# **A Note on Small Data Soliton Selection for Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Potential**



**Scipio Cuccagna and Masaya Maeda**

**Abstract** In this note, we give an alternative proof of the theorem on soliton selection for small-energy solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLS) studied in (Cuccagna and Maeda, Anal PDE 8(6):1289–1349, 2015; Cuccagna and Maeda, Ann PDE 7:16, 2021). As in (Cuccagna and Maeda, Ann PDE 7:16, 2021), we use the notion of refined profile, but unlike in (Cuccagna and Maeda, Ann PDE 7:16, 2021), we do not modify the modulation coordinates and do not search for Darboux coordinates.

## **1 Introduction**

In this note, we give an alternative and simplified proof of the selection of smallenergy standing waves for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)

<span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
i\partial_t u = Hu + g(|u|^2)u, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+3}, \tag{1}
$$

where  $H := -\Delta + V$  is a Schrödinger operator with  $V \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$  (Schwarz function) and  $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $g(0) = 0$  and the growth condition:

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \ \exists C_n > 0, \ |g^{(n)}(s)| \le C_n \langle s \rangle^{2-n} \text{ where } \langle s \rangle := (1 + |s|^2)^{1/2}. \tag{2}
$$

We consider the Cauchy problem of NLS [\(1](#page-0-0)) with the initial condition  $u(0)$  =  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$ . It is well known that the NLS [\(1](#page-0-0)) is locally well posed (LWP) in  $H^1 := H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$ , see, e.g., [\[2](#page-19-0), [7\]](#page-20-0). It is also easy to conclude, by mass and

S. Cuccagna ( $\boxtimes$ )

M. Maeda

Department of Mathematics and Geosciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy e-mail: [scuccagna@units.it](mailto:scuccagna@units.it)

Graduate School of Science, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan e-mail: [maeda@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp](mailto:maeda@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp)

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2022 V. Georgiev et al. (eds.), *Qualitative Properties of Dispersive PDEs*,

energy conservation, that for small initial data  $u_0 \in H<sup>1</sup>$  the corresponding solution is globally defined.

The aim of this chapter is to revisit the study of asymptotic behavior of small (in  $H<sup>1</sup>$ ) solutions when the Schrödinger operator H has several simple eigenvalues. In such situation, it has been proved that the solutions decouple into a soliton and a dispersive wave [[3,](#page-19-1) [11,](#page-20-1) [13\]](#page-20-2). More recently, in [[4\]](#page-19-2), we have introduced the notion of refined profile, which simplifies significantly the proof of the result in [[3\]](#page-19-1). In this note, we exploit the notion of refined profile of [\[4](#page-19-2)], but we give an alternative proof of the result in [\[4](#page-19-2)] that does not exploit directly the Hamiltonian structure of the NLS. In this sense, in this chapter, we are closer in spirit to Soffer and Weinstein [\[11](#page-20-1)] and Tsai and Yau [\[13](#page-20-2)], but our proof is at the same time simpler and with stronger results.

To state our main result precisely, we introduce some notation and several assumptions. The following two assumptions for the Schrödinger operator  $H$  hold for generic  $V$ .

*Assumption 1* 0 *is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance of* H*.*  $\Box$ 

**Assumption 2** *There exists*  $N > 2$  *s.t.* 

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\sigma_d(H) = \{\omega_j \mid j = 1, \cdots, N\}, \text{ with } \omega_1 < \cdots < \omega_N < 0,
$$

*where*  $\sigma_d(H)$  *is the set of discrete spectra of H. Moreover, we assume all*  $\omega_i$  *are simple and*

$$
\forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^N \setminus \{0\}, \ \mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \neq 0,\tag{3}
$$

*where*  $\omega := (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N)$ *. We set*  $\phi_i$  *to be the eigenfunction of H associated to the eigenvalue*  $\omega_i$  *satisfying*  $\|\phi_i\|_{L^2} = 1$ *. We also set*  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N)$ *.*  $\Box$ 

*Remark 1* The cases  $N = 0, 1$  are easier and are not treated it in this chapter. Unfortunately, Assumption ([2](#page-1-0)) excludes radial potentials  $V(r)$ , for  $r = |x|$ , where in general we should expect eigenvalues with multiplicity higher than one.

As it is well known, the  $\phi_i$ 's are smooth and decay exponentially. For  $s \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq$ 0, we set

$$
H^s_{\gamma} := \{ u \in H^s \mid ||u||_{H^s_{\gamma}} := ||\cosh(\gamma x)u||_{H^s} < \infty \}.
$$

The following is well known.

**Proposition 1** *There exists*  $\gamma_0 > 0$  *s.t. for all*  $1 \leq j \leq N$ *; we have*  $\phi_j \in \bigcap_{s \geq 0} H^s_{\gamma_0}$ *.* 

Using  $\gamma_0 > 0$ , we set

$$
\Sigma^s := H_{\gamma_0}^s \text{ if } s \ge 0, \ \Sigma^s := (H_{\gamma_0}^{-s})^* \text{ if } s < 0,
$$
\n
$$
\Sigma^{0-} := (\Sigma^0)^* \text{ and } \Sigma^\infty := \cap_{s \ge 0} \Sigma^s.
$$

We will not consider any topology in  $\Sigma^{\infty}$ , and we will only consider it as a set.

In order to introduce the notion of refined profile, we need the following combinatorial setup, exactly that of [\[4](#page-19-2)].

We start with the following standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , which we view as "nonresonant" indices,

$$
\mathbf{NR}_0 := \{ \mathbf{e}_j \mid j = 1, \cdots, N \}, \ \mathbf{e}_j := (\delta_{1j}, \cdots, \delta_{Nj}) \in \mathbb{Z}^N,
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta_{ij} \text{ the Kronecker delta.}
$$
 (4)

More generally, the sets of resonant and non-resonant indices **R**, **NR**, are

$$
\mathbf{R} := \{ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid \sum \mathbf{m} = 1, \ \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{m} > 0 \},
$$
  

$$
\mathbf{NR} := \{ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid \sum \mathbf{m} = 1, \ \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{m} < 0 \},
$$
 (5)

where  $\sum \mathbf{m} := \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j$  for  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ .

From Assumption [2,](#page-1-0) it is clear that  $\{m \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid \sum m = 1\} = \mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{N} \mathbb{R}$  and **NR**<sub>0</sub> ⊂ **NR**. For **m** =  $(m_1, \cdots, m_N)$  ∈  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ , we define

$$
|\mathbf{m}| := (|m_1|, \cdots, |m_N|) \in \mathbb{Z}^N, \ \|\mathbf{m}\| := \sum |\mathbf{m}| = \sum_{j=1}^N |m_j| \tag{6}
$$

and introduce partial orders  $\leq$  and  $\lt$  by

$$
\mathbf{m} \le \mathbf{n} \Leftrightarrow_{\text{def}} \forall j \in \{1, \cdots, N\}, \ m_j \le n_j,
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{m} \prec \mathbf{n} \Leftrightarrow_{\text{def}} \mathbf{m} \le \mathbf{n} \text{ and } \mathbf{m} \ne \mathbf{n},
$$
\n(7)

where  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_N)$ . We define the minimal resonant indices by

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\min} := \{ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R} \mid \ \nexists \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{R} \text{ s.t. } |\mathbf{n}| \prec |\mathbf{m}| \}. \tag{8}
$$

We also consider  $NR<sub>1</sub>$ , formed by the non-resonant indices not larger than resonant indices:

$$
\mathbf{NR}_1 := \{ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{NR} \mid \forall \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}, \ |\mathbf{n}| \neq |\mathbf{m}| \}. \tag{9}
$$

Both **R**min and **NR**<sup>1</sup> are finite sets, see [[4\]](#page-19-2) for the elementary proof.

We now introduce the functions  ${G_m}_{m \in R_{min}} \subset \Sigma^{\infty}$  that are crucial in our analysis. For  $m \in NR_1$ , we inductively define  $\phi_m(0)$  and  $g_m(0)$  by

$$
\phi_{\mathbf{e}_j}(0) := \phi_j, \ g_{\mathbf{e}_j}(0) = 0, \ j = 1, \cdots, N,
$$
\n(10)

and, for  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{NR}_1 \setminus \mathbf{NR}_0$ , by

$$
\widetilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{m}}(0) := -(H - \mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega})^{-1} g_{\mathbf{m}}(0),\tag{11}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
g_{\mathbf{m}}(0) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} g^{(m)}(0) \sum_{(\mathbf{m}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{m}_{2m+1}) \in A(m, \mathbf{m})} \widetilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{m}_1}(0) \cdots \widetilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{m}_{2m+1}}(0),
$$
 (12)

where

$$
A(m, \mathbf{m}) := \left\{ {\{\mathbf{m}_j\}_{j=1}^{2m+1} \in (\mathbf{NR}_1)^{2m+1} | \atop \sum_{j=0}^{m} \mathbf{m}_{2j+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{m}_{2j} = \mathbf{m}, \sum_{j=0}^{2m+1} |\mathbf{m}_j| = |\mathbf{m}| \right\}.
$$
 (13)

*Remark 2* For each  $m \ge 1$  and  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{NR}_1$ ,  $A(m, \mathbf{m})$  is a finite set. Furthermore, for sufficiently large m, we have  $A(m, m) = \emptyset$ . Thus, even though we are expressing  $g_{\mathbf{m}}(0)$  in [\(12](#page-3-0)) by a series, the sum is finite.

For  $m \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}$ , we define  $G_m$  by

$$
G_{\mathbf{m}} := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} g^{(m)}(0) \sum_{(\mathbf{m}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{m}_{2m+1}) \in A(m, \mathbf{m})} \widetilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{m}_1}(0) \cdots \widetilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{m}_{2m+1}}(0).
$$
 (14)

*Remark 3*  $g_m(0)$  and  $G_m$  are defined similarly. We are using a different notation to emphasize that  $g_m(0)$  has  $m \in \mathbf{NR}_1$ , while  $G_m$  has  $m \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}$ .

The following is the nonlinear Fermi Golden Rule (FGR) assumption essential in our analysis.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>*Assumption 3 For all*  $m \in \mathbf{R}_{min}$ *, we assume* 

$$
\int_{|k|^2 = \mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}} |\widehat{G}_{\mathbf{m}}(k)|^2 \, dS \neq 0,\tag{15}
$$

<span id="page-3-3"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span> $\Box$ 

*where*  $\widehat{G}_{\mathbf{m}}$  *is the distorted Fourier transform associated to H.* 

*Remark 4* In the case  $N = 2$  and  $\omega_1 + 2(\omega_2 - \omega_1) > 0$ , we have  $G_m = g'(0)\phi_1\phi_2^2$ , which corresponds to the condition in Tsai and Yau [[14\]](#page-20-3), based on the explicit formulas in Buslaev and Perelman [[1\]](#page-19-3) and Soffer and Weinstein [\[10](#page-20-4)]. These works are related to Sigal [[9\]](#page-20-5). More general situations are considered in [[3\]](#page-19-1), where however the G**<sup>m</sup>** are obtained after a certain number of coordinate changes, so that the relation of the  $G_m$  and the  $\phi_j$ 's is not discussed in [\[3](#page-19-1)] and is not easy to track.

In [[4\]](#page-19-2), it is proved that for a generic nonlinear function  $g$ , the condition ([15](#page-3-1)) is a consequence of the following simpler one, which is similar to (11.6) in Sigal [[9\]](#page-20-5),

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\int_{|k|^2 = \mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}} |\widehat{\phi}^{\mathbf{\widehat{m}}}(k)|^2 \, dS \neq 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}},\tag{16}
$$

using again the distorted Fourier transform and where  $\phi^{\mathbf{m}} := \prod_{j=1,\dots,N} \phi_j^{m_j}$ . Specifically, in [[4\]](#page-19-2), the following is proved.

**Proposition 2** *Let*  $L = \sup\{\frac{\|\mathbf{m}\| - 1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  : **m**  $\in$  **R**<sub>min</sub>}*, and suppose that the operator* H satisfies condition [\(16](#page-4-0)). Then there exists an open dense subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^L$  s.t. if  $(g'(0), \ldots, g^{(L)}(0)) \in \Omega$  *such that Assumption [3](#page-3-2) is true for* ([1\)](#page-0-0)*.* 

For  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ,  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ , we define

$$
\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} := z_1^{(m_1)} \cdots z_N^{(m_N)} \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ where } z^{(m)} := \begin{cases} z^m & m \ge 0 \\ \bar{z}^{-m} & m < 0, \end{cases} \text{ and } (17)
$$

$$
|\mathbf{z}|^{k} := (|z_{1}|^{k}, \cdots, |z_{N}|^{k}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \ \|\mathbf{z}\| := \sum |\mathbf{z}| = \sum_{j=1}^{N} |z_{j}| \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (18)

We will use the following notation for a ball in a Banach space  $B$ :

$$
\mathcal{B}_B(u, r) := \{ v \in B \mid ||v - u||_B < r \}. \tag{19}
$$

The refined profile is of the form  $\phi(z) = z \cdot \phi + o(||z||)$  and is defined by the following proposition, proved in [[4\]](#page-19-2).

**Proposition 3 (Refined Profile)** *For any*  $s \ge 0$ *, there exist*  $\delta_s > 0$  *and*  $C_s > 0$  *s.t.*  $\delta_s$  *is nonincreasing w.r.t.*  $s \geq 0$ *, and there exist* 

$$
\{\psi_{\mathbf{m}}\}_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{NR}_{1}} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(0,\delta_{s}^{2}), (\Sigma^{s})^{\sharp\mathbf{NR}_{1}}), \ \boldsymbol{\varpi}(\cdot) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(0,\delta_{s}^{2}),\mathbb{R}^{N})
$$
\n
$$
and \ \mathcal{R} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^{N}}(0,\delta_{s}), \Sigma^{s}),
$$

*s.t.*  $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(0, \dots, 0) = \boldsymbol{\omega}, \psi_{m}(0) = 0$  *for all*  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{NR}_1$  *and* 

<span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z})\|_{\Sigma^s} \leq C_s \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}} |\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}|,\tag{20}
$$

*where*  $B_X(a, r) := \{u \in X \mid ||u - a||_X < r\}$ *, and if we set* 

$$
\phi(\mathbf{z}) := \mathbf{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} + \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{NR}_1} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \psi_{\mathbf{m}}(|\mathbf{z}|^2) \text{ and } z_j(t) = e^{-i\varpi_j(|\mathbf{z}|^2)t} z_j,
$$
(21)

<span id="page-5-1"></span>.

*then, setting*  $\mathbf{z}(t) = (z_1(t), \dots, z_n(t))$ *, the function*  $u(t) := \phi(\mathbf{z}(t))$  *satisfies* 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
i\partial_t u - Hu - g(|u|^2)u = -\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}),\tag{22}
$$

where  $\{G_m\}_{\mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \subset (\Sigma^{\infty})^{\sharp \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}}$  *is given in* ([14\)](#page-3-3)*. Finally, writing*  $\psi_m = \psi_m^{(s)}$ ,  $\varpi = \varphi_m^{(s)}$  $\varpi^{(s)}$  and  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{(s)}$ , for  $s_1 < s_2$ , we have  $\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s_1)}(|\cdot|^2) = \psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s_2)}(|\cdot|^2)$ ,  $\varpi^{(s_1)}(|\cdot|^2) =$  $\boldsymbol{\varpi}^{(s_2)}(|\cdot|^2)$ *, and*  $\mathcal{R}^{(s_1)} = \mathcal{R}^{(s_2)}$  *in*  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^N}(0, \delta_{s_2})$ *.* 

*Remark 5* Notice that solitons, or standing waves, are exact solutions to the NLS generated from the refined profile setting

$$
\phi_j(z_j) := \phi(z_j \mathbf{e}_j) \text{ for } z_j \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \delta_s). \tag{23}
$$

So the refined profile fails to be an exact solution precisely when there are at least two nonzero coordinates in **z**, which, under our hypotheses, make the defect on the right-hand side of  $(22)$  $(22)$  nonzero. Notice in particular that  $(20)$  $(20)$  states that the error term  $R(z)$  is not just small, but that it has a specific combinatorial structure. A monomial of the form  $z_j |z_j|^{2N}$  cannot be a term in  $R(z)$ , since it does not have the required combinatorial structure. These  $z_j |z_j|^{2N}$  terms are in the left-hand side of [\(22](#page-5-0)) and cancel out because the refined profile encodes the standing waves, as

$$
\phi_j(z_j) = \phi(z_j \mathbf{e}_j) = \left[\mathbf{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} + \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{e}_j} \psi_{\mathbf{e}_j}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\right]\big|_{\mathbf{z} = z_j \mathbf{e}_j}
$$

We give now several formulae related to the refined profile. Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $F \in C^1(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^N}(0,\delta), X)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . For  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^N}(0,\delta)$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ , we set

$$
D_{\mathbf{z}}F(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{w} := \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} F(\mathbf{z} + \epsilon \mathbf{w}).
$$

For **z**(*t*) given by the 2nd equation of ([21\)](#page-4-2), that is  $z_j(t) = e^{-i\omega_j(|\mathbf{z}|^2)t} z_j$ , we have

$$
i\partial_t \mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}, \text{ where } \boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z} := (\varpi_1(|\mathbf{z}|^2)z_1, \cdots, \varpi_N(|\mathbf{z}|^2)z_N).
$$

Thus,  $i\partial_t \phi(\mathbf{z}(t)) = iD_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z}(t))(-i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}(t)|^2)\mathbf{z}(t))$ , and we have the following formula, identically satisfied by  $\phi(\mathbf{z})$ ,

$$
iD_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})(-i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}) = H\phi(\mathbf{z}) + g(|\phi(\mathbf{z})|^2)\phi(\mathbf{z}) - \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}G_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}).
$$
 (24)

Furthermore, differentiating [\(24](#page-5-1)) w.r.t. **z** in any given direction  $\widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$ , we obtain

$$
H[\mathbf{z}]D_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})\widetilde{\mathbf{z}} = iD_{\mathbf{z}}^{2}\phi(\mathbf{z})(-i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^{2})\mathbf{z}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}) + iD_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})\left(D_{\mathbf{z}}(-i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^{2})\mathbf{z})\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}\right) \qquad (25)
$$

$$
+ \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}} D_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}})\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}G_{\mathbf{m}} + D_{\mathbf{z}}\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z})\widetilde{\mathbf{z}},
$$

where the operator  $H[\mathbf{z}]$  is defined by

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
H[\mathbf{z}]f := Hf + g(|\phi(\mathbf{z})|^2)f + 2g'(|\phi(\mathbf{z})|^2)\text{Re}\left(\overline{\phi(\mathbf{z})}f\right)\phi(\mathbf{z})\tag{26}
$$

and is self-adjoint for the inner product  $\langle u, v \rangle = \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \overline{v} dx$ .

As mentioned above, the refined profile  $\phi(z)$  contains as a special case the small standing waves bifurcating from the eigenvalues, when they are simple.

**Corollary 1** *Let*  $s > 0$  *and*  $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ *. Then,*  $\phi(z(t) \mathbf{e}_j)$  *solves* ([1\)](#page-0-0) *if*  $z \in$  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}}(0,\delta_s)$  and  $z(t) = e^{-i\varpi_j(|z\mathbf{e}_j|^2)t}z$ .

*Proof* Since  $(ze_i)^m = 0$  for  $m \in \mathbb{R}_{min}$ , we see that from ([20\)](#page-4-1) and [\(22](#page-5-0)), the remainder terms  $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{R}_{min}} z(t)^m G_m + \mathcal{R}(z(t))$  are 0 in [\(22](#page-5-0)). Therefore, we have the conclusion.  $\Box$ 

*Remark 6* If the eigenvalues of H are not simple, the above does not hold anymore in general. See Gustafson–Phan [\[6](#page-20-6)].

<span id="page-6-0"></span>The main result, which we have first proved in [[3\]](#page-19-1), is the following.

**Theorem 4** *Under Assumptions [1](#page-1-1), [2](#page-1-0) and [3](#page-3-2), there exist*  $\delta_0 > 0$  *and*  $C > 0$  *s.t. for all*  $u_0 \in H^1$  with  $\epsilon_0 := ||u_0||_{H^1} < \delta_0$ , and there exists  $j \in \{1, \cdots, N\}, z \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}),$  $\eta_+ \in H^1$ *, and*  $\rho_+ \geq 0$  *s.t.* 

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \|u(t) - \phi_j(z(t)) - e^{it\Delta} \eta_+\|_{H^1} = 0,\tag{27}
$$

 $with C^{-1} \epsilon_0^2 \le \rho_+^2 + ||\eta_+||_{H^1}^2 \le C \epsilon_0^2$  and

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} |z(t)| = \rho_+ \,. \tag{28}
$$

When written in the modulation parameters, the NLS appears like a complicated system where some discrete modes are coupled to radiation. The discrete modes tend to produce complicated patterns, similar to the ones of a linear system with eigenvalues. However, asymptotically in time, the nonlinear interaction is responsible of spilling of energy into radiation that disperses at space infinity and to the selection of a unique nonlinear standing wave. Theorem [4](#page-6-0) is the same of the main theorem in [\[4](#page-19-2)] and is very similar to the main theorem in [[3\]](#page-19-1). The proofs here and in [[4\]](#page-19-2) are much simpler than in [[3\]](#page-19-1) or in earlier papers containing early partial results, such as [\[11](#page-20-1), [13\]](#page-20-2). In [[3\]](#page-19-1), in order to detect the nonlinear redistribution of the energy, it was necessary to make full use of the Hamiltonian structure of our NLS, by first introducing Darboux coordinates and by then considering a normal forms argument. The discovery of the notion of refined profile made in [[8\]](#page-20-7) and its further development in [[4\]](#page-19-2) allows to forgo the normal forms argument because an almost optimal system of coordinates is provided automatically by the refined profile. In [\[4](#page-19-2)], we introduced Darboux coordinates in a way much simpler than in [\[3](#page-19-1)]. Undoubtedly, Darboux coordinates are quite natural for a Hamiltonian system, and in [\[4](#page-19-2)], they contribute to simplify the system. In the present note however, we provide a different proof that, except for the information that mass and energy are constant, thus guaranteeing the global existence of our small  $H<sup>1</sup>$  solutions, does not make explicit use of the Hamiltonian structure of the equations.

### **2 The Proof**

We start from constructing the modulation coordinate. First, we have the following.

**Lemma 1** *There exist*  $\delta > 0$  *and*  $\mathbf{z} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma^{-1}}(0, \delta), \mathbb{C}^N)$  *s.t.* 

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\forall \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{C}^N, \ \langle \mathrm{i} \, (u - \phi(\mathbf{z}(u))), D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}(u)) \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle = 0.
$$

*Proof* Standard.

We set

$$
\eta(u) := u - \phi(\mathbf{z}(u)).\tag{29}
$$

In the following, we write  $\eta = \eta(u)$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}(u)$ . Substituting  $u = \phi(\mathbf{z}) + \eta$  to [\(1](#page-0-0)) and using [\(24](#page-5-1)), we have

$$
i\partial_t \eta + iD_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})\left(\partial_t \mathbf{z} + i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}\right) = H[\mathbf{z}]\eta + \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{\min}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}} + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}) + F(\mathbf{z}, \eta),
$$
\n(30)

where

$$
F(\mathbf{z}, \eta) = g(|\phi(\mathbf{z}) + \eta|^2)(\phi(\mathbf{z}) + \eta) - g(|\phi(\mathbf{z})|^2)\phi(\mathbf{z}) - g(|\phi(\mathbf{z})|^2)\eta
$$

$$
-2g'(|\phi(\mathbf{z})|^2)\text{Re}\left(\overline{\phi(\mathbf{z})}\eta\right)\phi(\mathbf{z}).
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\Box
$$

Given an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we set

$$
Stz^{j}(I) := L_{t}^{\infty} H^{j}(I) \cap L_{t}^{2} W^{j,6}(I),
$$
  
\n
$$
Stz^{*j}(I) := L_{t}^{1} H^{j}(I) + L_{t}^{2} W^{j,6/5}(I), \quad j = 0, 1,
$$
\n(31)

where  $H^0 = L^2$  and  $W^{0,p} = L^p$ , and use Yajima's [[15\]](#page-20-8) Strichartz inequalities, for  $t_0 \in \overline{I}$ .

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
||e^{-itH} P_c v||_{\text{Stz}^j(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim ||v||_{H^j},
$$
  

$$
|| \int_{t_0}^t e^{-i(t-s)H} P_c f(s) ds ||_{\text{Stz}^j(I)} \lesssim ||f||_{\text{Stz}^{*j}(I)}, \ j = 0, 1.
$$
 (32)

Under the assumptions of Theorem [4](#page-6-0), we have  $||u||_{L^{\infty}H^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \epsilon_0$  from energy and mass conservation. Since  $||u||_{H^1} \sim ||\mathbf{z}|| + ||\eta||_{H^1}$ , we conclude

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\|\mathbf{z}\|_{L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}+\|\eta\|_{L_t^{\infty}H^1(\mathbb{R})}\lesssim \epsilon_0.
$$

**Theorem 5 (Main Estimates)** *There exist*  $\delta_0 > 0$  *and*  $C_0 > 0$  *s.t. if*  $\epsilon_0 =$  $||u_0||_{H^1} < \delta_0$ , we have

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\|\eta\|_{\text{Stz}^1(I)} + \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}\|_{L_t^2(I)} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{z} + i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}\|_{L_t^2(I)} \le C\epsilon_0,
$$
 (33)

*for*  $I = [0, \infty)$  *and*  $C = C_0$ *.* 

Notice that [\(33](#page-8-0)), Eq. ([30\)](#page-7-0) satisfied by  $\eta$ , estimate ([20\)](#page-4-1) for  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z})$ , and Lemma [2](#page-9-0) below for  $F(\mathbf{z}, \eta)$  allow to prove in a standard and elementary fashion that  $\eta(t)$  scatters as  $t \to +\infty$ , i.e., there exists  $\eta_+ \in H^1$  such that  $\|\eta(t) - e^{it\Delta}\eta_+\|_{H^1} \xrightarrow{t \to +\infty} 0$ . From [\(33](#page-8-0)), we have  $||\eta_+||_{H^1} \leq C\epsilon_0$ .

Using mass conservation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\phi(\mathbf{z}(t))\|_{L^2}^2 &= \\ \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\langle\phi(\mathbf{z}(t)), e^{\mathrm{i}t\Delta}\eta_+\rangle - 2\langle\phi(\mathbf{z}(t)), \eta(t) - e^{\mathrm{i}t\Delta}\eta_+\rangle - \|\eta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\xrightarrow{t \to +\infty} \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\eta_+\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}
$$

So, by  $\|\phi(\mathbf{z}(t))\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\mathbf{z}(t)\|^2 + o(\|\mathbf{z}(t)\|^2)$ , we get  $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|\mathbf{z}(t)\|^2 = \rho_+^2$  for some  $0 \leq \rho_+ \leq 2C\epsilon_0.$ 

The fact that  $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and, as it is easy to see,  $\partial_t(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap$  $C^0([0,\infty))$  implies  $z^m \xrightarrow{t \to +\infty} 0$  for any  $m \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}$ . This implies  $z_k \xrightarrow{t \to +\infty} 0$ for all  $k$  except at most for one, yielding the selection of one coordinate  $j$  in the statement of Theorem [4](#page-6-0). The proof that Theorem  $5$  implies Theorem 4 is like in [[3\]](#page-19-1).

 $\Box$ 

By complete routine arguments discussed in [[3\]](#page-19-1), [\(33](#page-8-0)) for  $I = [0, \infty)$  is a consequence of the following proposition.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Proposition 4** *There exists a constant*  $c_0 > 0$  *s.t. for any*  $C_0 > c_0$ *, there is a value*  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(C_0)$  *s.t. if* ([33](#page-8-0)) *holds for*  $I = [0, T]$  *for some*  $T > 0$ *, for*  $C = C_0$ *, and for*  $u_0 \in B_{H^1}(0, \delta_0)$ , then in fact for  $I = [0, T]$  the inequalities ([33](#page-8-0)) hold for  $C = C_0/2$ .

In the remainder of the paper, we prove Proposition [4.](#page-9-1)

#### *2.1 Estimate of the Continuous Variable η*

In the following, we set  $\epsilon_0 = ||u_0||_{H^1}$ . Further, when we use  $\lesssim$ , the implicit constant will not depend on  $C_0$ . We start from the estimate of the remainder term  $F$ .

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Lemma 2** *Under the assumption of Proposition [4,](#page-9-1) we have*

$$
||F(\mathbf{z}, \eta)||_{\text{Stz}^{*1}(I)} \lesssim C_0 \epsilon_0^3. \tag{34}
$$

*Proof* By ([2\)](#page-0-1), we have the pointwise bound

$$
|F(\mathbf{z},\eta)|+|\nabla_{x} F(\mathbf{z},\eta)| \lesssim \left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)(|\phi(\mathbf{z})|+|\nabla_{x}\phi(\mathbf{z})|+|\eta|)|\eta|(|\eta|+|\nabla_{x}\eta|).
$$
\n(35)

Using this, we obtain the conclusion by Hölder and Sobolev estimates.

We set

$$
\mathcal{H}_c[\mathbf{z}] := \{ v \in L^2 \mid \forall \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{C}^N, \ \langle iv, D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle = 0 \}.
$$
 (36)

Notice that for  $u \in H^1$ ,  $\eta(u) \in \mathcal{H}_c[\mathbf{z}(u)] \cap H^1$ . Following Gustafson, Nakanishi, and Tsai [[5\]](#page-19-4), we can construct an inverse of  $P_c$  on  $\mathcal{H}_c[\mathbf{z}]$ .

**Lemma 3** *There exists*  $\delta > 0$  *s.t. there exists* 

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\{a_{jA}\}_{j=1,\cdots,N,A=R,I} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{C}^N(0,\delta)}, \Sigma^1) \text{ s.t.}
$$
  

$$
\|a_{jA}(\mathbf{z})\|_{\Sigma^1} \lesssim \|\mathbf{z}\|^2, \ j=1,\cdots,N, \ A=R, I
$$
 (37)

*and*

$$
R[\mathbf{z}] := \mathrm{Id} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( \langle \cdot, a_{jR}(\mathbf{z}) \rangle \phi_j + \langle \cdot, a_{jR}(\mathbf{z}) \rangle i \phi_j \right), \tag{38}
$$

*satisfies*  $R[\mathbf{z}]P_c|_{\mathcal{H}_c[\mathbf{z}]} = \text{Id}|_{\mathcal{H}_c[\mathbf{z}]}, P_cR[\mathbf{z}]|_{P_cL^2} = \text{Id}|_{P_cL^2}.$ 

#### *Proof* A proof is in [[3\]](#page-19-1).

We set  $\widetilde{\eta} = P_c \eta$ . By Lemma [3,](#page-9-2) we have  $\eta = R[z]\widetilde{\eta}$  and  $\|\eta\|_{\text{Stz}^1} \sim \|\widetilde{\eta}\|_{\text{Stz}^1}$ . Applying  $P_c$  to [\(30](#page-7-0)), we have

$$
i\partial_t \widetilde{\eta} = H \widetilde{\eta} - i P_c D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) \left( \partial_t \mathbf{z} + i \varpi (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z} \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} P_c G_{\mathbf{m}}
$$
(39)

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
+ P_c \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}) + P_c F(\mathbf{z}, \eta) + P_c (H[\mathbf{z}] - H) \eta.
$$

**Lemma 4** *Under the assumption of Proposition [4,](#page-9-1) we have*

$$
\|\eta\|_{\text{Stz}^1(I)} \lesssim \epsilon_0 + C(C_0)\epsilon_0^3 + \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}\|_{L^2(I)}.
$$
 (40)

*Proof* Obviously, from  $||\eta||_{\text{Stz}^1} \sim ||\widetilde{\eta}||_{\text{Stz}^1}$ , it is enough to bound the latter. By Strichartz estimates ([32](#page-8-2)) and Lemma [2,](#page-9-0) we easily obtain

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{\eta}\|_{\mathrm{Stz}^1(I)} &\lesssim \epsilon_0 + C(C_0)\epsilon_0^3 + \|P_c D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) \left(\partial_t \mathbf{z} + \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z}\right) \|_{L^2(I)} \\ &+ \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{min}}} \| \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \|_{L^2(I)} .\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that  $||P_c D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z})||_{\Sigma^1} = O(||\mathbf{z}||^2)$ , we obtain ([40\)](#page-10-0).

We set  $Z(\mathbf{z}) := -\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} R_{+}(\mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}) P_c G_{\mathbf{m}}$  and  $\xi := \widetilde{\eta} + Z$ , where  $R_{+}(\lambda) :=$  $(H - \lambda - i0)^{-1}$ . Using the identity

$$
(D_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}})(\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{\omega}\mathbf{z}) = \mathrm{i}\mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}
$$
 (41)

with, in the left-hand side,  $\omega z := (\omega_1 z_1, \cdots, \omega_N z_N)$ , we see that Z satisfies

$$
-i\partial_t Z(\mathbf{z}) + HZ(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} P_c G_{\mathbf{m}} + \mathcal{R}_Z(\mathbf{z}), \tag{42}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{R}_Z(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{i} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} D_{\mathbf{z}} (\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}) \left[ \left( \partial_t \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z} \right) + \left( \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z} \right) \right] R_+(\mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}) P_c G_{\mathbf{m}}.
$$

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-10-1"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>

<span id="page-10-3"></span> $\Box$ 

Substituting  $\tilde{\eta} = \xi - Z(\mathbf{z})$  into ([39\)](#page-10-1), we obtain

$$
i\partial_t \xi = H\xi - iP_c D_z \phi(\mathbf{z}) \left( \partial_t \mathbf{z} + i \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z} \right) + P_c \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}) + P_c F(\mathbf{z}, \eta) + P_c (H[\mathbf{z}] - H) \eta + \mathcal{R}_Z(\mathbf{z}).
$$
\n(43)

<span id="page-11-3"></span>**Lemma 5** *Under the assumption of Proposition [4,](#page-9-1) we have*

$$
\|\xi\|_{L^2\Sigma^{0-}(I)} \lesssim \epsilon_0 + C_0\epsilon_0^3.
$$

*Proof* By  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2\Sigma^{0-}} \lesssim \|\cdot\|_{Stz^0}$  and Strichartz estimates ([32](#page-8-2)), we have

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\|\xi\|_{L^2\Sigma^{0-}} \le \|\widetilde{\eta}(0)\|_{L^2} + \|e^{-\mathrm{i}tH} Z(\mathbf{z}(0))\|_{L^2\Sigma^{0-}} \n+ \|\int_0^t e^{-\mathrm{i}(t-s)H} \mathcal{R}_Z(\mathbf{z}(u(s))) ds\|_{L^2\Sigma^{0-}} \n+ \|iP_c D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) \left(\dot{\mathbf{z}} + i\boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z}\right) - \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}) - F(\mathbf{z}, \eta) - (H[\mathbf{z}] - H) \eta\|_{\mathrm{Stz}^{*0}},
$$
\n(44)

where  $\mathbf{z}(t) = \mathbf{z}(u(t))$ . One can bound the contribution of the 2nd line of ([44](#page-11-0)) by  $\lesssim C(C_0)\epsilon_0^3$  using, as in Lemma [4,](#page-10-2)  $||P_cD_z\phi(z)||_{\Sigma^1} = O(|z||^2)$  and

$$
D_{\mathbf{z}}\left(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}\right) \mathbf{i} \left(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\varpi}\left(|\mathbf{z}|^{2}\right)\right) \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{m} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\varpi}\left(|\mathbf{z}|^{2}\right)\right) \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} = O\left(\|\mathbf{z}\|^{2}\right) \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \tag{45}
$$

by [\(41](#page-10-3)) and  $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)|_{\mathbf{z}=0} = \boldsymbol{\omega}$ . Similarly, the first term in the r.h.s. of ([44\)](#page-11-0) can be bounded by  $\leq \epsilon_0$ . For the 2nd and 3rd terms in the r.h.s. of ([44\)](#page-11-0), we will now use the estimate

<span id="page-11-2"></span><span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
||e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}R_{+}(\mathbf{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega})P_{c}f||_{\Sigma^{0-}}\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-3/2}||f||_{\Sigma^{0}}.\tag{46}
$$

By  $(46)$  $(46)$ , we have

$$
\|e^{-\mathrm{i}tH}Z(\mathbf{z}(0))\|_{L^2\Sigma^{0-}(I)}\lesssim \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}(0)|\,\|\langle t\rangle^{-3/2}\|_{L^2}\|G_{\mathbf{m}}\|_{\Sigma^0}\lesssim \epsilon_0,
$$

and

$$
\|\int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)H} \mathcal{R}_Z(\mathbf{z}(u(s))) ds\|_{L^2 \Sigma^{0-}(I)}
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}} \left\| \int_0^t \|e^{-i(t-s)H} R_+(\mathbf{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}) P_c G_{\mathbf{m}}\|_{\Sigma^{0-}} \right\|_{L^2}
$$

$$
\left( \left| D_{\mathbf{z}} \left( \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \right) (s) \left( \partial_{t} \mathbf{z} (s) + i \varpi \left( \left| \mathbf{z} (s) \right|^{2} \right) \mathbf{z} (s) \right) \right|
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left| D_{\mathbf{z}} \left( \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \right) (s) i \left( \boldsymbol{\omega} - \varpi \left( \left| \mathbf{z} (s) \right|^{2} \right) \right) \mathbf{z} (s) \right| \right) ds \Big|_{L^{2}(I)}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \left\| \epsilon_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left( \left| \partial_{t} \mathbf{z} (s) + i \varpi \left( \left| \mathbf{z} \right|^{2} (s) \right) \mathbf{z} (s) \right| + \left| \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} (s) \right| \right) \left\langle t - s \right\rangle^{-3/2} \right\|_{L^{2}(I)}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim C(C_{0}) \epsilon_{0}^{3},
$$

where we have used  $(45)$  $(45)$  in the 2nd inequality and Young's convolution inequality in the 3rd inequality. Therefore, we have the conclusion.  $\Box$ 

### *2.2 Estimate of Discrete Variables*

We next estimate the quantities  $\|\partial_t \mathbf{z} + i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}\|_{L^2}$  and  $\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{min}} \|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}\|_{L^2}$ . To do so, we first compute the inner product  $\langle (30), D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z})\tilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle$  $\langle (30), D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z})\tilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle$  $\langle (30), D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z})\tilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle$  for any given  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$ . First, notice that by  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_c[\mathbf{z}]$ , we obtain the orthogonality relation

$$
\langle \mathrm{i}\partial_t \eta, D_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})\widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle = -\langle \mathrm{i}\eta, D_{\mathbf{z}}^2\phi(\mathbf{z})(\partial_t \mathbf{z}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}) \rangle.
$$

Second, applying the inner product  $\langle \eta, \cdot \rangle$  to Eq. ([25\)](#page-6-1), we have

$$
\langle H[\mathbf{z}]\eta, D_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}\rangle = \langle i\eta, D_{\mathbf{z}}^2\phi(\mathbf{z})(\varpi(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}})\rangle
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}} \langle \eta, \left(D_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}})\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}\right)G_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle + \langle \eta, D_{\mathbf{z}}\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z})\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}\rangle,
$$

where we exploited the self-adjointness of H[**z**] and the orthogonality in Lemma [1](#page-7-1). Thus, applying  $\langle \cdot, D_{z} \phi(z) \tilde{z} \rangle$  to Eq. [\(30](#page-7-0)) for  $\eta$  and using the last two equalities, we obtain

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\langle iD_{z}\phi(z)(\partial_{t}z + i\varpi(|z|^{2})z), D_{z}\phi(z)\widetilde{z}\rangle =
$$
  
\n
$$
\langle i\eta, D_{z}^{2}\phi(z) \left(\partial_{t}z + i\varpi(|z|^{2})z, \widetilde{z}\right)\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \langle \eta, D_{z}\mathcal{R}(z)\widetilde{z}\rangle + \sum_{m \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}} \langle \eta, (D_{z}(z^{m})\widetilde{z}) G_{m}\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \sum_{m \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}} \langle z^{m}G_{m}, D_{z}\phi(z)\widetilde{z}\rangle + \langle \mathcal{R}(z), D_{z}\phi(z)\widetilde{z}\rangle + \langle F(z, \eta), D_{z}\phi(z)\widetilde{z}\rangle.
$$
\n(47)

Using  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{e}_j$ , ie<sub>j</sub>, we have the following.

**Lemma 6** *Under the assumption of Proposition [4,](#page-9-1) we have*

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\partial_t z_j + i \varpi_j(|\mathbf{z}|^2) z_j = -i \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \langle G_{\mathbf{m}}, \phi_j \rangle + r_j(\mathbf{z}, \eta), \tag{48}
$$

*where*  $r_j$  (**z**,  $\eta$ ) *satisfies* 

$$
||r_j(\mathbf{z},\eta)||_{L^2(I)} \lesssim C(C_0)\epsilon_0^3.
$$

*In particular, we have*

$$
\|\partial_t \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{i}\,\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}\|_{L^2(I)} \lesssim \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}\|_{L^2(I)} + C(C_0)\epsilon_0^3.
$$
 (49)

*Proof* First since  $D_z\phi(0)\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \phi$ , we have

$$
\langle iD_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})(\partial_t \mathbf{z} + i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}), D_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}\rangle =
$$
  

$$
\sum_{j=1}^N \text{Re}(i(\partial_t z_j + i\boldsymbol{\varpi}_j(|\mathbf{z}|^2)z_j)\widetilde{\zeta}_j) + r(\mathbf{z}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}),
$$
 (50)

where

$$
r(\mathbf{z}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}) = \langle \mathbf{i} \left( D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) - D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(0) \right) \left( \partial_t \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{i} \varpi \left( |\mathbf{z}|^2 \right) \mathbf{z} \right), D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle \tag{51}
$$

$$
+ \langle \mathbf{i} D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(0) \left( \partial_t \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{i} \varpi \left( |\mathbf{z}|^2 \right) \mathbf{z} \right), (D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) - D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(0) \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle.
$$

Since  $||D_z \phi(z) - D_z \phi(0)||_{L^2} \lesssim |z|^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2$ , by the assumptions of Proposition [4](#page-9-1), we have

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
||r(\mathbf{z}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}})||_{L^{2}(I)} \lesssim C(C_0)\epsilon_0^3 \text{ for all } \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{e}_1, \text{ ie}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_N, \text{ ie}_N. \tag{52}
$$

Setting

$$
\widetilde{r}(\mathbf{z}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}, \eta) := \langle i\eta, D_{\mathbf{z}}^2 \phi(\mathbf{z}) \left( \partial_t \mathbf{z} + i \varpi (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \right) \rangle + \langle \eta, D_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}) \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \langle \eta, \left( D_{\mathbf{z}} \left( \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \right) G_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \langle \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}}, \left( D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) - D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(0) \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle
$$
  
+ 
$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}), D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle + \langle F(\mathbf{z}, \eta), D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} \rangle,
$$
(53)

by the assumptions of Proposition [4](#page-9-1), we have

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\|\widetilde{r}(\mathbf{z}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}, \eta)\|_{L^{2}(I)} \lesssim C(C_{0})\epsilon_{0}^{3} \text{ for all } \widetilde{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{i}\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{N}, \mathbf{i}\mathbf{e}_{N}.
$$
 (54)

Therefore, since  $D\phi(0)$ <sup> $k$ </sup>**e**<sub>j</sub> =  $i^k \phi_j$  ( $k = 0, 1$ ), we have

$$
-\mathrm{Im}\left(\partial_t z_j + i\sigma_j(|z|^2)z_j\right) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}} \langle \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}}, \phi_j \rangle - r(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{e}_j) + \widetilde{r}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{e}_j, \eta),
$$
  
Re $\left(\partial_t z_j + i\sigma_j(|z|^2)z_j\right) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}} \langle \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}}, i\phi_j \rangle - r(\mathbf{z}, i\mathbf{e}_j) + \widetilde{r}(\mathbf{z}, i\mathbf{e}_j, \eta).$ 

Since  $G_m$  (as can be seen from the proof in [[4\]](#page-19-2)) and  $\phi_i$  are R-valued, we have

$$
\partial_t z_j + i\sigma_j(|\mathbf{z}|^2)z_j = -i \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \langle G_{\mathbf{m}}, \phi_j \rangle \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} - r(\mathbf{z}, i\mathbf{e}_j) + ir(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{e}_j) + \widetilde{r}(\mathbf{z}, i\mathbf{e}_j, \eta) - i\widetilde{r}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{e}_j, \eta).
$$

Therefore, from ([52](#page-13-0)) and ([54](#page-14-0)), we have the conclusion with  $r_j(\mathbf{z}, \eta) = -r(\mathbf{z}, i\mathbf{e}_j) + i r(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{e}_j) + \tilde{r}(\mathbf{z}, i\mathbf{e}_j, \eta) - i \tilde{r}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{e}_j, \eta)$ . ir(**z**, **e**<sub>j</sub>) +  $\widetilde{r}$ (**z**, **ie**<sub>j</sub>, *η*) − i $\widetilde{r}$ (**z**, **e**<sub>j</sub>, *η*).  $\Box$ 

Having estimated  $\eta$  and  $\partial_t \mathbf{z} + i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}$  in terms of  $\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{min}} ||\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}||_{L^2(I)}$ , we need to estimate the latter quantity. Here we use the Fermi Golden Rule.

**Lemma 7** *Under the assumption of Proposition [4,](#page-9-1) we have*

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}\|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}\|_{L^2}\lesssim\epsilon_0+(C_0\epsilon_0)\epsilon_0.\tag{55}
$$

*Proof* We substitute  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = i\varpi(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}$  in [\(47](#page-12-0)), and we make various simplifications.<br>First by  $\langle f, i f \rangle = 0$  the right-hand side of (47) can be rewritten as First, by  $\langle f, if \rangle = 0$ , the right-hand side of ([47\)](#page-12-0) can be rewritten as

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
\langle iD_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})(\partial_t\mathbf{z} + i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}), D_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}\rangle =
$$
  

$$
\langle iD_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})(\partial_t\mathbf{z}), D_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2)\mathbf{z}\rangle.
$$
 (56)

Next, we consider the 3rd line of [\(47](#page-12-0)), which we rewrite as

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\langle \sum_{m \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}} z^m G_m + \mathcal{R}(z), D_z \phi(z) i\varpi (|z|^2) z \rangle =
$$
  

$$
\langle \sum_{m \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}} z^m G_m + \mathcal{R}(z), D_z \phi(z) \left( \partial_t z + i\varpi (|z|^2) z \right) \rangle
$$
  

$$
- \langle \sum_{m \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}} z^m G_m + \mathcal{R}(z), D_z \phi(z) \partial_t z \rangle.
$$
 (57)

The term in the 1st line of the r.h.s. of  $(57)$  $(57)$  can be written as

$$
\langle \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}}, D_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(0) \left(\partial_t \mathbf{z} + i \boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z}\right) \rangle + R_1(\mathbf{z}), \tag{58}
$$

where

$$
R_1(\mathbf{z}) = \langle \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}}, (D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) - D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(0)) \left( \partial_t \mathbf{z} + i \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z} \right) \rangle
$$
  
+  $\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}), D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) \left( \partial_t \mathbf{z} + i \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z} \right) \rangle$ ,

satisfies

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
\int_0^T |R_1(\mathbf{z}(t))| dt \lesssim C_0^2 \epsilon_0^4. \tag{59}
$$

Using the stationary refined profile equation  $(24)$  $(24)$ , the last line of  $(57)$  $(57)$  $(57)$  can be written as

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
-\langle H\phi(\mathbf{z}) + g(|\phi(\mathbf{z})|^2)\phi(\mathbf{z}), D_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})\partial_t\mathbf{z}\rangle + \langle D_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})(i\boldsymbol{\varpi}(|\mathbf{z}|^2))\mathbf{z}, iD_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})\partial_t\mathbf{z}\rangle.
$$
(60)

Notice that the 2nd term of  $(60)$  $(60)$  coincides with the right-hand side of  $(56)$  $(56)$ , which lies in the left-hand side of ([47\)](#page-12-0), so that the two cancel each other. On the other hand, we have

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
\langle H\phi(\mathbf{z}) + g(|\phi(\mathbf{z})|^2)\phi(\mathbf{z}), D_{\mathbf{z}}\phi(\mathbf{z})\partial_t\mathbf{z}\rangle = \frac{d}{dt}E(\phi(\mathbf{z})).\tag{61}
$$

Therefore, from [\(47](#page-12-0)) with  $\tilde{z} = i\varpi(|z|^2)z$ , ([56](#page-14-2)), ([57](#page-14-1)), [\(58](#page-15-1)), ([60\)](#page-15-0) and ([61\)](#page-15-2), we have

<span id="page-15-3"></span>
$$
\frac{d}{dt}E(\phi(\mathbf{z})) - \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \mathbf{m} \cdot \omega \langle \eta, i\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \langle \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}}, D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(0) \left( \partial_t \mathbf{z} + i \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z} \right) \rangle + R_2(\mathbf{z}, \eta), \tag{62}
$$

where

$$
R_2(\mathbf{z}, \eta) = R_1(\mathbf{z}) + \langle i\eta, D_{\mathbf{z}}^2 \phi(\mathbf{z}) \left( \partial_t \mathbf{z} + i \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z}, i \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z} \right) \rangle
$$
  
+  $\langle \eta, D_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{z}) i \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z} \rangle$   
+ 
$$
\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} (\boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) - \boldsymbol{\omega}) \langle \eta, \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle + \langle F(\mathbf{z}, \eta), D_{\mathbf{z}} \phi(\mathbf{z}) i \boldsymbol{\varpi} (|\mathbf{z}|^2) \mathbf{z} \rangle,
$$
(63)

satisfies

$$
\int_0^T |R_2(\mathbf{z}(t), \eta(t))| \, dt \lesssim \left( C_0^2 \epsilon_0^2 + C_0^5 \epsilon_0^5 \right) \epsilon_0^2. \tag{64}
$$

By Lemma [6](#page-13-1) and  $D_z\phi(0)\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \phi$ , the 1st term of right-hand side of ([62](#page-15-3)) can be written as

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}\langle\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}G_{\mathbf{m}},\boldsymbol{\phi}\cdot\left(\partial_{t}\mathbf{z}+i\boldsymbol{\varpi}\left(|\mathbf{z}|^{2}\right)\mathbf{z}\right)\rangle
$$
\n
$$
=\sum_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\langle\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}G_{\mathbf{m}},\phi_{j}\left(-i\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}}g_{\mathbf{n},j}+r_{j}(\mathbf{z},\eta)\right)\rangle
$$
\n
$$
=\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}\\ \mathbf{m}\neq\mathbf{n}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\operatorname{Re}\left(i\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}\overline{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}}}\right)g_{\mathbf{m},j}g_{\mathbf{n},j}+\sum_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\langle\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}G_{\mathbf{m}},r_{j}(\mathbf{z},\eta)\phi_{j}\rangle,
$$

where we have set  $g_{\mathbf{m},j} := \langle G_{\mathbf{m}}, \phi_j \rangle$  and used the fact that  $\langle \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}}, -i \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \phi_j \rangle = 0$ due to  $G_m$  and  $\phi_j$  being R-valued. Now, for  $m \neq n$ , we have

$$
\partial_t (\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}} \overline{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}}) = \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}) \cdot \omega \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}} \overline{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}} + \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}) \cdot (\varpi (|\mathbf{z}|^2) - \omega) \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}} \overline{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}} + D_{\mathbf{z}} (\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}}) (\partial_t \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{i} \varpi (|\mathbf{z}|^2 \mathbf{z})) \overline{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}} + \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}} \overline{D_{\mathbf{z}} (\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}) ((\partial_t \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{i} \varpi (|\mathbf{z}|^2 \mathbf{z}))).
$$

Thus, since  $(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \neq 0$  from Assumption [2](#page-1-0), we have

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}}\overline{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}} = \frac{1}{i((\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega})} \partial_t (\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}}\overline{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}}) + r_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}),\tag{65}
$$

where

$$
r_{n,m}(z) = -\frac{(m-n)\cdot(\varpi(|z|^2) - \omega)}{(m-n)\cdot\omega}z^n\overline{z^m} + \frac{i}{(m-n)\cdot\omega}\left(D_z(z^n)(\partial_t z + i\varpi(|z|^2 z))\overline{z^m} + z^n\overline{D_z(z^m)((\partial_t z + i\varpi(|z|^2 z)))}\right).
$$

Then, by the hypotheses of Proposition [4](#page-9-1), we have

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\int_0^T |r_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{z})| \, dt \lesssim C_0^2 \epsilon_0^4. \tag{66}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}} \\ \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \text{Re} \left( i \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \overline{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}}} \right) g_{\mathbf{m},j} g_{\mathbf{n},j} = \partial_t A_1(\mathbf{z}) + R_3(\mathbf{z}),
$$

where

$$
A_1(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{R}_{\min} \\ \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n}}} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}} \text{Re}(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \overline{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}}}) g_{\mathbf{m}, j} g_{\mathbf{n}, j}, \text{ and}
$$

$$
R_3(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{R}_{\min} \\ \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n}}} \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Re}(\text{i}r_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z})) g_{\mathbf{m}, j} g_{\mathbf{n}, j}.
$$

Thus,

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}\langle\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}G_{\mathbf{m}},\boldsymbol{\phi}\cdot\left(\partial_{t}\mathbf{z}+i\boldsymbol{\varpi}\left(|\mathbf{z}|^{2}\right)\mathbf{z}\right)\rangle=\partial_{t}A_{1}(\mathbf{z})+R_{4}(\mathbf{z},\eta),
$$

where

$$
R_4(\mathbf{z},\eta) = R_3(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{R}_{\min}} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}}, r_j(\mathbf{z},\eta) \phi_j \rangle.
$$

By [\(66](#page-17-0)) and Lemma [6,](#page-13-1) we have

$$
\int_0^T |R_4(\mathbf{z}(t), \eta(t))| dt \lesssim C_0^2 \epsilon_0^4.
$$

Substituting  $\eta = R[\mathbf{z}]\xi - (R[\mathbf{z}] - 1)Z(\mathbf{z}) - Z(\mathbf{z})$  into the 2nd term of the l.h.s. of  $(62)$  $(62)$ , we have

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}\mathbf{m}\cdot\omega\langle\eta, i\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}G_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle =
$$
\n
$$
-\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}\mathbf{m}\cdot\omega|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}|^2\langle R_{+}(\mathbf{m}\cdot\omega)P_{c}G_{\mathbf{m}}, iG_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle
$$
\n
$$
-\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}\\ \mathbf{m}\neq\mathbf{n}}} \mathbf{m}\cdot\omega\langle\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}}R_{+}(\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)P_{c}G_{\mathbf{n}}, i\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}G_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle
$$
\n
$$
+\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}\mathbf{m}\cdot\omega\langle R[\mathbf{z}]\xi - (R[\mathbf{z}] - 1)Z(\mathbf{z}), i\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}G_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle.
$$
\n(67)

By  $(65)$  $(65)$ , the 2nd term of the r.h.s. of  $(67)$  $(67)$  can be written as

$$
-\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}\\ \mathbf{m}\neq\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{m}\cdot\omega\langle\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}}R_{+}(\mathbf{n}\cdot\omega)P_{c}G_{\mathbf{n}},\mathrm{i}\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}G_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle=\partial_{t}A_{2}(\mathbf{z})+R_{5}(\mathbf{z}),
$$

where

$$
A_2(\mathbf{z}) = -\text{Re} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}} \\ \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n}}} \frac{\mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}}{\mathbf{i} (\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}} \overline{\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}} \langle R_+(\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}) P_c G_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{i} G_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle,
$$
  

$$
R_5(\mathbf{z}) = - \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}} \\ \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n}}} \mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \langle r_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{z}) R_+(\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}) P_c G_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{i} G_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle,
$$

with

$$
\int_0^T |R_5(\mathbf{z}(t))| dt \lesssim C_0^2 \epsilon_0^4.
$$

The last term of r.h.s. of  $(67)$  $(67)$  can be written as

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{R}_{\min}}\mathbf{m}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}\langle R[z]\xi,\mathrm{i}\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}G_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle+R_6(\mathbf{z}),
$$

with  $R_6(z)$  satisfying

$$
\int_0^T |R_6(\mathbf{z}(t))| dt \lesssim C_0^2 \epsilon_0^4.
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \left( E(\phi(\mathbf{z})) - A_1(\mathbf{z}) - A_2(\mathbf{z}) \right) =
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \mathbf{m} \cdot \omega |\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}|^2 \langle R_+(\mathbf{m} \cdot \omega) P_c G_{\mathbf{m}}, i P_c G_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{R}_{\text{min}}} \mathbf{m} \cdot \omega \langle R[\mathbf{z}] \xi, i \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} G_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle + R_7(\mathbf{z}, \eta), \tag{68}
$$

where  $R_7(z, \eta) = R_2(z) + R_4(z) + R_5 + R_6$ .

Now, by  $R_+(\omega \cdot \mathbf{m}) = P.V. \frac{1}{H-\omega \cdot \mathbf{m}} + i\pi \delta(H-\omega \cdot \mathbf{m})$  and formula (2.5) p. 156 [\[12](#page-20-9)] and Assumption [3,](#page-3-2) we have

$$
\langle \mathrm{i} G_{\mathbf{m}}, (H - \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{m} - \mathrm{i} 0)^{-1} G_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle = \frac{1}{16\pi \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{m}}} \int_{|k|^2 = \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{m}} |\widehat{G_{\mathbf{m}}}(k)| \, dS(k) \gtrsim 1,
$$

with  $G_{\bf m}(k)$  like in Assumption [3](#page-3-2). Thus, we have

$$
\|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 + \delta^{-1} \|\xi\|_{L^2\Sigma^{0-}(I)}^2 + \delta \|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + C_0^2 \epsilon_0^4,
$$

where we have used Schwarz inequality. Taking  $\delta$  so that the  $\|\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 + \epsilon_0^2$  $\delta^{-1} ||\xi||^2_{L^2 \Sigma^{0-}(I)} + C_0^2 \epsilon_0^4$  and using  $||\xi||_{L^2 \Sigma^{0-}(I)} \lesssim \epsilon_0$  by Lemma [5](#page-11-3), we obtain ([55](#page-14-3)). Ч

**Acknowledgments** S.C. was supported by a FRA of the University of Trieste and by the PRIN 2020 project *Hamiltonian and Dispersive PDEs* n. 2020XB3EFL. M.M. was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19K03579, G19KK0066A, and JP17H02853.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-19-3"></span>1. Buslaev, V., Perelman, G.: On the stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. In: Uraltseva, N.N. (ed.), Nonlinear Evolution Equations, Transl. Ser. 2, 164, pp. 75–98. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1995)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>2. Cazenave, T.: Semilinear Schrödinger equations. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 10. New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York (2003)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>3. Cuccagna, S., Maeda, M.: On small energy stabilization in the NLS with a trapping potential. Anal. PDE **8**(6), 1289–1349 (2015)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>4. Cuccagna, S., Maeda, M.: Coordinates at small energy and refined profiles for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Ann. PDE **7**, 16 (2021)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>5. Gustafson, S., Nakanishi, K., Tsai, T.P.: Asymptotic stability and completeness in the energy space for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with small solitary waves. Int. Math. Res. Not. **66**, 3559–3584 (2004)
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>6. Gustafson, S., Phan, T.V.: Stable directions for degenerate excited states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **43**(4), 1716–1758 (2011)
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>7. Linares, F., Ponce, G.: Introduction to Nonlinear Dispersive Equations, 2nd edn. Universitext. Springer, New York (2015)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>8. Maeda, M.: Existence and asymptotic stability of quasi-periodic solutions of discrete NLS with potential. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **49**(5), 3396–3426 (2017)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>9. Sigal, I.M.: Nonlinear wave and Schrödinger equations. I. Instability of periodic and quasiperiodic solutions. Commun. Math. Phys. **153**(2), 297–320 (1993)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>10. Soffer, A., Weinstein, M.I.: Resonances, radiation damping and instability in Hamiltonian nonlinear wave equations. Invent. Math. **136**, 9–74 (1999)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>11. Soffer, A., Weinstein, M.I.: Selection of the ground state for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Rev. Math. Phys. **16**(8), 977–1071 (2004)
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>12. Taylor, M.: Partial Differential Equations II, App. Math. Scienc., vol. 116. Springer, New York (1997)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>13. Tsai, T.P., Yau, H.T.: Classification of asymptotic profiles for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with small initial data. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **6**(1), 107–139 (2002)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>14. Tsai, T.P., Yau, H.T.: Asymptotic dynamics of nonlinear Schrödinger equations: resonance dominated and radiation dominated solutions. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **55**, 153–216 (2002)
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>15. Yajima, K.: The  $W^{k,p}$ -continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. **47**, 551–581 (1995)