# **Chapter 2 Microbes: A Sustainable Approach for Enhancing Nutrient Availability in Agricultural Soils**



**Asha Sahu, Sudeshna Bhattacharjya, A. Mandal, J. K. Thakur, Nagwanti Atoliya, Nisha Sahu, M. C. Manna, and A. K. Patra**

**Abstract** The soil scientists along with microbiologists had a big responsibility to come forward with a sustainable solution to enhance soil nutrient supplying capacity, without applying the agrochemical and mineral fertilizers. The only way out to this problem is through the use of efficient microbes which plays a vital role as organic or biological agents in facilitating uptake of many primary and secondary nutrients. Moreover, the fertility of any soil is directly proportional to the microbial biomass and its potential of functional activity and diversity. Billions of microbes which are present in soil are major key players of nutrient cycling and their solubilization and mineralization. This fact has been known and scientifically reported for a number of decades, but still its significance has not yet channelized into the mainstream of intensive agriculture. Thus, in this chapter, exhaustive overview of the different groups of agriculturally important microbes has been given which are responsible for enhancing nutrient availability particularly nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, iron and zinc in agricultural soils.

**Keywords** Nutrient supplying capacity · Efficient microbes · Solubilization · Mineralization

ICAR-Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

N. Sahu

A. Sahu ( $\boxtimes$ ) · S. Bhattacharjya · A. Mandal · J. K. Thakur · N. Atoliya · M. C. Manna · A. K. Patra

ICAR-National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Nagpur, India

<sup>©</sup> Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2018 47

V. S. Meena (ed.), *Role of Rhizospheric Microbes in Soil*, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0044-8\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0044-8_2)

### **2.1 Introduction**

Soil nurtures incredibly diverse community of microorganisms that mediate the soil nutrient cycling at local, regional and global scales. In natural systems, these microbial communities live in harmony and keep balance in respect of food and space (Bélanger and Avis [2002\)](#page-20-0). Around 1 billion microbes per gram of agricultural soil represent the largest and most diverse biotic group in the soil. Soil health is defined as the capacity of soil to function as a vital living system, by recognizing that it contains biological elements that are key to ecosystem function within land-use boundaries. In the agricultural context, it may refer to its ability to sustain productivity. Microorganisms possess the potential to give an integrated measure of soil health, an aspect that cannot be obtained with physical/chemical means and analyses of the diversity of higher organisms (Meena et al. [2013a](#page-24-0), [2016a;](#page-24-1) Bahadur et al. [2014;](#page-19-0) Maurya et al. [2014](#page-24-2); Jat et al. [2015;](#page-22-0) Kumar et al. [2015,](#page-23-0) [2016b](#page-23-1); Ahmad et al. [2016;](#page-19-1) Kumar et al. [2016b](#page-23-1)).

Microbes are key players in the cycling of nitrogen, sulphur and phosphorus and the decomposition of organic residues. They affect nutrient and carbon cycling on a global scale. Production of extracellular polysaccharides and other cellular debris by microorganisms help in maintaining soil structure as well as soil health. Thereby, they also affect water holding capacity, infiltration rate, crusting and susceptibility to compaction. Changes in microbial populations provide an early sign of soil degradation. But the sustainable agricultural system alters this balance in the microbial community. These soil microorganisms have been differentiated as "beneficial" or "harmful" depending on their role and effect on soil quality, crop growth and yield. The beneficial microorganisms can fix atmospheric N, enhance nutrient cycling by decomposing organic wastes and residues, suppress soil-borne pathogens, detoxify pesticides and produce many bioactive compounds, viz. vitamins, hormones and enzymes, for better plant growth.

Such beneficial rhizospheric microbes are generally termed as agriculturally important microorganisms (AIMs). Soil microbes play a vital role in the cycling of nutrients which are essential for life. This chapter is the comprehensive understanding of the role of microbes in nutrient cycling, solubilization, mineralization and release (Parewa et al. [2014;](#page-25-0) Prakash and Verma [2016;](#page-25-1) Meena et al. [2016b;](#page-24-3) Jha and Subramanian [2016](#page-22-1); Kumar et al. [2016a\)](#page-23-2).

# **2.2 Microbe-Mediated Nutrient Release and Cycling of Nitrogen**

The nitrogen (N) cycle is a fundamental process of nutrient cycling intimately linked with the ecosystems functional stability essential for ecosystem services (Virginia and Wall [2000\)](#page-27-0). The dynamics of N transformation in various ecosystems is highly focused by the ecologist because N is a major elemental component of life consist of proteins and nucleic acids and its supply can limit primary productivity in many natural terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems.

The microorganisms play vital role for N cycling in the ecosystems, and organic matter is the main contributor of N pool in the plant soil environment. The significant fraction of N pools present in organic matter is unavailable to the plants because of its complicated process of decomposition, and some fractions are locked as the recalcitrant fraction or just opposite to labile organic matter fraction (Haynes [2005\)](#page-21-0). Organic matter decomposition is primarily mediated by soil biota mostly through fungi, bacteria/rhizobacteria and actinomycetes which resulted in the release of nutrients in mineral form and loss of C from the soil as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  via respiration. The microbial biomass that is the living part of the soil organic matter has a pivotal role in the soil N cycle (Aislabie and Deslippe [2013;](#page-19-2) Priyadharsini and Muthukumar [2016;](#page-25-2) Kumar et al. [2017](#page-23-3); Meena et al. [2015b](#page-24-4); Jaiswal et al. [2016](#page-22-2)).

The continuous transfer of mineral N into organic materials via the incorporation of N into soil microbial biomass and the subsequent release of that immobilized N back into the soluble mineral N pool is known as mineralization-immobilization turnover (MIT) that governs the mineral N availability in agricultural land (Luxhoi et al. [2008\)](#page-23-4). Gross N mineralization in soil is the great contributor of the release of volatile ammonium by non-specific heterotrophic soil microorganisms under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. The bulk of N mineralization occurs in the biologically active surface soil ( $\sim$ 5 cm) that contains most of the dead and decomposing plant and animal litter (McNeill and Unkovich [2007](#page-24-5)).

These microorganisms play an important role in the breakdown of organic material and nutrient cycling with few compounds becoming recalcitrant fraction. The diversity of bacterial functions contributes a significant role in nutrient recycling and plant litter decomposition, thereby shaping and executing a system (Lynch [1983;](#page-23-5) Collins et al. [1992\)](#page-20-1). They represent a vibrant source and sink of nutrients in all ecosystems and occupy a significant place in the ecosystem and soil food web (Raghavendra et al. [2016;](#page-25-3) Zahedi [2016;](#page-28-0) Meena et al. [2015c](#page-24-6), [f](#page-24-7); Rawat et al. [2016;](#page-25-4) Dominguez-Nunez et al. [2016](#page-21-1); Dotaniya et al. [2016\)](#page-21-2).

Bacterial cells break down several intricate compounds into simpler organic forms. Therefore, they improve the status of soil organic carbon (SOC), soil structure and aggregate stability and increase water retention capacity and nutrient availability. The symbiotic relationship between N-fixing bacteria and legumes is one of the most dynamic plant-bacteria relations between N-fixing bacteria and legumes in one of the most dynamic plant-bacterial interactions (Sprent [1979](#page-26-0)). However, environmental conditions and the host determine the distribution and diversity and interaction of specific N-fixing bacteria (Hirsch et al. [1993;](#page-22-3) Moawad et al. [1998](#page-24-8)).

The nitrogen is the key elemental component of the soil-plant-animal continuum in the terrestrial ecosystems (McNeill and Unkovich [2007\)](#page-24-5). The nitrogen distribution in the ecosystems regulated the microbial component of the soil. The limitation of N in the soil environments also renders the process of nitrogen cycling because of the essential requirement for microbial growth. Soil microorganisms play a crucial role in relation to nutrient cycling, and plant growth is regulated by the plant usable form of nutrient mediated through microbes. A comprehensive understanding

of nutrient cycling in agroecosystem and the microorganism that perform is thus essential for managing the soil health and ecosystem sustainability (Yasin et al. [2016;](#page-28-1) Meena et al. [2016c](#page-24-9)[,d](#page-24-10); Saha et al. [2016a](#page-25-5), [b;](#page-25-6) Yadav and Sidhu [2016;](#page-28-2) Bahadur et al. [2016b;](#page-19-3) Das and Pradhan [2016](#page-20-2)).

Nitrogen is present in different forms in the biosphere, among which dinitrogen  $(N_2)$  is the most abundant (~ 80%) fraction, whose bioavailability is limited (Robertson and Groffman [2007\)](#page-25-7). In biological nitrogen fixation, the atmospheric nitrogen is converted to organic nitrogen which is the principal process to enter into the soil biological pools. Other major N transformations in soil include N mineralization, which is the conversion of organic N to its inorganic forms; N immobilization, which is the assimilation of inorganic forms of N by soil heterotrophs or plants, and nitrification, which is the conversion of ammonium  $(NH_4)$  to nitrite  $(NO_2^-)$  and then nitrate  $(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)$ ; and denitrification, which is the conversion of nitrate to nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$  and then dinitrogen gas  $(N_2)$ . Other N species are involved in these conversions primarily as intermediaries and during conversion can escape to the environment where they can participate in chemical reactions or be transported elsewhere for further reactions (Verma et al. [2014,](#page-27-1) [2015a,](#page-27-2) [b](#page-27-3); Meena et al. [2013b,](#page-24-11) [2014a](#page-24-12), [2015e](#page-24-13); Sharma et al. [2016;](#page-26-1) Teotia et al. [2016\)](#page-27-4).

Nitrogen cycles are strongly mediated by the microorganism and comprise five main processes which are [nitrogen fixation,](http://www.visionlearning.com/library/pop_glossary_term.php?oid=2183&l=) nitrogen uptake (microbial assimilation), nitrogen [mineralization](http://www.visionlearning.com/library/pop_glossary_term.php?oid=2180&l=) (decomposition), [nitrification](http://www.visionlearning.com/library/pop_glossary_term.php?oid=2181&l=) and [denitrification](http://www.visionlearning.com/library/pop_glossary_term.php?oid=2182&l=). Nitrogen fixation is the conversion of  $N_2$  to ammonia with the help of nitrogenase enzyme *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* associated with legumes and *Frankia*, *Nostoc* and *Azolla* associated with nonlegumes. The ammonia produced by N-fixing bacteria is usually quickly incorporated into [protein](http://www.visionlearning.com/library/pop_glossary_term.php?oid=1594&l=) and other organic nitrogen [compounds,](http://www.visionlearning.com/library/pop_glossary_term.php?oid=1517&l=) either by a host plant, the bacteria itself, or another soil [organism](http://www.visionlearning.com/library/pop_glossary_term.php?oid=2171&l=). After nitrogen is incorporated into organic matter, it is often converted back into inorganic nitrogen by a process called nitrogen [mineralization](http://www.visionlearning.com/library/pop_glossary_term.php?oid=2180&l=) or decomposition (Shrivastava et al. [2016](#page-26-2); Velazquez et al. [2016](#page-27-5); Meena et al. [2015d](#page-24-14), [2016e](#page-24-15); Masood and Bano [2016\)](#page-23-6).

When organisms die, soil heterotrophs consume the organic matter and the process of decay continues. During this process, a significant amount of the nitrogen contained within the dead organism is converted to an available form of N. Nitrogen, in the form of ammonia, is available for use by plants and subsequently converted to nitrite  $(NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  and nitrate  $(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  by nitrification process mediated by *Nitrosococcus* and *Nitrobacter* bacteria, respectively. Conversion of nitrogen products such as nitrates and nitrites back to nitrogen gas occurs through a process known as denitrification by common denitrifying bacteria including several species of *Pseudomonas*, *Paracoccus*, *Alcaligenes*, *Bacillus*, etc.

This process occurs in anaerobic conditions where bacteria use nitrogen, due to the absence of oxygen, for anaerobic respiration. The nitrogen cycle has a very significant agricultural and environmental role as it affects soil fertility, due to the fact that nitrogen is often the limiting nutrient for crop growth and it can also be a source of the greenhouse gas  $N_2O$  (Fig. [2.1](#page-4-0)).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.1** Nitrogen cycle in the agroecosystem

*Nitrogen fixation*

$$
N_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Nitrogenase}} NH_3 \xrightarrow{\text{WH}_4} \xrightarrow{\text{Plants/Microbes}} Aminoacid \xrightarrow{\text{Worto}}
$$

*Ammonification*

$$
Protein \longrightarrow \text{Peptide} \longrightarrow \text{Aminisation} \longrightarrow \text{Amino acids} \longrightarrow \text{Aminonification} \longrightarrow \text{NH}_3
$$

*Nitrification*

$$
NH_{4}^+ \longrightarrow \frac{Nitrosomonas}{NO_2} \longrightarrow \frac{Nitrobacter}{NO_3} \longrightarrow NO_3^-
$$

*Denitrification* (*Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, *Paracoccus*, *Thiobacillus*)

$$
NO_3 \longrightarrow NO_2 \longrightarrow NO \longrightarrow N_2O \longrightarrow N_2O \longrightarrow N_2
$$

The primary source of  $N_2O$  emission is through the application of N-containing fertilizer and manure N inputs in the agricultural field (Davidson [2009\)](#page-20-3). The presence of excess moisture has an adverse impact on nitrification. Nitrification progresses when the water content is one-third to one-half of water holding capacity and would be less in the case of dry and extremely dry conditions. The soil temperature of 25–40 °C is optimum for the nitrification process. At the freezing point and 50 °C, the nitrification ceases. The temperature coefficient  $(Q_{10})$  between 5 and 40 °C is 2.0.

Soil microorganisms will preferentially use the plant rhizodeposition because they are more readily decomposable with optimum N availability. Increased microbial biomass may result in enhancing denitrification rates, immobilize more soil N and initiate a shortage of available N for the plants. Increased decomposition of carbon into more resilient pools of carbon increases immobilization of soil N. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are also known to play a crucial role in nitrogen cycling and nutrition in soil by increasing plant nitrate uptake and efficient utilization. Report of McFarland et al. ([2010\)](#page-24-16) established that a significant amount  $\sim$  50% of plant N requirement is supplied by the plant-mycorrhizal association (Sindhu et al. [2016;](#page-26-3) Meena et al. [2013c,](#page-24-17) [2014b,](#page-24-18) [2015d;](#page-24-14) Singh et al. [2015,](#page-26-4) [2016;](#page-26-5) Bahadur et al. [2016a\)](#page-19-4).

This mycorrhizal inoculation enhanced the activities of nitrate reductase, glutamine synthetase and glutamine synthase in the roots and shoots of mycorrhizal corn (*Zea mays* L.) as reported by Subramanian and Charest [\(1999](#page-26-6)). The main supply of nitrogen in leguminous plants occurs via symbiosis, a process that is highly associated with phosphorus cycle.

#### **2.3 Microbe-Mediated Phosphorus Solubilization**

Phosphorus (P) is one of the significant growth-limiting nutrient having no biologically available source (Saharan and Nehra [2011;](#page-25-8) Hrynkiemicz and Baum [2011\)](#page-22-4). This nutrient is associated with the development of root, providing shoot strength, and flower as well as seed formation, for N-fixation in legumes and to develop resistance in plants against diseases. In soil phosphorus dynamics can be characterized by physicochemical (sorption-desorption) and biological (immobilizationmineralization) processes. A large amount of P fertilizer reacts with  $Fe^{3+}$ and Al<sup>3+</sup> in acidic soils and  $Ca^{2+}$  in calcareous or neutral soils through precipitation reaction and remains immobile (Hao et al. [2002](#page-21-3)). Thus, P fertilizer has only 10–25% efficiency throughout the world (Isherword [1998\)](#page-22-5). Further, the concentration of soil bioavailable P is only 1.0 mg  $kg^{-1}$  (Goldstein [2000](#page-21-4)).

Soil microorganisms play a vital role in triggering the availability of phosphate to plants vis-a-vis soil P dynamics (Misra et al. [2012;](#page-24-19) Pingale and Popat [2013](#page-25-9)). The occurrence of Phosphorus-solubilizing microbes (PSMs) has been evidenced long back and is listed in Table [2.1](#page-6-0) (Sharma et al. [2013](#page-26-7); Khan et al. [2007](#page-23-7)), and various acids produced by them are given in Table [2.2.](#page-6-1) Gaind [\(2016](#page-21-5)) studied that *A. niger* and *T. harzianum* could be the potential candidate for developing microphos inoculants to facilitate P supply to different crops in alkaline and acidic soils with organic and inorganic P content. While developing microbial formulations, the cultivation conditions can be manipulated to favour the production of organic acids. It is also known that bacteria solubilize phosphorus more potentially than fungi.

Microbial	
group	Phosphorus-solubilizing microbes (PSMs)
Actinomycetes	Actinomyces sp., Streptomyces sp.
Bacteria	Agrobacterium sp., Achromobacter sp., Azospirillum sp., Alcaligenes sp., Bacillus sp., B. cereus, B. fusiformis, B. pumilus, B. megaterium, B. polymyxa, B. coagulans, B. subtilis, Bradyrhizobium sp., Pseudomonas sp., P. putida, P. striata, P. fluorescens, Flavobacterium sp., Nitrosomonas sp., Micrococcus sp., Escherichia sp., Enterobacter sp., Serratia phosphoticum, Nitrobacter sp., Thiobacillus ferrooxidans, T. thioxidans, Rhizobium meliloti, Xanthomonas sp., Anabaena sp., Nostoc sp.
Fungi	Aspergillus awamori, A. tereus, A. flavus, A. niger, A. nidulans, A. foetidus, Fusarium oxysporum, Alternaria tenuis, Penicillium digitatum, P. lilacinium, Cephalosporium sp., Cladosporium sp., Candida sp., Chaetomium globosum, Humicola sp., Helminthosporium sp., Paecilomyces fusisporous, Pythium sp., Phoma sp., Micromonospora sp., Rhizoctonia solani, Rhizopus sp., Mucor sp., Trichoderma viride, Sclerotium rolfsii, Glomus fasciculatum

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 2.1** List of Phosphorus-solubilizing microbes (PSMs) in soil

Source: Sharma et al. ([2013\)](#page-26-7)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>



Among the soil PSMs, P solubilization potential of phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria or rhizobacteria (PSB and PSR) is 1–50%, whereas that of phosphorussolubilizing fungi (PSF) constitutes only 0.1–0.5% (Chen et al. [2006\)](#page-20-4). Bacterial strains like *Pseudomonas*, *Bacilli* (ectorhizospheric) and endosymbiotic rhizobia with fungal strains like *Penicillium*, *Aspergillus* and *Arthrobotrys oligospora* (nematofungus) have been reported as effective phosphate solubilizers (Duponnois et al. [2006;](#page-21-6) Igual et al. [2001](#page-22-6)).

Heterotrophic microorganisms solubilize inorganic forms of P by excreting organic acids (gluconic and ketogluconic acids) which dissolve phosphatic minerals or by chelating the cations bound to phosphate (through their hydroxyl and carboxyl groups) or by lowering the pH of rhizosphere (through production of proton/bicarbonate release and  $O_2/CO_2$  gaseous exchange) (Nenwani et al. [2010;](#page-25-10) Stevenson

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.2** Schematic representation of mechanism of soil P solubilization/mineralization and immobilization by PSMs

[2005;](#page-26-9) He et al. [2002\)](#page-22-7). Figure [2.2](#page-7-0) shows the schematic representation of mechanism of soil P solubilization/mineralization and immobilization by PSMs. Wyciszkiewicz et al. [\(2016](#page-28-3)) confirmed that the decrease of pH observed during the solubilization process resulted from the synthesis of organic acids and the spectrum of synthesized organic acids on different phosphorus sources used in the microbial solubilization as a method for producing the phosphate fertilizers.

### *2.3.1 Mineralization of Organic P*

Total soil P constitutes 4–90% of organic P. Soil organic P (Po) mineralization plays a major role in phosphorus cycling. Po mineralization takes place by almost half of the PSM in soil and plant roots in the presence of phosphatase/phytase/lyase enzyme (Tarafdar et al. [1988](#page-27-8); Hilda and Fraga [2000](#page-22-8)). The releases of these enzymes either by plant roots or by microbes hydrolyse the Po or extract P from organic residues (Dodor and Tabatabai [2003](#page-20-6); Yadav and Tarafdar [2001;](#page-28-4) Tarafdar and Claasen [1988\)](#page-27-9). Consortia of PSMs (*Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Streptomyces*, etc.) are highly effective in mineralizing Po (Molla et al. [1984\)](#page-25-12).

#### *2.3.2 Solubilization of Ca-Bound P*

Under alkaline conditions, most of the calcium phosphates, including ores of rock phosphate (like fluoroapatite, francolite), are not soluble in soil with respect to the release of inorganic P (Pi) (Goldstein [2000\)](#page-21-4). Literature shows that PSM has potential to feed the P requirement of plants by decreasing rhizospheric soil pH through organic acid production and thereby increasing the solubility of Ca-phosphates (Gerretsen [1948](#page-21-8); Fankem et al. [2006\)](#page-21-7). Microorganisms break the bound forms of phosphate like  $Ca_3 (PO_4)$ , through secretion of different types of organic acids, e.g. carboxylic acid. Acidification releases P from apatite by excretion of H+ or proton substitution or through the release of  $Ca^{2+}$  (Villegas and Fortin [2002;](#page-27-10) Deubel and Merbach [2005\)](#page-20-7).

#### *2.3.3 Solubilization of Al-Bound P and Fe-Bound P*

Phosphorus-solubilizing microbe releases proton which solubilizes Fe and Al and thereby reduces the negative charge of adsorbing surfaces and facilitates the sorption of negatively charged P ions. Acidification occurs through proton release that can also decrease P sorption by increasing  $H_2PO_4^-$  with respect to  $HPO_4^{2-}$  (Henri et al. [2008;](#page-22-9) Khan et al. [2007](#page-23-7)). Acids (carboxylic) mainly solubilize Al-P and Fe-P which results in anion exchange of  $PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3−</sup>$  by acid anion or by chelation (via siderophores) of both Fe and Al ions associated with phosphate (Altomare [1999](#page-19-6)) or by ligand exchange (Whitelaw [2000\)](#page-27-11). Chelating ability of organic acids is mainly influenced by its molecular structure (viz. number of carboxyl and hydroxyl groups). Moreover effectiveness of solubilization process is determined by ligand type and position in addition to its acid strength (Kpomblekou and Tabatabai [1994](#page-23-8)).

## **2.4 Microbe-Mediated Potassium Release and Its Solubilization**

Injudicious application of chemical fertilizers in India has a considerable negative impact on the economy and environmental sustainability. There is a growing need to turn back to nature or sustainable agents that promote evergreen agriculture. Potassium (K) is the third primary essential plant nutrient and plays a critical role in enzyme activation, protein synthesis and photosynthesis and quality of produce. It is a major constituent of several soil minerals and quite abundant in many soils, particularly in India. However, the K levels have dropped in many soils due to continuous mining through crop removal without replenishing it.

In India, the cost of K fertilizers is very high because of non-availability of K-bearing minerals for manufacturing of conventional K fertilizers, and the total

	Import (Mt)		Consumption (Mt)	
Name of fertilizer	$2013 - 2014$	2014-2015	$2013 - 2014$	2014-2015
Muriate of potash $(60\% \text{ K}, \text{O})$	3.180	4.197	2.280	2.853
Sulphate of potash $(50\% \text{ K}, \text{O})$	0.058	0.078	0.031	0.019

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 2.3** Import and consumption of potassic fertilizers in 2013–2014 and 2014–2015

Source: <http://www.faidelhi.org/statistical-database.htm>

consumption is imported, costing an enormous amount of foreign exchange (Table [2.3](#page-9-0)).

In this context, it is obvious that attempts should be made to harness the microbial potential in releasing potassium into plant available form from potassiumbearing mineral reserve in soils. Furthermore, the potentiality potassium-solubilizing microbes also needs to be tested for sustainable crop production and maintenance of K status in soils.

#### *2.4.1 Potassium-Solubilizing Microbes and Their Occurrence*

The very first study which surfaced evidence of the involvement of microbes in rock potassium solubilization dates back to 1890 (Muentz [1890\)](#page-25-13). Apart from this, the role of silicate bacteria in the dissolution of potassium, silicates and aluminium from insoluble minerals have also been reported (Aleksandrov et al. [1967](#page-19-7)). A diverse group of soil microbes (Table [2.4](#page-10-0)) has been reported to be capable of solu-bilizing insoluble and fixed forms of K into available forms of K (Li et al. [2006;](#page-23-9) Zarjani et al. [2013](#page-28-5); Gundala et al. [2013\)](#page-21-9).

### *2.4.2 Potassium-Solubilizing Mechanisms*

The primary agent responsible for the potassium-solubilizing capacity of K-solubilizing microbes is the low-molecular-weight organic acids, viz. citric, oxalic, tartaric, succinic acids, etc. (Sheng and He [2006;](#page-26-10) Prajapati and Modi [2012\)](#page-25-14). Apart from this production of ferulic, coumaric, syringic and malic acid by K-solubilizing bacteria has also been reported to be responsible for K solubilization (Setiawati and Mutmainnah [2016](#page-26-11)). Organic acids produced by microbes facilitate K solubilization and mobilization through the following mechanisms (Fig. [2.3](#page-11-0)).

The following are the mechanisms involved in K solubilization:

- (a) Weathering of micas, illite and orthoclase by direct dissolution of K from the minerals.
- (b) Mobilizing K from unavailable to available form by creating metal-organic complexes/chelates with  $Si<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>+</sup> ion to bring the K into solution (Song and Huang [1988;](#page-26-12) Friedrich et al. [1991;](#page-21-10) Bennett et al. [1998\)](#page-20-8).

Bacteria	References			
Bacillus mucilaginosus	Zhao et al. (2008), Basak and Biswas (2009), Sugumaran and Janarthanam (2007), and Zarjani et al. (2013)			
Bacillus circulans	Lian et al. $(2002)$			
<b>Bacillus</b> edaphicus	Zhao et al. (2008), Basak and Biswas (2009), Sugumaran and Janarthanam (2007), and Zarjani et al. (2013)			
Burkholderia sp.	Sheng and Huang (2002) and Sheng and He (2006)			
Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans	Sheng and Huang (2002) and Sheng and He (2006)			
Arthrobacter sp.	Zarjani et al. (2013)			
Enterobacter hormaechei $(KSB-8)$	Prajapati et al. (2013)			
Paenibacillus mucilaginosus	Liu et al. $(2012)$ and Hu et al. $(2006)$			
Paenibacillus frequentans	Argelis et al. (1993)			
Cladosporium sp.	Argelis et al. (1993)			
Aminobacter sp.	Uroz et al. (2007)			
Sphingomonas sp.	Uroz et al. (2007)			
Paenibacillus glucanolyticus	Sangeeth et al. (2012)			
Agrobacterium tumefaciens	Meena et al. $(2015a)$			
Fungi				
Aspergillus niger	Prajapati and Modi (2012)			
Aspergillus terreus	Prajapati and Modi (2012)			
Penicillium sp.	Sangeeth et al. (2012)			
Glomus intraradices, Glomus mosseae	Wu et al. (2005)			
Ectomycorrhizal fungi	Alves et al. $(2010)$			

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Table 2.4** Different phosphorus-solubilizing bacterial species reported by various researchers

- (c) The mineral structural cation released by the attack of  $H<sup>+</sup>$  ion from organic acids form the cation-organic complex with COOH− and OH− ions. The chemisorption of the cation-organic complexes on the mineral surfaces causes a shift of electron density toward the framework of the mineral. This charge transfer increases the electron density of the cation-oxygen bonds and makes them more susceptible to hydrolysis (Chen et al. [2000\)](#page-20-9).
- (d) Dissolution of feldspar by decreasing the pH of the surrounding soil environment (Bennett et al. [2001\)](#page-20-10).
- (e) Dissolution of K from orthoclase by carboxylic acids and capsular polysaccharide produced by *Bacillus mucilaginosus* and *Bacillus edaphicus* (Lin et al. [2002;](#page-23-10) Sheng and Huang [2002](#page-26-13)).
- (f) Mineral weathering by a mixture of polymers and low-molecular-weight ligands produced from *Bacillus mucilaginosus* (Malinovskaya et al. [1990](#page-23-11)).
- (g) Biofilm formation on the rhizospheric mineral surfaces can also solubilize K from unavailable sources (Balogh-Brunstad et al. [2008\)](#page-19-8). Biofilms embedded with ectomycorrhizal hyphae and root hairs of non-ectomycorrhizal trees are reported to solubilize K from biotite and anorthite through weathering process.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.3** Mechanisms involved in potassium solubilization by soil microbes

### *2.4.3 Potential Role of Potassic Biofertilizer*

Chemical fertilizers are the major sources for replenishing soil potassium reserve; however, it bears a considerable negative impact on the environment. Moreover it incurs a great expenditure as the total consumption of potassium fertilizer has to be imported. In this respect, potassium-solubilizing bacteria could serve as an ecofriendly tool which could be utilized for converting insoluble form mineral potassium in the soil into a plant absorbable form. This strategy will ensure improved plant absorption of potassium simultaneously reducing the dependency on chemical fertilizer (Zhang and Kong [2014](#page-28-7)).

Potassic biofertilizers have already been reported to play a vital role in improving soil fertility, yield attributing characters and eventually yield (Basak and Biswas [2010;](#page-20-12) Awasthi et al. [2011](#page-19-11); Zhang et al. [2013\)](#page-28-8). Furthermore, potassic biofertilizers also promote soil biota as well as plant growth. It is well known that Indian soil is the reserve of sufficient potassium containing secondary mineral; nevertheless, the greatest challenge is its lower availability to plant. Henceforth, it surfaced the urgent need of inoculation of potassium-solubilizing microbes alone or in consortia with other beneficial soil microbes to augment the potassium availability from natural sources to harvest good sustainable yield of crops as well as to reduce the reliance on chemical fertilizer.

## **2.5 Role of Microorganisms in Mineralization and Immobilization of Sulphur**

Sulphur is very essential macro element known as the secondary element required for the all living organisms to form their important biomolecules like protein, hormones, enzymes and vitamins. Sulphur is a structural part of the 2 of the 21 amino acids such as cysteine and methionine in which sulphur is used to form disulphide bridges (c-s-s-c) which provide structural stability to the protein molecule.

Sulphur is available in the organic and inorganic form. The availability of sulphur in soil depends on the soil microbial population, and they are solely responsible for generating sulphur pool by oxidative transformation of organic sulphur (Vidyalakshmi et al. [2009\)](#page-27-14). The chemolithotrophic and photoautotrophic bacteria complete their energy requirement by oxidizing sulphur and generating sulphate which is used by plants.

Sulphur cycle (Fig. [2.4\)](#page-12-0) splits into four steps which form soluble sulphur used by plants for their growth and development:

- 1. Mineralization of organic sulphur by prokaryotic organisms.
- 2. Formation of sulphate  $(SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2−</sup>)$  by oxidation of elemental sulphur, hydrogen sulphide (H<sub>2</sub>S) and sulphide  $(S<sup>2−</sup>)$ .
- 3. Reduction of sulphate generates sulphide.
- 4. Assimilatory reduction of sulphate into organic compounds.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.4** The oxidation of sulphur in soils (Burns [1967](#page-20-13))

Microbes	<b>Species</b>	References
Bacteria	Acidithiobacillus sp., Aquaspirillum sp., Aquifer sp., Bacillus sp., Beggiatoa sp., Paracoccus sp., Pseudomonas sp., Starkeya sp., Xanthobacter sp., Thiobacillus thiooxidans, Thiobacillus ferrooxidans, Thiobacillus, Allochromatium sp., Chromatium sp., Rhodobacter sp., Rhodovulum sulphidophilum, Rhodopseudomonas acidophila, Thiocaspa sp., Chlorobi sp.	Teske and Nelson $(2004)$ , Beller et al. $(2006)$ , Frigaard and Bryant (2008), Imhoff et al. $(1998)$ , and Kelly $et$ al. $(2000)$
Archaea	Sulfolobus sp., Acidianus sp.	Setter et al. (1990) and Kelly and Wood (2000)
Fungi	Aureobasidium pullulans, Alternaria tenuis, Epicocum nigrum, Penicillium sp., Scolecobasidium constrictum, Myrothecium cinctum, Aspergillus sp.	Wainwright (1978) and Shinde et al. $(1996)$

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Table 2.5** List of sulphur-oxidizing microbes (SOMs)

### *2.5.1 Sulphur-Oxidizing Microorganisms (SOMs)*

Sulphur oxidation is the major step in the sulphur cycle to produce sulphate with the help of some sulphur-oxidizing microorganisms such as chemolithotrophs (*T. ferrooxidans* and *T. thiooxidans*), photoautotrophs (including purple and green S bacteria) and heterotrophs (including a wide range of bacteria and fungi). Oxidation of sulphur occurs in both oxic and anoxic condition with the help of aerobic chemolithotrophs and anaerobic phototrophic bacteria. The SOMs are mostly Gram-negative chemolithotrophic bacteria which take part in the mineralization, transformation and oxidation of various organic and inorganic sulphur compounds (Table [2.5](#page-13-0)).

The biological sulphur oxidation comprises in principle the following oxidation steps:  $S \to S_2O_2^{-3} \to S_4O_2^{-6} \to SO_2^{-4}$ . These SOMs are known as chemolithotrophs which meet their energy requirements from reduced organic and inorganic sulphur compounds such as hydrogen sulphide, sulphide and elemental sulphur. In acidophilic chemolithotrophic *Thiobacillus* sp., oxidation of sulphur is a complex process involving the formation of various intermediate products such as thiosulphate, tetrathionate and sulphite. The thiosulphate oxidizer, *Thiobacillus thioparus*, grown on thiosulphate as an energy source has a pH optimum of 4.5–5.0 (Masau et al. [2001\)](#page-23-14). The oxidation of sulphur requires a close bacteria-substrate interaction. *Thiobacillus* sp. consumes substrate by acting at the surface of crystalline sulphur, which decreases the fractal dimension at a small scale. In the early oxidation stage, microorganisms near the surface area of small sulphur particles can lead to a faster oxidation rate. However, in the later stages of oxidation, large particles remaining in soils provide less contact area to microorganisms, resulting in a low oxidation rate in the later stage.

The accumulated amount of  $SO_2^{4-}$  may also affect sulphur oxidation because of a high osmotic pressure (Harahuc et al. [2000](#page-21-11)). Oxidation of sulphur improves soil fertility and also improves alkali soil by the formation of sulphate  $(SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2−</sup>)$ . Decline in soil pH due to the sulphur oxidation increases solubilization of other nutrients in the rhizosphere. In addition to the release of  $H^*$  and  $SO_2^{4-}$  after sulphur oxidation,

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.5** The sulphur cycle in soil and plant sulphate uptake (Autry and Fitzgerald [1990](#page-19-12); Kertesz and Mirleau [2004](#page-23-20))

other nutrients such as phosphorus and Zn are dissolved and increase electric conductivity values (Zhou et al. [2002](#page-28-9); Jaggi et al. [2005](#page-22-13)).

#### *2.5.2 Rhizosphere Sulphur Cycle and Its Utilization*

In plants, requirements of sulphur depend on species and stage of development (Leustek et al. [2000\)](#page-23-16). Sulphate  $(SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2–</sup>)$  is the primary source of sulphur which is used by plants for their growth and development (Fig. [2.5\)](#page-14-0). The sulphur pools in the soil are not static but immensely dynamic. Importantly, although some of the organosulphur present in soils are plant and animal derived (Kertesz [1999](#page-23-17)), much is also synthesized in situ. Inorganic sulphur forms are immobilized to organic sulphur, different organosulphur forms are interconverted, and immobilized sulphur is simultaneously mineralized to yield plant available inorganic sulphur. These processes occur concurrently, and many of them are linked to the microbial community present in the soils which composition varies significantly between two plants (Gomes et al. [2003;](#page-21-13) Kent and Triplett [2002;](#page-23-18) Marschner et al. [2001;](#page-23-19) Smalla et al. [2001;](#page-26-17) Van den Koornhuyse et al. [2003\)](#page-27-17).

Sulphate esters and sulphonates act as the significant contributor of sulphur in the soil. Deposition of biological material and subsequent humification increases the organic sulphur pool. Fungi and bacteria release sulphur from sulphonates which are catalysed by a bacterial multicomponent monooxygenase system and from sulphate esters using sulphatases (Gahan and Schmalenberger [2014](#page-21-14)).

Thiosulphate oxidation via formation of the tetrathionate intermediate  $(S_4)$  pathway is observed in several *Beta*- and *Gammaproteobacteria* such as *Acidithiobacillus*, *Thermithiobacillus* and *Halothiobacillus* (Trudinger [1965](#page-27-18); Guay and Silver [1975;](#page-21-15) Pronk et al. [1990](#page-25-17); Kelly et al. [1997](#page-22-14)). Acidophilic species preferred S4I pathway of thiosulphate oxidation because it appears that oxidation of thiosulphate to sulphate via intermediate such as tetrathionate is stable in acidic condition (Johnston and McAmish [1973](#page-22-15)). In  $S<sub>4</sub>I$  pathway, thiosulphate is said to be oxidized to tetrathionate in the periplasmic space by the enzymatic action of thiosulphate dehydrogenases that use c-type cytochromes as cosubstrates.

*Acidianus ambivalens*, a thermoacidophilic archeon, have a membrane-bound, tetrathionate-forming thiosulphate:quinone oxidoreductase (TQO), involved in sulphur chemolithotrophy (Muller et al. [2004\)](#page-25-18). Hydrolysis of tetrathionate produces sulphite followed by its oxidation to sulphate in the cytoplasm or in close vicinity to the inside of the cell membrane (Kelly and Harrison [1989;](#page-22-16) Hallberg et al. [1996;](#page-21-16) Kelly et al. [1997](#page-22-14); Dam et al. [2007](#page-20-15)). Complete oxidation of tetrathionate needs the participation of active membranes. Sulphite oxidation takes place in the cytoplasm by a sulphite dehydrogenase involving a ubiquinone-cytochrome b complex transferring electrons from  $SO_3^2$ <sup>-</sup> to oxygen (Dam et al. [2007\)](#page-20-15).

### **2.6 Iron Transformation in Soil by Soil Microbes**

Iron is the fourth most abundantly available element in the earth's crust (Radzki et al. [2013\)](#page-25-19). However, its availability to the plants mainly depends upon the state in which iron is present in the soil. Iron is a crucial element for plant growth since it constitutes cofactor of many vital enzymes of plant system (Radzki et al. [2013\)](#page-25-19). Deficiency of iron may lead to disruption of many metabolic processes and thus an overall reduction in growth and yield of the plant. pH and redox potential of the soil system determine the state of the metal and thus affects its availability. Microbes are key driver for transformation of metal from one form to other. Under aerobic condition, oxidation of iron by soil microbes dominates in the acidic environment, whereas chelation is promoted under neutral environment. Iron reduction and precipitation of iron sulphides are prevalent in the anaerobic environment (Coyne [1999\)](#page-20-16).

Many physiological groups of microbes can use iron as a substrate for energy generation and change the oxidation state of iron which facilitates its availability. Under aerobic and acidic condition, iron oxidation can be mediated by *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* and *Sulfobacillus acidophilus* for energy generation. The oxidation of iron is not always associated with energy generation. In neutral soil, the microbial

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

#### Microbial iron cycling

Fig. 2.6 The microbial iron cycle (Erbs and Spain [2002](#page-21-17))

conversion of Fe(II) to Fe(III) can be carried out by microbes including *Crenothrix*, *Gallionella*, *Leptothrix*, *Metallogenium*, *Sphaerotilus*, etc. without any energy generation (Coyne [1999\)](#page-20-16).

In anoxic condition and neutral soil pH, nitrate-dependent Fe(II) oxidation can be mediated by *Acidovorans* sp. and *Azospira oryzae* (Fig. [2.6](#page-16-0)), whereas phototrophic iron oxidation with CO<sub>2</sub> as an electron acceptor was reported in *Rhodobacter ferrooxidans* SW2 and *Rhodovulum iodosum* (Kappler and Straub [2005](#page-22-17)).

### *2.6.1 Iron Reduction*

Till date a wide diversity of Fe(III)-reducing microorganisms have been identified where hydrogen, short and long fatty acids, amino acids, sugars and aromatic compounds are reported to serve as electron donors for Fe(III) reduction. The enzyme responsible for dissimilatory Fe(III) reduction is a membrane-associated ferric reductase. The Fe(III) reducers may utilize alternative electron acceptors such as  $O_2$ , nitrate, S<sup>0</sup>, sulphate, humic substances, contaminant metals and metalloids and chlorinated solvents. The first organism shown to couple respiratory growth to dissimilatory iron reduction was *Shewanella oneidensis* (previously known as *Pseudomonas ferrireductans*).

Various dissimilatory Fe(III) reducers, including the well-known *Geobacter* sp. and *Shewanella* sp., have frequently been isolated from marine and freshwater sediments. The obligate anaerobic *Geobacter* sp. belongs to the δ-proteobacteria,

	Microbial	
Soil condition	processes	Organism involved
Acidic, aerobic	Oxidation with energy generation	Thiobacillus ferrooxidans and Sulfobacillus acidophilus
Neutral, aerobic	No energy generation	Gallionella and Leptothrix
Anaerobic Fe(II)- oxidizing phototrophic bacteria		Purple sulphur bacteria ( <i>Halothiobacillus</i> sp.), purple non-S bacteria (Rhodomicrobium sp., Rhodobacter sp., Rhodopseudomonas sp.) Green bacteria (Chlorobium ferrooxidans)
Acidophilic Fe(III)- reducing microorganisms		Acidiphilium sp.
Reduction of Fe(III) at neutral pH		Shewanella putrefaciens and Geothrix fermentans

<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Table 2.6** Microbes involved in different soil conditions

whereas the facultatively anaerobic *Shewanella* sp. belongs to the ϒ-proteobacteria (Erbs and Spain [2002\)](#page-21-17). Table [2.6](#page-17-0) shows the microbes involved at different soil conditions.

### *2.6.2 Bacterial Siderophores*

Among the benefits for plant fitness attributed to plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) is the ability to release siderophores, compounds capable of chelating iron with high affinity and in a reversible manner (Budzikiewicz [2010;](#page-20-17) Neilands [1995\)](#page-25-20). Under iron-limiting conditions, PGPR produces low-molecular-weight ligands called siderophores to competitively chelate ferric ion (Saharan and Nehra [2011\)](#page-25-8). Siderophores include catecholates, hydroxamates and carboxylates (Louden et al. [2011](#page-23-21)). Berraho et al. ([1997\)](#page-20-18) demonstrated production of salicylic acid and 2,3-dihydroxybenzoic acid as phenolate-type siderophores in strains of *Rhizobium ciceri*, specific to chickpea. Many siderophores producing soil microbes are used as potential plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. For example, soil bacterial isolates including *Azotobacter vinelandii* MAC 259 and *Bacillus cereus* UW 85 produced siderophores and can be used as potential PGPR to increase the yield of the crop (Husen [2003](#page-22-18)).

*Bacillus megaterium* from tea rhizosphere was able to produce siderophore, and thus it could help in the plant growth promotion and reduction of disease intensity (Chakraborty et al. [2006](#page-20-19)). *E. coli* isolated and characterized from endorhizosphere of sugarcane (*Saccharum* sp.) and rye grass (*Lolium perenne*) was found to produce siderophores and thus was found to help in the growth of the plants (Gangwar and Kaur [2009](#page-21-18)).

### **2.7 Zinc Transformation**

Zinc plays very important role in plant metabolism by influencing the activities of enzymes like hydrogenase and carbonic anhydrase. It also helps in stabilization of ribosomal fractions and synthesis of cytochrome (Tisdale et al. [1984\)](#page-27-19). Deficiency of zinc results in the developmental abnormalities in plant and also adversely affects the quality of harvested products (Hafeez et al. [2013](#page-21-19)). In soils, Zn is mostly present in unavailable fraction rendering its lower availability to plant. The factors responsible for its lower availability include low total Zn content, neutral or alkaline pH, high salt concentration and high calcium carbonate content in calcareous soil (Kumari Sunitha et al. [2014](#page-23-22)). Thus, some researchers had explored few microbial genera such as *Bacillus* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Aspergillus* sp. for their zincsolubilizing potential (Saravanan et al. [2003](#page-26-18)).

Secretion of organic acids by microbes has been identified as the prime mechanism of zinc solubilization. These released organic acids, viz. acetic, citric, lactic, propionic, glycolic, oxalic, gluconic acid, etc., can sequester cations and acidify the microenvironment by lowering the rhizospheric pH (Bapiri et al. [2012;](#page-20-20) Saravanan et al. [2007\)](#page-26-19). Organic acid secreted by microflora increases soil Zn availability in two ways; they are probably exuded both with protons and as counter ions and, consequently, reduce rhizospheric pH. Also the anions can chelate Zn and increase Zn solubility (Jones and Darrah, [1994](#page-22-19)) which result in the conversion of the available form  $(Zn^{2+})$  to plants. Vaid et al. ([2014\)](#page-27-20) showed improved growth and productivity of rice upon inoculation with zinc-solubilizing bacteria of genus *Burkholderia* and *Acinetobacter.* Goteti et al. ([2013\)](#page-21-20) reported seed bacterization with zinc-solubilizing bacteria at 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> enhanced total dry mass (12.96 g) and uptake of N (2.268%), K (2.0%), Mn (60 ppm) and Zn (278.8 ppm) in maize.

### **2.8 Concluding Remarks**

Nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, iron and zinc are the vital elements from crop nutrition point of view. In addition to fertility status of a particular soil, the mineral fertilizers fulfil the major requirement of nutrients by the crops. However, the injudicious application of mineral fertilizer along with nutrient mining under the intensive agriculture have resulted in the emergence of multi-nutrient deficiency along with deteriorated soil health finally stagnating crop productivity. Moreover, the skyrocketing prices of mineral fertilizers have made the situation worse. These conditions have compelled us to find an alternative and sustainable approach for improving nutrient availability as well nutrient efficiency in agricultural soils to meet the burgeoning global demand for food. In this respect, soil microbes is the only resort that are responsible for a range of soil processes that affect nutrient transformation and thus influencing the subsequent availability of these nutrients to plant roots. It is already well perceived that microorganisms have the potential to

solubilize and mineralize nutrients from inorganic and organic pools and their use could open up a new horizon for better crop production and productivity with improved soil health. Nonetheless, their wide acceptability as biofertilizer has faced a major drawback of lower viability due to low-quality inoculants and lower shelf life.

These issues impaired the end users (farmers) to rely on the efficacy of microbes. Hence, there is a need for constant and continuous research efforts to explore, characterize and identify more microbes with greater efficiency for nutrient transformation and preparation of improved inoculums with higher shelf life and viability for their final application under field conditions.

### **References**

- <span id="page-19-1"></span>Ahmad M, Nadeem SM, Naveed M, Zahir ZA (2016) Potassium-solubilizing bacteria and their application in agriculture. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 293–313. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_21)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>Aislabie J, Deslippe JR (2013) Soil microbes and their contribution to soil services. In: Dymond JR (ed) Ecosystem services in New Zealand – conditions and trends. Manaaki Whenua Press, Lincoln, pp 112–161
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>Aleksandrov VG, Blagodyr RN, Live IP (1967) Liberation of phosphoric acid from apatite by silicate bacteria. Microchem J 29:111–114
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>Altomare C, Norvell WA, Borjkman T, Harman GE (1999) Solubilization of phosphates and micronutrients by the plant growth promoting and biocontrol fungus *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai 1295–22. Appl Environ Microbiol 65:2926–2933
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>Alves CJ, Figueiredo SM, Azevedo SS, Clementino IJ, Keid LB, Vasconcellos SA, Batista CSA, Rocha VCM, Higino SS (2010) Detection of *Brucella ovis* in ovine from Paraíba State, in the Northeast region of Brazil. Braz J Microbiol 41:65–367
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>Argelis DT, Gonzala DA, Vizcaino C, Gartia MT (1993) Biochemical mechanism of stone alteration carried out by filamentous fungi living in monuments. Biogeochemistry 19:129–147
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>Autry AR, Fitzgerald JW (1990) Sulfonate S: a major form of forest soil organic sulfur. Biol Fertil Soils 10:50–56
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>Awasthi R, Tewari R, Nayyar H (2011) Synergy between plants and P-solubilizing microbesin soils: effects on growth and physiology of crops. Int Res J Microbiol 2:484–503
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>Bahadur I, Meena VS, Kumar S (2014) Importance and application of potassic biofertilizer in Indian agriculture. Int Res J Biol Sci 3:80–85
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>Bahadur I, Maurya BR, Kumar A, Meena VS, Raghuwanshi R (2016a) Towards the soil sustainability and potassium-solubilizing microorganisms. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, Uttarakhand, pp 225–266. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_18)
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>Bahadur I, Maurya BR, Meena VS, Saha M, Kumar A, Aeron A (2016b) Mineral release dynamics of tricalcium phosphate and waste muscovite by mineral-solubilizing rhizobacteria isolated from Indo-Gangetic Plain of India. Geomicrobiol J. [https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2016.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2016.1219431) [1219431](https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2016.1219431)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>Bajpai PD, Sundara Rao WVB (1971) Phosphate solubilizing bacteria III. soil inoculation with phosphate solubilizing bacteria. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 17:46–53
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>Balogh-Brunstad Z, Keller CK, Gill RA, Bormann BT, Li CY (2008) The effect of bacteria and fungi on chemical weathering and chemical denudation fluxes in pine growth experiments. Biogeochemistry 88:153–167
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>Banik S, Dey BK (1983) Phosphate solubilizing potentiality of the microorganisms capable of utilizing aluminium phosphate as a sole phosphate source. Zentralbl Microbio 138:17–23
- <span id="page-20-20"></span>Bapiri A, Asgharzadeh A, Mujallali H, Khavazi K, Pazira E (2012) Evaluation of Zinc solubilization potential by different strains of Fluorescent Pseudomonads. J Appl Sci Environ Manage 16(3):295–298
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>Basak BB, Biswas DR (2009) Influence of potassium solubilizing microorganism (*Bacillus mucilaginosus*) and waste mica on potassium uptake dynamics by Sudan grass (*Sorghum vulgare* Pers.) grown under two Alfisols. Plant Soil 317:235–255
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>Basak BB, Biswas DR (2010) Co-inoculation of potassium solubilizing and nitrogen fixing bacteria on solubilization of waste mica and their effect on growth promotion and nutrient acquisition by a forage crop. Biol Fertil Soils 46:641–648
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>Bélanger RR, Avis TJ (2002) Ecological processes and interactions occurring in leaf surface fungi. In: Lindow SE et al (eds) Phyllosphere microbiology, pp 193–207
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>Beller HR, Chain PSG, Letain TE, Chakicherla A, Larimer FW, Richardson PM, Coleman MA, Wood AP, Kelly DP (2006) The genome sequence of the obligately chemolithoautotrophic, facultatively anaerobic bacterium Thiobacillus denitrificans. J Bacteriol 188:1473–1488
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>Bennett PC, Choi WJ, Rogera JR (1998) Microbial destruction of feldspars. Mineral Manag 8:149–150
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>Bennett PC, Rogers JR, Choi WJ (2001) Silicates, silicate weathering, and microbial ecology. Geomicrobiol J 18:3–19
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>Berraho EL, Lesueur D, Diem HG, Sasson A (1997) Iron requirement and siderophore production in *Rhizobium ciceri* during growth on an iron-deficient medium. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 13:501–510
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>Budzikiewicz H (2010) Microbial siderophores. In: Kinghorn AD, Falk H, Kobayashi J (eds) Progress in the chemistry of organic natural products. Fortschritte der chemie organischer naturstoffe/progress in the chemistry of organic natural products 92:1–75
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>Burns GR (1967) The oxidation of sulfur in soils. In: Meyer B (ed) Sulfur, energy and environment. Sulfur Institute Tech Bull 13261(11):345–385
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>Chakraborty U, Chakraborty B, Basnet M (2006) Plant growth promotion and induction of resistance in *Camellia sinensis* by *Bacillus megaterium*. J Basic Microbiol 46(3):186–195
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>Chen J, Blume H, Lothar B (2000) Weathering of rocks induced by lichen colonization: a review. Catena 39:121–146
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>Chen YP, Rekha PD, Arun AB, Shen FT, Lai WA, Young CC (2006) Phosphate solubilizing bacteria from subtropical soil and their tricalcium phosphate solubilizing abilities. Appl Soil Ecol 34:33–41
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>Collins HP, Rasmussen PE, Douglas CL (1992) Crop rotation and residue management effects on soil carbon and microbial dynamics. Soil Sci Soc Am J 56:783–788
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>Coyne M (1999) Iron and Manganese transformation. In: Soil microbiology: an exploratory approach. Delmar, Albany, pp 208–227
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>Dam B, Mandal S, Ghosh W, Das Gupta SK, Roy P (2007) The S4-intermediate pathway for the oxidation of thiosulfate by the chemolithoautotroph Tetrathiobacter kashmirensis and inhibition of tetrathionate oxidation by sulfite. Res Microbiol 158:330–338
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>Das I, Pradhan M (2016) Potassium-solubilizing microorganisms and their role in enhancing soil fertility and health. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 281–291. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_20](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_20)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>Davidson EA (2009) The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric nitrous oxide since 1860. Nat Geosci 2:659–662
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>Deubel A, Merbach W (2005) Influence of microorganisms on Phosphorus bioavailability in soils. In: Buscot F, Varma A (eds) Microorganisms in soils: roles in Genesis and functions. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, p 62
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>Dodor DE, Tabatabai AM (2003) Effect of cropping systems on phosphatases in soils. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 166:7–13
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>Dominguez-Nunez JA, Benito B, Berrocal-Lobo M, Albanesi A (2016) Mycorrhizal fungi: role in the solubilization of potassium. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 77–98. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_6)
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>Dotaniya ML, Meena VD, Basak BB, Meena RS (2016) Potassium uptake by crops as well as microorganisms. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 267–280. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_19) [org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_19)
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>Duponnois R, Kisa M, Plenchette C (2006) Phosphate solubilizing potential of the nematofungus Arthrobotrys oligospora. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 169:280–282
- <span id="page-21-17"></span>Erbs M, Spain J (2002) Microbial iron metabolism in natural environments. [http://www.mbl.edu/](http://www.mbl.edu/microbialdiversity/files/2012/08/2002_erbs_and_spain1.pdf) [microbialdiversity/files/2012/08/2002\\_erbs\\_and\\_spain1.pdf](http://www.mbl.edu/microbialdiversity/files/2012/08/2002_erbs_and_spain1.pdf)
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>Fankem H, Nwaga D, Deube A, Dieng L, Merbach W, Etoa FX (2006) Occurrence and functioning of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms from oil palm tree (Elaeis guineensis) rhizosphere in Cameroon. Afr J Biotechnol 5:2450–2460
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>Friedrich S, Platonova NP, Karavaiko GI, Stichel E, Glombitza F (1991) Chemical and microbiological solubilization of silicates. Acta Biotechnol 11:187–196
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>Frigaard NU, Bryant DA (2008) Genomics insights into the sulfur metabolism of phototrophic green sulfur bacteria. In: Hell R, Dahl C, Knaff DB, Leustek T (eds) Sulfur metabolism in phototrophic organisms. Advances in photosynthesis and respiration, vol 27. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 337–355
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>Gahan J, Schmalenberger A (2014) The role of bacteria and mycorrhiza in plant sulfur supply. Front Plant Sci Plant Physiol 5:723
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>Gaind S (2016) Phosphate dissolving fungi: mechanism and application in alleviation of salt stress in wheat. Microbiol Res 193:94–102
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>Gangwar M, Kaur G (2009) Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria from endorhizosphere of sugarcane and ryegrass. Internet J Microbiol 7(1):139–144
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>Gerretsen FC (1948) The influence of microorganisms on the phosphate intake by the plant. Plant Soil 1:51–81
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>Goldstein AH (2000) Bioprocessing of rock phosphate ore: essential technical considerations for the development of a successful commercial technology. J Agric Biol Sci 1(1):48–58
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>Gomes NCM, Fagbola O, Costa R, Rumjanek NG, Buchner A, Mendona-Hagler L, Smalla K (2003) Dynamics of fungal communities in bulk and maize rhizosphere soil in the tropics. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:3758–3766
- <span id="page-21-20"></span>Goteti PK, Emmanuel LDA, Desai S, Shaik MHA (2013) Prospective zinc solubilizing bacteria for enhanced nutrient uptake and growth promotion in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Int J Microbiol 2013:1–7
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>Guay R, Silver M (1975) Thiobacillus acidophilus sp. Nov.; isolation and some physiological characteristics. Can J Microbiol 21:281–288
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>Gundala PB, Chinthala P, Sreenivasulu B (2013) A new facultative alkaliphilic, potassium solubilizing, Bacillus Sp. SVUNM9 isolated from mica cores of Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh, India. Research and Reviews. J Microbiol Biotechnol 2:1–7
- <span id="page-21-19"></span>Hafeez B, Khanif YM, Saleem M (2013) Role of zinc in plant nutrition – a review. Am J Exp Agric 3(2):374–391
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>Hallberg KB, Dopson M, Lindstrom EB (1996) Reduced sulfur compound oxidation by *Thiobacillus caldus*. J Bacteriol 178:6–11
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>Hao X, Cho CM, Racz GJ, Chang C (2002) Chemical retardation of phosphate diffusion in an acid soil as affected by liming. Nutr Cycl Agroecosys 64:213–224
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>Harahuc L, Lizama HM, Suzuki I (2000) Selective inhibition of the oxidation of ferrous iron or sulfur in Thiobacillus ferrooxidans. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:1031–1037
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>Haynes RJ (2005) Labile organic matter fractions as central components of the quality of agricultural soils: an overview. Adv Agron 85:221–268
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>He ZL, Bian W, Zhu J (2002) Screening and identification of microorganisms capable of utilizing phosphate adsorbed by goethite. Comm Soil Sci Plant Anal 33:647–663
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>Henri F, Laurette NN, Annette D, John Q, Wolfgang M, François-Xavier E, Dieudonné N (2008) Solubilization of inorganic phosphates and plant growth promotion by strains of Pseudomonas fluorescens isolated from acidic soils of Cameroon. Afr J Microbiol Res 2:171–178
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>Hilda R, Fraga R (2000) Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. Biotechnol Adv 17:319–359
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>Hirsch PR, Jones MJ, McGrath SP, Giller KE (1993) Heavy metals from past applications of sewage sludge decrease the genetic diversity of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *trifolii* populations. Soil Biol Biochem 25:1485–1490
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>Hrynkiewicz K, Baum C (2011) The potential of rhizosphere microorganisms to promote the plant growth in disturbed soils. In: Malik A, Grohmann E (eds) Environmental protection strategies for sustainable development. strategies for sustainability. Springer, New York, pp 35–64
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>Hu X, Chen J, Guo J (2006) Two phosphate- and potassium-solubilizing bacteria isolated from Tianmu Mountain, Zhejiang, China. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 22:983–990
- <span id="page-22-18"></span>Husen E (2003) Screening of soil bacteria for plant growth promotion activities *in vitro*. Indones J Agric Sci 4(1):27–31
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>Igual JM, Valverde A, Cervantes E, Velázquez E (2001) Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria as inoculants for agriculture: use of updated molecular techniques in their study. Agronomie 21:561–568
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>Imhoff JF, Siiling J, Petri R (1998) Phylogenetic relationship and taxonomic reclassificiation of *Chromatium* species and related purple sulfur bacteria. Int J Syst Bacteriol 48:1029–1043
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>Isherword KF (1998) Fertilizer use and environment. In: Ahmed N, Hamid A (eds) Proceeding symposium plant nutrition management for sustainable agricultural growth. NFDC, Islamabad, pp 57–76
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>Jaggi RC, Aulakh MS, Sharma R (2005) Impacts of elemental S applied under various temperature and moisture regimes on pH and available P in acidic, neutral and alkaline soils. Biol Fertil Soils 41:52–58
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>Jaiswal DK, Verma JP, Prakash S, Meena VS, Meena RS (2016) Potassium as an important plant nutrient in sustainable agriculture: a state of the art. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 21–29. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_2)
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>Jat LK, Singh YV, Meena SK, Meena SK, Parihar M, Jatav HS, Meena RK, Meena VS (2015) Does integrated nutrient management enhance agricultural productivity? J. Pure & Appl Microbiol 9(2):1211–1221
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>Jha Y, Subramanian RB (2016) Regulation of plant physiology and antioxidant enzymes for alleviating salinity stress by potassium-mobilizing bacteria. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 149–162. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_11)
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>Johnston F, McAmish L (1973) A study of the rates of sulfur production in acid thiosulfate solutions using S-35. J Colloid Interf Sci 42:112–119
- <span id="page-22-19"></span>Jones DL, Darrah PR (1994) Role of root derived organic acids in the mobilization of nutrients from the rhizosphere. Plant Soil 166:247–257
- <span id="page-22-17"></span>Kappler A, Straub KL (2005) Geomicrobiological cycling of iron. Rev Mineral Geochem 59:85–108
- <span id="page-22-16"></span>Kelly DP, Harrison AH (1989) Genus *Thiobacillus*. In: Staley JT, Bryant MP, Pfennig N, Holt JG (eds) Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology, vol 3. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, pp 1842–1858
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>Kelly DP, Wood AP (2000) Reclassification of some species of *Thiobacillus* to the newly designated genera *Acidithiobacillus* gen. nov., *Halobacillus* gen. nov. and *Themithiobacillus* gen. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbial 50:511–516
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>Kelly DP, Shergill JK, Lu WP, Wood AP (1997) Oxidative metabolism of inorganic sulfur compounds by bacteria. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 71:95–107
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>Kelly DP, McDonald IR, Wood AO (2000) Proposal for the reclassification of *Thiobacillusno vellus* as *Starkeya novella* gen. Nov., comb. nov., in the subclass of the Proteobacteria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 50:1797–1802
- <span id="page-23-18"></span>Kent AD, Triplett EW (2002) Microbial communities and their interactions in soil and rhizosphere ecosystems. Annu Rev Microbiol 56:211–236
- <span id="page-23-17"></span>Kertesz MA (1999) Riding the sulfur cycle metabolism of sulfonates and sulfate esters in gramnegative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 24:135–175
- <span id="page-23-20"></span>Kertesz MA, Mirleau P (2004) The role of microbes in plant sulfur supply. J Exp Bot 55:1939–1945
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>Khan MS, Zaidi A, Wani PA (2007) Role of phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms in sustainable agriculture—a review. Agron Sustain Develop 27:29–43
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>Kpomblekou K, Tabatabai MA (1994) Effect of organic acids on release of phosphorus from phosphate rocks. Soil Sci 158:442–453
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>Kumar A, Bahadur I, Maurya BR, Raghuwanshi R, Meena VS, Singh DK, Dixit J (2015) Does a plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria enhance agricultural sustainability? J Pure Appl Microbiol 9:715–724
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>Kumar A, Meena R, Meena VS, Bisht JK, Pattanayak A (2016a) Towards the stress management and environmental sustainability. J Clean Prod 137:821–822
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>Kumar A, Patel JS, Bahadur I, Meena VS (2016b) The molecular mechanisms of KSMs for enhancement of crop production under organic farming. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 61–75. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_5)
- <span id="page-23-3"></span>Kumar A, Maurya BR, Raghuwanshi R, Meena VS, Islam MT (2017) Co-inoculation with Enterobacter and Rhizobacteria on yield and nutrient uptake by wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) in the alluvial soil under Indo-Gangetic Plain of India. J Plant Growth Regul 36:608. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-016-9663-5) [doi.org/10.1007/s00344-016-9663-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-016-9663-5)
- <span id="page-23-22"></span>Kumari Sunitha K, Padma devi SN, Vasandha S, Anitha S (2014) Microbial inoculants – a boon to zinc deficient constraint in plants – a review. Int J Sci Res Publ 4(6):1–4
- <span id="page-23-16"></span>Leustek T, Martin MN, Bick JA, Davies JP (2000) Pathways and regulation of sulfur metabolism revealed through molecular and genetic studies. Ann Rev Plant Biol 51:141–165
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>Li FC, Li S, Yang YZ, Cheng LJ (2006) Advances in the study of weathering products of primary silicate minerals, exemplified by mica and feldspar. Acta Petrol Mineral 25:440–448
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>Lian B, Fu PQ, Mo DM, Liu CQ (2002) A comprehensive review of the mechanism of potassium release by silicate bacteria. Acta Mineral Sinica 22:179
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>Lin QM, Rao ZH, Sun YX, Yao J, Xing LJ (2002) Identification and practical application of silicate-dissolving bacteria. Agric Sci China 1:81–85
- <span id="page-23-13"></span>Liu D, Lian B, Dong H (2012) Isolation of *Paenibacillus* sp. and assessment of its potential for enhancing mineral weathering. Geomicrobiol J 29:413–421
- <span id="page-23-21"></span>Louden BC, Haarmann D, Lynne AM (2011) Use of blue agar CAS assay for siderophore detection. J Microbiol Biol Educ 12(1):51–53
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>Luxhoi J, Fillery IRP, Murphy DV, Bruun S, Jensen LS, Recous S (2008) Distribution and controls on gross N mineralization-immobilization turnover in soil subjected to zero tillage. Eur J Soil Sci 59:190–197
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>Lynch JM (1983) Soil biotechnology, microbiological factors in crop productivity, vol 191. Blackwell Scientific, Oxford
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>Malinovskaya IM, Kosenko LV, Votselko SK, Podgorskii VS (1990) Role of Bacillus mucilaginosus polysaccharide in degradation of silicate minerals. Mikrobiologiya 59:49–55
- <span id="page-23-19"></span>Marschner P, Yang CH, Lieberei R, Crowley DE (2001) Soil and plant specific effects on bacterial community composition in the rhizosphere. Soil Biol Biochem 33:1437–1445
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>Masau RJ, Oh JK, Suzuki I (2001) Mechanism of oxidation of inorganic sulfur compounds by thiosulfate-grown Thiobacillus thiooxidans. Can J Microbiol 47:348–358
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>Masood S, Bano A (2016) Mechanism of potassium solubilization in the agricultural soils by the help of soil microorganisms. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium

solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 137–147. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_10)

- <span id="page-24-2"></span>Maurya BR, Meena VS, Meena OP (2014) Influence of Inceptisol and Alfisol's potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB) isolates on release of K from waste mica. Vegetos 27:181–187
- <span id="page-24-16"></span>McFarland J, Ruess R, Keilland K, Pregitzer K, Hendrick R, Allen M (2010) Cross-ecosystem comparisons of in situ plant uptake of amino acid-N and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. Ecosystems 13:177–193
- <span id="page-24-5"></span>McNeill A, Unkovich M (2007) The nitrogen cycle in terrestrial ecosystems. In: Marschner DP, PDZ R (eds) Nutrient cycling in terrestrial ecosystems. Soil biology. Springer, Berlin, pp 37–64
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>Meena OP, Maurya BR, Meena VS (2013a) Influence of K-solubilizing bacteria on release of potassium from waste mica. Agric Sust Dev 1:53–56
- <span id="page-24-11"></span>Meena VS, Maurya BR, Bohra JS, Verma R, Meena MD (2013b) Effect of concentrate manure and nutrient levels on enzymatic activities and microbial population under submerged rice in alluvium soil of Varanasi. Crop Res  $45(1, 2 \& 3)$ :6-12
- <span id="page-24-17"></span>Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma R, Meena RS, Jatav GK, Meena SK, Meena SK (2013c) Soil microbial population and selected enzyme activities as influenced by concentrate manure and inorganic fertilizer in alluvium soil of Varanasi. The Bioscan 8(3):931–935
- <span id="page-24-12"></span>Meena VS, Maurya BR, Bahadur I (2014a) Potassium solubilization by bacterial strain in waste mica. Bang J Bot 43:235–237
- <span id="page-24-18"></span>Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP (2014b) Does a rhizospheric microorganism enhance K+ availability in agricultural soils? Microbiol Res 169:337–347
- <span id="page-24-20"></span>Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Aeron A, Kumar A, Kim K, Bajpai VK (2015a) Potassium solubilizing rhizobacteria (KSR): isolation, identification, and K-release dynamics from waste mica. Ecol Eng 81:340–347
- <span id="page-24-4"></span>Meena RS, Meena VS, Meena SK, Verma JP (2015b) The needs of healthy soils for a healthy world. J Clean Prod 102:560–561
- <span id="page-24-6"></span>Meena RS, Meena VS, Meena SK, Verma JP (2015c) Towards the plant stress mitigate the agricultural productivity: a book review. J Clean Prod 102:552–553
- <span id="page-24-14"></span>Meena VS, Maurya BR, Meena RS (2015d) Residual impact of wellgrow formulation and NPK on growth and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Bangladesh J Bot 44(1):143–146
- <span id="page-24-13"></span>Meena VS, Meena SK, Verma JP, Meena RS, Ghosh BN (2015e) The needs of nutrient use efficiency for sustainable agriculture. J Clean Prod 102:562–563. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.04.044) [jclepro.2015.04.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.04.044)
- <span id="page-24-7"></span>Meena VS, Verma JP, Meena SK (2015f) Towards the current scenario of nutrient use efficiency in crop species. J Clean Prod 102:556–557. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.04.030>
- <span id="page-24-1"></span>Meena RK, Singh RK, Singh NP, Meena SK, Meena VS (2016a) Isolation of low temperature surviving plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) from pea (Pisum sativum L.) and documentation of their plant growth promoting traits. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 4:806–811
- <span id="page-24-3"></span>Meena RS, Bohra JS, Singh SP, Meena VS, Verma JP, Verma SK, Sihag SK (2016b) Towards the prime response of manure to enhance nutrient use efficiency and soil sustainability a current need: a book review. J Clean Prod 112(1):1258–1260
- <span id="page-24-9"></span>Meena SK, Rakshit A, Meena VS (2016c) Effect of seed bio-priming and N doses under varied soil type on nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) under greenhouse conditions. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 6:68–75
- <span id="page-24-10"></span>Meena VS, Bahadur I, Maurya BR, Kumar A, Meena RK, Meena SK, Verma JP (2016d) Potassiumsolubilizing microorganism in evergreen agriculture: an overview. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 1–20. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_1)
- <span id="page-24-15"></span>Meena VS, Meena SK, Bisht JK, Pattanayak A (2016e) Conservation agricultural practices in sustainable food production. J Clean Prod 137:690–691
- <span id="page-24-19"></span>Misra HS, Rajpurohit YS, Khairnar NP (2012) Pyrroloquinoline-quinone and its versatile roles in biological processes. J Biosci 37:313–325
- <span id="page-24-8"></span>Moawad H, El-Din S, Abdel-Aziz R (1998) Improvement of biological nitrogen fixation in Egyptian winter legumes through better management of *Rhizobium*. Plant Soil 204:95–106
- <span id="page-25-12"></span>Molla MAZ, Chowdhury AA, Islam A, Hoque S (1984) Microbial mineralization of organic phosphate in soil. Plant Soil 78:393–399
- <span id="page-25-13"></span>Muentz A (1890) Surla decomposition desroches etla formation de la terre arable. C R Acad Sci 110:1370–1372
- <span id="page-25-18"></span>Muller FH, Bandeiras TM, Urich T, Teixeira M, Gomes CM, Kletzin A (2004) Coupling of the pathway of sulfur oxidation to dioxygen reduction: characterization of a novel membranebound thiosulphate:quinone oxidoreductase. Mol Microbiol 53:1147–1160
- <span id="page-25-20"></span>Neilands JB (1995) Siderophores: structure and function of microbial iron transport compounds. J Biol Chem 270:26723–26726
- <span id="page-25-10"></span>Nenwani V, Doshi P, Saha T, Rajkumar S (2010) Isolation and characterization of a fungal isolate for phosphate solubilization and plant growth promoting activity. J Yeast Fungal Res 1(1):9–14
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>Parewa HP, Yadav J, Rakshit A, Meena VS, Karthikeyan N (2014) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria enhance growth and nutrient uptake of crops. Agric Sustain Develop 2(2):101–116
- <span id="page-25-9"></span>Pingale SS, Popat SV (2013) Study of influence of phosphate dissolving micro-organisms on yield and phosphate uptake by crops. Eur J Exp Biol 3(2):191–193
- <span id="page-25-14"></span>Prajapati KB, Modi HA (2012) Isolation and characterization of potassium solubilizing bacteria from ceramic industry soil. CIB Tech J Microbiol 1:8–14
- <span id="page-25-15"></span>Prajapati K, Sharma MC, Modi HA (2013) Growth promoting effect of potassium solubilizing microorganisms on Abelmoschus esculentus. Int J Agric Sci 3:181–188
- <span id="page-25-1"></span>Prakash S, Verma JP (2016) Global perspective of potash for fertilizer production. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 327–331. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_23](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_23)
- <span id="page-25-2"></span>Priyadharsini P, Muthukumar T (2016) Interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and potassium-solubilizing microorganisms on agricultural productivity. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 111–125. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_8)
- <span id="page-25-17"></span>Pronk JT, Meulenberg R, Hazeu W, Bos P, Kuenen JG (1990) Oxidation of reduced inorganic sulfur compounds by acidophilic thiobacilli. FEMS Microbiol Rev 75:293–306
- <span id="page-25-11"></span>Puente ME, Bashan Y, Li CY, Lebsky VK (2004) Microbial populations and activities in the rhizoplane of rock-weathering desert plants. I. root colonization and weathering of igneous rocks. Plant Biol 6:629–642
- <span id="page-25-19"></span>Radzki W, Gutierrez Manero FJ, Algar E, Lucas Garcıa JA, Garcıa-Villaraco A, Ramos Solano B (2013) Bacterial siderophores efficiently provide iron to iron-starved tomato plants in hydroponics culture. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 104:321–330
- <span id="page-25-3"></span>Raghavendra MP, Nayaka NC, Nuthan BR (2016) Role of rhizosphere microflora in potassium solubilization. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 43–59. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_4) [org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_4)
- <span id="page-25-4"></span>Rawat J, Sanwal P, Saxena J (2016) Potassium and its role in sustainable agriculture. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 235–253. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_17)
- <span id="page-25-7"></span>Robertson GP, Groffman PM (2007) Nitrogen transformation. In: Paul EA (ed) Soil microbiology, bio-chemistry and ecology. Springer, New York, pp 341–364
- <span id="page-25-5"></span>Saha M, Maurya BR, Bahadur I, Kumar A, Meena VS (2016a) Can potassium-solubilising bacteria mitigate the potassium problems in India? In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 127–136. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_9)
- <span id="page-25-6"></span>Saha M, Maurya BR, Meena VS, Bahadur I, Kumar A (2016b) Identification and characterization of potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB) from Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 7:202–209
- <span id="page-25-8"></span>Saharan BS, Nehra V (2011) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: a critical review. Life Sci Med Res 20:1–30
- <span id="page-25-16"></span>Sangeeth KP, Bhai RS, Srinivasan V (2012) *Paenibacillus glucanolyticus*, a promising potassium solubilizing bacterium isolated from black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.) rhizosphere. J Spic Aromat Crops 21:118–124
- <span id="page-26-18"></span>Saravanan VS, Subramoniam SR, Anthony raj S (2003) Assessing *in vitro* solubilization potential of different zinc solubilizing bacterial (ZSB) isolates. Braz J Microbiol 34:121–125
- <span id="page-26-19"></span>Saravanan VS, Kalaiarasan P, Madhaiyan M, Thangaraju M (2007) Solubilization of insoluble zinc compounds by *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* and the detrimental action of zinc ion  $(Zn<sup>2+</sup>)$  and zinc chelates on root knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. Lett Appl Microbiol 44:235–241
- <span id="page-26-11"></span>Setiawati TC, Mutmainnah L (2016) Solubilization of potassium containing mineral by microorganisms from sugarcane rhizosphere. Agric Agric Sci Procedia 9:108–117
- <span id="page-26-15"></span>Setter KO, Fiala G, Huber G, Huber H, Segerer A (1990) Hyperthermophilic microorganisms. FEMS Microbiol Rev 75:117–124
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>Sharma SB, Sayyed RZ, Trivedi MH, Gobi TA (2013) Phosphate solubilizing microbes: sustainable approach for managing phosphorus deficiency in agricultural soils. Springer Plus 2:587
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>Sharma A, Shankhdhar D, Shankhdhar SC (2016) Potassium-solubilizing microorganisms: mechanism and their role in potassium solubilization and uptake. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 203–219. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_15)
- <span id="page-26-10"></span>Sheng XF, He LY (2006) Solubilization of potassium-bearing minerals by a wild type strain of *Bacillus edaphicus* and its mutants and increased potassium uptake by wheat. Can J Microbiol 52:66–72
- <span id="page-26-13"></span>Sheng XF, Huang WY (2002) Mechanism of potassium release from feldspar affected by the strain NBT of silicate bacterium. Acta Pedol Sin 39:863–871
- <span id="page-26-16"></span>Shinde DB, Patil PL, Patil BR (1996) Potential use of sulfur oxidizing microorganism as soil inoculant. Crop Res 11:291–295
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>Shrivastava M, Srivastava PC, D'Souza SF (2016) KSM soil diversity and mineral solubilization, in relation to crop production and molecular mechanism. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 221–234. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_16)
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>Sindhu SS, Parmar P, Phour M, Sehrawat A (2016) Potassium-solubilizing microorganisms (KSMs) and its effect on plant growth improvement. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 171–185. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_13)
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>Singal R, Gupta R, Saxena RK (1994) Rock phosphate solubilization under alkaline conditions by *Aspergillus japonicus* and *A. foetidus*. Folia Microbiol 39:33–36
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>Singh NP, Singh RK, Meena VS, Meena RK (2015) Can we use maize (Zea mays) rhizobacteria as plant growth promoter? Vegetos 28(1):86–99.<https://doi.org/10.5958/2229-4473.2015.00012.9>
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>Singh M, Dotaniya ML, Mishra A, Dotaniya CK, Regar KL, Lata M (2016) Role of biofertilizers in conservation agriculture. In: Bisht JK, Meena VS, Mishra PK, Pattanayak A (eds) Conservation agriculture: an approach to combat climate change in Indian Himalaya. Springer, Singapore, pp 113–134. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2558-7\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2558-7_4)
- <span id="page-26-17"></span>Smalla K, Wieland G, Buchner A, Zock A, Parzy J, Kaiser S, Roskot N, Heuer H, Berg G (2001) Bulk and rhizosphere soil bacterial communities studied by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis: plant-dependent enrichment and seasonal shifts revealed. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:4742–4751
- <span id="page-26-12"></span>Song SK, Huang PM (1988) Dynamics of potassium release from potassium-bearing minerals as influenced by oxalic and citric acids. Soil Sci Soc Am J 52:383–390
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>Sprent JI (1979) The biology of nitrogen-fixing organisms. McGraw-Hill, London
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>Stevenson FJ (2005) Cycles of soil: carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, micronutrients. Wiley, New York
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>Subramanian KS, Charest C (1999) Acquisition of N by external hyphae of an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and its impact on physiological responses in maize under drought-stressed and well watered condition. Mycorrhiza 9:69–75
- <span id="page-26-14"></span>Sugumaran P, Janarthanam B (2007) Solubilization of potassium containing minerals by bacteria and their effect on plant growth. World J Agric Sci 3:350–355
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>Tarafdar JC, Claasen N (1988) Organic phosphorus compounds as a phosphorus source for higher plants through the activity of phosphatases produced by plant roots and microorganisms. Biol Fert Soils 5:308–312
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>Tarafdar JC, Rao AV, Bala K (1988) Production of phosphatases by fungi isolated from desert soils. Folia Microbiol 33:453–457
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>Teotia P, Kumar V, Kumar M, Shrivastava N, Varma A (2016) Rhizosphere microbes: potassium solubilization and crop productivity-present and future aspects. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 315–325. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_22](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_22)
- <span id="page-27-15"></span>Teske A, Nelson DC (2004) The genera Beggiatoa and Thioploca. In: The prokaryotes: an evolving electronic resource for the microbiological community M Dworkin (Ed) 3(3):17
- <span id="page-27-19"></span>Tisdale SL, Nelson WL, Beaten JD (1984) Zinc. In: soil fertility and fertilizers, 4th edn. Macmillan, New York, pp 382–391
- <span id="page-27-18"></span>Trudinger PA (1965) Effect of thiol-binding reagents on the metabolism of thiosulfate and tetrathionate by Thiobacillus neapolitanus. J Bact 89:617–625
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>Uroz S, Calvaruso C, Turpault MP, Pierrat JC, Mustin C, Frey-Klett P (2007) Effect of the mycorrhizosphere on the genotypic and metabolic diversity of the bacterial communities involved in mineral weathering in a forest soil. Appl Environ Microbiol 3:3019–3027
- <span id="page-27-20"></span>Vaid SK, Kumar B, Sharma A, Shukla AK, Srivastava PC (2014) Effect of zinc solubilizing bacteria on growth promotion and zinc nutrition of rice. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr 14(4):889–910
- <span id="page-27-17"></span>Van den Koornhuyse P, Ridgway KP, Watson IJ, Fitter AH, Young JPW (2003) Co-existing grass species have distinctive arbuscular mycorrhizal communities. Mol Ecol 12:3085–3095
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>Vazquez P, Holguin G, Puente M, Lopez-cortes A, Bashan Y (2000) Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms associated with the rhizosphere of mangroves in a semi-arid coastal lagoon. Biol Fertil Soils 30:460–468
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>Velazquez E, Silva LR, Ramírez-Bahena MH, Peix A (2016) Diversity of potassium-solubilizing microorganisms and their interactions with plants. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 99–110. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_7)
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>Verma R, Maurya BR, Meena VS (2014) Integrated effect of bio-organics with chemical fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of cabbage (Brassica oleracea var capitata). Indian J Agric Sci 84(8):914–919
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>Verma JP, Jaiswa DK, Meena VS, Meena RS (2015a) Current need of organic farming for enhancing sustainable agriculture. J Clean Prod 102:545–547
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>Verma JP, Jaiswal DK, Meena VS, Kumar A, Meena RS (2015b) Issues and challenges about sustainable agriculture production for management of natural resources to sustain soil fertility and health. J Clean Prod 107:793–794
- <span id="page-27-14"></span>Vidyalakshmi R, Paranthaman R, Bhakyaraj R (2009) Sulfur oxidizing bacteria and pulse nutrition. World J Agric Sci 5(3):270–278
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>Villegas J, Fortin JA (2002) Phosphorus solubilization and pH changes as a result of the interactions between soil bacteria and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on a medium containing  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  as nitrogen source. Can J Bot 80:571–576
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>Virginia RA, Wall DH (2000) Ecosystem function, basic principles of. In: Levin SA (ed) Encyclopedia of biodiversity, vol 2. Academic, San Diego, pp 345–352
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>Vyas P, Gulati A (2009) Organic acid production in vitro and plant growth promotion in maize under controlled environment by phosphate-solubilizing fluorescent Pseudomonas. BMC Microbiol 9:174
- <span id="page-27-16"></span>Wainwright M (1978) A modified sulfur medium for the isolation of sulfur oxidising fungi. Plant Soil 49:191–193
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>Whitelaw MA (2000) Growth promotion of plants inoculated with phosphate solubilizing fungi. Adv Agron 69:99–151
- <span id="page-27-13"></span>Wu SC, Cao ZH, Li ZG, Cheung KC, Wong MH (2005) Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: a greenhouse trial. Geoderma 125:155–166
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>Wyciszkiewicz M, Saeid A, Dobrowolska-Iwanek J, Chojnacka K (2016) Utilization of microorganisms in the solubilization of low-quality phosphorus raw material. Ecol Eng 89:109–113
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>Yadav BK, Sidhu AS (2016) Dynamics of potassium and their bioavailability for plant nutrition. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 187–201. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_14) [org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_14)
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>Yadav RS, Tarafdar JC (2001) Influence of organic and inorganic phosphorus supply on the maximum secretion of acid phosphatase by plants. Biol Fert Soils 34:140–143
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>Yasin M, Munir I, Faisal M (2016) Can Bacillus spp. enhance K+ uptake in crop species. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 163–170. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_12) [org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_12)
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>Zahedi H (2016) Growth-promoting effect of potassium-solubilizing microorganisms on some crop species. In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS (eds) Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer, New Delhi, pp 31–42. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_3) [org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2776-2_3)
- <span id="page-28-5"></span>Zarjani JK, Aliasgharzad N, Oustan S, Emadi M, Ahmadi A (2013) Isolation and characterization of potassium solubilizing bacteria in some Iranian soils. Arch Agro Soil Sci 77:7569
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>Zhang C, Kong F (2014) Isolation and identification of potassium-solubilizing bacteria from tobacco rhizospheric soil and their effect on tobacco plants. Appl Soil Eco 82:18–25
- <span id="page-28-8"></span>Zhang A, Zhao G, Gao T, Wang W, Li J, Zhang S (2013) Solubilization of insoluble potassium and phosphate by Paenibacillus kribensis a soil microorganism with biological control potential. Afr J Microbiol Res 7:41–47
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>Zhao F, Sheng X, Huang Z, He L (2008) Isolation of mineral potassium-solubilizing bacterial strains from agricultural soils in Shandong Province. Biodivers Sci 16:593–600
- <span id="page-28-9"></span>Zhou W, Wan M, He P, Li S, Lin B (2002) Oxidation of elemental sulfur in paddy soils as influenced by flooded condition and plant growth in pot experiment. Biol Fertil Soils 36:384–389