# **Separation Axioms in Bipolar Fuzzy Topological Spaces**



**Manjeet Singh and Asha Gupta**

**Abstract** In this paper, the definition of the bipolar fuzzy (bf) point has been generalized, and using this, the concept of separation axioms has been introduced in bipolar fuzzy settings. Moreover, the relation between these separation axioms has been established.

**Keywords** Bipolar fuzzy set · Bipolar fuzzy topology

## **1 Introduction**

Fuzzy sets have been introduced by Zadeh [\[1](#page-8-0)]. After that, in every branch of science and technology, fuzzy sets have been used to generalize all the concepts. The concept of general topological space is generalized by using fuzzy sets to fuzzy topological space by Chang [\[2\]](#page-8-1). Further, a number of papers have been devoted to generalize almost all the concepts of general topology in fuzzy topological space(fts). Tripathy and Borgohain [\[3](#page-8-2)], Tripathy and Baruah [\[4](#page-8-3), [5](#page-8-4)] have investigated Different classes in fuzzy numbers of sequence spaces. Tripathy and Ray [\[6](#page-8-5)] have studied mixed fts. The concept of fuzzy sets has been generalized to bipolar fuzzy (briefly bf) sets by Zhang [\[7](#page-8-6)]. After that, basic operations on bf sets have been defined by Lee [\[8,](#page-8-7) [9](#page-8-8)]. Moreover, regular bf graphs have been studied by Akram and Dudek [\[10\]](#page-8-9) and bf topological spaces have been defined by Azhagappan and Kamaraj. Recently, bf point, a neighborhood system, the notion of compactness, and few other properties have been introduced in bf topological space by Kim et al. [\[11\]](#page-8-10).

In the present work, the concept of bf point has been generalized of Kim et al. [\[11\]](#page-8-10) and also observed that the notion of disjointness  $K \cap L = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow K \subseteq col$  (coL is the complement of set L) is no longer valid for bf sets. So there is a deviation

M. Singh  $\cdot$  A. Gupta ( $\boxtimes$ )

M. Singh e-mail: [manjeetsingh.phdappsc@pec.edu.in](mailto:manjeetsingh.phdappsc@pec.edu.in)

Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics 410, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-7272-0\\_41](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-7272-0_41)

Department of Applied Sciences, Punjab Engineering College, Chandigarh, India e-mail: [ashagoel1968@gmail.com](mailto:ashagoel1968@gmail.com)

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2023 R. K. Sharma et al. (eds.), *Frontiers in Industrial and Applied Mathematics*,

from general topology to bf topology, only the implication  $K \cap L = \emptyset \Rightarrow K \subseteq col$ is valid. The concept of separation axioms in bf settings has been introduced by using the generalized bf point and the notion of disjointness. Moreover, the relation between these separation axioms has been established.

#### **2 Preliminaries and Definitions**

In this section, we summarize some definitions and results of bf topological space which is helpful in the following section.

Let *X* be a nonempty set. Then a pair  $K = (K^+, K^-)$  is called a bf set in *X*, if  $K^+$ :  $X \to [0, 1]$  and  $K^-$ :  $X \to [-1, 0]$  are mappings. For each  $x \in X$ , the positive membership degree  $K^+(x)$  is used to denote the satisfaction degree of the element *x* to the property corresponding to the bf set *K* and the negative membership degree  $K<sup>-</sup>(x)$  is used to denote the satisfaction degree of the element *x* to some implicit counter-property corresponding to the bf set  $K$ . The empty bf set is denoted by  $0_{bp} = (0^+, 0^-)$  and defined by  $0^+(x) = 0 = 0^-(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Also, the whole bf set is denoted by  $1_{bn} = (1^+, 1^-)$  and defined by  $1^+(x) = 1$  and  $1^-(x) = -1$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 1** ([\[9\]](#page-8-8)) Let *X* be a nonempty set and let *K*, *L* be two bf sets in *X*.

(i) We say that *K* is a subset of *L*, denoted by  $K \subseteq L$ , if for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$
K^+(x) \le L^+(x)
$$
 and  $K^-(x) \ge L^-(x)$ .

- (ii) We say that *K* is equal to *L*, denoted by  $K = L$ , if  $K \subseteq L$  and  $L \subseteq K$ .
- (iii) The complement of *K*, denoted by  $K^c = ((K^c)^+, (K^c)^-)$ , is a bf set in *X* defined as: for each *x* ∈ *X*,  $K<sup>c</sup>(x) = (1 - K<sup>+</sup>(x), -1 - K<sup>−</sup>(x))$ , i.e.,

$$
(K^{c})^{+}(x) = 1 - K^{+}(x), (K^{c})^{-}(x) = -1 - K^{-}(x).
$$

(iv) The intersection of *K* and *L*, denoted by  $K \cap L$ , is a bf set in *X* defined as: for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$
(K \cap L)(x) = (K^+(x) \wedge L^+(x), K^-(x) \vee L^-(x)).
$$

(v) The union of *K* and *L*, denoted by  $K \cup L$ , is a bf set in *X* defined as: for each *x* ∈ *X*,

$$
(K \cup L)(x) = (K^+(x) \vee L^+(x), K^-(x) \wedge L^-(x)).
$$

**Definition 2** ([\[9\]](#page-8-8)) Let *X* be a nonempty set and let  $\{K_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of subsets of *X*.

(i) The intersection of  $\{K_i : i \in I\}$ , denoted by  $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i$  is a bf set in *X* defined by: for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$
(\bigcap_{i\in I}K_i)(x)=(\bigwedge_{i\in I}K_i^+(x),\bigvee_{i\in I}K_i^-(x)).
$$

(ii) The union of  $\{K_i : i \in I\}$ , denoted by  $\bigcup_{i \in I} K_i$  is a bf set in *X* defined by: for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$
(\bigcup_{i \in I} K_i)(x) = (\bigvee_{i \in I} K_i^+(x), \bigwedge_{i \in I} K_i^-(x)).
$$

**Definition 3** ([\[11\]](#page-8-10)) Let *X* be a nonempty set. Suppose a collection of bf sets of *X* is  $\tau$ , then  $\tau$  is said to be bf topology on *X*, if the following axioms is satisfied:

- (i)  $0_{bp}, 1_{bp} \in \tau$ .
- (ii) if *K*,  $L \in \tau$ , then  $K \cap L \in \tau$ .
- (iii) if  $\{K_i : i \in I\} \subset \tau$ , then  $\bigcup_{i \in I} K_i \in \tau$ . *i*∈*I*

In this case, a bf topological space is denoted by the pair  $(X, \tau)$  and each element of  $\tau$  is said to be an open bf set of *X*. The closed bf set is the complement of an open bf set.

**Definition 4** ([\[11\]](#page-8-10)) Let *X* and *Y* be nonempty sets, let  $K \subseteq X$  and  $L \subseteq Y$  and let  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping. Then

(i) The image of *K* under *f*, denoted by  $f(K) = (f(K^+), f(K^-))$ , is a bf set in *Y* defined as follows: for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$
f(K^{+})(y) = \begin{cases} \vee_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} K^{+}(x), & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
f(K^{-})(y) = \begin{cases} \wedge_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} K^{-}(x), & if \quad f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset; \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}
$$

(ii) The preimage of *L* under *f*, denoted by  $f^{-1}(L) = (f^{-1}(L^+), f^{-1}(L^-))$ , is a bf set in *Y* defined as follows: for each  $x \in X$ ,  $[f^{-1}(L^+)](x) = L^+ \circ f(x)$  and

$$
[f^{-1}(L^-)](x) = L^- \circ f(x).
$$

**Definition 5** ([\[11\]](#page-8-10)) Let  $(X, \tau_1)$ ,  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be two bf topological spaces. Then a mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1) \to (Y, \tau_2)$  is said to be continuous if  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_1$ , for each  $V \in \tau_2$ .

## **3 Separation Axioms**

In this section, firstly, we define the generalized form of bipolar fuzzy point and show some properties of general topology that is not valid in bf settings. Secondly, we define separation axioms in bf topology and discuss the relations between these separation axioms.

**Definition 6** ([\[11\]](#page-8-10)) Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be a set and *x* in *X*,  $(\alpha, \beta) \in (0, 1] \times [-1, 0)$ . Then  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  with the values  $(\alpha,\beta)$  and the support *x* is said to be a bf point in *X*, if for every *y* in *X*,

$$
x_{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = \begin{cases} (\alpha,\beta), & \text{if } y = x \\ (0,0), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

The bf point has been generalized in the following definition:

**Definition 7** Let *x* in *X,*  $(0, 0) \neq (\alpha, \beta) \in [0, 1] \times [-1, 0]$  and *K* a bf set of *X*. Then

(i)  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  with the values  $(\alpha,\beta)$  and the support *x* is called a generalized bf point in *X* , if for every *y* in *X*,

$$
x_{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = \begin{cases} (\alpha,\beta), & \text{if } y = x \\ (0,0), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

(ii) *K* contains  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  (i.e.  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in K$ ), if

$$
K^{-}(x) \leq \beta \text{ and } K^{+}(x) \geq \alpha
$$

On the basis of the preceding definition, the following implications hold:

$$
K = L \iff \text{for all } p \in X, \ p \in K \iff p \in L; \\
K \subseteq L \iff \text{for all } p \in X, \ p \in K \Rightarrow p \in L; \\
p \in K \cap L \iff \text{for all } p \in X, \ p \in K \land p \in L;
$$

more generally,

$$
p \in \bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \Longleftrightarrow (p \in K_i, \ \forall \ i \in I),
$$

I is any index set.

We remark

$$
p \in K \cup L \Leftarrow \text{ for all } p \in X, \ p \in K \vee p \in L,
$$

holds, but the converse of this implication does not remain valid.

**Example 1** Suppose two bf subsets *K*, *L* and  $K^+(x) = \frac{3}{4}$ ,  $K^-(x) = -\frac{1}{2}$  for each *x* in *X* and  $L^+(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $L^-(x) = -\frac{3}{4}$  for each *x* in *X*. Now  $K \cup L \subseteq X$ , then  $(K \cup$ *L*<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup>(*x*) =  $\frac{3}{4}$  and  $(K \cup L)$ <sup>−</sup>(*x*) = − $\frac{3}{4}$  for each *x* in *X*. If *p* in  $K \cup L$  such that  $(K \cup L)$  $L$ <sup>+</sup>(*p*) =  $\frac{3}{4}$  and  $(K \cup L)^{-}(p) = -\frac{3}{4}$ , then neither *p* in *K* nor *p* in *L*.

To introduce the bf separation axioms, we have to discuss the notion of disjointness. The equivalence of set theory

$$
K \cap L = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow K \subseteq col.
$$

is not valid for bf set theory; indeed, the following implication is true.

$$
K \cap L = \emptyset \Rightarrow K \subseteq col.
$$

The separation axioms in bf settings are defined by using notion of disjointness in bf settings. So, we get the deviation from general topology to bf topology:

**Definition 8** A bf topological space is called:

- 1. BFT<sub>0</sub> if for each pair consisting of two different bf points  $(p, q)$  with supports *x* and *y*, there exists an open bf set *R* such that  $p \in R$  and  $q \cap R = 0$  (*i.e.*  $R^+(y) = 0$ 0 and  $R^-(y) = 0$  or  $q \in R$  and  $p \cap R = 0$  (*i.e.*  $R^+(x) = 0$  and  $R^-(x) = 0$ ).
- 2. BFT<sub>0 $\alpha$ </sub> if for each pair consisting of two different bf points  $(p, q)$  with supports *x* and *y*, there exists an open bf set *R* such that  $p \in R \subseteq \text{cog or } q \in R \subseteq \text{cop}$ .
- 3. BFT<sub>1</sub> if for each pair consisting of two different bf points  $(p, q)$  with supports *x* and *y*, there exist two open bf sets *R* and *S* such that  $p \in R$ ,  $q \cap R =$ 0 (*i.e.*  $R^+(v) = 0$  and  $R^-(v) = 0$ ) and  $q \in S$ ,  $p \cap S = 0$  (*i.e.*  $S^+(x) = 0$  and  $S^{-}(x) = 0$ .
- 4. BFT<sub>1 $\alpha$ </sub> if and only if for each pair consisting of two different bf points  $(p, q)$  with supports *x* and *y*, there exist two open bf sets *R* and *S* such that  $p \in R \subseteq \text{coq}$ and  $q \in S \subseteq cop$ .
- 5. BFT<sub>s</sub> (strong BFT<sub>1</sub>) if every bf singleton is a closed bf set.
- 6. BFT<sub>2</sub> (BFT-Hausdorff) if for each pair consisting of two different bf points  $(p, q)$ with supports *x* and *y*, there exist two open bf sets *R* and *S* such that  $p \in R$ , *q* ∈ *S* and *R* ∩ *S* = 0.
- 7. BFT<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> (strong BFT-Hausdorff) if for each pair consisting of two different bf points  $(p, q)$  with supports *x* and *y*, there exist two open bf sets *R* and *S* such that  $p \in R$ ,  $q \in S$  and  $R \subseteq coS$ .
- 8. BFT<sub>2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> if for each pair consisting of two different bf points  $(p, q)$  with supports</sub> *x* and *y*, there exist two open bf sets *R* and *S* such that  $p \in R$ ,  $q \in S$  and  $clR \cap clS = 0.$
- 9. BFT<sub>2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub><sup> $\alpha$ </sup> if for each pair consisting of two different bf points  $(p, q)$  with supports</sub> *x* and *y*, there exist two open bf sets *R* and *S* such that  $p \in R$ ,  $q \in S$  and  $clR \subseteq co(clS)$ .

With the help of above definitions the following implications can be noticed:

- 1.  $(X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_i \implies (X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_{i\alpha}$   $i = 0, 1, 2, 2\frac{1}{2}$
- 2.  $(X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_2 \to (X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_2 \to (X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_2 \to (X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_1 \to (X, \tau)$  is *BFT*<sup>0</sup>
- 3.  $(X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_{2\frac{1}{2}\alpha} \implies (X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_{2\alpha} \implies (X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_{1\alpha} \implies (X, \tau)$ is  $BFT_{0\alpha}$
- 4.  $(X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_s \implies (X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_1$



**Fig. 1** Implication between separation axioms

**Theorem 1** *A space is BFT*<sub>1</sub> *if and only if every bf singleton with*  $\alpha = 1$  *and*  $\beta = -1$ *is closed.*

*Proof* Let  $p_0$  be an arbitrary bf singleton with support  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\beta = p_0^-(x_0) = -1$ and  $\alpha = p_0^+(x_0) = 1$ . Suppose *p* is another bf point with support *x*, there exist  $O_0$  and *O<sub>p</sub>* open bf sets such that *p*<sup>0</sup> ⊆ *O*<sup>0</sup> ⊆ *cop* and *p* ⊆ *O*<sub>*p*</sub> ⊆ *cop*<sup>0</sup>. Since every bf set is the union of all the bf singleton, it contains, i.e.,  $cop_0 = \bigcup_{p \subseteq cop_0} p$ . From  $cop_0^+(x_0) =$  $1 - p_0^+(x_0) = 0$  and  $\cos p_0^-(x_0) = -1 - p_0^-(x_0) = 0$ , we deduce  $\cos p_0 = \bigcup_{p \subseteq \cos p_0} O_p$ , and thus the  $\mathfrak{c}op_0$  is open.

Conversely, consider  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be a pair of two different bf points with support  $x_1$ and  $x_2$ . Let  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  be another pair of bf points with support  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , respectively, such that  $q_1^-(x_1) = q_2^-(x_2) = -1$  and  $q_1^+(x_1) = q_2^+(x_2) = 1$ . So, the bf sets  $coq_1$ and *coq*<sub>2</sub> are open bf and satisfy the conditions  $p_1 \subseteq c \circ q_2 \subseteq c \circ p_2$  and  $p_2 \subseteq c \circ q_1 \subseteq$ *cop*1.

**Theorem 2** A weakest bf topology  $\tau$  exists for every X, such that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_s$ .

*Proof* Let *X* be any arbitrary set. Consider the collection  $\tau$  of bf sets on *X* defined by

$$
\tau = \{ O : O \subseteq X, \operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{co} O) \text{ is finite} \}.
$$

We can easily prove that  $\tau$  is a bf topology. Clearly, each bf point on *X* is bf closed, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $BFT_s$ . Now to prove  $\tau$  is the weakest bf topology, suppose  $\sigma$  is any other bf topology which is also  $BFT_s$ . Let  $R \in \tau$  be any set, then  $supp(c \circ R)$  =  ${x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n}$ . Consider the bf points  $p_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  defined by

$$
p_i^+(x_i) = \cos R^+(x_i) \text{ and } p_i^-(x_i) = \cos R^-(x_i)
$$
  

$$
p_i^+(x) = 0 \text{ and } p_i^+(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \neq x_i
$$

The family  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $\sigma$ -closed bf sets is a finite family. From  $\cos R = \bigcup_{i=1}^n p_i$ , we conclude that  $coR$  is  $\sigma$ -closed. Hence,  $R \in \sigma$ .

**Definition 9** A bft space  $(X, \tau)$  is called bf regular if for each pair having a bf point *p* and bf closed set *F* in *X* such that  $p \in coF$ , there exists a pair of open bf sets *(R, S)* such that *p* in *R, F* is subset of *S* and *R* ∩ *S* = ∅. A bf regular which is also  $BFT<sub>s</sub>$  is said to be  $BFT<sub>3</sub>$ .

**Definition 10** A bft space  $(X, \tau)$  is called bf  $\alpha$ -regular if for each pair having a bf point *p* and closed bf set *F* in *X* such that  $p \in coF$ , there exists a pair of open bf sets  $(R, S)$  such that *p* in R, F is subset of S and  $R \subseteq \text{coS}$ . A bf  $\alpha$ -regular which is also  $BFT<sub>s</sub>$  is said to be  $BFT<sub>3\alpha</sub>$ .

**Theorem 3** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha$ -regular if and only if for each pair consisting of a *bf open set R and a bf point p such that*  $p \in R$ *, there exists a bf open set S such that*  $p \in S \subseteq clS \subseteq R$ .

*Proof* Suppose *p* is a bf point and *R* is a bf open set in *X* such that  $p \in R$ . Since co*R* is closed and  $p \in co(c \circ R)$ , by  $\alpha$ -regularity of space *X* that there exists bf open sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  such that  $p \in S_1$ ,  $coR \subseteq S_2$  and  $S_1 \subseteq coS_2$ . Since  $coS_2$  is closed,  $cIS_1 \subseteq cl(coS_2) = coS_2 \subseteq R$ . So  $p \in S_1 \subseteq clS_1 \subseteq R$ .

Conversely, let *F* be a bf closed set and *p* be any bf point in *X* such that  $p \in coF$ . By using the condition, there exists bf open set  $S_1$  such that  $p \in S_1 \subseteq cIS_1 \subseteq coF$ . Using the condition again, there exists bf open set  $S_2$  such that  $p \in S_2 \subseteq cIS_2 \subseteq S_1$ . To complete the proof, take  $R_1 = S_2$  and  $R_2 = co(cl S_1)$  because  $p \in R_1$ ,  $F \subseteq R_2$ and  $R_1 = S_2 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq clS_1 = co(co(clS_1)) = coR_2$ .

**Theorem 4** Every bf  $T_{3\alpha}$ -space is also a bf  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}\alpha}$ -space.

*Proof* Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a bf  $T_{3\alpha}$ -space and p, q be two different bf points with support  $x_p \neq x_q$  in *X* with values  $(r_p, -r'_p)$  and  $(r_q, -r'_q)$ , respectively. Let  $p_1$  be the crisp bf point with support  $x_p$  and value  $(1, -1)$ . By using the definition of  $T_{3\alpha}$ -space  $p_1$  is a bf closed set and  $q \in co(p_1)$ . Since there exists bf open sets R and S such that  $q \in R$ ,  $p_1 \subseteq S$  and  $R \subseteq coS$ . Since  $p^+(x_p) < 1 = p_1^+(x_p)$  and  $p^-(x_p) > -1 = p_1^-(x_p)$ , it follows that  $p \in S$ . Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is a bf  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}\alpha}$ -space.

**Theorem 5** *Every bf*  $\alpha$ -regular  $T_{0\alpha}$ -space is a bf  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}\alpha}$ -space.

*Proof* Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a bf  $\alpha$ -regular  $T_{0\alpha}$ -space and p, q be two different bf points with supports  $x_p \neq x_q$  in *X* and values  $(r_p, -r'_p)$ ,  $(r_q, -r'_q)$  respectively. Let  $p_1, q_1$ be bf points with supports  $x_p$ ,  $x_q$ , respectively, and with values  $p_1^+(x_p) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + r_p)$ ,  $p_1^-(x_p) = -\frac{1}{2}(1+r'_p)$  and  $q_1^+(x_q) = \frac{1}{2}(1+r_q)$ ,  $q_1^-(x_q) = -\frac{1}{2}(1+r'_q)$ . Therefore,  $p_1, q_1$  are two distinct bf points in *X*. There exists a bf open set *R* such that  $p_1 \in$ 

 $R \subseteq \text{coq}_1$  or  $q_1 \in R \subseteq \text{cop}_1$  because *X* is a  $T_{0\alpha}$ -space. Firstly, if  $p_1 \in R \subseteq \text{coq}_1$ , there exists a bf open set S such that  $p_1 \in S \subseteq cIS \subseteq R$  because X is  $\alpha$ -regular. Since *clS* ⊆ *R* and  $R$  ⊆ *coq*<sub>1</sub>, then *clS* ⊆ *coq*<sub>1</sub> that is  $q_1$  ⊆ *co*(*clS*). Now  $q(x_q)$  =  $r_q < \frac{1}{2}(1+r_q) = q_1(x_q)$  and  $p(x_p) < p_1(x_p)$ , then we get  $q \in co(clS)$  and  $p \in S$ . Now let  $O_1 = S$  and  $O_2 = co(clS)$  are bf open sets in *X* and  $S \subseteq co(co(clS))$ . Therefore, there exists bf open sets  $O_1$ ,  $O_2$  in *X* such that  $p \in O_1$ ,  $q \in O_2$  and  $O_1 \subseteq coO_2$ . By using the previous theorem, there exist bf open sets  $O_3$  and  $O_4$  such that  $p \in O_3 \subseteq clO_3 \subseteq O_1$  and  $q \in O_4 \subseteq clO_4 \subseteq O_2$ . Therefore, we get  $p \in O_3$ , *q* ∈ *O*<sub>4</sub> and *cl O*<sub>3</sub> ⊆ *O*<sub>1</sub> ⊆ *coO*<sub>2</sub> ⊆ *co*(*cl O*<sub>4</sub>). Secondly, if *q*<sub>1</sub> ∈ *R* ⊆ *cop*<sub>1</sub>. We get the similar result. So,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}\alpha}$ .

**Definition 11** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called bf normal if for every pair consisting of bf closed sets  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq \text{co } F_2$ , there exists a pair consisting of open fuzzy sets *R*, *S* such that  $F_1 \subseteq R$ ,  $F_2 \subseteq S$  and  $R \cap S = \emptyset$ . A bf normal which is also  $BFT_s$ is said to be *BFT*4.

**Definition 12** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called bf  $\alpha$ -normal if every pair consisting of bf closed sets  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  in *X* such that  $F_1 \subseteq coF_2$ , there exists a pair consisting of open fuzzy sets *R*, *S* such that  $F_1 \subseteq R$ ,  $F_2 \subseteq S$  and  $R \subseteq \text{coS}$ . A bf  $\alpha$ -normal which is also  $BFT<sub>s</sub>$  is said to be  $BFT<sub>4\alpha</sub>$ .

**Theorem 6** *Every bf*  $T_{4\alpha}$ *-space is also a bf*  $T_{3\alpha}$ *-space.* 

*Proof* Let *p* be a bf closed point with support  $x_p$  and F a bf closed set in X such that  $p \in coF$ . Let  $p_1$  be bf point with support  $x_p$  and with value  $p_1^+(x_p) = \frac{1}{2}(p^+(x_p) + p_2)$  $coF^{+}(x_p)$  and  $p_1^{-}(x_p) = \frac{1}{2}(p^{-}(x_p) + coF^{-}(x_p))$ . Therefore,  $p \in p_1$ ,  $p_1 \in coF$ and  $p_1$  is closed because *X* is  $T_s$ -space. By using the  $\alpha$ -normality of *X* and  $p_1 \in \text{co } F$ , there exist two bf open sets *R*, *S* with  $p_1 \subseteq R$ ,  $F \subseteq S$  and  $R \subseteq coS$ . Therefore,  $p \in R$ ,  $F \subseteq S$  and  $R \subseteq \text{coS}$ . So, *X* is bf  $T_{3\alpha}$ -space.

From the above results, we have observed the following implications for the separation axioms in bf topological spaces (Fig. [2\)](#page-7-0).



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Implication between separation axioms

### **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>1. Zadeh, L.A.: Fuzzy sets. Inf. Control. **8**, 338–353 (1965)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>2. Chang, C.L.: Fuzzy topological spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24**, 182–190 (1968)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>3. Tripathy, B.C., Borgohain, S.: On a class of n-normed sequences related to the space. Lol. Soc. Paran. Mat. **31**(1), 167–173 (2013)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>4. Tripathy, B.C., Baruah, A.: New type of difference sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers. Math. Modell. Anal. **14**(3), 391–397 (2009)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>5. Tripathy, B.C., Baruah, A.: Nörlund and Riesz mean of sequences of fuzzy real numbers. Appl. Math. Lett. **23**, 651–655 (2010)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>6. Tripathy, B.C., Ray, G.C.: On  $\delta$  –continuity in mixed fuzzy topological spaces. Boletim Da Soc. Parana. Mat. **32**(2), 175–187 (2014)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>7. Zhang, W-R.: Bipolar fuzzy set. Proc. IEEE, 835–840 (1998)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>8. Lee, K.M.: Comparison of interval-valued fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets and bipolar-valued fuzzy sets. J. Fuzzy Log. Intell. Syst. **14**(2), 125–129 (2004)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>9. Lee, K.M.: Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their basic operations. In: Proceedings of International Conference on Intelligent Technologies, Bangkok, Thailand, pp. 307–312 (2000)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>10. Akram, M., Dudek, W.A.: Regular bipolar fuzzy graphs. Neural Comput. Appl. **21**(suppl 1), S197–S205 (2012)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>11. Kim, J., Samanta, S.K., Lim, P.K., Lee, J.G., Hur, K.: Bipolar fuzzy topological spaces. Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **17**, 205–312 (2019)