall which has been provided with five square-shaped openings.
- It can be observed that the minimum displacement of 4.33 mm was found in the BHT1 wall with 28 hexagonal and triangular openings.
- It can be observed that the 3DPC solid wall which has no openings can withstand the highest temperature of 922 °C when compared to the other walls. When the openings are provided in the wall, it can be observed in the BR1 with five rectangular openings which can withstand the highest temperature of 909 °C when compared to the other walls with openings.
- It can be observed that the BT2 wall with ten triangular openings withstands the lowest temperature of 759 °C when compared to the other walls with openings.
- It can be observed that the 3DPC solid wall displays the most shear stress of 1.80 N/mm<sup>2</sup> along with the BT3 wall with ten mini-triangular openings.
- It can be observed that the BR1 wall with five rectangular openings displays the lowest shear stress of 0.92 N/mm<sup>2</sup> when compared to the other walls.

## **References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>1. Paul S, van Zijl G, Tan MJ, Gibson I (2018) A review of 3D concrete printing systems and materials properties: current status and future research prospects. Rapid Prototyp J. 24:784–798. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-09-2016-0154>
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>2. Lyu F, Zhao D, Hou X, Sun L, Zhang Q (2021) Overview of the development of 3D-printing concrete: a review. Appl Sci 11:9822
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>3. Wolfs RJM, Bos FP, Salet TAM (2018) Early age mechanical behaviour of 3D printed concrete: numerical modelling and experimental testing. Cement Concr Res 106:103–116
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>4. Suntharalingam T, Gatheeshgar P, Upasiri I, Keerthan P, Nagaratnam B, Corradi M, Perera D (2021) Fire performance of innovative 3D printed concrete composite wall panels—a numerical study. Case Stud Constr Mater 15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2021.e00586>
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>5. Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures—Part 1–2: general rules—structural fire design (EN 1992-1-2)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>6. Marais H, Christen H, Cho S, De Villiers W, van Zijl G (2021) Computational assessment of thermal performance of 3D printed concrete wall structures with cavities. J Build Eng 41:102431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2021.102431>
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>7. Suntharalingam T, Gatheeshgar P, Upasiri I, Keerthan P, Nagaratnam B, Rajanayagam H, Navaratnam S (2021) Numerical study of fire and energy performance of innovative light-weight

3D printed concrete wall configurations in modular building system. Sustainability 13:21. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042314) [doi.org/10.3390/su13042314](https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042314) 

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>8. EN ISO 6946:2017. Building components and building elements—thermal resistance and thermal transmittance—calculation methods
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>9. ISO 834-10:2014 Fire resistance tests—elements of building construction—part 10: specific requirements to determine the contribution of applied fire protection materials to structural elements