# Chapter 15 ANN Modeling and Performance Comparison of X-Band Antenna



Shuchismita Pani, Malay Ranjan Tripathy, and Sumit Agarwal

Abstract Presently due to technological advancement in computational logic based on intelligent computing using neural networks, ANN has become one of the solution techniques which gives adaptability and self-learning capability to the antenna system. A technique of antenna parameter adaptation under different conditions has been carried out in this work. The present technology of machine learning and neural network computations give good support to various antenna parameters, design in an optimized manner. Demand for fast and highly accurate computations are often satisfied using the models of artificial neural network. The ANN training algorithms help in the simulation of the results to minimize the error with high-accuracy geometric dimensions. Various EM simulators are available for designing microstrip patch antenna, but they all need a number of iterations and time-consuming processes to get actual data and develop the real prototype. Artificial Neural Networks have the capability as a fast and flexible solution to EM modelling, simulation and optimization also has the ability to respond precisely to the inputs which are in the interval or having inequality constraints. This chapter presents the artificial neural network modeling of rectangular microstrip patch antenna in an X-band region and analysis of the ANN model by using nftool in MATLAB through three different kinds of training algorithms. The best validation and training performances are observed and noted with various epochs and varying the number of hidden neurons. The minimum error values and best regression plots are also observed. To verify this observation rectangular microstrip patch antennas are designed and studied. The results show the usefulness of the antenna in the X-band region.

S. Agarwal Pennsylvania State University, State College, Pennsylvania Philadelphia 16802, US e-mail: sua347@psu.edu

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2023 P. K. Malik and P. N. Shastry (eds.), *Internet of Things Enabled Antennas for Biomedical Devices and Systems*, Springer Tracts in Electrical and Electronics Engineering, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-0212-5\_15 189

S. Pani (⊠) · M. R. Tripathy Amity University, Uttar Pradesh Noida, India e-mail: spani@amity.edu

M. R. Tripathy e-mail: mrtripathy@amity.edu

**Keywords** Rectangular microstrip patch antenna  $\cdot$  Resonant frequency  $\cdot$  Dielectric constant  $\cdot$  Artificial neural network  $\cdot$  S11parameter  $\cdot$  Gain

## Introduction

The microstrip patch antenna design requires a set of complex requirements, which are calculated through some equations. The resonant frequency of MPA is a very important parameter related to its geometry which consists of the length, width of patch and dielectric constant of the substrate. Due to the compactness and excellent radiator, MPA has wide area usefulness in recent mobile antenna, aircraft, remote sensing and satellite communication. Various EM simulators are available for designing MPA, but they all need a number of iterations and time-consuming processes to get actual data and develop a real prototype. Though neural networks have the capability as a fast and flexible solution to EM modelling, simulation and optimization. Artificial neural network accurately respond to a new data set in a determined interval of interest, which is defined during the training phase. They are also able to provide results closer to the reality, once calculated data set is used (Güney et al. 2001; Haykin 2001; Silva 2006; Karaboga et al. 1999). MLP is a multi layer perception is very suitable for modelling high-dimensional and very non correlated problems. The multi layer perception mainly has three layers, which include one or more hidden layers.. The design of a rectangular patch antenna has been done using MLP (Ali Heidari 2011). A detailed study of multilayer perceptron neural networks has been conducted in Kala et al. (2013). Artificial Neural Network design of a microstrip antenna is clearly explained in Tamboli and Nikam (2013), Turker et al. (2004) and Thomas et al. (2014) displays the entire neural network toolbox concept. Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) algorithm, Bayesian Regularization(BR) training algorithm and Scaled Conjugate Gradient(SCG) training algorithm are generally used to train the multi layer perception for modelling. A stacked antenna with 2 radiating patches using neural network training algorithm has been proposed to find resonant frequencies (Jain et al. 2009). The network takes the various design parameters of the patch antenna as input and gives both upper resonant frequency and lower resonant frequency (Bisht and Malik 2022; Pandey et al. 2022; Vishnoi et al. 2023). In this chapter RMPA for the X-band region has designed with HFSS and S11 parameters and maximum gains are discussed. It also analyzed through ANN modelling and their performances are compared through different training algorithms, such as Levenberg-Marquardt training algorithm, Bayesian Regularization training algorithm and Scaled Conjugate Gradient training algorithm.

## Methods of Analysis for Proposed Model

Artificial Neural Network is basically composed of several computational units known as neurons. They are mainly multiple processing units and connected through communication channels called links, associated with their respective weights. The weights used for storing the knowledge also represent the biological synapses of neurons, which are the existing connections between them. The model of an individual neuron consists of following components:

- Weights which are a set of synapses.
- A function that combines the weighted input signals.
- An activation function to limit the amplitude of the signal output of the neuron.

There are so many methods available for the design of microstrip patch antenna. To get proper result, it is very difficult to develop real prototypes for specific applications. So the ANN technique is the best option to get the design parameters.

From the physical parameters of microstrip antenna, the resonant frequency can be estimated to use a model. Other parameters like radiation pattern, bandwidth and directivity can also be calculated. Some methods commonly used are the transmission line, cavity and full wave. The transmission line method (TLM) is an approximate method which is considered to be the simplest method and it provides good insight of mechanism of radiation, however, is the least accurate (Turker et al. 2006).

In order to generate the network learning a training database has to create which must contain both the network input and the required output. In the proposed method of ANN design, one model has been analyzed as shown below in Fig. 15.1.

The analysis of proposed models has been developed by using the Levenberg– Marquardt training algorithm, Bayesian Regularization training algorithm and Scaled conjugate gradient training algorithm with MATLAB17. The data set is calculated from microstrip patch calculator. The generated data is used for training and testing of ANN for both the analysis model. In our case the database contains 25 examples.



Table 15.1         Range validity of           the proposed ANN model	Parameter of MSA	Minimum range	Maximum range
the proposed Aiviv model	resonant frequency(fr)	8 GHz	12 GHz
	Permitivity of dielectric substrate( $\epsilon r$ )	2.2	4.4
	Height of substrate(h)	0.5 mm	2.5 mm
	Length of radiating patch(L)	4.615 mm	12.398 mm
	Width of radiating patch(W)	7.557 mm	14.823 mm

Considering this ANN technique as an effective tool for nonlinear approximations has been used in this work to identify the relationship between the physical parameters of antenna system and its performances. In the analysis design as shown in Fig. 15.1, input data are resonant frequency( $f_r$ ), permitivity of dielectric substrate( $\epsilon_r$ ) and height of substrate(h). The output data are length(L) and width(W) of a radiating patch antenna, whose ranges are given in Table 15.1.

## **Statistical Analysis of Proposed Method**

In this proposed model, three statistical indices such as Levenberg–Marquardt, Scaled conjugate gradient and Bayesian Regularization training algorithms are used for the accuracy evaluation of the performances and results.

• Levenberg–Marquardt training algorithm: It is damped least square(DLS) used to solve the generic curve fitting problems with the local minimum finding which may not be the global minimum, shown in Eq. 15.1.

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ y_j - f\left(x_j, \, \alpha\right) \right]^2 \tag{15.1}$$

where n is a given set of independent and dependent variable  $(x_j,y_j)$ . The algorithm find the parameter  $\alpha$  of the model curve  $f(x,\alpha)$  so that sum of squares of deviations  $S(\alpha)$  is minimized.

• Scaled conjugate gradient training algorithm: SCG algorithm is a feed-forward and supervised algorithm for Artificial Neural Network which shows that in connections there are no loops between the units, shown in Eq. 15.2.

$$S_k = \left[ E(W_k + \sigma_k P_k) E(W_k) \right] / \sigma_k \tag{15.2}$$

 Bayesian Regularization training algorithm: BR algorithm reduces the need for lengthy cross-validation and it is more robust than the standard back-propagation methods, where to solve non-linear least squares problems, shown in Eq. 15.3.

$$X = \arg Max P(C_b) \pi n p\left(\frac{y_i}{C_b}\right).$$
(15.3)

The neural fitting tool of MATLAB is mainly used to analyze the performances and results.

### **Antenna Designs**

Within X-band 8–12 GHz four rectangular microstrip patch antennas are designed in HFSS with the Permitivity of dielectric substrate 2.2 for 8 GHz, 9 GHz, 10 GHz and 2.94 for 8.5 GHz as mentioned in Table 15.2.

The design of antenna which is operating in 8 GHz with inset fed is shown in Figure 15.2.

## **Results and Discussion**

The proposed ANN model is trained with three different types of training algorithm, considering the number of hidden neurons 10,20,30,40 and 50. All are tested with two categories of number of data samples. In first category out of 25 samples, 17 samples are taken as training data, 4 samples are taken as validation data and 4 samples are considered to testing data. The performance with number of epoch, gradient, regression and zero error point values are noted and mentioned in Table 15.3. The average value of performances and average zero error point, for all 3 training algorithms are calculated.

All the readings for 3 training algorithms are taken from the respective plots, for the analysis. The best validation performance values are taken from the plot of mean square error vs number of epochs. With hidden neurons 10, for category I, the LM training algorithm gives the best validation performance as 0.12796 at epoch 5 as shown in Figs. 15.3 and 15.4 shows the gradient as 1.2112e-8. The error histogram diagram with 20 bins is shown in Fig. 15.5, for the three steps of training data, validation data and testing data in ANN modelling. The zero error has come at 0.006322 and illustrated with a yellow standing line in the graph with 35 instances in training set. The regression value comes best as 0.99764 for the same and shown in Fig. 15.6. With 40 hidden neurons in the same case, error comes to very minimum as -0.0171. Though its regression is 0.91083 less than the previous case but it is a good value. The average of performance with LM training algorithm is 1.9906. Similarly the average performance value for BR and SCR training algorithm are 1.21e-08 and 1.790274 respectively. Performance of LM training algorithm is better than BR and SCR training algorithm whereas error is minimum in BR training algorithm as compared to others.

Table 15	<b>2</b> Parameters and r	esults of designed RMI	PA				
SI. No	Resonant frequency (f <sub>r</sub> ) in GHz	Permitivity of dielectric substrate $(\epsilon_{\rm T})$	Height of substrate (h) in mm	Length of radiating patch (L) in mm	Width of radiating patch (W) in mm	Simumated S11 parameter (dB)	Simulated Gain (dB)
-	8	2.2	1.5	12.39	14.82	-28.8	7.9
5	8.5	2.94	1.5	10.89	13.95	-10.8	7.6
e S	6	2.2	1.5	10.21	13.18	-11	7.4
4	10	2.2	2.5	9.07	11.86	-18.7	6.8

≥.
2
g
Ĕ
· 🛱
Je
Ľ
Б
$\mathbf{ts}$
Ē.
S
E
q
g
5
H
ž
ă
a
ar
È,
2
<u>n</u>
5
Ē
e.

Fig. 15.2 RMPA with resonant frequency 8 GHz with  $\epsilon_r = 2.2$ 



The number of epochs are very large in the Bayesian regularization training algorithm as compared to LM and SCR training algorithm. So regression is near about to 1 and error is also minimum in BR alg. Figure 15.7 shows the best training performance of category I with the Bayesian Regularization algorithm with 10 hidden neurons. When hidden neurons are 30, the zero error comes at -6.5e-5 shown in Fig. 15.8.

Similarly in the second category out of 25 data, 15 samples are considered for training data, 5 samples are for validation and 5 samples for testing. All of their performances with various epochs, gradient, regression and zero error point values are mentioned in Table 15.4. The number of epochs in BR is large as compared to SCR and LM algorithm. Regression values are near to 1 with less values of error. In this case average performance of SCR training algorithm is 9.654902 which is maximum as compared to other two training algorithm, but average zero error point value is minimum in the BR training algorithm as compared to LM and SCR training algorithms.

The S11 parameters of all four designed antennas are -28.8 dB, -10.8 dB, -11 dB and -18.7 dB for 8Ghz, 8.5 GHz, 9 GHz and 10Ghz respectively as mentioned in Table 15.2. The best value for S11 parameter comes for 8 GHz as shown in Fig. 15.9. Similarly the simulated maximum gain for designed antennas is 7.9 dB, 7.6 dB, 7.4 dB and 6.8 dB for 8Ghz, 8.5 GHz, 9 GHz and 10Ghz respectively. The best value for maximum gain is 7.9 dB for 8 GHz resonant frequency as shown in Fig. 15.10.

## Conclusion

The physical parameters of rectangular microstrip patch antenna in X-band(8–12 GHz) region are considered as the dataset for ANN modelling in which input data are resonant frequency(fr), permitivity of dielectric substrate( $\epsilon$ r) and height of substrate(h). The output data are length(L) and width(W) of radiating patch antenna.

Types of training algorithmCategory-I Training data-1 samples Yalidation data-4 samples Testing data-4 samples Testing data-4 samplesNo of resting data-4 samplesAverage (perform neuronsNo of neuronsPerformance (perform 0.12796Average (perform 1.9006Levenberg-Marquardt100.127961.9906Levenberg-Marquardt100.127961.9906Levenberg-Marquardt201.3851.9906Levenberg-Marquardt100.19331.9906Bayesian regularization202.54472.6447Bayesian regularization103.7479e-81.21e-08Bayesian regularization206.0294e-152.6662Bayesian regularization201.681e-94.64776-16Scaled conjugate gradient100.748621.79027Scaled conjugate gradient200.940451.79027									
No of hiddenPerformance hiddenAverage (perform neuronsLevenberg-Marquardt10 $0.12796$ $1.9906$ Levenberg-Marquardt10 $0.12796$ $1.9906$ Levenberg-Marquardt20 $0.19933$ $1.2906$ Bayesian regularization20 $0.19933$ $1.2906$ Bayesian regularization10 $2.5447$ $1.21e-05$ Bayesian regularization10 $3.7479e-8$ $1.21e-05$ Bayesian regularization20 $5.0924e-15$ $1.21e-05$ Bayesian regularization20 $2.1293e-8$ $1.21e-05$ Bayesian regularization20 $0.74862$ $1.79027$ Scaled conjugate gradient10 $0.74862$ $1.79027$ Scaled conjugate gradient20 $0.94045$ $1.79027$	of training C thm T T V V	ategory-I raining data alidation da sting data-	a-17 samples ata-4 samples -4 samples						
Levenberg-Marquardt100.127961.9906training algorithm20 $1.385$ 1.990620 $1.385$ $30$ $0.19933$ $1.216-05$ 20 $5.6962$ $5.6962$ $1.21e-05$ Bayesian regularization $10$ $3.7479e-8$ $1.21e-05$ Bayesian regularization $10$ $3.7479e-8$ $1.21e-05$ Bayesian regularization $10$ $2.56962$ $1.21e-05$ Bayesian regularization $10$ $2.1293e-8$ $1.21e-05$ Scaled conjugate gradient $10$ $0.74862$ $1.79027$ Scaled conjugate gradient $10$ $0.94045$ $1.79027$	<b>८</b> द द	o of dden surons	Performance	Average value (performance)	Epoch	Gradient	Regression	Zero error point	Average zero error point value
training algorithm20 $1.385$ $30$ $0.19933$ $30$ $30$ $0.19933$ $30$ $40$ $2.5447$ $5.6962$ $50$ $5.6962$ $5.7476-8$ $50$ $5.6962$ $1.21e-01$ $10$ $3.7479e-8$ $1.21e-01$ $10$ $1.681e-9$ $1.681e-9$ $20$ $1.681e-9$ $1.681e-9$ $20$ $2.1293e-8$ $20$ $50$ $4.6477e-16$ $1.79027$ Scaled conjugate gradient $10$ $0.74862$ $1.79027$ $10$ $0.94045$ $0.94045$ $1.79027$	berg-Marquardt		0.12796	1.9906	5	1.2112e-8	0.99764	0.006322	5.69e-02
	ng algorithm		1.385			2.5656e-9	0.94376	0.05366	
	Ñ	0	0.19933		5	6.2925e-14	0.97704	0.09859	
50         5.6962         1.21e-05           Bayesian regularization         10         3.7479e-8         1.21e-05           training algorithm         20         6.0294e-15         1.21e-05           30         1.681e-9         1.681e-9         1.21e-05           40         2.1293e-8         2.0         5.0         4.6477e-16           Scaled conjugate gradient         10         0.74862         1.79027           training algorithm         20         0.94045         1.79027	4	0	2.5447		3	6.2202e-14	0.91083	-0.0171	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<u>v</u>		5.6962			3.771e-14	0.8827	0.1431	
training algorithm         20         6.0294e-15           30         1.681e-9         1.681e-9           40         2.1293e-8         50         4.6477e-16           Scaled conjugate gradient         10         0.74862         1.79027           training algorithm         20         0.94045         1.79027	ian regularization 1		3.7479e-8	1.21e-08	1000	7.3379e-6	0.99998	-0.0016	-1.40e-04
30         1.681e-9           40         2.1293e-8           50         4.6477e-16           Scaled conjugate gradient         10         0.74862           training algorithm         20         0.94045	ng algorithm 2		6.0294e-15		1000	5.3659e-7	0.9998	0.001944	
40         2.1293e-8           50         2.46477e-16           Scaled conjugate gradient         10         0.74862           10         0.74862         1.79027           training algorithm         20         0.94045	Ō	0	1.681e-9		1000	2.5646e-5	0.9999	-6.5e-5	
50         4.6477e-16           Scaled conjugate gradient         10         0.74862         1.79027           training algorithm         20         0.94045         1.79027	4	0	2.1293e-8		1000	5.3207e-6	1	-0.00016	
$ \begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Scaled conjugate gradient} \\ \mbox{training algorithm} \\ \hline 20 \\ \hline 0.94045 \\ \hline 0.94045 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} 1.79027 \\ \hline 0.74862 \\ \hline 0.79027 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} $	<u>v</u>	0	4.6477e-16		666	1.393e-7	1	-0.00082	
training algorithm 20 0.94045	1 conjugate gradient 1	0	0.74862	1.790274	29	0.20637	0.98731	-0.03004	0.049938
	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0.94045		84	0.050934	0.97117	0.07735	
30 1.9621	Ś	(	1.9621		9	1.0016	0.9416	0.08008	
40 3.4674	4	(	3.4674		28	0.51157	0.86724	-0.1221	
50 1.8328	5		1.8328		232	4.4888e-5	0.81483	0.2444	



Fig. 15.5 Error histogram diagram showing zero error in category I with LM algo with 10 hidden neurons



Fig. 15.6 Regression curve diagram in category I with LM algo with 10 hidden neurons

The model is analyzed with three different kinds of training algorithm such as Levenberg–Marquardt training algorithm, Bayesian Regularization training algorithm, and Scaled conjugate gradient training algorithm with MATLAB17. The analysis has been carried out by considering different numbers of data sets for training, validation and testing in two catagories. In each case a number of hidden neurons are varying for each of the three algorithms. For all cases performances with number of epochs, gradients, regression and error values are observed. The average value of performance and zero error point for all three training algorithms are calculated. RMPA is designed for different resonant frequencies within X band and simulated S11 parameters and maximum gains are observed.



.

Fig. 15.7 Category I with BR algo with 10 hidden neurons



Fig. 15.8 Error histogram diagram in category I with BR algo with 30 hidden neurons

#### Best Training Performance is 3.7479e-08 at epoch 1000

Types of training algorithm	Category-II Training data Validation da Testing data-	a-15 samples ata-5 samples 5 samples						
	No of hidden neurons	performance	Average value (performance)	epoch	Gradient	Regression	Zero error point	Average zero error point value
Levenberg-Marquardt	10	0.022	7.44	5	1.0471e-8	0.96206	0.01783	0.004138
training algorithm	20	0.42491		3	8.8139e-14	0.9657	-0.04671	
	30	6.629		3	1.8385e-14	0.87859	-0.1849	
	40	6.9283		1	9.8957e-8	0.825	0.1782	
	50	23.1982		3	1.1145e-12	0.68386	0.05627	
Bayesian regularization	10	2.4108e-9	8.53e-09	1000	2.6829e-6	0.99999	-0.00101	-9.5e-05
training algorithm	20	7.0893e-10		1000	3.1521e-6	0.99998	0.002246	
	30	8.8733e-16		<i>L</i> 66	2.4692e-7	1	-0.00022	
	40	3.9526e-8		582	7.5711e-7	0.99998	-0.00179	
	50	5.5739e-16		705	7.6848e-6	0.99999	0.000298	
Scaled conjugate gradient	10	0.79941	9.654902	8	0.4859	0.93222	0.06998	-6.13e-02
training algorithm	20	9.5508		10	0.83774	0.74415	0.09682	
	30	2.4737		50	0.11884	0.93414	-0.0814	
	40	19.8755		10	1.678	0.78146	0.1796	
	50	15.5751		4	3.1467	0.30144	-0.5713	

 Table 15.4
 Performances of category II



Fig. 15.9 S11parameter of RMPA at 8 GHz resonant frequency



Fig. 15.10 Maximum gain of RMPA at 8 GHz resonant frequency

## References

- Ali Heidari A, Dadgarnia A (2011) Design and optimization of a circularly polarized microstrip antenna for GPS applications using ANFIS and GA, communication research laboratory. Yazd University, IEEE
- Bisht N, Malik PK (2022) Adoption of microstrip antenna to multiple input multiple output microstrip antenna for wireless applications: a review. In: Singh PK, Singh Y, Chhabra JK, Illés Z, Verma C (eds) Recent innovations in computing. lecture notes in electrical engineering, vol 855. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-8892-8\_15
- Güney K, Sagiroglu S, Erler M (2001) Generalized neural method to determine resonant frequencies of various microstrip antennas. Int J RF Microwave Comput Aided Eng 12(1):131–139

Haykin S, Neurais R (2001) Princípios e prática, Bookman, 2nd Ed

- Jain SK, Sinha SN, Patnaik A (2009) Analysis of coaxial fed dual patch multilayer X/Ku band antenna using artificial neural networks. In: World congress on nature & biologically inspired computing (NaBIC), pp 1111–1114
- Kala P, Saxena R, Kumar M, Kumar A, Pant R (2013) Design Of rectangular patch antenna using MLP artificial neural network. J Glob Res Comput Sci 3(5)
- Karaboga D, Guney K, Sagiroglu S, Erler M (1999) Neural computation of resonant frequency of electrically thin and thick rectangular microstrip antennas. IEEE Proc Microw Antennas Propag 126(2), 155–159
- Pandey U, Gupta NP, Malik P (2022) Review on miniaturized flexible wearable antenna for body area network. In: Singh PK, Singh Y, Chhabra JK, Illés Z, Verma C (eds) Recent innovations in computing. lecture notes in electrical engineering, vol 855. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-981-16-8892-8\_4
- da Silva PL (2006) Modelagem de Superfícies Seletivas de Freqüência Antenas de Microstrip Utilizando Redes Neurais Artificiais. MSc thesis, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal
- Tamboli ZJ, Nikam PB (2013) Study of multilayer perceptron neural network for antenna characteristics analysis. Int J Adv Res Comput Sci Softw Eng 3(8)
- Thomas JS, Thomas JS, Mary Neebha T, Nesasudha M (2014) Improvement of microstrip patch antenna parameters for wireless communication. IEEE Xplore Digit Libr
- Turker N, Gunes F, Yildirim T (2004) Artificial neural design of microstrip antennas. Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
- Turker N, Gunes F, Yildirim T (2006) Artificial neural design of microstrip antennas. Turkey J Elect Eng 14(3):445–453
- Vishnoi V, Singh P, Budhiraja I, Malik PK (2023). Multiband dual-layer microstrip patch antenna for 5G wireless applications. In: Singh PK, Wierzchoń ST, Tanwar S, Rodrigues JJPC, Ganzha M (eds) Proceedings of third international conference on computing, communications, and cybersecurity. Lecture notes in networks and systems, vol 421. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-981-19-1142-2\_7