

	)
0	

# Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria: Harnessing Its Potential for Sustainable Plant Disease Management

S. Harish, S. Parthasarathy, D. Durgadevi, K. Anandhi, and T. Raguchander

# Contents

8.1	Introduction.	152
8.2	PGPR in Plant Disease Management	153
8.3	Plant Growth Promotion (PGP) Activities.	155
8.4	Antibiosis.	163
	8.4.1 Hydrogen Cyanide (HCN) Production.	165
	8.4.2 Siderophore Production.	165
8.5	Competitions	166
8.6	Lytic Enzymes	167
8.7	Induced Systemic Resistance.	167
8.8	Formulations of PGPR	169
8.9	Concluding Remarks and Future Directions	171
Refe	rences	171

### Abstract

The sustainable plant disease management includes the use of beneficial microbes for the effective and sustained production of crop/plants. Numerous species of soil bacteria/rhizobacteria and fungi exist in the rhizosphere of plants which can counteract the pathogenic organisms and stimulate plant growth through direct/ indirect mode of action. The plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs),

S. Parthasarathy · D. Durgadevi · T. Raguchander Department of Plant Pathology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

K. Anandhi

S. Harish (🖂)

Department of Plant Pathology, Agricultural College & Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Agricultural College & Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>©</sup> Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2019

A. Kumar, V. S. Meena (eds.), *Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria* for Agricultural Sustainability, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7553-8\_8

viz., *Pseudomonas, Bacillus*, and *Streptomyces*, have been well exploited by scientists for the management of plant diseases in economically important agricultural and horticultural crops. In nature, interactions between the pathogenic and beneficial microbes take place which decides the existence of the pathogen in the rhizosphere region. Interaction of PGPR with pathogens in the rhizosphere may lead to an expression of innate immune response of defense genes in the plants which can counter the pathogen infection. This review helps in understanding the dynamics and existence of PGPR in the soil, their role in disease management, and their interaction with the pathogens which explore the possibility of identifying new proteins/genes in host-pathogen interaction. In addition, commercial production of bioagents with the suitable carrier material and delivery system play a major role in managing plant diseases under field conditions. The exploration for PGPR and study of their modes of action are escalating at a rapid pace, as efforts are made to exploit them commercially as bioinoculants.

#### Keywords

Antibiosis  $\cdot$  Bacillus sp.  $\cdot$  Competition  $\cdot$  Induced systemic resistance  $\cdot$  Lytic enzymes  $\cdot$  Pseudomonas sp.

# 8.1 Introduction

Sustainable agriculture practices involves soil health maintanence, usage of minimal water, and minimize the pollution level in the environment which subsequently increases the food grain production in the country. During the cultivation of crops, biotic stress caused by plant pathogens is a major concern which incurs huge economical loss to the farmers. Various agrochemicals are being utilized by the farmers for the management of the diseases caused by plant pathogens. However, their use is increasingly restricted due to public concerns over toxic residues, development of resistance in the pathogens, and increased expenditure for plant protection. Exploitation of microbe-based management will be an alternative approach to control this disease. In nature, soil harbors numerous beneficial microorganisms with potential genes for governing resistance and promoting plant growth which can be well exploited for managing the plant diseases. The PGPR is currently applied in an extensive array of agri- and horticultural production systems in the form of bioinoculants in a variety of economically significant plants including cereals, millets, pulses, oilseeds, fiber crops, sugar crops, fruits, vegetables, medicinal crops, spices, condiments, ornaments, fodder, and cash crops for augmenting their growth and productivity. Free-living, nonpathogenic, root-colonizing bacteria have been studied for the past century as possible inoculants for increasing plant productivity (Kloepper et al. 1992).

In the last few decades, a large array of bacteria including species of *Alcaligenes*, *Aeromonas*, *Azotobacter*, *Arthrobacter*, *Azoarcus*, *Azospirillum*, *Acinetobacter*,

Agrobacterium, Aneurinibacillus, Bacillus, Beijerinckia, Burkholderia, Gluconacetobacter, Gluconobacter, Herbaspirillum, Paenibacillus, Pseudomonas, Rhizobium, Rhodococcus, Saccharothrix, Serratia, Thiobacillus, and Variovorax are considered as important PGPR (Dobbelaere et al. 2003; Crepin et al. 2012; Annapurna et al. 2013). These effective rhizobacteria are used in sustainable agriculture as biofertilizers and biocontrol agents (Babalola 2010). Several studies have depicted proteobacteria especially bacteria from family *Pseudomonadaceae* or *Burkholderiaceae* as dominant members of rhizosphere microflora in field conditions (Peiffer et al. 2013).

Rhizobacteria can survive in soil or seed, multiply in the spermosphere in response to seed exudates, get attached to the root surface (Suslow 1980), and later become endophytic by colonizing in root cortex region. They are sporadically dispersed along roots and are distributed in a lognormal pattern in the rhizosphere (Bahme and Schroth 1987). Various PGPR strains screened under laboratory, greenhouse, and field conditions against phytopathogens have been commercialized. The commercially utilized efficient PGPR strains include species of *Agrobacterium*, *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Burkholderia*, *Delftia*, *Paenibacillus*, *Pantoea*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhizobium*, and *Serratia* (Glick 2012). Although various strains of PGPR have been isolated, there is a gap in identification of efficient crop-specific strain with good colonizing ability possessing antagonistic and growth-promoting genes. Also, the type of formulation used for mass multiplication of these biocontrol agents is more important which will help to establish itself in the field for a considerable period of time.

The molecular markers, of late, can be utilized for identification and screening of the efficient strain in a short span of time. Besides, understanding the mode of action of PGPR through genomic and proteomic approaches will help in depicting its role in plant disease management. With this background, this review will address the major PGPR strains utilized in agricultural and horticultural crops for plant disease management, highlight the various mode of action exhibited by these beneficial bacteria against soilborne diseases, and also discuss on the various bioformulations used for the management of plant diseases which will pay a way for sustainable agriculture.

# 8.2 PGPR in Plant Disease Management

PGPRs are the distinct group of microbes that suppress the deleterious pathogens in crop plants. The genera normally used as biocontrol agents are *Agrobacterium*, *Bacillus*, *Burkholderia*, *Pseudomonas*, *Streptomyces*, etc. Among the diversity of PGPR, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* spp. have a wide distribution and are the extensively studied genera for PGPR as a biocontrol. In particular, the soilborne fluorescent pseudomonads have received particular interest due to its excellent root-colonizing abilities and their capacity to produce a wide range of antifungal metabolites (Olivain et al. 2004). These organisms combat the plant disease by competition, enzymatic lysis, production of antibiotics, hydrogen cyanide, siderophores,

induced systemic resistance (ISR), or any other mechanisms. The rhizosphere soil is an active site with complex interactions between the root and the associated PGPR (Sylvia et al. 1998). At this point, the PGPR enhances plant growth and development by direct and/or indirect mechanisms. Direct mechanisms elicit growth promotion by biological nitrogen fixation (BNF), production of hormones such as indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), cytokinin and phosphate, potassium and zinc solubilization or mobilization (Idris et al. 2008), production of siderophores for sequestering of iron (Fe) from the soil and supply it to the plants and synthesis of hydrogen cyanide, etc. (Keel and Defago 1997). Some strains improve the innate ability to tolerate the stresses like acidity, salinity, drought, etc., besides production of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase enzyme to lower the ethylene synthesis and synthesis of fungal cell wall lytic enzymes.

Secondly, indirect mechanisms include suppression of harmful/deleterious rhizosphere microbes through induced systemic resistance (ISR), which are normally recognized as having a role in biocontrol (Dobbelaere et al. 2003). Induced systemic resistance is based on the activation of plant defense mechanisms by rhizobacterial strains and is considered natural, eco-friendly, and safe besides providing resistance against a broad spectrum of pathogens (Sticher et al. 1997). The rhizobacteria need to colonize the roots to a sufficient level for induction of resistance in the host. For example, in radish, a minimal number of  $10^5$  colony-forming units (cfu) per g root of bacteria is required to induce resistance in the host (Raaijmakers et al. 1995). Colonization of plants by biocontrol agents induces cell wall modifications, viz., deposition of callose, pectin, cellulose, and phenolic compounds leading to the formation of a structural barrier at the site of potential attack by phytopathogens (Benhamou et al. 2000). Defense reaction occurs due to accumulation of PR proteins (chitinase,  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase), phenylalanine ammonia lyase, peroxidase, phenolics, callose, lignin, and phytoalexins (Harish et al. 2009b).

The successful establishment of an introduced PGPR depends on its compatibility/establishment with the crop and also on its interaction with indigenous microflora. An ideal PGPR should be rhizosphere competent, enhance plant growth, be easy to mass multiply, possess broad spectrum of action, have consistent biological control activity, be safe to the environment, and be compatible with other rhizobacteria (Nakkeeran et al. 2005; Barea, 2015). Therefore, identification of a functional PGPR strain possessing the growth-promoting and broad-spectrum biocontrol activity is an ever-challenging one. Utilization of molecular tools to identify the antibiotic biosynthetic genes, quorum quenching/sensing genes, and growthpromoting genes in PGPR will pay way for the selection of efficient microbes in a short span of time (Fig. 8.1). Besides, updating the knowledge on the utilization of PGPR for plant disease management is the need of the day. This review, therefore, will focus on some novel and highly utilized PGPR in disease management with special reference to the genera *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus*.

Various research groups throughout the world have utilized PGPR strains that were found to be successful in combating the major diseases of field and horticultural crops (Kloepper and Schroth 1978) through direct/indirect mode of action along with plant growth promotion activity (Tables 8.1 and 8.2). The enhancement



Fig. 8.1 Proposed model for PGPR-mediated plant growth promotion and disease management

of plant growth by PGPR indicates their potential as biofertilizers and biocontrol agents in the field of agriculture (Kloepper and Adesemaye 2009).

# 8.3 Plant Growth Promotion (PGP) Activities

The studies on the mechanism of growth promotion indicated that PGPR promotes plant growth directly by the production of plant growth regulators (PGR) or indirectly by stimulating nutrient uptake, by producing siderophores or antibiotics to protect plants from soilborne pathogens or deleterious rhizosphere organisms (Kavino et al. 2010). Barea et al. (2005) reported phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) positive for IAA, GA<sub>3</sub>, and cytokinin production. Several isolates of *Pseudomonas* produced auxin or cytokinin and gibberellin.

*Fluorescent pseudomonads* increased the plant growth of rice and cotton by ~27% and 40%, respectively, when the bacteria were applied to the seed (Sakthivel and Gnanamanickam 1987). Seeds treated with fluorescent pseudomonads resulted in increased number of tillers and grain yield in addition to control of sheath blight disease in rice (Mew and Rosales 1992). An increase in germination of ~30 to 60% in maize by plant growth-promoting strains of *P. aeruginosa* strain 7NSK2 and *P. fluorescens* ANP15 was observed by Hofte et al. (1991). Fluorescent *Pseudomonas* strains improved vegetative sett germination, plant height, cane diameter, brix values, and cane weight in sugarcane (Viswanathan and Samiyappan 1999). Indirect

		Plant growth-promoting	
Crop	Pathogen	rhizobacteria	References
Rice	Magnaporthe grisea	Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus polymyxa, P. fluorescens	Gnanamanickam and Mew (1992), Vidhyasekaran et al. (1997), and Karpagavalli et al. (2002)
	Pyricularia oryzae	P. fluorescens, Bacillus sp., Streptomyces sindeneusis, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus megaterium, Bacillus pumilus, Paenibacillus kribbensis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Pseudomonas putida	Krishnamurthy and Gnanamanickam (1998), Vidhyasekaran and Muthamilan (1999), Nandakumar et al. (2001), Kanajanamaneesathian et al. (2007), Yang et al. (2009), Zarandi et al. (2009), Guo and Liao (2014), Srivastava et al. (2016), and Rais et al. (2017)
	Rhizoctonia solani	P. fluorescens, B. subtilis	Rabindran and Vidhyasekaran (1996) and Kumar et al. (2012)
	Sarocladium oryzae	P. fluorescens, P. aeruginosa	Sakthivel and Gnanamanickam (1987) and Sunish kumar et al. (2005)
	Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae	P. fluorescens, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, Lysobacter antibioticus, Bacillus lentus, Bacillus cereus, Bacillus circulans	Vidhyasekaran et al. (2001). Velusamy and Gnanamanickam (2003), Ji et al. (2008), and Yasmin et al. (2016)
Wheat	Tilletia laevis	P. fluorescens	McManus et al. (1993)
	Helminthosporium sativum	P. fluorescens	Ping et al. (1999)
	Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici	P. fluorescens, Pseudomonas chlororaphis	Pierson and Thomashow (1992) and Mazzola et al. (2004)
	Microdochium nivale	Pseudomonas brassicacearum	Levenfors et al. (2008)
	Septoria tritici	P. aeruginosa	Flaishman et al. (1990)
	Fusarium culmorum	P. fluorescens	Khan and Doohan (2009)
	Fusarium graminearum	Lysobacter enzymogenes	Jochum et al. (2006)
	Mycosphaerella graminicola	B. megaterium	Kildea et al. (2008)
Barley	Pythium ultimum	P. fluorescens	Gutterson et al. (1986)
	F. culmorum	P. fluorescens	Khan and Doohan (2009)
	Pyrenophora teres	P. fluorescens	Khan et al. (2010)
Maize	P. ultimum, Pseudomonas arrhenomanes	Burkholderia cepacia	Mao et al. (1998)
	P. ultimum	P. fluorescens	Callan et al. (1990)

**Table 8.1** Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in field crop diseases management

Crop	Pathogen	Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria	References
<u>r</u>	Peronosclerospora sorghi	B. subtilis, P. fluorescens	Sadoma et al. (2011)
	Fusarium verticillioides	P. fluorescens, B. amyloliquefaciens	Nayaka et al. (2009) and Pereira et al. (2010)
	F. culmorum	P. fluorescens	Khan and Doohan (2009)
	Helminthosporium maydis	B. subtilis, B. cereus	Lu et al. (2006) and Yun-feng et al. (2012)
	Erwinia carotovora	Bacillus thuringiensis	Dong et al. (2004)
	Stenocarpella maydis	B. subtilis, P. fluorescens, Pantoea agglomerans	Petatan-Sagahon et al. (2011)
	R. solani	B. subtilis	Muis and Quimiob (2006)
	Fusarium moniliforme	Bacillus sp., Pseudomonas sp.	Pal et al. (2001)
Sorghum	P. ultimum	P. fluorescens	Idris et al. (2008)
	Macrophomina phaseolina	P. chlororaphis	Das et al. (2008)
	Sclerospora graminicola	B. pumilus, B. subtilis	Raj et al. (2003)
	<i>Erwinia carotovora</i> subsp. <i>atroseptica</i>	P. chlororaphis	Das et al. (2008)
Pearl millet	Sclerospora graminicola	P. fluorescens	Umesha et al. (1998)
Ragi	P. grisea	P. fluorescens	Vanitha (1998)
Foxtail millet	M. grisea	P. fluorescens	Karthikeyan and Gnanamanickam (2008)
Pigeon pea	Macrophomina phaseolina	P. fluorescens	Siddiqui et al. (1998)
	Fusarium udum	Bacillus licheniformis	Singh et al. (2002)
Chickpea	P. ultimum	B. pumilus, Streptomyces lydicus, Streptomyces griseoviridis	Leisso et al. (2009)
	F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceri	P. aeruginosa, Bacillus macerans, B. megaterium	Anjaiah et al. (2003), Landa et al. (2004), and Saikia et al. (2006)
	M. phaseolina	P. putida, P. polymyxa	Akhtar and Siddiqui (2007)
	Rhizoctonia bataticola	P. fluorescens	Ahamad et al. (2000)
Mung bean	M. phaseolina	Burkholderia sp.	Satya et al. (2011)
Soya bean	P. ultimum	P. putida	Paulitz (1991)
	Sclerotinia sclerotiorum	B. subtilis	Zhang et al. (2011) and Zeng et al. (2012)
	F. oxysporum F. graminearum	B. subtilis	Zhang et al. (2009)

Table 8.1 (continued)

Crop	Pathogen	Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria	References
	Soyabean stunt	P. aeruginosa	Khalimi and Suprapta (2011)
Groundnut	S. rolfsii	P. fluorescens B. subtilis	Vanitha (1998), and Abd-Allah and El-Didamony (2007)
	Aspergillus niger	P. aeruginosa, Pseudomonas sp. Bacillus sp.	Kishore et al. (2005a) and Anjaiah et al. (2006)
	Aspergillus flavus	B. megaterium	Kong et al. (2010)
	Puccinia arachidis	P. fluorescens	Meena et al. (1999)
	M. phaseolina	P. fluorescens	Shanmugam et al. (2002)
Sesame	P. ultimum	P. polymyxa	Ryu et al. (2006)
	M. phaseolina	P. fluorescens	Jayashree et al. (2000)
Sunflower	Plasmopara halstedii	B. pumilus	Nandeeshkumar et al. (2008)
	Sunflower necrosis virus	Streptomyces fradiae, B. licheniformis	Srinivasan and Mathivanan (2011)
Safflower	M. phaseolina	P. fluorescens	Prashanthi et al. (2000)
Rapeseed	S. sclerotiorum	B. subtilis, P. chlororaphis	Fernando et al. (2007) and Yang et al. (2009)
		B. amyloliquefaciens	
Cotton	P. ultimum	Enterobacter cloacae, Acinetobacter calcoaceticus, P. fluorescens	Nelson (1988), van Dijk and Nelson (1998), and Hagedorn et al. (1990)
	Verticillium dahliae	Pseudomonas sp., Serratia plymuthica	Erdogan and Benlioglu (2010)
	Thielaviopsis basicola	Paenibacillus alvei	Schoina et al. (2011)
	R. solani	P. fluorescens, Pseudomonas cepacia	Hagedorn et al. (1990), Cartwright et al. (1995), and Ligon et al. (2000)
	X. campestris pv. malvacearum	P. fluorescens, B. cereus	Mondal et al. (2000) and Ishida et al. (2008)
Sugarcane	Colletotrichum falcatum	P. putida	Viswanathan and Samiyappan (2002)
Sugar beet	Pythium ultimum var. ultimum	L. enzymogenes	Palumbo et al. (2005)
	P. ultimum	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	Dunne et al. (1998)
	R. solani	P. fluorescens	Nielsen et al. (1998)
	Cercospora beticola	B. subtilis	Collins and Jacobsen (2003)

Table 8.1 (continued)

		Plant growth-promoting	
Crop	Pathogen	rhizobacteria	References
Tomato	P. ultimum	P. fluorescens, B. subtilis	Hultberg et al. (2000) and Jayaraj et al. (2005)
	Pythium aphanidermatum	P. fluorescens	Ramamoorthy et al. (2001)
	Pythium splendens	P. aeruginosa	Buysens et al. (1994)
	Phytophthora infestans	B. pumilus, P. fluorescens	Yan et al. (2002)
	F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici	P. fluorescens, S. griseoviridis	Chin-A-Woeng et al. (1998), Dekkers et al.
		P. fluorescens, P. chlororaphis	(2000), Khan and Akram (2000), Minuto et al.
		B. megaterium, B. cepacia	(2006), and Omar et al. (2006)
	Alternaria solani	P. fluorescens	Geels and Schippers (1983)
	S. rolfsii	P. fluorescens, B. amyloliquefaciens	Thiribhuvanamala et al. (1999) and Jetiyanon et al. (2003)
	R. solani	P. fluorescens	Geels and Schippers
		B. subtilis	(1983) and Szezech and Shoda (2006)
	Ralstonia solanacearum	P. putida	Amith et al. (2004)
	X. axonopodis pv. vesicatoria	B. pumilus	Ji et al. (2006)
	Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato	P. syringae, P. putida, P. fluorescens	Van Peer et al. (1991), Wilson et al. (2002), and Matilla et al. (2010)
	Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. michiganensis	B. subtilis	Utkhede and Koch (2004)
Potato	P. ultimum	E. cloacae	Kageyama and Nelson (2003)
	P. infestans	P. fluorescens, S. plymuthica	Glass et al. (2001) and Slininger et al. (2007)
	Phytophthora erythroseptica	E. cloacae, Enterobacter sp. Pseudomonas sp.	Schisler et al. (2009)
	R. solani	P. fluorescens	Grosch et al. (2005)
	Fusarium sp.	P. fluorescens	Al-Mughrabi (2010)
	Verticillium dahliae	P. fluorescens	Uppal et al. (2008)
	F. roseum var. sambucinum	B. licheniformis, B. cereus	Sadfi et al. (2002)

 Table 8.2
 Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in horticultural crop diseases management

:000)
.000)
2002)
<b>197</b> )
12)
011)
Nelson
999)
l Kalita
6)
3)
k (2008)
2004)
ıosur
011)
3)
. (2006)
y et al.
(2011)
)
<b>)</b>
et al.

# Table 8.2 (continued)

		Plant growth-promoting	
Crop	Pathogen	rhizobacteria	References
	X. campestris pv. manihotis	B. cereus, B. subtilis, Pseudomonas sp.	Amusa and Odunbaku (2007)
Pea	P. ultimum	P. fluorescens	Naseby et al. (2001)
	Pythium sp.	P. cepacia, P. fluorescens	Parke et al. (1991)
	P. infestans	B. pumilus	Yan et al. (2002)
	Aphanomyces euteiches	B. mycoides	Wakelin et al. (2002)
	P. syringae pv. syringae	P. fluorescens	Seuk et al. (2001)
Beans	P. splendens	P. aeruginosa	Anjaiah et al. (1998)
	Colletotrichum lindemuthianum	P. chlororaphis	Lagopodi (2009)
	Botrytis cinerea	B. subtilis	Ongena et al. (2007)
Radish	F. oxysporum f. sp.	P. fluorescens	Leeman et al. (1996)
	raphani	P. putida	Scher and Baker (1982)
	P. ultimum	E. cloacae	Kageyama and Nelson (2003)
Beetroot	P. debaryanum, P. ultimum	P. fluorescens	Dodd and Stewart (1992)
Cabbage	P. brassicae	Pseudomonas sp.	Hjort et al. (2010)
Yam	Botryodiplodia theobromae	B. subtilis	Swain et al. (2008)
	F. moniliforme	B. subtilis	Okigbo (2002)
	Penicillium sclerotigenum	Pseudomonas sp.	
Lettuce	R. solani	P. fluorescens	Grosch et al. (2005)
	P. ultimum	P. fluorescens	Crawford et al. (1993)
Cauliflower	F. moniliforme	P. fluorescens	Rajappan and Ramaraj (1999)
Cucumber	P. ultimum	P. fluorescens	Georgakopoulos et al. (2002)
		E. cloacae	Kageyama and Nelson (2003)
	P. aphanidermatum	L. enzymogenes	Folman et al. (2004)
	Fusarium sp.	P. fluorescens	Brovko and Brovko (2000)
	F. oxysporum	P. putida	Park et al. (1988)
	F. oxysporum f. sp. cucumerinum	P. aeruginosa	Bradley and Punja (2010)
Cabbage	X. campestris pv. campestris	Bacillus velezensis	Liu et al. (2016)
Carnation	<i>F. oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>dianthi</i>	P. fluorescens	Van Peer and Schippers (1992)
	P. cinnamomi	P. fluorescens	Sorokina et al. (1999)

Table 8.2 (continued)

Crop	Pathogen	Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria	References
Tea	Exobasidium vexans	P. fluorescens	Saravanakumar et al. (2007b)
Peppermint	R. solani	P. fluorescens	Kamalakannan et al. (2003)
Mango	Colletotrichum gloeosporioides	P. fluorescens	Koomen and Jeffris (1993) and Vivekananthan et al. (2004)
	Lasiodiplodia theobromae	P. fluorescens, B. subtilis	Parthasarathy et al. (2016)
Banana	BBTV	P. fluorescens, Bacillus sp.	Harish et al. (2008a)
Apricot, peach	Leucostoma cinctum	P. fluorescens	Rozsnyay et al. (1992)
Apple	Venturia inaequalis	P. fluorescens	Kucheryava et al. (1999)
Grapevine	Plasmopara viticola	B. subtilis	Furuya et al. (2011)
Raspberry	Phytophthora fragariae var. rubi	Streptomyces sp.	Valois et al. (1996)
Arabidopsis	P. syringae pv. lachrymans	P. putida	Wei et al. (1996)
	P. aphanidermatum	Peanibacillus polymyxa	Timmusk et al. (2009)
	Hyaloperonospora parasitica	P. fluorescens	Iavicoli et al. (2003)
Asparagus	Phytophthora megasperma	P. chlororaphis	Carruthers et al. (1995)
Chrysanthemum	P. aphanidermatum, Pythium dissotocum	P. fluorescens	Liu et al. (2007)
Mushroom	Pseudomonas tolaasii	P. fluorescens	Bora et al. (2000)
Tobacco	P. ultimum	P. fluorescens	Howell and Stipanovic (1979)
	Peronospora tabacina	S. marcescens	Zhang et al. (2001)

#### Table 8.2 (continued)

stimulus of plant growth contains a range of mechanisms by which the bacteria protect plants from phytopathogens (Glick 2012). The PGPR strains, viz., *Paenibacillus* sp. *Azospirillum brasilense*, *B. subtilis* subsp. *subtilis*, *B. kururiensis*, and *P. stutzeri*, enhanced biomass production in several trees and nursery saplings (Radhapriya et al. 2018). Also, the application of *Bacillus* spp. in the plant system facilitates plant growth promotion (Gange and Gadhave 2018). The enzyme ACC deaminase secreted by PGPR lowers the plant ethylene levels that are produced during stress conditions and thus directly protects the plant from retardation (Glick 1995). The significance of ACC deaminase gene has been documented in many of the crops which promote plant growth under various conditions (Mayak et al. 2004). Seed bacterization with fluorescent pseudomonads GRC2 resulted in improved seed germination, pod yield, and reduced charcoal rot disease incidence caused by *M. phaseolina* in peanut (Gupta et al. 2002). Similarly, application of *P. fluorescens* Pf1

as seed treatment followed by soil application enhanced the plant growth and has better native rhizobium nodulation and grain yield in legumes (Jayashree et al. 2000). Thus application of PGPR strain promoted the growth of crop by direct and indirect means and thus compensates the loss caused due to pathogens.

## 8.4 Antibiosis

Antibiotics are mostly deliberated to be low molecular weight organic compounds produced by beneficial microbes and is considered as one of the most important traits of PGPR. Antibiosis shows a vital role in the biocontrol of plant disease which often acts in concert with competition and parasitism. Dennis and Webster (1971) first described the antagonistic properties of *Trichoderma* in terms of antibiotic production which included both nonvolatiles and volatiles. Certain PGPR strains are capable of producing volatile and nonvolatile antibiotics and are important feature for suppression of plant pathogens (Table 8.3). Some of these antibiotic-producing strains were also shown to suppress fungal plant disease in vitro (Whipps 2001).

Several strains of *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* spp. have been shown to produce wide array of antibiotics which includes ammonia, butyrolactones, 2–4 diacetylphloroglucinol, kanosamine, oligomycin A, oomycin A, phenazine-1-carboxylic acid, pyoluteorin, pyrrolnitrin, tropolone, pyocyanin, iturin, surfactin, viscosinamide, zwittermicin A, agrocin 84, as well as several other uncharacterized moieties (Nyfeler and Ackermann 1992; Keel and Defago 1997; Nielsen et al. 1999; Whipps 2001). Burkhead et al. (1994) reported that *P. cepacia* B37W produced pyrrolnitrin antibiotic inhibitory to *Fusarium sambucinum*. Michereff et al. (1994) could correlate the in vitro inhibition of *Pythium* and *Rhizoctonia* by 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol, an antibiotic produced by *P. fluorescens* PF5 and in vivo control of *C. graminicola*, incitant of sorghum anthracnose. *P. fluorescens* (Trevisan) Migula F113 was shown to control the potato soft rot pathogen, *E. carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica* (van Hall) Dye, by the production of antibiotic 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) (Cronin et al. 1997). Some evidence was also obtained that siderophore production by *P. fluorescens* F113 may play a role in biocontrol of potato soft rot.

*Bacillus* cyclic lipopeptides belong to three major families, the iturins (bacillomycins, iturins, and mycosubtilins), the fengycins (plipastatins), and the surfactins (bamylocin A, esperins, lichenysins, pumilacidins, and surfactins) (Jacques 2011). Iturins and fengycins possess antifungal activity against a wide range of phytopathogens, while surfactins are mostly antibacterial (Ongena and Jacques 2008). Bacilysin is a dipeptide composed of an L-alanine and the unusual amino acid L-anticapsin and one of the simplest peptide antibiotics known with antifungal and antibacterial activities. Difficidin and bacilysin from *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 have antibacterial activity against *X. oryzae* in rice (Wu et al. 2015). *B. subtilis* CMB32 produced antifungal lipopeptides which was found to be antagonistic against *C. gloeosporioides* (Kim et al. 2010). Thus antibiotics secreted by the biocontrol agents were found to inhibit the plant pathogens and thus play an important role in disease management.

Target group	PGPR	Antibiotics	References
Oomycetes, fungi	P. fluorescens	2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol	Shanahan et al. (1992)
		Phenazine-1-carboxylic acid	Gurusiddaiah et al. (1986)
		Dimer of phenazine-1- carboxylic acid	Sakthivel and Sunish Kumar (2008)
		Pyrrolnitrin	Ligon et al. (2000)
		Pyoluteorin	Keel et al. (1992)
		Mupirocin (pseudomonic acid A)	El-Sayed et al. (2003)
		Rhizoxin analogues	Loper et al. (2008)
		Viscosinamide	Nielsen et al. (1998)
		Tensin	Nielsen et al. (2000)
		Masstolides A	de Bruijn et al. (2007)
	P. aeruginosa	Phenazine-1-carboxamide	Sunish Kumar et al. (2005)
		Pyocyanin	Baron et al. (1997)
	Pseudomonas aureofaciens	Phenazine-1-carboxylic acid	Thomashow et al. (1990)
		Pyrrolnitrin	Elander et al. (1968)
	P. chlororaphis	Phenazine-1-carboxylic acid	Pierson and Thomashow (1992)
		2-hydroxyphenazine	Chin-A-Woeng et al. (1998)
	P. putida	Phenazine-1-carboxylic acid	Pathma et al. (2011)
	P. cepacia	Pyrrolnitrin	Cartwright et al. (1995)
	Pseudomonas pyrrolnitrica	Monodechloro-pyrrolnitrin	Hashimoto and Hattori (1968)
	Pseudomonas borealis	2,3-deepoxy-2,3-didehydro- rhizoxin	Tombolini et al. (1999)
	Pseudomonas spp.	Isopyrrolnitrin	Hashimoto and Hattori (1966a)
		Oxypyrrolnitrin	Hashimoto and Hattori (1966b)
		Amphisin	Sorensen et al. (2001)
		Oomycin A	Kim et al. (2000)
		Cepaciamide A	Howie and Suslow (1991)
		Ecomycins	Jiao et al. (1996)
		2,3-deepoxy-2,3-didehydro- rhizoxin	Miller et al. (1998)
		Butyrolactones	Thrane et al. (2000)
		N-butylbenzene	Gamard et al.(1997)
		Sulphonamide	Kim et al. (2000)

**Table 8.3** Antibiotics produced by PGPR

Target group	PGPR	Antibiotics	References
	B. amyloliquefaciens	Bacillomycin D	Gu et al. (2017)
	B. cereus	Kanosamine	Milner et al. (1996)
		Zwittermicin A	Silo-Suh et al. (1994)
	B. subtilis	Kanosamine	Vetter et al. (2013)
		Iturin A (cyclopeptide)	Constantinescu (2001)
		Plipastatins A and B	Volpon et al. (2000)
		Fengycins	Zhang and Sun (2018)
Bacteria	P. fluorescens	Mupirocin (pseudomonic acid A)	Fuller et al. (1971)
		Azomycin	Shoji et al. (1989)
Virus	Bacillus sp.	Karalicin	Lampis et al. (1996)
	B. amyloliquefaciens	Mersacidin	Chatterjee et al. (1992)

Table 8.3 (continued)

# 8.4.1 Hydrogen Cyanide (HCN) Production

HCN is a volatile, secondary metabolite that overwhelms the growth of microbes and that also disturbs deleteriously the growth and development of plants (Siddiqui et al. 2006). Several studies feature a disease defensive effect to HCN, e.g., in the suppression of "root-knot" and black rot in tomato and tobacco root caused by the nematodes *Meloidogyne javanica* and *Thielaviopsis basicola*, respectively (Voisard et al. 1989).

## 8.4.2 Siderophore Production

Iron (Fe) is an essential element to virtually all forms of life and plays an important role in different physiological processes such as respiration, photosynthesis, DNA synthesis, and defense against reactive oxygen species. However, its availability is extremely limited by the very low solubility of ferric hydroxide complexes at neutral pH. To survive in such an environment, plant-associated PGPRs have different strategies for obtaining iron from the soil, which includes the synthesis of low molecular weight siderophores, viz., catechols, pyoverdin, and hydroxamate, which are selective ferric ion chelators. These compounds are secreted in response to iron deficiency. Siderophore-producing PGPR can prevent the multiplying of pathogens by repossessing ferric iron in the root zone (Siddiqui 2005). Iron depletion in the rhizosphere does not harm the plants, as the low iron level occurs at microsites of high microbial movement during the establishment of the pathogens.

Plants can utilize various fungal and bacterial siderophores as source of iron, while the total iron levels are too low to pay substantially to plant iron uptake. Plants also use their innate mechanisms to gain iron, dicots via a root membrane reductase protein that converts insoluble Fe<sup>3+</sup> ion into the more soluble Fe<sup>2+</sup> ion or in the case of monocots by the production of plant siderophores (Crowley 2006).

Siderophore-secreting microbial strains own iron-regulated outer membrane proteins (IROMPs) on their cell surface that carriage ferric iron complex to the respective cognate membrane: iron thus becomes accessible for metabolic processes (Johri et al. 2003). Siderophore-producing fluorescent pseudomonads are ahead commercial importance as they are harmless, do not prime to biomagnification, and also deliver iron nourishment to the plants, thereby stimulating plant growth (Sayyed et al. 2005). Carrillo-Castaneda et al. (2003) reported encouraging effects on alfalfa plantlet development after the inoculation of siderophore-producing genus such as Azospirillum, Pseudomonas, and Rhizobium grown in iron-starved cultures. The bacterized alfalfa seeds improved their germination as well as the root and stem dry weight. Iron-chelating hydroxamate siderophores of P. aeruginosa showed inhibitory action against R. solani and C. gloeosporioides in chili (Sasirekha and Srividya 2016). Also, inoculation of siderophore-producing rhizobacteria and their consortium increased the growth of wheat plant (Kumar et al. 2018). Nevertheless, as with other PGPR, the growth elevation that occurred may be due to other mechanisms or combinations of one or two mechanisms that rise nutrient availability, subdue pathogens, or upset root growth via hormone production.

# 8.5 Competitions

Effective colonization and perseverance in the rhizosphere are essential for PGPR to utilize their positive consequence on plants (Elliot and Lynch 1995). Several reports indicate the importance of colonization of the biocontrol agents in rhizosphere and endorhizosphere regions of plant (Forlani et al. 1999). Competition for nutrients, primarily carbon, nitrogen, and iron, might result in biocontrol of soilborne plant pathogens (Benson and Baker 1970). Suppression of damping off of peas by *P. cepacia* showed a significant relationship between population size of the biocontrol agent and the degree of disease suppression (Parke et al. 1991). The bacterial antagonist *P. fluorescens* effectively suppressed the green mold pathogen *P. digitatum* by means of competition and induced systemic resistance on citrus peels (Wang et al. 2018).

Also, suppression of take-all of wheat and *Fusarium* wilt of radish was correlated with the colonization of roots by *Pseudomonas* strains (Bull et al. 1991). Scher et al. (1985) reported that disease suppression by fluorescent pseudomonads depends mainly on its ability to colonize rhizosphere. Introduction of sss gene encoding rhizosphere colonization ability into poor colonizer strain of *P. fluorescens* WCS 307 increased competitive rhizosphere colonization ability in tomato root tip resulting in increased protection against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (Dekkers et al. 2000). So, the microbial ability to colonize rhizosphere and their persistence throughout the growing season has become the crucial factor for the selection of effective antagonistic organism. Dekkers et al. (1998b) showed that the gene encoding NADH dehydrogenase I plays an important role in root colonization. Another gene required for efficient colonization is the sss gene, encoding a site-specific recombinase of the lambda integrase family which helps in adapting cells to rhizosphere conditions (Dekkers et al. 1998a).

# 8.6 Lytic Enzymes

The antagonistic process relies on the production of hydrolytic enzymes which enhances penetration of the host mycelium and partial degradation of its cell wall via secretion of mycolytic enzymes, viz., chitinases and glucanases. The pathogenic microbes that have shown susceptibility to these hydrolytic enzymes include *B. cinerea*, *F. oxysporum*, *Phytophthora* spp., *P. ultimum*, *R. solani*, and *S. rolfsii* (Glick 2012). The roles of each protein in the enzymatic complex of *Pseudomonas* appear to be different, and enzymes with different or complementary modes of action appear to be required for maximal antifungal effect on different pathogens (Viswanathan and Samiyappan 2002). Minaxi et al. (2012) described that *B. subtilis* solubilized phosphorus, exhibited 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase activity, and produced ammonia and indole-3-acetic acid. Various microbes secrete and excrete array of metabolites that can hamper pathogen growth and other activities. Numerous microbes produce and release hydrolytic enzymes that can lyse a wide range of polymers, including chitin, cellulose, hemicellulose, proteins, and nucleic acid (Table 8.4).

Expression and secretion of these hydrolytic enzymes by beneficial microbes can sometimes result in the suppression of plant pathogen activities directly. Several microbes like *B. subtilis*, *B. cereus*, *B. thuringiensis*, and *S. marcescens* have a potential to secrete hydrolytic enzymes for the biocontrol of phytopathogens (Jadhav and Sayyed 2016). Lytic enzymes can reduce different polymeric substances such as chitin, proteins, cellulose, hemicellulose, and DNA (Vivekananthan et al. 2004). Chitinase produced by *S. plymuthica* C48 inhibited spore germination and germ tube elongation in *B. cinerea*, but *S. marcescens* was considered to produce extracellular chitinases which act as antagonists against *S. rolfsii* (Frankowski et al. 2001). It was demonstrated that extracellular chitinase and laminarinase synthesized by *P. stutzeri* lyse mycelia of *F. solani* (Compant et al. 2005).

# 8.7 Induced Systemic Resistance

The PGPR induces systemic resistance (ISR) through invigorating the physical and mechanical integrity of cell wall as well as altering physiological and biochemical response of host leading to the synthesis of defense molecules against challenge inoculation of plant pathogens. ISR mechanism in plants was imparted by several PGPR determinants, viz., lipopolysaccharides, lipopeptides, salicylic acid, massetolide A, 2,3-butanediol, hexenal, and iron-regulated metabolite Cx (Pal and Gardener 2006). Followed by the interaction of PGPR determinants with plants, several defense reactions occur due to the accumulation of pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins (chitinase and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanases), peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase,

Enzymes	Producer	Target pathogen	References
Chitinase	S. plymuthica	B. cinerea	Frankowski et al. (2001)
		S. sclerotiorum	Kamensky et al. (2003)
	S. marcescens	S. rolfsii	Ordentlich et al. (1988)
		Phaeoisariopsis personata	Kishore et al. (2005b)
	S. lydicus	Pythium sp.	Mahadevan and Crawford (1997)
	B. cereus	R. solani	Chernin et al. (1997)
	Paenibacillus illinoisensis	R. solani	Jung et al. (2003)
Endochitinase	P. fluorescens	Tobacco necrosis virus	Maurhofer et al. (1994)
		F. oxysporum f. sp. pisi	Benhamou et al. (1996)
β-1,3- glucanase	Paenibacillus sp.	<i>F. oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>cucumerinum</i>	Singh et al. (1999)
	P. cepacia	S. rolfsii	Fridlender et al. (1993)
	Streptomyces spp.	P. fragariae var. rubi	Valois et al. (1996)
	Streptomyces sioyaensis	P. aphanidermatum	Hong and Meng (2003)
Laminarinase	Pseudomonas stutzeri	F. solani	Lim et al. (1991)
Proteases	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	P. ultimum	Dunne et al. (1998)

Table 8.4 Lytic enzymes produced by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria

phenylalanine ammonia lyase, chalcone synthase, catalase, phenolics, callose, lignin, and phytoalexins. Kloepper et al. (1992) reported that five of six rhizobacteria induced systemic resistance in cucumber which exhibited both external and internal root colonization. Seed treatment of radish with resistance inducing *P. fluorescens* strain WCS374 reduced *Fusarium* wilt in naturally infested field soil upto 50 percent (Leeman et al. 1995).

Chitinolytic enzymes together with  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanases or cellulases are most frequently considered to play a vital role in biocontrol (Chet et al. 1998). The enzymes like chitinases and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanases lyse the host cell wall and lead to the leakage of protoplasmic contents which are in turn used as a food material for the multiplication of the antagonist. Biological control agents, namely, *P. fluorescens* 89B-27 and *S. marcescens* 90–166, were observed to induce resistance in cucumber against bacterial pathogen *P. syringae* pv. *lachrymans* and fungal pathogens, *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum* and *Colletotrichum orbiculare* (Liu et al. 1995). ISR by PGPR has been achieved in large number of crops including potato (Doke et al. 1987), radish (Leeman et al. 1996), cucumber (Wei et al. 1996), bean (de Meyer and Hofte 1997), tobacco (Troxler et al. 1997), tomato (Duijff et al. 1993), chilli, brinjal (Ramamoorthy et al. 2001), banana (Harish et al. 2009a, b), sugarcane (Viswanathan and Samiyappan 1999), rice (Harish et al. 2008b), and mango (Parthasarathy et al. 2016) against broad spectrum of pathogens including fungi (Leeman et al. 1995), bacteria (Liu et al. 1995), and viruses (Kandan et al. 2005).

The ISR shares many properties with innate immunity in humans (Lugtenberg and Kamilova 2009). When plants grow, their roots enter quickly into a symbiosis with diverse microbes. This symbiosis may play the role of beneficial (aid in the uptake of water and minerals, such as phosphate, and protection of biotic and abiotic stress) or pathogenic agents in the development of plants (Gnanamanickam 2006). In case of pathogenic bacteria, the immune response of the plant is characterized by the production of salicylic acid, which in revenge induces a set of genes encoding pathogenesis-related proteins in the plant (Gnanamanickam 2006). ISR was observed first with *Pseudomonas* sp. strain WCS417r against *Fusarium* wilt of carnations and by selected rhizobacteria against the fungus *C. orbiculare* in cucumber (Compant et al. 2005). Available reports showed that in rice, seed treatment followed by root dipping and a foliar spray with *P. fluorescens* strains Pf1 and FP7 induces systemic resistance against the sheath blight pathogen, *R. solani* (Jayashree et al. 2000). Thus ISR plays a major role in combating the pathogen during the host-pathogen-biocontrol interaction.

## 8.8 Formulations of PGPR

Potential PGPR needs to be formulated with suitable carriers for mass multiplication and broad-scale application in fields. Mass multiplication of PGPR in a suitable medium and development of a powder formulation were first carried out in 1980. A dried powder formulation of PGPR is especially important for seed treatment and soil application. Among the various bioformulations, talc- and liquid-based formulations were extensively used in agriculture and horticulture crops for managing diseases (Table 8.5). Although this type of formulation can be produced in large quantity, it may be difficult to store and have a relatively short shelf life, poor quality, and low field performance. Development of bioformulation with short shelf life was possible by using vegetative cells of the antagonists as the active ingredient in the formulations (Kanjanamaneesathian et al. 2007). Various solid formulations, such as floatable granules, floatable pellets, and effervescent fast-disintegrating granules, have been developed for the management of sheath blight disease under controlled conditions (Wiwattanapatapee et al. 2013). These carrier-based formulations help in improving the shelf life, protecting the viability, and easy delivery of the bacterial cells to the targeted sites in the plant system and long-term survival in the soil. Thus formulations with longer shelf life need to be targeted as they can establish in the soil, survive for a considerable period of time, and improve the soil fertility besides protecting from harmful pathogens.

Formulation	PGPR	Crop	Disease	References
Talc	P. fluorescens	Blue pine	Nursery diseases	Ahangar et al. (2012)
		Chillies	Fruit rot	Bharathi et al. (2004)
		Muskmelon	Fusarium wilt	Bora et al. (2004)
		Rice	Sheath blight	Radjacommare et al. (2002)
		Tomato	TSWV	Kandan et al. (2005)
		Mung bean	<i>Macrophomina</i> root rot	Saravanakumar et al. (2007a)
		Rice	Sheath rot	Saravanakumar et al. (2007b)
		Tea	Blister blight	Saravanakumar et al. (2009)
		Sugarcane	Red rot	Viswanathan and Samiyappan (2002)
		Mango	Anthracnose	Vivekananthan et al. (2004)
		Rice	Sheath blight	Vidhyasekaran and Muthamilan (1999)
Lignite	P. fluorescens	Rice	Sheath blight	Vidhyasekaran and Muthamilan (1999)
Peat	P. fluorescens	Rice	Sheath blight	Vidhyasekaran and Muthamilan (1999)
	P. chlororaphis, B. subtilis	Turmeric	Rhizome rot	Nakkeeran et al. (2004)
Chitin	B. subtilis	Groundnut	Crown rot	Manjula and Podile
		Pigeon pea	Fusarium wilt	(2001)
Vermiculate	P. fluorescens	Rice	Sheath blight	Vidhyasekaran and Muthamilan (1999)
Charcoal	Bacillus sp.	Mung bean	Wilt	Pahari et al. (2017)
Wheat bran	B. subtilis, P. putida	Lettuce, cucumber	Root rot	Amer and Utkhede (2000)
EB <sup>TM</sup>	P. fluorescens	Sugar beet	Damping-off	Moenne-Loccoz et al. (1999)
Alginate	P. fluorescens	Sugar beet	Pythium rot, Rhizoctonia rot	Russo et al. (2001)
	Streptomycetes sp.	Tomato	Damping-off	Sabaratnam and Traquair (2002)
Liquid	P. fluorescens	Tomato	<i>Fusarium</i> wilt	Manikandan et al. (2010)
		Mango	Stem end rot	Parthasarathy et al. (2016)
Water in oil	Fluorescent pseudomonads (FP7)	Banana	Anthracnose	Faisal et al. (2014)

**Table 8.5**Different types of formulations from PGPR

# 8.9 Concluding Remarks and Future Directions

Historically, emphasis in crop science has been placed on the discovery of new disease resistance genes through molecular breeding techniques rather than using the resistance potential already present in plants. The resistance in the plants can be induced by means of beneficial microbes present in the soil rhizosphere. The recent demonstration of the use of biocontrol agents in the laboratory and field situations presents exciting opportunities for the control of plant diseases by multiple mechanisms. Various field experiments with crop plants have shown that eco-friendly approaches using microbial bioagents can lead to long-lasting, broad-spectrum disease control and can be used preventively to bolster general plant health. However, application of bacterial bioformulation in the field at times may exhibit inconsistency in the efficacy due to short shelf life in the environment and their susceptibility to unfavorable environmental conditions. The survival and competitive ability of the microbial strains to be introduced must be improved as very little information is known about the competitiveness of the microbes and factors governing it. In order to harness the potential benefits of bioagents in commercial agriculture, the consistency of their performance must be improved. Development of quality inoculum with increased shelf life and user-friendly formulation are important factors essential for the success of bioinoculant technology. Besides, the molecular mechanisms underlying the host-pathogen-biocontrol interaction should be unraveled through genomic and proteomic approaches to identify the defense genes in the plants. These genes can be exploited for the management of plant diseases. Molecular markers, e.g., reporter gene tagging, PCR, or serological markers, can be used for studying the competence of the inoculated PGPR strains. Once these factors are identified, it may be possible to manipulate them in the field to enhance the stability of their performance. Thus the PGPR possessing the useful biosynthetic genes can be screened through molecular markers and can be exploited for sustainable plant disease management.

Acknowledgment The authors acknowledge the Department of Plant Pathology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, for providing opportunity to carry out the work.

# References

- Abd-Allah EF, El-Didamony G (2007) Effect of seed treatment of *Arachis hypogaea* with *Bacillus subtilis* on nodulation in biocontrol of southern blight (*Sclerotium rolfsii*) disease. Phytoparasitica 35:8–12
- Ahamad S, Srivastava M, Ahamad S, Srivastana M (2000) Biological controls of dry root rot of chickpea with plant products and antagonistic microorganisms. Ann Agric Res 21:450–451
- Ahangar MA, Dar GH, Bhat ZA (2012) Growth response and nutrient uptake of blue pine (*Pinus wallichiana*) seedlings inoculated with rhizosphere microorganisms under temperate nursery conditions of Kashmir. Ann Forest Res 55:217–227
- Akgül DS, Mirik M (2008) Biocontrol of *Phytophthora capsici* on pepper plants by *Bacillus megaterium* strains. J Plant Pathol 90:29–34

Akhtar MS, Siddiqui ZA (2007) Biocontrol of root rot disease complex with *Glomus intraradices*, *Pseudomonas putida* and *Paecilomyces polymyxa*. Australas Plant Pathol 36:175–180

- Al-Mughrabi KI (2010) Biological control of *Fusarium* dry rot and other potato tuber diseases using *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Enterobacter cloacae*. Biol Control 53:280–284
- Amer GA, Utkhede RS (2000) Developments of formulations of biological agents for management of root rot of lettuce and cucumber. Can J Microbiol 46:809–816
- Amith KN, Momol MT, Kloepper JW, Marois JW, Olson SM, Jones JB (2004) Efficacy of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, acibenzolar-S-methyl and soil amendment for integrated management of bacterial wilt on tomato. Plant Dis 88:669–673
- Amusa NA, Odunbaku OA (2007) Biological control of bacterial diseases of plants in Nigeria: problems and prospects. Res J Agric Biol Sci 3:979–982
- Anjaiah V, Koedam N, Nowak-Thompson B, Loper JE, Hofte M, Tambong JT, Cornelis P (1998) Involvement of phenazines and anthranilate in the antagonism of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PNA1 and Tn5 derivatives toward *Fusarium* spp. and *Pythium* spp. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 11:847–854
- Anjaiah V, Cornelis P, Koedam N (2003) Effect of genotype and root colonization in biological control of fusarium wilts in pigeonpea and chickpea by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PNA1. Can J Microbiol 49:85–91
- Anjaiah V, Thakur RP, Koedam N (2006) Evaluation of bacteria and *Trichoderma* for biocontrol of preharvest seed infection by *Aspergillus flavus* in groundnut. Biocontrol Sci Tech 16:431–436
- Annapurna K, Kumar A, Kumar LV, Govindasamy V, Bose P, Ramadoss D (2013) PGPR-Induced Systemic Resistance (ISR) in plant disease management. In: Maheshwari D (ed) Bacteria in agrobiology: disease management. Springer, Berlin, pp 405–425
- Babalola OO (2010) Beneficial bacteria of agricultural importance. Biotechnol Lett 32:1559-1570
- Bahme JB, Schroth MN (1987) Spatial-temporal colonization patterns of a rhizobacterium on underground organs of potato. Phytopathology 77:1093–1100
- Barea JM (2015) Future challenges and perspectives for applying microbial biotechnology in sustainable agriculture based on a better understanding of plant-microbiome interactions. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr 15:261–282
- Barea JM, Pozo MJ, Azcon R, Aguilar CA (2005) Microbial co-operation in the rhizosphere. J Exp Bot 56:1761–1778
- Baron SS, Teranova G, Rowe JJ (1997) Molecular mechanism of the antimicrobial action of pyocyanin. Curr Microbiol 18:223–230
- Benhamou N, Kloepper JW, Quadt-Hallmann A, Tuzun S (1996) Induction of defense-related ultrastructural modifications in pea root tissues inoculated with endophytic bacteria. Plant Physiol 112:919–929
- Benhamou N, Gagne S, IeQuere D, Dehbi L (2000) Bacteria-mediated induced resistance in cucumber: beneficial effect of the endophytic bacterium *Serratia plymuthica* on the protection against infection by *Pythium ultimum*. Phytopathology 90:45–56
- Benson DM, Baker R (1970) Rhizosphere competition in model soil systems. Phytopathology 60:1058–1061
- Bharathi R, Vivekananthan R, Harish S, Ramanathan A, Samiyappan R (2004) Rhizobacteria based bio-formulations for the management of fruit rot infection in chillies. Crop Prot 23:835–843
- Bora T, Ozakran H, Van Griensven LJLD (2000) Biological control of some important mushroom diseases in Turkey by fluorescent pseudomonads. Science and cultivation of edible fungi. Proceedings of 15th International Congress on the Science and Cultivation of Edible Fungi, Maastricht, Netherlands, pp 689–693
- Bora T, Ozaktan H, Gore E, Aslan E (2004) Biological control of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *melonis* by wettable powder formulations of the two strains of *Pseudomonas putida*. J Phytopathol 152:471–475
- Boukaew S, Chuenchit S, Petcharat V (2011) Evaluation of Streptomyces spp. for biological control of Sclerotium root and stem rot and Ralstonia wilt of chilli pepper. BioControl 56:365–374
- Bradley GG, Punja ZK (2010) Composts containing fluorescent pseudomonads suppress Fusarium root and stem rot development on greenhouse cucumber. Can J Microbiol 56:896–905

- Brovko SP, Brovko GA (2000) Rhizoplane protects cucumbers from root rots. Kartofel' I Ovosh 2:47
- Bull CT, Weller DM, Thomashow LS (1991) Relationship between root colonization and suppression of *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. tritici by Pseudomonas fluorescens 2-79. Phytopathology 81:954–959
- Burkhead KD, Schisler DA, Slininger PJ (1994) Pyrrolnitrin production by biological control agent *Pseudomonas cepacia* B37w in culture and in colonized wounds of potatoes. Appl Environ Microbiol 60:2031–2039
- Buysens S, Poppe J, Hofte M (1994) Role of siderophores in plant growth stimulation and antagonism by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 7NSK2. In: Ryder MH, Stephens PM, Bowen GD (eds) Improving plant productivity with rhizosphere bacteria. CSIRO, Adelaide, pp 139–141
- Callan NW, Mathre DE, Miller JB (1990) Bio-priming seed treatment for biological control of *Pythium ultimum* preemergence damping-off in sh2 sweet corn. Plant Dis 74:368–372
- Carrillo-Castaneda G, Munoz JJ, Peralta-Videa JR, Gomez E, Gardea-Torresdey JL (2003) Plant growth-promoting bacteria promote copper and iron translocation from root to shoot in alfalfa seedlings. J Plant Nutr 26:1801–1814
- Carruthers FL, Shum-Thomas T, Conner AJ, Mahanty HK (1995) The significance of antibiotic production by *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* PA 147–2 for biological control of *Phytophthora megasperma* root rot of asparagus. Plant Soil 170:339–344
- Cartwright DK, Chilton WS, Benson DM (1995) Pyrrolnitrin and phenazine production by *Pseudomonas cepacia*, strain 5.5B, a biocontrol agent of *Rhizoctonia solani*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 43:211–216
- Chakravarty G, Kalita MC (2011) Comparative evaluation of organic formulations of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* based biopesticides and their application in the management of bacterial wilt of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Afr J Biotechnol 10:7174–7182
- Chatterjee S, Chatterjee S, Lad SJ, Phansalkar MS, Rupp RH, Ganguli BN, Fehlhaber HW, Kogler H (1992) Mersacidin, a new antibiotic from Bacillus. Fermentation, isolation, purification and chemical characterization. J Antibiot (Tokyo) 45:832–838
- Chen TW, Wu WS (1999) Biological control of carrot black rot. J Phytopathol 147:99-104
- Chernin LS, Fuente LDL, Sobolov V, Haran S, Vorgias CE, Oppenheim AB, Chet I (1997) Molecular cloning, structural analysis, and expression in *Escherichia coli* of a chitinase gene from *Enterobacter agglomerans*. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:834–839
- Chet I, Benhamou N, Harman S (1998) Mycoparasitism and lytic enzymes. In: Harman GE, Kubick CP (eds) *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium*, vol 2. Taylor and Francis, London, pp 153–172
- Chin-A-Woeng TFC, Bloemberg GV, Van der Bij AJ, Van der Drift KMGM, Schripse-ma J, Kroon B, Scheffer RJ, Keel C, Bakker PAHM, Tichy H, de Bruijn FJ, Thomas-Oates JE, Lugtenberg BJJ (1998) Biocontrol by phenazine-1-carboxamide-producing *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* PCL1391 of tomato root rot caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radices lycopersici*. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 11:1069–1077
- Collins DP, Jacobsen BJ (2003) Optimizing a *Bacillus subtilis* isolate for biological control of sugar beet cercospora leaf spot. Biol Control 26:153–161
- Compant S, Duffy B, Nowak J, Clement C, Barka EA (2005) Use of plant growth promoting bacteria for biocontrol of plant diseases: principles, mechanisms of action and future prospects. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:4951–4959
- Constantinescu F (2001) Extraction and identification of antifungal metabolites produced by some *B. subtilis* strains. Analele Institutului de Cercetari Pentru Cereale Protectia Plantelor 31:17–23
- Crawford DL, Lynch JM, Whipps JM, Ousley MA (1993) Isolation and characterization of actinomycete antagonists of a fungal root pathogen. Appl Environ Microbiol 59:3899–3905
- Crepin A, Barbey C, Cirou A, Tannières M, Orange N, Feuilloley M, Dessaux Y, Burini J, Faure D, Latour X (2012) Biological control of pathogen communication in the rhizosphere: a novel approach applied to potato soft rot due to *Pectobacterium atrosepticum*. Plant Soil 358:27–37
- Cronin D, Moenne-Loccoz Y, Fenton A, Dunne C, Dowling DN, Gara FO (1997) Ecological interaction of a biocontrol *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain producing 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol

with the soft rot potato pathogen *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica*. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 23:95–106

- Crowley DE (2006) Microbial siderophores in the plant rhizospheric. In: Barton LL, Abadía J (eds) Iron nutrition in plants and Rhizospheric microorganisms. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 169–198
- Das IK, Indira S, Annapurna A, Prabhakar SN (2008) Biocontrol of charcoal rot in sorghum by fluorescent pseudomonads associated with the rhizosphere. Crop Protect 27:1407–1414
- de Bruijn I, de Kock MJD, Yang M, de Waard P, van Beek TA, Raaijmakers JM (2007) Genome based discovery, structure prediction and functional analysis of cyclic lipopeptide antibiotics in *Pseudomonas* species. Mol Microbiol 63:417–428
- De Meyer G, Hofte M (1997) Salicylic acid produced by the rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 7NSK2 induces resistance to leaf infection by *Botrytis cinerea* on bean. Phytopathology 87:588–593
- Dekkers LC, de Weger LA, Wijffelman CA, Spaink HP, Lugtenberg BJJ (1998a) A two-component system plays an important role in the root-colonising ability of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain WCS365. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 11:45–56
- Dekkers LC, Phoelich CC, van der Fits L, Lugtenberg BJJ (1998b) A site-specific recombinase is required for competitive root colonization by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS365. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:7051–7056
- Dekkers LC, Mulders IHM, Phoelich CC, Chin-A-Woeng TFC, Wijfjes AHM, Lugtenberg BJJ (2000) The colonization gene of the tomato-*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* biocontrol strain *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS365 can improve root colonization of other wild-type *Pseudomonas* spp. bacteria. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 13:1177–1183
- Dennis C, Webster J (1971) Antagonistic properties of species-groups of *Trichoderma*: II. Production of volatile antibiotics. Trans Br Mycol Soc 57:363–369
- Dobbelaere S, Vanderleyden J, Okon Y (2003) Plant growth promoting effects of diazotrophs in the rhizosphere. CRC Crit Rev Plant Sci 22:107–149
- Dodd SL, Stewart A (1992) Biological control of *Pythium* induced damping-off of beetroot (*Beta vulgaris*) in the glasshouse. NZJ. Crop Horticult Sci 20:421–426
- Doke N, Ramirez AV, Tomiyama K (1987) Systemic induction of resistance in potato *Phytophthora infestans* by local treatment with hyphal wall components of the fungus. J Phytopathol 119:232–239
- Domenech J, Reddy MS, Kloepper JW, Ramos B, Gutierrez-Mañero J (2006) Combined application of the biological product LS213 with *Bacillus, Pseudomonas* or *Chryseobacterium* for growth promotion and biological control of soilborne diseases in pepper and tomato. BioControl 51:245–258
- Dong YH, Zhang XF, Xu JL, Zhang LH (2004) Insecticidal *Bacillus thuringiensis* silences *Erwinia carotovora* virulence by a new form of microbial antagonism, signal interference. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:954–960
- Duijff BJ, Meijer JW, Bakker P, Schippers B (1993) Siderophore-mediated competition for iron and induced resistance in the suppression of Fusarium wilt of carnation by fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. Neth J Plant Pathol 99:5–6
- Dunne C, Moenne-Loccoza Y, McCarthya J, Higginsa P, Powellb J, Dowlinga DN, O'Gara F (1998) Combining proteolytic and phloroglucinol-producing bacteria for improved biocontrol of *Pythium*-mediated damping-off of sugar beet. Plant Pathol 47:299–307
- Elander RP, Mabe JA, Hamill RH, Gorman M (1968) Metabolism of tryptophans by *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* VI. Production of pyrrolnitrin by selected Pseudomonas species. Appl Microbiol 16:753–758
- Elliot LF, Lynch JM (1995) The international workshop on establishment of microbial inocula in soils: cooperative research project on biological resource management of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). Am J Altern Agric 10:50–73
- El-Sayed AK, Hothersall J, Cooper SM, Stephens E, Simpson TJ, Thomas CM (2003) Characterization of the mupirocin biosynthesis gene cluster from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* NCIMB 10586. Chem Biol 10:410–430

- Erdogan O, Benlioglu K (2010) Biological control of *Verticillium* wilt on cotton by the use of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. under field conditions. Biol Control 53:39–45
- Faisal MP, Nagendran K, Karthikeyan G, Raguchander T, Prabakar K (2014) Water in oil based PGPR formulation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (FP7) showed enhanced resistance against *Colletotrichum musae*. Crop Prot 65:186–193
- Fernando WGD, Nakkeeran S, Zhang Y, Sarchuk S (2007) Biological control of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary by Pseudomonas and Bacillus species on canola petals. Crop Protect 26:100–107
- Flaishman M, Eyal Z, Voisard C, Haas D (1990) Suppression of *Septoria tritici* by phenazine or siderophore-deficient mutants of *Pseudomonas*. Curr Microbiol 20:121–124
- Folman LB, De Klein MJEM, Postma J, van Veen JA (2004) Production of antifungal compounds by *Lysobacter enzymogenes* isolate 3.1 T8 under different conditions in relation to its efficacy as a biocontrol agent of *Pythium aphanidermatum* in cucumber. Biol Control 31:145–154
- Forlani GM, Mantelli M, Nielsen E (1999) Biochemical evidence for multiple acetoin-forming enzymes in cultured plant cells. Phytochemistry 50:255–262
- Frankowski J, Lorito M, Scala F, Schmidt R, Berg G, Bahl H (2001) Purification and properties of two chitinolytic enzymes of *Serratia plymuthica* HRO-C48. Arch Microbiol 176:421–426
- Fridlender M, Inbar J, Chet I (1993) Biological control of soilborne plant pathogens by a β-1,3 glucanase producing *Pseudomonas cepacia*. Soil Biol Biochem 25:1211–1221
- Fuller AT, Mellows G, Woolford M, Banks GT, Barrow KD, Chain EB (1971) Pseudomonic acid: an antibiotic produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Nature 234:416–417
- Furuya S, Mochizuki M, Aoki Y, Kobayashi H, Takayanagi T, Shimizu M, Suzuki S (2011) Isolation and characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* KS1 for the biocontrol of grapevine fungal diseases. Biocontrol Sci Tech 21:705–720
- Gamard P, Sauriol F, Benhamou N, Belanger RR, Paulitz TC (1997) Novel butyrolactones with antifungal activity produced by *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* strain 63–28. J Antibiot 50:742–749
- Gange AC, Gadhave KR (2018) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria promote plant size inequality. Sci Rep 8:13828
- Geels FP, Schippers B (1983) Selection of antagonist fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. And their root colonization and persistence following treatment of seed potatoes. Phytopathol Z 108:193–206
- Georgakopoulos DG, Fiddaman P, Leifert C, Malathrakis NE (2002) Biological control of cucumber and sugarbeet damping-off caused by *Pythium ultimum* with bacterial and fungal antagonists. J Appl Microbiol 92:1078–1086
- Glass JR, Johnson KB, Powelson ML (2001) Assessment of barriers to prevent the development of potato tuber blight caused by *Phytophthora infestans*. Plant Dis 85:521–528
- Glick BR (1995) The enhancement of plant growth by living bacteria. Can J Microbiol 41:109-117
- Glick BR (2012) Plant growth-promoting bacteria: mechanisms and applications. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Scientifica, Waterloo
- Gnanamanickam SS (2006) Plant-associated bacteria. Springer, Dordrecht
- Gnanamanickam SS, Mew TW (1992) Biological control of blast disease of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) with antagonistic bacteria and its mediation by a *Pseudomonas* antibiotic. Ann Phytopathol Soc Jpn 58:380–385
- Grosch R, Faltin F, Lottmann J, Kofoet A, Berg G (2005) Effectiveness of 3 antagonistic bacterial isolates to control *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn on lettuce and potato. Can J Microbiol 51:345–353
- Gu Q, Yang Y, Yuan Q, Shi G, Wu L, Lou Z, Huo R, Wu H, Borriss R, Gao X (2017) Bacillomycin D produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* is involved in the antagonistic interaction with the plant-pathogenic fungus *Fusarium graminearum*. Appl Environ Microbiol 83:e01075–e01017
- Guo T, Liao M (2014) Suppression of *Rhizoctonia solani* and induction of host plant resistance by *Paenibacillus kribbensis* PS04 towards controlling of rice sheath blight. Biocontrol Sci Tech 24:116–121
- Gupta CP, Dubey RC, Maheshwari DK (2002) Plant growth enhancement, suppression of Macrophomina phaseolina causing charcoal rot of pea nut by fluorescent Pseudomonas. Biol Fertil Soils 35:295–301

- Gurusiddaiah S, Weller DM, Sarkar A, Cook RJ (1986) Characterization of an antibiotic produced by a strain of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* inhibitory to *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. tritici and Pythium spp. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 29:488–495
- Gutterson N, Layton TJ, Ziegle JS, Warren GJ (1986) Molecular cloning of genetic determinants for inhibition of fungal growth by a fluorescent pseudomonad. J Bacteriol 165:696–703
- Hagedorn C, Nelson N, Skwara JE (1990) Evaluation of a *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain for repression of seedling disease. Va J Sci 41:492–500
- Harish S, Kavino M, Kumar N, Saravanakumar D, Soorianathasundaram K, Samiyappan R (2008a) Biohardening with plant growth promoting rhizosphere and endophytic bacteria induces systemic resistance against *Banana bunchy top virus*. Appl Soil Ecol 39(2):187–200
- Harish S, Saravanakumar D, Radjacommare R, Ebenezar EG, Seetharaman K (2008b) Use of plant extracts and biocontrol agents for the management of brown spot disease in rice. BioControl 53:555–567
- Harish S, Kavino M, Kumar N, Samiyappan R (2009a) Differential expression of pathogenesisrelated proteins and defense enzymes in banana: interaction between endophytic bacteria, *Banana bunchy top virus* and *Pentalonia nigronervosa*. Biocontrol Sci Tech 19(8):843–857
- Harish S, Kavino M, Kumar N, Balasubramanian P, Samiyappan R (2009b) Induction of defenserelated proteins by mixtures of plant growth promoting endophytic bacteria against *Banana bunchy top virus*. Biol Control 51(1):16–25
- Hashimoto M, Hattori K (1966a) Oxypyrrolnitrin: a metabolite of *Pseudomonas*. Chem Pharm Bull 14:1314–1316
- Hashimoto M, Hattori K (1966b) Isopyrrolnitrin: a metabolite from *Pseudomonas*. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 39:410
- Hashimoto M, Hattori K (1968) A new metabolite from *Pseudomonas pyrrolnitrica*. Chem Pharm Bull 16:1144
- Hegde GM, Anahosur KH (2001) Evaluations of fungitoxicants against fruit rot of chilli and their effect on bio-chemical constituents. Karnataka J Agric Sci 14:836–838
- Hjort K, Bergstrom M, Adesina MF, Jansson JK, Smalla K, Sjoling S (2010) Chitinase genes revealed and compared in bacterial isolates, DNA extracts and a metagenomic library from a phytopathogen-suppressive soil. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 71:197–207
- Hofte M, Boelens J, Verstrete W (1991) Seed protection and promotion of seedling emergence by the plant growth beneficial *Pseudomonas* strains 7NSK2 and ANP15. Soil Biol Biochem 23:407–410
- Hong T-Y, Meng M (2003) Biochemical characterization and antifungal activity of an endo-1, 3-b-glucanase of *Paenibacillus* sp. isolated from garden soil. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 61:472–478
- Howell CR, Stipanovic RD (1979) Control of *Rhizoctonia solani* on cotton seedlings with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* with an antibiotic produced by the bacterium. Phytopathology 69:480–482
- Howie WJ, Suslow TV (1991) Role of antibiotic biosynthesis in the inhibition of *Pythium ultimum* in the cotton spermosphere and rhizosphere by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Mol Plant Microb Interact 4:393–399
- Hultberg M, Alsanius B, Sundin P (2000) In vivo and in vitro interactions between *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Pythium ultimum* in the suppression of damping-off in tomato seedlings. Biol Control 19:1–8
- Iavicoli A, Boutet E, Buchala A, Metraux JP (2003) Induced systemic resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana in response to root inoculation with Pseudomonas fluorescens CHAO. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 16:851–858
- Idris AH, Labuschagne N, Korsten L (2008) Suppression of *Pythium ultimum* root rot of sorghum by rhizobacterial isolates from Ethiopian and South Africa. Biol Control 45:72–84
- Ishida AKN, Souza RM, Resende MLV, Cavalcanti FR, Oliveira DL, Pozza EA (2008) *Rhizobium* and acibenzolar- *S* -methyl (ASM) in resistance induction against bacterial blight and expression of defense responses in cotton. Trop Plant Pathol 33:27–34
- Jacques P (2011) Surfactin and other lipopeptides from Bacillus spp. Microbiol Monogr 20:57-91

- Jadhav HP, Sayyed RZ (2016) Hydrolytic enzymes of rhizospheric microbes in crop protection. MOJ Cell Sci Rep 3(5):135–136
- Jayaraj J, Radhakrishnan NV, Kannan R, Sakthivel K, Suganya D, Venkatesan S, Velazhahan R (2005) Development of new formulations of *Bacillus subtilis* for management of tomato damping-off caused by *Pythium aphanidermatum*. Biocontrol Sci Tech 15:55–65
- Jayashree K, Shanmugam V, Raguchander T, Ramanathan A, Samiyappan R (2000) Evaluation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf1) against blackgram and sesame root-rot disease. J Biol Control 14:55–61
- Jetiyanon K, Fowler WD, Kloepper JW (2003) Broad-spectrum protection against several pathogens by PGPR mixtures under field conditions in Thailand. Plant Dis 87:1390–1394
- Ji P, Campbell HL, Kloepper JW, Jones JB, Suslow TV, Wilson M (2006) Integrated biological control of bacterial speck and spot of tomato under field conditions using foliar biological control agents and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Biol Control 36:358–367
- Ji GH, Wei LF, He YQ, Wu YP, Bai XH (2008) Biological control of rice bacterial blight by *Lysobacter antibioticus* strain 13–1. Biol Control 45:288–296
- Jiang ZQ, Guo YH, Li SM, Qi HY, Guo JH (2006) Evaluation of biocontrol efficiency of different Bacillus preparations and field application methods against Phytophthora blight of bell pepper. Biol Control 36:216–223
- Jiao Y, Yoshihara T, Ishikuri S, Uchino H, Ichihara A (1996) Structural identification of cepaciamide A, a novel fungitoxic compound from *Pseudomonas cepacia* D-202. Tetrahedron Lett 37:1039–1042
- Jochum CC, Osborne LE, Yuen GY (2006) Fusarium head blight biological control with Lysobacter enzymogenes strain C3. Biol Control 39:336–344
- Johri BN, Sharma A, Virdi JS (2003) Rhizobacterial diversity in India and its influence on plant health. In: Ghose TK, Ghosh P (eds) Advances in biochemical engineering/biotechnology, 84. Springer, Berlin, pp 49–89
- Jung WJ, An KN, Jin YL, Park RD, Lim KT, Kim KY, Kim TH (2003) Biological control of damping off caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* using chitinase producing *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* KJA-424. Soil Biol Biochem 35:1261–1264
- Kageyama K, Nelson EB (2003) Differential inactivation of seed exudates stimulation of *Pythium ultimum* sporangium germination by *Enterobacter cloacae* influences biological control efficacy on different plant species. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:1114–1120
- Kamalakannan A, Mohan L, Amutha G, Chitra K, Parthiban VK (2003) Effect of volatile and diffusible compounds of biocontrol agents against *Coleus forskohlii* root rot pathogens. In: Symposium on recent development in the diagnosis and management of plant diseases.18–20 Dec. Dharwad, Karnataka, p 92
- Kamensky M, Ovadis M, Chet I, Chernin L (2003) Soil-borne strain IC14 of *Serratia plymuthica* with multiple mechanisms of antifungal activity provides biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea* and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* diseases. Soil Biol Biochem 35:323–331
- Kanajanamaneesathian M, Wiwattanapatapee R, Pengnoo A, Oungbho K, Chumthong A (2007) Efficacy of novel formulations of *Bacillus megaterium* in suppressing sheath blight of rice caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. Plant Pathol J 6:195–201
- Kandan A, Ramiah M, Vasanthi VJ, Radjacommare R, Nandakumar R, Ramanathan A, Samiyappan R (2005) Use of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* based formulations for management of *Tomato spotted wilt virus* (TSWV) and enhanced yield in tomato. Biocontrol Sci Tech 15:553–569
- Kanjanamaneesathian M, Wiwattanapatapee R, Pengnoo A, Oungbho K, Chumthong A (2007) Efficacy of novel bioformulation of *Bacillus megaterium*, in suppressing rice sheath blight caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. Plant Pathol J 6(2):195–201
- Karpagavalli S, Marimuthu T, Jayaraj J, Ramabadran R (2002) An integrated approach to control rice blast through nutrients and biocontrol agent. Res Crops 2:197–202
- Karthikeyan V, Gnanamanickam SS (2008) Biological control of Setaria blast (Magnaporthe grisea) with bacterial strains. Crop Protect 27:263–267

- Kavino M, Harish S, Kumar N, Saravanakumar D, Samiyappan R (2010) Effect of chitinolytic PGPR on growth, yield and physiological attributes of banana (*Musa* spp.) under field conditions. Appl Soil Ecol 45(2):71–77
- Keel C, Defago G (1997) Interactions between beneficial soil bacteria and root pathogens: mechanisms and ecological impact. In: Gange AC, Brown VK (eds) Multitrophic interactions in terrestrial system. Blackwell Science, Oxford, pp 27–47
- Keel C, Schiner U, Maurhofer M, Voisard C, Laville J, Burger U, Wirthner P, Haas D, Defago G (1992) Suppression of root diseases by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0: importance of the bacterial secondary metabolite 2,4- Diacetylphloroglucinol. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 5:4–13
- Khalimi K, Suprapta DN (2011) Induction of plant resistance against soybean stunt virus using some formulations of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J ASSAAS 17:98–105
- Khan MR, Akram M (2000) Effects of certain antagonistic fungi and rhizobacteria on wilt disease complex of tomato caused by *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. lycopersici. Nematol Mediterr 28:139–144
- Khan MR, Doohan FM (2009) Bacterium-mediated control of *Fusarium* head blight disease of wheat and barley and associated mycotoxin contamination of grain. Biol Control 48:42–47
- Khan MR, O'Brien E, Carney BF, Doohan FM (2010) A fluorescent pseudomonad shows potential for the control of net blotch disease of barley. Biol Control 54(1):41–45
- Kildea S, Ransbotyn V, Khan MR, Fagan B, Gerald L, Mullins E, Doohan FM (2008) *Bacillus megaterium* shows potential for the biocontrol of *Septoria tritici* blotch of wheat. Biol Control 47(1):37–45
- Kim ST, Yun SC (2011) Biocontrol with *Myxococcus* sp. KYC 1126 against anthracnose in hot pepper. Plant Pathol J 27:156–163
- Kim BS, Lee JY, Hwang BK (2000) In vivo control and in vitro antifungal activity of rhamnolipid B, a glycolipid antibiotic, against *Phytophthora capsici* and *Colletotrichum orbiculare*. Pest Manag Sci 56:1029–1035
- Kim HJ, Kim YK, Kim TS, Park KS, Yeh WH (2006) Biological control of postharvest diseases by *Pantoea agglomerans* 59-4 on garlic bulbs. In: 27th International horticulture congress exhibition (IHC 2006), 13–19 Aug 2006, COEX (Convention & Exhibition), Seoul, Korea, p 494
- Kim YC, Jung H, Kim KY, Park SK (2008) An effective biocontrol formulation against *Phytophthora* blight of pepper using growth mixtures of combined chitinolytic bacteria under different field conditions. Eur J Plant Pathol 120:373–382
- Kim IP, Ryu J, Kim YH, ChI YT (2010) Production of biosurfactant lipopeptides iturin A, fengycin and surfactin A from *Bacillus subtilis* CMB32 for control of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. J Microbiol Biotechnol 20(1):138–145
- Kishore GK, Pande S, Podile AR (2005a) Biological control of collar rot disease with broadspectrum antifungal bacteria associated with groundnut. Can J Microbiol 51:123–132
- Kishore GK, Pande S, Podile AR (2005b) Biological control of late leaf spot of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) with chitinolytic bacteria. Phytopathology 95:1157–1165
- Kloepper JW, Adesemaye AO (2009) Plant microbe interaction in enhanced fertilizer use and efficiency. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 85:1–12
- Kloepper JW, Schroth MN (1978) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on radishes. In: Proceedings of the 4th international conference on plant pathogenic bacteria, vol 2. Station de Pathologie Ve'ge'tale et de Phytobacte'riologie, INRA, Angers, France, pp 879–882
- Kloepper JW, Rodriguez-Kabana R, Mcinroy JA, Young RW (1992) Rhizosphere bacteria antagonistic to soybean cyst (*Heterodera glycines*) and root-knot (*Meloidogyne incognita*) nematodes: identification by fatty acid analysis and frequency of biological control activity. Plant Soil 139:75–84
- Kong Q, Shan S, Liu Q, Wang X, Yu F (2010) Biocontrol of *Aspergillus flavus* on peanut kernels by use of a strain of *Bacillus megaterium*. Int J Food Microbiol 139:31–35
- Koomen I, Jeffris P (1993) Effects of antagonistic microorganisms on the post-harvest development of *Collectorichum gloeosporioides* on mango. Plant Pathol 42:230–237

- Krishnamurthy J, Gnanamanickam SS (1998) Biological control of rice blast by Pseudomonas fluorescens strain pf7-14: evaluation of a marker gene and formulations. Biol Control 13:158-165
- Kucheryava N, Fiss M, Auling G, Kroppenstedt RM (1999) Isolation and characterization of epiphytic bacteria from the phyllosphere of apple, antagonistic in vitro to Venturia inaequalis, the causal agent of apple scab. Syst Appl Microbiol 22:472-478
- Kumar KVK, Yellareddygari SK, Reddy MS, Kloepper JW, Lawrence KS, Zhou XG, Sudini H, Groth DE, Raju SK, Miller ME (2012) Efficacy of Bacillus subtilis MBI 600 against sheath blight caused by Rhizoctonia solani and on growth and yield of rice. Rice Sci 19:55-63
- Kumar P, Thakur S, Dhingra GK, Singh A, Pal MK, Harshvardhan K, Dubey RC, Maheshwari DK (2018) Inoculation of siderophore producing rhizobacteria and their consortium for growth enhancement of wheat plant. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol S1878-8181(18):30154-30153
- Lagopodi AL (2009) Biological control of three Collectotrichum lindemuthianum races using Pseudomonas chlororaphis PCL1391 and Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365. Biol Control 49:139-145
- Lampis G, Deidda D, Maullu C, Petruzzelli S, Pompei R (1996) Karalicin, a new biologically active compound from Pseudomonas fluorescens/putida. I. Production, isolation, physicochemical properties and structure elucidation. J Antibiot 49:260-262
- Landa BB, Navas-Cortés JA, Jiménez-Díaz RM (2004) Integrated management of Fusarium wilt of chickpea with sowing date, host resistance and biological control. Phytopathology 94:946-960
- Lee JT, Bae DW, Park SH, Shim CK, Kwak YS, Kim HK (2001) Occurrence and biological control of postharvest decay in onion caused by fungi. Plant Pathol J 17:141-148
- Leeman M, van Pelt JA, den Ouden FM, Heinsbroek M, Bakker PAHM, Schippers B (1995) Induction of systemic resistance by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in radish cultivars differing in susceptibility to fusarium wilt, using a novel bioassay. Eur J Plant Pathol 101:655-664
- Leeman M, Den Ouden FM, van Pelt JA, Dirks FPM, Steiji H (1996) Iron availability affects induction of systemic resistance to Fusarium wilt of radish by Pseudomonas fluorescens. Phytopathology 86:149-155
- Leisso RS, Miller PR, Burrows ME (2009) The influence of biological and fungicidal seed treatments on chickpea (Cicer arietinum) damping-off. Can J Plant Pathol 31:38-46
- Levenfors JP, Eberhard TH, Levenfors JL, Gerhardson B, Hökeberg M (2008) Biological control of snow mould (Microdochium nivale) in winter cereals by Pseudomonas brassicacearum MA250. BioControl 53:651-665
- Ligon JM, Hill DS, Hammer PE, Torkewitz NR, Hofmann D, Kempf HJ, van Pee KH (2000) Natural products with antifungal activity from Pseudomonas biocontrol bacteria. Pest Manag Sci 56:688-695
- Lim HS, Kim YS, Kim SD (1991) Pseudomonas stutzeri YPL-1 genetic transformation and antifungal mechanism against Fusarium solani, an agent of plant root rot. Appl Environ Microbiol 57:510-516
- Liu L, Kloepper JW, Tuzun S (1995) Induction of systemic resistance in cucumber against bacterial leaf spot by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Phytopathology 85:843-847
- Liu W, Sutton JC, Grodzinski B, Kloepper JW, Reddy MS (2007) Biological control of Pythium root rot of Chrysanthemum in small-scale hydroponic units. Phytoparasitica 35:159-117
- Liu K, Garrett C, Fadamiro H, Kloepper JW (2016) Induction of systemic resistance in Chinese cabbage against black rot by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Biol Control 99:8-13
- Loper JE, Paulsen I, Bruck DJ, Pechy-Tarr M, Keel C, Gross H (2008) Genomics of secondary metabolite production by Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf-5. Phytopathology 98(6S):94
- Lu XY, Li SZ, Li QS, Kong LX, Liu J, Ma P, Gao SG (2006) Screening of bacteria as biocontrol agent against corn leaf spot and study on its optimal culture medium. Chin J Biol Control 22:47-53
- Lugtenberg B, Kamilova F (2009) Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Annu Rev Microbiol 63:541-556
- Mahadevan B, Crawford DL (1997) Properties of the chitinase of the antifungal biocontrol agent Streptomyces lydicus WYEC108. Enzym Microb Technol 20:489-493

- Manikandan R, Saravanakumar D, Rajendran L, Raguchander T, Samiyappan R (2010) Standardization of liquid formulation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf1 for its efficacy against *Fusarium* wilt of tomato. Biol Control 54:83–89
- Manjula K, Podile AR (2001) Chitin supplemented formulations improve biocontrol and plant growth promoting efficiency of *Bacillus subtilis* AF1. Can J Microbiol 47:618–625
- Mao W, Lumsden RD, Lewis JA, Hebbar PK (1998) Seed treatment using pre-infiltration and biocontrol agents to reduce damping-off of corn caused by species of *Pythium* and *Fusarium*. Plant Dis 82:294–299
- Martinez C, Michaud M, Belanger RR, Tweddell RJ (2002) Identification of soils suppressive against *Helminthosporium solani*, the causal agent of potato silver scurf. Soil Biol Biochem 34:1861–1868
- Matilla MA, Ramos JL, Bakker PA, Doornbos R, Badri DV, Vivanca JM, Ramoz-Gonzalez MI (2010) *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 causes induced systemic resistance and changes in *Arabidopsis* root exudation. Environ Microbiol Rep 2:381–388
- Maurhofer M, Hase C, Meuwly P, Metraux JP, Defago G (1994) Induction of systemic resistance of tobacco to tobacco necrosis virus by the root colonizing *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain CHAO: influence of the gag A gene and of pyoverdine production. Phytopathology 84:678–684
- Mayak S, Tirosh T, Glick BR (2004) Plant growth-promoting bacteria confer resistance in tomato plants to salt stress. Plant Physiol Biochem 42:565–572
- Mazzola M, Funnell DL, Raaijmakers JM (2004) Wheat cultivar-specific selection of 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol-producing fluorescent *Pseudomonas* species from resident soil populations. Microbiol Ecol 48:338–348
- McManus PS, Ravenscroft AV, Fulbright DW (1993) Inhibition of *Tilletia laevis* teliospore germination and suppression of common bunt of wheat by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Plant Dis 77:1012–1015
- Meena B, Radhajayalakshmi R, Vidhyasekaran P, Velazhahan R (1999) Effect of foliar application of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on activities of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, chitinase and beta-1,3-glucanase and accumulation of phenolics in rice. Acta Phytopathol Entomol Hungar 34:307–315
- Mew TW, Rosales AM (1992) Control of Rhizoctonia sheath blight and other diseases by rice seed bacterization. In: Tjamos ES, Papavizas GC, Cook RJ (eds) Biological control of plant diseases. Plenum, New York, pp 113–123
- Michereff SJ, Silveira NSS, Reis A, Mariano RLR (1994) Epiphytic bacteria antagonistic to *Curvularia* leaf spot of yam. Microb Ecol 28:101–110
- Miller CM, Miller RV, Kenny DG, Redgrave B, Sears J, Condron MM, Teplow DB, d Strobel GA (1998) Ecomycins, unique antimycotics from *Pseudomonas viridiflava*. J Appl Microbiol 84:937–944
- Milner JL, Silo-Suh L, Lee JC, He HY, Clardy J, Handelsman J (1996) Production of kanosamine by *Bacillus cereus* UW85. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:3061–3065
- Minaxi NL, Yadav RC, Saxena J (2012) Characterization of multifaceted *Bacillus* sp. RM-2 for its use as plant growth promoting bioinoculant for crops grown in semi-arid deserts. Appl Soil Ecol 59:124–135
- Minuto A, Spadaro D, Garibaldi A, Gullino ML (2006) Control of soilborne pathogens of tomato using a commercial formulation of *Streptomyces griseoviridis* and solarization. Crop Prot 25:468–475
- Moenne-Loccoz Y, Naughton M, Higgins P, Powell J, O'Connor B, O'Gara F (1999) Effect of inoculum preparation and formulation on survival and biocontrol efficacy of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* F113. J Appl Microbiol 86:108–116
- Mondal KK, Singh RP, Dureja P, Verma JP (2000) Secondary metabolites of cotton rhizobacteria in the suppression of bacterial blight of cotton. Indian Phytopathol 53:22–27
- Muis A, Quimiob AJ (2006) Biological control of banded leaf and sheath blight disease (*Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn) in corn with formulated *Bacillus subtilis* BR23. Indones J Agric Sci 7:1–7

- Nakkeeran S, Kavitha K, Mathiyazhagan S, Fernando WGD, Chandrasekar G, Renukadevi P (2004) Induced systemic resistance and plant growth promotion by *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* strain PA-23 and *Bacillus subtilis* strain CBE4 against rhizome rot of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). Can J Plant Pathol 26:417–418
- Nakkeeran S, Dilantha FWG, Sidduqui ZA (2005) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria formulations and its scope in commercialization for the management of pests and diseases. In: Siddiqui ZA (ed) PGPR: biocontrol and biofertilization. Springer, Netherlands, pp 257–296
- Nandakumar R, Babu S, Viswanathan R, Sheela J, Raguchander T, Samiyappan R (2001) A new bio-formulation containing plant growth promoting rhizobacterial mixture for the management of sheath blight and enhanced grain yield in rice. BioControl 46:493–510
- Nandeeshkumar P, Ramachandrakini K, Prakash HS, Niranjana SR, Shetty HS (2008) Induction of resistance against downy mildew on sunflower by rhizobacteria. J Plant Interact 3:256–262
- Naseby DC, Way JA, Bainton NJ, Lynch JM (2001) Biocontrol of *Pythium* in the pea rhizosphere by antifungal metabolite producing and non-producing *Pseudomonas* strains. J Appl Microbiol 90:421–429
- Nayaka SC, Shankar ACU, Reddy MS, Niranjana SR, Prakash HS, Shetty HS, Mortensen CN (2009) Control of *Fusarium verticillioides*, cause of ear rot of maize, by *Pseudomonas fluore-scens*. Pest Manag Sci 65:769–775
- Nelson EB (1988) Biological control of *Pythium* seed rot and pre-emergence damping-off of cotton with *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Erwinia herbicola* applied as seed treatments. Plant Dis 72:140–142
- Nielsen MN, Sorensen J, Fels J, Pedersen HC (1998) Secondary metabolite- and endochitinase dependent antagonism toward plant-pathogenic microfungi of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates from sugar beet rhizosphere. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:3563–3569
- Nielsen TH, Christophersen C, Anthoni U, Sorensen J (1999) Viscosinamide, a new cyclic depsipeptide with surfactant and antifungal properties produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* DR54. J Appl Microbiol 86:80–90
- Nielsen TH, Thrane C, Christophersen C, Anthoni U, Sørensen J (2000) Structure, production characteristics and fungal antagonism of tensin—a new antifungal cyclic lipopeptide from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain 96.578. J Appl Microbiol 89:992–1001
- Nyfeler R, Ackermann P (1992) Phenylpyrroles, a new class of agricultural fungicides related to the natural antibiotic pyrrolnitrin. In: Baker DR, Fenyes JG, Steffens JJ (eds) Synthesis and chemistry of agrochemicals III, ACS symposium series, vol 504, pp 395–404
- Okigbo RN (2002) Mycoflora of tuber surface of white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* Poir) and postharvest control of pathogens with *Bacillus subtilis*. Mycopathologia 156:81–85
- Olivain C, Alabouvette C, Steinberg C (2004) Production of a mixed inoculum of *Fusarium oxysporum* Fo47 and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* C7 to control *Fusarium* diseases. Biol Sci Technol 14:227–238
- Omar I, O'Neill TM, Rossall S (2006) Biological control of *Fusarium* crown and root rot of tomato with antagonistic bacteria and integrated control when combined with the fungicide carbendazim. Plant Pathol 55:92–99
- Ongena M, Jacques P (2008) *Bacillus* lipopeptides: versatile weapons for plant disease biocontrol. Trends Microbiol 16:115–125
- Ongena M, Jourdan E, Adam A, Paquot M, Brans A, Joris B, Arpigny JL, Thonart P (2007) Brief report Surfactin and fengycin lipopeptides of *Bacillus subtilis* as elicitors of induced systemic resistance in plants. Environ Microbiol 9:1084–1090
- Ordentlich A, Elad Y, Chet I (1988) The role of chitinase of *Serratia marcescens* in biocontrol of *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Phytopathology 78:84–88
- Pahari A, Pradhan A, Maity S, Mishra BB (2017) Carrier based formulation of plant growth promoting *Bacillus* species and their effect on different crop plants. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 6:379–385
- Pal KK, Gardener BM (2006) Biological control of plant pathogens. Plant Health Instructor 2:1117–1142

- Pal KK, Tilak KVBR, Saxena AK, Dey R, Singh CS (2001) Suppression of maize root diseases caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina*, *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Fusarium graminearum* by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Microbiol Res 156:209–223
- Palumbo JD, Yuen GY, Jochum CC, Tatum K, Kobayashi DY (2005) Mutagenesis of beta 1,3-glucanase genes in *Lysobacter enzymogenes* strain C3 results in reduced biological control activity toward *Bipolaris* leaf spot of tall fescue and *Pythium* damping-off of sugar beet. Phytopathology 95:701–707
- Park CS, Paulitz TC, Baker R (1988) Biocontrol of *Fusarium* wilt of cucumber resulting from interactions between *Pseudomonas putida* and nonpathogenic isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum*. Phytopathology 78:190–194
- Parke JL, Rand RE, Joy AE, King EB (1991) Biological control of *Aphanomyces* root rot and *Pythium* damping–off of peas by *Pseudomonas cepacia* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* applied to seeds. Plant Dis 75:987–992
- Parthasarathy S, Thiribhuvanamala G, Subramanium KS, Prabakar K (2016) Bacterial antagonists and hexanal-induced systemic resistance of mango fruits against *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* causing stem-end rot. J Plant Interact 11:158–166
- Pathma J, Rahul GR, Kamaraj Kennedy R, Subashri R, Sakthivel N (2011) Secondary metabolite production by bacterial antagonists. J Biol Control 25(3):165–181
- Paulitz TC (1991) Effect of *Pseudomonas putida* on the stimulation of *Pythium ultimum* by seed volatiles of pea and soyabean. Phytopathology 81:1282–1287
- Peiffer JA, Spor A, Koren O, Jin Z, Tringe SG, Dangl JL, Buckler ES, Ley RE (2013) Diversity and heritability of the maize rhizosphere microbiome under field conditions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:6548–6553
- Pereira de Melo FM, Fiore MF, Beraldo de Moraes LA, Silva-Stenico ME, Scramin S, Teixeira MA, Melo IS (2009) Antifungal compound produced by the cassava endophyte *Bacillus pumilus* maIIIm4a. Sci Agric 66:583–592
- Pereira P, Nesci A, Castillo C, Etcheverry M (2010) Impact of bacterial biological control agents on fumonisin B1 content and *Fusarium verticillioides* infection of field-grown maize. Biol Control 53:258–266
- Petatan-Sagahon I, Anducho-Reyes MA, Silvo-Rojas HV, Arana-Cuenca A, Tellez-Jurado A, Cardenas-Alvarez IO (2011) Isolation of bacteria with antifungal activity against the phytopathogenic fungi *Stenocarpella maydis* and *Stenocarpella macrospora*. Int J Mol Sci 12:5522–5537
- Pierson LS, Thomashow LS (1992) Cloning of heterologous expression of phenazine biosynthesis locus from *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* 30–84. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 53:330–339
- Ping W, Feng XM, Wang GX, Dong B, Li FL (1999) Screening and identification of PGPR strains isolated from rhizosphere of winter wheat. J Huazhong Agric Univ 18:352–356
- Prashanthi SK, Kulkarni S, Anahosur KH, Kulkarni S (2000) Management of safflower root rot caused by *Rhizoctonia bataticola* by antagonistic microorganisms. Plant Dis Res 15:146–150
- Raaijmakers JM, van der Sluis I, Koster M, Bakker P, Weisbeek PJ, Schippers B (1995) Utilization of heterologous siderophores and rhizosphere competence of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. Can J Microbiol 41:126–135
- Rabindran R, Vidhyasekaran P (1996) Development of a formulation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* PfALR2 for management of rice sheath blight. Crop Prot 15:715–721
- Radhapriya P, Ramachandran A, Palani P (2018) Indigenous plant growth-promoting bacteria enhance plant growth, biomass, and nutrient uptake in degraded forest plants. 3 Biotechnol 8:154
- Radjacommare R, Nandakumar R, Kandan A, Suresh S, Bharathi M, Raguchander T, Samiyappan R (2002) *Pseudomonas fluorescens* based bioformulation for the management of sheath blight and leaf folder in rice. Crop Prot 21:671–677
- Rais A, Zahra J, Faluk SF, Yusuf H, Muhammad NH (2017) Bacillus spp., a bio-control agent enhances the activity of antioxidant defense enzymes in rice against Pyricularia oryzae. PLoS One 12:e0187412

- Raj SN, Chaluvaraju G, Amruthesh KN, Shetty HS, Reddy MS, Kloepper JW (2003) Induction of growth promotion and resistance against downy mildew on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) by rhizobacteria. Plant Dis 87:380–384
- Rajappan K, Ramaraj B (1999) Evaluations of fungal and bacterial antagonists against *Fusarium* moniliforme causing wilt of cauliflower. Ann Plant Protect Sci 7:205–207
- Ramamoorthy V, Viswanathan R, Raguchander T, Prakasam V, Samiyappan R (2001) Induction of systemic resistance by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in crop plants against pests and diseases. Crop Prot 20:1–11
- Rozsnyay ZD, Hevesi M, Klement Z, Vajana L (1992) Biological control against canker and dieback diseases of apricots. Acta Phytopathol Entomol Hung 27:551–556
- Russo A, Basaglia M, Tola E, Casella S (2001) Survival, root colonisation and biocontrol capacities of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* F113 LacZY in dry alginate microbeads. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 27:337–342
- Ryu C-M, Kim J, Choi O, Kim SH, Park CS (2006) Improvement of biological control capacity of Paenibacillus polymyxa E681 by seed pelleting on sesame. Biol Control 39:282–289
- Sabaratnam S, Traquair JA (2002) Formulation of a *Streptomyces* biocontrol agent for the suppression of *Rhizoctonia* damping-off in tomato transplants. Biol Control 23:245–253
- Sadfi N, Chérif M, Hajlaoui MR, Boudabbous A (2002) Biological control of the potato tubers dry rot caused by *Fusarium roseum* var. *sambucinum* under greenhouse, field and storage conditions using *Bacillus* spp. isolates. J Phytopathol 150:640–648
- Sadoma MT, El-Sayed ABB, El-Moghazy SM (2011) Biological control downy mildew diseases of maize caused by *Peronosclerospora sorghi* using certain biocontrol agents alone or in combination. J Agric Res Kafer El-Sheikh Univ:37
- Saikia R, Kumar R, Arora DK, Gogoi DK, Azad P (2006) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* inducing rice resistance against *Rhizoctonia solani*: production of salicylic acid and peroxidases. Folia Microbiol 51:375–380
- Sakthivel N, Gnanamanickam SS (1987) Evaluation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains for suppression of sheath-rot disease and enhancement of grain yield in rice, *Oryza sativa* L. Appl Environ Microbiol 53:2056–2059
- Sakthivel N, Sunish Kumar R (2008) Dimer of phenazine-1-carboxylic acid and to the process of preparation thereof. USPTO 7,365,194 B2
- Saravanakumar D, Harish S, Loganathan M, Vivekananthan R, Rajendran L, Raguchander T, Samiyappan R (2007a) Rhizobacterial bioformulation for the effective management of *Macrophomina* root rot in mungbean. Archiv Phytopathol Plant Protect 40:323–337
- Saravanakumar D, Vijayakumar C, Kumar N, Samiyappan R (2007b) PGPR induced defense responses in tea plants against blister blight disease. Crop Prot 26:556–565
- Saravanakumar D, Lavanya N, Muthumeena K, Raguchander T, Samiyappan R (2009) Fluorescent pseudomonad mixtures mediate disease resistance in rice plants against sheath rot (*Sarocladium* oryzae) disease. BioControl 54:273–286
- Sasirekha B, Srividya S (2016) Siderophore production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* FP6, a biocontrol strain for *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* causing diseases in chilli. Agric Nat Resour 50:250–256
- Satya VK, Vijayasamundeeswari A, Paranidharan V, Velazhahan R (2011) Burkholderia sp. strain TNAU-1 for biological control of root rot in mungbean (Vigna radiata L.) caused by Macrophomina phaseolina. J Plant Protect Res 51:273–278
- Sayyed RZ, Badgujar MD, Sonawane HM, Mhaske MM, Chincholkar SB (2005) Production of microbial iron chelators (siderophores) by fluorescent pseudomonads Indian. J Biotechnol 4:484–490
- Scher FM, Baker R (1982) Effect of *Pseudomonas putida* and a synthetic iron chelator on induction of soil suppressiveness to *Fusarium* wilt pathogens. Phytopathology 72:1567–1573
- Scher FM, Kloepper JW, Singleton CA (1985) Chemotaxis of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. to soybean seed exudates in vitro and in soil. Can J Microbiol 31:570–574

- Schisler DA, Slininger PJ, Kleinkopf G, Bothast RJ, Ostrowski RC (2000) Biological control of Fusarium dry rot of potato tubers under commercial storage conditions. Am J Potato Res 77:29–40
- Schisler DA, Slininger PJ, Miller JS, Woodell LK, Clayson S, Olsen N (2009) Bacterial antagonists, zoospore inoculum retention time and potato cultivar influence pink rot disease development. Am J Potato Res 86:102–111
- Schoina C, Stringlis IA, Pantelides IS, Tjamos SE, Paplomatas EJ (2011) Evaluation of application methods and biocontrol efficacy of *Paenibacillus alvei* strain K-165, against the cotton black root rot pathogen *Thielaviopsis basicola*. Biol Control 58:68–73
- Seuk PC, Woo KJ, Hee CO (2001) Biological control of tomato bacterial wilt caused by *Ralstonia* solanacearum in a rockwool hydroponic system employing *Pseudomonas fluorescens* B16. Bull OILB/SROP 24:125–128
- Shanahan P, O'Sullivan DJ, Simpson P, Glennon JD, O'Gara F (1992) Isolation of 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol from a fluorescent pseudomonad and investigation of physiological parameters influencing its production. Appl Environ Microbiol 58:353–358
- Shanmugam V, Senthil N, Raguchander T, Ramanathan A, Samiyappan R (2002) Interaction of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* with *Rhizobium* for their effect on the management of peanut root rot. Phytoparasitica 30:169–176
- Shoji J, Hinoo H, Terui Y, Kikuchi J, Hattori T, Ishii K, Matsumoto K, Yoshida T (1989) Isolation of azomycin from *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. J Antibiot 42:1513–1514
- Siddiqui ZA (2005) PGPR: biocontrol and biofertilization. Springer, Dordrecht
- Siddiqui ZA, Mahmood I, Hayat S, Mahmood I, Hayat S (1998) Biocontrol of *Heterodera* cajani and Fusarium udum on pigeonpea using Glomus mosseae, Paecilomyces lilacinus and Pseudomonas fluorescens. Thai J Agric Sci 31:310–321
- Siddiqui IA, Shaukat SS, Sheikh IH, Khan A (2006) Role of cyanide production by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0 in suppression of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* in tomato. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 22:641–650
- Silo-Suh LA, Lethbridge BJ, Raffel SJ, He H, Clardy J, Handelsman J (1994) Biological activities of two fungistatic antibiotics produced by *Bacillus cereus* UW85. Appl Environ Microbiol 60:2023–2030
- Singh PP, Shin YC, Park CS, Chung YR (1999) Biological control of *Fusarium* wilt of cucumber by chitinolytic bacteria. Phytopathology 89:92–99
- Singh R, Sing BK, Upadhyay RS, Rai B, Lee YS (2002) Biological control of fusarium wilt disease of pigeonpea. Plant Pathol J 18:279–283
- Singhai PK, Sarma BK, Srivastava JS (2011) Biological management of common scab of potato through *Pseudomonas* species and vermicompost. Biol Control 57:150–157
- Slininger PJ, Schisler DA, Ericsson LD, Brandt TL, Frazier MJ, Woodell LK, Olsen NL, Kleinkopf GE (2007) Biological control of postharvest late blight of potatoes. Biocontrol Sci Tech 17:647–663
- Sorensen D, Nielsen TH, Christophersen C, Sørensen J, Gajhede M (2001) Cyclic lipodecapeptide amphisin from *Pseudomonas* sp. strain Dss73. Acta Crystallogr C Cryst Struct Commun 57:1123–1124
- Sorokina TA, Lipasova VA, Andreeva NB, Khmel IA (1999) The use of bacterial antagonists for the biological control of *Fusarium* infection on the carnation plants growing in hydroponic solution. Biotekhnonohiya 15:78–82
- Srinivasan K, Mathivanan N (2011) Plant growth promoting microbial consortia mediated classical biocontrol of Sunflower necrosis virus disease. J Biopest 4:65–72
- Srivastava S, Bist V, Srivastava S, Singh PC, Trivedi PK, Asif MH, Chauhan PS, Nautiyal CS (2016) Unraveling aspects of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* mediated enhanced production of rice under biotic stress of *Rhizoctonia solani*. Front Plant Sci 7:587
- Sticher L, Mauch-Mani B, Metraux JP (1997) Systemic acquired resistance. Annu Rev Phytopathol 35:235–270

- Sundaramoorthy S, Raguchander T, Ragupathi N, Samiyappan R (2012) Combinatorial effect of endophytic and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria against wilt disease of *Capsicum annuum* L. caused by *Fusarium solani*. Biol Control 60:59–67
- Sunish Kumar R, Ayyadurai N, Pandiaraja P, Reddy AV, Venkateswarlu Y, Prakash O, Sakthivel N (2005) Characterization of antifungal metabolite produced by a new strain *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PUPa3 that exhibits broad-spectrum antifungal activity and biofertilizing traits. J Appl Microbiol 98:145–154
- Suslow TV (1980) Increased growth and yield of sugar beets by seed treatment with selected *Pseudomonas* spp. and bacterial culture preservation in frozen or dry film of cellulose methyl ether. Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Los Angeles
- Swain MR, Ray RC, Nautiyal CS (2008) Biocontrol efficacy of *Bacillus subtilis* strains isolated from cow dung against post-harvest yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* L.) pathogens. Curr Microbiol 55:407–411
- Sylvia DM, Fuhrmann JJ, Hartel PG, Zuberer DA (1998) Principles and applications of soil microbiology. Prentice-Hall, Englewood
- Szezech M, Shoda M (2006) The effect of mode of application of *Bacillus subtilis* RB14-C on its efficacy as a biocontrol agent against *Rhizoctonia solani*. J Phytopathol 154:370–377
- Thiribhuvanamala G, Rajeswari E, Duraiswamy S (1999) Biological controls of stem rot of tomato caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc. Madras Agric J 86:30–33
- Thomashow LS, Weller DM, Bonsall RF, Pierson LS (1990) Production of the antibiotic phenazine-1-carboxylic acid by fluorescent pseudomonas species in the rhizosphere of wheat. Appl Environ Microbiol 56:908–912
- Thrane C, Nielsen TH, Nielsen MN, Olsson S, Sorensen J (2000) Viscosinamide producing *Pseudomonas fluorescens* DR54 exerts biocontrol effect on *Pythium ultimum* in sugar beet rhizosphere. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 33:139–146
- Timmusk S, van West P, Gow NA, Huffstutler RP (2009) *Paenibacillus polymyxa* antagonizes oomycete plant pathogens *Phytophthora palmivora* and *Pythium aphanidermatum*. J Appl Microbiol 106:1473–1481
- Tombolini R, van der Gaag DJ, Gerhardson B, Jansson JK (1999) Colonization pattern of the biocontrol strain *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* MA342 on barely seeds visualized by using green fluorescent protein. Appl Environ Microbiol 65:3674–3680
- Troxler J, Berling C-H, Moenne-Loccoz Y, Keel C, Defago G (1997) Interactions between the biocontrol agent *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0 and *Thielaviopsis basicola* in tobacco roots observed by immunofluorescence microscopy. Plant Pathol 46:62–71
- Umesha S, Dharmesh SM, Shettyt SA, Krishnappa M, Shetty HS (1998) Biocontrol of downy mildew disease of pearl millet using *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Crop Prot 17:387–392
- Uppal AK, El Hadrami A, Adam LR, Tenuta M, Daayf F (2008) Biological control of potato Verticillium wilt under controlled and field conditions using selected bacterial antagonists and plant extracts. Biol Control 44:90–100
- Utkhede RS, Koch C (2004) Biological treatments to control bacterial canker of greenhouse tomatoes. BioControl 49:305–313
- Valois D, Fayad K, Barasubiye T, Gagnon M, Dery C, Brzezinski R, Beaulieu C (1996) Glucanolytic actinomycetes antagonistic to *Phytophthora fragariae* var. *rubi*, the causal agent of raspberry root rot. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:1630–1635
- van Dijk K, Nelson EB (1998) Inactivation of seed exudate stimulants of *Pythium ultimum* sporangium germination by biocontrol strains of *Enterobacter cloacae* and other seed associated bacteria. Soil Biol Biochem 30:183–192
- Van Peer R, Schippers B (1992) Lipopolysaccharides of plant growth-promoting *Pseudomonas* sp. strain WCS417r induce resistance in carnation to *Fusarium* wilt. Neth J Plant Pathol 98:129–139
- Van Peer R, Niemann GJ, Schippers B (1991) Induced resistance and phytoalexin accumulation in biological control of *Fusarium* wilt of carnation by *Pseudomonas* sp. strain WCS417r. Phytopathology 81:728–734

- Vanitha S (1998) Control of ragi blast with selected fungicides and plant products. Madras Agric J 85:336–337
- Velusamy P, Gnanamanickam SS (2003) Plant associated bacteria, 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) production and suppression of rice bacterial blight in India. Curr Sci 85:1270–1273
- Vetter ND, Langill DM, Anjum S, Boisvert-Martel J, Jagdhane RC, Omene E, Zheng H, van Straaten KE, Asiamah I, Krol ES, Sanders DAR, Palmer DRJ (2013) A previously unrecognized kanosamine biosynthesis pathway in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Am Chem Soc 135:5970–5973
- Vidhyasekaran P, Muthamilan R (1999) Evaluation of powder formulation of *Pseudomonas fluo*rescens Pf1 for control of rice sheath blight. Biocontrol Sci Tech 9:67–74
- Vidhyasekaran P, Rabindran R, Muthamilan M, Nayar K, Rajappan K, Subramanian N, Vasumathi K (1997) Development of powder formulation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for control of rice blast. Plant Pathol 46:291–297
- Vidhyasekaran P, Kamala N, Ramanathan A, Rajappan K, Paranidharan V, Velazhahan R (2001) Induction of systemic resistance by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf1 against *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* in rice leaves. Phytoparasitica 29:155–166
- Viswanathan R, Samiyappan R (1999) Induction of systemic resistance by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria against red rot disease caused by *Colletotrichum falcatum* went in sugarcane. Proc Sugar Technol Assoc India 61:24–39
- Viswanathan R, Samiyappan R (2002) Induced systemic resistance by fluorescent pseudomonads against red rot disease of sugarcane caused by *Colletotrichum falcatum*. Crop Prot 21:1–10
- Vivekananthan R, Ravi M, Saravanakumar D, Kumar N, Prakasam V, Samiyappan R (2004) Microbially induced defense related proteins against postharvest anthracnose infection in mango. Crop Prot 23:1061–1067
- Voisard C, Keel C, Haas D, Defago G (1989) Cyanide production by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* helps suppress black rot of tobacco under gnotobiotic conditions. EMBO J 8:352–358
- Volpon H, Besson F, Lancelin JM (2000) NMR structure of antibiotics plipastations A and B from Bacillus subtilis inhibitors of phospholipase A. FEBS 485:76–80
- Wakelin SA, Walter M, Jaspers M, Stewart A (2002) Biological control of *Aphanomyces euteiches* root-rot of pea with spore-forming bacteria. Australas Plant Pathol 31:401–407
- Wang Z, Jiang M, Chen K, Wang K, Muying D, Zalán Z, Hegyi F, Kan J (2018) Biocontrol of *Penicillium digitatum* on Postharvest Citrus Fruits by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. J Food Qual 2910481:10
- Wei G, Kloepper JW, Tuzun S (1996) Induced systemic resistance to cucumber diseases and increased plant growth by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria under field conditions. Phytopathology 86:221–224
- Whipps JM (2001) Microbial interactions and biocontrol in the rhizosphere. J Exp Biol 52:487-511
- Wilson M, Campbell HL, Ji P, Jones JB, Cuppels DA (2002) Biological control of bacterial speck of tomato under field conditions at several locations in North America. Phytopathology 92:1284–1292
- Wiwattanapatapee R, Chumthong A, Pengnoo A, Kanjanamaneesathian M (2013) Preparation and evaluation of *Bacillus megaterium*-alginate microcapsules for control of rice sheath blight disease. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 29:1487–1497
- Wu L, Wu H, Chen L, Yu X, Rainer B, Gao X (2015) Difficidin and bacilysin from *Bacillus amy-loliquefaciens* FZB42 have antibacterial activity against *Xanthomonas oryzae* rice pathogens. Sci Rep 5:15–20
- Yan Z, Reddy MS, Ryu C-M, McInroy JA, Wilson M, Kloepper JW (2002) Induced systemic protection against tomato late blight elicited by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Phytopathology 92:1329–1333
- Yang D, Wang B, Wang J, Chen Y, Zhou M (2009) Activity and efficacy of *Bacillus subtilis* strain NJ-18 against rice sheath blight and Sclerotinia stem rot of rape. Biol Control 51:61–65
- Yasmin S, Abha Z, Asma I, Muhammad AZ, Sumaira Y, Ghulam R, Muhammad A, Muhammad SM (2016) Plant growth promotion and suppression of bacterial leaf blight in rice by inoculated bacteria. PLoS One 11:e0160688

- Yun-feng YE, Qi-qin LI, Gang FU, Gao-qing YUAN, Jian-hua MIAO, Wei LIN (2012) Identification of antifungal substance (Iturin A2) produced by *Bacillus subtilis* B47 and its effect on southern corn leaf blight. J Integr Agric 11:90–99
- Zarandi M, Ebrahimi B, Shahidi GH, Dehkaei F, Padaht I (2009) Biocontrol of rice blast (*Magnaporthe oryzae*) by use of *Streptomyces sindeneusis* isolate 263 in greenhouse. Am J Appl Sci 6:194–199
- Zeng W, Kirk W, Hao J (2012) Field management of Sclertotinia stem rot of soybean using biological control agents. Biol Control 60:141–147
- Zhang L, Sun C (2018) Fengycins, cyclic lipopeptides from marine *Bacillus subtilis* strains, kill the plant-pathogenic fungus *Magnaporthe grisea* by inducing reactive oxygen species production and chromatin condensation. Appl Environ Microbiol 84:e00445–e00418
- Zhang Z, Yuen GY, Sarath G, Penheiter A (2001) Chitinases from the plant disease biocontrol agent, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* C3. Phytopathology 91:204–211
- Zhang JX, Xue AG, Tambong JT (2009) Evaluation of seed and soil treatments with novel Bacillus subtilis strains for control of soybean root rot caused by Fusarium oxysporum and F. graminearum. Plant Dis 93:1317–1323
- Zhang JX, Xue AG, Morrison MJ, Meng Y (2011) Impact of time between field application of *Bacillus subtilis* strains SB01 and SB24 and inoculation with *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* on the suppression of Sclerotinia stem rot in soybean. Eur J Plant Pathol 131:95–102