

Q-Analogue of Generalized Bernstein–Kantorovich Operators



Ram Pratap and Naokant Deo

Abstract In the present article, we consider the q -analogue of generalized Bernstein–Kantorovich operators. For the proposed operators, we studied some convergence properties by using first- and second-order modulus of continuity.

Keywords Bernstein operators · Kantorovich operators · Modulus of continuity

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 41A25 · 41A36

1 Introduction

In the year 1912, Bernstein [5] introduced the Bernstein operators and provided the constructive proof of Weierstrass theorem. Later, several researchers have generalized Bernstein operators using different parameters and studied various convergence properties. For more (see [6, 7, 16]).

Recently, Chen et al. [7] defined a family of Bernstein operators, for the functions $f \in [0, 1]$, α is fixed and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are as follows:

$$B_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k p_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x), \quad (1.1)$$

where $f_k = f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$. For $n > 2$ the α -Bernstein polynomial $p_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ of degree n is defined by

$$p_{1,0}^{(\alpha)}(x) = 1 - x, \quad p_{1,1}^{(\alpha)}(x) = x,$$

R. Pratap (✉) · N. Deo

Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University (Delhi College of Engineering), Bawana Road, Delhi 110042, India
e-mail: rampratapiitr@gmail.com

N. Deo

e-mail: naokantdeo@dce.ac.in

and

$$p_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \left[\binom{n-2}{k} (1-\alpha)x + \binom{n-2}{k-2} (1-\alpha)(1-x) + \binom{n}{k} \alpha x (1-x) \right] x^{k-1} (1-x)^{n-k-1}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

For the first time in 1987, Bernstein operators based on q-integers were introduced by Lupas [12] and they are rational functions. Again in 1997, Phillips [14] introduced the q-Bernstein polynomials known as Phillips q-Bernstein operators. In past decade, linear positive operators based on q-integers is an active area of research. For more (see [4, 8, 11]).

Chai et al. [8] have considered the q-analogue of (1.1) is as follows:

$$B_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x), \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \left(\left[\begin{matrix} n-2 \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q (1-\alpha)x + \left[\begin{matrix} n-2 \\ k-2 \end{matrix} \right]_q (1-\alpha)q^{n-k-2} (1-q^{n-k-1}x) + \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q \alpha x (1-q^{n-k-1}x) \right) x^{k-1} (1-x)_q^{n-k-1},$$

$q \in (0, 1]$ and $f_k = f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q}\right)$. For detailed explanation (see [3]).

Dhamija et al. [10] proposed the Kantorovich form of modified Szász–Mirakyan operators. Several researchers have also studied Kantorovich form of different linear positive operators and established local and global approximation results. More details (see [1, 2, 13, 15]).

Mohiuddine et al. [13] proposed the Kantorovich form of the operators (1.1), which is given as

$$K_n^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \int_{k/(n+1)}^{(k+1)/(n+1)} f(t) dt, \tag{1.3}$$

where $p_{n,k}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is defined in (1.1).

For $\alpha = 1$ and $q = 1$ the operators (1.4) reduces to Bernstein–Kantorovich operators.

Motivated from the above stated work, we consider the q-analogue of the operators (1.3) as follows:

$$K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = [n + 1]_q \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \int_{\frac{q[k]_q}{[n+1]_q}}^{\frac{[k+1]_q}{[n+1]_q}} f(t) d_q t, \tag{1.4}$$

and $p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is given in (1.2).

In this paper, we estimated the moments of the proposed operators and discuss the rate of convergence using modulus of continuity.

2 Basic Results

In this section, we prove some auxiliary result to prove our main results.

Lemma 2.1 From [8], we have $B_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(1; x) = 1$, $B_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(t; x) = x$ and

$$B_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(t^2; x) = x^2 + \frac{x(1-x)}{[n]_q} + \frac{(1-\alpha)q^{n-1}[2]_q x(1-x)}{[n]_q^2}.$$

Lemma 2.2 (i) $K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(1; x) = 1$;

(ii) $K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(t; x) = \frac{2q[n]_q}{[2]_q[n+1]_q} x + \frac{1}{[2]_q[n+1]_q}$;

(iii) $K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(t^2; x) = \frac{3q^2[n]_q^2}{[3]_q[n+1]_q^2} x^2 + \frac{3q^2}{[3]_q[n+1]_q^2} ([n]_q + (1-\alpha)q^{n-1}[2]_q) x(1-x) + \frac{3q[n]_q x}{[3]_q[n+1]_q^2} + \frac{1}{[3]_q[n+1]_q^2}.$

Proof From [15], $\int_{\frac{q[k]_q}{[n+1]_q}}^{\frac{[k+1]_q}{[n+1]_q}} 1 d_q t = \frac{1}{[n+1]_q}$, $\int_{\frac{q[k]_q}{[n+1]_q}}^{\frac{[k+1]_q}{[n+1]_q}} t d_q t = \frac{2q[k]_q}{[2]_q[n+1]_q^2} + \frac{1}{[2]_q[n+1]_q^2}$ and

$$\int_{\frac{q[k]_q}{[n+1]_q}}^{\frac{[k+1]_q}{[n+1]_q}} t^2 d_q t = \frac{3q^2[k]_q^2}{[3]_q[n+1]_q^3} + \frac{3q[k]_q}{[3]_q[n+1]_q^3} + \frac{1}{[3]_q[n+1]_q^3}.$$

It is easy to say that $K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(1; x) = 1$.

For $f(t) = t$ and using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(t; x) &= [n + 1]_q \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \int_{\frac{q[k]_q}{[n+1]_q}}^{\frac{[k+1]_q}{[n+1]_q}} t d_q t \\
 &= [n + 1]_q \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \left(\frac{2q[k]_q}{[2]_q [n + 1]_q^2} + \frac{1}{[2]_q [n + 1]_q^2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{[n]_q}{[n + 1]_q} \left(\frac{2q}{[2]_q} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q} + \frac{1}{[2]_q [n]_q} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \right) \\
 &= \frac{2q[n]_q x + 1}{[2]_q [n + 1]_q}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $f(t) = t^2$, we can estimate. So here we skip. □

Lemma 2.3 *The central moments for the operators (1.4) are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i) \quad K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(t - x; x) &= \frac{2q[n]_q}{[2]_q [n+1]_q} x + \frac{1}{[2]_q [n+1]_q}; \\
 (ii) \quad K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}((t - x)^2; x) &= \left(\frac{3q^2 [n]_q^2}{[3]_q [n+1]_q^2} - \frac{4q [n]_q}{[2]_q [n+1]_q} + 1 \right) x^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{3q^2}{[3]_q [n+1]_q} ([n]_q + [2]_q (1 - \alpha) q^{n-1}) x (1 - x) + \left(\frac{3q [n]_q}{[3]_q [n+1]_q^2} - \frac{2}{[3]_q [n+1]_q} \right) x \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{[3]_q [n+1]_q^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof Using linearity property of the operators (1.4) and Lemma 2.2, we get the required results. □

Lemma 2.4 *Let $0 < q < 1$ and $c \in [0, qd]$, $d > 0$. Then the inequality*

$$\int_c^d |t - x| d_q t \leq \left(\int_c^d (t - x)^2 d_q t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_c^d d_q t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof For the proof of the Lemma (see [15]). □

3 Main Results

Let $C[0, 1]$ be the space of all continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ with sup-norm $\|f\| := \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)|$. Let $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $\delta > 0$. Then the modulus of continuity $\omega(f, \delta)$ is given as:

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{\substack{|v - w| \leq \delta \\ v, w \in [0, 1]}} |f(v) - f(w)|.$$

It is well-known $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(f; \delta) = 0$. For $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $x, t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq \omega(f; \delta) \left(1 + \frac{|t - x|}{\delta} \right) \tag{3.1}$$

For $f \in C[0, 1]$ the Peetre K-functional is given by

$$K_2(f; \delta) = \inf_{g \in W^2} \{ |f - g| + \delta \|g''\| \},$$

where $\delta > 0$ and $W^2 = \{g \in C[0, 1] : g', g'' \in C[0, 1]\}$. In [9], there exists an absolute constant $\lambda > 0$, such that

$$K_2(f; \delta) \leq \lambda \omega_2(f; \sqrt{\delta}). \tag{3.2}$$

and the second-order modulus of continuity $\omega_2(\cdot; \delta)$ for $f \in C[0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\omega_2(f; \delta) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \sup_{x, x+h, x+2h \in [0, 1]} |f(x + 2h) - 2f(x + h) + f(x)|.$$

Theorem 3.1 For $0 < q \leq 1$, $q = \{q_n\}$ be a sequence converging to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for all $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, it implies $K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x)$ converges to $f(x)$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$ for sufficiently large n .

Proof From Lemma 2.2, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = 1$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(1; x) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(t; x) = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(t^2; x) = x^2$. Then by Bohaman–Korovkin theorem $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f(t); x) = f(x)$ converges uniformly on $[0, 1]$. □

Theorem 3.2 For $f \in C[0, 1]$, $q \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$|K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \lambda \omega_2 \left(f; \sqrt{\mu_{n,2}^q(x) + \mu_{n,1}^q(x)^2} \right) + \omega \left(f; \omega_{n,1}^q(x) \right),$$

where $\mu_{n,2}^q(x)$ and $\mu_{n,1}^q(x)$ are second- and first-central moments of the operators (1.4).

Proof We define an auxiliary operators

$$\hat{K}_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) = K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f \left(\frac{2q[n + 1]_q x + 1}{[2]_q [n + 1]_q} \right) + f(x). \tag{3.3}$$

For the operators $\hat{K}_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot; x)$, we get

$$\hat{K}_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(t - x; x) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Suppose, $g \in W^2$, $x, t \in [0, 1]$. Then by Tylor’s expansion, we have

$$g(t) = g(x) + (t - x)g'(x) + \int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du.$$

Applying $\hat{K}_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot; x)$ in above equation, we have

$$\hat{K}_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(g; x) = g(x) + \hat{K}_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}\left(\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du; x\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \hat{K}_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(g; x) - g(x) \right| &\leq \left| K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}\left(\int_x^t (t - u)g''(u)du; x\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \left(\int_x^{\frac{2q[n+1]_q x + 1}{[2]_q [n+1]_q}} \left(\frac{2q[n+1]_q x + 1}{[2]_q [n+1]_q} - x \right) g''(u)du; x \right) \right| \\ &\leq K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}\left(\int_x^t |t - x| g''(u)du; x\right) \\ &\quad + \left| \left(\int_x^{\frac{2q[n+1]_q x + 1}{[2]_q [n+1]_q}} \left| \frac{2q[n+1]_q x + 1}{[2]_q [n+1]_q} - u \right| |g''(x)| du; x \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left[K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}((t - x)^2; x) + \left(\frac{2q[n+1]_q x + 1}{[2]_q [n+1]_q} - x \right)^2 \right] \|g''\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.3), we have

$$\left| K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) \right| \leq \|f\| \left[K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(1; x) + 2 \|f\| \right] = 3 \|f\|. \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq |K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f - g; x)| + |f - g| \\
 &\quad + \left| f\left(\frac{2q[n+1]_q x + 1}{[2]_q[n+1]_q}\right) - f(x) \right| \\
 &\leq 4 \|f - g\| + \left(\mu_{n,2}^q(x) + \mu_{n,1}^q(x)\right) \\
 &\quad + \left| f\left(\frac{2q[n+1]_q x + 1}{[2]_q[n+1]_q}\right) - f(x) \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

Now taking infimum on the right-hand side of the above inequality over $g \in W^2$, we get

$$\leq 4K_2 \left(f; \mu_{n,2}^q(x) + \mu_{n,1}^q(x)\right) + \omega(f; \mu_{n,1}^q(x))$$

From (3.2), we get

$$|K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \lambda\omega_2 \left(f; \sqrt{\mu_{n,2}^q(x) + \mu_{n,1}^q(x)}\right) + \omega(f; \omega_{n,1}^q(x)).$$

Hence, this is our required result. □

Theorem 3.3 *Let $q_n \in (0, 1)$ be a sequence converging to 1 and α is fixed. Then for $f \in C[0, 1]$, we have*

$$|K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega(f; \delta_n(x)),$$

where $\delta_n(x) = (K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}((t-x)^2; x))^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof For nondecreasing function $f \in C[0, 1]$. Using linearity and monotonicity of $K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(|f(t) - f(x)|; x) \\
 &\leq \omega(f; \delta) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(|t-x|; x)\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 with $c = \frac{q[k]_q}{[n+1]_q}$ and $d = \frac{[k+1]_q}{[n+1]_q}$, we get

$$|K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \omega(f; x) \left\{ 1 + \frac{[n+1]_q}{\delta} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \left(\int_{\frac{q[k]_q}{[n+1]_q}}^{\frac{[k+1]_q}{[n+1]_q}} (t-x)^2 d_q t \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{\frac{q[k]_q}{[n+1]_q}}^{\frac{[k+1]_q}{[n+1]_q}} d_q t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Using Hölder’s inequality for sums, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &= \omega(f; x) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \left([n + 1]_q \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \int_{\frac{q[k]_q}{[n+1]_q}}^{\frac{[k+1]_q}{[n+1]_q}} (t - x)^2 d_q t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left([n + 1]_q \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,q,k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \int_{\frac{q[k]_q}{[n+1]_q}}^{\frac{[k+1]_q}{[n+1]_q}} d_q t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= \omega(f; x) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \left(K_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}((t - x)^2; x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\delta = \delta_n(x)$, we get the required result. □

References

1. O. Agratini, Kantorovich-type operators preserving affine functions. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **45**(6), 1657–1663 (2016)
2. T. Acar, A.M. Acu, N. Manav, Approximation of functions by genuine Bernstein-Durrmeyer type operators. *J. Math. Inequal.* **12**(4), 975–987 (2018)
3. A. Aral, V. Gupta, R.P. Agrawal, *Applications of q-Calculus in Operator Theory* (Springer, New York, 1993)
4. P.N. Agrawal, V. Gupta, A.S. Kumar, On q-analogue of Bernstein-Schurer-Stancu operators. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**(14), 7754–7764 (2013)
5. S.N. Bernstein, Demonstration of the Weierstrass theorem based on the calculation of probabilities. *Common Soc. Math. Charkow Ser. 2t* **13**, 1–2 (1912)
6. Q.B. Cai, B.Y. Lian, G. Zho, Approximation properties of Lambda-Bernstein operators. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **61** (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-018-1653-7>
7. X. Chen, J. Tan, Z. Liu, J. Xie, Approximation of functions by a new family of generalized Bernstein operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **450**, 244–261 (2017)
8. Q.B. Cai, X.W. Xu, Shape-preserving properties of a new family of generalized Bernstein operators. *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2018)
9. R.A. Devore, G.G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation* (Springer, Berlin, 1993)
10. M. Dhamija, R. Pratap, N. Deo, Approximation by Kantorovich form of modified Szász-Mirakyan operators. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **317**, 109–120 (2018)
11. V. Gupta, T.M. Rassias, H. Sharma, q-Durrmeyer operators based on Pólya distribution. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **9**, 1497–1504 (2016)

12. A. Lupas, A q-analogue of the Bernstein operator, *Seminar on Numerical and Statistical Calculus*, vol. 9 (University of Cluj-Napoca, 1987)
13. S.A. Mohiuddine, T. Acar, A. Alotaibi, Construction of a new family of Bernstein-Kantorovich operators. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 1–11 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.4559>
14. G.M. Phillips, Bernstein polynomials based on the q-integers. *Ann. Numer. Math.* **4**, 511–518 (1997)
15. M. Örkcü, O. Dođru, q-Szasz-Mirakyan-Kantorovich operators preserving test functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 1588–1593 (2011)
16. X. Zeng, F. Cheng, On the rate of Bernstein type operators. *J. Approx. Theory* **109**(2), 242–256 (2001)