# **Chapter 13 Effect of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> Addition on CO<sub>2</sub> Gasification Characteristics and Ash Sintering Behaviour of a Chinese Lignite at Different Temperatures and Pressures as Examined Using a High-Pressure Thermogravimetric Analyser**



# **Jianbo Li, Zhezi Zhang, Jian Hao, Jiguang Zhang, Mingming Zhu, and Dongke Zhang**

**Abstract** The gasification characteristics and the morphology of the residue ash from pressurised  $K_2CO_3$ -catalysed gasification of a Chinese lignite in  $CO_2$  was investigated using a High-Pressure Thermogravimetric Analyser operating at pressure of 2.0 or 3.5 MPa and temperature between 750 and 900 °C for at least 2 h, after being heated from room temperature at 10 °C/min. The  $K_2CO_3$  addition was varied from 0 to 10% w/w. Gasification characteristics of the  $K_2CO_3$ -doped lignite was determined by analysing the weight loss and conversion rate as a function of time whereas the ash morphology was analysed by using SEM–EDS. Results showed that at 3.5 MPa the in-situ weight loss of the lignite increased as  $K_2CO_3$  addition ratio increased, suggesting that  $K_2CO_3$  addition promoted lignite gasification. The conversion rate of the lignite correspondingly increased from 61 to 92% as the temperature elevated to 750 °C. An increase in the final temperature to 900 °C significantly promoted lignite gasification when  $K_2CO_3$  was less than 5%, however this was not obvious for lignite with  $10\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> addition. This is because the conversion rate of the

J. Li  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Key Laboratory of Low-Grade Energy Utilization Technologies and Systems, Ministry of Education of PRC, Chongqing University, 174 Shazheng Street, Shapingba District, Chongqing 400044, China e-mail: [jianbo.li@cqu.edu.cn](mailto:jianbo.li@cqu.edu.cn)

Z. Zhang · M. Zhu · D. Zhang Centre for Energy (M473), The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

J. Zhang

Huadian Electric Power Research Institute Co. Ltd, No.10 Xiyuan 1st Road, Xihu District, Hangzhou City 310030, Zhejiang, China

J. Hao

State Key Laboratory of High-Efficiency Utilization of Coal and Green Chemical Engineering, Ningxia University, Yinchuan 750021, China

© Tsinghua University Press. 2022

J. Lyu and S. Li (eds.), *Clean Coal and Sustainable Energy*, Environmental Science and Engineering, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-1657-0\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-1657-0_13)

lignite with  $10\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> addition had exceeded 90% before the final temperature of 900 °C was reached. Furthermore, as pressure decreased from 3.5 to 2.0 MPa, the lignite gasification rate slowed down, with or without  $K_2CO_3$  addition. Conversion rate of the lignite decreased from 61 to 42% while the temperature initially elevated to 750 °C. SEM–EDS analysis revealed that sintering of the lignite ash was not observed at 750 °C, but became apparent at  $1\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> addition. The degree of ash sintering further aggravated at 5 and  $10\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> addition. As the temperature increased from 750 to 900 °C, the ashes of the raw lignite and  $1\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> dopedlignite remained largely similar, whereas the sizes of the  $10\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-doped lignite ash was increased and the particle surfaces became smooth, suggesting an enhanced sintering of the ash. The formation of K-aluminosilicate and Ca-aluminosilicate of low-melting points in the ash was responsible for possible deactivation of the doped catalyst  $K_2CO_3$  and the observed ash behaviour.

**Keywords** Ash morphology  $\cdot$  Catalytic gasification  $\cdot$  Gasification rate  $\cdot$  HP-TGA  $\cdot$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>  $\cdot$  Pressure

# **13.1 Introduction**

Clean coal technologies including integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) and pressurised fluidised bed combustor (PFBC) are regarded as the most viable alternatives for clean coal utilisation and have gained increased technological and scientific interests (Wall and Liu [2002;](#page-18-0) Jing and Wang [2013a;](#page-18-1) Ye and Agnew [1998\)](#page-18-2). To increase the throughput of coal and promote reaction intensity of gasification, higher operating pressures and the use of catalytic additives have been applied (Wall and Liu [2002;](#page-18-0) Ye and Agnew [1998;](#page-18-2) Sharma and Takanohashi [2008;](#page-18-3) Zhang and Zhang [2015a;](#page-18-4) Fan and Yuan [2016\)](#page-17-0). For instance, operating PFBC at 10–15 atm and IGCC at 15–25 atm have been reported in the literature (Wall and Liu [2002;](#page-18-0) Gupta [2005\)](#page-17-1). Moreover, the use of catalytic additives not only promote gasification reaction at relatively low temperatures, but also shift the product gas to the desired direction (Akyurtlu and Akyurtlu [1995\)](#page-17-2). However, the gasification characteristics of coal are affected by the operating procedures including pressure, temperature and catalyst addition. The ash residue might also lead to ash sintering, bed agglomeration and ash deposition on gas circuits and heat exchangers, further influencing the system stability (Jing and Wang [2011a,](#page-17-3) [2013a,](#page-18-1) [b;](#page-18-5) Jing and Zhu [2016\)](#page-18-6). Investigating the gasification characteristics and ash sintering behaviour during pressurised catalytic gasification at varying operating conditions are therefore essential.

Gasification characteristics of solid fuels including coal and biomass have been intensively investigated (Liang and Xie [2018;](#page-18-7) Liu and Guan [2018a,](#page-18-8) [b;](#page-18-9) Zhao and Zhang [2018;](#page-18-10) Takematsu and Maude [1991;](#page-18-11) Irfan [2011;](#page-17-4) Nzihou and Stanmore [2013;](#page-18-12) Lee and Kim [1995\)](#page-18-13). It is known that the gasification reactivity of coal is dependent on coal rank and char structure, the inherent inorganic matter, and operation conditions. Typically, low-rank coals have widely spread reactivity, while high-rank coals have low reactivities. The gasification characteristics could be promoted by the inorganic mineral matter mainly alkali and alkali earth (Lee and Kim [1995\)](#page-18-13). Thus, additives are used to promoted gasification and reform composition of the gas yield (Wu and Wang [2011;](#page-18-14) Zhang and Kudo [2013;](#page-18-15) Jaffri and Zhang [2008\)](#page-17-5). Among the catalysts reported in the literature and used in industrial practices, potassium carbonate is believed to have superior catalytic properties, which not only promotes gasification reactivity, but also shifts the products to methane-rich or hydrogen-rich gases (Wang and Jiang [2009;](#page-18-16) Hattingh and Everson [2011\)](#page-17-6). Moreover, the gasification characteristics are also dependent on temperature and pressure of the reactors (Wall and Liu [2002\)](#page-18-0). As gasification temperature increases, the gasification kinetics are promoted, promoting gasification of coal or char. Likewise, pressure has been found to significantly influence the volatiles yield and coal swelling during devolatilisation, changing the structure and morphology of the char generated. At higher pressures, char combustion and gasification reaction rate would be enhanced as more char particles of high porosity are formed at higher pressures. However, comprehensive study during pressurized  $K_2CO_3$ -catalytic gasification of typical Chinese lignite is still required for better comprehension its gasification characteristics.

Sintering characteristics of the ash during gasification have also been widely studied (Bai and Li [2011;](#page-17-7) Chen and Dai [2012;](#page-17-8) Zhang and Zhang [2015b\)](#page-18-17). It has been reported that sintering characteristics of the ash are dependent on the chemistry and mineral composition of the ash, atmosphere, temperature as well as pressure (Jing and Wang [2011a,](#page-17-3) [b,](#page-18-18) [2013a,](#page-18-1) [b;](#page-18-5) Jing and Zhu [2016;](#page-18-6) Zhang and Zhang [2015b;](#page-18-17) Li and Huang [2010\)](#page-18-19). Jing and Wang [\(2011b\)](#page-18-18) reported that the presence of acidic oxides such as  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  might increase ash sintering temperature yet the increase in Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO, Na<sub>2</sub>O, and K<sub>2</sub>O decreased ash sintering temperature monotonously. In addition, the effect of MgO on the sintering temperature is not obvious when MgO is increased above a certain limit. Meanwhile, Fe in the ash during gasification would be in the form of  $Fe^{2+}$  and react with other oxides to form liquid phases (van Li and Huang [2010\)](#page-18-19), promoting ash sintering between ash particles. Besides, FeO appears to react with CaO,  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  to produce the low-temperature eutectics (Dyk and Waanders [2007\)](#page-18-20). As the catalyst  $K_2CO_3$  are added into coal during gasification, a portion of potassium would be retained the ash, increasing the presence of K and the mineral interactions associated with K, thereby increasing the propensity of ash sintering (Erickson and Benson [1992;](#page-17-9) Kühn and Plogmann [1983;](#page-18-21) Bruno et al. [1986\)](#page-17-10). Different gasification atmospheres such as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and steam atmosphere would also lead to varied mineral interaction and thus different ash sintering temperature (Lee and Kim [1995;](#page-18-13) Erickson and Benson [1992;](#page-17-9) Formella and Leonhardt [1986;](#page-17-11) Duchesne and Hall [2014\)](#page-17-12). In addition, the sintering characteristics of the ash is also dependent on gasification temperature: an increase in gasification temperature might promote mineral interactions as well as the release of the alkali and alkali earth contents (Zhao et al. [2016\)](#page-18-22). As for pressure, the increase in pressure would influence mineral transformation by affecting reactions between minerals and accelerates the formation of high-temperature minerals. However, supplemental study on the sintering characteristics of the ash during both pressurized and  $K_2CO_3$ catalysed conditions are still essential.

This paper presents an investigation into the gasification characteristics and the ash sintering behaviour during  $K_2CO_3$ -catalysed gasification of lignite with varying pressures, temperatures, and  $K_2CO_3$  addition ratios examined. The lignite with or without  $K_2CO_3$  addition was gasified in a high pressure Thermogravimetric Analyser (HP-TGA) at varying pressure, and temperatures. The weight loss curve as a function of temperature was analysed and the morphology and chemistry of the obtained ash samples were analysed. It is expected that this paper would provide better comprehension on the effect of operating procedures and  $K_2CO_3$  addition on gasification characteristics and ash sintering behaviour during pressurised  $K_2CO_3$ -catalysed gasification.

## **13.2 Experimental**

## *13.2.1 Materials*

A Chinese lignite, namely Wangjiata (WJT for short) lignite, was used during experimentation. The lignite was pulverised and sieved to particles less than 200  $\mu$ m. Proximate and ultimate analysis of the lignite, chemistry of the ash prepared at 815 °C in air, and the ash fusion temperatures are shown in Table [13.1.](#page-4-0) Note that the volatile matter of WJT was 30.85% while its ash content was 26.5%. Moreover, the carbon content of the lignite was 75% and H was less than 4.10%. In terms of the ash chemistries, the WJT ash was rich in acidic oxides mainly  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (58.1%) and  $Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  $(12.1\%)$ , accounting for 60.2% of the total ash. Basic oxides such as CaO  $(11.5\%)$ and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  (6.3%) were also presented, and might contribute to ash sintering. As a consequence, the fusion temperatures of the ash were relatively low compared with other lignite or bituminous ash (Li and Zhu [2017\)](#page-18-23).

 $K_2CO_3$  was used as the catalyst for catalytic gasification.  $K_2CO_3$  was analytical potassium carbonate with an impurity higher than 99.99%. During sample preparation, the lignite sample was firstly mixed with deionized water at a ratio of 10 ml (water)/1 mg (lignite) to form water-lignite slurry.  $K_2CO_3$  with addition ratios of 1, 5 and 10 wt% (relative to lignite), respectively, was then dissolved into the waterlignite slurry. The mixture was then stirred by using a magnetic stirrer with a speed of 500r/min for 6 h. This was followed by drying in an oven at 60 °C to obtain the dried  $K_2CO_3$ -doped sample. These samples were denoted as WJT, WJT+1% $K_2CO_3$ , WJT+5% $K_2CO_3$ , and WJT+10% $K_2CO_3$  throughout this paper.

## *13.2.2 Experimental Setup*

A high pressure Thermogravimetric Analyser (HP-TGA-150S, TA, US) as shown in Fig. [13.1](#page-5-0) was used during experimentation. The HP-TGA was comprised of a



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 13.1** Experimental setup of **a** the HP-TGA-150S system and **b** its main components



reactor, control system, and gas system. The reactor was made of stainless steel, which could be heated to 1100 °C and stand for 5.0 MPa. Moreover, five gas inlets were designed for input of various gases. The key components of the system are illustrated in Fig. [13.1b](#page-5-0). A Rubotherm magnetic balance was used to measure the weight of the sample (and crucible), and the accuracy of the balance was  $\pm 30 \,\mu$ g.

During experimentation, the balance was firstly elevated above the reactor and ca. 0.2 g  $K_2CO_3$ -doped lignite was loaded into the crucible. The balance was then sent back to the reactor. For gasification at 2.0 MPa, pure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with a flow rate of 1L/min was used to elevate the pressure to 2.0 MPa. Whereas for gasification at 3.5 MPa,  $N_2$  with a flow rate of 0.75 L/min was also provided at the same  $CO_2$  flow rate. The reactor was then heated with a heating rate of 10 °C/min from ambient temperature to final temperatures of 750 to 900 °C, respectively. The experimentation maintained at the final temperatures for at least 2 h, and the weight of the sample (and crucible) was simultaneously recorded. Once the experimentation was finished, the reactor was cooled down to room temperature at  $N_2$  atmosphere and the ash sample was afterwards collected.

# *13.2.3 Data Processing and Analysis*

The in-situ weight loss curve as a function of time of each sample was corrected to eliminate the buoyancy effect. This correction included the correction of the magnet assembly, the sample holder, and the sample volume, i.e., Eq. [13.1,](#page-5-1)

<span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
Wt = W_0 + Wt_1 + Wt_2 + Wt_3 \tag{13.1}
$$

where Wt the final weight of the lignite  $(g)$ ,  $W_0$  the in-situ recorded sample weight (g),  $Wt_1$ ,  $Wt_2$ , and  $Wt_3$  the corrected weight (g) of the magnet assembly, sample holder, and sample volume, respectively.

Wt<sub>1</sub> was obtained based on the temperature, pressure and the corrected factor as shown in Eq. [13.2.](#page-5-2) P is the pressure of the system (bar), MW the mole weight of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ or  $CO_2/N_2$  mixture (g/mol),  $Z_1$  the correction factor of the magnet assembly, and  $T_0$ the set temperature of the assembly, 50  $\degree$ C in this case.

$$
Wt_1 = (2.29 \times P \times MW)/(Z_1 \times 83.144 \times (273.16 + T_0))
$$
 (13.2)

The correction factor  $Z_1$  was determined based on the properties of the mixtures, as shown in Eq. [13.3,](#page-6-0) where  $\psi$  the mole fraction of the gas in the mixture, P<sub>N2</sub> the partial pressure of N<sub>2</sub> in the system (bar),  $\rho_{N2}$  and  $\rho_{CO2}$  are the densities of the pure  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  (g/cc) determined based on the pressure and temperature of the gas.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
Z_1 = Z_{N2} + Z_{CO2} = \psi_{N2} \times (P_{N2} \times 28) / (83.144 \times \rho_{N2} \times (273.16 + T_0))
$$
  
+  $\psi_{CO2} \times (P_{CO2} \times 44) / (83.144 \times \rho_{CO2} \times (273.16 + T_0))$  (13.3)

 $Wt<sub>2</sub>$  was corrected based on Eq. [13.4](#page-6-1) as below. Among Eq. [13.4,](#page-6-1) P is the pressure of the system (bar), MW the mole weight of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  or  $CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>$  mixture (g/mol),  $Z_2$  the correction factor of the sample holder, and T the temperature as measured by the thermocouple  $({}^{\circ}C)$ 

$$
Wt_2 = (0.668 \times P \times MW)/(Z_2 \times 83.144 \times (273.16 + T))
$$
 (13.4)

The correction factor of the sample holder  $Z_2$  was determined based on the prop-erties of the gas mixtures, as shown in Eq. [13.5.](#page-6-2)  $\psi$  is the mole fraction of the gas in the mixture,  $P_{N2}$  the partial pressure of N<sub>2</sub> in the system (bar),  $\rho_{N2}$  and  $\rho_{CO2}$  the densities of the pure  $N_2$  and  $CO_2$  (g/cc) determined based on the pressure and temperature of the gas, and T the temperature as measured by the thermocouple  $({}^{\circ}C)$ 

$$
Z_2 = Z_{N_2} + Z_{CO_2} = \psi_{N2} \times (P_{N_2} \times 28) / (83.144 \times \rho_{N_2} \times (273.16 + T))
$$
  
+  $\psi_{CO_2} \times (P_{CO_2} \times 44) / (83.144 \times \rho_{CO_2} \times (273.16 + T))$  (13.5)

The sample weight after correction of magnet assembly and sample holder  $(Wt')$ was thus obtained as shown in Eq. [13.6,](#page-6-1) and the volume of the sample  $V_1$  was obtained as Eq. [13.7](#page-6-2)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
Wt' = W_0 + Wt_1 + Wt_2
$$
 (13.6)

<span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
V_1 = Wt'/2.2 \t\t(13.7)
$$

 $Wt_3$  was correction of sample volume based on Eq. [13.7,](#page-6-2) where  $V_1$  the volume of the sample, P the pressure of the system (bar), MW the mole weight of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  or  $CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>$  mixture (g/mol),  $Z<sub>3</sub>$  the correction factor of sample volume, the same as that of sample holder  $Z_2$ .

$$
Wt_3 = (V_1 \times P \times MW)/(Z_3 \times 83.144 \times (273.16 + T))
$$
 (13.8)

Once the weight of the lignite was corrected, the conversion rate as a function of time was also obtained. The conversion rate  $(\alpha)$  of the lignite was determined as the amount of weight loss relative to the overall weight loss after gasification (Eq. [13.9\)](#page-6-3), where  $m_0$  represents the initial sample weight,  $m_1$  the final sample weight, and

 $m_i$  the sample weight during gasification at time i. Moreover,  $t_{50}$  during conversion, representing the time when 50% conversation rate was achieved, was also determined,

$$
\alpha = (m_0 - m_i)/(m_0 - m_1) \tag{13.9}
$$

# *13.2.4 SEM–EDS analysis*

A TESCAN Vega 3 scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) coupled with X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was used to analyse the morphological features and the chemistries of the obtained ashes. The chemistries of the ash particles were semi-quantitatively determined by the detector coupled in EDS.

## **13.3 Results and Discussion**

## *13.3.1 Gasification Characteristics*

#### **13.3.1.1 Effect of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> Addition**

Figure [13.2](#page-7-0) illustrates the effect of  $K_2CO_3$  addition on the weight loss and conversion rate of the  $K_2CO_3$ -doped lignite as a function of time during  $CO_2$  gasification at 3.5 MPa in HP-TGA. The temperature profile during gasification was also recorded and are shown in Fig. [13.2.](#page-7-0) Based on the temperature profile as recorded, the weight loss as a function of time were divided into two periods. The first period was non-isothermal gasification during which temperature was increased from ambient temperature ( $<100^\circ\text{C}$ ) to 750 °C. Note that the recorded temperature might be higher than 750 °C due to system response. The second period was the isothermal gasification period at 750 °C.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 13.2** Effect of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> addition on the **a** weight loss and **b** conversion rate of WJT during CO<sub>2</sub> gasification at 3.5 MPa and 750 °C

During non-isothermal gasification as shown in Fig. [13.2a](#page-7-0), the weight of WJT decreased to 55 wt% as temperature increased to 750 °C. The sample weight further decreased slowly and reached to ca. 40 wt% after gasification at 750 °C for 150 min. This indicates that gasification of WJT without catalysts might be quite slow. Moreover, Gasification at this moment was believed to be incomplete as the ash content (Table [13.1\)](#page-4-0) as determined ca.  $26.5\%$  was much less than the current of 40 wt%. As  $1\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added into the lignite, the weight loss of WJT+1% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was similar to that of WJT before the temperature reached 750  $\degree$ C, which was however decreased faster at isothermal temperature of 750 °C and to ca. 30 wt% after gasification for 150 min. This indicated that the addition of  $1\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> might not significantly promoted gasification at temperatures less than 750 °C, but would be apparent at 750 °C. As  $K_2CO_3$  addition ratio further increased to 5% and 10%, the weight loss of the sample at the same time (and temperature) further increased, revealing that addition of 5 and  $10\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> further promoted gasification. It is noted that the weight of WJT+10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> decreased to less than 40 wt% while the temperature initially elevated to 750 °C. This enlightens that gasification was greatly promoted by the incorporating  $K_2CO_3$ . Moreover, the weight of WJT+10%  $K_2CO_3$  at isothermal temperature of 750 °C was relatively stable after 20 min's gasification, while those with less  $K_2CO_3$  required longer time. This again confirmed that addition of 10%  $K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  promoted gasification of WJT.

Looking into the conversion rate of the lignite samples as shown in Fig. [13.2b](#page-7-0), it is found that t<sub>50</sub> of WJT, WJT+1% and WJT+5% were all at ca. 75 min. This illustrated that the addition of  $K_2CO_3$ , might not promoted gasification at lower temperatures. However, when the temperature was higher than  $750 \degree C$ , the conversion rate was increased significantly. This implies that for gasification at temperatures lower than 750 °C, the effect of  $K_2CO_3$  on gasification was not obvious when the addition ratio was in the range of  $1-5\%$ . However, at isothermal temperature 750 °C, the conversion rate became faster as  $K_2CO_3$  addition increased from 0 to 5%, suggesting that the effect of  $K_2CO_3$  on gasification at this range became significant. In comparison,  $t_{50}$ of WJT+10% was ca. 60 min, which was much earlier than those of lignites with less  $K_2CO_3$  addition. This again indicates that addition of 10%  $K_2CO_3$  promoted gasification even at lower temperatures.

#### **13.3.1.2 Effect of Temperature**

Figure [13.3](#page-9-0) shows the effect of final temperature on the weight loss of WJT, WJT+1%  $K_2CO_3$ , and WJT+10%  $K_2CO_3$  during  $CO_2$  gasification at 3.5 MPa. It can be seen that for gasification of WJT (Fig. [13.3a](#page-9-0)), the sample weight decreased to 55 wt% as temperature elevated to 750 °C. The weight loss curves during heating stage was largely the same, confirming the repeatability of the experiments. During isothermal gasification, the sample weight at 850 and 900 °C decreased faster than that at 750 °C, indicating that the increase in temperature from 750 to 900 °C promoted gasification. Similar findings were also observed for lignite with  $1\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> addition. However, when  $K_2CO_3$  addition was increased to 10% as shown in Fig. [13.3c](#page-9-0), the sample



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 13.3** Effect of Temperature on the weight loss of **a** WJT, **b** WJT+1% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and **c** WJT+10%  $K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  during  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gasification at 3.5 MPa

weight decreased to less than 35 wt% during isothermal gasification, further proved that more  $K_2CO_3$  would promote gasification. During isothermal gasification at 750, 800, or 900 °C, however, the differences in weight loss were not obvious. This is because gasification was nearly completed during heating stage, and the final temperature was thus insignificant for gasification.

#### **13.3.1.3 Effect of Pressure**

Figure [13.4](#page-9-1) reveals the effect of pressure on the weight loss and conversion rate of WJT and WJT+10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as a function of time during CO<sub>2</sub> gasification at 750 °C. It is clear that at 2.0 MPa, the weight of WJT decreased to 70 wt% as temperature increased to 750 °C, and further decreased to 50 wt% after gasification for 350 min. This is much lower than that of WJT at 3.5 MPa of ca. 55% at 750  $^{\circ}$ C, and to 26 wt% after gasification (nearly completed) within the same time. These indicate that the increase in pressure promoted gasification of WJT. This was also applied for the weight loss of WJT+10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as illustrated in Fig. [13.4.](#page-9-1) The decrease in pressure promoted gasification of WJT+10%  $K_2CO_3$ . Moreover, the weight loss of WJT at 3.5 MPa was faster than that of WJT+10%K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, suggesting that the effect of pressure on gasification might be superior to the effect of  $10\%K_2CO_3$  addition.

It is also noted that  $t_{50}$  of these lignites decreased from 105 to 75, and 60 min as pressure increased to 3.5 MPa and  $K_2CO_3$  addition to 10%, proving that the increase in pressure and pressure increased gasification of WJT. Moreover, the conversion



<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Fig. 13.4** Effect of pressure on the **a** weight loss and **b** conversion rate of WJT and WJT+10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> during CO<sub>2</sub> gasification at 750 °C and pressures of 2.0 and 3.5 MPa

rate of WJT+10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at 2.0 MPa was less than that of WJT at 3.5 MPa when in non-isothermal stage, however its conversion rate was comparably to WJT at 3.5 MPa during isothermal gasification at 750 °C. This implies that the effect of 10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> on gasification might be dependent on temperature. The catalytic effect of  $K_2CO_3$ on gasification was low at lower temperatures, which however became significant at 750 °C. However, the effect of pressure on gasification, was significant during both non-isothermal and isothermal stages.

## *13.3.2 Ash Morphology*

## **13.3.2.1** Effect of  $K_2CO_3$

Figure [13.5](#page-11-0) presents the morphological features and EDS analysis of the residue ashes of WJT with  $K_2CO_3$  addition ratios of 0, 1, 5, and 10% during  $CO_2$  gasification at 750 °C. It is clear that the sizes and shapes of the ash particles varied. The sizes of the ash particles ranged from sub microns to  $200 \mu m$  as observed from SEM analysis. The shapes of these ash particles were irregular, and sintering between ash particles was seldom observed. This implies that most ash particles had relatively high melting-points and were thus not sintered. As  $1\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added into the lignite, its ash particles (Fig. [13.5b](#page-11-0)) were also irregular in shape yet finer ash particles were less observed, implying that these finer particles might sinter together and therefore increase their sizes. As  $K_2CO_3$  addition ratio further increased to 5% and 10%, the sizes of the ash particles were further increased as shown in Fig. [13.5c](#page-11-0), d. Sintering between ash particles was observed, indicating that the addition of  $K_2CO_3$  promoted ash sintering of the lignite ash at 750 °C.

EDS analysis on the ashes of WJT (Fig. [13.5a](#page-11-0)) reveals that the ash particles were heterogeneous in nature with varied contents of Si, Al, Ca, Fe, K, and Ca. As  $K_2CO_3$  was added into the lignite, the contents of K in the ash increased as shown in Fig. [13.5b](#page-11-0)–d), suggesting that more K were presented in the ash, as expected due to  $K_2CO_3$  addition. Looking into the ash chemistries of the sintered ashes, such as particles 2 and 1 in Fig. [13.5c](#page-11-0) and particles 1–5 in Fig. [13.5d](#page-11-0), it was found that these particles were enriched in Si and K, and occasionally Ca, indicating the presence of K silicates, Ca-silicates and the eutectics between K-Si-Ca. The presence of these minerals or eutectics of low-melting points therefore promoted sintering of the ash particles.

#### **13.3.2.2 Effect of Temperature**

The morphological features of the ash of WJT, WJT+1%  $K_2CO_3$ , and WJT+10%  $K_2CO_3$  during gasification at 3.5 MPa but different temperatures are presented in Figs. [13.6,](#page-12-0) [13.7](#page-13-0) and [13.8.](#page-14-0) It is observable that the morphological features of WJT (Fig. [13.6\)](#page-12-0) at 750, 800, 850, and 900  $^{\circ}$ C were largely the same, composing of the



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 13.5** Morphology of the obtained ashes during CO<sub>2</sub> gasification at 750 and 3.5 MPa of **a** WJT, **b** WJT+1% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, **c** WJT+5% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and **d** WJT+10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>



<span id="page-12-0"></span>Fig. 13.6 Morphology of the obtained ashes during CO<sub>2</sub> gasification of WJT at 3.5 MPa, and temperatures of **a** 750 °C, **b** 800 °C, **c** 850 °C, and **d** 900 °C



<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Fig. 13.7** Morphology of the obtained ashes during  $CO_2$  gasification of WJT+1% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at 3.5 MPa and temperatures of **a** 750 °C, **b** 800 °C, **c** 850 °C, and **d** 900 °C



<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Fig. 13.8** Morphology of the obtained ashes during  $CO_2$  gasification of WJT+10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at 3.5 MPa and temperatures of **a** 750 °C, **b** 800 °C, **c** 850 °C, and **d** 900 °C

ash particles irregular in shape and varied in sizes. In particular, sintering between ash particles was not observed, indicating that the ash of WJT was resistant to the temperature change during gasification at 750–900 °C. Similarly, the ash morphologies of WJT+1%  $K_2CO_3$  were quite the same, without significant differences being observed. Sintering between ash particles might occur as certain ash particles had sintered together (e.g., particle 3 in Fig. [13.7b](#page-13-0)). However, the remaining ash particles maintained its shapes and sizes during gasification. These imply that the ash of WJT+1%  $K_2CO_3$  addition was less sintered and also resistant during gasification temperatures.

The morphological features of the ash of WJT+10%  $K_2CO_3$  during gasification at 750–900 °C are illustrated in Fig. [13.8.](#page-14-0) It is obvious that sintering between ash particles had occurred at 750 °C. As temperature increased, the sizes of the ash particles tended to be increased and the surface of the ash particles became smooth at 900 °C. This indicates that the degree of ash sintering increased and more ashes had been melted at 900 °C. This also proves that ash sintering of WJT+10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was promoted as temperature increased from 750 to 900 °C.

EDS analysis on these ash particles showed that the ashes of WJT and WJT+1%  $K_2CO_3$  ether rich in Si, Ca, Si/Al, or Si/Al/K (Particles in Figs. [13.6](#page-12-0) and [13.7\)](#page-13-0). As  $10\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added into the lignite, its ash particles were composed of K, Si, and Ca, indicating that the eutectics between K, Si, and Ca were formed. The presence of low melting point eutectics thus increased sintering between ash particles.

### **13.3.2.3 Effect of Pressure**

Figure [13.9](#page-16-0) presents the morphological features of the ash of WJT and WJT+10%  $K_2CO_3$  during CO<sub>2</sub> gasification at 2.0 MPa and temperatures of 750 °C and 850 °C. It can be seen that the ash of WJT at 750 °C was composed of ashes with different sizes and irregular in shape. Similar phenomena was also observed for the lignite ash obtained at 850 °C, consistent with the morphologies of the ash at 3.5 MPa. Likewise, the morphologies of the ashes of WJT+10%K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at 750 °C and 850 °C was also similar to those at 3.5 MPa. These suggest that the effect of pressure alone on the morphologies of the WJT and WJT+10% $K_2CO_3$  ashes might require further and comprehensive study.

## **13.4 Conclusions**

The gasification characteristics and morphology of the residue ash during pressurised  $K_2CO_3$ -catalytic gasification of a lignite at  $CO_2$  atmosphere but different temperatures, pressures and  $K_2CO_3$  addition ratio was investigated a High-Pressure Thermogravimetric Analyser. Results showed that the addition of  $K_2CO_3$  promoted gasification of the lignite. The effect of  $K_2CO_3$  on gasification was not evident when  $K_2CO_3$  addition ratio was 1%, but became significant when  $K_2CO_3$  addition ratio



<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Fig. 13.9** Morphology of the ashes during CO<sub>2</sub> gasification at 2.0 MPa of **a** WJT at 750 °C **b** WJT at 850 °C, **c** WJT+10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> at 750 °C, and **d** WJT+10% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 850 °C

reached 10%. Furthermore, gasification of the lignite with  $10\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> addition was almost completed even before the final temperature 750  $\degree$ C was reached, bringing the conversion index t<sub>50</sub> much earlier than those without  $K_2CO_3$  addition. Moreover, both the increase in final temperature and pressure benefited gasification, regardless of  $K_2CO_3$  addition. Yet, pressure played a significant role in gasification at all temperatures, while  $K_2CO_3$  was mainly active at temperatures no less than 750 °C. SEM–EDS analysis on the obtained ashes revealed that sintering of the lignite ash was not observed at 750 °C, but was observed and the degree of ash sintering further aggravated as  $K_2CO_3$  addition ratio increased to 10%. Likewise, as temperature and pressure increased, the sizes of the observed ash particles of the  $10\%$  K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-doped lignite were increased and the surfaces of the ashes became smooth, incurring severe ash sintering. These observations were attributed to the presence of low-melting points K-aluminosilicate and Ca-aluminosilicate in the ash as identified.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by the Foundation of State Key Laboratory of Highefficiency Utilization of Coal and Green Chemical Engineering (Grant No. 2017-K01), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51706028).

# **References**

- <span id="page-17-2"></span>Akyurtlu JF, Akyurtlu A (1995) Catalytic gasification of Pittsburgh coal char by potassium sulphate and ferrous sulphate mixtures. Fuel Process Technol 43(1):71–86
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>Bai J, Li W (2011) Effects of mineral matter and coal blending on gasification. Energy Fuels 25(3):1127–1131
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>Bruno G, Carvani L, Passoni G (1986) Correlation between potassium losses and mineral matter composition in catalytic coal steam gasification. Fuel 65(10):1473–1475
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>Chen J, Dai B (2012) XANES investigation on sulfur evolution during victorian brown coal char gasification in oxy-fuel combustion mode. Energy Fuels 26(8):4775–4782
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>Duchesne MA, Hall AD (2014) Fate of inorganic matter in entrained-flow slagging gasifiers: fuel characterization. Fuel Process Technol 118:208–217
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>Erickson T, Benson S (1992) Coal ash behavior in reducing environments. North Dakota University, Grand Forks, ND (United States). Energy and Environmental Research Center
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>Fan S, Yuan X (2016) Experimental and kinetic study of catalytic steam gasification of low rank coal with an environmentally friendly, inexpensive composite  $K_2CO_3$ –eggshell derived CaO catalyst. Fuel 165:397–404
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>Formella K, Leonhardt P (1986) Interaction of mineral matter in coal with potassium during gasification. Fuel 65(10):1470–1472
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>Gupta RP (2005) Research in Newcastle—past, present and future. Fuel 84(10):1176–1188
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>Hattingh BB, Everson RC (2011) Assessing the catalytic effect of coal ash constituents on the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ gasification rate of high ash, South African Coal. Fuel Process Technol 92(10):2048–2054
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>Irfan MF (2011) Coal gasification in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  atmosphere and its kinetics since 1948: a brief review. Energy 36(1):12–40
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>Jaffri G-e-R, Zhang J-Y (2008) Catalytic gasification characteristics of mixed black liquor and calcium catalyst in mixing (air/steam) atmosphere. J Fuel Chem Technol 36(4):406–414
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>Jing N, Wang Q (2011a) Effect of different reaction atmospheres on the sintering temperature of Jincheng coal ash under pressurized conditions. Fuel 90(8):2645–2651
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>Jing N, Wang Q (2011b) Effect of chemical composition on sintering behavior of Jingcheng coal ash under gasification atmosphere. Chem Eng Commun 199(2):189–202
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>Jing N, Wang Q (2013a) Effect of temperature and pressure on the mineralogical and fusion characteristics of Jincheng coal ash in simulated combustion and gasification environments. Fuel 104:647–655
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>Jing N, Wang Q (2013b) The sintering behavior of coal ash under pressurized conditions. Fuel 103:87–93
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>Jing N, Zhu M (2016) Effect of ash preparation method on the sintering characteristics of ashes from combustion of coal and biomass blends. Fuel 186:830–837
- <span id="page-18-21"></span>Kühn L, Plogmann H (1983) Reaction of catalysts with mineral matter during coal gasification. Fuel 62(2):205–208
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>Lee WJ, Kim SD (1995) Catalytic activity of alkali and transition metal salt mixtures for steam-char gasification. Fuel 74(9):1387–1393
- <span id="page-18-19"></span>Li F, Huang J (2010) Formation mechanism of slag during fluid-bed gasification of lignite. Energy Fuels 25(1):273–280
- <span id="page-18-23"></span>Li J, Zhu M (2017) Effect of coal blending and ashing temperature on ash sintering and fusion characteristics during combustion of Zhundong lignite. Fuel 195(Supplement C):131–142
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>Liang D, Xie Q (2018) Catalytic effect of alkali and alkaline earth metals in different occurrence modes in Zhundong coals. Asia-Pacific J Chem Eng 13(3):e2190
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>Liu Y, Guan Y-J (2018a) Gasification reactivity and morphology of coal chars formed in  $N_2$  and CO2 atmospheres. Chem Pap 7(8):2045–2054
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>Liu Y, Guan Y (2018b)  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  gasification performance and alkali/alkaline earth metals catalytic mechanism of Zhundong coal char. Korean J Chem Eng 35(4):859–866
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>Nzihou A, Stanmore B (2013) A review of catalysts for the gasification of biomass char, with some reference to coal. Energy 58:305–317
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>Sharma A, Takanohashi T (2008) Effect of catalyst addition on gasification reactivity of HyperCoal and coal with steam at 775–700°C. Fuel 87(12):2686–2690
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>Takematsu T, Maude C (1991) Coal gasification for igcc power generation. IEACR/37. IEA Coal Research, London
- <span id="page-18-20"></span>van Dyk JC, Waanders FB (2007) Manipulation of gasification coal feed in order to increase the ash fusion temperature of the coal enabling the gasifiers to operate at higher temperatures. Fuel 86(17–18):2728–2735
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>Wall TF, Liu GS (2002) The effects of pressure on coal reactions during pulverised coal combustion and gasification. Prog Energy Combust Sci 28(5):405–433
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>Wang J, Jiang M (2009) Steam gasification of coal char catalyzed by  $K_2CO_3$  for enhanced production of hydrogen without formation of methane. Fuel 88(9):1572–1579
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>Wu Y, Wang J (2011) Potassium-catalyzed steam gasification of petroleum coke for H2 production: reactivity, selectivity and gas release. Fuel Process Technol 92(3):523–530
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>Ye DP, Agnew JB (1998) Gasification of a South Australian low-rank coal with carbon dioxide and steam: kinetics and reactivity studies. Fuel 77(11):1209–1219
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>Zhang L-X, Kudo S (2013) Catalytic effects of Na and Ca from inexpensive materials on in-situ steam gasification of char from rapid pyrolysis of low rank coal in a drop-tube reactor. Fuel Process Technol 113:1–7
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>Zhang J, Zhang L (2015a) Effect of bauxite additives on ash sintering characteristics during the K2CO3-catalyzed steam gasification of lignite. RSC Adv 5(9):6720–6727
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>Zhang J-G, Zhang L (2015b) Ash Sintering behavior of lignite in catalyzed steam gasification with kaolin as additive. Energy Technol 3(6):556–562
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>Zhao Y, Zhang W (2018) Kinetic characteristics of in-situ char-steam gasification following pyrolysis of a demineralized coal. Int J Hydrogen Energy 43(24):10991–11001
- <span id="page-18-22"></span>Zhao Y, Feng D, Zhang Y, Huang Y, Sun S (2016) Effect of pyrolysis temperature on char structure and chemical speciation of alkali and alkaline earth metallic species in biochar. Fuel Process Technol 141(Part 1):54–60