Application Hybrid Chaotic Maps and Adaptive Acceleration Coefficients PSO Algorithm for Optimal Integration Photovoltaic Distributed Generation Problem in Distribution Energy Network

Mohamed Zellagui, Nasreddine Belbachir, Adel Lasmari, Benaissa Bekkouche, and Claude Ziad El-Bayeh

Abstract Integration of Distributed Generators (DG) into Distribution Energy Network (DEN) became an important need, due to their technical advantages and economic benefits, as well as the contribution in power quality improvement and the reduction of the power losses. In this paper, is proposed a various version for hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithms based on chaotic maps and adaptive acceleration coefficients to optimally locate and size the Photovoltaic Distributed Generation (PV-DG) into DEN to minimize the Total Active Power Loss (TAPL), the Total of Voltage Deviation (TVD), and the Total Operation Time (TOT) of the overcurrent relay. The proposed algorithms were tested on the 28-bus DEN system, so that a study comparison was presented to identify the best hybrid PSO algorithm that delivers the best results in terms of achieving the best active losses reduction, enhancing the voltage profiles, and improving the overcurrent protection system.

Keywords Hybrid PSO algorithm · Chaotic maps · Adaptive acceleration coefficients · Photovoltaic DG · Optimal integration · Distribution energy network

M. Zellagui (\boxtimes)

N. Belbachir · B. Bekkouche Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Mostaganem, Mostaganem, Algeria e-mail: nasreddine.belbachir.etu@univ-mosta.dz

B. Bekkouche e-mail: benaissa.bekkouche@univ-mosta.dz

A. Lasmari

C. Z. El-Bayeh Canada Excellence Research Chairs Team, Concordia University, Montreal, QC, Canada

27

Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Batna 2, Batna, Algeria e-mail: m.zellagui@ieee.org

Department of Electrotechnic, Mentouri University of Constantine 1, Constantine, Algeria e-mail: adel.lasmari@umc.edu.dz

[©] The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2022 J. Kumar et al. (eds.), *Control Applications in Modern Power Systems*, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering 870, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-0193-5_3

Notations

Symbols

Parameters

1 Introduction

Due to urbanization and the industrial revolution, electricity demand has recently increased. In the early ages, the generation of fossil fuel-based electricity demand was predominantly met. Today, fossil fuel-based power generation poses a significant environmental threat. Renewable energy in the electric DEN is of great importance and is imported to tackle this issue.

Optimal location and size of Photovoltaic Distributed Generation (PV-DG) decreases the power losses, improves the efficiency of the voltage profile, and raises the reliability of the DEN. Therefore, the development of an optimization or heuristic technique-based methodology became a necessity to find the optimal placement of PV-DG for a given DEN system to provide various advantages [\[1\]](#page-11-0). The PV-DG allocation problem is a complex non-linear optimization problem [\[2\]](#page-11-1). Classical, analytical, metaheuristic and hybrid algorithms are the methods that have been proposed in this problem.

In the near past years, various solutions were proposed by many researchers to treat the optimal integration of PV-DG problem in DEN using multiples optimization algorithms: Ant Lion Optimization (ALO) algorithm [\[3\]](#page-11-2), Rooted Tree Optimization (RTO) algorithm [\[4\]](#page-11-3), and Water Cycle Algorithm (WCA) [\[5\]](#page-11-4). In 2019, Applied Moth-Flame Optimizer (MFO) algorithm [\[6\]](#page-11-5), Biogeography-Based Optimization (BBO) algorithm [\[7\]](#page-11-6), Binary PSO (BPSO) algorithm [\[8\]](#page-11-7), Phasor PSO (PPSO) algorithm [\[9\]](#page-11-8), Spider Monkey Optimization (SMO) algorithm [\[10\]](#page-11-9), Novel Chaotic Stochastic Fractal Search (CSFS) method [\[11\]](#page-11-10), and Chaotic Differential Evolution (CDE) algorithm [\[12\]](#page-11-11). Recently in 2020, Applied Symbiotic Organism Search (SOS) algorithm [\[13\]](#page-11-12), Sine Cosine Algorithm (SCA) with chaos map theory [\[14\]](#page-11-13), New Opposition-based Tuned-Chaotic Differential Evolution (OTCDE) algorithm [\[15\]](#page-11-14), Modified particle PSO (MPSO) algorithm [\[16\]](#page-11-15), and Modified Jaya Algorithm [\[17\]](#page-12-0). These advantages can only be accomplished with optimal PV-DG allocation that considers the objective function, constraints, and the necessary optimization algorithm. The objectives of these algorithms can be categorized into technical, financial, and multiples objectives [\[18\]](#page-12-1).

In this paper, the authors proposed various versions for new hybrid chaotic maps and adaptive acceleration coefficients PSO algorithm for optimal integration of multiple PV-DG sources in DEN.

2 Proposed Hybrid PSO Algorithms

2.1 Basic PSO

PSO algorithm is an evolutionary computation technique, that aims to improve a solution when taking into consideration predefined quality measures [\[19\]](#page-12-2). In the PSO algorithm every individual of the swarm, so that is moving due to these equations:

$$
V_i^{k+1} = \omega V_i^k + c_1 r_1 \Big[P_{best}^k - X_i^k \Big] + c_2 r_2 \Big[G_{best}^k - X_i^k \Big] \tag{1}
$$

$$
X_i^{k+1} = X_i^k + V_i^{k+1}
$$
 (2)

and,

$$
\omega = \omega_{\text{max}} - (\omega_{\text{max}} - \omega_{\text{min}}) \left(\frac{k}{k_{\text{max}}}\right)
$$
 (3)

Many researchers have proposed various algorithms of PSO by modifying the parameters of $(\omega, r, c_1, \text{ and } c_2)$ to reach the optimum function and performance. In this paper, it has been chosen improved PSO algorithms based on modified *r* (chaotic maps) and modified acceleration coefficients.

2.2 Chaotic Maps

The proposed chaotic maps in this paper are defined as follows [\[20,](#page-12-3) [21\]](#page-12-4): *Chaotic Logistic (CL)*:

$$
x_{k+1} = \alpha x_k (1 - x_k) \tag{4}
$$

Chaotic Iterative (CI):

$$
x_{k+1} = \sin\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{x_k}\right) \tag{5}
$$

Chaotic Circle (CC):

$$
x_{k+1} = \text{mod}\left(x_k + \beta - \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{x_k}\right), 1\right) \tag{6}
$$

2.3 Modified PSO Algorithms-Based Acceleration Coefficients

The proposed PSO algorithms-based acceleration coefficients are defined as follows. *Sigmoid-Based Acceleration Coefficients (SBAC-PSO)* [\[22\]](#page-12-5):

$$
c_{1} = \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{\left(\frac{-\lambda k}{k_{\max}}\right)}}\right) + 2(c_{1f} - c_{1i})\left(\frac{k}{k_{\max}} - 1\right)^{2}, c_{2} = \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{\left(\frac{-\lambda k}{k_{\max}}\right)}}\right) + (c_{1f} - c_{1i})\left(\frac{k}{k_{\max}}\right)^{2}
$$
(7)

where, $\lambda = 0.0001$, $c_{1f} = 2.5$, and $c_{1i} = 0.5$.

Non-linear Dynamic Acceleration Coefficients (NDAC-PSO) [\[23\]](#page-12-6):

$$
c_1 = -(c_{1f} - c_{1i}) \left(\frac{k}{k_{\text{max}}}\right)^2 + c_{1f}, c_2 = c_{1i} \left(1 - \frac{k}{k_{\text{max}}}\right)^2 + c_{1f} \left(\frac{k}{k_{\text{max}}}\right) \tag{8}
$$

where, $c_{1f} = 2.5$, and $c_{1i} = 0.5$.

Time-Varying Acceleration PSO (TVA-PSO) [\[24\]](#page-12-7):

$$
c_1 = c_{1i} + \left(\frac{c_{1f} - c_{1i}}{k_{\text{max}}}\right)k, c_2 = c_{2i} + \left(\frac{c_{2f} - c_{2i}}{k_{\text{max}}}\right)k
$$
(9)

where, $c_{1f} = 0.5$, $c_{1i} = 2.5$, $c_{2f} = 2.5$, and $c_{2i} = 0.5$.

This paper proposed new algorithms based on the hybridization of two modified PSO algorithms that depend on chaotic maps and acceleration coefficients.

The combining of the Chaotic Logistic (CL) with three PSO algorithms-based acceleration coefficients are CL-SBAC-PSO, CL-NDAC-PSO, and CL-TVA-PSO algorithm. The second Chaotic Iterative (CI) are CI-SBAC-PSO, CI-NDAC-PSO, and CI-TVA-PSO algorithm, and the Chaotic Circle (CC) applied are CC-SBAC-PSO, CC-NDAC-PSO, and CC-TVA-PSO algorithm.

3 Problem Formulation and Constraints

3.1 Multi-Objective Functions

The Multi-Objective Functions (*MOF*) which proposed, consists to identify the optimal location and sizing of PV-DG sources in DEN, by minimizing the three technical parameters of *TAPL*, *TVD*, and *TOT*, which are formulated as follows:

32 M. Zellagui et al.

$$
MOF = \text{Minimize} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{bus}}} \sum_{j=2}^{N_{\text{bus}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_R} \left[\text{TAPL}_{i,j} + \text{TVD}_j + \text{TOT}_i \right] \tag{10}
$$

The first parameter *APL* of the distribution line is expressed by [\[25,](#page-12-8) [26\]](#page-12-9):

$$
APL_{i,j} = \alpha_{ij} (P_i P_j + Q_i Q_j) + \beta_{ij} (Q_i P_j + P_i Q_j)
$$
\n(11)

and,

$$
\alpha_{ij} = \frac{R_{ij}}{V_i V_j} \cos(\delta_i - \delta_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{ij} = \frac{R_{ij}}{V_i V_j} \sin(\delta_i + \delta_j) \tag{12}
$$

$$
TAPL_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{bus}} \sum_{j=2}^{N_{bus}} APL_{i,j}
$$
 (13)

The second term is the *TVD*, which can be defined as $[24-27]$ $[24-27]$:

$$
TVD_{j} = \sum_{j=2}^{N_{bus}} |1 - V_{j}|
$$
 (14)

The third parameter is *TOT* of overcurrent relay-based time–current-voltage tripping characteristic [\[28](#page-12-11)[–30\]](#page-12-12), which can be defined as below:

$$
T_i = \left(\frac{1}{e^{(1-V_{\text{FM}})}}\right)^k TDS_i\left(\frac{A}{M_i^B - 1}\right) \tag{15}
$$

and,

$$
TOT_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N_R} T_i
$$
 (16)

3.2 Equality Constraints

Equality constraints are expressed by the balanced power's equations as below:

$$
P_G + P_{PV-DG} = P_D + P_{Loss}
$$
 (17)

$$
Q_G = Q_D + Q_{\text{Loss}} \tag{18}
$$

3.3 Distribution Line Constraints

Inequality constraints are given for the distribution line as below:

$$
V_{\min} \le |V_i| \le V_{\max} \tag{19}
$$

$$
\left|1 - V_j\right| \le \Delta V_{\text{max}} \tag{20}
$$

$$
|S_{ij}| \le S_{\text{max}} \tag{21}
$$

3.4 PV-DG Units Constraints

Inequality constraints refer to the PV-DG units limits, which are expressed as:

$$
P_{PV-DG}^{\min} \le P_{PV-DG} \le P_{PV-DG}^{\max}
$$
 (22)

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N_{PV-DG}} P_{PV-DG}(i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{N_{bus}} P_D(i)
$$
 (23)

$$
2 \le PV - DG_{Position} \le N_{bus} \tag{24}
$$

$$
N_{PV-DG} \le N_{PV-DG \max} \tag{25}
$$

$$
n_{PV-DG,i/\text{Location}\leq 1} \tag{26}
$$

4 Test System, Optimal Results, and Comparison

The single line diagram of the 28-bus DEN test system is represented in Fig. [1](#page-7-0) which is composed of 28 buses and 27 branches under a base voltage of 11 kV, with a total demand load of 761.04 kW and 776.42 kVar [\[31\]](#page-12-13). The total power losses are 68.82 kW and 46.04 kVar. All buses are protected by 27 overcurrent relays.

Figure [2](#page-7-1) represents the curves of convergence for the minimization of *MOF* for a maximum number of iterations is 150 and a population size equal to 10. It is noticed that the CI-SBAC-PSO algorithm converges firstly at 20 iterations comparing to the rest of the algorithms but without giving the best solution. On the other hand, it is

Fig. 1 Single diagram of standard 28-bus test system

Fig. 2 Convergence characteristics of hybrid PSO algorithms

obvious that the CC-TVA-PSO algorithm delivers the best-minimized results and converges around 40 iterations.

Figure [3](#page-8-0) represents the Boxplot of *MOF* results obtained when using the various proposed hybrid PSO algorithms for 20 executions in each of them. It can be noticed that the results for 20 executions in all proposed hybrid PSO algorithms are too close to their best and minimum *MOF*. Also, it is clear that the best *MOF* was obtained by the CC-TVA-PSO algorithm, with the lowest median comparing to the rest of the proposed hybrid PSO algorithms.

Table [1](#page-8-1) exhibits the optimization results obtained when applying the proposed various hybrid PSO algorithms.

Fig. 3 Boxplot of *MOF* for applied hybrid PSO algorithms

| Algorithms applied | DG Bus | P_{DG} (MW) | TAPL (kW) | TVD (p.u.) | TOT (sec) | MOF |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|------------|
| CL-SBAC-PSO | 16 22 23 | 0.0100 0.3757 0.0100 | 40.5632 | 1.4299 | 9.7325 | 11.1800 |
| CL-NDAC-PSO | 13 19 24 | 0.0100 0.1754 0.2445 | 38.7232 | 1.4015 | 9.7580 | 11.1730 |
| CL-TVA-PSO | 12 20 25 | 0.0860 0.0100 0.3446 | 39.7388 | 1.4029 | 9.7541 | 11.1714 |
| CI-SBAC-PSO | 8 16 24 | 0.2643 0.0210 0.1073 | 39.6009 | 1.4334 | 9.7293 | 11.1775 |
| CI-NDAC-PSO | τ 12 23 | 0.3231 0.1939 0.0100 | 36.2923 | 1.3838 | 9.7761 | 11.1814 |
| CI-TVA-PSO | τ 14 19 | 0.4124 0.0100 0.0100 | 38.7330 | 1.4156 | 9.7487 | 11.1880 |
| CC-SBAC-PSO | 18 20 23 | 0.0100 0.2733 0.1639 | 39.8374 | 1.3966 | 9.7685 | 11.1798 |
| CC-NDAC-PSO | 13 21 24 | 0.0582 0.1372 0.2536 | 38.3410 | 1.3912 | 9.7658 | 11.1702 |
| CC-TVA-PSO | 12 18 25 | 0.1645 0.1451 0.1982 | 36.4617 | 1.3801 | 9.7750 | 11.1666 |

Table 1 Comparison of the optimization results for the test system

Fig. 4 Branch active power loss in test systems

Basing on the comparisons, it is observed the minimum *MOF* value of 11.1666 was obtained by the CC-TVA-PSO algorithm which delivers the *TVD*'s minimum value of 1.3801 p.u. But in terms of minimizing the *TOT* and *TAPL,* among all the proposed algorithms, the CI-SBAC-PSO algorithm and CI-NDAC-PSO algorithm show a good efficiency in delivering the minimum values of 9.7293 s and 36.2923 kW, respectively.

Figure [4](#page-9-0) illustrates the active power losses in every branch of the 28-bus system DEN before (based case) and after PV-DG source integration based on the optimal results obtained by the CC-TVA-PSO algorithm (hybrid the chaotic circle maps with time-varying acceleration PSO). Clearly, it can be noticed after the integration of PV-DG, that the total active power losses have minimized significantly reaching a value of 36.46 kW comparing to the base case before PV-DG which was 68.82 kW.

Figure [5](#page-10-0) represents the voltage deviation in all system buses before and after integration of PV-DG. The voltage deviation has minimized under a limit value of 0.05 p.u. in all system's buses after installation of the PV-DG at buses 12, 18, and 25, which consequently leads to the improvement of voltage profiles if the voltage deviation known as the difference between the nominal voltage of 1 p.u. and the actual voltage of the case before PV-DG.

Figure [6](#page-10-1) illustrates the overcurrent relay operation time in the 28-bus test system for both cases before and after PV-DG integration into DEN. It is noticed that the optimized PV-DG location and size integrated into DEN causes the minimization of the operation time in all overcurrent relays installed, compared to the base case before PV-DG, and this is due to the reverse function between the fault current that passes through the overcurrent relay and its operation time as mentioned in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-5-0).

Fig. 5 Voltage derivation profiles of all buses

Fig. 6 Operation time of overcurrent relay

5 Conclusions

In this paper, a study of comparison between the hybrid PSO algorithms based on chaotic maps and acceleration coefficients was proposed to identify the optimal location and sizing of multiple PV-DGs into 28-bus DEN to reduce various technical parameters are *TAPL*, *TVD*, and *TOT*.

Based on the results of the simulation, it is deduced that the hybrid CC-TVA-PSO algorithm had a quick convergence characteristic, and delivers the best results comparing to the rest of the proposed hybrid PSO algorithms in terms of minimizing the multi-objective function.

From the previous discussion, it is concluded that the CC-TVA-PSO algorithm could be applied widely to DENs in terms of delivering the best optimal solutions. Depending on the obtained results, the future work will focus on the insertion of a third modified parameter which is the inertia weight to obtain better performances of the hybrid PSO algorithms.

References

- 1. Tan WS, Hassan MY, Majid MS, Abdul Rahman H (2013) Optimal distributed renewable generation planning: a review of different approaches. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 18:626–645
- 2. Bayat A, Bagheri A (2019) Optimal active and reactive power allocation in distribution networks using a novel heuristic approach. Appl Energy 233:71–85
- 3. Hadidian-Moghaddam MJ, Arabi-Nowdeh S, Bigdeli M, Azizian D (2018) A multi-objective optimal sizing and siting of distributed generation using ant lion optimization technique. Ain Shams Eng J 9(4):2101–2109
- 4. Sannigrahi S, Acharjee P (2018) Maximization of system benefits with the optimal placement of DG and DSTATCOM considering load variations. Procedia Computer Science. 143:694–701
- 5. Abou El-Ela AA, El-Sehiemy RA, Abbas AS (2018) Optimal placement and sizing of distributed generation and capacitor banks in distribution systems using water cycle algorithm. IEEE Syst J 12(4):3629–3636
- 6. Sabri M, Ghallaj A, Sheikhbaglou H, Nazarpour D (2019) Optimal multi-indices application of distributed generations in radial distribution networks based on moth-flame optimizer. Russ Electr Eng 90(3):277–284
- 7. Duong MQ, Pham TD, Nguyen TT, Doan AT, Tran HV (2019) Determination of optimal location and sizing of solar photovoltaic distribution generation units in radial distribution systems. Energies 12(1):174
- 8. Rani BJ, Reddy AS (2019) Optimal allocation and sizing of multiple DG in radial distribution system using binary particle swarm optimization. Int J Intell Eng Syst 12(1):290–299
- 9. Ullah Z, Wang S, Radosavljević SJ (2019) A novel method based on PPSO for optimal placement and sizing of distributed generation. IEEJ Trans Electr Electron Eng 14(12):1754–1763
- 10. Deb G, Chakraborty K, Deb S (2019) Spider monkey optimization technique-based allocation of distributed generation for demand side management. Int Trans Electr Energy Syst 29(5):1–17
- 11. Nguyen TP, Tran TT, Vo D (2019) N: Improved stochastic fractal search algorithm with chaos for optimal determination of location, size, and quantity of distributed generators in distribution systems. Neural Comput Appl 31:7707–7732
- 12. Kumar S, Mandal KK, Chakraborty N (2019) Optimal DG placement by multi-objective opposition based chaotic differential evolution for techno-economic analysis. Appl Soft Comput 78:70–83
- 13. The TT, Quoc SN, Ngoc DV (2020) Symbiotic organism search algorithm for power loss minimization in radial distribution systems by network reconfiguration and distributed generation placement. Math Probl Eng 2020(3):1–22
- 14. Selim A, Kamel S, Jurado F (2020) Efficient optimization technique for multiple DG allocation in distribution networks. Appl Soft Comput 86:105938
- 15. Kumar S, Mandal KK, Chakraborty N (2020) A novel opposition-based tuned-chaotic differential evolution technique for techno-economic analysis by optimal placement of distributed generation. Eng Optim 52(2):303–324
- 16. Wu H, Dong P, Liu M (2020) Distribution network reconfiguration for loss reduction and voltage stability with random fuzzy uncertainties of renewable energy generation and load. IEEE Trans Industr Inf 16(9):5655–5666
- 17. Hraiz MD, García JAM, Jiménez Castañeda R, Muhsen H (2020) Optimal PV size and location to reduce active power losses while achieving very high penetration level with improvement in voltage profile using modified jaya algorithm. IEEE J Photovoltaics. 10(4), 1166–1174 (2020).
- 18. Pesaran M, Huy PD, Ramachandaramurthy VK (2017) A review of the optimal allocation of distributed generation: Objectives, constraints, methods, and algorithms. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 75:293–312
- 19. Eberhart R, Kennedy J (1995) A new optimizer using particle swarm theory. In: 6th international symposium on micro machine and human science, Nagoya, Japan
- 20. Zhenyu G, Bo C, Min Y, Binggang C (2006) Self-adaptive chaos differential evolution. Lect Notes Comput Sci 4221:972–975
- 21. Yang LJ, Chen TL (2002) Application of chaos in genetic algorithms. Commun Theorical Phys 38:168–172
- 22. Dongping TA, Xiaofei ZB, Zhongzhi S (2019) Chaotic particle swarm optimization with sigmoid-based acceleration coefficients for numerical function optimization. Swarm Evol Comput 51:100573
- 23. Chen K, Zhou F,Wang Y (2018) An ameliorated particle swarm optimizer for solving numerical optimization problems. Appl Soft Comput 73:482–496
- 24. Ratnaweera A, Halgamuge SK, Watson HC (2004) Self-organizing hierarchical particle swarm optimizer with time-varying acceleration coefficients. IEEE Trans Evol Comput 8(3):240–255
- 25. Settoul S, Zellagui M, Chenni R (2021) A new optimization algorithm for optimal wind turbine location problem in Constantine city electric distribution network based active power loss reduction. J Optim Ind Eng 14(2):13–22
- 26. Lasmari A, Zellagui M, Hassan HA, Settoul S, Abdelaziz AY, Chenni R (2020) Optimal energyefficient integration of photovoltaic DG in radial distribution systems for various load models. In: 11th international renewable energy congress (IREC), Hammamet, Tunisia
- 27. Zellagui M, Settoul S, Lasmari A, El-Bayeh CZ, Chenni R, Hassan HA (2021) Optimal allocation of renewable energy source integrated-smart distribution systems based on technicaleconomic analysis considering load demand and DG uncertainties. Lect Notes Netw Syst 174:391–404
- 28. Saleh KA, Zeineldin H, Al-Hinai A, El-Saadany EF (2015) Optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relays using a new time-current-voltage characteristic. IEEE Trans Power Del 30(2):537–544
- 29. Belbachir N, Lasmari A, Zellagui M, El-Bayeh CZ, Bekkouche B (2021) Optimal energyefficient integration of photovoltaic dg in distribution systems for various time-current characteristic curves of overcurrent protection relay. In: 12th International symposium on advanced topics in electrical engineering (ATEE), Bucharest, Romania
- 30. Zellagui M, Benabid R, Chaghi A, Boudour M (2015) Impact studies of total harmonic distortion on directional overcurrent relay performance. UPB Sci Bull Ser C Electr Eng Comput Sci 77(4):359–372
- 31. Harikumar MMO, Fathima R, Kasim M (2014) Siting and sizing of distributed generation by adaptive particle swarm optimization. In: International conference on emerging trends in electrical engineering (ICETREE), Kollam, India