3 Potentials of Microbial Inoculants in Soil Productivity: An Outlook on African Legumes

Bukola Rhoda Aremu, Elizabeth Temitope Alori, Raphael Funso Kutu, and Olubukola Oluranti Babalola

Abstract

Nutrient availability is one of the major limiting factors affecting legume production in Africa. With the limited arable land resources, meeting the dietary requirement of the ever-increasing world population becomes a serious challenge. The most frequently deficient nutrient on crop fields is nitrogen (N). Inconvenient increase in prices of chemical nitrogen fertilizers together with the environmental problems associated with their excessive use calls for alternative low-cost and ecologically friendly soil-plant fertilization technologies. Soil microorganisms play significant roles in nutrient mineralization and supply to plant hence promoting plant growth. Soil microbes suppress soilborne plant diseases and destroy environmentally hazardous compounds in soil. Microbial inoculants are agricultural amendments that use microorganisms such as rhizobia and endophytes to promote legume growth. These microbes form symbiotic relationships with the target leguminous plant, and both parts benefit. The structure and function of the plant microbiome are major determinants of plant health and productivity. Microbial inoculants are the potential tools for sustainable agriculture.

Keywords

Microbiome • Nitrogen fixation • Soil fertility • Soil health • Soil quality

E.T. Alori

B.R. Aremu • R.F. Kutu • O.O. Babalola (\boxtimes)

Food Security and Safety Area, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, North-West University, Private Bag X2046, Mmabatho 2735, South Africa e-mail: olubukola.babalola@nwu.ac.za

Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Landmark University, P.M.B, 1001 Omu-Aran, Nigeria

[©] Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2017 53

D.G. Panpatte et al. (eds.), *Microorganisms for Green Revolution*, Microorganisms for Sustainability 6, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-6241-4_3

3.1 Introduction

The over-reliance on conventional agricultural systems, which depend highly on non-sustainable energy inputs and intensive use of herbicides, fertilizers and pesticides, does not hold the answer to obtaining higher yields from food crops grown in Africa. In order to meet the ever-rising demand for sustainable land use, increased feed and biofuel production as alternative to nonrenewable fossil fuels, there has been an expanding demand to improve the soil quality through the production and utilization of nitrogen-rich composts. The residual effect of these non-sustainable practices of may have an adverse effect on the community as a whole. Legumes are fit and often used for the building up of a friendly and advantageous association with soil microorganisms known as rhizobia that create pull knobs for lessening of climatic dinitrogen to effectively assimilable structures for use by the host plants. An extraordinary level of organism group's specificity exists in rhizobia-legume associations, emerging from a signal interchange in the two partners. The root nodule initiation, on the other hand, requires a set of vastly coordinated events at the root epidermal and cortical cells; there has been an expanding reliance on concentrated agribusiness. These unsustainable practices may prompt to the decay of soil quality and require the generation of nitrogen manures to the detriment of nonrenewable fossil powers. Besides the growing cost of improvement, the excessive usage of manures is in addition responsible for the damage to various organic frameworks (Hawkesford [2011\)](#page-18-0). Hence, the use of microbial inoculants has proved to be a promising technology to obtain an increase food production and a sustainable agricultural system. Soil microorganisms are capable of enhancing plant growth and protect soils from disease and abiotic stresses (Glick [2012](#page-18-1)). Microorganisms establish associations with plants and promote plant growth by means of several beneficial characteristics such as nutrient availability from genetic processes of biological N fixation (BNF) and phosphate solubilization and stress alleviation through 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase expression modulation and the production of phytohormones and siderophores, among several others (Alori [2016\)](#page-17-0).

The introduction of beneficial microbes to soil and plant (inoculation) is less aggressive and causes less damage to the environment compared to chemical fertilization. Microbial inoculation technology is therefore a sustainable agronomic practice that reduces production costs. There are increasing applications of symbiotic or free-living N-fixing bacteria in sustainable agricultural systems (Koki and Takayoshi [2013\)](#page-19-0). The application of inoculants is seen as being very attractive since it would substantially reduce the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides, and there are now an increasing number of inoculants being commercialized for various crops (Babalola [2010;](#page-17-1) Babalola and Glick [2012a](#page-17-2), [b;](#page-17-3) Berg [2009\)](#page-17-4). Microbial inoculants comprise three major groups of soil microbes which are symbiotic nitrogen-fixing rhizobia, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF).

Rhizobia species are well investigated because of their symbiotic relationship with leguminous plants and their agronomical application as inoculants in the cultivation of economic crops (Ajilogba and Babalola [2013;](#page-16-0) Ajilogba et al. [2013](#page-16-1); Torres et al. [2012\)](#page-22-0). The soybean-*Bradyrhizobium* association is a good example of the

efficiency of BNF, while *B*. *elkanii* and *B. japonicum* are species that are commonly used to inoculate this leguminous plant. In this system, the BNF is so efficient that attempts to increase grain yields by adding nitrogenous fertilizers are not successful in plants that had been effectively inoculated with the recommended *Bradyrhizobium* strains (Souza et al. [2015\)](#page-21-0).

Legumes have served man as source of food, feed, fuel wood and fertility from the very early times, hence, described as 'soil improvers' (Ajilogba and Babalola [2013;](#page-16-0) GRDC [2013](#page-18-2)). The unique ability of legumes to fix atmospheric N through symbiotic association with root-nodule bacteria had been used to improve the yields of legumes in sub-Saharan Africa (Abaidoo et al. [2013\)](#page-16-2). Moreover, most of the soils used for legume production in Africa are poor in nutrient status, especially total N, organic carbon and available phosphorus and, therefore, relatively unproductive (Laditi et al. [2012;](#page-19-1) Machido et al. [2011\)](#page-19-2). Leaching, denitrification, volatilization, nutrient mining and depletion by crop and crop residue removal for alternative uses have all contributed to the further worsening of the low fertility situation (Yakubu et al. [2010\)](#page-22-1). Hence, the replenishment of depleted soil nutrients, especially N, depends largely on the addition of inorganic fertilizers, which rank first among the external inputs that are required to maximize agriculture outputs, but in turn contributes substantially to environmental pollution (Alori et al. [2012\)](#page-17-5). On the other hand, most farmers cannot afford inorganic fertilizers due to their high cost and non-availability on time in the region (Yakubu et al. [2010](#page-22-1)) resulting in low to suboptimal use (Kutu and Asiwe [2010;](#page-19-3) Kutu and Diko [2011](#page-19-4)) that neither mitigate the nutrient mining problem nor guarantee soil fertility restoration for optimum crop growth and productivity. Consequently, this has led to a renewed farmers' interest on BNF, which provides a continuous in situ supply of N for plant growth, adds organic matter to the soil and is economically viable (Yakubu et al. [2010](#page-22-1)). Most importantly, inoculation of legume crop is recommended when the field has not been cropped with the host plant for the past 3–5 years or when it has never been planted to the host (Yakubu et al. [2010\)](#page-22-1). Moreover, inoculation of legume can increase rhizobia populations in fields where environmental conditions for the bacteria's long-term survival are not favourable. For instance, the rhizobia population of a field with pH below 6.0, periodically flooded conditions or extremely sandy soils can be greatly improved by microbial inoculation for maximum legume production (Machido et al. [2011](#page-19-2)).

The success of a legume grain crop is dependent on its capacity to form effective nitrogen-fixing symbioses with root-nodule bacteria. Many soils, however, do not have adequate amount of native rhizobia in terms of number, quality or effectiveness to enhance BNF. These situations call for the provision of external source of rhizobia through inoculation that to enable effective legume nodulation and $N₂$ fixation. Three of such situations were identified that legumes generally need inoculation: (1) where compatible rhizobia are absent, (2) where the population of compatible rhizobia is small and (3) where the indigenous rhizobia are ineffective or less effective in N_2 -fixation with the intended legume than selected inoculant strains (Vanlauwe and Giller [2006](#page-22-2)).

Ronner et al. [\(2016](#page-20-0)) discussed the history of rhizobia inoculants used for grain legume improvement in Nigeria. However, information on the exploration of the potential of microbial inoculants in the production of African legume is limited. This review therefore detailed the legume microbiome in soil. It provides an overview of the interaction of endophytic microbes with legumes, legume microbial inoculants for organic farming, legume microbial inoculants for soil fertility and legume microbial inoculants for soil health improvement. Legumes commonly planted in African were well expatiated.

3.2 Legumes Microbiome in Soil

The rhizosphere is the area of soil encompassing the root which is influenced by it. The importance of the rhizosphere emerges from the discharge of natural material from the root and the consequent impact of expanded microbial action on nutrient cycling and plant development. In the rhizosphere, the amounts and the classes of substrates are not quite the same as those in the mass soil, and this prompts to colonization by various populaces of microbes including bacteria, fungi, protozoa and nematodes. Other physicochemical elements which can be distinctive in this area are acidity, humidity and nutrient status, electrical conductivity and redox potential. The relationship among organisms and roots can be helpful (water take-up, soil stability, growth advancement, N_2 -fixation, biocontrol, antibiosis, beneficial interaction), detrimental (disease, phytotoxicity) or unbiased (nutrient flux, free catalyst discharge, connection, allelopathy, rivalry)—these impacts frequently rely on soil conditions and in this manner should be considered as factors (Chaparro et al. [2012\)](#page-18-3). Relationships that are helpful to farming integrate mycorrhizae, legume nodulation and formation of antimicrobial complexes that restrain the development of pathogens. Clearly, balancing the effect of the beneficial elements of the rhizosphere will assist in manipulation of the rhizosphere.

3.3 Rhizobia

Rhizobia are free-living facultative saprophytic organism dwelling in the root knobs of the most legumes. They exist in the rhizoplane, rhizosphere as well as in the soil apart from the rhizosphere in small quantity. Rhizobia are more prevalent in the rhizosphere of the legumes as a result of the plant root exudate. Diversity of the host legumes is significantly found in connection with various gene pools of indigenous rhizobia. The formation of nodules by rhizobia in relation to legumes is highly specific. These rhizobia are described by their capacity to deliver hypertrophies (swellings) or knobs on the stems or roots of most however not all legumes (Mus et al. [2016\)](#page-20-1). Not all the legumes form nodules and those that form only do so with specific rhizobia. On the other hand, some of the rhizobia are promiscuous, having the capability of nodulating more than one legumes (Ampomah et al. [2008\)](#page-17-6). These rhizobia are unique among the soil microbes due to the N-fixing capability whenever in mutualistic relationship with compatible legumes. The physiological versatility of these rhizobia enables their adaptation to the complex and competitive soil

Fig. 3.1 Classes of rhizobia

environment. Rhizobia convert atmospheric dinitrogen (N_2) into absorbable ammonia for the improvement of plant growth and productivity. The process is ecofriendly and without any exogenous release to the soil.

The rhizobia nodulation ability and N-fixing ability with a wide range of legumes also enhance their persistence in the soil. The taxonomy of these rhizobia is in the state of flux (Shamseldin et al. [2017\)](#page-21-1). Presently, there are three groups as illustrated in Fig. [3.1](#page-4-0). The difficulty in the classification of rhizobia is due to the nodulation genes borne on the plasmid or found on the chromosomal symbiotic islands that move between the soil microorganisms, which weaken the infection based on taxonomic analysis (Shamseldin et al. [2017\)](#page-21-1).

There are two major genera of rhizobia, which include the *Rhizobium* species (spp.) that are associated with legumes and the *Bradyrhizobium* species which are in the other hand associated with soybean and cowpea. When grown on a special growth medium called yeast-mannitol agar (YMA), *Rhizobium* spp. produce an acid growth reaction, while the *Bradyrhizobium* spp. produce alkaline reaction. When rhizobia live on organic material in the soil, without legume partner, they are called saprophytes. Many factors like environmental and soil conditions (soil moisture, pH and temperature), cropping history and vegetation affect the number of rhizobia in the soil. Rhizobia bacteria require the availability of molybdenum (Mo), a soil element for effective nitrogen fixation. Although Mo is abundantly present in soils, its availability is greatly influenced by soil pH and considered most adequate at pH values of between 6.5 and 7.0.

Rhizobia are reported to influence crop growth, yield, and nutrient uptake by different mechanisms (Dudeja and Giri [2014](#page-18-4)). They fix N, help in promoting free-living N-fixing bacteria, increase the supply of other nutrients such as phosphorus (P) and iron (Fe), produce plant hormones, enhance other beneficial bacteria or fungi, control bacterial and fungal diseases and help in controlling insect pests (Dudeja and Giri [2014](#page-18-4)). This symbiosis can therefore help reduce the requirements for the addition of nitrogenous fertilizer during the growth of leguminous crops. Inoculation with rhizobia induces the proliferation of plant growth-promoting microorganisms (PGPMs) like *Bacillus*, *Rahnella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Mesorhizobium*, *Streptomyces*, *Sinorhizobium* and *Azospirillum*, among others. Inoculation with *rhizobia* also causes a perturbation of the microbial community. Legumes include some of the most important commercial crops under cultivation, such as soybean (*Glycine max*), pea (*Pisum sativum*) and common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.).

3.4 Legume-Nodulating Bacteria

Apart from rhizobia, there are other bacteria that possess ability to nodulate leguminous plants. Presently, these belong to three main groups belonging to *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Betaproteobacteria* and *Gammaproteobacteria* (Shamseldin et al. [2017](#page-21-1)). The family *Rhizobiaceae*, *Phyllobacteriaceae*, *Bradyrhizobiaceae*, *Hyphomicrobiaceae* and *Brucellaceae* belong to the largest class (*Alphaproteobacteria*), while the *Betaproteobacteria* formed the second of the only one family, the *Burkholderiales*, which contains only two genera (Fig. [3.2\)](#page-5-0). There are 98 legume-nodulating bacteria that are attributed to 18 main genera with 238

Fig. 3.2 Legume nodulating bacteria

species, out of which *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* are the two largest genera (Berrada and Fikri-Benbrahim [2014\)](#page-17-7).

3.5 Interactions of Endophytic Microbes with Legumes

Endophytic microbes are microbes that colonize the inside of plant tissues (legume and nonlegume) without causing any harm to the host plant. Endophytes have been reported in about 300, 000 species of plants (Dudeja and Giri [2014](#page-18-4)) and have potential use in sustainable agriculture. Endophytic microbes play major role in agricultural environment and produce many natural products that could be used in agriculture, industry and medicine (Ruby and Raghunath [2011\)](#page-20-2). Endophytic microbes may be more important than rhizospheric microbes in promoting plant growth because they escape competition with rhizosphere microorganisms and achieve close contact with the plant tissues. Colonization of host plant by endophytes depends on seasonal changes, soil hydric stress and plant defence response among others (Dudeja and Giri [2014\)](#page-18-4). About 200 genera of culturable and nonculturable bacteria belonging to 16 phyla have been reported as endophytes that include *Acidobacteria*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Nitrospira*, *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Deinococcus-Thermus*, *Fusobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, *Spirochaetes*, *Planctomycetes*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Chlorobi*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Aquificae* and *Chloroflexi* (Sessitsch et al. [2012\)](#page-21-2). However, the genera *Gluconobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Serratia*, *Bacillus*, *Enterobacter* and *Burkholderia* belong to the phylum *Proteobacteria*, while *Actinobacteria* and *Firmicutes* are the most predominant and studied endophytes (Babalola [2010;](#page-17-1) Kumar et al. [2013;](#page-19-5) Ryan et al. [2008;](#page-20-3) Taghavi et al. [2009](#page-21-3); Taghavi et al. [2010;](#page-22-3) Weilharter et al. [2011\)](#page-22-4). The nodules in the roots of legumes particularly pea (*Pisum sativum*), lucerne (*Medicago sativa*) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) and nonlegumes such as oat (*Avena sativa*), rice (*Oryza sativa*), sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*), maize (*Zea may*), carrot (*Daucus carota* L.), banana, coffee, citrus plant and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) contain a verse load of endophytic bacteria (Dudeja and Giri [2014](#page-18-4); Saini et al. [2015](#page-20-4)). Dudeja et al. ([2012\)](#page-18-5) and Dudeja and Giri [\(2014](#page-18-4)) reported the isolation of endophytic bacteria from the nodules and roots of many legumes, pea, cowpea, alfalfa, chickpea, *Conzattia*, mung bean, fenugreek, *Acacia*, *Kennedia*, soybean, *Psoralea*, *Mimosa*, *Oxytropis*, clover, *Scorpiurus*, *Vicia*, *Sesbania*, *Lotus*, *Hedysarum*, *Ornithopus*, bean, *Onobrychis*, *L. tetragonolobus*, *Leucaena*, peanut, *Argyrolobium*, *Melilotus* and *Medicago*. Similarly, endophytic bacteria were isolated from the nodules of *Sophora alopecuroides* (Zhao et al. [2013\)](#page-22-5). The array of endophytic bacteria that have been reportedly isolated from legume tissues include *Inquilinus*, *Rhodopseudomonas*, *Paracoccus*, *Ornithinicoccus*, *Serratia*, *Pedobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Starkeya*, *Staphylococcus*, nose *Mycobacterium*, *Brevibacillus*, *Lysinibacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Nocardia*, *Sphingomonas*, *Dyella*, *Phyllobacterium*, *Aerococcus*, *Ochrobactrum*, *Agromyces*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Methylobacterium*, *Actinobacteria*, *Paenibacillus* and *Streptomyces* among others. A single host plant may comprise several genera and

species of endophytes (Dudeja and Giri [2014\)](#page-18-4). Wang et al. ([2013\)](#page-22-6) and Palaniappan et al. [\(2010](#page-20-5)) isolated 72 and 39 endophytic bacteria from *Arachis hypogea* and *Lespedeza* sp., respectively.

Endophytes improve plant growth attributes with respect to increased biomass, germination rates, hydraulic activity, nitrogen content, root and shoot length, chlorophyll content, yield tolerance to biotic (pest and pathogen) and abiotic (such as salinity, acidity, flood and drought) stresses and protein content (Khan et al. [2017;](#page-19-6) Sánchez-Romera et al. [2016\)](#page-21-4). The impact of endophytes on the host plant can be through direct biochemical activities like BNF, phosphate solubilization, phytohormone production and inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis in response to abiotic and biotic stresses (induce systemic tolerance) or indirect such as inducing resistance to pathogen (Bhattacharyya and Jha [2012\)](#page-17-8). More also, endophytic bacteria produce secondary metabolites that affect the plant directly or indirectly. These metabolites include ammonia, organic acids and enzymes like pectinases and celluloses (Dudeja and Giri [2014\)](#page-18-4). Listed in Table [3.1](#page-8-0) are examples of some legume microbial inoculants and their beneficial properties.

3.6 Legume Microbial Inoculants for Organic Farming

Certain microbial inoculants such as nitrogen-fixing rhizobia and mycorrhizae can improve soil nutrients and reduce disease infestation on legume plant under organic farming (Bhardwaj et al. [2014](#page-17-9)). When using purchased commercial inoculant in organic production of grains legume, forage legume or cover crops, it is important to avoid inoculants produced from genetically modified organisms, recombinant DNA technology, sewage sludge or ionizing radiation (Mapelli et al. [2012\)](#page-19-7). The reason for using microbial inoculants in organic farming is as a result of the fact that most rhizobia species are organotrophs, that is, they get the derived energy from organic matter (Mendes et al. [2013](#page-19-8)). There is insufficient accessibility and availability of degradable organic compounds in many soils, while carbon accessibility is the most widely known constraining component for the growth of soil bacteria (Rousk and Bååth [2007](#page-20-6)). The nutritive cations of the soil minerals are released through the activities of these bacteria for their own sustenance as well as for plant nourishment. The mineral weathering microorganisms have been identified from different environments, especially from rhizosphere and ectomycorrhizosphere (Collignon et al. [2011\)](#page-18-6), and often can add to the growth of plant in nutrient-poor soils (Leveau et al. [2010](#page-19-9); Mapelli et al. [2012](#page-19-7)).

3.7 Legume Microbial Inoculants for Nitrogen Fixation

In soils with low mineral N content, nitrogen-fixing microorganisms provide ammonium into the legume biomass that allows for faster growth. The symbiosis is initiated through the legume root infection by the rhizobia and the formation of root nodules where biological N fixation occurs through the action of a bacterial enzyme,

	Legume crop Microbial inoculants	Beneficial properties	References
Soybean	Rhizobium sp., Bradyrhizobium sp., Trichoderma harzianum	Production of growth hormones and biocontrol	N'cho et al. (2015)
Pea	Rahnella sp.	P-solubilization, production of 1-aminocyclopropane-1- carboxylate (Bilia et al. 2014) deaminase	Vyas et al. (2010)
Cowpea	Bradyrhizobium spp.	Production of growth- stimulating hormones	Morel et al. (2012)
Chickpea	Arbuscular Mycorrhiza	Protective response under restrictive condition	Farzaneh et al. (2009)
Cowpea	Scutellospora reticulata and Glomus pansihalos	Bio-remediation	Alori and Fawole (2012)
Pea	Pseudomonas sp.	Production of growth- stimulating hormones	Germaine et al. (2009)
Faba bean	Rhizobium leguminosarum by, viciae and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi	Protective response under restrictive environmental condition	Abd-Alla et al. (2014)
Chickpea	Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, and Mesorhizobium	Production of growth	Saini et al. (2015)
Pigeon pea	Bacillus sp. and Rhizobium spp.	Production of growth	Rajendran et al. (2008)
Chickpea	Pseudomonas sp. and Mesorhizobium sp.	Production of indole acetic acid (IAA)	Malik and Sindhu (2011)
Pea, Lentin	Bacillus thuringeinsis-KR1 and Rhizobium leguminosarum	Growth and nodulation	Mishra et al. (2009)
Pigeon pea	Rhizobacteria and Rhizobium	Nodulation and nitrogen fixation	Tilak et al. (2006)
Common bean	Rhizobium and Pseudomonas	Growth and yield	Sáncheza et al. (2014)
Lentil	Rhizobium leguminosarum	Growth nodulation and yield	Muhammad et al. (2012)
Common bean	Rhizobium-Azospirillum	N fixation	Remans et al. (2008)

Table 3.1 Examples of some legume microbial inoculants and their beneficiary properties

called 'nitrogenase' (Masson-Boivin et al. [2009\)](#page-19-10). Inoculating the legume plant with efficient nitrogen-fixing microorganisms improves its potential to biologically fix atmospheric N. These kinds of microbial inoculants, also known as soil inoculants, are agricultural amendments that use microorganisms known as rhizobia to promote legume growth. These bacteria form symbiotic relationships with the target leguminous plant, and both parts benefit. Inoculation of legumes with microbial inoculants results in a tremendous increase in number and mass of nodules, nitrogenase activity, leghaemoglobin content of nodule and dry mass of root and shoot (Abd-Alla et al. [2014](#page-16-3)). Nitrogen fixation is very efficient in satisfying the high N requirements of legumes because the conversion of gaseous N_2 to NH_3 takes place inside the plant. All of the fixed N is readily available and in the form required for combination with carbohydrates to produce the amino acids used for the manufacture of protein. Furthermore, since N fixation in the root nodules is directly dependent on the translocation of carbohydrates from the leaves, the rate of fixation is fully 'synchronized' with the rate of plant growth (Zhang et al. [2014\)](#page-22-9).

In addition, to reinstate N availability of poor soil, it depends solely on the number of successful strains of the *Rhizobium* close to the rhizosphere to accelerate N fixation. Every legume requires a particular type of *Rhizobium* for effective nodulations. Although numerous legumes might be modulated by diverse strains of rhizobia, growth and N availability could only be possible by specific strains rhizobia for specific legumes (Mahdi et al. [2010\)](#page-19-13). It is consequently critical to coordinate microsymbionts wisely for most extreme N fixation. A strain of rhizobia that nodulates and fixes a lot of N in one legume variety may likewise do in relationship to certain other leguminous species. This must, however, be confirmed by testing. Leguminous plants that show this propensity to react comparatively to specific strains of rhizobia are considered 'effectiveness' classes. Hence the amount of N fixed varies according to the legume species and variety. More also, alkalinity significantly inhibited nodulation and N fixation in legumes inoculated with microbial inoculant (Abd-Alla et al. [2014](#page-16-3)). The potential for N fixation is directly related to rhizobia survival, the extent of effective nodulation and plant growth factors. Any adverse soil condition or environmental stress that affects plant growth is likely to slow down the N fixation process. Nitrogen fixation is also affected by the level of available N in the soil. High soil N levels reduce N fixation because legumes will preferentially use most of the available soil N before they begin to fix atmospheric N. Nodule formation will be progressively inhibited as soil nitrate-N levels rise above about 39.2 kg ha⁻¹, and little fixation will occur with soil nitrate-N levels above 61.6 kg ha⁻¹ (Abd-Alla et al. [2014\)](#page-16-3).

3.8 Legume Microbial Inoculants for Soil Fertility and Increased Crop Productivity

The sustainable productivity of an agroecosystem largely depends on the ability of the soil to supply essential nutrients to the growing plants (Abd-Alla et al. [2014\)](#page-16-3). Recently, there is an emerging demand to decrease the dependence on chemical fertilizers that has become a major input in crop production worldwide and ultimately increase the sustainability of agriculture. Today, only 30–50% of applied N fertilizer and 10–45% of P fertilizer are taken up by crops (Singh et al. [2016](#page-21-6)). Hence there is a need to explore alternative sources which are environment friendly and cost effective. Microbial inoculant, an alternative source of N and P fertilizer, in legume symbiosis, is a promising technology (Youseif et al. [2017\)](#page-22-10). The symbiosis between legumes and rhizobia is one of the important ecological mutualisms. Legumes vary in their potential to improve soil fertility. In this wises legumes could be ranked as follows: green manure crops > forage crops > low harvest index grain legumes > high harvest index grain legumes (Abd-Alla et al. [2014](#page-16-3)). Hence, legumes microbial inoculation has become a significant practice in the development of sustainable soil management system.

Members from the rhizosphere microbiome can altogether impact on the nutrient status of plants. Commonly known are the nitrogen-fixing rhizobia and the mycorrhizal fungi that enhance P take-up (Miransari [2011](#page-19-14)). The significance of symbionts such as mycorrhizal fungi for the translocation of nutrients and minerals from soil to the plant (Adeleke et al. [2012](#page-16-4); Gianinazzi et al. [2010;](#page-18-9) Wallander et al. [2013](#page-22-11)), the production of stable soil aggregates and the destruction of soil borne plant pathogens (Pozo and Azcón-Aguilar [2007](#page-20-12)) is well reported (Salvioli and Bonfante [2013\)](#page-21-7). Apart from *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium*, other N-fixing living bacteria like *Cyanobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria,* and *Bacilli* have been recognized in the rhizosphere (Gaby and Buckley [2011](#page-18-10)). For instance, exploration of the cowpea rhizosphere showed a high genetic difference of mutualistic rhizobia species in western Amazon (Guimarães et al. [2012](#page-18-11)). Results of glasshouse trials and 16S rRNA gene sequencing revealed that *Bradyrhizobium*, *Rhizobium*, *Burkholderia* and *Achromobacter* species are highly effective for nodulation of cowpea (Guimarães et al. [2012\)](#page-18-11). Notwithstanding the broad research on N fixation by rhizobia, the exchange of nitrogen is related to the amounts of comparable legume. The legumespecific beneficial interaction to other agriculturally critical plant species has not been revealed. Geurts et al. [\(2012](#page-18-12)) revealed that understanding the central contrast between the apparently comparative cell reactions incited by *Rhizobium* and mycorrhizal organisms will be important to accomplish this 'old dream'. Rhizosphere microorganisms can likewise encourage the take-up of particular trace elements, like iron which is plentiful in soil under acidic to basic conditions just like Cu, Mn and Zn. and exists fundamentally in the insoluble ferric oxide form that is not accessible for the growth of microorganism. Owing to the shortage of accessible iron in numerous microbial environments and higher concentration of toxic free iron, bacteria utilize diverse mechanisms in order to manage the intracellular iron concentration through the production of siderophores (Hider and Kong [2010](#page-18-13)). On the host side, plants react to iron limitation by either expanding the dissolvability of inorganic iron in the rhizosphere or by discharging phytosiderophores that are in this manner transported once more into the root tissue by a particular take-up system (Walker and Connolly [2008\)](#page-22-12).

3.9 Legume Microbial Inoculants for Soil Health Improvement

A healthy soil is one that adequately performs its functions, which are important to humans, such as providing a medium for plant growth biological activity, regulating the partitioning of water flow and storage in the environment and serving as an environmental buffer in the formation and destruction of environmentally hazardous compounds. The ability of legumes and associated microbes to degrade pollutants permits plants to grow as natural vegetation at contaminated sites. Legume

microbial inoculants degrade pollutant compounds, aid rhizoremediation, solubilize P, fix atmospheric N and secrete siderophores (Aziz et al. [2016\)](#page-17-12). One of the ways to promote soil health is to inoculate legumes with rhizobial bacteria that form symbiotic relationship with the host plant. Seeds could also be inoculated with treatments comprising of beneficial microorganisms that protect seedlings from soil borne diseases (Trabelsi and Mhamdi [2013](#page-22-13)).

The microbial inoculants in the rhizosphere give the forefront resistance to plant roots against assault by soil borne pathogens. Different individuals from the rhizosphere microbiome can alienate soil borne pathogens before and during primary infection and secondary spread on and in root tissue. The major means of wiping off plant pathogen by the rhizosphere microorganisms are antibiosis (Raaijmakers and Mazzola [2012\)](#page-20-13), parasitism and rivalry for trace element, nutrients and microsites (Druzhinina et al. [2011\)](#page-18-14), impedance with majority detecting influencing harmfulness and induced systemic resistance (Berendsen et al. [2012;](#page-17-13) Pieterse et al. [2012\)](#page-20-14). Most, if not all, rhizobacteria produce metabolites that restrain the growth of contending microorganisms. Likewise, rhizosphere fungi are productive makers of antitoxin metabolites (Brakhage and Schroeckh [2011\)](#page-18-15). Among the metabolites produced by the rhizosphere microorganisms are volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that balance up the growth of plant as well as control the dialogues among microbes and plants (Bailly and Weisskopf [2012;](#page-17-14) Effmert et al. [2012\)](#page-18-16). In spite of the fact that VOCs are smaller than the aggregate number of metabolites released by fungi and bacteria, they are unique in the establishment of crosstalk with the rhizosphere and in soil biological systems. VOCs are little particles (<300 Da) with high vapour weights ready to diffuse through the water-and gas-filled pores in soil (Insam and Seewald [2010](#page-19-15)). Different bacterial species including *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, *Serratia plymuthica*, *Pseudomonas trivialis*, *P. fluorescens*, *B. subtilis* and *Burkholderia cepacia* release VOCs that hinder mycelial development of parasitic plant pathogens (Effmert et al. [2012](#page-18-16)). These VOCs are controlled by the root exudates. Late work demonstrated that the range of volatiles discharged by rhizobacteria can be impacted by the accessible pool of root exudates. For instance, volatiles formed in soil corrected with simulated root exudates without amino acids had solid antibacterial impacts yet mellow antifungal impacts, though volatiles delivered from root exudates supplemented with amino acids had solid antifungal impacts. At last, VOCs can likewise induce systemic resistance in plants and advance plant development (Bailly and Weisskopf [2012\)](#page-17-14). Members from the rhizosphere microbiome can likewise influence the plant resistant system (Berendsen et al. [2012;](#page-17-13) Pieterse et al. [2012](#page-20-14)). The systemic resistance reaction prompted in plants by valuable rhizobacteria is by and large, managed by the phytohormones jasmonic corrosive (JA) and ethylene (ET) (Zamioudis and Pieterse [2012\)](#page-22-14). Nonetheless, some bacterial strains do not instigate systemic resistance by means of the JA/ET pathway however through the salicylic corrosive (SA) pathway (Pieterse et al. [2012](#page-20-14)).

3.10 Legume Microbial Inoculants for Soil Quality Enhancement/Maintenance

A range of soil factors are known to build nutrient accessibility and plant production. The most significant might be the entities including the soil microbiome of the rhizosphere, which is the soil encompassing the underlying root of plants where complex relations transpire between the roots, soil, and microorganisms. Root exudates serve as substrates and signalling molecules for microbes making an unpredictable and joined relationship among plants and the microbiome. The larger group of soil microorganisms mainly the endophytes, symbionts, pathogens and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria have greater impact on the soil microbiome. Each microbe teams up with the general soil microbiome to impact on plant well-being and crop efficiency. Carvalhais et al. [\(2013](#page-18-17)) and Panke-Buisse et al. [\(2015](#page-20-15)) revealed in their extensive studies that plants can shape the soil microbiome through the root exudates discharge. The molecular communication changes according to the plant improvement level, closeness to neighbouring species, management methods, and many other factors (Chaparro et al. [2012](#page-18-3)).

3.11 African Legume Crops

Fabaceae or Leguminosae belong to legume commonly referred to as Fabaceae, which is one of the biggest and most financially key plant families. Legumes are the third-biggest group of angiosperms, comprising ca. 730 genera and ca. 19,400 species (Velázquez et al. [2010\)](#page-22-15). In contrast with Asteraceae (23,000 spp.), Orchidaceae (22,000 spp.), and other substantial plant families, Fabaceae are target group of worldwide plant diversity for various reasons. Fabaceae incorporates numerous valuable plants, for example, crops, legumes, timber, ornamentals and therapeutic plants (Saslis-Lagoudakis et al. [2011](#page-21-8)). Habitat difference of Fabaceae is amazingly high; legumes arise from the tropics to cold zones, from the seashore to high-altitude habitats and in rain timberlands, mangroves, peat-overwhelm woodlands, occasional backwoods, savannahs and deserts.

Furthermore, Fabaceae demonstrate high differing qualities in three fundamental tropical vegetation sorts including the tropical rain timberlands, dry backwoods and woody savannahs (Särkinen et al. [2011](#page-21-10); Simon et al. 2011). However, the other families mentioned above have similar diversity, if by any means, in only one of these vegetation categories. Plants of Fabaceae also harbour numerous explicit herbivorous creepy crawlies and sustain specific food webs. Many legume species are in mutual relationship with knob-shaping microscopic organisms with N fixation capacity and all things considered bolster imperative environment capacities (Sprent et al. [2009](#page-21-11)). There is a significant collection of confirmation from morphological and subatomic phylogenetic reviews to bolster the Fabaceae as a monophyletic family (Bruneau et al. [2008\)](#page-18-18). It customarily has been partitioned into three subfamilies Caesalpinioideae, Mimosoideae and Papilionoideae, on the premise of morphological contrasts, especially in botanical characters (Peix et al. [2015](#page-20-16)).

On the premise of molecular phylogenetic reviews, Mimosoideae and Papilionoideae have both been settled as monophyletic, settled inside a paraphyletic Caesalpinioideae. The paraphyletic subfamily Caesalpinioideae involves a various array of 'caesalpinioid' legumes that for the most part separated right on time in the historical backdrop of the family and need distinguishing floral attributes used to gathering genera into the other two families. The caesalpinioid tribe Cercideae is proposed to be one of the most primitive separating ancestries in the family (Bruneau et al. [2008\)](#page-18-18). A clade including numerous other genera of Caesalpinioideae is sister to the subfamily Mimosoideae, and a clade involving these two gatherings is sister to the subfamily Papilionoideae. In the subfamily Papilionoideae, a few noteworthy gatherings have been recognized in light of molecular phylogenies (Legume Phylogeny Working Group [2013\)](#page-19-16). The dalbergioid clade is a vast gathering of 45 genera and ca. 1270 species that incorporates the shelled nut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). The genistoid clade comprises the genus *Lupinus* L. and additionally other various genera. The millettioid group involves the unequivocally sustained millettioid and phaseoloid clades including numerous vital crop species, for example, the cultivated soybean (*Glycine max*) and common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Hologalegina (a casual name) is the leading significant clades of Papilionoideae, divided into two main clades, namely, the robinioids (*Robinia L*. spp., e.g. dark grasshopper) and *Sesbania scop*. spp. The division is of importance due to stem-nodulation in a few species and the repeat-loss clade that is set apart by the loss of one duplicate of the vast (roughly 25 kb) inverted repeat normally found in the chloroplast genome of angiosperms. The herbaceous genera of Papilionoideae subfamily include natural plants, for example, *Pisum* L. (pea), *Vicia* L. (vetch, broad bean), *Cicer arietinum* L. (chickpea), *Medicago* L. (hay) and *Trifolium* L. (clovers). The biggest papilionoid subgroup in number of genera is the phaseoloid/millettioid assemble, which, as Hologalegina, incorporates various trained taxa, for example, *Glycine* L. (soybean), *Phaseolus* L. (basic bean), *Vigna savi* (cowpea, mung bean), *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp. (pigeon pea) and *Psophocarpus* Neck. ex DC. (Winged bean). Connections in the gathering are perplexing and incorporate components of a few tribes. For instance, the nearest relatives of glycine, the soybean family, still stay obscure with a few hopefuls proposed by different atomic reviews including the pantropical variety *Teramnus* P. Browne, *Amphicarpaea*, the tribe *Psoraleeae* (Stefanović et al. [2009](#page-21-12)) or a mix thereof (Legume Phylogeny Working Group [2013\)](#page-19-16).

Could the high $CO₂$ levels imply that N would get to be distinctly constraining for plant development, accordingly supporting advancement of N fixation? Positively, this period denoted the starting point of two main group of nodulating legumes, the genistoids and dalbergioids, and in addition group of caesalpinioids that comprises nodulating genera. In the event that nodulated legumes advanced under states of high $CO₂$, then it may be normal that they would be supported by current ascents in climatic groupings of this gas. Legumes abundance has main impacts on the rate of carbon and nitrogen in biological communities. Legume crops that are indigenous to Africa range from large rain forest tree to small annual herb (Sprent et al. [2009](#page-21-11)). Those genera whose major centre of diversity is Africa will be discuss in turn.

3.11.1 *Vigna*

Vigna is a genus that belongs to the popular tribe called Phaseoleae, and it is made of about 100 species some of which include *V. radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek, (also known as mung bean), *V. mungo* Hepper, *V. heterophylla*, *Vigna marina* (Burm.) Merr., *V. luteola*, *V. subterranean*, *V. vexillata* and *V. unguiculata.* Some of the species are annual, while some other ones are perennial. They are all herbaceous with some climbing (Sprent et al. [2009](#page-21-11)). *Vigna* are valued for their tuber or seeds. They are used for human food, medicine, soil improvement and for animal feed. *V. subterranean* for instance is used for breeding salinity tolerance into other crops. *Vigna* are reported to nodulate freely, using mainly the slow-growing bradyrhizobia.

The most prevalent farming system in Africa is the small-scale characterized by mixed crop-livestock farming. In this system, legumes are incorporated into both the crop and the livestock component. Through the process of BNF, legumes have the ability to increase soil fertility and protein levels in herbage. Legumes can form tripartite symbiotic relationship with nodule-inducing rhizobia and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. The rhizobial symbiont is responsible for atmospheric nitrogen fixation, while the association with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi improves the ability of the plant to take up P and other nutrients (Marcel et al. [2008](#page-19-17)).

3.11.2 Cowpea

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) is one of various species of the popularly grown genus *Vigna*. Cowpea is one of the most important food and animal feed crop commonly cultivated in the semiarid tropics covering Africa, Europe, Asia and the United States. It originates from Africa and is one of the most productive heat adapted legume used agronomically. Grain ranges from 392 to 3024 kg/ha−¹ . Use metric unit and report in kg/ha or t ha⁻¹ and provide reference to support the yield statistics.

Cowpea is valued as a nutritional supplement to cereals because of complementary protein types. It is cultivated by multiple millions of smallholders in Africa. In fact, it is estimated that 200 million children, women and men live off the plant consuming the seeds daily whenever available (National Research Council [2006\)](#page-20-17). Widely appreciated by the poor, cowpea seed is rich in protein, oil and digestible carbohydrate (Adeyemi et al. [2012;](#page-16-5) El-Jasser [2011;](#page-18-19) Sebetha et al. [2010](#page-21-13)).

3.11.3 Soybean

Soybean (*Glycine* max) is an annual summer legume used as human food, livestock feed and for several industrial purposes (Ali [2010](#page-17-15)). Soybean is cultivated majorly for its oil extraction (Morel et al. [2012\)](#page-20-8).

3.12 Northern Africa

The major food legume in North Africa is faba bean followed by chickpea. Others include groundnuts/peanuts, lentil soybean and pea.

3.13 West Africa

Nitrogen-fixing plants have contributed to the improvement of soil fertility in West Africa. Herbaceous and woody legumes such as X, Y and Y (examples) commonly contribute 40–70 kg N ha−¹ , which represents about 30% of the total N applied as residues (Sanginga [2003\)](#page-21-14). Soybean was first cultivated in Africa in the early twentieth century and was introduced to Nigeria in 1904. Soybeans are being used to develop sustainable cropping systems in the moist savannah. The N_2 fixed by soybeans and their residual N benefits to subsequent cereal crops in the savannah zone of Southern Guinea have been estimated to vary between 38 and 126 kg N ha−¹ (Bala [2011](#page-17-16)).

Planted forage legumes were introduced into West Africa in 1950. In the course of intensifying mixed crop-livestock systems, the dual-purpose varieties of annual (food-feed) legumes (mainly cowpea and groundnut) have gained popularity, especially in areas where farmers have good market access and pressure on land is high (Blummel et al. [2016\)](#page-17-17).

Nitrogen depletion from West African soils poses serious threats to food production. There is however the need to increase food production to meet the basic food requirement of the teaming population. The use of inorganic N fertilizers though increases food production, is however not sustainable because of their indirect negative impact on soil in the form of soil degradation in the long run. Degradation in the long run is due to 'inaccurate use' such as 'application of excessive amount and the use of the wrong fertilizer type', which are collectively described as 'fertilizer abuse'. The health harzard fertilizer abuse pose to man and animal through underground water pollution is a concern (Alori et al. [2017](#page-17-18))

The use of imported microbial inoculants was initiated in West Africa in the 1970s. At first, there was poor response to the inoculants, because of incompatibility in the new environment. Several studies on the use of these inoculants were conducted on soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) and cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) but rarely on Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc.) and groundnut or peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) that are naturally more adapted and promiscuous (Svubure et al. [2010](#page-21-15)). Despite the fact that inoculation activities was initiated in sub-Saharan Africa since the 1950s, and mainly used on soybean and forage legumes, the adoption of inoculation on a commercial scale has not been widely adopted, except in a few countries. Bala et al. ([2011\)](#page-17-19) reported the use of inoculation in most parts of East (Kenya, Uganda and Tanzania) and Southern Africa (Republic of South Africa and Zimbabwe) where their agricultural sector is dominated by commercial farms. Regular use of microbial inoculant by farmers in West Africa is still very rare. The use of microbial inoculant and inoculant technology in West Africa is limited to research farms (Bala [2011\)](#page-17-16) with scanty record at farmers' level due to the absence of or very limited large-scale soybean production and an intensive livestock industry (Bala et al. [2011](#page-17-19)).

3.14 Future Prospects and Recommendations

It is imperative for future research to identify how the exploration of legume microbial inoculants may be optimized. In addition, advances in molecular biology that will broaden knowledge base on the processes and functionality of the diverse microbiome within the rhizosphere are needed to promote widespread adoption of legume microbial inoculant in Africa agriculture will be a welcome idea. Isolation, characterization and selection of effective strains to develop local inoculant for each legume under diverse climatic and soil conditions will improve legume cropping systems in Africa.

3.15 Conclusion

The roots of legume and nonlegume plant harbour diverse microorganisms that are able to establish mutual relationship with plant root. Microbial inoculants comprising rhizobia and endophytes are potential resources that should be maximized to enhance the production of African legumes at minimal cost.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the National Research Foundation, South Africa and FSSNA for funds (grant numbers UID81192, UID104015, UID98460) that have supported research in their laboratories.

References

- Abaidoo R, Buahen S, Turner A, Dianda M (2013) Bridging the grain legume gap through agronomy. IITA R4D review. Issue 9. January, 2013. Available at; [http://r4dreview.org/2013/01/](http://r4dreview.org/2013/01/bridging-the-grain-legume-yield-gap-through-agronomy) [bridging-the-grain-legume-yield-gap-through-agronomy/.](http://r4dreview.org/2013/01/bridging-the-grain-legume-yield-gap-through-agronomy) Accessed on 24/9/2013.
- Abd-Alla MH, El-Enany A-WE, Nafady NA, Khalaf DM, Morsy FM (2014) Synergistic interaction of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *viciae* and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as a plant growth promoting biofertilizers for Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) in alkaline soil. Microbiol Res 169:49–58. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.07.007
- Adeleke R, Cloete T, Bertrand A, Khasa D (2012) Iron ore weathering potentials of ectomycorrhizal plants. Mycorrhiza 22:535–544. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-012-0431-5>
- Adeyemi S, Lewu F, Adebola P, Bradley G, Okoh A (2012) Protein content variation in cowpea genotypes (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) grown in the Eastern Cape province of South Africa as affected by mineralised goat manure. Afr J Agric Res 7:4943–4947. [https://doi.org/10.5897/](https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR11.1680) [AJAR11.1680](https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR11.1680)
- Ajilogba CF, Babalola OO (2013) Integrated management strategies for tomato Fusarium wilt. Biocontrol Sci 18:117–127.<https://doi.org/10.4265/bio.18.117>
- Ajilogba CF, Babalola OO, Ahmad F (2013) Antagonistic effects of *Bacillus* species in biocontrol of tomato Fusarium wilt. Stud Ethno-Med 7:205–216
- Ali N (2010) Soybean processing and utilization. In: Singh G (ed) The soybean: botany, production and uses. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 345–374
- Alori ET (2016) Phytoremediation using microbial communities II. In: Ansari AA et al (eds) Phytoremediation: management of environmental contaminants. Springer, Cham, pp 183–190
- Alori E., Fawole O. (2012) Phytoremediation of soils contaminated with aluminium and manganese by two arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. J Agric Sci 4:246–252. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jas. v4n8p246
- Alori E, Fawole O, Afolayan A (2012) Characterization of arbuscular mycorrhizal spores isolated from Southern Guinea savanna of Nigeria. J Agric Sci 4:13–19. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ jas.v4n7p13
- Alori ET, Dare MO, Babalola OO (2017) Microbial inoculants for soil quality and plant health. Sustain Agric Rev 22:281–307. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-48006-0_9
- Ampomah OY, Ofori-Ayeh E, Solheim B, Svenning MM (2008) Host range, symbiotic effectiveness and nodulation competitiveness of some indigenous cowpea bradyrhizobia isolates from the transitional savanna zone of Ghana. Afr J Biotechnol 7:988–996
- Aziz A, Ahiabor B, Opoku A, Abaidoo R (2016) Contributions of rhizobium inoculants and phosphorus fertilizer to biological nitrogen fixation, growth and grain yield of three soybean varieties on a fluvic luvisol. Am J Exp Agric 10:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.9734/AJEA/2016/20072>
- Babalola OO (2010) Beneficial bacteria of agricultural importance. Biotechnol Lett 32:1559– 1570.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-010-0347-0>
- Babalola OO, Glick BR (2012a) Indigenous African agriculture and plant associated microbes: current practice and future transgenic prospects. Sci Res Essays 7:2431–2439. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5897/SRE11.1714) [org/10.5897/SRE11.1714](https://doi.org/10.5897/SRE11.1714)
- Babalola OO, Glick BR (2012b) The use of microbial inoculants in African agriculture: current practice and future prospects. J Food Agric Environ 10:540–549
- Bailly A., Weisskopf L. (2012) The modulating effect of bacterial volatiles on plant growth: current knowledge and future challenges. Plant Signal Behav 7:79–85. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/ psb.7.1.18418
- Bala A. (2011) Emerging challenges in cross-border movement of inoculants in sub-Saharan Africa. N₂ Africa project (putting nitrogen fixation to work for smallholder farmers in Africa). Podcaster 8, August, 2011.
- Bala A, Karanja N, Murwira M, Lwimbi L, Abaidoo R, Giller K (2011) Production and use of Rhizobial inoculants in Africa, N2Africa
- Berendsen RL, Pieterse CM, Bakker PA (2012) The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. Trends Plant Sci 17:478–486. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.001
- Berg G (2009) Plant-microbe interactions promoting plant growth and health: perspectives for controlled use of microorganisms in agriculture. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 84:11–18. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-2092-7
- Berrada H, Fikri-Benbrahim K (2014) Taxonomy of the rhizobia: current perspectives. British Microbiol Res J 4:616–639
- Bhardwaj D, Ansari MW, Sahoo RK, Tuteja N (2014) Biofertilizers function as key player in sustainable agriculture by improving soil fertility, plant tolerance and crop productivity. Microb Cell Factories 13:66–76. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-13-66
- Bhattacharyya PN, Jha DK (2012) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emer-gence in agriculture. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 28:1327–1350. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11274-011-0979-9
- Bilia AR, Guccione C, Isacchi B, Righeschi C, Firenzuoli F, Bergonzi MC (2014) Essential oils loaded in nanosystems: a developing strategy for a successful therapeutic approach. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2014:1–14. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/651593
- Blummel M, Wamatu J, Rischkowsky B, Moyo S (2016) Opportunities and limitations of multidimensional crop improvement in grain legumes to support increased productivity in mixed crop livestock systems, presented at the international conference on pulses for health, nutrition and sustainable agriculture in drylands, Marrakesh, Morocco, 18–20 April 2016, ILRI, Nairobi
- Brakhage AA, Schroeckh V (2011) Fungal secondary metabolites–strategies to activate silent gene clusters. Fungal Genet Biol 48:15–22. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2010.04.004
- Bruneau A, Mercure M, Lewis GP, Herendeen PS (2008) Phylogenetic patterns and diversification in the caesalpinioid legumes this paper is one of a selection of papers published in the special issue on systematics research. Botany 86:697–718. <https://doi.org/10.1139/B09-065.>
- Carvalhais LC, Dennis PG, Badri DV, Tyson GW, Vivanco JM, Schenk PM (2013) Activation of the jasmonic acid plant defence pathway alters the composition of rhizosphere bacterial communities. PLoS One 8:e56457
- Chaparro JM, Sheflin AM, Manter DK, Vivanco JM (2012) Manipulating the soil microbiome to increase soil health and plant fertility. Biol Fertil Soils 48:489–499. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-012-0691-4) [s00374-012-0691-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-012-0691-4)
- Collignon C, Uroz S, Turpault M, Frey-Klett P (2011) Seasons differently impact the structure of mineral weathering bacterial communities in beech and spruce stands. Soil Biol Biochem 43:2012–2022. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.05.008
- Druzhinina IS, Seidl-Seiboth V, Herrera-Estrella A, Horwitz BA, Kenerley CM, Monte E, Mukherjee PK, Zeilinger S, Grigoriev IV, Kubicek CP (2011) *Trichoderma*: the genomics of opportunistic success. Nat Rev Microbiol 9:749–759.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2637>
- Dudeja SS, Giri R (2014) Beneficial properties, colonization, establishment and molecular diversity of endophytic bacteria in legumes and non legumes. Afr J Microbiol Res 8:1562–1572. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR2013.6541>
- Dudeja SS, Giri R, Suneja-Madan P, Kothe E (2012) Interaction of endophytic microbes with legumes. J Basic Microbiol 52:248–260. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.201100063>
- Effmert U, Kalderás J, Warnke R, Piechulla B (2012) Volatile mediated interactions between bacteria and fungi in the soil. J Chem Ecol 38:665–703.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-012-0135-5>
- El-Jasser AS (2011) Chemical and biological properties of local cowpea seed protein grown in Gizan region. World Acad Sci Eng Technol 5:466–472
- Farzaneh M, Wichmann S, Vierheilig H, Kaul HP (2009) The effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza and nitrogen nutrition on growth of chickpea and barley. Pflanzenbauwissenschaften 13:15–22
- Gaby JC, Buckley DH (2011) A global census of nitrogenase diversity. Environ Microbiol 13:1790–1799.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02488.x>
- Germaine KJ., Keogh E, Ryan D, Dowling DN (2009) Bacterial endophyte- mediated naphthalene phytoprotection and phytoremediation. FEMS Microbiol Lett 296:226–234. doi:https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01637.x
- Geurts R, Lillo A, Bisseling T (2012) Exploiting an ancient signalling machinery to enjoy a nitrogen fixing symbiosis. Curr Opin Plant Biol 15:438–443. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. pbi.2012.04.004
- Gianinazzi S, Gollotte A, Binet M-N, van Tuinen D, Redecker D, Wipf D (2010) Agroecology: the key role of arbuscular mycorrhizas in ecosystem services. Mycorrhiza 20:519–530. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-010-0333-3) [org/10.1007/s00572-010-0333-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-010-0333-3)
- Glick B (2012) Plant growth-promoting bacteria: mechanisms and applications. Scientifica 2012:1–15. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.6064/2012/963401
- GRDC (2013) (Grains Research and Development Corporation), Australia. Rhizobial inoculants fact sheet. www.coretext.com.au [http://www.grdc.com.au/~/media/](http://www.grdc.com.au/~/media/B943F697AF9A406ABBA20E136FDB7DC4.ashx) [B943F697AF9A406ABBA20E136FDB7DC4.ashx](http://www.grdc.com.au/~/media/B943F697AF9A406ABBA20E136FDB7DC4.ashx)
- Guimarães AA, Jaramillo PMD, Nóbrega RSA, Florentino LA, Silva KB, de Souza Moreira FM (2012) Genetic and symbiotic diversity of nitrogen-fixing bacteria isolated from agricultural soils in the western Amazon by using cowpea as the trap plant. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:6726–6733.<https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01303-12>
- Hawkesford MJ (2011) An overview of nutrient use efficiency and strategies for crop improvement. In: Hawkesford MJ, Barraclough P (eds) The molecular and physiological basis of nutrient use efficiency in crops. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp 3–19
- Hider RC, Kong X (2010) Chemistry and biology of siderophores. Nat Prod Rep 27:637–657. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B906679A>
- Insam H, Seewald MS (2010) Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in soils. Biol Fertil Soils 46:199–213. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-010-0442-3>
- Khan AL, Waqas M, Asaf S, Kamran M, Shahzad R, Bilal S, Khan MA, Kang S-M, Kim Y-H, Yun B-W, Al-Rawahi A, Al-Harrasi A, Lee I-J (2017) Plant growth-promoting endophyte *Sphingomonas* sp. LK11 alleviates salinity stress in *Solanum pimpinellifolium*. Environ Exp Bot 133:58–69. doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2016.09.009
- Koki T, Takayoshi W (2013) Recent trends in microbial inoculants in agriculture. Microbes Environ 28:403–404. <https://doi.org/10.1264/jsme2.ME2804rh>
- Kumar V, Pathak DV, Dudeja SS, Saini R, Giri R, Narula S, Anand RC (2013) Legume nodules endophytes more diverse than endophytes from roots of legumes or non legumes in soils of India. J Microbiol Biotechnol Res 3:83–92
- Kutu F, Asiwe J (2010) Assessment of maize and dry bean productivity under different intercrop systems and fertilization regimes. Afr J Agric Res 5:1627–1631. [https://doi.org/10.5897/](https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR09.147.) [AJAR09.147.](https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR09.147.)
- Kutu FR, Diko ML (2011) Mineralogical considerations in soil fertility management on selected farmlands in Limpopo and northwest provinces, South Africa. In: Ekosse GIE et al (eds) An innovative perspective on the role of clays and clay minerals and Geophagia on economic development. Book of conference proceeding of the first international conference of clays and clay minerals in Africa and second international conference on Geophagia in Southern Africa, pp 124–130.<http://www.saweb.co.za/claymineralsafrica.co.za/html/ebook2.html>
- Laditi MA, Nwoke OC, Jemo M, Abaidoo RC, Ogunjobi AA (2012) Evaluation of microbial inoculants as biofertilizers for the improvement of growth and yield of soybean and maize crops in savanna soils. Afr J Agric Res 7:405–413. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR11.904>
- Legume Phylogeny Working Group (2013) Legume phylogeny and classification in the 21st century: progress, prospects and lessons for other species-rich clades. Taxon 62:217–248. doi:https://doi.org/10.12705/622.8
- Leveau JH, Uroz S, De Boer W (2010) The bacterial genus *Collimonas:* mycophagy, weathering and other adaptive solutions to life in oligotrophic soil environments. Environ Microbiol 12:281–292. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02010.x>
- Machido DA, Olufajo OO, Yakubu SE, Yusufu S (2011) Enhancing the contribution of the legumes to the N fertility of soils of the semi-arid zone of Nigeria. Afr J Biotechnol 10:1848–1853. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB10.338>
- Mahdi SS, Hassan G, Samoon S, Rather H, Dar SA, Zehra B (2010) Bio-fertilizers in organic agriculture. J Phytology 2:42–54
- Malik D, Sindhu S (2011) Production of indole acetic acid by *Pseudomonas* sp.: effect of coinoculation with *Mesorhizobium* sp. *Cicer* on nodulation and plant growth of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). Physiol Mol Biol Plants 17:25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-010-0041-7>
- Mapelli F., Marasco R., Balloi A., Rolli E., Cappitelli F., Daffonchio D., Borin S. (2012) Mineral– microbe interactions: biotechnological potential of bioweathering. J Biotechnol 157:473–481. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2011.11.013
- Marcel GA, Heijden VD, Bardgett RD, Straalen NMV (2008) The unseen majority: soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol Lett 11:296–310. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01139.x>
- Masson-Boivin C, Giraud E, Perret X, Batut J (2009) Establishing nitrogen-fixing symbiosis with legumes: how many rhizobium recipes? Trends Microbiol 17(10):458–466. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2009.07.004) [org/10.1016/j.tim.2009.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2009.07.004)
- Mendes R, Garbeva P, Raaijmakers JM (2013) The rhizosphere microbiome: significance of plant beneficial, plant pathogenic, and human pathogenic microorganisms. FEMS Microbiol Rev 37:634–663. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12028
- Miransari M (2011) Interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and soil bacteria. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 89:917–930.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-3004-6>
- Mishra P, Mishra S, Selvakumar G (2009) Coinoculation of *Bacillus thuringeinsis*-KR1 with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* enhances plant growth and nodulation of pea (*Pisum sativum*

L.) and lentin (*Lens culinaris* L.) World J Microbiol Biotechnol 25:753–761. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-009-9963-z) [org/10.1007/s11274-009-9963-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-009-9963-z)

- Morel MA, Braña V, Castro-Sowinski S (2012) Legume crops, importance and use of bacterial inoculation to increase production, crop plant. InTech, Europe
- Muhammad AI, Muhammad K, Muhammad SS, Maqshoof A, Nawaf S, Naeem A (2012) Integrated use of *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria and enriched compost for improving growth, nodulation and yield of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) Chilean J Agric Res 72:104–110
- Mus F, Crook MB, Garcia K, Garcia Costas A, Geddes BA, Kouri ED, Paramasivan P, Ryu M-H, Oldroyd GED, Poole PS, Udvardi MK, Voigt CA, Ané J-M, Peters JW (2016) Symbiotic nitrogen fixation and the challenges to its extension to nonlegumes. Appl Environ Microbiol 82:3698–3710.<https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01055-16>
- N'cho CO, Lesueur D, Yusuf AA (2015) Combined microbial inoculation as a promising approach to enhance promiscuous soybean nodulation and nitrogen content in Sudan Savanna. Int J Sustain Agric Res 2:86–97. [10.18488/journal.70/2015.2.3/70.3.86.97](https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.70/2015.2.3/70.3.86.97)
- National Research Council (2006) Lost crops of Africa. Volume II: Vegetables The National Academies Press, Washington, DC
- Palaniappan P, Chauhan PS, Saravanan VS, Anandham R, Sa T (2010) Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting endophytic bacteria isolates from root nodules of *Lespedeza* sp. Biol Fertil Soils 46:807–816.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-010-0485-5>
- Panke-Buisse K, Poole AC, Goodrich JK, Ley RE, Kao-Kniffin J (2015) Selection on soil microbiomes reveals reproducible impacts on plant function. ISME J 9:980–989
- Peix A., Ramírez-Bahena M.H., Velázquez E., Bedmar E.J. (2015) Bacterial associations with legumes. Crit Rev Plant Sci 34:17–42. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2014.897899
- Pieterse CM, Van der Does D, Zamioudis C, Leon-Reyes A, Van Wees SC (2012) Hormonal modulation of plant immunity. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 28:489–521. [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-092910-154055) [annurev-cellbio-092910-154055](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-092910-154055)
- Pozo MJ, Azcón-Aguilar C (2007) Unraveling mycorrhiza-induced resistance. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10:393–398. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2007.05.004
- Raaijmakers JM, Mazzola M (2012) Diversity and natural functions of antibiotics produced by beneficial and plant pathogenic bacteria. Annu Rev Phytopathol 50:403–424. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-081211-172908) [org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-081211-172908](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-081211-172908)
- Rajendran G., Sing F., Desai A.J., Archana G. (2008) Enhanced growth and nodulation of pigeon pea by coinoculation of *Bacillus* strains with *Rhizobium* spp. Bioresour Technol 99:4544–4550. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.06.057
- Remans R, Ramaekers L, Schelkens S, Hernandez G, Garcia A, Reyes J, Mendez N, Toscano V, Mulling M, Galvez L, Vanderleyden J (2008) Effect of *Rhizobium-Azospirillum* coinoculation on nitrogen fixation and yield of two contrasting *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. genotypes cultivated across different environments in Cuba. Plant Soil 312:25–37. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9606-4) [s11104-008-9606-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9606-4)
- Ronner E, Franke A, Vanlauwe B, Dianda M, Edeh E, Ukem B, Bala A, Van Heerwaarden J, Giller KE (2016) Understanding variability in soybean yield and response to P-fertilizer and rhizobium inoculants on farmers' fields in Northern Nigeria. Field Crop Res 186:133–145. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2015.10.023
- Rousk J., Bååth E. (2007) Fungal and bacterial growth in soil with plant materials of different C/N ratios. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 62:258–267. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00398.x
- Ruby J, Raghunath M (2011) A review: bacteria endophytes and their bioprospecting. J Pharm Research 4:795–799
- Ryan RP, Germaine K, Francis A, Ryan DJ (2008) Bacteria endophytes: recent developments and applications. FEMS Microbiol Lett 278:1–9. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00918.x
- Saini R, Kumar V, Dudeja SS, Pathak DV (2015) Beneficial effects of inoculation of endophytic bacterial isolates from roots and nodules in chickpea. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 4:207–221
- Salvioli A, Bonfante P (2013) Systems biology and "omics" tools: a cooperation for nextgeneration mycorrhizal studies. Plant Sci 203–204:107–114. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. plantsci.2013.01.001
- Sáncheza A.C., Gutiérrezc R.T., Santanab R.C., Urrutiab A.R., Fauvarta M., Michielsa J., Vanderleydena J. (2014) Effects of co-inoculation of native *Rhizobium* and *Pseudomonas* strains on growth parameters and yield of two contrasting *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. genotypes under Cuban soil conditions. Eur J Soil Biol 62:105–112. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. ejsobi.2014.03.004
- Sánchez-Romera B, Ruiz-Lozano JM, Zamarreño ÁM, García-Mina JM, Aroca R (2016) Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and methyl jasmonate avoid the inhibition of root hydraulic conductivity caused by drought. Mycorrhiza 26:111–122
- Sanginga N (2003) Role of biological nitrogen fixation in legume based cropping systems; a case study of West Africa farming systems. Plant Soil 252:25–39. [https://doi.org/10.102](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1024192604607) [3/a:1024192604607](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1024192604607)
- Särkinen TE, Marcelo-Peña JL, Yomona AD, Simon MF, Pennington RT, Hughes CE (2011) Underestimated endemic species diversity in the dry inter-Andean valley of the Río Marañón, Northern Peru: an example from *Mimosa* (Leguminosae, Mimosoideae). Taxon 60:139–150. doi:http://www.jstor.org/stable/41059828
- Saslis-Lagoudakis CH, Klitgaard BB, Forest F, Francis L, Savolainen V, Williamson EM, Hawkins JA (2011) The use of phylogeny to interpret cross-cultural patterns in plant use and guide medicinal plant discovery: an example from *Pterocarpus* (Leguminosae). PLoS One 6:e22275. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022275
- Sebetha E, Ayodele V, Kutu F, Mariga I (2010) Yields and protein content of two cowpea varieties grown under different production practices in Limpopo Province, South Africa. Afr J Biotechnol 9:628–634
- Sessitsch A, Hardoim P, Döring J, Weilharter A, Krause A, Woyke T, Mitter B, Hauberg-Lotte L, Friedrich F, Rahalkar M (2012) Functional characteristics of an endophyte community colonizing rice roots as revealed by metagenomic analysis. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 25:28–36
- Shamseldin A, Abdelkhalek A, Sadowsky MJ (2017) Recent changes to the classification of symbiotic, nitrogen-fixing, legume-associating bacteria: a review. Symbiosis 71:91–109. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-016-0462-3) doi.org/10.1007/s13199-016-0462-3
- Simon MF, Grether R, de Queiroz LP, Särkinen TE, Dutra VF, Hughes CE (2011) The evolutionary history of *Mimosa* (Leguminosae): toward a phylogeny of the sensitive plants. Am J Bot 98:1201–1221. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1415-475738420150053
- Singh DP, Singh HB, Prabha R (2016) Microbial inoculants in sustainable agricultural productivity: vol. 1: research perspectives. Springer, New Delhi
- Souza RD, Ambrosini A, Passaglia LMP (2015) Plant growth-promoting bacteria as inoculants in agricultural soils. Genet Mol Biol 38:401–419. [https://doi.org/10.1590/](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-475738420150053) [S1415-475738420150053](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-475738420150053)
- Sprent J.I., Odee D.W., Dakora F.S. (2009) African legumes: a vital but under-utilized resource. J Exp Bot 61:1257–1265. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp342
- Stefanović S, Pfeil BE, Palmer JD, Doyle JJ (2009) Relationships among phaseoloid legumes based on sequences from eight chloroplast regions. Syst Bot 34:115–128. doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1600/036364409787602221
- Svubure O, Mpepereki S, Makonese F (2010) Sustainability of maize-based cropping systems in rural areas of Zimbabwe: an assessment of the residual soil fertility effects of grain legumes on maize (*Zea mays* [L.]) under field conditions. Int J Eng Sci Technol 2:141–148. doi:http:// dx.doi.org/10.4314/ijest.v2i7.63755
- Taghavi S, Garafola C, Monchy S, Newman L, Hoffman A, Weyens N, Barac T, Vangronsveld J, van der Lelie D (2009) Genome survey and characterization of endophytic bacteria exhibiting a beneficial effect on growth and development of poplar trees. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:748–757. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02239-08>
- Taghavi s., van der Lelie D., Hoffman A., Zhang Y.B., Walla M.D., Vangronsveld J., Newman L., Monchy S. (2010) Genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting endophyte bacterium *Enterobacter* sp*.* 638. PLoS Genet 6:e1000943. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal. pgen.1000943
- Tilak KVBR, Ranganayaki N, Manoharachari C (2006) Synergistic effects of plant-growth promoting *rhizobacteria* and *Rhizobium* on nodulation and nitrogen fixation by pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*). Eur J Soil Sci 57:67–71. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00771.x>
- Torres AR, Kaschuk G, Saridakis GP, Hungria M (2012) Genetic variability in *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strains nodulating soybean *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 28:1831–1835.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0964-3>
- Trabelsi D., Mhamdi R. (2013) Microbial inoculants and their impact on soil microbial communities: a review. BioMed Res Int 2013:1–11. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/863240
- Vanlauwe B, Giller KE (2006) Popular myths around soil fertility management in sub-Saharan Africa. Agric Ecosyst Environ 116:34–46. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.03.016
- Velázquez E, R Silva L, Peix Á (2010) Legumes: a healthy and ecological source of flavonoids. Curr Nutr Food Sci 6:109–144. doi:https://doi.org/10.2174/157340110791233247
- Vyas P, Robin J, Sharma KC, Rahi P, Gulati A, Gulati A (2010) Cold-adapted and rhizosphere competent strain of *Rahnella* sp. with broad-spectrum plant growth-promotion potential. J Microbiol Biotechnol 20:1724–1734.<https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1007.07030>
- Walker EL, Connolly EL (2008) Time to pump iron: iron-deficiency-signaling mechanisms of higher plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 11:530–535. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2008.06.013
- Wallander H, Ekblad A, Godbold D, Johnson D, Bahr A, Baldrian P, Björk R, Kieliszewska-Rokicka B, Kjøller R, Kraigher H (2013) Evaluation of methods to estimate production, biomass and turnover of ectomycorrhizal mycelium in forests soils–a review. Soil Biol Biochem 57:1034–1047. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.08.027
- Wang K, Yan PS, Ding QL, Wu QX, Wang ZB, Peng J (2013) Diversity of culturable root associated/endophytic bacteria and their chinolytic and aflatoxin inhibition activity of peanut plant in china. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 29:1–10.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-012-1135-x.>
- Weilharter A, Mitter B, Shin MV, Chain PSG, Nowak J, Sessitsch A (2011) Complete genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting endophyte *Burkholderia phytofirmans* strain PsJN. J Bacteriol 193:3383–3384. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.05055-11>
- Yakubu H, Kwari JD, Ngala AL (2010) N₂ fixation by grain legume varieties as affected by rhizobia inoculation in the sandy loam soil of Sudano-Sahelian zone of North Eastern Nigeria. Niger J Basic Appl Sci 18:229–236. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/njbas.v18i2.64325.
- Youseif SH, Abd El-Megeed FH, Saleh SA (2017) Improvement of Faba bean yield using *Rhizobium*/*Agrobacterium* inoculant in low-fertility sandy soil. Agronomy 7:2–12. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy7010002) [org/10.3390/agronomy7010002](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy7010002)
- Zamioudis C, Pieterse CM (2012) Modulation of host immunity by beneficial microbes. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 25:139–150. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-06-11-0179
- Zhang Z, Liao H, Lucas WJ (2014) Molecular mechanisms underlying phosphate sensing, signaling, and adaptation in plants. J Integr Plant Biol 56:192–220
- Zhao LF, Xu YJ, Ma ZQ, Deng ZS (2013) Colonization and plant growth promoting characterization of endophytic *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* strain Zong1 isolated from *Sophora alopecuroides* root nodules Brazil J Microbiol 44:629–637. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/ S1517-83822013000200043