

Skin Cancer Detection: State of Art Methods and Challenges



Shikha Malik and Vaibhav V. Dixit

Abstract Skin cancer is a major health concern worldwide affecting the life and wellbeing of people. Melanoma is the most harmful skin cancer with the highest mortality rate. Screening and early detection of melanoma is a complicated task for dermatologists because of the huge variations in morphological features of skin lesions. There is a real need for an efficient and reliable automated diagnosis system that aids dermatologists in diagnosis and correct decision making. In this study, we have examined the state of art methods of image preprocessing, lesion segmentation, lesion feature extraction, and classification of dermoscopic images. This paper reports performance statistics of important machine learning and deep learning-based segmentation and classification methods. We also highlighted the challenges associated with melanoma detection.

Keywords Skin cancer · Melanoma · Preprocessing · Segmentation · Classification

1 Introduction

Skin cancer is a major health concern worldwide affecting the life and wellbeing of people. Melanoma is considered as most deadly skin cancer responsible for the majority of deaths in several countries. According to cancer statistics report 2020, around 100,350 cases are diagnosed and 6850 deaths have been reported due to melanoma skin cancer [1]. Early diagnosis and treatment of melanoma are essential for its control and prevention.

Dermoscopy is one of the efficient imaging techniques addressing the early diagnosis of melanoma [2]. Dermatological photographs provide significant information than macroscopic images which are captured from smartphones or digital cameras.

S. Malik (✉)

G H Raison College of Engineering and Management, Wagholi, Pune 412207, India

e-mail: shikha.malik.phdetc@ghrcem.raisoni.net

V. V. Dixit

RMD Sinhgad Technical Institutes Campus, Warje, Pune 411058, India

An experienced dermatologist is required to use dermoscopy as an effective tool for improving the accuracy of diagnosis.

The manual criteria used by clinicians and dermatologists for the identification of melanoma lesions mainly include ABCD rule and 7-point checklist [3]. Automatic methods for melanoma diagnosis are preferable as they help in early detection and cure by assisting dermatologists in taking correct decisions. These include pre-processing for reducing image imperfections, lesion segmentation for the location of lesions, lesion feature extraction and lesion classification.

2 Image Pre-processing Methods

The image pre-processing step is applied on both clinical as well as dermoscopic images for removing image imperfections like air bubbles, reflections, hair, ruler markings etc. before the segmentation process. Various methods have been adopted for the removal of hair which is common in images. The first method for removal of dark hair based on morphological operators was Dull Razor algorithm proposed in 1997 [4]. Soft color morphology algorithm based on fuzzy logic [5] for removal of hair in color images preserve the original colors without adding any new chromatic values. An improvement of the Dull Razor algorithm was proposed in [6] by which the orientation of hairs in the image is detected using Radon transform and noise and bubbles are filtered by the Prewitt filter method. Color enhancement can also be done in pre-processing using the color space transformation method [7] in which RGB images are first converted to CIE $L^*a^*b^*$ color space and then after transformation, intensity values of channels are adjusted for contrast improvement. The problem of shading caused by the variation in illumination is solved in [8] and local illumination is estimated using morphological closing operation.

3 Lesion Segmentation Methods

Segmentation is an essential step for determining the region of skin lesions from dermoscopic and clinical images. Segmentation is challenging because enough ground truth labels are not available and there are a lot of variations in size, shape, texture and color of lesions. An effective segmentation method holds capacity to solve above problems and boost the accuracy of the classification task [9]. Thresholding, Edge-based segmentation, Region-based segmentation, clustering, region merging, and splitting are basic algorithms developed by researchers in past decades. These are the simple methods applicable only to small datasets and hence cannot be applied to complicated tasks. Hu et al. [10] combined brightness and color saliency map for increasing the image contrast and then adaptive thresholding based on wavelet transform (WT) is applied for segmenting the skin lesion from healthy skin.

Table 1 Summary of performance of various segmentation methods for skin lesions

References	Technique	Dataset	Performance
[10]	Adaptive thresholding based on WT	ISBI 2017, PH2	DC: 92.19%
[11]	MRF model	ISIC 2018	JA: 76.40%
[13]	YOLO and Grab cut algorithm	ISBI 2017, PH2	ACC: 96% (ISBI 2017), 94.4% (PH2)
[14]	Improved FCN	ISBI 2017, PH2	ACC: 95.30% (ISBI 2017), 96.92% (PH2)
[15]	19-layer deep CNN	ISBI 2017, PH2	JA: 97.1%
[16]	Res-Unet50	ISIC 2017, PH2	JA: 77.2% (ISIC 2017), 85.4% (PH2),
[17]	Multistage FCN	ISBI 2016, PH2	DC: 91.18% (ISBI 2017), 90.66% (PH2)

Markov Random Field (MRF) theory is also showing great potential in solving image segmentation problems [11].

Recent efforts focus on convolutional neural networks (CNN) based methods as a superior tool in the field of image analysis. After the availability of high-performance and less expensive GPUs, CNN models have become quite popular [12] for segmentation and classification tasks. In [13], authors have combined YOLO and grab cut algorithm for detection of lesion location and then post-processing is applied for getting the fine segmented image. An improved fully convolutional neural network (FCN) [14] is proposed for the segmentation of full-resolution images of all skin types. The 19-layer deep fully convolutional network is designed in [15] and fusion of 50-layer U net and ResNet architecture called Res-Unet50 is proposed in [16] for segmentation. These methods reduce training time and reveal good results on the ISBI 2017 and PH2 dataset. Integration of multiple fully convolutional networks is proposed by authors for complicated and challenging skin lesions [17]. The performance of segmentation methods is determined by the evaluation metrics such as Accuracy (ACC), Dice coefficient (DC) and Jaccard Index (JA). Summary of performance of segmentation methods is given in Table 1.

4 Lesion Feature Extraction Methods

Features from the segmented area is of prime importance in the diagnosis of status of lesions. Extraction of the effective features and removal of redundant features is the main aim of all extraction methods. Shape, color, and textures are the three main skin lesion features [18]. The various shape features are asymmetry and border. Asymmetry can be examined by using several geometrical measures like perimeter, diameter, entropy measures, etc. [19]. Various techniques like wavelet transform [19]

and Fourier transform [20] are applied for the determination of border irregularity. Color information of the lesions can be obtained with the help of color spaces, for example, RGB, normalized RGB [21], HSV, and CIELAB [22] color space. Texture analysis can also be done by statistical means like the Gray level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) and local binary operator (LBP) [23]. Filter based texture analysis methods are wavelet transform, Gaussian filtering and Gabor filtering [24].

5 Lesion Classification Methods

The performance of the classification mainly depends on the extracted features and classification algorithms. A large number of features, imbalance between normal and abnormal samples, variations in feature range are some of the concerns that need to be handled before the start of classification [18]. Classification methods based on machine learning requires hand-crafted features like shape, colors and texture whereas deep learning methods does automatic feature extraction. Shimizu et al. proposed layer and flat classification models which combines color, subregion and texture features for skin lesion classification [25]. Fully connected (FCN) U-net CNN architecture is implemented in [26] which works on sparse coded features for diagnosis of melanoma. The classification performance of four pretrained CNN architectures (DenseNet201, ResNet152, Inceptionv3, Inception ResNet v2) is 11% more accurate than highly skilled professional dermatologists [27]. Oliveira et al. [28] proposed an Optimum Path Forest Classifier (OPF) which gives 92.3% accuracy with hybrid feature extraction techniques. Ensemble of k-nearest neighbor (KNN), support vector machine (SVM) and Deep CNN classifiers is presented in [29] and hybrid (color-texture) feature extraction provides better results with SVM classifier [30]. Clinical profile of patients like age, gender, and lesion visual features are also incorporated in the CNN algorithm [31] for accurate and precise results. The performance of all classification methods is determined by Accuracy (ACC), Sensitivity (SE), Specificity (SP), Precision (Prec) and Area under the region of characteristic curve (AUC). Summary of classifiers is given in Table 2.

6 Data Sets for Melanoma Diagnosis

Several public and private datasets have been released keeping in view the rising cases of melanoma cancer worldwide. Among the private datasets, the largest one used by Esteva et al. [32] consists of 129,450 clinical images. Other popular databases are Dermofit Image library [33] and Interactive Dermatology Atlas [34]. The major public datasets available are ISIC archive [35], ISBI 2016 [36], ISBI 2017 [37] challenge dataset and PH2 [38] dataset. All these benchmark datasets are labeled by expert dermatologists and shows a fair number of imbalanced classes, difference in size and complexity.

Table 2 Classifiers for detection of skin lesions

References	Features	Classifier	Dermoscopic images (melanoma/lesions)	Performance
[25]	Hand-crafted	Linear	105/964	ACC: 90.48%
[26]	Hand-crafted	FCN U-Net	273/900	AUC: 84.3%, Prec: 64.9%
[27]	Pretrained CNNs	Softmax	1153/10,135	AUC: 94.4% (ResNet 152)
[28]	Hand-crafted	OPF	188/1104	ACC: 92.3%, SP: 97.1%, SE: 87.5%
[29]	Hand-crafted	Ensemble	214/484	ACC: 97.7%
[30]	Hand-crafted	SVM	146/397	ACC: 96%

7 Challenges in Melanoma Detection

Melanoma Diagnosis is initially done by dermatologists through the visual screening of skin lesions followed by a dermoscopic analysis [39]. Accurate detection of melanoma lesions of size less than 6 mm is quite difficult [40] and sometimes suspicious lesions required biopsy. Inadequate and imbalanced images in the available benchmark data set is another challenge in deep learning methods as they may lead to overfitting and underfitting problems. Some of the researchers work on non-public data sets therefore replication and validation of their results is a difficult task [41]. Another limitation is that all the available data set in the ISIC repository mainly consists of images of light skinned people and there is lack of benchmark dataset with images of dark-skinned people.

8 Conclusion

This paper presented different techniques of image pre-processing, lesion segmentation, lesion feature extraction and classification required for automatic diagnosis of skin cancer. Pre-processing methods like removal of hair, air bubbles, artifacts help in the extraction of appropriate features. It is observed that deep learning-based segmentation and classification bypass complex pre-processing operations. Pretrained deep learning model provides better results with small datasets. Incorporating ensemble classifiers compensate for the shortcoming of individual classifier. Patient metadata information like age, gender and lesion visual features must be integrated along with images for better training of model. The main purpose of all the automated diagnosis methods is to assist the dermatologists in early diagnosis of skin cancer and decrease the melanoma mortality rate.

References

1. Siegel R, Miller K, Jemal A (2020) Cancer statistics, 2020. *CA Cancer J Clin* 70:7–30. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21590>
2. Harangi B (2018) Skin lesion classification with ensembles of deep convolutional neural networks. *J Biomed Inform* 86:25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2018.08.006>
3. Jaworek-Korjakowska J (2012) Automatic detection of melanomas: an application based on the ABCD criteria. In: Piętka E, Kawa J (eds) *Information technologies in biomedicine*. LNCS, vol 7339. Springer, Heidelberg, pp 67–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31196-3_7
4. Lee T, Ng V, Gallagher R et al (1997) DullRazor[®]: a software approach to hair removal from images. *Comput Biol Med* 27:533–543. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-4825\(97\)00020-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-4825(97)00020-6)
5. Bibiloni P, González-Hidalgo M, Massanet S (2017) Skin hair removal in dermoscopic images using soft color morphology. In: Ten Teije A, Popow C, Holmes J, Sacchi L (eds) *Artificial intelligence in medicine*. AIME 2017. LNCS, vol 10259. Springer, Cham, pp 322–326. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59758-4_37
6. Kiani K, Sharafat A (2011) E-shaver: an improved DullRazor[®] for digitally removing dark and light-colored hairs in dermoscopic images. *Comput Biol Med* 41:139–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2011.01.003>
7. Abbas Q, Garcia I, Emre Celebi M, Ahmad W, Mushtaq Q (2012) A perceptually oriented method for contrast enhancement and segmentation of dermoscopy images. *Skin Res Technol* 19:e490–e497. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0846.2012.00670.x>
8. Cavalcanti PG, Scharcanski J, Lopes CBO (2010) Shading attenuation in human skin color images. In: Bebis G et al (eds) *Advances in visual computing*. ISVC 2010. LNCS, vol 6453. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 190–198. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-17289-2_19
9. Celebi M, Iyatomi H, Schaefer G, Stoecker W (2009) Lesion border detection in dermoscopy images. *Comput Med Imaging Graph* 33:148–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compmedimag.2008.11.002>
10. Hu K, Liu S, Zhang Y et al (2020) Automatic segmentation of dermoscopy images using saliency combined with adaptive thresholding based on wavelet transform. *Multimedia Tools Appl* 79:14625–14642. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-019-7160-0>
11. Salih O, Viriri S, Adegun A (2019) Skin lesion segmentation based on region-edge Markov random field. In: Bebis G et al (eds) *Advances in visual computing*. ISVC 2019. LNCS, vol 11845. Springer, Cham, pp 407–417. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33723-0_33
12. Yu L, Chen H, Dou Q et al (2017) Automated melanoma recognition in dermoscopy images via very deep residual networks. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 36:994–1004. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tmi.2016.2642839>
13. Ünver H, Ayan E (2019) Skin lesion segmentation in dermoscopic images with combination of YOLO and GrabCut algorithm. *Diagnostics* 9:72. <https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics9030072>
14. Öztürk Ş, Özkaya U (2020) Skin lesion segmentation with improved convolutional neural network. *J Digit Imaging* 33:958–970. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10278-020-00343-z>
15. Yuan Y, Chao M, Lo Y (2017) Automatic skin lesion segmentation using deep fully convolutional networks with Jaccard distance. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 36:1876–1886. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tmi.2017.2695227>
16. Zafar K, Gilani S, Waris A et al (2020) Skin lesion segmentation from dermoscopic images using convolutional neural network. *Sensors* 20:1601. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20061601>
17. Bi L, Kim J, Ahn E, Kumar A, Fulham M, Feng D (2017) Dermoscopic image segmentation via multistage fully convolutional networks. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 64:2065–2074. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tbme.2017.2712771>
18. Oliveira R, Papa J, Pereira A, Tavares J (2018) Computational methods for pigmented skin lesion classification in images: review and future trends. *Neural Comput Appl* 29:613–636. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-016-2482-6>
19. Garnavi R, Aldeen M, Bailey J (2012) Computer-aided diagnosis of melanoma using border- and wavelet-based texture analysis. *IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed* 16:1239–1252. <https://doi.org/10.1109/titb.2012.2212282>

20. Zhou Y, Smith M, Smith L, Warr R (2010) A new method describing border irregularity of pigmented lesions. *Skin Res Technol* 16:66–76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0846.2009.00403.x>
21. Schaefer G, Krawczyk B, Celebi M, Iyatomi H (2014) An ensemble classification approach for melanoma diagnosis. *Memetic Comput* 6:233–240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12293-014-0144-8>
22. Barata C, Ruela M, Francisco M, Mendonca T, Marques JS et al (2014) Two systems for the detection of melanomas in dermoscopy images using texture and color features. *IEEE Syst J* 8:965–979. <https://doi.org/10.1109/jsyst.2013.2271540>
23. Rastgoo M, Garcia R, Morel O, Marzani F (2015) Automatic differentiation of melanoma from dysplastic nevi. *Comput Med Imaging Graph* 43:44–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comdimag.2015.02.011>
24. García Arroyo J, García Zapirain B (2014) Detection of pigment network in dermoscopy images using supervised machine learning and structural analysis. *Comput Biol Med* 44:144–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combiomed.2013.11.002>
25. Shimizu K, Iyatomi H, Celebi M, Norton K-A, Tanaka M (2015) Four-class classification of skin lesions with task decomposition strategy. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 62:274–283. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tbme.2014.2348323>
26. Codella N, Nguyen Q, Pankanti S et al (2017) Deep learning ensembles for melanoma recognition in dermoscopy images. *IBM J Res Dev* 61:5:1–5:15. <https://doi.org/10.1147/jrd.2017.2708299>
27. Rezvantaleb A, Safigholi H, Karimijeshni S (2018) Dermatologist level dermoscopy skin cancer classification using different deep learning convolutional neural networks algorithms. *ArXiv preprint ArXiv:1810.10348*
28. Oliveira R, Pereira A, Tavares J (2018) Computational diagnosis of skin lesions from dermoscopic images using combined features. *Neural Comput Appl* 31:6091–6111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-018-3439-8>
29. Tan T, Zhang L, Lim C (2019) Intelligent skin cancer diagnosis using improved particle swarm optimization and deep learning models. *Appl Soft Comput* 84:105725. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105725>
30. Khan M, Hussain A, Rehman S et al (2019) Classification of melanoma and nevus in digital images for diagnosis of skin cancer. *IEEE Access* 7:90132–90144. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.2926837>
31. Haenssle HA, Fink C, Schneiderbauer R et al (2018) Man against machine: diagnostic performance of a deep learning convolutional neural network for dermoscopic melanoma recognition in comparison to 58 dermatologists. *Ann Oncol* 29:1836–1842. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdy166>
32. Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa R et al (2017) Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature* 542:115–118. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056>
33. Ballerini L, Fisher RB, Aldridge B, Rees J (2013) A color and texture based hierarchical K-NN approach to the classification of non-melanoma skin lesions. In: Celebi M, Schaefer G (eds) *Color medical image analysis. Lecture notes in computational vision and biomechanics*, vol 6. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 63–86. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5389-1_4
34. Interactive dermatology atlas. <http://www.dermatlas.net/atlas/index.cfm>
35. ISIC archive. <https://www.isic-archive.com/#!/topWithHeader/tightContentTop/challenges>
36. Gutman D, Codella NCF, Celebi E, Helba B, Marchetti M, Mishra N, Halpern A (2016) Skin lesion analysis toward melanoma detection: a challenge. In: *International symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI) 2016*, hosted by the International Skin Imaging Collaboration (ISIC). *ArXiv preprint ArXiv:1710.05006*
37. Codella NCF et al (2018) Skin lesion analysis toward melanoma detection: a challenge. In: *2017 International symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI)*, hosted by the International Skin Imaging Collaboration (ISIC). *15th IEEE International symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI 2018)*. Washington, DC, USA, pp 168–172. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISBI.2018.8363547>

38. Mendonca T, Ferreira P, Marques J, Marcal A, Rozeira J (2013) Ph2—a dermoscopic image database for research and benchmarking. In: Proceedings of the annual international conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, Osaka, Japan, pp 5437–5440. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EMBC.2013.6610779>
39. Altamura D, Menzies S, Argenziano G et al (2010) Dermatoscopy of basal cell carcinoma: morphologic variability of global and local features and accuracy of diagnosis. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 62:67–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2009.05.035>
40. Pathan S, Prabhu K, Siddalingaswamy PC (2018) Techniques and algorithms for computer aided diagnosis of pigmented skin lesions—a review. *Biomed Signal Process Control* 39:237–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2017.07.010>
41. Dorj U, Lee K, Choi J, Lee M (2018) The skin cancer classification using deep convolutional neural network. *Multimedia Tools Appl* 77:9909–9924. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-018-5714-1>