# **Statistical Analysis of Precipitation Over Kota (India) from 1981 to 2020**



**Kuldeep, Sohil Sisodiya, and Anil. K. Mathur** 

**Abstract** Variation in precipitation amounts and distribution patterns leads to changes in general atmospheric circulation, cloud cover, surface albedo, and concentrations of air pollutants in the context of climatic variability. Industrial, residential, and agricultural water demands largely depend on rainfall. Even rainfall variability significantly affects people's livelihood. This study evaluates the temporal variation in rainfall for the Kota district of Rajasthan state in India. Eight rainfall monitoring stations were utilised to collect precipitation data for 40 years (1981– 2020). Trend analysis has been performed for monthly, seasonal, and annual rainfall series with the help of Mann–Kendall (non-parametric) and linear regression (parametric) trend tests. Standardised rainfall anomaly and wetness index were estimated to determine the excess in total annual rainfall. The monthly distribution of precipitation is contrasted with the help of the precipitation concentration index. Both non-parametric and parametric trend tests estimate an increasing trend in precipitation for February, March, June, July, August, and September months, reflecting an increase in the total annual precipitation for the research area. The analysis of precipitation data shows a very high inter and intra variability in annual rainfall  $(C.V. =$ 169.45). A very high non-uniformity of rain is observed from the analysis of PCI. The maximum concentration of precipitation  $(-84.50)$  took place in monsoon months. Annual rainfall has significantly increased over the last four decades, indicating

Kuldeep (B) · S. Sisodiya · Anil. K. Mathur

e-mail: [kuldeep.kamboj44@rtu.ac.in](mailto:kuldeep.kamboj44@rtu.ac.in)

Disclaimer: The presentation of material and details in maps used in this chapter does not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Publisher or Author concerning the legal status of any country, area or territory or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its borders. The depiction and use of boundaries, geographic names and related data shown on maps and included in lists, tables, documents, and databases in this chapter are not warranted to be error free nor do they necessarily imply official endorsement or acceptance by the Publisher or Author.

Department of Civil Engineering, University Department, Rajasthan Technical University, Kota, Rajasthan 324010, India

S. Sisodiya e-mail: [ssisodiya.npiu.ce@rtu.ac.in](mailto:ssisodiya.npiu.ce@rtu.ac.in)

Anil. K. Mathur e-mail: [akmathur@rtu.ac.in](mailto:akmathur@rtu.ac.in)

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2023 P. V. Timbadiya et al. (eds.), *Climate Change Impact on Water Resources*, Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering 313, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-8524-9\\_22](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-8524-9_22)

the need for proper rainwater management and utilisation plans to take maximum benefits shortly.

**Keywords** Trend analysis · Mann–Kendall trend test · Standardised rainfall anomaly · Wetness index · Precipitation concentration index

# **1 Introduction**

The biggest challenge facing humanity in the twenty-first century is worldwide climate change and its severe consequences on the environment. Climate change is directly linked with variations in rainfall patterns, hydrological cycle, moisture content, melting of ice, extreme conditions, and the frequency and intensity of extreme events [[1\]](#page-11-0).

Increased emission of greenhouse gases  $(CO_2, CH_4, N_2O, and halocarbons)$ has been the leading cause of global warming since the 1950s. Global warming is responsible for the rise in the mean temperature of the earth's surface, leading to climate change [[2\]](#page-11-1). All the important sectors, such as ecological, biological, meteorological, and socio-economic, are directly or indirectly affected by global climate change [\[3](#page-11-2)]. Hence, it is a point of attention in research worldwide. The long-term variation in rainfall trends is analysed regularly to estimate the significant impact of climate change. Quantitative analysis of temporal rainfall distribution for a region is crucial for hydraulic structure modelling, hydrological modelling, surface water modelling, flood forecasting, agriculture modelling, groundwater modelling, evaporation modelling, crops scheduling, etc. [\[4](#page-11-3), [5](#page-11-4)].

India is situated in a tropical monsoon zone and receives almost 80% of annual rainfall in June, July, August, and September due to the Southwest monsoon with more significant spatiotemporal variability  $[6, 7]$  $[6, 7]$  $[6, 7]$  $[6, 7]$ . Several studies have been conducted in different parts of the world on rainfall variability for various purposes in the literature, but almost negligible studies have been available for Kota.

This paper examines the trends for annual, seasonal, and monthly rainfall series for Rajasthan (India) Kota district (1981–2020) using the Mann–Kendall (nonparametric) trend test and linear regression (parametric) trend test. Standardised rainfall anomaly and wetness index are estimated to determine the excess in total annual rainfall. The monthly distribution of precipitation is contrasted with the help of the precipitation concentration index (PCI). The coefficient of variation measures the dispersion of rains.

#### **2 Study Area and Data Source**

Kota is the south-eastern district of Rajasthan state, India, and its geographical area lies between 75º 37' and 77º 26' longitude and 24º 25' and 25º 51' latitude. The

maximum width and length of Kota are 54 km (east to west) and 153 km (north to south), respectively. The geographical area of the Kota district is  $5217 \text{ km}^2$  and has shaped like Dumber [[8\]](#page-12-2). The population of Kota district as per the census of 2011 was 1,951,014 [[9\]](#page-12-3). The total number of registered vehicles was 885,737 in 2020 as per the Rajasthan Transport department [\[10](#page-12-4)]. The total number of industrial areas and industrial units were 19 and 12,908, respectively, as per the MSME report, 2015 [[11\]](#page-12-5).

Rainfall data were collected for 40 years (1981–2020) from eight rainfall monitoring stations daily, and these monitoring stations, along with the study area, are shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0). The GPS coordinates of each monitoring station are tabulated in Table [1](#page-3-0). The total rainfalls reading during the observation period for all the monitoring stations was 116,880, i.e., 14,610 readings for each sampling location. Each year is categorised into three seasons: Summer (March, April, May, and June), rainy (July, August, September, and October), and Winter (January, February, November, and December) [[12](#page-12-6)].



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Area of interest for study along with rainfall monitoring station

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

# **3 Trend Analysis and Precipitation Indices**

# *3.1 Trend Analysis*

It has been performed for annual, seasonal, and monthly rainfall series using the linear regression trend test (parametric) and the non-parametric trend test (Mann–Kendall test).

#### **3.1.1 Linear Regression Trend Analysis**

Linear regression trend test is computed to define the extent of the linear relationship between precipitation (dependent variable) and time (independent variable). It predicts the value of rainfall concerning time. The regression equation is as follows [[13\]](#page-12-7):

$$
Y = ax + b \tag{1}
$$

where a is the slope of the line and b is the intercept.

#### **3.1.2 Mann–Kendall Trend Analysis**

The null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis are tested against each other in Mann– Kendall test. The null hypothesis supposes no trend in precipitation-time data series, while the alternative hypothesis assumes a trend. The following equations govern Mann–Kendall test [\[14](#page-12-8)]:

$$
R = \sum_{K=1}^{n-1} \sum_{L=K+1}^{n} sign(X_L - X_K)
$$
 (2)

$$
sign(T_L - T_K) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_L - X_K > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } X_L - X_K = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } X_L - X_K < 0 \end{cases}
$$
 (3)

The variance for the R-statistic can be calculated through Shreepada Devi et al. [[16\]](#page-12-9):

$$
\sigma^2 = \frac{[(2n+5)(n-1)n]}{18} \tag{4}
$$

The standard test is defined by Kumar et al. [[15\]](#page-12-10):

$$
Z_R = \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{R-1}{\sigma} & \text{for } R > 0\\ 0 & \text{for } R = 0\\ \frac{R+1}{\sigma} & \text{for } R < 0 \end{Bmatrix}
$$
 (5)

# *3.2 Normal Annual Rainfall (NAR)*

The 30-year consecutive rainfall series average is termed as normal annual rainfall. The rainfall series for the present study is categorised into monthly, seasonal, and yearly precipitation-time data series. The up-gradation of normal annual rainfall takes place after every 10 years, and its trend was predicted. Normal annual rainfall is calculated through the following equation  $[14]$  $[14]$ :

$$
NAR = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{30} P_i}{30}
$$
 (6)

where *Pi* Denotes the rainfall that occurred in the *i*th year.

#### *3.3 Precipitation Concentration Index (PCI)*

It defines the non-uniformity and uniformity of precipitation over a given period. The highest PCI's value denotes a more significant non-uniformity of precipitation. The following equation is used to calculate PCI's value:

$$
PCI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{12} P_i^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{12} P_i\right)^2} * 100\tag{7}
$$

<b>PCI</b>	Rainfall category	<b>SRA</b>	Drought severity
< 10	Uniform precipitation distribution	$<-1.65$	Extreme
$11 - 15$	Moderate precipitation concentration	$-1.65$ to $-1.28$	Severe
$16 - 20$	High precipitation concentration	$-1.28$ to $-0.84$	Moderate
> 20	Very high precipitation concentration	$>-0.84$	No drought

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 2** Categorisation of PCI and SRA values

The PCI values are characterised into the following categories, as shown in Table [2.](#page-5-0)

# *3.4 Standardised Rainfall Anomaly (SRA)*

Drought severity is expressed through standardised rainfall anomalies. The most negligible SRA's value denotes the maximum possibility of draught. The following equation is used to calculate SRA's values:

$$
SRA = \frac{(P_i - \overline{P}_i)}{S}
$$
 (8)

where  $S =$  standard deviation of rainfall time series and  $P_i =$  the rainfall in the ith year.

The SRA's values are characterised into the following categories, as shown in Table [2.](#page-5-0)

# *3.5 Wetness Index (* **Wi***)*

The precipitation ratio for a given year over the mean annual precipitation is the index of wetness and expressed on a percentage basis.

$$
W_i(\%) = \frac{\text{Precipitation in a particular year at a place}}{\text{Normal Annual precipitation}} * 100 \tag{9}
$$

A value less than 100 of the wetness index denotes a rainfall deficiency equivalent to the deficit from 100, i.e., Rainfall Deficiency  $= 100$  – Wetness Index. Rainfall deficiency is categorised into large deficiency (30–45%), serious deficiency (45– 60%), and the disastrous deficiency  $(> 60\%)$ .

# *3.6 Coefficient of Variation (C.V.)*

The coefficient of variation measures the dispersion of precipitation. It is used to determine the reliability of an average and provide a basis for controlling the variability. It can be calculated through the following equation:

$$
C.V.(\% ) = \frac{\text{The standard deviation of precipitation}}{\text{Average Precision}} * 100 \tag{10}
$$

#### *3.7 Dependable Rainfall*

The data of rainfall-time series should be arranged in descending order and then ranked accordingly to determine dependable rainfall. The dependable rainfall is calculated for 50, 75, and 90% dependency in this research work. It can be calculated through the following equation:

$$
Precision Occurrence (\% ) = \left(\frac{Rank}{Total number of observations}\right) * 100 (11)
$$

#### **4 Results and Discussions**

The maximum, minimum, and average precipitation on a monthly, seasonal and annual basis, along with standard deviation, is shown in Table [3](#page-7-0). The intra-annual rainfall variability (PCI) is evaluated for the entire data set (1981–2020). PCI lies between 22.97 and 52.15, indicating a very high non-uniformity of rainfall in each year, i.e., a very high concentration of rainfall in a particular part of a year. Monsoon average and percentage are computed to identify the reason behind more significant non-uniformity.

Precipitation indices are tabulated in Table [4](#page-7-1). It is found that the southeast monsoon, which takes place in the rainy season (July, August, September, and October) every year responsible for 62.50–97.37% of the total rainfall of a year. On average, monsoon rainfall contributed nearly 84.50% of the total rainfall and explained the large PCI values.

Significant monthly rainfall variability has been observed. The average coefficient of variation was 169.45, while maximum variability in precipitation was seen in December (285.51) and minimum variability in precipitation was obtained for August (47.62). The overall coefficient of variation and PCI values are very high and indicate significant inter- and intra-annual variations in the precipitation.

Sr. No.	Period	Average	Maximum	Minimum	Standard deviation
1	January	4.76	32.38	0.00	8.45
2	February	5.45	39.04	0.00	10.56
3	March	3.30	46.00	0.00	8.31
$\overline{4}$	April	4.20	38.25	0.00	8.15
5	May	8.37	82.20	0.00	18.52
6	June	83.17	475.50	2.09	81.80
7	July	257.43	653.12	18.53	123.73
8	August	273.72	636.54	49.59	130.36
9	September	98.08	308.25	6.75	68.02
10	October	20.26	161.56	0.00	38.85
11	November	6.00	72.60	0.00	15.28
12	December	3.19	50.14	0.00	9.11
13	Winter	4.85	25.96	0.00	5.69
14	Summer	24.76	119.25	3.54	20.27
15	Rainy	162.37	312.31	64.84	48.53
16	Annual	63.99	112.98	32.47	18.14

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 3** The maximum, minimum, and average precipitation in millimetres along with standard deviation

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Table 4** Precipitation Indices along with annual total and average rainfall



Normal annual rainfall based on the average of 30 consecutive years was 60.68 mm and 64.64 mm for 1981–2010 and 1991–2020, respectively. Standardised rainfall anomaly was calculated to determine interannual variability of rainfall. The SAR value ranged from  $-0.57$  (2002, the driest year) to 7.81 (2008, the Wettest year). The average value of SRA is greater than −0.84, avoiding any possibility of drought.

Analysis of the wetness index revealed that 2019 was the wettest year  $(W_i =$ 180.31), while 2002 was the driest year  $(W<sub>i</sub> = 51.81)$  due to the amount of rainfall that took place in these years. The rainfall observed in 2002 and 2019 were 389.6 (Lowest) and 1355.76 (Highest) mm, respectively. Rainfall dependability is critical

Time	Kendall's tau	Slope	Rainfall dependability		
			50%	75%	90%
January	$-0.127$	$-0.089$	0.125	$\Omega$	0
February	0.060	0.047	$\Omega$	$\Omega$	$\Omega$
March	0.040	0.166	$\Omega$	$\Omega$	$\mathbf{0}$
April	$-0.080$	0.010	0.66	$\Omega$	$\mathbf{0}$
May	$-0.072$	$-0.027$	1.42	0.42	$\mathbf{0}$
June	0.162	1.658	63.25	35.1	19.31
July	0.124	0.61	244.88	190.04	123.18
August	0.034	0.97	256.75	180.85	134.23
September	0.006	0.41	87.85	48.34	15.52
October	$-0.247$	$-1.06$	3	$\Omega$	0
November	0.018	$-0.257$	$\Omega$	$\Omega$	$\Omega$
December	0.071	$-0.103$	$\Omega$	$\Omega$	$\Omega$
Total	0.032	0.194	751.35	652.55	545,375

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 5** Trend test statistics along with rainfall dependability

to maintaining sustainable use of water. Hence, dependable rainfall (90, 75, and 50%) for other months has been calculated and shown in Table [5.](#page-8-0) June, July, August, and September are the southwest monsoon months when maximum precipitation occurs and is available to complete water demands in the remaining months. An inspection of Table [5](#page-8-0) reveals significant positive trends exist for precipitation over the previous four decades (1981–2020). A substantial increase in monsoon rainfall reflects the possible impact of climate change.

The slope of regression analysis for precipitation illustrates the falling and rising trends of precipitation at different time intervals; rising and falling values specify the trends of increased and decreased rainfall, respectively. In January, May, October, November, and December, the slope of the precipitation data series is falling, i.e., a reduction in the monthly rainfall in respective months. The most negative slope, − 1.06, was obtained for August. The slope of precipitation for the remaining months shows rising trends; the rising slope had the highest value of 1.65 in June. Rainfall trends (1981–2020) are tabulated in Table [6](#page-9-0) and graphically presented in Figs. [2](#page-10-0) and [3.](#page-11-5)

wie o "Treerpraaton a enas anarysis ootanied un'ough mieur regression o ref four deeades					
Month	1981-90	1991-00	$2001 - 10$	$2011 - 20$	
January	Decremental	Decremental	Decremental	Decremental	
February	Incremental	Incremental	Decremental	Decremental	
March	Decremental	Decremental	Incremental	Incremental	
April	Decremental	Decremental	Incremental	Incremental	
May	Decremental	Incremental	Decremental	Decremental	
June	Incremental	Incremental	Incremental	Decremental	
July	Decremental	Incremental	Incremental	Decremental	
August	Decremental	Decremental	Decremental	Decremental	
September	Decremental	Incremental	Incremental	Incremental	
October	Incremental	Decremental	Incremental	Decremental	
November	Decremental	Decremental	Incremental	Incremental	
December	Incremental	Incremental	Incremental	Decremental	

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 6** Precipitation trends analysis obtained through linear regression over four decades

# **5 Conclusions**

The following conclusions are derived from this study:

- Different aspects of water resources planning and management rely on the rainfall occurring in a given region. This study has been made to determine the variation in temporal presentation for the Kota district in Rajasthan, India.
- Rainfall trend analysis has been performed for monthly, seasonal, and annual precipitation using linear regression (parametric) and Mann–Kendall (nonparametric) trend test for the duration of 1981–2020. For a particular year, excessive rainfall in the research area was determined using a wetness index and standardised rainfall anomaly.
- The monthly distribution of precipitation was calculated through the precipitation concentration index. A very high non-uniformity has been observed in rainfall distribution. Almost 85% of total annual rainfall is contributed through a southeast monsoon in the rainy season.
- Mann–Kendall and regression analyses test predict increasing trends for February, March, June, July, August, and September. As an outcome, total annual precipitation exhibits a positive trend.
- Over the last four decades (1981–2020), a significant increase in total precipitation was observed, highlighting greater water availability in the Kota that needs development and restoration of water reservoirs, proper rainwater harvesting, and a drainage management program to avoid the risk of flood.

 $2007$ 

 $5007$ 

5007

 $100\overline{c}$ Vear

6661

L661

\$661

£661

1661

6861

7861

 $C961$ 

 $5861$ 

1861

6102

 $L10Z$ 

 $-5102$ 

£10Z Ŕ

1102

6007 LOOT 500C

6661  $L661$ 

5661

1661

1661

L861

\$861

£861  $-1861$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  $\overline{a}$  Year

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

Precipitation, mm



 $1007$  $-$ sooz

 $-$ £002

 $1007$ 

 $-6661$ 

 $-1661$ 

 $E66$ 

 $-6861$ 

 $-1861$ 

 $-$  \$861

 $-1861$ 

 $L$ <sub>FS61</sub>

 $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\n610Z \\
L10Z\n\end{array}\right.$ 

 $s$ 102

 $-$ £102

 $\begin{array}{c} 110Z \\ 600Z \end{array}$ 

 $L00Z$ 

 $\frac{200c}{\text{S00Z}}$ 

 $500z$ 

6661

L661

 $-6661$ 

\$661

 $-1661$ 

6861

L861

S861

E861

-1861

 $1007$ 

 $y = 0.1669x - 330.49$ 

March

 $\mathbb{S}$ 

 $99889$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Precipitation, mm

 $\circ$ 

 $J_{-166}$ 

 $\sum$  s661

July

Ŝ  $L00Z$ 

₹ - 1661

Ş 1661

May

 $\overline{8}$ 

 $y = -0.0895x + 183.84$ 

January

8898

Precipitation, mm

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Precipitation, mm

 $5007$ 

 $5007$ 

1007

6661 June

\$661

£661

6861

L861

 $-$  \$861

- £861

 $-1861$ 

6102

 $-L10Z$ 

 $5107$ 

 $-5107$ 

1107

6007

 $L007$ 

 $s$ 00Z

 $-500$ 

1007

6661

1661

\$661

£661

1661

6861

 $-1861$ 

\$861

**£861** 

1861

500

 $v = 0.0478x - 90.138$ 

February

 $\mathfrak{S}$  $\frac{Q}{Q}$  $\begin{matrix} 8 \\ 8 \end{matrix}$  $\mathfrak{g}$  $\overline{a}$ 

Precipitation, mm

 $\begin{matrix} 8 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 9 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\ 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 10 & 1$ 

Precipitation, mm

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\iota$ 007

 $5007$ 

 $\frac{1}{2000}$ 

 $1007$ 

6661

1661

 $$661$ 

 $6661$ 

 $1661$ 

6861

 $1961$ 

 $5861$ 

 $5861$ 

1861

6107

 $L107$ 

\$107

£10Z

1107

6007

 $1007$ 

 $5007$ 

5007

1007

6661

7.661

 $5661$ 

£661

1661

6861

1861

\$861

**£861** 

1861

 $\overline{a}$ 

April

**R Q Q R R** 

Precipitation, mm

 $v = 0.0107x - 17.129$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

8889888

Precipitation, mm

August



<span id="page-11-5"></span>**Fig. 3** Seasonal and annual rainfall trends from 1981 to 2020

**Acknowledgements** The authors acknowledge the financial support received from University Department, Rajasthan Technical University, Kota-324010, Rajasthan, India, to carry out the present work. The authors are also thankful to the Water Resources Department, Government of Rajasthan, India, for providing the necessary data to conduct the present study.

**Conflict of Interest** The authors declare that they don't have any conflict of interest.

**Data Statement** The data utilised in this research work are freely available and provided to anyone if needed.

# **References**

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>1. Pradhan RK et al (2018) Changes of precipitation regime and its indices over Rajasthan state of India: impact of climate change scenarios experiments. Clim Dyn 52:3405–3420. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4334-9) [doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4334-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4334-9)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>2. Caloiero T, Ferrari RCE (2018) Analysis of monthly rainfall trend in Calabria (Southern Italy). Appl Statist 2(11), 629:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2110629>
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>3. Devi S et al (2017) Spatial and temporal trends of precipitation and temperature for the MPUAT service area, Rajasthan, India. IOSR J Agric Veterin Sci 10(07):15–20. [https://doi.org/10.9790/](https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-1007011520) [2380-1007011520](https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-1007011520)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>4. Deoli V, Rana S (2019) Seasonal trend analysis in rainfall and temperature for Udaipur District of Rajasthan, vol 14, issue (2), pp 312–319. <https://doi.org/10.12944/CWE.14.2.15>
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>5. Li XN et al (2017) Multi-timescale analysis of rainfall in Karst in Guizhou, China. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci 82(1):1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/82/1/012051>
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>6. Meena HM et al (2019) Trends and homogeneity of monthly, seasonal, and annual rainfall over arid region of Rajasthan, India. Theor Appl Climatol 136:795–811. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-018-2510-9) [s00704-018-2510-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-018-2510-9)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>7. Singh B et al (2012) Probability analysis for estimation of annual one day maximum rainfall of Jhalarapatan Area of Rajasthan, India. Plant Arch 12(2):1093–1100
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>8. Kuldeep, Sisodiya S, Mathur AK (2020) Comparative assessment of noise models for Kota city. Mater Today Proc (xxxx):1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.09.513>
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>9. Kuldeep et al (2019) Spatio-temporal variability of precipitation and temperature in Hadoti region, Rajasthan (India). Taru J Sustain Technol Comp 1(1):35–44. [https://doi.org/10.47974/](https://doi.org/10.47974/TJSTC.010.2019.v01i01) [TJSTC.010.2019.v01i01](https://doi.org/10.47974/TJSTC.010.2019.v01i01)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>10. Kuldeep et al (2021) Noise vulnerability assessment at 78 dB (A) for Kota City, In: Baredar PV, Tangellapalli S, Solanki CS (eds) Advances in clean energy technologies. Springer Proceedings in Energy. Springer, Singapore, pp 1147–1159. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-0235-1\\_89](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-0235-1_89)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>11. Kamboj K et al (2022) Assessment and spatial distribution mapping of criteria pollutants. Water Air Soil Poll 233:82:1:15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-022-05522-y>
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>12. Singh B et al (2014) Analysis of rainfall data for storage and irrigation planning in humid southeastern plain of Rajasthan in India. J Appl Nat Sci 6(1):214–219. [https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.](https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v6i1.403) [v6i1.403](https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v6i1.403)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>13. Meshram SG et al (2017) Statistical evaluation of rainfall time series in concurrence with agriculture and water resources of Ken River basin. Central India (1901–2010 ), no. Ipcc 2007 134(1):1231–1243. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-017-2335-y>
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>14. Zakwan M, Ara Z (2019) Statistical analysis of rainfall in Bihar. Sustain Water Resour Manage 5(4):1781–1789. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-019-00340-3>
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>15. Pradhan RK, Sharma D, Panda SK, Dubey SK, Sharma A (2019) Changes of precipitation regime and its indices over Rajasthan state of India: impact of climate change scenarios experiments Climate Dynamics 52(5-6):3405–3420 [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4334-9) [018-4334-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4334-9)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>16. Shreepada D, Purohit RC, Bhakar SR, Lakhawat SS (2017) Spatial and temporal trends of precipitation and temperature for the MPUAT service area Rajasthan India IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science 10(07):15–20 <https://doi.org/10.9790/2380>[https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-100701) [10.9790/2380-100701](https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-100701)<https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-1007011520>