Immunotherapy for Autoimmune Diseases

4

Aniket Mali, Apurva Sawant, Anagha Mahadik, and Sujit Nair

Abstract

Various pathologies caused by a dysregulated immune system characterized by chronic inflammation leading to pain or permanent damage to tissue are grouped under an umbrella term "autoimmune disorders." Immunotherapy is a field of immunology that facilitates discovery of therapies for diseases by means of stimulation, augmentation, or suppression of an immunoresponse. Several emerging and promising next-generation immunotherapy modalities for autoimmune diseases such as checkpoint based immunotherapy, antigen-specific immunotherapies, anti-cytokine therapy, anti-T-cell therapy, anti-B-cell therapy and biologics and their combination therapy, etc., have evolved and initiated the new era of immunotherapy for autoimmune diseases in the recent past. We discuss these modalities in detail along with comprehensive tables that elucidate the specific therapies. Further, we delineate current immunotherapeutics in clinical trials for autoimmune diseases and discuss the "financial toxicity" of current immunotherapies in autoimmune diseases.

A. Mali

National Centre for Cell Science, Pune, India

A Sawant

Department of Pharmaceutics, SVKM's Dr. Bhanuben Nanavati College of Pharmacy, University of Mumbai, Mumbai, India

A. Mahadik

Shobhaben Pratapbhai Patel School of Pharmacy & Technology Management, SVKM's NMIMS University, Mumbai, India

S. Nair (⊠)

SVKM's Dr. Bhanuben Nanavati College of Pharmacy, University of Mumbai, Mumbai, India e-mail: sujit108@gmail.com

[©] Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2021

Keywords

 $Immunotherapy \cdot Autoimmune \ diseases \cdot Checkpoint \ immunotherapy \cdot Antigen-specific \ immunotherapy \cdot Anti-cytokine \ therapy \cdot Anti-T-cell \ therapy \cdot Anti-B-cell \ therapy \cdot Biologics \cdot Clinical \ trials \cdot Financial \ toxicity$

4.1 The Immune System: An Overview

The human immune system is a complicated set of cellular and molecular mechanisms involving different proteins and biochemicals, which protects the human body against infectious pathogens, cancer cells, and alien substances without attacking the endogenous molecules. The protection of the host body against all types of infections by specifically recognizing and eliminating foreign agents is the prime function of the immune system (Viswanath 2013). In general, the immune system has two lines of defense: innate immunity and adaptive immunity. The first immunological, antigen-independent (non-specific) mechanism for combating an intruding pathogen is innate immunity. It is a rapid immune response which occurs within minutes or hours after attack. The innate immune response has no immunologic memory and, therefore, is unable to recognize or "memorize" the same pathogen when the body gets exposed to it in the future. Various cells are employed in the innate immune response such as phagocytes (macrophages and neutrophils), dendritic cells, mast cells, basophils, eosinophils, natural killer (NK) cells, and lymphocytes (T-cells) as well as complement system (Warrington et al. 2011). Both types of phagocytes act by a similar mechanism of engulfing the microbes. Besides this similar function, neutrophils release their specific granules which assist in the elimination of pathogenic microbes and macrophages also play an important role in antigen presentation to T-cells. Dendritic cells act as important messengers between innate and adaptive immunity by their ability to phagocytose and function as antigen-presenting cells (APCs). Both mast cells (which reside in the connective tissue surrounding blood vessels) and basophils (which reside in the circulation) are involved in the initiation of acute inflammatory responses, such as those seen in allergy and asthma. Eosinophils are granulocytes that possess phagocytic properties and play an important role in the destruction of large parasites which are difficult to phagocytose. NK cells also known as large granular lymphocytes (LGLs) play a major role in the rejection of tumors and the destruction of cells infected by viruses which is achieved through the release of perforins and granzymes from NK-cell granules which induce apoptosis (programmed cell death) (Stone et al. 2010). On the other hand, adaptive immunity is antigen-dependent and antigen-specific due to which it involves a lag time between exposure to the antigen and maximal response. When infection is established due to the inability of innate immunity to effectively eliminate infectious agents, adaptive immunity develops. The main characteristic feature of adaptive immunity is its ability to memorize the initial immunologic response which endows the host to generate a more rapid and efficient immune response upon subsequent exposure to the antigen. The most important functions of the adaptive immune response are the detection of specific "non-self" antigens in the presence of "self" antigens; the activation of pathogen-specific immunologic effector pathways that eradicate specific pathogens or pathogen-infected cells; and the development of an immunologic memory that can promptly eliminate a specific pathogen when subsequent infections occur in future (Warrington et al. 2011). Adaptive responses are of two types: cell-mediated immunity, conducted by T-cells and facilitated by APCs; and humoral immunity (antibody-mediated immunity), mediated by antibodies produced by B-cells. The T lymphocytes account for 60-80\% of total lymphocytes and have a very high lifetime. They primarily eradicate the intracellular pathogens by activating macrophages and kill virally infected cells by recognizing the primary structure of an antigen. T helper (Th) lymphocytes represent 2/3 of total lymphocytes and secrete interleukins (messenger molecules that assist the communications between immune system cells). Depending on the type of cytokines secreted, two types of Th cells are distinguished: Th1 cells which produce interleukin-2, IFN- γ , and TNF- α and trigger inflammatory reactions; and Th2 cells which produce interleukins 3, 4, and 5. In humoral immunity, activation of B lymphocytes results into the synthesis of antigen-specific immunoglobulins (antibodies) by plasma cells and development of immunological memory by memory B-cells (Grigore and Inform 2017). In conclusion, the tightly regulated interplay between T-cells, B-cells, and APCs play a significant role(s) in the development of adaptive immunity in concurrence with innate immunity to eradicate infectious agents. Thus, defects in either system can lead to immune pathological disorders such as hypersensitivity reactions, autoimmune diseases, and immunodeficiencies (Warrington et al. 2011).

4.2 Autoimmunity and Immune Tolerance

In simple words, the defect in the host's immune system that results in loss of normal immune homeostasis and produces an abnormal response to its own tissues is referred to as autoimmunity. The presence of self-reactive T-cells, auto-antibodies, and inflammation are the hallmarks of the autoimmunity (Warrington et al. 2011). The Nobel Prize-winning hypothesis of the "forbidden clone" by Macfarlane Burnet led to a better understanding of not only autoimmunity but also of lymphoid cell development, thymic education, apoptosis, and deletion of autoreactive cells and mechanisms of autoimmunity that led to clinical disease (Wang et al. 2015). Thus, autoimmunity is considered to be an interruption in the process of antigenic detection and elimination. Body's cells may undergo antigenic variation as a result of physical, chemical, or biological influences. Such "neo-antigens" (altered antigens) may elicit an immune response that destroys body's own cells (Ganapathy et al. 2017). Thus, autoimmunity may also be defined as the genesis of immune system reactivity via autoantibodies or T-cell responses to self-structures (Viswanath 2013).

The concept of immune tolerance was defined as an ability of the immune system to prevent itself from targeting self-molecules, cells, or tissues (Wang et al. 2014). During maturation of the immune system, it becomes "tolerant" to self by

eliminating the immune cells that react against self-tissues. To understand immune tolerance, it is important to realize the key concepts such as central tolerance, peripheral anergy, T regulatory cells (Tregs), and the homeostasis produced by cytokines and chemokines and their cognate receptors. During central tolerance in the thymus, developing lymphocytes go through positive selection in the cortex prior to maturing and entering the circulation while lymphocytes with impending reactivity against self-peptides are negatively selected and deleted in the thymic medulla. After leaving the thymus, mature T-cells undergo secondary selection (peripheral tolerance) by which the majority of self-reactive T-cells are deleted or rendered anergic. Further in the process of clonal deletion or clonal anergy, immature B-cells expressing surface immunoglobulin M (IgM) capable of recognizing ubiquitous self cell surface antigens are eliminated. Even though mature B-cells are under the control of peripheral tolerance, with the help of process known as clonal deletion or clonal anergy, autoreactive B-cells can escape deletion (Wang et al. 2015; Salinas et al. 2013). It is important to note that, in normal individuals also, potentially selfreacting lymphocytes can still "leak out" in small numbers into the periphery, even under the strict surveillance of central and peripheral tolerance. Thus, depending upon the existence of self-reactive T and B lymphocytes and their abilities to produce autoantibodies, autoimmunity can be classified as "physiological" and "pathological" autoimmunity (Avrameas and Selmi 2013). Physiological autoimmunity is generally staged without evidence of clinical disease where natural autoantibodies help to maintain normal immune homeostasis by eliminating self and foreign antigens. On the other hand, pathological autoimmunity is a stage that develops when immune tolerance is broken and autoantibodies and self-reactive lymphocytes become involved in inflammation which further lead to development of autoimmune diseases (Wang et al. 2015).

4.3 Autoimmune Diseases

The breach of immune tolerance, i.e. the failure to differentiate self from non-self, leading to the development of autoimmunity is the basis for autoimmune diseases. Various pathologies caused by a dysregulated immune system characterized by chronic inflammation leading to pain or permanent damage to tissue are grouped under an umbrella term "autoimmune disorders." In simple words, autoimmune diseases are the variety of diseases arising due to the irregular functioning of the immune system, that leads to the generation of immune system reactivity (Viswanath 2013). Indeed, autoimmune diseases are multi-etiological entities that develop due to disturbed immunoregulatory processes, as well as environmental and genetic abnormalities. Heredity accounts for about 30% of the risk of developing an autoimmune disease, while non-inherited, environmental factors account for the remaining 70% risk (Viswanath 2013; Nagy et al. 2015). There is a permanent failure of one or several tolerance mechanisms in autoimmune diseases due to the cumulative effect of various specific human leukocyte antigen (HLA), non-HLA genes, and environmental factors and/or derailed immune regulatory processes. This

leads to the development of self-reactive B- and T-cell clones, which cause damage to tissues or organs (Ermann and Fathman 2001). There are nearly 100 distinct autoimmune diseases, some of which are organ-specific such as primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) and some of which reflect a variety of immunological dysfunction involving multiple organs such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (Wang et al. 2015; Yu et al. 2014). Thus, clinically autoimmune diseases can be classified as organ-specific (e.g., Type 1 diabetes mellitus) or systemic (e.g., systemic lupus erythematosus). The common types of autoimmune diseases such as Addison's disease, autoimmune hepatitis, celiac disease, Type 1 diabetes, Grave's disease (overactive thyroid), Guillain–Barre syndrome, Hashimoto's disease, hemolytic anemia, inflammatory myopathies, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, multiple sclerosis, myasthenia gravis, psoriasis, primary biliary cirrhosis, rheumatoid arthritis, Sjogren's syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus, and vitiligo with their pathogenesis aspects are described elsewhere (Viswanath 2013; Wang et al. 2015).

A simple hypothesis for autoimmune diseases is that polymorphisms in various genes result in imperfect regulation or reduced threshold for lymphocyte activation, and environmental factors commence or enhance activation of self-reactive lymphocytes that have escaped control and are composed to react against self-constituents (Rosenblum et al. 2015). Autoimmune disorders are a group of diseases wherein structural/functional damage to cells/tissues/organs/organ systems is caused by the action of immunologically competent cells/antibodies against normal body constituents. To initiate autoimmunity several endocrine, genetic, and environmental factors interact together on immune system by the following mechanisms (Ganapathy et al. 2017; Wucherpfennig 2001):

- 1. *Cytolysis of the target cells*: Due to the release of tissue-specific autoantibodies via complement;
- 2. *Immune complex deposition*: Due to the binding of auto-antibody to soluble mediators;
- 3. *Phagocytosis, cytotoxicity, and antibody-mediated cellular immunity*: Due to the auto-antibody-mediated attack on immune system;
- 4. *Molecular Mimicry*: Auto-antibody against foreign antigen and auto-antigen epitopes which mimic foreign antigen (cross reactive antigen) leading to tissue damage;
- 5. *Stimulation/obstruction of the target structure*: Due to the action on cell surface structures by autoantibodies.

Thus, the stimulation and maintenance of immune tolerance signify major therapeutic goals in autoimmunity-caused autoimmune diseases (Janikashvili et al. 2016).

4.4 Immunotherapy for Autoimmune Diseases: General Considerations

Immunotherapy is a field of immunology that facilitates discovery of therapies for diseases by means of stimulation, augmentation, or suppression of an immunoresponse. Simply, immunotherapy is a type of therapy which uses substances made by a body or in a laboratory to stimulate or suppress the immune system in order to improve or restore normal functions of the immune system, so that the body can effectively fight against cancer, infection, and other diseases. Immunotherapies capable of initiating or boosting the immune response are referred to as "activating immunotherapies," while those capable of repressing the immune response are referred to as "suppressive immunotherapies" (Wraith 2017), More recently, the potential of immunotherapy to enhance or repress immune responses has been globally recognized and appreciated particularly in two areas of immunotherapy, i.e. suppressing immunotherapies for autoimmune diseases and activating immunotherapies for cancer. In the twenty-first century, the "immunotherapy revolution" started, with the approval of ipilimumab for melanoma. These cancer treatment approaches were based on activating immunotherapies which inhibit the inhibitors of the immune system releasing the brakes on the immune system. checkpoint Subsequently, different immune inhibitors, co-stimulatory agonists have been discovered and commercialized for a number of cancer types (Wraith 2017; De Miguel-Luken et al. 2017; Chen and Mellman 2013). On the other hand, the increased understanding of mechanisms of autoimmunity in recent years has paved the way to new promising therapeutic strategies for treating autoimmune diseases. This leads to the development of new types of immunotherapeutics that are capable of effectively and selectively targeting the self-reacting immune cells, cytokines, and other mediators of the immune response and are now available as cutting-edge therapies for autoimmune disease patients (Ostrov 2015).

The foremost challenge in the treatment of autoimmune diseases is to selectively suppress the autoimmune disease without affecting the control of rest of the functional immune system over cancers and infectious diseases. Hence, development of novel treatments with increasing specificity for the particular autoimmune disease is important with no or decreased risk of potential side effects (Wraith 2017). Historically in the 1980s, intra-venous immunoglobulin (IVIG) became a standard approach in managing autoimmune disorders, after the serendipitous discovery of polyclonal IgG immunoglobulin for the treatment of autoimmune thrombocytopenia (Imbach et al. 1981). After subsequent trials on many autoimmune disorders, greater than 70% of the IVIG prescribed in the United States by 2014 was for autoimmune and inflammatory diseases rather than for immunodeficiency (Ballow 2014). In recent years, further advancements in the research with various kinds of innovative work identifying new receptors, signaling pathways, monoclonal antibodies (MABs) and with the development of hybridomas and molecular cloning led to the discovery of new biologic agents directing the new treatments for autoimmune diseases (Ostrov 2015).

The current treatment strategies of autoimmune diseases include two major approaches, first is a "conservative approach" where a symptomatic or replacement therapy is given and second is an "aggressive approach" where immunosuppressive or immune modulation therapy is preferred. For instance, autoimmune thyroid disease is mainly managed either by reducing the production of thyroxin at the stage of hyper functioning of the thyroid gland or by hormone replacement therapy when the gland is damaged. On the other hand, in systemic diseases like SLE which targets vital organs like kidney, the primary treatment is immunosuppressive therapy in order to prevent more organ damage. Generally in autoimmune disease, 60–70% response is observed for immunosuppression with gradual decrease in the response to the drug used. Although in few cases there is a long-lasting remission of autoimmune diseases, some of the autoimmune diseases go for clinical remission to relapse after sometime (Chandrashekara 2012). It is important to note that currently available steroid and non-steroid immunosuppressive medicines for autoimmune diseases also have limited efficacy and we have been dependent on non-specific immunosuppressive therapies for quite some time (Wraith 2017). Hence, there is an immense need to develop new approaches and ways to modulate the immune system for developing new therapeutic strategies of immunotherapy for different autoimmune diseases. In the last few decades, significant advancements have occurred in the approaches of immunosuppressive therapy for autoimmune diseases. Compared to the initial immunosuppressive drugs which were non-specific and interfering with larger pathways and cells, current immunosuppressive drugs are more target-specific with profound immunosuppression effect, increased remission rate, and reduced toxicity on other collateral systems (Feldmann and Steinman 2005; Böhm et al. 2006). In recent years, several new types and therapeutic strategies of immunotherapy for autoimmune diseases have evolved. James P. Allison and Tasuku Honjo were awarded the 2018 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine for the discovery of cancer therapy by inhibition of negative immunoregulation of CTLA4 and PD1 immune checkpoints. Immune checkpoint therapy has led to tremendous progress in clinical development and revolutionized cancer treatment. This seminal discovery has fundamentally improved the outcomes for many people with advanced cancer (Smyth and Teng 2018). Similarly, several emerging and promising next-generation immunotherapy modalities for autoimmune diseases such as checkpoint based immunotherapy, antigen-specific immunotherapies, anti-cytokine therapy, anti-Tcell therapy, anti-B-cell therapy and biologics and their combination therapy, etc., have evolved and initiated the new era of immunotherapy for autoimmune diseases over a past few decades. These modalities are being discussed in upcoming sections of this chapter.

4.5 Checkpoint-Based Immunotherapy for Autoimmune Diseases

As mentioned earlier, the important characteristic of an autoimmune disease is the induction of B-cell and T-cell autoreactivity directed against self proteins, i.e. autoantigens. In other words, self-tolerance is the unresponsiveness of the immune system to self-antigens, and dysregulation of immune homeostasis along with self-tolerance leads to autoimmunity, resulting in harmful inflammation in and destruction of autoantibodies generated by B-cells and self-tissues mediated by autoreactive T-cells (Zhang and Vignali 2016). During the T-cell development process, the majority of T-cells which are specific for self-antigens are erased or deleted in a process of thymic elimination to set up a focal tolerance prior to the entry of T-cells into the periphery (Hogquist et al. 2005). However, it is a known fact that, potentially self-reacting lymphocytes can still "leak out" in small numbers into the periphery, even under the strict surveillance of central and peripheral tolerance due to the incomplete thymic deletion process. Thus, in order to circumvent the attack on normal host cells by remaining self-specific T-cells, additional mechanisms are required. These mechanisms include inhibition of proliferation of self-antigen specific T-cells by development of regulatory T-cells (Tregs) and regulation of T-cell activation and their functions by development of checkpoint pathways. The peripheral tolerance mechanisms play a significant role in checking autoimmune diseases (He et al. 2017). According to the two-signal model, activation of native T-cells requires two signaling processes: stimulation by major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-peptide molecules of T-cell receptor (TCR), and co-stimulation on antigenpresenting cells (APCs) via co-stimulatory receptors and their corresponding ligands (Zhang and Vignali 2016). APCs express B7-1 (CD80) or B7-2 (CD86), the co-stimulatory molecules, which initiate the subsequent signals. co-stimulatory receptor CD28 recognizes these co-stimulating molecules and thus an engagement of both TCR and CD28 on same T-cells triggers their multiplication by prompting an initiating signal to the T-cells, leading to a T-cell response to a selfantigen (in autoimmunity) or a foreign antigen (He et al. 2017). On the other hand, the cytotoxic T lymphocyte associated antigen-4 (CTLA-4) which is a T-cell receptor inhibitor, has a more prominent affinity for CD86 and CD80 ligands than the stimulatory receptor CD28. Consequently, CTLA-4 competes with CD28 for CD80 and CD86 (co-stimulating molecules) thereby serving as a checkpoint for T-cell response further leading to hyporesponsiveness or T-cell anergy (Linsley et al. 1994). Similarly, programmed death-1 (PD-1) has also been recognized as an immune checkpoint on T-cells or other immune cells. Along with its cognate ligands PD-L1 or PD-L2, PD-1 plays an important role in the process of peripheral tolerance to protect normal host tissue against self-reactive or specific T-cells by two mechanisms: blocking the escape of self-reactive T-cells into the periphery and promoting Treg development and function (Francisco et al. 2010; Fife and Pauken 2011). Thus, the immune checkpoint pathways play a crucial role in maintaining health by modulating harmony between protective T-cell response and T-cell tolerance.

Despite the fact that advancements in checkpoint-based immunotherapies for autoimmune diseases are relatively slow compared to that for cancer, this field has attracted a great deal of research interest. In both cases the aims for checkpoint-based immunotherapies are different where activation of T-cells is the prime aim in treating the cancer and chronic infections, whereas blocking the activation of self-specific or self-reactive T-cells is the prime goal in the treatment of autoimmune diseases (He et al. 2017). CTLA4-Ig (Abatacept) is an FDA-approved drug used to treat diseases like juvenile idiopathic arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis, and is currently being tested for other autoimmune diseases in several clinical studies. Abatacept is a soluble recombinant human fusion protein that is characterized by an extracellular domain of human CTLA-4 which is linked to a modified Fc domain of human IgG1. This agent binds to the co-stimulatory molecules B7-1/B7-2 present on APCs and mimics the action of the native CTLA-4. This results in the downregulation of autoreactive effector T-cell responses due to competitive inhibition of the crucial CD28:B7–1/B7–2 co-stimulatory signaling pathway(s) required for T-cell activation (Ruperto et al. 2008). The use of an Fc-chimeric version of PD-L1 in an in vitro model has demonstrated collapse of self-reactive T-cells on administration of a PD-1 agonist (McKinney et al. 2015). It is also demonstrated that the de novo generation of Tregs from naïve CD4 T-cells is amplified by PD-L1 (Francisco et al. 2009). These discoveries imply that it is possible to achieve dual benefits by utilizing the therapeutic capability of Tregs and concurrently reducing the augmentation proliferation and the role of activated self-reactive T-cells. Thus, it is important to understand and study the different molecular mechanisms of checkpoint-based immunotherapeutic agents particularly on Tregs and autoreactive activated T-cells in order to overcome the several autoimmune diseases by this novel approach. However, it is also critical to understand the fact that immunotherapies that repress activation or induce collapse of autoreactive T-cells can possibly trigger global immunosuppression. This may cause damaged immune function against infected or newly mutated cells or decreased immune control of malignancy and chronic infections. Hence, the use of checkpoint-based immunotherapies for autoimmune diseases remains challenging, where there is a strong need to improve specificity of these agents in order to minimize the immune-related adverse effects (irAE) (He et al. 2017).

4.6 Auto-Antigen Specific Immunotherapies for Autoimmune Diseases

Antigen specificity is considered as a fundamental mechanism of adaptive immunity. An alternate appealing approach to avoid global immune-suppression that can affect overall control of the immune system during the treatment of autoimmune diseases by immunotherapy is believed to be auto-antigen specific immunotherapies (ASIs). Hypothetically, minimal damage would be caused to the overall defensive immunity against foreign antigens acquired from microbial pathogens and cancer cells by restricting the induction of T-cell exhaustion only to the activated autoreactive

(autoantigen-specific) T-cells that have escaped thymic deletion (He et al. 2017; Bluestone and Bour-Jordan 2012). It is a known fact that T-cell remains anergic (unresponsive) to the resultant antigenic challenges if it receives only antigen-specific stimulation through its TCR without the subsequent stimulation signal via its co-stimulating receptor (Chen and Flies 2013). In concurrence with this idea, ASI has been investigated in mouse models and has been reported to reverse or prevent autoimmune diseases, thus demonstrating that inducing tolerance to a finite number of autoantigens or epitopes is adequate to elicit therapeutic benefits (Macleod and Anderton 2015). Thus, the goal of ongoing research in immune tolerance is the development of autoantigen-specific immunotherapeutic treatments such as ASI that allow for the specific blockade of the harmful effects of self-reactive immune-cell function while retaining the ability of the immune system to clear non-self antigens (Miller et al. 2007).

Antigen-specific tolerance can be induced by introducing an antigen under tolerogenic conditions rather than immunogenic conditions. In reality, antigen introduced orally or in soluble form appears to decrease, and not potentiate, subsequent immune response to the antigen. Thus, antigen-specific tolerance forms the basis for the use of allergen extract-based immunotherapy to treat allergies, and has been suggested as a potential means to treat autoimmune diseases (Smilek et al. 2014). Although ASI for autoimmune disease has the potential to control the disease much like allergen-specific immunotherapy, there are basic differences between both, including that allergic diseases consist of helper T-cell; Th2 dominant responses, whereas autoimmune diseases consist of Th1and Th17 dominant responses. If we understand the pathophysiology and identify the autoantigens involved in particular autoimmune diseases, it is possible to manipulate autoantigen-related pathways to induce immune tolerance against self-antigens. Based on this concept, several considerable efforts have been made to use ASI approach to modify the immune response in autoimmune diseases. Several studies in animal models that stimulate chronic inflammatory conditions have found that controlled administration of autoantigens can provide protection from autoimmune disease (Hirsch and Ponda 2014). Table 4.1 summarizes several ASI studies reported for the treatment of different autoimmune diseases.

From Table 4.1, it is clear that T1D is one of the most researched autoimmune diseases due to the availability of well-defined autoantigens and NOD mouse models. Although ASI for T1D has shown promising results in animal models and Phase I trials, few have shown efficacy in Phase II studies, raising concern that ASI for T1D therapy may not be a viable option. In the case of MS, some animal studies of EAE reported limited efficacy of oral/nasal administration of soluble myelin peptides in prevention of EAE but not in treatment of EAE after onset. Unfortunately, human clinical trials with oral bovine MBP have not been successful, and the oral route appears limited in inducing tolerance in ongoing disease. ASIs for RA have been limited by the lack of systematic knowledge of the pathogenesis of autoimmunity; whereas more studies are necessary to study the putative role of ASI for celiac disease, SLE, and other autoimmune diseases (Hirsch and Ponda 2014).

Table 4.1 Reported ASI studies in the treatment of autoimmune diseases

| Autoimmune disease | Auto-antigen targeted | Type of study | ASI treatment approach | Findings | Ref |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Tvne | Insulin | Animal study in NOD | Administration of insulin | Protection/suppression of T1D | Chatenoud (2010) |
| 1 diabetes | proinsulin, | mice model | proinsulin, and insulin peptides | in NOD mice | |
| (T1D) | insulin | | by IV, SC, IN, and oral routes | | |
| | Populari. | E | :- | | |
| | Insulin | A multicenter RC1 | Oral insulin (2.5 mg or 7.5 mg | No difference observed | Chaillous et al. |
| | | | ually) | groups | (7000) |
| | Insulin | DPT-1: Double-blind trial | Oral insulin (5 mg daily) | No difference observed | Pozzilli et al. (2000) |
| | | | | between placebo and treatment | |
| | | | | groups | |
| | Insulin | A double-blind crossover | Intranasal insulin (1.6 mg) | Intranasal insulin was safe and | Harrison et al. |
| | | study | | caused immune changes that | (2004) |
| | | | | were consistent with insulin | |
| | | | | mucosal tolerance | |
| | Insulin | A RCT, non-blinded | SC insulin (0.25 unit/kg daily) | In persons at high risk for | Diabetes Prevention |
| | | | | diabetes, insulin does not delay | TrialType |
| | | | | or prevent type 1 diabetes | 1 Diabetes Study |
| | | | | | Group (2002) |
| | Insulin | A double-blind, RCT | Intranasal insulin (1 unit/kg | No difference observed | Näntö-Salonen et al. |
| | | | daily) | between placebo and treatment | (2008) |
| | | | | groups | |
| | Insulin | A randomized, four-arm, | SC injections of the altered | No effects | Walter et al. (2009) |
| | peptide | placebo-controlled, dose- | peptide ligand, NBI-6024 at | | |
| | | ranging phase 2 trial | 1, 0.5, or 0.1 mg repeated doses | | |
| | GAD65 | Animal study in NOD | IP injection of 100 μg GAD65 | Prevents and inhibits | Tian et al. (1996) |
| | | mice model | in 50% IFA | autoimmune damage of β-cells | |
| | GAD65 | Animal study in NOD | IV administration | Suppression of the | Tisch et al. (1998) |
| | | mice model | | diabetogenic response is by | |

Continued

| Autoimmune disease | Auto-antigen targeted | Type of study | ASI treatment approach | Findings | Ref |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------|
| | | | | induction of GAD65-specific CD4+ regulatory T-cells | |
| | GAD65 | Animal study in NOD | IN administration using CTB | Using CTB as a vaccine carrier, | Wang et al. (2009) |
| | | mice model | as a vaccine caitier | tandem GALOS peptides can prevent T1D in NOD mice at the late stage of disease | |
| | GAD65 | Animal study in NOD | Oral administration of GAD65 | Significant decrease in | Gong et al. (2010) |
| | | mice model | CTB | inflammation of pancreatic islets and diabetes | |
| | GAD65 | Randomized, double- | SC injections of 20 µg of | Significant efficacy was | Ludvigsson et al. |
| | | blind, placebo-controlled phase II study | recombinant human GAD65 (Diamyd®) | reported in preservation of β -cell function | (2008) |
| | GAD65 | A randomized double- | SC injections of 20 µg | No difference in loss of insulin | Wherrett et al. |
| | | blind trial, phase II | GAD-alum (Diamyd [®]) | secretion | (2011) |
| | GAD65 | Phase III study | SC injections of 20 µg | There was no significant | Ludvigsson et al. |
| | | | GAD-alum (Diamyd®) | difference in β-cell function | (2012) |
| | | | | (assessed by C-peptide levels) | |
| | | | | between treatment and placebo after follow-up of 15 months | |
| | HSP60 | Animal study in NOD mice model | IN vaccination of DiaPep277, a synthetic peptide derived from human HSP60 | Prevents T1D development | Fierabracci (2011) |
| | HSP60 | A phase III, double-blind, randomized, parallel | SC injections of DiaPep277 or placebo | DiaPep277 safely contributes to preservation of β -cell | Raz et al. (2014) |
| | | group, placebo-controlled, study | | function and to improved glycemic control in patients with type 1 diabetes | |

| Multiple sclerosis (MS) | MBP | EAE animal model for MS | IN administration of encephalitogenic peptide | IN dose of peptide profoundly inhibited EAE when administered prior to disease induction | Metzler and Wraith (1993) |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------|
| | MBP | Novel DA rat system | IN administration of MBP | Prevented induced EAE | Bai et al. (1998) |
| | PLP | EAE animal model for MS | Treatment of oligomerized T-cell epitope of myelin proteolipid protein (PLP139–151) | Disease protection was shown to be antigen-specific and effective in both prevention and treatment of ongoing EAE | Puentes et al. (2013) |
| | MBP | Double-blind human clinical trial | Daily capsules of bovine myelin or a control protein | Reduction in T-cells that are reactive with myelin basic protein | Weiner et al. (1993) |
| | MBP | Phase I clinical trial | IV administration of synthetic peptides containing epitope P85 VVHFFKNIVTP96 | IV administration of this peptide induced tolerance | Warren et al. (1997) |
| | APL of MBP | Phase II clinical trial | SC administration of 50 mg to 5 mg CGP77116 (APL) weekly | APL resulted in disease exacerbation | Bielekova et al. (2000) |
| | APL of MBP | Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase II trial | SC administration of 50 mg to 5 mg NBI 5788 (APL) weekly | Hypersensitivity reactions (9% incidence) | Kappos et al. (2000) |
| | MBP MOG PLP | 1 year, placebo-controlled cohort study | TD treatment with a mixture of 3 myelin peptides: MBP85–99, PLP139–151, and MOG35–55 | TD treatment caused immunologic tolerance to myelin antigens | Juryńczyk et al. (2010) |
| | MBP MOG PLP | 1 year double-blind, placebo-controlled cohort study | Skin patch comprising a mixture of three myelin peptides: MOG35–55, MBP85–99, PLP139–155 | The patch was safe and well tolerated and significantly reduced the measures of disease activity | Walczak et al. (2013) |

 Table 4.1 (continued)

| Autoimmune disease | Auto-antigen targeted | Type of study | ASI treatment approach | Findings | Ref |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) | CII | Animal study in polyarthritis model (mice) | ID injection of 300 ug of CII in emulsified form | Decreased incidence of collagen-induced arthritis | Nagler-Anderson et al. (1986) |
| | CII | Animal study in WA/KIR rats | Oral administration of CII by gavage, before disease onset | Reduced (IgG)-collagen specific antibodies; developed a T-cell population that could transfer tolerance to naïve mice | Thompson et al. (1993) |
| | CII | Animal study in inbred female Lewis rats | Oral administration of 20 mg mbSA/ml of distilled water | No therapeutic effect after oral administration | Yoshino (1995) |
| | CII | Animal study in male DBA/1 mice | Single oral administration of PLGA-CII (nanoparticles) | PLGA may serve as a powerful vehicle to promote the tolerance effect of oral CII | Kim et al. (2002) |
| | CII | A double-blind, randomized clinical trial | A daily oral dose of 0.5 mg triple helical CII | A significant increase in disease activity | Hauselmann (1998) |
| | dnaJP1 | A pilot clinical trial | Oral administration of dnaJP1 for 6 months | Upregulated T-cell production of IL-4/IL-10 and downregulated production of IL-2, IFNγ, TNFα | Prakken et al. (2004) |
| | dnaJP1 | A double-blind, placebo- controlled, pilot phase II trial | Oral doses of 25 mg of dnaJP1 or placebo daily for 6 months | Induced IL-10 production and inhibited TNFα | Koffeman et al. (2009) |
| | Hsp60 | Animal study in female inbred Lewis rats and BALB/c and BALB/c Thy I.1 mice | ID, SC treatment by APL-1 designed from a novel epitope of Hsp60 | Enhanced Tregs and inhibited disease progression | Dominguez et al. (2011) |
| | Hsp60 | Clinical study | APL-1 treatment to PBMCs derived from RA patients | APL-1 upregulated Tregs and caused apoptosis of activated CD4+ cells, thus regulating inflammatory immune responses | Barberá et al. (2013) |

| Systemic lupus Nucleosomal histones | Animal study in (SWR x NZB)F1 mouse model of | IV administration of peptides from histone region | Treatment delayed the onset of severe lupus nephritis. | Kaliyaperumal et al. (1999) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | sndnl | | prolonged survival, and even halted the progression of renal disease | |
| snRNP | Animal study in lupus- prone MRL/lpr mice | IV administration of phosphorylated peptide, P140 in saline | nd anti- on, and | Monneaux et al. (2003) |
| nRNP | An open-label, dose- escalation phase II clinical study | SC administrations of a clinical batch of P140 (IPP-201101) peptide at 2-week intervals | Survival of treated mice Safe and tolerable; primary endpoint of reduction in anti- dsDNA antibody titers achieved | Muller et al. (2008) |

IgG immunoglobulin G, mBSA methylated bovine albumin, Hsp60 heat-shock protein 60, PBMCs peripheral blood mononuclear cells, snRNP NOD non-obese diabetic, IV intra-venous, SC subcutaneous, IN intranasal, RCT randomized controlled trial, DPT-1 diabetes prevention trial of type I diabetes, GAD65 glutamic acid decarboxylase 65-kilodalton isoform, CTB cholera toxin B subunit, IP intraperitoneal, HSP60 heat-shock protein 60, MBP myelin basic protein, MOG myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein, PLP proteolipid protein, APL altered peptide ligands, TD transdermal, CII type II collagen, ID intradermal, ribonucleoproteins

4.7 Anti-Cytokine (Anti-IL-1, Anti-IL-6, Anti-TNF Agents) Therapy for Autoimmune Diseases

During the development of the normal immune response, cytokines not only regulate a broad range of physiological processes but are also involved in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases. Autoimmune pathogenesis can be triggered when immune system cells recognize self tissue as foreign and the balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines is disturbed. Thus, anti-cytokine treatment alone and/or in combination with varied classes of immune suppressive molecules is highly effective, where some cytokines have been successfully identified as potential targets for the therapy of inflammatory/autoimmune diseases. Many anti-cytokine therapeutics are currently being used clinically, and many biologicals are in the pipeline (Astrakhantseva et al. 2014). There are three main categories of anti-cytokine agents which are popular for the treatment of autoimmune diseases: anti-interleukin 1 (IL-1), anti-interleukin 6 (IL-6), and anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) agents.

In 1975, TNF-α was found to be a specific product of macrophages and lymphocytes that induced breakdown of specific types of cells which also include tumor cells (Carswell et al. 1975). TNF- α is present on cell surfaces of lymphocytes and macrophages as a transmembrane protein. Cleavage of this protein leads to release of soluble TNFa. There are two TNF receptors that regulate the function of this mediator—TNFR1 and TNFR2. The TNFR1 receptor is membrane bound and upon stimulation by TNF-α releases other cytokines such as IL-2 and interferon (IFN), while the soluble TNFR2 receptor is present in the extracellular milieu where it serves to deactivate soluble TNF and blunts its inflammatory activity (Ostrov 2015). Various clinical trials of TNF inhibitors have revealed that it is possible to abrogate immune system activation, control inflammation, mitigate damage to joints, and sometimes cause stable remission in patients after discontinuing anti-TNF therapy merely by inhibiting a single cytokine (Huang et al. 2012; Verazza et al. 2013; Regueiro et al. 2014). Infliximab is the first TNF inhibitor that was found to be effective for patients with RA and Crohn's disease (unresponsive to conventional therapy). Later, it was also demonstrated to be efficacious in the treatment of psoriasis and ankylosing spondyloarthritis. Currently, various autoimmune diseases are effectively being treated with the use of TNF inhibitors, and five TNF inhibitors are approved in the majority of developed countries (Astrakhantseva et al. 2014).

IL-1, the first identified cytokine, was called the "endogenous pyrogen" because of its main action of inducing fever. IL-1 α and IL-1 β are the active products of this cytokine. Inactive IL-1 β is cleaved to the active form by the inflammasome complex leading to the signs of inflammation (Ostrov 2015). IL-1 cytokines are a key factor in regulating the immune response and developing inflammation by controlling the expression of numerous effector proteins like chemokines, cytoplasmic metalloproteinases, cytokines, etc. (Dinarello 1996). Dysregulated IL-1 α / β synthesis/secretion may result in grave pathologies. Upregulated IL-1 α / β due to activation of its synthesis/secretion by triggering inflammasomes is generally responsible for many "classic" chronic inflammatory diseases. Several chronic inflammatory diseases including cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes (CAPS), gout, multiple

sclerosis, hypertension, type-2 diabetes, etc., are associated particularly with the increased level or production of IL-1 β . These chronic inflammatory diseases are actively treated by IL-1 inhibitors like anakinra, rilonacept, and canakinumab which inhibit signal transduction pathways via IL-1/IL-1receptor (IL-1R) and thus crosstalk with the cycle of inflammation (Astrakhantseva et al. 2014).

Another key cytokine which along with IL-1 and TNF contributes to inflammation in autoimmune diseases is IL-6. It stimulates B-cell antibody production, elevates inflammatory serum markers (especially C-reactive protein), and promotes Th17 cell maturation (Ostrov 2015). Dimerization of gp130 is initiated by the receptor binding of IL-6 which leads to the activation of JAK tyrosine kinases. Activated JAKs further phosphorylate and activate STAT transcription factors, e.g. STAT3 for IL-6 receptor; hence, dysregulation of this cytokine network may result in autoimmune diseases, chronic and acute inflammations, and neoplastic disorders (Astrakhantseva et al. 2014; Heinrich et al. 1998). The uncontrolled production of IL-6 may cause various chronic inflammatory and autoimmune diseases by shifting the balance to the side of Th17/Th1 side with Treg reduction (Kimura and Kishimoto 2010). Tocilizumab, an inhibitor of IL-6, was the initial molecule clinically approved in its class. It alters common IL-6-receptor (IL-6R) complex functioning, and inhibits downstream activation of adhesion molecules, osteoclasts, and maturation of both B- and T-cells (Rosman et al. 2013). This agent is approved by the FDA to treat RA, adult-onset Still's disease (AOSD), systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), and polyarticular JIA. Tocilizumab has also undergone recent promising trials in SLE and Crohn's Disease (CrD) (Ostrov 2015). The clinical success of tocilizumab led to the development of other inhibitors of IL-6 such as sirukumab, sarilumab, olokizumab, and clazakizumab, which are now in the second phase of clinical trial (Tanaka and Mola 2014). Table 4.2 summarizes the recent anti-cytokine immunotherapeutics with their characteristic features and therapeutic applications. In summary, besides the few limitations of anti-cytokine immunotherapy due to its ability to affect basic protective bodily functions through specific cytokines, its use in the clinical setting for autoimmune diseases and chronic inflammatory diseases is, indeed, revolutionary. Based on enhanced understanding of the molecular mechanisms of cytokine-associated pathologies, it is already being actively used in several countries and will most certainly become a trail-blazing trend in clinical medicine in the future (Astrakhantseva et al. 2014).

4.8 Anti-T-Cell Therapy for Autoimmune Diseases

Emerging knowledge from the current developments in the field of immunotherapy has revealed that peripheral tolerance mechanisms that fail in autoimmunity are implicated in progressive malignancies and chronic infections. Thus, pathways targeted for therapeutic intervention in autoimmune diseases can be modulated in the opposite sense in malignancy and infectious disease (Bucktrout et al. 2018). Major therapeutic strategies of anti-T-cell therapy for autoimmune diseases are

Table 4.2 List of recent anti-cytokine immunotherapeutics used for various autoimmune diseases

| Cytokine target | Drug | Structure | Half life (approx.) | Therapeutic applications |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Soluble and membrane | Infliximab | Mouse/human chimeric IgG1 mAb | 14 days | CrD, UC, RA, AS, PsA, Ps |
| bound TNF-α | Etanercept | TNFR2 dimer bound to fc-fragment of human IgG1 | 70 hours | RA, JIA, PsA, AS, Ps |
| | Adalimumab | Human IgG1 mAb | 14 days | RA, JIA, PsA, CrD, AS |
| | Golimumab | Human IgG1 mAb | 14 days | RA, PsA, AS |
| | Certolizumab pegol | PEGylated fab-fragment of human IgG1 mAb | 14 days | CrD, RA, PsA, AS |
| IL-1α/β | Anakinra | Recombinant human IL-1 receptor antagonist | 4–6 hours | RA, CAPS |
| | Rilonacept | Dimer of IL-1R1 and IL-1RAcP bound to fc-fragment of IgG1 | 7.5 days | CAPS, Muckle-Wells syndrome |
| | Canakinumab | Human IgG1 mAb | 26 days | CAPS, Muckle-Wells syndrome |
| IL-6R | Tocilizumab | Human IgG1 mAb | 11–13 days | RA, Castleman disease, JIA clinical |
| | Sarilumab | Human IgG1 mAb | 8–10 days | RA, AS |
| | Sirukumab | Human IgG1 mAb | 15–19 days | RA, SLE |
| sIL-6R | Sgp130Fc | Human gp130 extracellular domain bound to IgG1Fc- fragment | 72 hours | RA |
| IL-6 | Olokizumab | Human IgG1 mAb | 31.5 days (SD 12.4 days) | CrD, RA |
| | Clazakizumab | Human IgG1 mAb | 30 days | RA |

AS ankylosing spondyloarthritis, CAPS cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes, CrD Crohn's disease, IL-6R IL-6 receptor, JIA juvenile idiopathic arthritis, mAb monoclonal antibody, PsA psoriatic arthritis, Ps psoriasis, RA rheumatoid arthritis, sIL-6R soluble IL-6 receptor, UC ulcerative colitis

immunomodulation of T-cell co-stimulation, migration, and inflammation. The rationale behind the development of such therapies is that such immunotherapeutics could selectively target pathogenic T-cells during autoimmune conditions. It has been observed that CD28, a co-stimulatory molecule, expresses on T-cells; and that interaction of CD28 with B7–1 or B7–2 is necessary for T-cell activation as well as effector function. Thus, inhibiting CD28/B7 interactions in the TCR signaling axis may lead to tolerance resulting from deletion of T-cells and/or anergy, which is important to re-establish tolerance in autoimmune diseases (Bluestone and

Bour-Jordan 2019). In vivo studies showed that abrogation of CD28 signaling by means of a fusion protein of CTLA4Ig was efficacious in ameliorating many autoimmune diseases such as MS or SLE (Scalapino and Daikh 2008). Two fusion proteins (CTLA4Ig) composed of the Fc region of the immunoglobulin IgG1 fused to the extracellular domain of CTLA-4, viz., Abatacept and Belatacept (the higheraffinity Belatacept which is a second-generation variant) demonstrated more than 50% response rate in patients with psoriasis and RA who were refractory to anti-TNF- α therapy; these are approved by FDA for RA/JIA (Genovese et al. 2005). However, abatacept could not reduce disease flares during Phase II studies on SLE patients treated with oral corticosteroids, and was found ineffective in a Phase III trial of CrD (Merrill et al. 2010). The lack of response to abatacept in patients with CrD may be due to limited co-stimulatory activity by intestinal T-cells which do not express CD28 (Mayer et al. 2012). In a recent multi-center trial in newly diagnosed T1D patients, treatment with abatacept for 2 years was well tolerated and delayed the reduction in B-cell function compared with placebo (Orban et al. 2011). Many clinical studies of abatacept or belatacept are currently ongoing in SLE, MS, or T1D. Current therapy with CTLA4Ig was not found to lead to extensive immunosuppression or augmented infection rates, which is clearly beneficial in the therapy of autoimmune disease (Bluestone and Bour-Jordan 2019). Alefacept is a fusion protein of LFA-3-Ig that inhibits the interaction of lymphocyte function-associated antigen 3 (LFA-3/CD58) on the APCs with CD2, a co-stimulatory molecule on T-cells. It was reported to be efficacious in decreasing lesions in a psoriasis Phase III trial and is currently FDA-approved for psoriasis (Sugiyama et al. 2008). It has been reported that interactions between CD154 and CD40, the former on T-cells and the latter on APCs, are critical for stimulating autoreactive T-cells, activating APCs and producing autoantibodies; hence therapeutic abrogation of this pathway appeared promising in the 1990s. Unfortunately, clinical studies of anti-CD154 monoclonal antibodies were initiated in many autoimmune diseases such as SLE, CrD, MS, and psoriasis, but had to be discontinued due to occurrence of many thromboembolic events (Bluestone and Bour-Jordan 2019).

It is a well-known fact that key factors for the inducing or maintaining tolerance include T-cell trafficking and lymph node occupancy. Hence, immunotherapies which target T-cell migration in autoimmune disease may be used in combination therapy during immunosuppression to enable homing to lymph nodes of autoreactive T-cells and their tolerization (Bluestone and Bour-Jordan 2019; Ochando et al. 2005). Based on this theory, two most successful drugs developed for cell trafficking blockade are anti-integrin mAbsnatalizumab and efalizumab. These drugs are indicated in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS), CrD, and psoriasis (Dubertret et al. 2006; Derfuss et al. 2013). Natalizumab, an FDA-approved mAb for CrDor RRMS, for patients suffering from severe disease or disease which is non-responsive to other standard-of-care, needs to carry an additional label warning. Further, efalizumab has been voluntarily withdrawn by manufacturer due the association of progressive leukoencephalopathy (PML) cases with the treatment (Hartung 2009). Another drug fingolimod capable of regulating T-cell trafficking by crosstalk with members

of the sphingosine pathway was also found to improve the rate of relapse and progression to disability within 1–2 years in a Phase III clinical trial of RRMS patients and thereby turned out to become the first oral therapeutic approved by the FDA for MS (Kappos et al. 2010; Cohen et al. 2010). Due to the adverse effects associated with fingolimod therapy, second-generation molecules targeting the sphingosine signaling pathway are in development with potentially decreased side effects, and clinical trials are in progress for many autoimmune diseases (Bluestone and Bour-Jordan 2019). Along with these T-cell immunomodulation strategies, immunotherapies targeting proinflammatory cytokines (discussed in the previous section) or other inflammatory mediators in autoimmune diseases are also found to be useful, not just for improvement in clinical parameters but also for their ability to restore tolerance.

4.9 Anti-B-Cell Therapy for Autoimmune Diseases

The B-cell humoral response leading to production of autoantibodies and immune complexes contributes to manifestations of autoimmune diseases such as SLE and Sjogren's syndrome. The B-cell dysfunction contributes to the development of autoimmune phenomena via anomalies in B-cell's mechanisms such as antigen presentation, cytokine release, and T-cell activation (Ostrov 2015). From the last 10-15 years, B-cells are the recognized therapeutic targets for the treatment of autoimmune diseases. Presently, several promising and very efficient drugs specifically targeting plasma cells or B-cells are either in clinical use or under development for the treatment of several autoimmune diseases. These B-cell-directed therapies have proven to be therapeutically effective not only in classic B-cell/autoantibodydriven disorders, such as antibody/immune-complex-mediated SLE, autoimmune blistering skin diseases, or myasthenia gravis, but also in diseases that are believed to be mainly driven by T-cells, most importantly MS or RA (Hofmann et al. 2018). B-cells were recognized for their function as immune response enhancers in autoimmunity, as a result of their ability to generate autoantibody-producing plasma cells, and elicit CD4⁺T-cell responses by antigen presentation. Such B-cells are typically classified as effector B-cells. Recently, studies indicated a potential role(s) of B-cells as negative sensors of immune response in autoimmunity, implicating interleukin 10 (IL-10) regulatory B-cell compartment (Breg). Thus, the abrogation of autoreactive effector B-cells in consonance with enhancement of autoantigen-driven Bregs, with immune surveillance maintenance, may be a key strategy to target B-cells. Anti-B-cell immunotherapies employ drugs directed against B-cell surface markers such as CD20/CD22, activating factors such as BAFF/TACI, and cytokines such as IL-6/TNF α /IFN α to target these B-cells (Musette and Bouaziz 2018). Table 4.3 summarizes the recent strategies to target B-cells with their drugs and implications in autoimmune diseases.

From the literature, it is clear that a great advancement has been made in decreasing resident and circulating B-cells in inflamed tissues or secondary lymphoid organs. The future of immunotherapy may require specific targeting,

Table 4.3 Recent anti-B-cell immunotherapy strategies with respective drugs and their implications

| Anti-B-cell | | | | Implications in | |
|---|-----------------|---|--------------|---|--|
| immunotherapy | Specific | | Drugs under | autoimmune | |
| strategy | target | Structure | development | diseases | Ref. |
| Drugs directed against B-cell surface markers | CD20 | Type I anti-CD20 humanized/human mAbs | Rituximab, | RA, pemphigus, GPA, and MPA | Guillevin et al. (2014) and Joly et al. (2017) |
| | | | Ofatumumab | RA, MS | Pers and Jorgensen (2016) |
| | | | Ocrelizumab | RRMS | Hauser et al. (2017) |
| | | Type II anti-CD20 humanized mAb | Obinutuzumab | I | Musette and Bouaziz (2018) |
| | CD19 | A human IgG1 anti-CD19 mAb | Inebilizumab | MS | Agius et al. (2015) |
| | CD19 & CD3 | A recombinant mouse derived bispecific mAb | Blinatumomab | I | Velasquez et al. (2018) |
| | CD22 | Humanized mAb | Epratuzumab | SLE | Wallace et al. (2013) |
| Drugs directed against B-cell activating factors | BAFF | Human anti-BAFF mAb | Belimumab | SLE | Blair and Duggan (2018) |
| | BAFF | Human IgG4 mAb | Tabalumab | SLE | Hofmann et al. (2018) |
| | APRIL | Recombinant fusion protein combined with the extracellular ligand binding portion of TACI | Atacicept | RA, SLE | Hofmann et al. (2018) |
| Direct targeting of plasma cells | Plasma cells | Small molecule proteasome inhibitor | Bortezomib | Sjögren's syndrome, refractory SLE, TTP | Hofmann et al. (2018) |
| Inhibition of B-cell receptor signaling | BTK | Pharmacological BTK inhibitor | Ibrutinib | SLE, GVHD | Kil et al. (2012) and Miklos et al. (2017) |
| | | | | | |

mAbs monoclonal antibodies, RA rheumatoid arthritis, GPA granulomatosis with polyangiitis, MPA microscopic polyangiitis, RRMS relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis, SLE systemic lupus erythematosus, APRIL a proliferation-inducing ligand, TACI transmembrane activator and calcium-modulating cyclophilin ligand interactor, TTP thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, BTK Bruton's tyrosine kinase, GVHD graft versus host disease

particularly of B-cell pathogenic effector functions, and augmentation of their regulatory role(s) without altering B-cell-dependent immune surveillance. Indeed, better patient management will be possible with better targeted therapeutics against specific B-cell populations and their functions (Musette and Bouaziz 2018).

4.10 Current Immunotherapeutics in Clinical Trials for Autoimmune Diseases

Several clinical trials are being performed on immunotherapeutics for autoimmune diseases as seen in Table 4.4. The majority of these molecules are being tested for safety and efficacy in psoriasis and rheumatoid arthritis. In both these diseases, one notes a larger proportion of immunotherapeutics in advanced clinical testing including Phases II and III. There is also considerable work that is ongoing with several immunotherapeutics in clinical trials for SLE, many of which are in Phase I or II. Interestingly, there are fewer immunotherapeutics in clinical trials for IBD, Crohn's disease, and MS; however, the few that are being investigated are mostly in Phase III. As seen in Table 4.4, there are a huge number of immunotherapeutics in clinical trials for various autoimmune diseases, and the number is likely to increase in the near future given the promise of immunotherapy in these diseases.

4.11 Financial Toxicity of Immunotherapies in Autoimmune Diseases

In recent years, the cost of immunotherapies in general and indeed for autoimmune diseases is reaching great proportions which brings into picture the financial burden which the patients and their families suffer from in different parts of the world despite having access to health insurance (Nipp et al. 2018; Zaprutko et al. 2017). The spectrum from diagnosis to treatment and post treatment care involves huge investments, financial as well as emotional, by the patients and their families who are afflicted by autoimmune diseases like CrD, inflammatory bowel syndrome (IBD), Grave's disease, MS, psoriasis, RA, Sjogren's syndrome, SLE, etc. (Lerner et al. 2015).

Inflammatory Bowel Syndrome, Crohn's Disease

Zheng et al. and Ylisaukko-Oja et al. discussed in their studies how along with cost of biologics, the secondary costs for outpatient visits, hospitalization, telephone consultation, laboratory visit, surgery, imaging, endoscopy added to the total cost of the treatments of inflammatory bowel syndrome (IBD) (Zheng et al. 2017; Ylisaukko-Oja et al. 2019). According to Berns et al., in previous years a patient with CrD was burdened with surgical intervention and hospitalization charges only but with modern anti-TNF- α biologic era the treatment itself accounted for 64% of the total cost, which was around \$22,663 for infliximab in the United States. However such burden can be brought under control in future by the use of

Table 4.4 Current immunotherapeutics in clinical trials for autoimmune diseases

| | | | Number | | Clinical trial | | |
|-----|--------------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-------|---|
| Sr | | | of | Recruitment | registry | | |
| no. | Autoimmune disease | Intervention | patients | status | identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| - | Crohn's disease | Guselkumab, Ustekinumab | 2000 | Recruiting | NCT03466411 | Ш/Ш | Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study of |
| | | | | | | | the Efficacy and Safety of |
| | | | | | | | Guselkumab in Participants |
| | | | | | | | With Moderately to Severely |
| | | | | | | | Active Crohn's Disease |
| | | | | | | | (GALAXI) Study Results - |
| | | | | | | | Clin [online] Available |
| | | | | | | | https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT03466411 |
| 2 | Crohn's disease | Risankizumab | 940 | Recruiting | NCT03105128 | III | Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study of |
| | | | | | | | the Efficacy and Safety of |
| | | | | | | | Risankizumab in Subjects |
| | | | | | | | With Moderately to Severely |
| | | | | | | | Active Crohn's Disease |
| | | | | | | | Study Results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | | | | | | | NCT03105128 |
| 3 | Crohn's disease | Ustekinumab | 009 | Recruiting | NCT03942120 | IV | Clinicaltrials.gov. Post- |
| | | | | | | | Marketing Surveillance for |
| | | | | | | | Crohn's Disease Participants |
| | | | | | | | Treated With Stelara |
| | | | | | | | (Ustekinumab) (STELARA |
| | | | | | | | CD PMS) Study Results - |
| | | | | | | | Clin [online] Available |
| | | | | | | | https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ |
| | | | | | | | show/NCT03942120 |
| | | | | | | | |

Table 4.4 (continued)

| | | | Number | | Clinical trial | | |
|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-------|---|
| | | | of | Recruitment | registry | | |
| Au | Autoimmune disease | Intervention | patients | status | identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| Crc | Crohn's disease | Ustekinumab, Adalimumab | 350 | Recruiting | NCT03464136 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. Safety and Efficacy of Adalimumab Versus Ustekinumab for One Year (SEAVUE) Stuy Results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03464136 |
| lul lul | Inflammatory bowel disease | Infliximab | 09 | Recruiting | NCT03445624 | | Clinicaltrials.gov. Abdominal Ultrasound With Doppler and Peripheral Hemogram in Assesment Inflammatory Bowel Disease Study Results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03445624 |
| Inf | Inflammatory bowel disease | Infliximab | 194 | Recruiting | NCT02994836 | IV | Clinicaltrials.gov. GIS-SUSANTI-TNF-2015 (Anti-TNF Discontinuation) Study Results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT02994836 |
| Inf | Inflammatory bowel disease | Vedolizumab | 2500 | Recruiting | NCT03375424 | Ш | Clinicaltrials.gov. Vedolizumab Study With Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients in Germany (VEDOibdI) Study Results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT03375424 |

| ∞ | Grave's ophthalmopathy | RVT-1401 | ∞ | Recruiting | NCT03922321 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. Study of RVT-1401 for the Treatment of Patients With Moderate to Severe Active Graves' Ophthalmopathy (GO) Study Results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03922321 |
|----|------------------------|--------------|----|------------------------|-------------|---|--|
| 6 | Grave's orbitopathy | Teprotumumab | 88 | Active, not recruiting | NCT03298867 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. Treatment of Graves' Orbitopathy (Thyroid Eye Disease) to Reduce Proptosis With Teprotumumab Infusions in a Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Clinical Study (OPTIC) Study Results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03298867 |
| 10 | Multiple sclerosis | ATA188 | 09 | Recruiting | NCT03283826 | I | Clinicaltrials.gov. Phase 1 Study to Evaluate the Safety of ATA 188 in Subjects With Progressive and Relapsing- Remitting Multiple Sclerosis Study Results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03283826 |

| ⊐ |
|----------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 8 |
| ્ઇ |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 4 |
| |
| ÷ |
| ~ |
| |
| |
| a |
| |
| |
| <u>_</u> |
| _ |
| |
| æ |
| |
| |
| Ë |

| | | Z | Number | Recmitment | Clinical trial | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------|--------|------------------------|----------------|-------|---|
| Autoimmune disease Intervention | ntervention | D D | ients | status | identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| Multiple sclerosis Elezanumab | Slezanumab | <u> </u> | 165 | Recruiting | NCT03737851 | н | Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study to Assess the Safety and Efficacy of Elezanumab When Added to Standard of Care in Relapsing Forms of Multiple Sclerosis Study Results - Clin [online] Available https:// |
| Multiple sclerosis Ocrelizumab | Ocrelizumab | = | 1500 | Recruiting | NCT03599245 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. This is an Extension Study of the Roche P-trial to Investigate Safety and Effectiveness of a Single Ocrelizumab Dose in Participants With Multiple Sclerosis (MS) Study Results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03599245 |
| Multiple sclerosis Ofatumumab |)fatumumab | 6 | 929 | Active, not recruiting | NCT02792218 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. Efficacy and Safety of Ofatumumab Compared to Teriflunomide in Patients With Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis (ASCLEPIOS I) Study Results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT02792218 |

| 41 | Multiple sclerosis | Rituximab | 200 | Recruting | NCT03979456 | Ш | Clinicaltrials.gov. Rluximab Long-Term DOSE Trial in Multiple Sclerosis - RIDOSE- MS (RIDOSE-MS) Study Results - Clin [online] Available https//clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03979456 |
|----|--------------------|-------------|-----|------------------------|-------------|----|---|
| 15 | Multiple sclerosis | Ublituximab | 48 | Active, not recruiting | NCT02738775 | II | Clinicaltrials.gov. Phase 2 Study of Ublituximab in Patients With Relapsing Forms of Multiple Sclerosis Study Results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT02738775 |
| 16 | Plaque Psoriasis | Adalimumab | 400 | Recruiting | NCT03849404 | Ш | Clinicaltrials.gov. Efficacy, Safety, and Immunogenicity of AVT02 With Moderate-to- Severe Chronic Plaque Psoriasis Study Results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT03849404 |
| 17 | Psoriasis | Adalimumab | 56 | Completed | NCT03217734 | п | Clinicaltrials.gov. MAP Study: Methotrexate and Adalimumab in Psoriasis (MAP) Study Results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03217734 |

Table 4.4 (continued)

| | | | Number | | Clinical trial | | |
|-----|--|--------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-------|--|
| Sr | | | of | Recruitment | registry | | |
| no. | Autoimmune disease | Intervention | patients | status | identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| 18 | Generalized Pustular Psoriasis (GPP) | ANB019 | 01 | Recruiting | NCT03619902 | П | Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of ANB019 in Subjects With Generalized Pustular Psoriasis (GPP) Study Results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03619902 |
| 19 | Chronic Plaque Psoriasis | Bimekizumab | 43 | Completed | NCT03230292 | П | Clinicaltrials.gov. This is a Study to Evaluate the Long- term Safety, Tolerability and Efficacy of Bimekizumab in Adult Patients With Chronic Plaque Psoriasis Study Results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03230292 |
| 20 | Moderate to Severe Chronic Plaque Psoriasis | Bimekizumab | 1355 | Recruiting | NCT03598790 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Bimekizumab in Adult Subjects With Moderate to Severe Chronic Plaque Psoriasis (BE BRIGHT) Study Results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03598790 |

| 71 | Moderate to Severe Flaque Psoriasis | Bimekizumab, Adalimumab | 084 | Active, not recruiting | NC103412/4/ | ≣ | Cumcattrans.gov. A study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of bimekizumab in adult subjects with moderate to severe chronic plaque psoriasis (BE SURE) Study results - Clin [online] Available https:// |
|----|--|----------------------------|-----|------------------------|-------------|---|---|
| 22 | Moderate to Severe Chronic Plaque Psoriasis | Bimekizumab, Secukinumab | 743 | Active, not recruiting | NCT03536884 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of bimekizumab compared to an active comparator in adult subjects with moderate to severe chronic plaque psoriasis (BE RADIANT) Study results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | Moderate to Severe Plaque Psoriasis | Brodalumab | 39 | Completed | NCT03403036 | 2 | Clinicaltrials.gov. Brodalumab in subjects with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis who have failed IL-17A therapies Study results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03403036 |

Table 4.4 (continued)

| Number of patients |
|----------------------------|
| 210 |
| Etanercept, Ixekizumab 201 |
| 888 |

| is 1851 III Clinicaltrials.gov. No a study to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics of subcutaneously administered guselkumab for the treatment of chronic plaque psoriasis in pediatric participants (PROTOSTAR). Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03451851 | 116781 III Clinicaltrials.gov. Study of efficacy and safety of HLX03 in subjects with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03316781 | 110259 III Clinicaltrials.gov. The VOLTAIRE-X trial looks at the effect of switching between Humira® and BI 695501 in patients with plaque psoriasis Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03210259 |
|---|---|--|
| NCT03451851 | NCT03316781 | NCT03210259 |
| Recruiting | Recruiting | Recruiting |
| 125 | 216 | 259 |
| Guselkumab, Etanercept | HLX03, Adalimumab | Humira [®] , BI 695501 |
| Chronic Plaque Psoriasis | Plaque Psoriasis | Psoriasis |
| 27 | 28 | 29 |

Table 4.4 (continued)

| | | | Number | | Clinical trial | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| Sr | | | Jo | Recruitment | registry | | |
| no. | Autoimmune disease | Intervention | patients | status | identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| 30 | Generalized Pustular | Ixekizumab | 12 | Recruiting | NCT03942042 | IV | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study of |
| | Psoriasis and Erythrodermic | | | | | | ixekizumab (LY2439821) in |
| | Psoriasis | | | | | | participants in Japan With |
| | | | | | | | generalized pustular psoriasis |
| | | | | | | | and erythrodermic psoriasis |
| | | | | | | | Study results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| 5 | | | 101 | | COCOLUCION | E | 14C103942042 |
| 31 | Psoriasis | MITIKIZUMAD | 1810 | Recrumg | NC105550505 | I | Cunicauriais.gov. A Long- |
| | | | | | | | term Study to Evaluate Safety |
| | | | | | | | and Maintenance of |
| | | | | | | | Treatment Effect of |
| | | | | | | | LY3074828 in Participants |
| | | | | | | | With Moderate-to-Severe |
| | | | | | | | Plaque Psoriasis (OASIS-3) |
| | | | | | | | Study Results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https//clinicaltrials. |
| | | | | | | | gov/ct2/show/NCT03556202 |
| 32 | Psoriasis | Mirikizumab | 530 | Active, not | NCT03482011 | Ш | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study to |
| | | | | recruiting | | | evaluate the efficacy and |
| | | | | | | | safety of mirikizumab |
| | | | | | | | (LY3074828) in participants |
| | | | | | | | with moderate-to-severe |
| | | | | | | | plaque psoriasis (OASIS-1) |
| | | | | | | | Study results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | | | | | | | NC103482011 |

| Clinicaltrials.gov. A study of mirkizumab in participants with plaque psoriasis Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03718884 | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study comparing risankizumab to placebo in subjects with active psoriatic arthritis (PsA) who have a history of inadequate response to or intolerance to at least one disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study comparing risankizumab to placebo in subjects with active psoriatic arthritis including those who have a history of inadequate response or intolerance to biologic therapy (IES) Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
|---|--|---|
| н | Ħ | Ħ |
| NCT03718884 | NCT03675308 | NCT03671148 |
| Recruiting | Recruiting | Recruiting |
| 26 | 964 | 444 |
| Mirikizumab | Risankizumab | Risankizumab |
| Psoriasis | Psoriatic Arthritis | Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) |
| 33 | 34 | 35 |

continued

Table 4.4 (continued)

| | (| | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------|---|
| | | | Number | | Clinical trial | | |
| Sr no. | Autoimmune disease | Intervention | or patients | Recruitment status | registry identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| 36 | Psoriasis | Risankizumab | 50 | Active, not | NCT03518047 | Ш | Clinicaltrials.gov. |
| | | | | recruiting | | | Risankizumab therapy versus |
| | | | | | | | placebo for subjects with |
| | | | | | | | psoriasis in the Russian |
| | | | | | | | Federation (IMMPRESS) |
| | | | | | | | Study results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03518047 |
| 37 | Psoriasis | Risankizumab | 2171 | Active, not | NCT03047395 | Ш | Clinicaltrials.gov. A Study to |
| | | | | recruiting | | | Assess the Safety and |
| | | | |) | | | Efficacy of Risankizumab for |
| | | | | | | | Maintenance in Moderate to |
| | | | | | | | Severe Plaque Type Psoriasis |
| | | | | | | | (LIMMITLESS) Study |
| | | | | | | | Results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https//clinicaltrials. |
| | | | | | | | gov/ct2/show/NCT03047395 |
| 38 | Psoriasis | Risankizumab, | 327 | Active, not | NCT03478787 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. |
| | | Secukinumab | | recruiting | | | Risankizumab versus |
| | | | | | | | secukinumab for subjects |
| | | | | | | | with moderate to severe |
| | | | | | | | plaque psoriasis Study |
| | | | | | | | results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | | | | | | | NCT03478787 |

| 39 | Psoriasis | Secukinumab | 24 | Recruiting | NCT03131570 | н | Clinicaltrials.gov. Safety and efficacy of secukinumab in mild psoriasis Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03131570 |
|----|------------------|-------------|-----|------------|-------------|---|--|
| 40 | Plaque Psoriasis | Secukinumab | 122 | Recruiting | NCT03589885 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. Study of efficacy and safety of secukinumab 2 mL auto-injector (300 mg) in subjects with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis (MATURE). - Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03589885 |
| 14 | Plaque Psoriasis | Secukinumab | 196 | Recruiting | NCT03020199 | 2 | Clinicaltrials.gov. Study of the efficacy of early intervention with secukinumab 300 mg s.c. compared to narrow-band UVB in patients with new-onset moderate to severe plaque psoriasis (STEPin) Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03020199 |

Table 4.4 (continued)

| | | | Number | | Clinical trial | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-------|---|
| Sr | | | Jo | Recruitment | registry | | |
| no. | Autoimmune disease | Intervention | patients | status | identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| 42 | Generalized Pustular Psoriasis | Spesolimab | 171 | Recruiting | NCT03886246 | Ш | Clinicaltrials.gov. A 5-year study to test BI 655130 in |
| | | | | | | | patients with generalized |
| | | | | | | | pustular psoriasis who took |
| | | | | | | | part in previous studies with |
| | | | | | | | BI 655130 Study results - |
| | | | | | | | Clin [online] Available |
| | | | | | | | https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT03886246 |
| 43 | Psoriasis | Ustekinumab | 75 | Recruiting | NCT03218488 | I | Clinicaltrials.gov. A safety |
| | | | | | | | study of ustekinumab in the |
| | | | | | | | treatment of pediatric |
| | | | | | | | participants aged 12 years and |
| | | | | | | | older with moderate to severe |
| | | | | | | | plaque psoriasis Study |
| | | | | | | | results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | | | | | | | NCT03218488 |
| 44 | Rheumatoid arthritis | Abatacept | 25 | Recruiting | NCT03652961 | 2 | Clinicaltrials.gov. |
| | | | | | | | Rheumatoid arthritis memory |
| | | | | | | | B cells and abatacept |
| | | | | | | | (RAMBA) (RAMBA) |
| | | | | | | | Study results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | | | | | | | NCT03652961 |

| 45 | Rheumatoid Arthritis | ABBV-3373, Adalimumab | 8 | Recruiting | NCT03823391 | п | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and efficacy of ABBV-3373 in participants with moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis Study results - Clin [online] Available https:// |
|----|----------------------|-----------------------|-----|------------------------|-------------|---|--|
| 46 | Rheumatoid Arthritis | AMG 570 | 34 | Active, not recruiting | NCT03156023 | н | Clinicaltrials.gov. Multiple ascending doses of AMG 570 in subjects with rheumatoid arthritis Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03156023 |
| 47 | Rheumatoid Arthritis | BAT1806, Actemra | 621 | Recruiting | NCT03830203 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. Comparative study of BAT1806 to RoActemra® in rheumatoid arthritis patients with inadequate response to methotrexate Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show//NCT03830203 |

continued)

Table 4.4 (continued)

|) } | (commea) | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| | | | Number | | Clinical trial | | |
| Sr no. | Autoimmune disease | Intervention | of patients | Recruitment status | registry identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| 48 | Rheumatoid Arthritis | CT-P17, Humira | 564 | Active, not | NCT03789292 | Ш | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study to |
| | | | | recruiting | | | compare efficacy and safety |
| | | | | | | | of CT-P17 with humira in |
| | | | | | | | patients with active |
| | | | | | | | rheumatoid arthritis Study |
| | | | | | | | results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | | | | | | | NCT03789292 |
| 49 | Rheumatoid Arthritis | Etanercept | 50 | Completed | NCT03193957 | п | Clinicaltrials.gov. An |
| | | | | | | | multicentre clinical study to |
| | | | | | | | evaluate the usability and |
| | | | | | | | safety of the autoinjector and |
| | | | | | | | pre-filled syringe of SB4 in |
| | | | | | | | subjects with rheumatoid |
| | | | | | | | arthritis Study results - Clin |
| | | | | | | | [online] Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | | | | | | | NCT03193957 |
| 50 | Rheumatoid Arthritis | Infliximab | 300 | Recruiting | NCT03885037 | Ш | Clinicaltrials.gov. Infliximab |
| | | | | | | | biosimilar for intravenous |
| | | | | | | | drip infusion 100 mg "Pfizer" |
| | | | | | | | drug use investigation |
| | | | | | | | (rheumatoid arthritis) Study |
| | | | | | | | results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | | | | | | | NCI0388503/ |

| 51 | Rheumatoid Arthritis | Olokizumab | 0880 | Recruiting | NCT03120949 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. Evaluation of the long term safety, tolerability and efficacy of two dosing regimens of olokizumab (OKZ), in subjects with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) who previously completed 24 weeks of blinded treatment in one of the core studies - CREDO 1, 2 or 3. (CRED Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03120949 |
|----|----------------------|---|------|------------------------|-------------|---|---|
| 52 | Rheumatoid Arthritis | Sarilumab | 48 | Active, not recruiting | NCT03449758 | 2 | Clinicaltrials.gov. Effect of sarilumab on patient-reported outcomes in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis (SariPRO) Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03449758 |
| 53 | Rheumatoid Arthritis | Tocilizumab, Etanercept, Infliximab, Adalimumab, Golimumab, Certolizumab pegol | 208 | Recruiting | NCT03100253 | 2 | Clinicaltrials.gov. Rheumatoid arthritis treatment after first anti-TNF investigation (RAFTING) Study results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03100253 |

(continued)

| continued) |
|--------------|
| \mathbf{u} |
| 4.4 |
| a |
| ā |
| 0 |
| _ |

| | | | Number | | Clinical trial | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-------|---|
| Sr | | | of | Recruitment | registry | | |
| no. | Autoimmune disease | Intervention | patients | status | identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| 46 | Rheumatoid Arthritis | VAY736 | 50 | Recruiting | NCT03574545 | П | Clinicaltrials.gov. Study comparing two VAY736 drug products in patients with rheumatoid arthritis Study results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03574545 |
| 55 | Sjogren's syndrome | RSLV-132 | 28 | Completed | NCT03247686 | п | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study of RSLV-132 in subjects with primary Sjogren's syndrome (RSLV-132) Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT03247686 |
| 56 | Primary Sjogren Syndrome | VAY736 | 195 | Recruiting | NCT02962895 | П | Clinicaltrials.gov. Safety and efficacy of VAY736 in patients with primary Sjogren's syndrome (pSS) Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02962895 |
| 57 | Systemic lupus erythematosus | Aldesleukin | 16 | Recruiting | NCT03312335 | п | Clinicaltrials.gov. Low-dose interleukin-2 for treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus (Charact-IL-2) Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03312335 |

| Clinicaltrials.gov. Safety and efficacy of AMG 592 in subjects with active systemic lupus erythematosus Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03451422 | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study to characterize the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and safety of anifrolumab in adult type I interferon test high systemic lupus erythematosus subject with active skin manifestations Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02962960 | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of belimumab administered in combination with rituximab to adult subjects with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) - BLISS-BELIEVE Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03312907 |
|---|---|---|
| H | Ħ | Ħ |
| NCT03451422 | NCT02962960 | NCT03312907 |
| Recruiting | Completed | Active, not recruiting |
| 59 | 36 | 292 |
| AMG 592 | Anifrolumab | Belimumab + Rituximab |
| Systemic Lupus Erythematosus | Systemic Lupus Erythematosus | Systemic Lupus Erythematosus |
| 28 | 29 | 09 |

(continued)

| continued) |
|-------------|
| le 4.4 |
| <u>rabl</u> |

| | | | Number | | Clinical trial | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-------|---|
| Sr | | | Jo | Recruitment | registry | | |
| no. | Autoimmune disease | Intervention | patients | status | identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| 61 | Systemic Lupus Erythematosus | Belimumab | 20 | Completed | NCT02880852 | I | Clinicaltrials.gov. Belimumab phase i study in Chinese subjects with systemic lupus |
| | | | | | | | erythematosus Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT02880852 |
| 62 | Systemic Lupus Erythematosus | Belimumab | 30 | Recruiting | NCT03747159 | п | Clinicaltrials.gov. Synergetic B-cell immunomodulation in SLE - 2nd study. (SynBioSe- 2) Study results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03747159 |
| 63 | Systemic Lupus Erythematosus | Benlysta | 009 | Recruiting | NCT03370263 | H | Clinicaltrials.gov. BENLYSTA® special drug use investigation Study results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03370263 |
| 64 | Systemic Lupus Erythematosus | BOS161721 | 143 | Recruiting | NCT03371251 | Ι, П | Clinicaltrials.gov. Study of BOS161721 in systemic lupus erythematosus (sle) patients on a background of limited standard of care Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03371251 |

| 65 | Systemic Lupus Erythematosus | ILT-101 | 100 | Completed | NCT02955615 | н | Clinicaltrials.gov. ILT-101 in patients with active moderate to severe systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (LUPIL-2) Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT02955615 |
|----|---|-----------|-----|------------|-------------|---|--|
| 99 | Systemic Lupus Erythematosus | NKTR-358 | 48 | Recruiting | NCT03556007 | п | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study of NKTR-358 in participants with systemic lupus erythematosis (SLE) Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03556007 |
| 29 | Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Arthritis | Rituximab | 30 | Recruiting | NCT03054259 | Ħ | Clinicaltrials.gov. Rituximab objective outcome measures trial in SLE (ROOTS) Study results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03054259 |

(continued)

Table 4.4 (continued)

| | | | Number | | Clinical trial | | |
|-----|--------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-------|---------------------------------|
| Sr | | | Jo | Recruitment | registry | | |
| no. | Autoimmune disease | Intervention | patients | status | identifier | Phase | Ref. |
| 89 | Systemic Lupus | TAK-079 | 24 | Recruiting | NCT03724916 | I | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study to |
| | Erythematosus | | | | | | evaluate the safety, |
| | | | | | | | pharmacokinetics (PK), and |
| | | | | | | | pharmacodynamics (PD) of |
| | | | | | | | TAK-079 in combination |
| | | | | | | | with standard background |
| | | | | | | | therapy in participants with |
| | | | | | | | moderate to severe systemic |
| | | | | | | | lupus erythematosus (SLE) |
| | | | | | | | Study results - Clin [online] |
| | | | | | | | Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | | | | | | | NCT03724916 |
| 69 | Systemic Lupus | Ustekinumab | 516 | Recruiting | NCT03517722 | H | Clinicaltrials.gov. A study of |
| | Erythematosus | | | | | | ustekinumab in participants |
| | | | | | | | with active systemic lupus |
| | | | | | | | erythematosus Study results |
| | | | | | | | - Clin [online] Available |
| | | | | | | | https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ |
| | | | | | | | show/NCT03517722 |
| 70 | Systemic Lupus | VAY736, CFZ533 | 120 | Recruiting | NCT03656562 | П | Clinicaltrials.gov. Study the |
| | Erythematosus | | | | | | efficacy and safety of |
| | | | | | | | VAY736 and CFZ533 in SLE |
| | | | | | | | patients Study results - Clin |
| | | | | | | | [online] Available https:// |
| | | | | | | | clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ |
| | | | | | | | NCT03656562 |

| Mult | Multiple diseases | | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------|-----|------------|-------------|---|--|
| 71 | Crohn's disease, Inflammatory bowel disease, Multiple sclerosis, Rheumatoid arthritis, Sjogren's syndrome, Systemic lupus erythematosus | Nivolumab | 264 | Recruiting | NCT03816345 | I | Clinicaltrials.gov. Nivolumab in treating patients with autoimmune disorders or advanced, metastatic, or unresectable cancer Study results - Clin [online] Available https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03816345 |
| 72 | Systemic lupus erythematosus, Rheumatoid arthritis | PF-06835375 | 112 | Recruiting | NCT03334851 | ь | Clinicaltrials.gov. Safety and tolerability study Of PF-06835375 in subjects with seropositive systemic lupus erythematosus or rheumatoid arthritis Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03334851 |
| 73 | Systemic lupus erythematosus, Sjogren's syndrome | VIB7734 | 32 | Recruiting | NCT03817424 | H | Clinicaltrials. gov. A study to evaluate VIB7734 in participants with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), cutaneous lupus erythematosus (CLE), Sjogren's syndrome, systemic sclerosis, polymyositis, and dermatomyositis Study results - Clin [online] Available https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03817424 |

biosimilars which have equivalent efficacy and safety profile as soon as the patent for branded biologics expire, which will no doubt have a positive economic impact with immense cost savings for the patients (Berns and Hommes 2016). When Severs et al. through COIN study compared the cost burden of biologic drugs and biosimilars it was found that in a Dutch IBD population of 85,400 patients [equaling 507 patients per 100,000 inhabitants, 55% UC and 45% CD patients], there was a cost saving of €493 million with biosimilars which is almost a reduction of 28% in the total healthcare costs which also covered the costs of IBD-specific hospitalizations, outpatient clinic visits, and surgeries of the inhabitants (Severs et al. 2016).

Multiple Sclerosis

Hartung et al. reported that the first generation of disease modifying therapies (DMTs) for MS in 1993 costs the patient \$8000 to \$11,000, but with the entry of new DMTs the prices increased up to \$60,000 in the year 2013 because they are prescription drugs which were affected by medical inflation in the United States (Hartung et al. 2015). Hartung et al. and Chen et al. found out that this amount went up to \$70,000 in the United states in the year 2017, which was due to an increase in the costs of patient care facilities (Chen et al. 2017; Hartung 2017). Similarly, the annual costs for MS in European countries like Spain and France were around €30,050 and €38,100, respectively, on a per patient basis in the year 2017 (Fernández et al. 2017; Kobelt et al. 2017).

Psoriasis

The economic burden associated with psoriasis is significant and it increases even further as the disease progresses from moderate to severe (Al Sawah et al. 2017; Augustin et al. 2017). The United States in the year 2013 estimated an overall expense of \$11,498 was paid by an individual patient of psoriasis throughout his treatment (Brezinski et al. 2015; Vanderpuye-Orgle et al. 2015). Meanwhile in European countries like the United Kingdom, France, Germany, Spain, and Italy, the cost of the treatment was in the range of US\$2077–13,132 (Augustin et al. 2017; Burgos-Pol et al. 2016).

Rheumatoid Arthritis

RA, a systemic autoimmune disorder accounts for economic burden in the range of €2.0 billion per year in European nations which included direct costs of the biologics and indirect costs of the various services and maintenance for the early rapidly progressing RA (ERPRA) patients (Mennini et al. 2017; Cross et al. 2014). A similar approach of using biosimilars of these biologics will result in decreased economic burden in the future (Gulácsi et al. 2015).

Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

SLE is a multisystem autoimmune disease that could potentially lead to serious organ complications and even death with its incidence being as low as 0.3–31.5 cases per 100,000 individuals every year (Carter et al. 2016; Sebastiani et al. 2016). The annual cost for hospitalization was US\$51,808.41 per patient in Rochester city

of New York state for an approximate stay of 8.5 days in the hospital (Anandarajah et al. 2017). Meacock et al. concluded that in a randomized population in the United States, the mean annual treatment cost was in the range of US\$2239–\$35,540 until the year 2010 (Meacock et al. 2013).

4.12 Conclusion and Future Perspectives

There has been great progress and renewed interest in immunotherapies for autoimmune diseases. As discussed, various modalities ranging from immune checkpoint blockade to anti-T-cell therapy or anti-B-cell therapy, amongst others are in current use. This has seen the emergence of very many novel immunotherapies which we have delineated earlier. Of interest, there are a sizeable number of immunotherapies for autoimmune diseases in early and advanced stages of clinical trials and recent trends indicate that their number is only going to increase. Although this is laudable to provide access to better care to suffering patients, one needs to also balance the financial toxicity of these immunotherapies which can oftentimes defeat the very purpose of providing healthcare to those who need it most. It is recommended that all stakeholders from discovery scientists to clinicians to health management organizations and insurance providers as also lay members of the public be brought on the same page to appreciate all these "facets" of immunotherapies to make it a successful and go-to healthcare therapy for autoimmune diseases in the future that will benefit a greater proportion of patients.

References

- Agius M, Klodowska-Duda G, Maciejowski M, Potemkowski A, Sweeny S, Li J et al (2015) Safety and tolerability of MEDI-551 in patients with relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis: results from a phase 1 randomised, placebo-controlled, escalating intravenous and subcutaneous dose study. Mult Scler 23(11):235–236
- Al Sawah S, Foster SA, Goldblum OM, Malatestinic WN, Zhu B, Shi N et al (2017) Healthcare costs in psoriasis and psoriasis sub-groups over time following psoriasis diagnosis. J Med Econ 20(9):982–990
- Anandarajah AP, Luc M, Ritchlin CT (2017) Hospitalization of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus is a major cause of direct and indirect healthcare costs. Lupus 26(7):756–761
- Astrakhantseva IV, Efimov GA, Drutskaya MS, Kruglov AANS (2014) Modern anti-cytokine therapy of autoimmune diseases. Biochemist 79(12):1308–1321
- Augustin M, Vietri J, Tian H, Gilloteau I (2017) Incremental burden of cardiovascular comorbidity and psoriatic arthritis among adults with moderate-to-severe psoriasis in five European countries. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 31(8):1316–1323
- Avrameas S, Selmi C (2013) Natural autoantibodies in the physiology and pathophysiology of the immune system. J Autoimmun 41:46–49
- Bai XF, Li HL, Shi FD, Liu JQ, Xiao BG, Van Der Meide PH et al (1998) Complexities of applying nasal tolerance induction as a therapy for ongoing relapsing experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in DA rats. Clin Exp Immunol 111(1):205–210
- Ballow M (2014) Mechanisms of immune regulation by IVIG. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol 14 (6):509–515

110 A. Mali et al.

Barberá A, Lorenzo N, Garrido G, Mazola Y, Falcón V, Torres AM et al (2013) APL-1, an altered peptide ligand derived from human heat-shock protein 60, selectively induces apoptosis in activated CD4 + CD25 + T cells from peripheral blood of rheumatoid arthritis patients. Int Immunopharmacol 17(4):1075–1083

- Berns M, Hommes DW (2016) Anti-TNF- therapies for the treatment of Crohns disease: the past, present and future. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 25:129–143
- Bielekova B, Goodwin B, Richert N, Cortese I, Kondo T, Afshar G et al (2000) Encephalitogenic potential of the myelin basic protein peptide (amino acids 83-99) in multiple sclerosis: results of a phase II clinical trial with an altered peptide ligand. Nat Med 6(10):1167–1175
- Blair HA, Duggan ST (2018) Belimumab: a review in systemic lupus erythematosus. Drugs 78 (3):355–366
- Bluestone JA, Bour-Jordan H (2012) Current and future immunomodulation strategies to restore tolerance in autoimmune diseases. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 4(11):1–23
- Bluestone JA, Bour-Jordan H (2019) Current and future immunomodulation strategies to restore tolerance in autoimmune diseases. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 4(11):a007542
- Böhm M, Luger TA, Schneider M, Schwarz T, Kuhn A (2006) New insight into immunosuppression and treatment of autoimmune diseases. Clin Exp Rheumatol 24(1):S67
- Brezinski EA, Dhillon JS, Armstrong AW (2015) Economic burden of psoriasis in the United States a systematic review. JAMA Dermatol 151:651–658
- Bucktrout SL, Bluestone JA, Ramsdell F (2018) Recent advances in immunotherapies: from infection and autoimmunity, to cancer, and back again. Genome Med 10(1):79
- Burgos-Pol R, Martínez-Sesmero JM, Ventura-Cerdá JM, Elías I, Caloto MT, Casado MÁ (2016) The cost of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis in 5 European countries: a systematic review. Actas DermoSifiliogr 107(7):577–590. (English Ed)
- Carswell EA, Old LJ, Kassel RL, Green S, Fiore N, Williamson B (1975) An endotoxin-induced serum factor that causes necrosis of tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci 72(9):3666–3670
- Carter EE, Barr SG, Clarke AE (2016) The global burden of SLE: prevalence, health disparities and socioeconomic impact. Nat Rev Rheumatol 12:605–620
- Chaillous L, Lefèvre H, Thivolet C, Boitard C, Lahlou N, Atlan-Gepner C et al (2000) Oral insulin administration and residual β-cell function in recent-onset type 1 diabetes: a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet 356(9229):545–549
- Chandrashekara S (2012) The treatment strategies of autoimmune disease may need a different approach from conventional protocol: a review. Indian J Pharmacol 44(6):665
- Chatenoud L (2010) Immune therapy for type 1 diabetes mellituswhat is unique about anti-CD3 antibodies? Nat Rev Endocrinol 6(3):149–157
- Chen L, Flies DB (2013) Molecular mechanisms of T cell co-stimulation and co-inhibition. Nat Rev Immunol 13(4):227–242
- Chen DS, Mellman I (2013) Oncology meets immunology: the cancer-immunity cycle. Immunity 39(1):1–10
- Chen AY, Chonghasawat AO, Leadholm KL (2017) Multiple sclerosis: frequency, cost, and economic burden in the United States. J Clin Neurosci 45:180–186
- Cohen JA, Barkhof F, Comi G, Hartung HP, Khatri BO, Montalban X et al (2010) Oral fingolimod or intramuscular interferon for relapsing multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 362(5):402–415
- Cross M, Smith E, Hoy D, Carmona L, Wolfe F, Vos T et al (2014) The global burden of rheumatoid arthritis: estimates from the Global Burden of Disease 2010 study. Ann Rheum Dis 73(7):1316–1322
- De Miguel-Luken MJ, Mansinho A, Boni V, Calvo E (2017) Immunotherapy-based combinations: current status and perspectives. Curr Opin Oncol 29(5):382–394
- Derfuss T, Kuhle J, Lindberg R, Kappos L (2013) Natalizumab therapy for multiple sclerosis. Semin Neurol 33(1):26–36
- Diabetes Prevention Trial--Type 1 Diabetes Study Group (2002) Effects of insulin in relatives of patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 346(22):1685–1691
- Dinarello CA (1996) Biologic basis for interleukin-1 in disease. Blood 87(6):2095–2147

- Dominguez MDC, Lorenzo N, Barbera A, Darrasse-Jeze G, Hernández MV, Torres A et al (2011) An altered peptide ligand corresponding to a novel epitope from heat-shock protein 60 induces regulatory T cells and suppresses pathogenic response in an animal model of adjuvant-induced arthritis. Autoimmunity 44(6):471–482
- Dubertret L, Sterry W, Bos JD, Chimenti S, Shumack S, Larsen CG et al (2006) CLinical experience acquired with the efalizumab (Raptiva®) (CLEAR) trial in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis: results from a phase III international randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Br J Dermatol 155(1):170–181
- Ermann J, Fathman CG (2001) Autoimmune diseases: genes, bugs and failed regulation. Nat Immunol 2(9):759–761
- Feldmann M, Steinman L (2005) Design of effective immunotherapy for human autoimmunity. Nature 435(7042):612
- Fernández O, Calleja-Hernández MA, Meca-Lallana J, Oreja-Guevara C, Polanco A, Pérez-Alcántara F (2017) Estimate of the cost of multiple sclerosis in Spain by literature review. Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res 17:321–333
- Fierabracci A (2011) Peptide immunotherapies in type 1 diabetes: lessons from animal models. Curr Med Chem 18(4):577–586
- Fife BT, Pauken KE (2011) The role of the PD-1 pathway in autoimmunity and peripheral tolerance. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1217(1):45–59
- Francisco LM, Salinas VH, Brown KE, Vanguri VK, Freeman GJ, Kuchroo VK et al (2009) PD-L1 regulates the development, maintenance, and function of induced regulatory T cells. J Exp Med 206(13):3015–3029
- Francisco LM, Sage PT, Sharpe AH (2010) The PD-1 pathway in tolerance and autoimmunity. Immunol Rev 236:219–242
- Ganapathy S, Vaishnavi Vedam, Vini Rajeev RA. Autoimmune disorders–immunopathogenesis and potential therapies. J Young Pharm 2017;9(1):14–22
- Genovese MC, Becker JC, Schiff M, Luggen M, Sherrer Y, Kremer J et al (2005) Abatacept for rheumatoid arthritis refractory to tumor necrosis factor α inhibition. N Engl J Med 353 (11):1114–1123
- Gong Z, Pan L, Le Y, Liu Q, Zhou M, Xing W et al (2010) Glutamic acid decarboxylase epitope protects against autoimmune diabetes through activation of Th2 immune response and induction of possible regulatory mechanism. Vaccine 28(24):4052–4058
- Grigore A, Inform A (2017) Plant phenolic compounds as immunomodulatory agents. Phenolic Compd Act London, UK IntechOpen. (8):75–98
- Guillevin L, Pagnoux C, Karras A, Khouatra C, Aumaître O, Cohen P et al (2014) Rituximab versus azathioprine for maintenance in ANCA-associated vasculitis. N Engl J Med 371(19):1771–1780
- Gulácsi L, Brodszky V, Baji P, Kim HU, Kim SY, Cho YY et al (2015) Biosimilars for the management of rheumatoid arthritis: economic considerations. Expert Rev Clin Immunol 11: S43–S52
- Harrison LC, Honeyman MC, Steele CE, Stone NL, Sarugeri E, Bonifacio E et al (2004) Pancreatic β-cell function and immune responses to insulin after administration of intranasal insulin to humans at risk for type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 27(10):2348–2355
- Hartung HP (2009) New cases of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy after treatment with natalizumab. Lancet Neurol 8:28–31
- Hartung DM (2017) Economics and cost-effectiveness of multiple sclerosis therapies in the USA. Neurotherapeutics 14:1018–1026
- Hartung DM, Bourdette DN, Whitham RH (2015) The cost of multiple sclerosis drugs in the US and the pharmaceutical industry: too big to fail? Author response. Neurology 84(21):2185–2192
- Hauselmann H (1998) Can collagen type II sustain a methotrexate-induced therapeutic effect in patients with long-standing rheumatoid arthritis? A double-blind, randomized trial. Rheumatology 37(10):1110–1117
- Hauser SL, Bar-Or A, Comi G, Giovannoni G, Hartung HP, Hemmer B et al (2017) Ocrelizumab versus interferon beta-1a in relapsing multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 376(3):221–234

112 A. Mali et al.

He XS, Gershwin ME, Ansari AA (2017) Checkpoint-based immunotherapy for autoimmune diseases – opportunities and challenges. J Autoimmun 79:1–3

- Heinrich PC, Behrmann I, Müller-Newen G, Schaper F, Graeve L (1998) Interleukin-6-type cytokine signalling through the gp130/Jak/STAT pathway. Biochem J 334:297–314
- Hirsch DL, Ponda P (2014) Antigen-based immunotherapy for autoimmune disease: current status. Immunotargets Ther 4:1–11
- Hofmann K, Clauder AK, Manz RA (2018) Targeting B cells and plasma cells in autoimmune diseases. Front Immunol 23(9):835
- Hogquist KA, Baldwin TA, Jameson SC (2005) Central tolerance: learning self-control in the thymus. Nat Rev Immunol 5:772–782
- Huang Z, Yang B, Shi Y, Cai B, Li Y, Feng W et al (2012) Anti-TNF-α therapy improves Treg and suppresses Teff in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Cell Immunol 279(1):25–29
- Imbach P, D'Apuzzo V, Hirt A, Rossi E, Vest M, Barandun S et al (1981) High-dose intravenous gammaglobulin for idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura in childhood. Lancet 317 (8232):1228–1231
- Janikashvili N, Samson M, Magen E, Chikovani T (2016) Immunotherapeutic targeting in autoimmune diseases. Mediat Inflamm 2016:2–4
- Joly P, Maho-Vaillant M, Prost-Squarcioni C, Hebert V, Houivet E, Calbo S et al (2017) First-line rituximab combined with short-term prednisone versus prednisone alone for the treatment of pemphigus (Ritux 3): a prospective, multicentre, parallel-group, open-label randomised trial. Lancet 389(10083):2031–2040
- Juryńczyk M, Walczak A, Jurewicz A, Jesionek-Kupnicka D, Szczepanik M, Selmaj K (2010) Immune regulation of multiple sclerosis by transdermally applied myelin peptides. Ann Neurol 68(5):593–601
- Kaliyaperumal A, Michaels MA, Datta SK (1999) Antigen-specific therapy of murine lupus nephritis using nucleosomal peptides: tolerance spreading impairs pathogenic function of autoimmune T and B cells. J Immunol 162(10):5775–5783
- Kappos L, Comi G, Panitch H, Oger J, Antel J, Conlon P et al (2000) Induction of a non-encephalitogenic type 2 T helper-cell autoimmune response in multiple sclerosis after administration of an altered peptide ligand in a placebo-controlled, randomized phase II trial. Nat Med 6(10):1176–1182
- Kappos L, Radue EW, O'Connor P, Polman C, Hohlfeld R, Calabresi P et al (2010) A placebocontrolled trial of oral fingolimod in relapsing multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 362(5):387–401
- Kil LP, De Bruijn MJW, Van Nimwegen M, Corneth OBJ, Van Hamburg JP, Dingjan GM et al (2012) Btk levels set the threshold for B-cell activation and negative selection of autoreactive B cells in mice. Blood 119(16):3744–3756
- Kim WU, Lee WK, Ryoo JW, Kim SH, Kim J, Youn J et al (2002) Suppression of collagen-induced arthritis by single administration of poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanoparticles entrapping type II collagen: a novel treatment strategy for induction of oral tolerance. Arthritis Rheum 46 (4):1109–1120
- Kimura A, Kishimoto T (2010) IL-6: Regulator of Treg/Th17 balance. Eur J Immunol 40:1830–1835
- Kobelt G, Thompson A, Berg J, Gannedahl M, Eriksson J (2017) New insights into the burden and costs of multiple sclerosis in Europe. Mult Scler J 23(8):1123–1136
- Koffeman EC, Genovese M, Amox D, Keogh E, Santana E, Matteson EL et al (2009) Epitopespecific immunotherapy of rheumatoid arthritis: clinical responsiveness occurs with immune deviation and relies on the expression of a cluster of molecules associated with T cell tolerance in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, pilot phase II trial. Arthritis Rheum 60(11):3207–3216
- Lerner A, Jeremias P, Matthias T (2015) The world incidence and prevalence of autoimmune diseases is increasing. Int J Celiac Dis 3(4):151–155
- Linsley PS, Greene JL, Brady W, Bajorath J, Ledbetter JA, Peach R (1994) Human B7-1 (CD80) and B7-2 (CD86) bind with similar avidities but distinct kinetics to CD28 and CTLA-4 receptors. Immunity 1(9):793–801

- Ludvigsson J, Faresjö M, Hjorth M, Axelsson S, Chéramy M, Pihl M et al (2008) GAD treatment and insulin secretion in recent-onset type 1 diabetes. N Engl J Med 359(18):1909–1920
- Ludvigsson J, Krisky D, Casas R, Battelino T, Castaño L, Greening J et al (2012) GAD65 antigen therapy in recently diagnosed type 1 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 366(5):433–442
- Macleod MK, Anderton SM (2015) Antigen-based immunotherapy (AIT) for autoimmune and allergic disease. Curr Opin Pharmacol 23:11–16
- Mayer L, Kaser A, Blumberg RS (2012) Dead on arrival: understanding the failure of CTLA4-immunoglobulin therapy in inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 143:13–17
- McKinney EF, Lee JC, Jayne DRW, Lyons PA, Smith KGC (2015) T-cell exhaustion, co-stimulation and clinical outcome in autoimmunity and infection. Nature 523(7562):612–616
- Meacock R, Dale N, Harrison MJ (2013) The humanistic and economic burden of systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review. PharmacoEconomics 31:49–61
- Mennini FS, Marcellusi A, Gitto L, Iannone F (2017) Economic burden of rheumatoid arthritis in Italy: possible consequences on anti-citrullinated protein antibody-positive patients. Clin Drug Investig 37(4):375–386
- Merrill JT, Burgos-Vargas R, Westhovens R, Chalmers A, D'Cruz D, Wallace DJ et al (2010) The efficacy and safety of abatacept in patients with non-life-threatening manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus: results of a twelve-month, multicenter, exploratory, phase IIb, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum 62(10):3077–3087
- Metzler B, Wraith DC (1993) Inhibition of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by inhalation but not oral administration of the encephalitogenic peptide: influence of MHC binding affinity. Int Immunol 5(9):1159–1165
- Miklos D, Cutler CS, Arora M, Waller EK, Jagasia M, Pusic I et al (2017) Ibrutinib for chronic graft-versus-host disease after failure of prior therapy. Blood 130(21):2243–2250
- Miller SD, Turley DM, Podojil JR (2007) Antigen-specific tolerance strategies for the prevention and treatment of autoimmune disease. Nat Rev Immunol 7(9):665–677
- Monneaux F, Lozano JM, Patarroyo ME, Briand JP, Muller S (2003) T cell recognition and therapeutic effect of a phosphorylated synthetic peptide of the 70K snRNP protein administered in MRL/lpr mice. Eur J Immunol 33:287–296
- Muller S, Monneaux F, Schal N, Rashkov RK, Oparanov BA, Wiesel P et al (2008) Spliceosomal peptide P140 for immunotherapy of systemic lupus erythematosus: results of an early phase II clinical trial. Arthritis Rheum 58(12):3873–3883
- Musette P, Bouaziz JD (2018) B cell modulation strategies in autoimmune diseases: new concepts. Front Immunol 9:1–5
- Nagler-Anderson C, Bober LA, Robinson ME, Siskind GWTG (1986) Suppression of type II collagen-induced arthritis by intragastric administration of soluble type II collagen. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 83(19):7443–7446
- Nagy G, Huszthy PC, Fossum E, Konttinen Y, Nakken BSP (2015) Selected aspects in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases. Mediators Inflamm 2015
- Näntö-Salonen K, Kupila A, Simell S, Siljander H, Salonsaari T, Hekkala A et al (2008) Nasal insulin to prevent type 1 diabetes in children with HLA genotypes and autoantibodies conferring increased risk of disease: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 372 (9651):1746–1755
- Nipp RD, Sonet EM, Guy GP (2018) Communicating the financial burden of treatment with patients. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ B 38:524–531
- Ochando JC, Yopp AC, Yang Y, Garin A, Li Y, Boros P et al (2005) Lymph node occupancy is required for the peripheral development of alloantigen-specific Foxp3 + regulatory T cells. J Immunol 174(11):6993–7005
- Orban T, Bundy B, Becker DJ, DiMeglio LA, Gitelman SE, Goland R et al (2011) Co-stimulation modulation with abatacept in patients with recent-onset type 1 diabetes: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 378(9789):412–419
- Ostrov BE (2015) Immunotherapeutic biologic agents in autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases. Immunol Investig 44(8):777–802

114 A. Mali et al.

Pers YM, Jorgensen C (2016) Perspectives of ofatumumab as CD20 targeted therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and other autoimmune diseases. Immunotherapy 8:1091–1096

- Pozzilli P, Pitocco D, Visalli N, Cavallo MG, Buzzetti R, Crinò A et al (2000) No effect of oral insulin on residual beta-cell function in recent-onset type I diabetes (the IMDIAB VII). Diabetologia 43(8):1000–1004
- Prakken BJ, Samodal R, Le TD, Giannoni F, Yung GP, Scavulli J et al (2004) Epitope-specific immunotherapy induces immune deviation of proinflammatory T cells in rheumatoid arthritis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 101(12):4228–4233
- Puentes F, Dickhaut K, Hofstätter M, Falk K, Rötzschke O (2013) Active suppression induced by repetitive self-epitopes protects against EAE development. PLoS One 8(5):e64888
- Raz I, Ziegler AG, Linn T, Schernthaner G, Bonnici F, Distiller LA et al (2014) Treatment of recentonset type 1 diabetic patients with DiaPep277: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized phase 3 trial. Diabetes Care 37:1392–1400
- Regueiro M, Kip KE, Baidoo L, Swoger JM, Schraut W (2014) Postoperative therapy with infliximab prevents long-term Crohn's disease recurrence. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 12 (9):1494–1502
- Rosenblum MD, Remedios KA, Abbas AK (2015) Mechanisms of human autoimmunity. J Clin Invest 125(6):2228–2233
- Rosman Z, Shoenfeld Y, Zandman-Goddard G (2013) Biologic therapy for autoimmune diseases: an update. BMC Med 11:88–100
- Ruperto N, Lovell DJ, Quartier P, Paz E, Rubio-Pérez N, Silva CA et al (2008) Abatacept in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled withdrawal trial. Lancet 372(9636):383–391
- Salinas GF, Braza F, Brouard S, Tak PP, Baeten D (2013) The role of B lymphocytes in the progression from autoimmunity to autoimmune disease. Clin Immunol 146:34–45
- Scalapino KJ, Daikh DI (2008) CTLA-4: A key regulatory point in the control of autoimmune disease. Immunol Rev 223:143–155
- Sebastiani GD, Prevete I, Iuliano A, Minisola G (2016) The importance of an early diagnosis in systemic lupus erythematosus. Isr Med Assoc J 18(3–4):212–215
- Severs M, Oldenburg B, Van Bodegraven AA, Siersema PD, Mangen MJ, Initiative of Crohn's and Colitis (2016) The economic impact of the introduction of biosimilars in inflammatory bowel disease. J Crohns Colitis 11(3):289–296
- Smilek DE, Ehlers MR, Nepom GT (2014) Restoring the balance: immunotherapeutic combinations for autoimmune disease. Dis Model Mech 7(5):503–513
- Smyth MJ, Teng MW (2018) 2018 Nobel Prize in physiology or medicine. Clin Transl Immunol 7 (10):e1041
- Stone KD, Prussin C, Metcalfe DD (2010) IgE, mast cells, basophils, and eosinophils. J Allergy Clin Immunol 125(Suppl 2):S73–S80
- Sugiyama H, McCormick TS, Cooper KD, Korman NJ (2008) Alefacept in the treatment of psoriasis. Clin Dermatol 26(5):503–508
- Tanaka Y, Mola EM (2014) IL-6 targeting compared to TNF targeting in rheumatoid arthritis: studies of olokizumab, sarilumaband sirukumab. Ann Rheum Dis 73:1595–1597
- Thompson HSG, Harper N, Bevan DJ, Staines NA (1993) Suppression of collagen induced arthritis by oral administration of type II collagen: changes in immune and arthritic responses mediated by active peripheral suppression. Autoimmunity 16(3):189–199
- Tian J, Clare-Salzler M, Herschenfeld A, Middleton B, Newman D, Mueller R et al (1996) Modulating autoimmune responses to GAD inhibits disease progression and prolongs islet graft survival in diabetes-prone mice. Nat Med 2(12):1348–1353
- Tisch R, Liblau RS, Yang XD, Liblau P, McDevitt HO (1998) Induction of GAD65-specific regulatory T-cells inhibits ongoing autoimmune diabetes in nonobese diabetic mice. Diabetes 47(6):894–899
- Vanderpuye-Orgle J, Zhao Y, Lu J, Shrestha A, Sexton A, Seabury S, et al (2015) Evaluating the economic burden of psoriasis in the United States. J Am Acad Dermatol 72(6):961–967.e5

- Velasquez MP, Bonifant CL, Gottschalk S (2018) Redirecting T cells to hematological malignancies with bispecific antibodies. Blood 131:30–38
- Verazza S, Negro G, Marafon D, Consolaro A, Martini A, Ravelli A (2013) Possible discontinuation of therapies after clinical remission in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 31 (Suppl 78):S98–S101
- Viswanath D (2013) Understanding autoimmune diseases- a review. IOSR J Dent Med Sci 6 (6):08–15
- Walczak A, Siger M, Ciach A, Szczepanik M, Selmaj K (2013) Transdermal application of myelin peptides in multiple sclerosis treatment. JAMA Neurol 70(9):1105–1109
- Wallace DJ, Gordon C, Strand V, Hobbs K, Petri M, Kalunian K et al (2013) Efficacy and safety of epratuzumab in patients with moderate/severe flaring systemic lupus erythematosus: results from two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre studies (ALLEVIATE) and follow-up. Rheumatology (United Kingdom) 52(7):1313–1322
- Walter M, Philotheou A, Bonnici F, Ziegler AG, Jimenez R (2009) No effect of the altered peptide ligand NBI-6024 on β-cell residual function and insulin needs in new-onset type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 32(11):2036–2040
- Wang H, Yang J, Jin L, Feng J, Lu Y, Sun Y et al (2009) Immunotherapy of autoimmune diabetes by nasal administration of tandem glutamic acid decarboxylase 65 peptides. Immunol Investig 38(8):690–703
- Wang L, Wang FS, Chang C, Gershwin ME (2014) Breach of tolerance: primary biliary cirrhosis. Semin Liver Dis 34(3):297–317
- Wang L, Wang FS, Gershwin ME (2015) Human autoimmune diseases: a comprehensive update. J Intern Med 278(4):369–395
- Warren KG, Catz I, Wucherpfennig KW (1997) Tolerance induction to myelin basic protein by intravenous synthetic peptides containing epitope P85 VVHFFKNIVTP96 in chronic progressive multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Sci 152(1):31–38
- Warrington R, Watson W, Kim HL, Antonetti FR (2011) An introduction to immunology and immunopathology. Allergy, Asthma Clin Immunol 7(S1):1–8
- Weiner HL, Mackin GA, Matsui M, Orav EJ, Khoury SJ, Dawson DM et al (1993) Double-blind pilot trial of oral tolerization with myelin antigens in multiple sclerosis. Science 259 (5099):1321–1324
- Wherrett DK, Bundy B, Becker DJ, Dimeglio LA, Gitelman SE, Goland R et al (2011) Antigenbased therapy with glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) vaccine in patients with recent-onset type 1 diabetes: a randomised double-blind trial. Lancet 378(9788):319–327
- Wraith DC (2017) The future of immunotherapy: a 20-year perspective. Front Immunol 8:1668
- Wucherpfennig KW (2001) Mechanisms for the induction of autoimmunity by infectious agents. J Clin Invest 108:1097–1104
- Ylisaukko-Oja T, Torvinen S, Ventola H, Schmidt S, Herrala S, Kononoff J et al (2019) Healthcare resource utilization and treatment costs of Finnish chronic inflammatory bowel disease patients treated with infliximab. Scand J Gastroenterol 14:1–7
- Yoshino S (1995) Antigen-induced arthritis in rats is suppressed by the inducing antigen administered orally before, but not after immunization. Cell Immunol 163(1):55–58
- Yu C, Gershwin ME, Chang C (2014) Diagnostic criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus: a critical review. J Autoimmun 48–49:10–13
- Zaprutko T, Kopciuch D, Kus K, Merks P, Nowicka M, Augustyniak I et al (2017) Affordability of medicines in the European Union. PLoS One 12(2):e0172753
- Zhang Q, Vignali DAA (2016) Co-stimulatory and co-inhibitory pathways in autoimmunity. Immunity 44(5):1034–1051
- Zheng MK, Shih DQ, Chen GC (2017) Insights on the use of biosimilars in the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. World J Gastroenterol 23:1932–1943