# **Chapter 5 Trichoderma: Beneficial Role in Sustainable Agriculture by Plant Disease Management**

#### **Laith Khalil Tawfeeq Al-Ani**

**Abstract** *Trichoderma* as biological control agents have been widely used against many plant pathogens, such as viruses, bacteria, fungi, nematodes, and higher parasitic plants. This species of fungi has been considered to be very beneficial for different levels of life. It features remote sensing and is fast in attacking and suppressing the growth of plant pathogens, and it improves plant growth. It can produce different secondary compounds and readily activates others fungi, producing very significant enzymes, such as chitinase, proteases, and β-1,3-glucanase, inducing plant defense, systemic resistance, and strong and active competition against plant pathogens. It is party to an important detoxification process to reduce the toxicity secreted by plant pathogens. It is therefore necessary to clarify the significance of *Trichoderma* in the control of plant diseases that results in improvements in sustainable agriculture. This should include coverage of the different aspects of the interaction between *Trichoderma* and the various kingdoms of organisms. Here is provided an excellent guide to the importance of *Trichoderma* as biological control agents (BCAs) in sustainable agriculture through reducing plant diseases and increasing field production. *Trichoderma* can combine several advantages in one product – the control of different of plant diseases, enhancement of plant growth, and the provision of a clean environment for the benefit of sustainable agriculture.

**Keywords** Biological control • Induced systemic resistance • Plant disease • Trichoderma

L.K.T. Al-Ani  $(\boxtimes)$ 

© Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2018 105

D. Egamberdieva, P. Ahmad (eds.), *Plant Microbiome: Stress Response*, Microorganisms for Sustainability 5, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5514-0\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5514-0_5)

Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

School of Biology Science, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Minden, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia e-mail: [cmv\\_virus2002@yahoo.com](mailto:cmv_virus2002@yahoo.com); [laith.kt77@gmail.com](mailto:laith.kt77@gmail.com)

## **5.1 Introduction**

A recent challenge in the agricultural sector is to increase yields and decrease plant disease to a minimum level. Traditional methods such as the use of fungicides, nematicides, herbicides, and fertilizer are among general methods in plant disease management and crop yield improvement. Although these mechanisms have the ability to control plant disease and suppress plant pathogens, they are not ecofriendly. Continuous usage of chemical-based methods has also caused the pathogens develop more resistance toward pesticides. The use of agrochemical pesticides containing various hazardous chemicals such as ethylated, methylated, and aromatic substances also adversely affect and pollute the atmosphere and water, thereby harming fish, beneficial insects such as the honeybee, non-target organisms such as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, and plant growth promoting fungi (PGPF). Chemical residues can also burn or cause yellowing effects to plant leaves.

Today, many researchers are searching for alternative methods with significant eco-friendly activity. Biological control agents (BCAs), natural enemies of plant pathogens, are a very strong candidate to replace conventional methods. BCAs are commonly isolated from the rhizosphere, phyllosphere, and soil. They comprise several agents such as PGPF, non-pathogenic fungi, mycorrhizal, entomopathogenic fungi, mycoparasitic fungi, and endophytic fungi (Steyaert et al. [2003;](#page-20-0) Hermosa et al. [2012](#page-17-0); Murali et al. [2012;](#page-18-0) Sylla [2013](#page-20-1); Doni et al. [2013\)](#page-16-0). Several fungi agents reported as biological controls are *Coniothyrium minitans* (anamorphs of *Paraphaeosphaeria minitans*) (Verkley et al. [2004](#page-21-0); Chitrampalam et al. [2011\)](#page-16-1), *Clonostachys rosea* (Sutton et al. [2002](#page-20-2)), *Trichoderma* spp. (Al-Ani et al. [2013a;](#page-15-0) Al-Ani [2017](#page-15-1)), *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. cubense, *F. oxysporum*, *F. solani*, and *F. fujikuroi* (Al-Ani et al. [2013b;](#page-15-2) Al-Ani [2010,](#page-15-3) [2017](#page-15-1)), *Piptocephalis virginiana* (Berry and Barnett [1957](#page-16-2)), *Gonatobotrys simplex* (Hoch [1977](#page-17-1)), *Pythium paroecandrum* (Abdelghani et al. [2004\)](#page-15-4), *Chaetomium* (Hung et al. [2015](#page-17-2)), *Sphaerodes quadrangularis* (Goh and Vujanovic [2010\)](#page-17-3), *Cryphonectria parasitica* (Kunova et al. [2016\)](#page-18-1), and *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Rhizoctonia* (BNR) spp. (Hwang and Benson [2003\)](#page-17-4).

Of these, *Trichoderma* is the most versatile genus of fungi worldwide that have been used to control plant pathogenic fungi and manage plant diseases and plant growth. Historically, *Trichoderma* was introduced as antagonistic fungi and has been known as a biocontrol agent of several plant pathogens since the 1920s (Weindling [1934](#page-21-1); Samuels [1996\)](#page-19-0). Several advantages, such as ubiquitous distribution, ease of isolation and culture, and rapid growth on many substrates attract the researchers to use it for sustainable agriculture. *Trichoderma* spp*.* have been reported to control important plant pathogenic fungi such as *Fusarium*, *Phytophthora*, *Pythium*, *Colletotrichum*, *Fulvia fulva*, *Rhizoctonia*, *Plasmopara viticola*, *Pseudoperonospora cubensis*, *Monilia laxa*, *Rhizopus*, *Botrytis*, *Alternaria*, *Cladosporium, Gaeumannomyces*, *Verticillium*, and *Sclerotinia* (Table [5.1\)](#page-2-0). *Trichoderma* is also widely used to control plant diseases such as Fusarium wilt (Al-Ani [2017](#page-15-1)), bacterial wilt (Yuana et al. [2016](#page-21-2)), sheath blight (de França et al. [2015\)](#page-16-3), mosaic virus (Luo et al. [2010](#page-18-2)), southern stem rot (Sennoi et al. [2013\)](#page-19-1), downy

Trichoderma			
species	Pathogen	Crop	References
T. harzianum	F. oxysporum f.sp. lycopersici	Tomato	Sivan (1987)
	Macrophomina phaseolina	Cowpea	Adekunle et al. (2001)
	F. oxysporum f.sp. cubense	Banana	Saravanan et al. (2003) and Nan et al. (2014)
	F. oxysporum f.sp. lycopersici	Tomato	Marzano et al. (2013)
	Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cucumerinum	Cucumber	Zhang et al. $(2013)$
	Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Rhizoctonia solani, Verticillium dahliae, Phytophthora nicotianae and P. cinnamomi	Soil-borne disease	Aleandri et al. (2015)
T. koningii	Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. cucumerinum, and Pythium spp	Pea seeds	Lifshitz et al. $(1986)$
	Rhizoctonia solani and Pythium ultimum	Capsicum annuum	Harris (1999)
	Sclerotium rolfsii	Soil-borne disease	Tsahouridou and Thanassoulopoulos (2001)
	Macrophomina phaseolina	Cowpea	Adekunle et al. (2001)
	Rhizoctonia solani	Cotton	Hanson and Howell (2002)
	Sclerotium rolfsii	Tomato seeds	Tsahouridou and Thanassoulopoulos (2002)
T. viride	Sclerotium rolfsii	Tomato	Wokocha (1990)
	F. oxysporum f.sp. cubense	Banana	Saravanan et al. (2003)
T. asperellum	Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. dianthi	Carnation	Sant et al. (2010)
	Phytophthora ramorum	Soil-borne disease	Widmer $(2014)$
	Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Rhizoctonia solani, Verticillium dahliae, Phytophthora nicotianae and P. cinnamomi	Soil-borne disease	Aleandri et al. (2015)
T. parareesei	<b>Botrytis</b> cinerea	Tomato	Rubio et al. (2014)
T. hamatum	Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Rhizoctonia solani, Verticillium dahliae, Phytophthora nicotianae and P. cinnamomi	Soil-borne disease	Aleandri et al. (2015)
T. atroviride	Armillaria gallica	Orchards	Pellegrini et al. (2014)
T. virens	Rhizoctonia solani	Cotton	Hanson and Howell (2002)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 5.1** *Trichoderma* spp. used as biocontrol agents (Al-Ani [2017](#page-15-1))

mildew (Perazzolli et al. [2012](#page-19-7)), seed-rotting fungi (Hadar et al. [1984](#page-17-7)), powdery mildew and grey mold (Elad et al. [1998](#page-16-4)), gummosis of citrus (Bicici et al. [1992\)](#page-16-5), root-knot nematode (Al-Hazmi and Javeed [2016\)](#page-15-7), root rot, damping-off, stem rot, Aspergillus crown rot, charcoal rot, red rot, Rhizoctonia black scurf, turfgrass diseases, decay in tree wounds, and internal decay of wood products (Gnanamanickam [2002\)](#page-17-8).

*Trichoderma* has many mechanisms very useful for plants, such as to improve plant growth, to enhance the solubilization of mineral nutrients, to induce secondary metabolites production, to produce growth-regulating compounds, stimulation of plant defense, and production of siderophores. These mechanisms confirm the *Trichoderma* species to be a suitable biocontrol agent in plant disease management by developing biopesticides. There are several commercially available *Trichoderma*based products such as Biobus 1.00WP (*Trichoderma viride*), Promot PlusWP Promot PlusDD (*Trichoderma* spp. *Trichoderma koningii*, and *Trichoderma harzianum*), RiB1, TRICÔ-ĐHCT, VI – ĐK, Bio – Humaxin Sen Vàng 6SC, and Fulhumaxin 5.15SC (*Trichoderma* spp.).

# **5.2 Mechanisms of** *Trichoderma* **spp. as BCAs Against Plant Pathogens**

The mode of action involves several mechanisms such as mycoparasites, competition, antibiotics production, and the ability to induce plant defense and systemic resistance (Naher et al. [2014\)](#page-18-5). The modes of action are as follows.

#### *5.2.1 Mycoparasitism*

The main mechanism in the antagonism of *Trichoderma* against fungal plant pathogens is mycoparasitic (Elad et al. [1982\)](#page-16-6). Mycoparasitism is probably a factor and one of the most outstanding features of this fungal genus. The direct attack on another fungus is a compound process that involves successive events, including infection and penetration, subsequently killing the opponent fungus. *Trichoderma* spp. may exert direct biocontrol by parasitizing the broad range of fungi and growing toward them. The mycoparasite activity of *Trichoderma* starts with coils around the host hyphae followed by producing hooks with appressorium-like bodies, eventually penetrating the host cell wall (Elad et al. [1983;](#page-16-7) Inbar and Chet [1992](#page-17-9); Ojha and Chatterjee [2011\)](#page-19-8). The ability of *Trichoderma* spp. to act as mycoparasitic fungi is because of the production of cell wall degrading enzymes (CWDEs) such as chitinases, proteases, and ß-1,3-glucanases. These enzymes are involved in CWDEs of *R. solani*, *Sclerotium rolfsii*, and *Pythium aphanidermatum* (Elad et al. [1982](#page-16-6); Sivan and Chet [1989](#page-20-6); Harman et al. [2004](#page-17-10)). The adhesion to a host surface is just one step in a series of interaction events, see the interaction steps that described by Tunlid et al. ([1992](#page-21-6)). *Trichoderma* can respond and recognize the host in different environmental conditions, and the successful colonization of rhizosphere, plants, and soil is relevant with the presence of a host (Mendoza-Mendoza et al. [2003](#page-18-6)). *Trichoderma* can respond to the host by the successive expression of pathogenesis-related proteins comprising chitinases, proteases, and glucanases (Harman et al. [2004](#page-17-10)). The method of induction varies from

one *Trichoderma* strain or species to another, and *Trichoderma* secrete chitinases that degrade fungal cell-walls to liberate the oligomers, which induces exochitinases, and parasitism begins (Gajera et al. [2013](#page-17-11)). The induction differs between species and strains of *Trichoderma*, which may be unable to interact with the host, or affect the gene to gene reactions that apply to the ability of *Trichoderma* to become a parasite on the host.

#### *5.2.2 Non-mycoparasitism*

Some strains or species of *Trichoderma* are not mycoparasites of other fungi, or at least that phase of their life cycle is not involved in the biocontrol phenomenon. The mechanisms employed by these *Trichoderma* strains or species consist of antibiosis (production of antimicrobial and secondary metabolites), competition (on site, on nutrient, or in combination), involving antibiosis and competition, energizing plant defense before infection by the pathogen, improving the tolerance of infection with plant pathogens (Howell [2003](#page-17-12)), and leading to detoxification of phytotoxin for plant pathogens (Aggarwal et al. [2011\)](#page-15-8).

# **5.3** *Trichoderma* **as Biological Control Agents of Plant Pathogens**

Biological controls are another alternative to chemical pesticides and have received mounting attention over the last 20 years (Paulitz and Belanger [2001\)](#page-19-9). *Trichoderma* spp. have been reported to control many major plant pathogens, including viruses, fungi, bacteria, and nematodes. The classical category of biological control includes several mechanisms such as direct antagonism (mycoparasites), indirect antagonism (non-mycoparasites) such as antibiosis, competition, CWDEs, and induction of systemic resistance (Park [1960](#page-19-10); Lo [1998](#page-18-7)).

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>*5.3.1 Biocontrol of Plant Viruses*

Plant viruses are among the important pathogens which are widespread and cause damage to plants. Damage caused by viruses simultaneously has harmful effects on sustainable agriculture. In general, some plant viruses depend on vectors such as nematodes, plant parasites, insects, seeds, and fungi. Chemical and biological controls measures have successfully decreased the rate of virus spread by applying Integrated Pest Management (IPM), improving host resistance, and enhancing plant growth, which increases plant tolerance to plant viruses' infection. *Trichoderma* showed the capacity to induce plant defense and stimulate resistance (as ISR

(induced systemic resistance) and SAR (systemic acquired resistance)) by producing secondary metabolites, culture filters, and CWDEs (Luo et al. [2010\)](#page-18-2).

For example, antimicrobial peptaibols from *T. pseudokoningii* SMF2, known as Trichokonin, have ISR and defense response against tobacco mosaic virus infection in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* var. Samsun NN) (Luo et al. [2010\)](#page-18-2). The production of a reactive oxygen species (ROS) and phenolic compounds in tobacco increased when treated with Trichokonin. Activities of pathogenesis-related enzymes PAL and POD significantly increased, and the expression of several plant defense genes was also upregulated.

Elsharkawy et al. ([2013\)](#page-17-13) found the strain of *T. asperellum* SKT-1 was able to induce resistance in the *Arabidopsis* plant against CMV (cucumber mosaic virus) infection by increasing expression levels of SA (salicylic acid)- and JA (jasmonic acid)/ET(ethylene)-inducible genes in leaves. Although the pre-treatment of *Arabidopsis* root with the culture filter of *T. asperellum* SKT-1 led to induction of defense mechanisms against CMV (Elsharkawy et al. [2013\)](#page-17-13), Vitti et al. [\(2015](#page-21-7)) found the ability of *T. harzianum* T-22 strain (T22) to induce the defense responses in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* var. *cerasiforme*) against CMV. Histochemical analysis has revealed a different increase in the hydrogen peroxide and superoxide anion, suggesting the involvement of ROS in plant defense responses.

#### *5.3.2 Biocontrol of Plant Bacteria*

Plant bacteria are important to plants, mostly live either as endophytes or saprophytes, and coexist in the rhizosphere, soil, and phyllosphere. Some strains of bacteria are pathogenic to plants and can cause major plant diseases worldwide (Agrios [2005\)](#page-15-9). Bacterial pathogens induce many types of symptoms on leaves, fruits, crown, roots, and vascular tissues. These symptoms appear as blights and spots on leaves, soft rots of fruits, wilts, scabs, and cankers (Agrios [2005\)](#page-15-9).

However, bacterial diseases of plants are often not easy to control. There are many methods for control, such as protecting crop fields from infection using healthy seeds, preventing the spread of bacterial pathogens through insect-infected plants or any part of the plants, and decontaminating tools, machines, and hands after planting. Other control measures include using chemical controls (bactericides), physical controls by burning the plant infected with bacteria, introducing plant breeding programmes, and selecting high-resistance crop varieties. Biological controls by using BCAs such as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, endophytic bacteria, mycorrhizal, endophytic fungi, and *Trichoderma* have also been used to suppress the pathogens. All of these methods can be used alone or in combination to control plant bacterial pathogens effectively. Of these, the best techniques to control the pathogens are chemical methods, followed by plant breeding and biological methods. However, plant breeding and biocontrol methods are safer for the environment.

For example, *T. asperellum* T203 conferred the protective effect by inducing systemic resistance against the cucumber leaf pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *lachrymans* that is involved in the JA/ET signaling pathways of ISR (Lox1, Pal1, ETR1, and CTR1) in cucumber plants. Meanwhile, *T. pseudokoningii* SMF2 showed antimicrobial activity against a broad-spectrum of both Gram-positive bacteria (Shi et al. [2012\)](#page-20-7) and Gram-negative bacteria (Li et al. [2014](#page-18-8)), where the strain was able to control *Pectobacterium carotovorum* sub sp. *carotovorum* (*Pcc*), which caused a soft rot disease of Chinese cabbage (Li et al. [2014\)](#page-18-8). The strain produced Trichokonins which inhibited the growth of *Pcc* (Gram-negative) and induced resistance of cabbage plants. Trichokonins were able to increase the production of ROS, pathogenesisrelated protein gene acidic PR-1a, and activation of SA (Li et al. [2014](#page-18-8)).

#### *5.3.3 Biocontrol of Phytopathogenic Fungi*

Phytopathogenic fungi are very harmful to plants, causing several major diseases and having more harmful effects on sustainable agriculture compared to phytopathogenic viruses or bacteria. Fungi can attack plants and incidence may be localized or systemic. Usually, fungi can infect all parts of plant-leaf, root, and seeds as well as stored seeds. In general, infection by fungi causes symptoms such as root rot, necrosis, wilts, spots, stunting, powdery mildew, downy mildew, blight, canker, dieback, damping-off, crown rot, smut, basal stem rot, anthracnose, rusts, scab, and general decline (Agrios [2005](#page-15-9)).

The most common methods used to control phytopathogenic fungi include: (1) protective methods, such as resistant plant varieties and use of pathogen-free seed; (2) culture methods such as crop rotation; (3) chemical methods; (4) biocontrol methods by using antagonistic microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi. These methods can reduce disease caused by fungal pathogens. Chemical methods are very effective on fungal pathogens but prolonged use of chemical-based methods has a harmful effect on the environment. Biocontrol agents are also effective in controlling fungal pathogens and are safer for the environment. The application of fungicides and consumer acceptance of resistant cultivars can be very complex, which makes biological control of phytopathogenic fungi an attractive alternative. *Trichoderma* spp. are well-known for their activity against many plant pathogens that cause major problems worldwide (Table [5.1](#page-2-0)) (Sharma et al. [2011](#page-20-8)). *Trichoderma* can be a potential alternative to control charcoal rot in soybean (Khalili et al. [2016\)](#page-18-9).

The interactions between a fungus and another fungus are very attractive, involving: (1) a mutually beneficial relationship that may increase the infection in plants, such as avirulent fungi with virulent fungi, low virulent fungi with high virulent fungi; (2) interspecific interaction such as hyphal interaction and somatic; (3) an antagonistic relationship, including (a) avirulent fungi against virulent fungi, (b) highly virulent fungi against virulent fungi, (c) parasitic fungi against virulent fungi, and (d) parasitic fungi against benefit fungi. However, Boddy [\(2016](#page-16-8)) has explained the spectrum of fungus–fungus interactions in a scheme.

*Trichoderma* spp. use many mechanisms against phytopathogenic fungi, which can divide in two ways – direct and indirect. The direct mechanism is accomplished when it reduces the pathogen population by antagonistic effects which include competition, antibiosis, and parasitism. The indirect mechanism is achieved by reaction between *Trichoderma* and plant against phytopathogenic fungi. This interaction is also denoted as 'cross-protection' or 'induced resistance' and is based on the creation of the host's own defense system (Marois [1990](#page-18-10)). Therefore, many biocontrol agents employ more than one mechanism to protect plants (Fravel and Engelkes [1994](#page-17-14)).

There are seven different mechanisms which have been suggested for suppression of phytopathogenic fungi. These modes of actions probably include all antagonistic effects against plant pathogens. The mechanisms are as follows:

- 1. Mycoparasitism refers to parasitism on mycelium or spores of fungal hosts caused by the production of cell wall degrading enzymes (CWDEs) or lysis enzymes that degrade the cell wall, such as β-1, 3-gluconase, chitinases, cellulases, lipases, and proteases (Van den Boogert [1996](#page-21-8); Viterbo et al. [2002a](#page-21-9), [b;](#page-21-10) Gajera et al. [2012](#page-17-15); Saravanakumar et al. [2016a](#page-19-11)).
- 2. Competition for space, nutrients including carbon and iron, and infection sites such as by modifying the rhizosphere by acidifying the soil (Benítez et al. [2004;](#page-16-9) Arst and Penalva [2003\)](#page-15-10) so the pathogens cannot grow.
- 3. Antibiosis production of antifungal compounds, volatile and non-volatile metabolite compounds, and stopping the growth after spore germination as fungistatic.
- 4. Induction of systemic resistance such as ISR and SAR.
- 5. Induction of plant defense such as rhizosphere modification and colonization of the plant root. This mechanism causes the change in physiological responses.
- 6. Detoxification that produced by plant fungal pathogens (Vázquez et al. [2015\)](#page-21-11).
- 7. Biofertilizers and PGPF, through enhancement of plant growth, enhance the solubilization of mineral nutrients, improve the media of rhizosphere and soil, and colonize root intercellular spaces (Hermosa et al. [2012](#page-17-0)). These multiple mechanisms are used either in combination or individually to control phytopathogenic fungi (Elad [2000;](#page-16-10) Bae et al. [2016\)](#page-15-11).

Mycoparasitism has been demonstrated by many *Trichoderma* species on different fungal pathogens. *T. koningii* MTCC 796 and *T. harzianum* T12 were able to parasitize the mycelia of *Macrophomina phaseolina* as well as induce the enzyme activities of CWDEs (Gajera et al. [2012](#page-17-15); Khalili et al. [2016](#page-18-9)). Meanwhile, *T. harzianum* Tveg1 and *Trichoderma atroviride* TR10 could inhibit the mycelium growth of *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* tropical race 4 (*Foc*TR4) in in vitro experiment by Al-Ani et al. [\(2013a\)](#page-15-0). Saravanakumar et al. ([2016a](#page-19-11)) also found the strain of *T. asperellum* CCTCC-RW0014 showed mycoparasitic activity on *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum* by producing various CWDEs such as chitinase, cellulase, protease, and β (1–3) glucanase. The growth of *F. solani* was inhibited when *T. hamatum* URM 6656 was applied, which can be attributed to the production of lysis enzymes called chitinases (da Silva et al. [2016](#page-16-11)). *T. harzianum* species (THSC) attacked the

plant fungal pathogens *Ceratocystis radicicola* of date palm and showed lysis of the hyphal pathogen and phialoconidia along with scattered aleurioconidia in vitro (Al-Naemi et al. [2016\)](#page-15-12). de Lima et al. ([2016\)](#page-16-12) found that *T. atroviride* T17 showed high antagonistic activity against *Guignardia citricarpa* of citriculture that was associated with the secretion of proteins, including chitinase, mutanase, a-1,3 glucanase, a-1,2-mannosidase, carboxylic hydrolase ester, carbohydrate-binding module family 13, glucan 1,3-β-glucosidase, α-galactosidase, and neutral protease. Al-Ani [\(2017](#page-15-1)) screened 31 isolates of *Trichoderma* against *Foc*TR4 in vitro and found 12 isolates of *Trichoderma* (*T. harzianum*, *T. parareesei*, *T. reesei*, *T. capillare*, *T. atroviride*, and *T. koningii*) overgrow the *Foc*TR4 after the ninth days of inoculation.

Examining the competition for nutrients, Sivan and Chet [\(1989](#page-20-6)) found that *T. harzianum* was able to compete with *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* for carbon in vitro by inhibiting chlamydospores germination and simultaneously suppressed *Fusarium* wilt of cotton in vivo. Sarrocco et al. [\(2009](#page-19-12)) found that *T. virens* I10 can compete with *R. solani* for carbon in soil by producing a cellulose enzyme. Three isolates of *Trichoderma* (*T. atroviride* P1, *T. harzianum* T22, and *T. viride*) showed strong competitiveness with *Phytophthora cinnanerium, Botrytis cinaria*, and *R. solani* (Olabiyi and Ruocco [2013\)](#page-19-13). However, several *Trichoderma* can stop the growth of other fungi by producing siderophores (iron-chelating compounds) (Chet and Inbar [1994\)](#page-16-13). *Trichoderma asperellum* strain T34 has the potential to control Fusarium wilt of tomato caused by *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici* (Fol) through competition for iron (Segarra et al. [2010\)](#page-19-14). Lehner et al. ([2013\)](#page-18-11) suggested that *Trichoderma* spp. can produce siderophores through screening using LC-HRMS/ MS. Al-Ani ([2017\)](#page-15-1) found four isolates of *Trichoderma* (two isolates of *T. harzianum* (TL5, Tveg1), *T. parareesei* T26, and *T. koningii* TR102) were capable of competing with *Foc*TR4 for iron by producing siderophores.

In antibiosis production, *T. parareesei* inhibited the growth of *Foc*TR4 by up to 96% in vitro by producing secondary metabolites (Al-Ani et al. [2013a](#page-15-0)). Bae et al. [\(2016](#page-15-11)) found *T. atroviride/petersenii* (KACC, 40557) showed the highest inhibition of *Phytophthora* growth. *T. harzianum* T12 produced many volatile compounds to control charcoal rot in soybean caused by *M. phaseolina* (Khalili et al. [2016\)](#page-18-9). *T. harzianum* Th-Sks showed high efficacy against *F. oxysporum* and *Pythium aphanidermatum*, which caused damping off and wilt diseases of brinjal and okra by producing volatile and non-volatile compounds (Sain and Pandey [2016\)](#page-19-15). The culture filtrates containing volatile compounds of THSC were able to decrease the size of necroses caused by *C. radicicola* of date palm in vivo (Al-Naemi et al. [2016\)](#page-15-12). Seven volatile compounds, possibly with antifungal activity, produced by *T. parareesei* T26 inhibited *Foc*TR4 in vitro and managed to reduce the disease severity of Fusarium wilt by up to 100% in vivo (Al-Ani [2017\)](#page-15-1)*.*

The ISR of *T. harzianum* in the roots of cucumber was observed through the change in structural compounds, the deposition of newly formed barriers, and strengthening of the epidermal and cortical cell walls (Yedidia et al. [1999\)](#page-21-12). *T. harzianum* was able to induce systemic resistance in carrot against *Alternaria radicina* and *Botrytis cinerea* by using chitinase and CHIT36 expressed in the plant (Baranski et al. [2008](#page-16-14)). *Trichoderma virens* and *T. atroviride* induced plant defense and activated the signaling pathway including SA and/or JA, as well as camalexin, conferring resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* against necrotrophic fungus *Botrytis cinerea* (Contreras-Cornejo et al. [2011\)](#page-16-15). *Trichoderma asperellum* was able to induce acquired resistance in cucumber by activating peroxidase and a boost in SA (Hermosa et al. [2012](#page-17-0)). The ISR in plants was triggered by increasing the ET or JA pathways by *Trichoderma* cellulase complexes (Hermosa et al. [2013](#page-17-16)). *T. harzianum* triggered the transient production of ROS by *Thph1* and *Thph2* proteins, which required enhancing ISR in maize leaf (Saravanakumar et al. [2016b](#page-19-16)).

In induced plant defense mechanisms, *T. virens* has induced plant defense through seed treatment using terpenoid synthesis in cotton root against *R. solani* (Howell et al. [2000](#page-17-17)). *T. viride* JAU60 stimulated the specific defense enzymes of polyphenol oxidase, β-1,3 glucanase, phenylalanine ammonia lyase, and chitinase against collar rot disease caused by *Aspergillus niger* Van *Tieghem* (Gajera et al. [2015\)](#page-17-18). *T. asperellum* induced the plant defense-related genes in the banana plant against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* (Foc) (Raman et al. [2016\)](#page-19-17). *Trichoderma virens* (KACC 40929) stimulated defense-related genes against *Phytophthora* infection and changes of plant hormonal (Bae et al. [2016](#page-15-11)). *T. aureoviride* URM 5158 was capable of reducing disease severity to 60% in the shoot and 84% in the root of cassava plants by inducing plant defense. The strain changed the physiological response and maximized the enzyme activity of ROS groups (da Silva et al. [2016\)](#page-16-11). Seed treatment with *T. viride* JAU60 has increased the activity of ROS enzymes and reduced 51–58% collar rot disease incidence by rot pathogen *Aspergillus niger* (Gajera et al. [2016\)](#page-17-19). *T. asperellum* BHUT8 induced plant defense in tomato seedlings which include phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), peroxidase (PO), polyphenol oxidase (PPO), lignifications, and the accumulation of some secondary metabolites such as shikimic acid and gallic acid (Singh et al. [2016](#page-20-9)).

In detoxification mechanism, several isolates of *T. viride* were able to detoxify *R. solani* toxin (Sriram et al. [2000\)](#page-20-10). Aggarwal et al. ([2011\)](#page-15-8) also found that *T. viride* (TV5-2) detoxified the *Bipolaris sorokiniana* toxin and reduced the disease severity of spot blotch in wheat. Tian et al. [\(2016](#page-20-11)) found eight strains of *Trichoderma* (*T. harzianum* GIM3.442, *T. harzianum JF309, T. koningii* GIM3.137, *T. longibranchiatum* GIM3.534, *T. harzianum* Q710613, *T. atroviride* Q710251, *T. asperellum* Q710682, and *T. virens* Q710925) that showed antagonistic activity against *F. graminearum*, the causal agent of *Fusarium* head blight (FHB), by inhibiting the mycelium growth and detoxifying deoxynivalenol (DON) to deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside (D3G).

In biofertilizers and PGPF mechanisms, *Trichoderma* spp. can colonize the roots of plants which can improve the plant growth, increase the crop productivity, form a strong resistance to plant pathogens, and improve nutrient uptake (Arora et al. [1992\)](#page-15-13). PGPF *T. harzianum* T-22 has solubilized and chelated various plant nutrient compounds and further enhanced plant growth (Altomare et al. [1999\)](#page-15-14). *Trichoderma hamatum* and *T. koningii* could increase crop productivity up to 300% (Benítez et al. [2004\)](#page-16-9). Qi and Zhao [\(2012](#page-19-18)) revealed that *T. asperellum* strain Q1 acted as PGPF and increased the content of osmosis molecules and chlorophyll, plant biomass, and

enhanced the activity of osmosis molecules and antioxidant enzymes under saline environments. *T. asperellum* T34 plays a role in increasing the accumulation of Cu and Fe in the aerial parts of cucumber plants, as well as Zn and Mn according to the availability in the soil (de Santiago et al. [2013](#page-16-16)). *T. atroviride* LU132 was capable of colonizing oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) and increased the biomass of root and shoot (Maag et al. [2013](#page-18-12)). *T. harzianum* (ANR-1) increased the plant height and dry weight of tomato plants (Sundaramoorthy and Balabaskar [2013\)](#page-20-12). Li et al. [\(2015](#page-18-13)) suggested that *T. harzianum* strain SQR-T037 can promote plant growth by development of the root and the increased nutrient uptake, as well as dissolution (i.e., most likely chelating for Cu, chelating for Fe, acidification, and redox). *T. harzianum* T12 is able to enhance the plant growth of soybean (Khalili et al. [2016\)](#page-18-9). *Trichoderma* can enhance plant vigor of *Miscanthus x giganteus* (Mxg), including growth, chlorophyll concentration, plant height, and shoot dry weight (Chirino-Valle et al. [2016](#page-16-17)). Singh et al. [\(2016](#page-20-9)) found that seeds of some plants, such as tomato, ridge gourd, chilli, and guar, treated with *T. asperellum* BHUT8 can improve seed germination and radicle length. Al-Ani ([2017\)](#page-15-1) found that *T. harzianum* Tveg1 can improve the plant vigor of banana, such as plant height, the content of chlorophyll, plant biomass, and number of the leaves. Sain and Pandey ([2016\)](#page-19-15) showed that the plant height and fruit yield of brinjal and okra increased when *T. harzianum* Th-Sks was used to treat the seeds.

### *5.3.4 Biocontrol of Plant-Parasitic Nematodes*

Nematodes are worm-like but quite distinct taxonomically from the true worms. There are several hundred species, and they obtain their food by feeding on living plants using spears or stylets. This feeding method has caused major plant disease worldwide. These nematodes have an effect on sustainable agriculture amounting to 11–14%, involving such crops as legumes, cereals, banana, vegetable, cassava, fruits, and nonedible field crops (Agrios [2005](#page-15-9)). Control measures are often difficult, particularly involving systemic nematicides and insecticide treatments to decrease the nematodes and vectors (Agrios [2005](#page-15-9)). Biological control, cultural, and physical methods are other general measures for controlling nematodes. Oil-cakes, residues from leguminous crops, other materials with a low C/N ratio, and animal manures can also be added to soil (Stirling [2011](#page-20-13)).

Biological control is an alternative management system to control plant-parasitic nematodes which are suppressed by pathogen-specific agents comprising many enemies, such as viruses, bacteria, fungi, nematodes, microarthropods, and protozoa. Fungi are registered to be biocontrol agents for nematodes. Soil contains a large range of fungi species which are able to suppress plant-parasite nematodes and are called nematophagous fungi. More than 200 species of nematophagous fungi have been described (Tunlid and Ahrén [2011](#page-20-14)). Some isolates or strains of *Trichoderma* have been considered to be in the nematophagous fungi group. Biocontrol activity of *Trichoderma* spp. against plant-parasitic nematodes is exploited by difference mechanisms. These mechanisms include parasitism, competition, antibiosis, induction of plant defense, systemic resistance, enhancement of plant growth, tolerance of the infection, and impact on the life cycle of the plantparasitic nematode.

For parasitism, *Trichoderma* attacks eggs, juveniles (larvae), and adults. In the parasitism the mode of action is attached to the nematode and then the parasite. The special chemical is the gelatinous matrixes (gm) that envelop the eggs and play a very essential role to attach the mycelium of *Trichoderma* to the eggs, egg masses, and the second stage juveniles (J2s) (Sharon et al. [2007,](#page-20-15) [2011\)](#page-20-16). The *Trichoderma* secretion enhances the ability of the plant-parasitic nematodes. These enzymes include proteases, cellulases, hemicellulases, chitinases, and glucanases (Viterbo et al. [2002a,](#page-21-9) [b;](#page-21-10) Keswani et al. [2013](#page-18-14)). *T. harzianum* is able to attack and colonize the eggs, and egg masses of the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* by instigating proteolytic activity (Sharon et al. [2001\)](#page-20-17). The two isolates of *T. asperellum*-203 and *T. atroviride*-IMI 206040 attack the eggs and J2s of *Meloidogyne javanica* by the formation of coiling and appressorium-like structures and then parasitism (Sharon et al. [2007\)](#page-20-15).

For competition, *Trichoderma* maybe competes with plant parasitic nematodes. The competition for space and feeding sites may occur with plant parasitic nematodes (Hussey and Roncadori [1978\)](#page-17-20). For the antibiosis, nematicidal, anti-nematode and secondary metabolites as volatile and non-volatile compounds are included. For example, *T. viride* metabolites impacted on reproduction and development of *Meloidogyne javanica* through implementing root-dip treatments with the fungal culture filtrate (Khan and Saxena [1997](#page-18-15)). Strains of *T. harzianum* have the capability to produce the anti-nematode and nematicidal against the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* that appears as immobilized J2 s and reduces the penetration of the root by the nematode (Sharon et al. [2001](#page-20-17)). The culture filtrate of *T. harzianum* was then able to inhibit egg hatching of the nematode *M. javanica* at the standard concentration in vitro that may release toxic metabolites/enzymes into the medium (Khattak et al. [2008\)](#page-18-16). Yang et al. ([2012\)](#page-21-13) found three metabolites of *Trichoderma* sp. YMF 1.00416 comprising a new compound, 1β-vinylcyclopentane-1α,3α-diol (1), and two known metabolites, 6-pentyl-2*H*-pyran-2-one (2) and 4-(2-hydroxyethyl) phenol (3); compound 2 was nematicidal and killed 85% of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*, *Panagrellus redivivus*, and *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *T. harzianum* strains were able to produce antibiosis as a mechanism antagonistic against the nematode *M. cionopagum* (Szabó et al. [2012](#page-20-18)).

To induce resistance, for example, *Trichoderma* can stimulate resistance as a localized or systemic response against nematodes, which may occur on the surface of the roots, inside the roots, and in the soil (Sharon et al. [2011](#page-20-16)). *Trichoderma* primes JA- and SA-dependent defenses in tomato roots against the root knot nematode *M. incognita* (Martínez-Medina et al. [2017](#page-18-17)). *T. harzianum* isolate T10 was able to change the chemical and physical reactions in tomato against the invasion of *M. javanica* nematode because of two different kinds of systemic resistances, ISR and SAR (Selim et al. [2014](#page-19-19)). *T. atroviride* could induce systemic resistance against *Meloidogyne javanica* caused by root-knot nematodes of tomato in vivo by a split-root occurrence that is a trigger of SA-, JA- and ET-dependent defense pathways (de Medeiros et al. [2017\)](#page-16-18). For the stimulation of plant defense, for example, *T. harzianum* isolate ITEM908 stimulated the plant defense by the expression of patterns of the genes *PR1* in tomato against the invasion of *M. incognita* (Leonetti et al. [2014\)](#page-18-18).

For the enhancement of plant growth, for example, *T. harzianum* and *T. lignorum* isolates improved growth as fresh weight against the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* (Spiegel and Chet [1998\)](#page-20-19). *T. harzianum* is efficient in controlling *Meloidogyne javanica* on tomato at the highest used density (10<sup>10</sup> spore/g soil). *T. atroviride* enhanced plant growth against *Meloidogyne javanica* (de Medeiros et al. [2017\)](#page-16-18).

Tolerance of the infection is reflected in a decreased number and size of root galling, cessation of the growth and reproduction of plant-parasitic nematodes inside the plant, increase of crop yield, and a halt to the plant-parasitic nematode from completing its life cycle. *T. asperellum* T-16 led to a reduction of the number and size of galls and enhanced the tomato yields that were infected by *M. incognita* and caused root-knot nematodes in vegetables (Affokpon et al. [2011](#page-15-15)). *T. harzianum* T22 enhanced plant height, number of branches, and yield growth against *Meloidogyne incognita* of soybeans (Izuogu and Abiri [2015\)](#page-17-21). Javeed et al. ([2016\)](#page-17-22) mention that three isolates of *Trichoderma* reduced root galling in tomatoes that resulted from infection by *M. javanica*. Al-Hazmi and Javeed ([2016\)](#page-15-7) stated that *T. harzianum* are able to inhibit root galling of *Meloidogyne javanica* on tomato. *T. atroviride* impacted on *Meloidogyne javanica* by reducing the number of galls (de Medeiros et al. [2017\)](#page-16-18).

Impact on the life cycle of a plant-parasitic nematode can be reflected in nematode reproduction, the type and number of gender (the number of males compared with females), larval mortality, egg hatching, the number of eggs, egg masses, size and movement of the nematode or juveniles, and the general physiological functions of the plant-parasitic nematode. *T. asperellum* T-16 inhibited the densities of J2s of *Meloidogyne incognita* in the roots, but *T. asperellum* T-12 inhibited the densities of J2s in the soils, and *T. brevicompactum* T-3 suppressed egg production (Affokpon et al. [2011\)](#page-15-15). Four strains of *Trichoderma* – *T. harzianum*, *T. virens*, *T. atroviride*, and *T. rossicum* – triggered higher and faster mortality of plant-parasitic nematode *Xiphinema index*, which is capable of transmitting several plant viruses (Daragó et al. [2013](#page-16-19)).

*T. harzianum* T22 inhibited the development and parasitic effects of *Meloidogyne incognita* and led to a reduction of the soil nematode population that caused root knot nematode of soybeans (Izuogu and Abiri [2015](#page-17-21)). Javeed et al. [\(2016](#page-17-22)) found three isolates of *Trichoderma* – *T. harzianum* (isolate No.27), *T. hamatum* (isolate No.5), and *T. viride* (isolate No.8) – which decreased the number of juveniles (larvae) and inhibited the reproduction of *M. javanica*. *T. harzianum* can suppress nematode reproduction via egg masses, eggs, and J2s of *Meloidogyne javanica* on tomato (Al-Hazmi and Javeed [2016](#page-15-7)). *T. atroviride* decreased the number of eggs and adult nematodes that impact on *Meloidogyne javanica* (de Medeiros et al. [2017\)](#page-16-18).

## *5.3.5 Biocontrol of Parasitic Higher Plants by* **Trichoderma**

The parasitic higher plants are vascular plants that penetrate the tissue of hosts (vascular plants) and absorb nutrients from the host by unique connections. Some of the parasitic plants are major factors that impacts on production of many crops. The parasitic plants have no or little chlorophyll, false roots, but can produce flower and seeds. There are more than 2,500 known species of higher plants (Sharma [2006](#page-19-20)) that live on other plants parasitically. For control of parasitic plants comprise many methods such as chemical herbicides, biocontrol, breeding and selection of resistant crop, soil fumigation, solarization, parasitic-plant-free seed, cultural practices, and the use of trap and catch crops (Abdel-Kader and El-Mougy [2009](#page-15-16)). Biological control is an alternative method to control parasitic plants instead of chemical herbicides. *Trichoderma* is a biological control or bioherbicide agent that uses many mechanisms against plant diseases as parasitic plants. *T. harzianum* (T1 and T3) and *T. viride* (T2) applied of tomato plants by the foliar spray and the soil drench method that reduced both infection and intensity of attack by the plant pathogens of broomrapes *Orobanche ramose* (Abdel-Kader and El-Mougy [2007,](#page-15-17) [2009\)](#page-15-16). *T. harzianum* attacked living tissues of broomrapes *Orobanche ramose* that could cause soft rot, black lesion, a reduction of number of *Orobanche* shoots, and complete deterioration within 7 days (100%) (Nawar and Sahab [2011\)](#page-19-21). *T. harzianum* reduced the number root-parasitic weeds such as *Phelipanche* and *Orobanche* spp. that impact on the plant hosts by producing some effective secondary metabolites as 5-deoxystrigol and 4-deoxyorobanchol (Boari et al. [2016](#page-16-20)).

## <span id="page-13-0"></span>*5.3.6 Biocontrol of Plant Viroids by* **Trichoderma**

Plant viroids is a plant pathogen since 1971, and it is causing slight damage to the agricultural economy but sometime be more catastrophic. Plant viroids can cause several important diseases such as citrus exocortis, apple scar skin, potato spindle tuber, the cadang-cadang disease of coconut, and avocado sunblotch (Agrios [2005\)](#page-15-9). Viroids are very important plant pathogens because they are composed of a (1) short stretch of circular, (2) nonprotein-coding, (3) single-stranded RNA with autonomous replication. The control of plant viroids is a complex method. Some methods to control this plant pathogen include eradication, cultural controls, elimination of insect vectors, and inducing resistance. Trichoderma has not yet been registered as a biocontrol agent against plant viroids, but I assume they can be used. Why is Trichoderma considered a biocontrol agent against plant viroids? The genus Trichoderma has many potential mechanisms to control different plant diseases, such as the indirect method that may be effective on this pathogen. This indirect method includes inducing resistance and defense and control of the vectors, as well as enhanced growth and tolerance of the plant against viroid infection. Trichoderma is able to induce resistance against plant virus, as described in Sect. [5.3.1.](#page-4-0) As the life cycle of plant virus is the same as that of plant viroids, use of Trichoderma as a future biocontrol agent against plant viroids is recommended, which may lead to obtaining greater insight about the relationship between Trichoderma and plant viroids.

#### *5.3.7 Biocontrol of Phytoplasma by Trichoderma*

Phytoplasma is a plant pathogen that was detected in 1967 and causes around 200 plant diseases worldwide. However, Phytoplasmas lack cell walls and have ribosomes, cytoplasm, and strands of nuclear material which are bounded by a "unit" membrane (Agrios [2005\)](#page-15-9). Phytoplasmas cause very significant diseases such as European stone fruit yellows, apple proliferation, lethal coconut yellowing, peach X disease, grapevine yellows, pear decline, and aster yellows (Agrios [2005\)](#page-15-9). Controlling of phytoplasma is very difficult. Phytoplasma can be controlled by various common methods, as described in Sect. [5.3.6](#page-13-0). Trichoderma is not a registered biocontrol agent against phytoplasma. Also, my assumption here is the same as in Sect. [5.3.6.](#page-13-0) Why is Trichoderma considered a biocontrol agent against phytoplasma? Trichoderma has many indirect mechanisms that can be effective against phytoplasma, which are mentioned in Sect. [5.3.6](#page-13-0). Therefore, we need to know the nature of relationship between Trichoderma and phytoplasma, which may be beneficial in the future, to obtain a cleaner method for the ecosystem.

## **5.4 Conclusion**

All *Trichoderma* species are of interest to researchers because of their use in the biocontrol and reduction of plant pathogens (viruses, bacteria, fungi, plant parasitic nematode, and parasitic higher plants) as well as the enhancement of tolerance in the plant against plant pathogens and plant growth promotion. This is achieved through the supply of plant nutrient by the secretion of some very interesting items such as chelating compounds, for example, siderophores, chelating for Cu, and acidification. *Trichoderma* has many mechanisms, such as direct parasitic, via appressoriumlike structures, secreting enzymes or volatile compounds inhibiting the host growth. Indirect impact by detoxification against diseases of the plants and induction of plant defense by changing the activity of plant physiology, such as by activating very important enzymes, are also involved. This confers protection to plants and also induces systemic resistance, changing the physical and chemical barriers to prevent plant pathogens from entering the plant. *Trichoderma* can therefore be used as biobactericides, biofungicides, and bioherbicides to control the major plant pathogens, and as biofertilizers to enhance plant growth for the major plants. The combination of biocontrol with biofertilization in same product may also contribute to increasing sustainable agriculture, making the environment cleaner, free from residues from chemical pesticides and fertilizers. The final result is the production of cleaner food.

# **References**

- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Abdelghani E-Y, Bala K, Paul B (2004) Characterisation of *Pythium paroecandrum* and its antagonism towards *Botrytis cinerea*, the causative agent of grey mould disease of grape. FEMS Microbiol Lett 230:177–183
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Abdel-Kader MM, El-Mougy NS (2007) Applicable control measure against *Orobanche ramose* in tomato plants. Aust Plant Pathol 36:160–164
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>Abdel-Kader MM, El-Mougy NS (2009) Prospects of mycoherbicides for control of broomrapes (*Orobanche* spp.) in Egypt. J Plant Prot Res 49(1):63–75
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Adekunle AT, Cardwell KE, Florini DA, Ikotun T (2001) Seed treatment with *Trichoderma* species for control of damping-off of cowpea caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Biochem Sci Technol 11:449–457
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Affokpon A, Coyne DL, Htay CC, Agbèdè RD, Lawouin L, Coosemans J (2011) Biocontrol potential of native *Trichoderma* isolates against root-knot nematodes in West African vegetable production systems. Soil Biol Biochem 43:600–608
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Aggarwal R, Gupta S, Singh VB, Sharma S (2011) Microbial detoxification of pathotoxin produced by spot blotch pathogen Bipolaris sorokiniana infecting wheat. J Plant Biochem Biotechnol 20(1):66–73
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Agrios GN (2005) Plant pathology. Harcourt Academic Press, New York, pp 397–398, 403, 616, 625
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Al-Ani LKT (2010) Biological control of Fusarium wilt of banana by non pathogenic Fusarium oxysporum. PPSKH colloquium, Pust Pengajian Sains Kajihayat/School of Biological Sciences, USM, June, p 10
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Al-Ani LKT (2017) Potential of utilizing biological and chemical agents in the control of Fusarium wilt of banana. PhD, School of Biology Science, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia, p 259
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Al-Ani LKT, Salleh B, Ghazali AHA (2013a) Biocontrol of Fusarium wilt of banana by Trichoderma spp. 8th PPSKH colloquium, Pust Pengajian Sains Kajihayat/School of Biological Sciences, USM, June 5–6
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Al-Ani LKT, Salleh B, Mohammed AM, Ghazali AHA, Al-Shahwany AW, Azuddin NF (2013b) Biocontrol of Fusarium wilt of banana by non-pathogenic Fusarium spp. International symposium on tropical fungi, ISTF, IPB International Convention Center, Bogor, Indonesia; 09/2013, pp 50–51
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Aleandri MP, Chilosi G, Bruni N, Tomassini A, Vettraino AM, Vannini A (2015) Use of nursery potting mixes amended with local *Trichoderma* strains with multiple complementary mechanisms to control soil-borne diseases. Crop Prot 67:269–278
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Al-Hazmi AS, Javeed MT (2016) Effects of different inoculum densities of *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Trichoderma viride* against *Meloidogyne javanica* on tomato. Saudi J Biol Sci 23:288–292
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Al-Naemi FA, Ahmed TA, Nishad R, Radwan O (2016) Antagonistic effects of *Trichoderma harzianum* isolates against *Ceratocystis radicicola*: pioneering a biocontrol strategy against black scorch disease in date palm trees. J Phytopathol  $164(7-8)$ :464-475
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Altomare C, Norvell WA, BjöRkman T, Harman GE (1999) Solubilization of phosphates and micronutrients by the plant-growth-promoting and biocontrol fungus *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai 1295-22. Appl Environ Microbiol 65(7):2926–2933
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Arora DK, Elander RP, Mukerji KG (1992) Handbook of applied mycology, Fungal Biotechnology, vol 4. Marcel Dekker, New York
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Arst JH, Penalva M (2003) PH regulation in *Aspergillus* and parallels with higher eukaryotic regulatory systems. Trends Genet 19:224–231
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Bae S-J, Mohanta TK, Chung JY, Ryua M, Park G, Shim S, Hong S-B, Seo H, Bae D-W, Bae I, Kima J-J, Bae H (2016) *Trichoderma* metabolites as biological control agents against *Phytophthora* pathogens. Biol Control 92:128–138
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Baranski R, Klocke E, Nothnagel T (2008) Chitinase CHIT36 from *Trichoderma harzianum* enhances resistance of transgenic carrot to fungal pathogens. J Phytopathol 156:513–521
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Benítez T, Rincón AM, Limón MC, Codón AC (2004) Biocontrol mechanisms of *Trichoderma* strains. Int Microbiol 7:249–260
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Berry CR, Barnett HL (1957) Mode of parasitism and host range of *Piptocephalis virginiana*. Mycologia 49:374–386
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Bicici M, Dede Y, Çinar A (1992) *Trichoderma* species against gummosis disease in lemon trees. In: Tjamos EC, Papavizas GC, Cook RJ (eds) Biological control of plant diseases: progress and challenges for the future, NATO ASI Series. Springer, New York, pp 193–196
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>Boari A, Ciasca B, Pineda-Martos R, Lattanzio VMT, Yoneyama K and Vurro M (2016) Biological control of parasitic weeds by using strigolactone-degrading fungi (579). In: 7th international weed science congress June 19–25 Prague, Czech Republic, p 138
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Boddy L (2016) Interactions between fungi and other microbes. In: Watkinson SC, Boddy L, Money NP (eds) The fungi. Elsevier, London, p 340
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Chet I, Inbar J (1994) Biological control of fungal pathogens. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 48:37–43
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>Chirino-Valle I, Kandula D, Littlejohn C, Hill R, Walker M, Shields M, Cummings N, Hettiarachchi D, Wratten S (2016) Potential of the beneficial fungus *Trichoderma* to enhance ecosystemservice provision in the biofuel grass *Miscanthus x giganteus* in agriculture. Sci Rep 6:25109
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Chitrampalam P, Wu BM, Koike ST, Subbarao KV (2011) Interactions between *Coniothyrium minitans* and *Sclerotinia minor* affect biocontrol efficacy of *C. minitans*. Phytopathology 101(3):358–366
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>Contreras-Cornejo HA, Macías-Rodríguez L, Beltrán-Peña E, Alfredo Herrera-Estrella A, López-Bucio J (2011) *Trichoderma*-induced plant immunity likely involves both hormonal- and camalexin-dependent mechanisms in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and confers resistance against necrotrophic fungus *Botrytis cinerea*. Plant Signal Behav 6(10):1554–1563
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>da Silva JAT, de Medeiros EV, da Silva JM, Tenório DdA, Moreira KA, Nascimento TCEdS, Souza-Motta C (2016) *Trichoderma aureoviride* URM 5158 and *Trichoderma hamatum* URM 6656 are biocontrol agents that act against Cassava root rot through different mechanisms. J Phytopathol 164(11–12):1003–1011
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>Daragó A, Szabó M, Hrács K, Takács A, Nagy PI (2013) In vitro investigations on the biological control of *Xiphinema index* with *Trichoderma* species. Helminthologia 50(2):132–137
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>de França SKS, Cardoso AF, Lustosa DC, Ramos EMLS, de Filippi MCC, da Silva GB (2015) Biocontrol of sheath blight by *Trichoderma asperellum* in tropical lowland rice. Agron Sustain Dev 35(1):317–324
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>de Lima FB, Félix C, Osório N, Alves A, Vitorino R, Domingues P, Correia A, Ribeiro RTdS, Esteves AC (2016) Secretome analysis of *Trichoderma atroviride* T17 biocontrol of *Guignardia citricarpa*. Biol Control 99:38–46
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>de Medeiros HA, Filho JVdA, de Freitas LG, Castillo P, Rubio MB, Hermosa R, Monte E (2017) Tomato progeny inherit resistance to the nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* linked to plant growth induced by the biocontrol fungus *Trichoderma atroviride*. Sci Rep 7:40216
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>de Santiago A, García-López AM, Quintero JM, Avilés M, Delgado A (2013) Effect of *Trichoderma asperellum* strain T34 and glucose addition on iron nutrition in cucumber grown on calcareous soils. Soil Biol Biochem 57:598–605
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Doni F, Al-Shorgani NKN, Tibin EMM, Abuelhassan NN, Anizan I, Che Radziah CMZ, Wan Mohtar WY (2013) Microbial involvement in growth of paddy. Curr Res J Biol Sci 4(6):285–290
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Elad Y (2000) Biological control of foliar pathogens by means of *Trichoderma harzianum* and potential modes of action. Crop Prot 19:709–714
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Elad Y, Chet I, Henis Y (1982) Degradation of plant pathogenic fungi by *Trichoderma harzianum*. Can J Microbiol 28:719–725
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Elad Y, Sadovski Z, Chet I (1983) Detection of mycoparasitism by infrared photomicrography. Microb Ecol 9:185–187
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Elad Y, Kirshner B, Yehuda N, Sztejnberg A (1998) Management of powdery mildew and gray mold of cucumber by *Trichoderma harzianum* T39 and *Ampelomyces quisqualis* AQ10. BioControl 43:241–251
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>Elsharkawy MM, Shimizu M, Takahashi H, Ozaki K, Hyakumachi M (2013) Induction of systemic resistance against cucumber mosaic virus in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by *Trichoderma asperellum* SKT-1. Plant Pathol J 29(2):193–200
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>Fravel DR, Engelkes CA (1994) Biological management. In: Campbell CL, Benson DM (eds) Epidemiology and management of root diseases. Springer verslag, Berlin, pp 293–308
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>Gajera HP, Bambharolia RP, Patel SV, Khatrani TJ, Goalkiya BA (2012) Antagonism of Trichoderma spp. against Macrophomina phaseolina: evaluation of coiling and cell wall degrading enzymatic activities. J Plant Pathol Microbiol 3:7
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>Gajera H, Domadiya R, Patel S, Kapopara M, Golakiya B (2013) Molecular mechanism of *Trichoderma* as bio-control agents against phytopathogen system – a review. Curr Res Microbiol Biotechnol 1(4):133–142
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>Gajera HP, Savaliya DD, Patel SV, Golakiya BA (2015) *Trichoderma viride* induces pathogenesis related defense response against rot pathogen infection in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Infect Genet Evol 34:314–325
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>Gajera HP, Katakpara ZA, Patel SV, Golakiya BA (2016) Antioxidant defense response induced by *Trichoderma viride* against *Aspergillus niger* Van Tieghem causing collar rot in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Microb Pathog 91:26–34
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>Gnanamanickam SS (2002) Biological control of crop diseases. Marcel Dekker, New York, p 449
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>Goh YK, Vujanovic V (2010) *Sphaerodes quadrangularis* biotrophic mycoparasitism on *Fusarium avenaceum*. Mycologia 102(4):757–762
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>Hadar Y, Harman GE, Taylor AG (1984) Evaluation of *Trichoderma koningii* and *T. harzianum* from New York soils for biological control of seed rot caused by *Pythium* spp. Phytopathology 74:106–110
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>Hanson LE, Howell CR (2002) Biocontrol efficacy and other characteristics of protoplast fusants between *Trichoderma koningii* and *T. virens*. Mycol Res 106(3):321–328
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>Harman GE, Howell CR, Viterbo A, Chet I, Lorito M (2004) *Trichoderma* species – opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. Nat Rev Microbiol 2:43–56
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>Harris AR (1999) Biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Pythium ultimum* on Capsicum by *Trichoderma koningii* in potting medium. Microbiol Res 154(2):131–135
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>Hermosa R, Viterbo A, Chet I, Monte E (2012) Plant-beneficial effects of *Trichoderma* and of its genes. Microbiology 158:17–25
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>Hermosa R, Rubio MR, Cardoza RE, Nicolás C, Monte E, Gutiérrez S (2013) The contribution of *Trichoderma* to balancing the costs of plant growth and defense. Int Microbiol 16:69–80
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>Hoch HC (1977) Mycoparasitic relationships: *Gonatobotrys simplex* parasitic on *Alternaria tenuis*. Phytopathology 67:309–314
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>Howell CR (2003) Mechanisms employed by *Trichoderma* species in the biological control of plant diseases: the history and evolution of current concepts. Plant Dis 87:4–10
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>Howell CR, Hanson LE, Stipanovic RD, Puckhaber LS (2000) Induction of terpenoid synthesis in cotton roots and control of *Rhizoctonia solani* by seed treatment with *Trichoderma virens*. Phytopathology 90:248–252
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>Hung PM, Wattanachai P, Kasem S, Poaim S (2015) Biological control of *Phytophthora palmivora* causing root rot of pomelo using *Chaetomium* spp. Mycobiology 43(1):63–70
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>Hussey RS, Roncadori RW (1978) Interaction of *Pratylenchus brachyurus* and *Gigaspora margarita* on cotton. J Nematol 10:16–20
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>Hwang J, Benson DM (2003) Expression of induced systemic resistance in poinsettia cuttings against *Rhizoctonia* stem rot by treatment of stock plants with binucleate *Rhizoctonia*. Biol Control 27:73–80
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>Inbar J, Chet I (1992) Biomimics of fungal cell-cell recognition by use of lectin-coated nylon fibers. J Bacteriol 174:1055–1059
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>Izuogu NB, Abiri TO (2015) Efficacy of *Trichoderma harzianum*T22 as a biocontrol agent against root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) on some soybean varieties. Croat J Food Sci Technol 7(2):47–51
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>Javeed MT, Al-Hazmi AS, Molan YY (2016) Antagonistic effects of some indigenous isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. against *Meloidogyne javanica*. Pak J Nematol 34(2):183–191
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>Keswani C, Singh SP, Singh HB (2013) A superstar in biocontrol Enterprise: *Trichoderma* spp. Biotechnol Today 3(2):27–30
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>Khalili E, Javed MA, Huyop F, Rayatpanah S, Jamshidi S, Wahab RA (2016) Evaluation of *Trichoderma* isolates as potential biological control agent against soybean charcoal rot disease caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Agric Environ Biotechnol 30(3):479–488
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>Khan TA, Saxena SK (1997) Effect of root-dip treatment with fungal filtrates on root penetration, development and reproduction of *Meloidogyne javanica* on tomato. Int J Nematol 7:85–88
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>Khattak B, Saifullah, Stephen M (2008) Effect of some indigenous isolates of *Trichoderma harzianum* on root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* (TREUB) chitwood. Sarhad J Agric 24:285–288
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>Kunova A, Pizzatti C, Cerea M, Gazzaniga A, Cortesi P (2016) New formulation and delivery method of Cryphonectria parasitica for biological control of chestnut blight. J Appl Microbiol 122(1):180–187
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>Lehner SM, Atanasova L, Neumann NKN, Krska R, Lemmens M, Druzhinina IS, Schuhmacher R (2013) Isotope-assisted screening for iron containing metabolites reveals a high degree of diversity among known and unknown siderophores produced by *Trichoderma* spp. Appl Environ Microbiol 79(1):18–31
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>Leonetti P, Costanza A, Zonno MC, Molinari S, Altomare C, C. (2014) How fungi interact with nematode to activate the plant defence response to tomato plants. Commun Agric Appl Biol Sci 79(3):357–363
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>Li Y-H, Luo Y, Zhang X-S, Shi W-L, Gong Z-T, Shi M, Chen L-L, Chen X-L, Zhang Y-Z, Song X-Y (2014) Trichokonins from *Trichoderma pseudokoningii* SMF2 induce resistance against Gram-negative *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. carotovorum in Chinese cabbage. FEMS Microbiol Lett 354:75–82
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>Li R-X, Cai F, Pang G, Shen Q-R, Li R, Chen W (2015) Solubilisation of phosphate and micronutrients by *Trichoderma harzianum* and its relationship with the promotion of tomato plant growth. PLoS One 10(6):e0130081
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>Lifshitz R, Windham MT, Baker R (1986) Mechanism of biological control of pre-emergence damping-off of pea by seed treatment with *Trichoderma* spp. Phytopathology 76:720–725
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>Lo C-T (1998) General mechanisms of action of microbial biocontrol agents. Plant Pathol Bull 7:155–166
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>Luo Y, Zhang D-D, Dong X-W, Zhao P-B, Chen L-L, Song X-Y, Wang X-J, Chen X-L, Shi M, Zhang Y-Z (2010) Antimicrobial peptaibols induce defense responses and systemic resistance in tobacco against tobaccomosaic virus. FEMS Microbiol Lett 313:120–126
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>Maag D, Kandula DRW, Müller C, Mendoza-Mendoza A, Wratten SD, Stewart A, Rostás M (2013) *Trichoderma atroviride* LU132 promotes plant growth but not induced systemic resistance to *Plutella xylostella* in oilseed rape. BioControl 59(2):241–252
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>Marois JJ (1990) Biological control of diseases caused by *Fusarium oxysporum*. In: Ploetz RC (ed) Fusarium wilt of banana. APS Press, St Paul, pp 77–81
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>Martínez-Medina A, Fernandez I, Lok GB, Pozo MJ, Pieterse CMJ, Van Wees SCM (2017) Shifting from priming of salicylic acid- to jasmonic acid-regulated defences by *Trichoderma* protects tomato against the root knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. New Phytol 213(3):1363–1377
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>Marzano M, Gallo A, Altomare C (2013) Improvement of biocontrol efficacy of Trichoderma harzianum vs. Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici through UV-induced tolerance to fusaric acid. Biol Control 67(3):397–408
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>Mendoza-Mendoza A, Pozo MJ, Grzegorski D, Martínez P, García JM, Olmedo-Monfil V, Cortés C, Kenerley C, Herrera-Estrella A (2003) Enhanced biocontrol activity of *Trichoderma* through inactivation of a mitogen-activated protein kinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci 100(26):15965–15970
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>Murali M, Amruthesh KN, Sudisha J, Niranjana SR, Shetty HS (2012) Screening for plant growth promoting fungi and their ability for growth promotion and induction of resistance in pearl millet against downy mildew disease. J Phytol 4(5):30–36
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>Naher L, Yusuf UK, Ismail A, Hossain K (2014) *Trichoderma* spp.: a biocontrol agent for sustainable management of plant diseases. Pak J Bot 46(4):1489–1493
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>Nan Z, Xin H, Juan Z, Raza W, Xing-Ming Y, Yun-Ze R, Qi-Rong S, Qi-Wei H (2014) Suppression of fusarium wilt of banana with application of bio-organic fertilizers. Pedosphere 24(5):613–624
- <span id="page-19-21"></span>Nawar LS, Sahab AF (2011) Evaluation of compost fortified with *Trichoderma* Spp. isolates as biological agents against broomrape of chamomile herbs. Nat Sci 9(8):229–236
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>Ojha S, Chatterjee NC (2011) Mycoparasitism of *Trichoderma* spp. in biocontrol of Fusarial wilt of tomato. Arch Phytopathol Plant Protect 44(8):771–782
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>Olabiyi TI, Ruocco M (2013) *In-vitro* competition bio-assay experiment on the effect of *Trichoderma* species and some crop pathogenic fungi. J Biol Agric Healthc 3(12):2224–3208
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>Park D (1960) Antagonism – the background of soil fungi. In: Parkinson D, Waid JS (eds) Ecology of soil-borne plant pathogens. Liverpool Univ. Press, Liverpool, pp 148–159
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>Paulitz TC, Belanger RR (2001) Biological control in greenhouse systems. Annu Rev Phytopathol 39:103–133
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>Pellegrini A, Prodorutti D, Pertot I (2014) Use of bark mulch pre-inoculated with *Trichoderma atroviride* to control Armillaria root rot. Crop Prot 64:104–109
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>Perazzolli M, Moretto M, Fontana P, Ferrarini A, Velasco R, Moser C, Delledonne M, Pertot I (2012) Downy mildew resistance induced by *Trichoderma harzianum* T39 in susceptible grapevines partially mimics transcriptional changes of resistant genotypes. BMC Genomics 13:660
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>Qi W, Zhao L (2012) Study of the siderophore-producing *Trichoderma asperellum* Q1 on cucumber growth promotion under salt stress. J Basic Microbiol 53:355–364
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>Raman T, Gopalakrishnan V, Perumal GD (2016) Identification of differentially expressed genes from *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp *cubense* and *Trichoderma asperellum* (prr2) interaction in the susceptible banana cultivar Grand Naine. Turk J Bot 40:480–487
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>Rubio MB, Quijada NM, Pérez E, Domínguez S, Monte E, Hermosa R (2014) Identifying *Trichoderma parareesei* beneficial qualities for plants. Appl Environ Microbiol 80(6):1864–1873
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>Sain SK, Pandey AK (2016) Evaluation of some Trichoderma harzianum isolates for the management of soil borne diseases of brinjal and okra. Proc Natl Acad Sci India Sect B: Biol Sci, 1–10
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>Samuels GJ (1996) Trichoderma: a review of biology and systematic of the genus. Mycol Res 100:923–935
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>Sant D, Casanova E, Segarra G, Avilés M, Reis M, Trillas MI (2010) Effect of *Trichoderma asperellum* strain T34 on Fusarium wilt and water usage in carnation grown on compost-based growth medium. Biol Control 53(3):291–296
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>Saravanakumar K, Yu C, Dou K, Wang M, Li Y, Chen J (2016a) Synergistic effect of *Trichoderma*derived antifungal metabolites and cell wall degrading enzymes on enhanced biocontrol of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum*. Biol Control 94:37–46
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>Saravanakumar K, Fan L, Fu K, Yu C, Wang M, Xia H, Sun J, Li Y, Chen J (2016b) Cellulase from *Trichoderma harzianum* interacts with roots and triggers induced systemic resistance to foliar disease in maize. Sci Rep 6:35543
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>Saravanan T, Muthusamy M, Marimuthu T (2003) Development of integrated approach to manage the fusarial wilt of banana. Crop Prot 22:1117–1123
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>Sarrocco S, Guidi L, Fambrini S, Degl'Innocenti E, Vannacci G (2009) Competition for cellulose exploitation between *Rhizoctonia solani* and two *Trichoderma* isolates in the decomposition of wheat straw. J Plant Pathol 91(2):331–338
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>Segarra G, Casanova E, Avilés M, Trillas I (2010) *Trichoderma asperellum* strain T34 controls Fusarium wilt disease in tomato plants in soilless culture through competition for iron. Fungal Microbiol 59:141–149
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>Selim ME, Mahdy ME, Sorial ME, Dababat AA, Sikora RA (2014) Biological and chemical dependent systemic resistance and their significance for the control of root-knot nematodes. Nematology 16(8):917–927
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>Sennoi R, Singkham N, Jogloy S, Boonlue S, Saksirirat W, Kesmala T, Patanothai A (2013) Biological control of southern stem rot caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* using *Trichoderma harzianum* and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus* L.) Crop Prot 54:148–153
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>Sharma PD (2006) Plant pathology. Alpha Science International, New Delhi, p 21.1
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>Sharma P, Vignesh Kumar P, Ramesh R, Saravanan K, Deep S, Sharma M, Mahesh S, Dinesh S (2011) Biocontrol genes from Trichoderma species: a review. Afr J Biotechnol 10(86):19898–19907
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>Sharon E, Bar-Eyal M, Chet I, Herrera-Estrella A, Kleifeld O, Spiegel Y (2001) Biological control of the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* by *Trichoderma harzianum*. Phytopathology 91:687–693
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>Sharon E, Chet I, Viterbo A, Bar-Eyal M, Nagan H, Samuels GJ, Spiegel Y (2007) Parasitism of *Trichoderma* on *Meloidogyne javanica* and role of the gelatinous matrix. Eur J Plant Pathol 118:247–258
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>Sharon E, Chet I, Spiegel Y (2011) *Trichoderma* as a biological control agent. In: Davies K, Spiegel Y (eds) Biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes: building coherence between microbial ecology and molecular mechanisms. Springer, Berlin, pp 183–201
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>Shi M, Chen L, Wang X-W, Zhang T, Zhao P-B, Song X-Y, Sun C-Y, Chen X-L, Zhou B-C, Zhang Y-Z (2012) Antimicrobial peptaibols from *Trichoderma pseudokoningii* induce programmed cell death in plant fungal pathogens. Microbiology 158:166–175
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>Singh V, Upadhyay RS, Sarma BK, Singh HB (2016) *Trichoderma asperellum* spore dose depended modulation of plant growth in vegetable crops. Microbiol Res 193:74–86
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>Sivan A (1987) Biological control of Fusarium crown rot of tomato by *Trichoderma harzianum* under field conditions. Plant Dis 71:587–592
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>Sivan A, Chet I (1989) Degradation of fungal cell walls by lytic enzymes of *Trichoderma harzianum*. J Gen Microbiol 135:675–682
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>Spiegel Y, Chet I (1998) Evaluation of *Trichoderma* spp. as a biocontrol agent against soil borne fungi and plant-parasitic nematodes in Israel. Integr Pest Manag Rev 3:169–175
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>Sriram S, Raguchander T, Babul S, Nanadakumar R, Shanmugam V, Balasubramaniam P, Muthukrishnan S, Samiyappan R (2000) Inactivation of phytotoxins produced by Rhizoctonia solani the rice sheath blight pathogens. Can J Microbiol 46:520–524
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>Steyaert JM, Ridgway HJ, Elad Y, Stewart A (2003) Genetic basis of mycoparasitism: a mechanism of biological control by species of *Trichoderma*. N Z J Crop Hortic Sci 31:281–291
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>Stirling GR (2011) Biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes: an ecological perspective, a review of progress and opportunities for further research. In: Davies K, Spiegel Y (eds) Biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes: building coherence between microbial ecology and molecular mechanisms. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 1–38
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>Sundaramoorthy S, Balabaskar P (2013) Biocontrol efficacy of *Trichoderma* spp. against wilt of tomato caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici*. J Appl Biol Biotechnol 1(03):036–040
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>Sutton JC, Liu W, Huang R, Owen-Going N (2002) Ability of *Clonostachys rosea* to establish and suppress sporulation potential of *Botrytis cinerea* in deleafed stems of hydroponic greenhouse tomatoes. Biocontrol Sci Tech 12:413–425
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>Sylla J (2013) Phyllosphere of organically grown strawberries. PhD. Department of Biosystems and Technology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Alnarp, p 68
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>Szabó M, Csepregi K, Gálber M, Virányi F, Fekete C (2012) Control plant-parasitic nematodes with *Trichoderma* species and nematode-trapping fungi: the role of Chi18-5 and chi18-12 genes in nematode egg-parasitism. Biol Control 63(2):121–128
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>Tian Y, Tan Y, Liu N, Yan, Z, Liao Y, Chen J, de Saeger S, Yang H, Zhang Q, Wu A (2016) Detoxification of deoxynivalenol via glycosylation represents novel insights on antagonistic activities of *Trichoderma* when confronted with *Fusarium graminearum*. Toxins, 8(11):335
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>Tsahouridou PC, Thanassoulopoulos CC (2001) *Trichoderma koningii* as a potential parasite of sclerotia of *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Cryptogam Mycol 22(4):289–295
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>Tsahouridou PC, Thanassoulopoulos CC (2002) Proliferation of *Trichoderma koningii* in the tomato rhizosphere and the suppression of damping-off by *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Soil Biol Biochem 34(6):767–776
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>Tunlid A, Ahrén D (2011) Molecular mechanisms of the interaction between nematode-trapping fungi and nematodes: lessons from genomics. In: Davies K, Spiegel Y (eds) Biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes: building coherence between microbial ecology and molecular mechanisms. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 145–169
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>Tunlid A, Jansson HB, Nordbring-Hertz B (1992) Fungal attachment to nematode. Mycol Res 96:401–412
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>Van den Boogert PHJF (1996) Mycoparasitism and biocontrol. In: Sneh B, Jabaji-Hare S, Neate S, Dijst G (eds) Rhizoctonia species\_ taxonomy, molecular biology, ecology, pathology and disease control. Springer, Netherlands, pp 485–493
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>Vázquez MB, Barrera V, Bianchinottiac V (2015) Molecular identification of three isolates of *Trichoderma harzianum* isolated from agricultural soils in Argentina, and their abilities to detoxify in-vitro metsulfuron methyl. Botany 93:793–800
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>Verkley GJM, da Silva M, Wicklow DT, Crous PW (2004) Paraconiothyrium, a new genus to accommodate the mycoparasite Coniothyrium minitans, anamorphs of Paraphaeosphaeria, and four new species. Stud Mycol 50(2):323–336
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>Viterbo A, Montero M, Ramot O, Friesem D, Monte E, Llobell A, Chet I (2002a) Expression regulation of the endochitinase chit36 from *Trichoderma asperellum* (*T. harzianum* T-203). Curr Genet 42:114–122
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>Viterbo A, Ramot O, Chernin L, Chet I (2002b) Significance of lytic enzymes from *Trichoderma* spp. in the biocontrol of fungal plant pathogens. Antonie Leeuwenhoek 81:549–556
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>Vitti A, Monaca EL, Sofo A, Scopa A, Cuypers A, Nuzzaci M (2015) Beneficial effects of *Trichoderma harzianum* T-22 in tomato seedlings infected by cucumber mosaic virus (CMV). BioControl 60:135–147
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>Weindling R (1934) Studies on lethal principle effective in the parasitic action of *Trichoderma lignorum* on *Rhizoctonia solani* and other soil fungi. Phytopathology 24:1153–1179
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>Widmer TL (2014) Screening *Trichoderma* species for biological control activity against *Phytophthora ramorum* in soil. Biol Control 79:43–48
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>Wokocha RC (1990) Integrated control of *Sclerotium rolfsii* infection of tomato in the Nigerian Savanna: effect of *Trichoderma viride* and some fungicides. Crop Prot 9:231–234
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>Yang Z, Yu Z, Lei L, Xia Z, Shao L, Zhang K, Li G (2012) Nematicidal effect of volatiles produced by *Trichoderma* sp. J Asia Pac Entomol 15:647–650
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>Yedidia I, Benhamou N, Chet I (1999) Induction of defense responses in cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.) by the biocontrol agent *Trichoderma harzianum*. Appl Environ Microbiol 65(3):1061–1070
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>Yuana S, Lia M, Fanga Z, Liua Y, Shia W, Pana B, Wuc K, Shib J, Shena B, Shena Q (2016) Biological control of tobacco bacterial wilt using *Trichoderma harzianum* amended bioorganic fertilizer and the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi *Glomus mosseae*. Biol Control 92:164–171
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>Zhang F, Zhua Z, Yanga X, Rana W, Shena Q (2013) *Trichoderma harzianum* T-E5 significantly affects cucumber root exudates and fungal community in the cucumber rhizosphere. Appl Soil Ecol 72:41–48