# **Behaviour of Multi-layered Geocell-Reinforced Soil Embankment**



Haradhan Sarkar and Arghadeep Biswas

Abstract Geocells are interconnected honeycombing geosynthetics used for strengthening the weak soil, pavement base/subgrade, embankment, etc. Considerable numbers of researches are performed; however, till date, an exact behaviour of geocell-reinforced soil is complex enough to predict. Modelling of geocell-reinforced soil, through numerical methods, is a difficult task because of its geometry and soil-reinforcement interaction; however, the modern FEM software are capable to provide considerably good estimate. This study, numerically, compares the behaviour of single and multi-layered geocell-reinforced embankment system with reference to study reported by Krishnaswamy et al. (in Geotech Test J 23:45-54, 2000) and Latha and Rajagopal (in Can Geotech J 44:917–927, 2007). It is observed a splitted (multi-layered) geocell system demonstrates improved performance compared to a single-layer geocell-soil composite of same thickness. In this study, a preliminary verification the concept is performed using PLAXIS<sup>2D</sup> simulating the work reported by Krishnaswamy et al. (in Geotech Test J 23:45-54, 2000). After satisfactory matching, it is further extended for multi-layer geocell system which is very encouraging for the concept envisaged.

Keywords Multi-layer-geocell  $\cdot$  Numerical simulation  $\cdot$  Embankment  $\cdot$  Weak soil  $\cdot$  Bearing capacity

# 1 Introduction

Embankments play major role in providing competent foundation for roadways, railways, earthen dams, etc. In many cases, reinforcing the embankment becomes necessary due to weak nature of the native soil. In practice (and, as a topic of research for

H. Sarkar

A. Biswas (🖂)

Department of Civil Engineering, Ghani Khan Choudhury Institute of Engineering and Technology, Malda, West Bengal 732141, India

Department of Civil Engineering, Jalpaiguri Government Engineering College, Jalpaiguri, West Bengal 735102, India

<sup>©</sup> Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2022

A. K. Dey et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 7th Indian Young Geotechnical Engineers Conference*, Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering 195, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6456-4\_9

decades), strengthening the embankments with different types of geosynthetics (with geocells in many instances) has become a trend. In most of the cases, it is observed that it is fruitful to combine geocell reinforcement [1] with other ground improvement techniques (such as wick drain [3]) is an effective measure for an embankment constructed over soft ground. Cowland and Wang [2] have confirmed that the geocell application is cost-effective over traditional ground improvement methods for construction over soft foundation soil. Inspiring from such field successes, several detailed in-house parametric investigations are performed to improve the application and performance of geocell-reinforced systems in different conditions and configurations. Krishnaswamy et al. [5] studied the behaviour of geocellreinforced embankments constructed over a soft subgrade through a series of laboratory model tests. The results depicted provision of geocells, at the base, improves the load bearing capacity and deformation behaviour of the embankment. In this study, influence of stiffness of geocell-making geogrid was also verified and found that higher the stiffness, greater was the improvement. However, the performance was independent of the pattern of geocell formation (i.e. chevron and diamond). It was also noticed that the geocell layer can be truncated at the toe of the embankment without any significant reduction in the bearing capacity. Later, Latha and Rajagopal [6] re-investigated the model considered by Krishnaswamy et al. [5] through finite element analyses for rigorous parametric influences. Recently, Khalaj et al. [4] and Tafreshi et al. [4, 7, 8] have conducted series of model plate load tests on multilayered geocell-reinforced systems. It is concluded that multiple geocell layers, each of a relatively low thickness, are more beneficial than a single-layer geocell.

This paper presents an initial investigation of the behaviour of multi-layered geocell embankment. For the purpose, a validation is made for the model study on the embankment behavior reported by Krishnaswamy et al. [5] through Plaxis<sup>2D</sup> (a commercially available finite element software package). Henceforth, an embankment is modelled with single layer of geocell [6] and compared that with multi-layered systems.

#### 2 Modelling and Validation

#### 2.1 Simulation of Composite Geocell-Soil Layer

The finite element analysis reported in this paper is performed using the PLAXIS<sup>2D</sup>. In PLAXIS, soils are modelled using nonlinear elastic–plastic constitutive model following Mohr–Coulomb yield criteria and non-associated flow rule (for a simplified fast analysis with negligible deviation, as per Wulandari and Tjandra [8]). Geocell layers are modelled as an equivalent composite layer as proposed by Latha and Rajagopal [6]. In the method, the geocell-soil layer is simulated as a soil with higher cohesion but unaltered internal friction angle; where, the geocell-induced cohesion is termed as apparent cohesion ( $c_r$ ). With the modified shear parameters, the equivalent

stiffness of geocell  $(E_g)$  layer is calculated using Eq. 1–3, where  $\Delta \sigma_3$  is the additional confining pressure due to membrane stress;  $\varepsilon_a$  is the axial strain at failure;  $D_0$  is the initial diameter; M is the secant modulus of membrane;  $K_p$  is the coefficient of passive earth pressure, and  $K_u$  is the dimensionless modulus parameter for the unreinforced sand.

$$C_{\rm r} = \frac{\Delta\sigma_3}{2}\sqrt{K_{\rm p}} \tag{1}$$

$$\Delta\sigma_{3} = \frac{2M}{D_{0}} \left( \frac{l - \sqrt{l - \varepsilon_{a}}}{l - \varepsilon_{a}} \right)$$
(2)

$$E_{\rm g} = 4(\sigma_3)0.7(K_{\rm u} + 200M^{0.16}) \tag{3}$$

#### 2.2 Validation

Study reported by Krishnaswamy et al. [5] (Fig. 1a) is validated using PLAXIS<sup>2D</sup> with the method described above. The load-settlement and deformation behaviour (Fig. 1b) depicted good predictability of the numerical model with the experimental observations reported. Hence, the method is accepted and further extended for the multiple-layer geocell-reinforced embankment system. The geometry of modelled single and multi-layered embankments are presented in Fig. 2.



Fig. 1 a Test setup considered by Krishnaswamy et al. [5] and b Deformed mesh from PLAXIS<sup>2D</sup> analysis



Fig. 2 PLAXIS<sup>2D</sup> model a Single and b Multi-layered geocell-reinforced embankment

## **3** Results and Analysis

In the analysis, an embankment of 10 m height above a soft clay of 10 m thick is reinforced with geocell. To verify the advantages of multi-layer geocell, a model with single-layer geocell of thickness 1 m and three models with two layers of geocells are considered. The multi-layer systems are designed in such a way that the total reinforced-soil thickness, including the vertical spacing (z) in between two geocell layers, should be the same. Hence, the height of geocells are as 47.5, 45 and 42.5 cm, with the vertical spacing in between as 5 cm, 10 cm and 15 cm, respectively, are considered.

The models are simulated in PLAXIS<sup>2D</sup> adopting the soil parameters mentioned by Latha and Rajagopal [6]. Geocell layer was considered as equivalent composite soil as described earlier. The required parameters for geocells for the equivalence are calculated through Eqs. 1–3 [6]. Table 1 presents a brief of the material properties. Plane strain, 15 node element, and drained conditions are selected for the analysis which provided an acceptable matching as shown in Fig. 3a. Plastic calculation is considered to investigate the influence of multi-layer geocells with respect to deflection and stress at the point 'P' (Fig. 2). The method is adopted for elastic– plastic deformation analysis in which it is not necessary to take the decay of excess pore pressure with time.

From the analysis, it is observed that multi-layer geocell system gives better result in terms of reduced 'deformation' (Fig. 3b) and 'stress' at point 'P' (Fig. 4). It can be attributed to stress distribution which reduces the stress intensity at the point 'P' to increase the overall load bearing capacity of the foundation. Overall, it is found that the multi-layer geocell system is better compared to single-layer geocell system. The

501 05						
$c_u$ (kPa)	φ (°)	E (kN/m <sup>2</sup> )	$\gamma$ (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	ν		
10	0	3000	17	0.45		
10	45	50,000	19	0.30		
13	45	93,618	19	0.30		
	cu (kPa)           10           10           13	$c_u$ (kPa) $\varphi$ (°)           10         0           10         45           13         45	$c_u$ (kPa) $\varphi$ (°) $E$ (kN/m²)           10         0         3000           10         45         50,000           13         45         93,618	$c_u$ (kPa) $\varphi$ (°) $E$ (kN/m²) $\gamma$ (kN/m³)           10         0         3000         17           10         45         50,000         19           13         45         93,618         19		

 Table 1
 Parameter used for analysis (after Latha and Rajagopal [6])



Fig. 3 a Comparison of results: PLAXIS simulation and test result of Krishnaswamy et al. [5]; and b Variation of settlement for point 'P' at different loading stage for single and multi-layer geocell-reinforced systems



Fig. 4 Deformation behaviour: a Single and b Double layer (z = 10 cm) geocell-reinforced system

study found multi-layer geocell system performed better with a vertical spacing of 10 cm with respect to deflection criteria (Fig. 4); whereas, geocell layers with 5 cm vertical spacing gave better performance in terms of effective Cartesian stress ( $\sigma_{yy}$ ) (Table 2) (Fig. 5).

Table 2       Performance         comparison of single and       multi-layer geocell-reinforced         systems       Systems	Reinforcement co	ondition	Displacement (mm)	$\sigma_{yy}  (\text{kN/m}^2)$		
	Single-layer geocell system		118.50	188.46		
	Double-layer geocell system	z = 5  cm	119.77	187.60		
		z = 10  cm	116.87	188.12		
		z = 15  cm	117.16	189.51		



**Fig. 5** Variation of effective stress ( $\sigma_{yy}$ ) with loading stages: **a** Single and **b** Double-layer (z = 5 cm) geocell-reinforced embankment

#### 4 Conclusions

Present study has investigated the benefits of multi-layered geocell-reinforced embankment system and compared the performance with a single-layer geocell system of same thickness. From the result analysis, it may be concluded that multilayered system performs better in terms of decreasing the deformation and reducing the effective stress beneath the geocell layers. Hence, the stability and load carrying capacity of the embankment system increases. Besides, it can also be inferred from the geometry considered that adopting multi-layer geocells can optimise the cost with respect to reduction in material (geocell) used.

### References

- Bush DI, Jenner CG, Bassett RH (1990) The design and construction of geocell foundation mattresses supporting embankments over soft ground. Geotext Geomembr 9:83–98
- Cowland JW, Wong SCK (1993) Performance of a road embankment on soft clay supported on a geocell mattress foundation. Geotext Geomembr 12:687–705
- 3. Humphrey DN, Holtz RD (1986) Reinforced embankments-a review of case histories. Geotext Geomembr 4:129–144
- Khalaj O, Tafreshi SNM, Masek B, Dowson AR (2015) Improvement of pavement foundation response with multilayers of geocell reinforcement: cyclic plate load test. Geomech Eng 9(3):373–395
- Krishnaswamy NR, Rajagopal K, Latha GM (2000) Model studies on geocell supported embankments constructed over a soft clay foundation. Geotech Test J 23(1):45–54
- Latha GM, Rajagopal K (2007) Parametric finite element analyses of geocell supported embankments. Can Geotech J 44:917–927
- Tafreshi SNM, Khalaj O, Dowson AR (2013) Pilot scale load tests of a combined multilayered geocell and rubber-reinforced foundation. Geosynthetic Int 20(3):143–161
- Wulandari PS, Tjandra D (2015) Analysis of geotextile reinforced road embankment using PLAXIS 2D. Procedia Eng 358–362