# **Ovarian Cancer Genome and Molecular Experimental Sciences**

Noriomi Matsumura and Ikuo Konishi

#### Abstract

A large number of genomic studies have provided important insights into molecular pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. Ovarian cancer is divided into two types: type I and type II tumors. Type I ovarian tumors include clear cell, endometrioid, mucinous, and low-grade serous carcinomas, while type II tumors are mainly highgrade serous carcinomas. High-grade serous carcinomas are characterized by TP53 gene mutations and extensive copy number alterations. Approximately half of high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas harbor homologous recombination pathway deficiency. Clear cell carcinomas are characterized by upregulation of HNF1B and IL6 and mutations in PIK3CA and ARID1A. Alterations of HNF1B pathway, IL6 pathway, PI3K pathway, and SWI/SNF complex are influenced by copy number alterations and epigenetic regulation. Endometrioid carcinomas are divided into low-grade (G1-G2) and high-grade (G3) tumors, although some of high-grade serous carcinomas have been misclassified as high-grade endometrioid carcinomas. Low-grade endometrioid carcinomas harbor mutations in CTNNB1, PTEN, KRAS, PIK3CA, and ARID1A, while high-grade endometrioid carcinomas harbor TP53 mutations. Mucinous carcinomas exhibit ERBB2/KRAS/BRAF pathway activation by KRAS or BRAF mutations or ERBB2 amplifications. Unlike other type I tumors, half of mucinous carcinomas harbor TP53 mutations. Low-grade serous carcinomas evolve from serous borderline tumor. KRAS and BRAF mutations are common in serous borderline tumors and low-grade serous carcinomas.

#### Keywords

Ovarian cancer • Genome • Genetic alterations

N. Matsumura, M.D., Ph.D. (🖂)

I. Konishi, M.D., Ph.D. National Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan

© Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2017

H. Katabuchi (ed.), *Frontiers in Ovarian Cancer Science*, Comprehensive Gynecology and Obstetrics, DOI 10.1007/978-981-10-4160-0\_7

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kindai University, Faculty of Medicine, Osaka, Japan e-mail: noriomi@med.kindai.ac.jp

## 7.1 Introduction

There are two types of epithelial ovarian cancer: type I and type II [1]. Type I tumors grow slowly, while type II tumors behave aggressively. Type I tumors contain low-grade serous, clear cell, endometrioid, and mucinous cancers, while type II tumors are mainly high-grade serous cancers. A large number of genomic studies have provided important insights into molecular pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. This chapter summarizes genomic alterations of epithelial ovarian cancer from histology to histology.

# 7.2 High-Grade Serous Ovarian Carcinoma

## 7.2.1 Germline Mutations in Ovarian Carcinoma

Ovarian carcinoma, mainly high-grade serous, can occur via germline gene mutations in DNA repair system. In a study of 1915 ovarian carcinoma cases, 347 (18%) carried pathogenic germline mutations, 280 (15%) had mutations in BRCA1 (n = 182) or BRCA2 (n = 98), and the remaining cases had mutations in other 5 homologous recombination (HR) pathway genes (*BARD1, BRIP1, PALB2, RAD51C, RAD51D*) and four mismatch repair (MMR) genes (*MSH2, MLH1, PMS2, and MSH6*) [2].

# 7.2.2 The Genomic Landscape of High-Grade Serous Ovarian Carcinoma

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) project analyzed more than 300 high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma cases with whole-exome sequencing, SNP array (to analyze copy number alterations), mRNA expression microarray, DNA methylation microarray, and microRNA microarray [3]. The TCGA analyses identified four ovarian cancer transcriptional subtypes, three miRNA subtypes, four promoter methylation subtypes, and a transcriptional signature correlated with prognosis.

Strikingly, nearly all the high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma cases harbored somatic mutations in *TP53* (96%). Furthermore, a study by five gynecologic pathologists who reviewed the negative TP53 cases from TCGA study found that all of the negative tumors except for one were histologically misclassified. The one exception contained a homozygous deletion of the gene, indicating that all high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas have a TP53 abnormality, which is almost always a mutation [4]. Somatic gene mutations other than *TP53* occurred in less than 5% of high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas.

Additional feature of high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma is the widespread copy number alterations. The TCGA analysis identified regional copy number aberrations including 8 recurrent gains and 22 losses [3], all of which have been reported previously [5]. Focal amplifications were observed in 63 regions. The most common focal amplifications encoded *CCNE1*, *MYC*, and *MECOM* in more than 20%

of tumors. The TCGA study also identified homozygous deletions of known tumor suppressor genes, such as *PTEN*, *RB1*, and *NF1*. A focal deletion at 10q23.31 that includes only *PTEN* has been found in approximately 7% of tumors, which is associated with downregulation of *PTEN* mRNA expression [3]. Another group confirmed that *PTEN* loss is a common event in high-grade serous ovarian cancer with significantly worse prognosis [6].

Exome sequencing has a limited ability to detect gene mutation by structural rearrangement. A whole-genome sequencing analysis for 92 cases of high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma was performed focusing on the mechanism of chemore-sistance [7]. Although *NF1* and *RB1* were inactivated by truncating point mutations and indels in limited number of samples (*NF1*; n = 3, *RB1*; n = 2, out of 80), inclusion of gene breakage raised the frequency of inactivating mutations to 20% for *NF1* and 17.5% for *RB1*. Gene inactivation by breakage was also seen for *PTEN* (7.5%) and *RAD51B* (5%).

Homologous recombinant (HR) pathway-deficient tumors, having extensive copy number alterations and increased single nucleotide variants, are sensitive to platinum and PARP inhibitor. HR pathway-deficient tumors tend to use error-prone nonhomologous end joining to repair DNA, leading to extensive genome DNA variations. Approximately 50% of high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas exhibit genetic or epigenetic alterations in the FA-BRCA pathway (Fig. 7.1) [3, 8]. In TCGA analysis, germline *BRCA1/2* mutations are present in 14% [3], whereas somatic *BRCA1/2* mutations have been identified in 6% [3]. Importantly, 81% of *BRCA1* and 72% of



Fig. 7.1 HR-deficient and HR-proficient tumors of high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma [8]

BRCA2 mutations are accompanied by heterozygous loss [3]; thus, both alleles are inactivated. Epigenetic silencing via promoter hypermethylation occurs for BRCA1, but not BRCA2, in approximately 10% and is mutually exclusive of BRCA1/2 mutations [3]. Other HR pathway alterations include mutations in FA genes (mainly PALB2, FANCA, FANCI, FANCL, and FANCC), in RAD genes (RAD50, RAD51, RAD51C, and RAD54L), and in DNA damage response genes (ATM, ATR, CHEK1, and CHEK2). RAD51C was also epigenetically silenced via promoter hypermethylation in about 2% of the cases [3]. CDK12 is known to promote the transcription of several HR pathway genes, including BRCA1. Inactivation mutation of CDK12, found in 3% of the cases [3], leads to downregulation of BRCA1 and other HR genes [9, 10]. HR defect may also occur via indirect mechanism. PTEN inactivation has been reported to be synthetically lethal with PARP inhibition, and one of the proposed mechanisms is downregulation of RAD51 [11, 12]. Additionally, overexpression and amplification of EMSY, which inhibits transcriptional activity of BRCA2 [13], is found in as high as 17% of high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas [3]. Furthermore, there may be other mechanisms of HR deficiency, such as miRNAs that target BRCA1/2 [14, 15].

HR pathway proficient tumors with *CCNE1* amplification were common in primary resistant and refractory cases [7]. Inactivation of the p53 pathway and activation of the CCNE1 pathway also contribute to chromosomal instability [16]. Alterations in nucleotide excision repair (NER) and mismatch repair (MMR) have been reported in up to 8% and 3% of high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas, which tumors are sensitive to platinum and resistant to PARP inhibitor [17].

Mechanism of acquired resistance to chemotherapy included breakage of tumor suppressor genes, reversion mutation of *BRCA1/2* mutated cases, and upregulation of *BRCA1* gene expression by demethylation of the methylated *BRCA1* promoter region in a primary tumor. Additionally, gene fusion of *ABCB1* with *SLC25A40* promoter caused upregulation of *ABCB1* expression, which can cause increased excretion of chemotherapeutic agents [7] (see also Sect. 3.4.1.1 in Chap. 3).

## 7.2.3 Experiments to Identify Origin of High-Grade Serous Ovarian Carcinoma

Recently, fallopian tubal epithelial cell has been thought as the origin of high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma [1]. Using a genetically engineered mouse that expresses Cre recombinase from a *Pax8* promoter, *Brca*, *Tp53*, and *Pten* genes were targeted in fallopian tubal secretory epithelial cells. This mouse model generated serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma as the precursor lesion that gave rise to high-grade serous ovarian and peritoneal carcinomas [18]. In this model, tumor-bearing mice had higher serum CA125 levels than controls. Furthermore, the tumors had extensive copy number alterations similar to human high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas.

There is another idea regarding cell of origin of high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. Cells of the hilum ovarian surface epithelium, the transitional area between the ovarian surface epithelium, mesothelium, and tubal epithelium, express stem cell markers and display stem cell properties. The hilum cells show increased transformation potential after inactivation of tumor suppressor genes Tp53 and Rb1. Therefore, stem cell niches in those areas are susceptible to malignant transformation and could be the origin of high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma [19].

# 7.3 Ovarian Clear Cell Carcinoma

## 7.3.1 Gene Expression of Ovarian Clear Cell Carcinoma

Ovarian clear cell carcinoma shows unique clinical features including an association with endometriosis and poor prognosis. A gene expression microarray analysis identified genes commonly expressed in both ovarian clear cell carcinoma cell lines and clinical samples, which comprise an ovarian clear cell carcinoma gene signature. The gene signature contains known markers of ovarian clear cell carcinoma, such as *HNF1B*, *VCAN*, *IL6*, and other genes that reflect oxidative stress. Expression of ovarian clear cell carcinoma signature genes was induced by treatment of immortalized ovarian surface epithelial cells with the contents of endometriotic cysts, indicating that the ovarian clear cell carcinoma signature is largely dependent on the tumor microenvironment [20].

# 7.3.2 DNA Methylation Analysis of Ovarian Clear Cell Carcinoma

Recently, genome-wide methylation and expression data were generated for 14 ovarian clear cell carcinoma, 32 non-ovarian clear cell carcinoma, and four normal cell lines. Consensus clustering showed that ovarian clear cell carcinoma is epigenetically distinct. Inverse relationships between expression and methylation in ovarian clear cell carcinoma were identified, suggesting functional regulation by methylation, and included 22 hypomethylated genes and 276 hypermethylated genes. The ovarian clear cell carcinoma-specific hypomethylated genes were involved in response to stress and many contain HNF1-binding sites, while the ovarian clear cell carcinoma-specific hypermethylated genes included members of the ER $\alpha$  network and genes involved in tumor development [21].

#### 7.3.3 Genetic Analyses of Ovarian Clear Cell Carcinoma

*ARID1A* mutations were reported in 46–57% and *PIK3CA* mutations in 31–33% of ovarian clear cell carcinoma samples [22–24]. A whole-exome sequencing of 39 ovarian clear cell carcinoma samples identified recurrent somatic mutations in 426 genes [25]. In these 39 samples, *ARID1A* (62%) and *PIK3CA* (51%) were frequently mutated, and known key ovarian clear cell carcinoma-related genes such as *KRAS* (10%), *PPP2R1A* (10%), and *PTEN* (5%), as well as novel genes *MLL3* (15%),

*ARID1B* (10%), and *PIK3R1* (8%) were also mutated. Gene interaction analysis and functional assessment revealed that mutated genes were clustered into groups pertaining to chromatin remodeling, cell proliferation, DNA repair and cell cycle checkpointing, and cytoskeletal organization.

A copy number variation analysis based on the above exome sequencing identified frequent amplification of *MYC* (chr8q, 64%), *ZNF217* (chr20q, 54%), and *ERBB2*, *STAT3*, *HNF1B*, *PPM1D* (chr17q, 46%) loci as well as deletion in *SMARCA4* (chr19p, 41%), *RB1* (chr13q, 28%), *NOTCH1* (chr9q, 21%), and *SMAD4* (chr18q, 21%) loci. Other copy number alterations included amplification of *IL6*, *IL6R*, *KRAS*, *PIK3CA*, *PIK3C2B*, *CDK2*, *CDK4*, and *CCNE1*, as well as deletion of *ARID1A*, *SMARCC1*, *SMARCA2*, *ARID1B*, *CDKN1A*, *CDKN2A*, *CDKN2B*, and *TP53*. Integration of the analyses discovered that frequently mutated or amplified/ deleted genes were involved in the KRAS/PI3K signaling (82%) and MYC/RB signaling (75%) pathways as well as the critical chromatin remodeling complex SWI/ SNF (85%) [25] (see also Sect. 3.4.3 in Chap. 3).

# 7.3.4 Role of ARID1A, PIK3CA, and IL6 in the Carcinogenesis of Ovarian Clear Cell Carcinoma

Concurrent *Arid1a* inactivation and *Pik3ca* activation in mouse ovaries generated adenocarcinomas similar to human ovarian clear cell carcinomas. These tumors expressed Hnf1b, a marker of ovarian clear cell carcinoma. Furthermore, in this model, the tumor growth was promoted through sustained IL6 overproduction [26].

Ovarian clear cell carcinoma was generated in vitro by introducing *ARID1A* knockdown and mutant *PIK3CA* into a normal human ovarian epithelial cell line. Loss of *ARID1A* impairs the recruitment of the Sin3A-HDAC complex, while the *PIK3CA* mutation releases RelA from IκB, leading to NF-kB pathway activation resulting in IL6 overexpression [27].

Collectively, these findings indicate that *ARID1A* and *PIK3CA* mutations, frequently seen in ovarian clear cell carcinoma, are sufficient to generate ovarian clear cell carcinoma, associated with the specific gene expression including *HNF1B* and *IL6* (see also Sect. 3.4.3 in Chap. 3).

# 7.4 Ovarian Endometrioid Carcinoma

#### 7.4.1 Genetic Analysis of Ovarian Endometrioid Carcinoma

Gene mutations in ovarian endometrioid carcinoma samples with different grades (grade 1, n = 20; grade 2, n = 26; grade 3, n = 26) were analyzed, and mutations in *CTNNB1* (13%, 5%, 0%), *APC* (5%, 0%, 0%), *KRAS* (10%, 12%, 0%), *PTEN* (20%, 8%, 0%), *PIK3CA* (20%, 8%, 0%), and *TP53* (15%, 46%, 65%) were found [28]. Therefore, high-grade ovarian endometrioid carcinomas are likely to harbor *TP53* mutations, while low-grade ovarian endometrioid carcinomas frequently harbor

mutations of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway and/or KRAS/PI3K pathway genes. In another study, *ARID1A* mutations were reported in 10 of 33 ovarian endometrioid carcinomas (30%) [23]. Another group reported mutations of *CTNNB1* (53%), *PIK3CA* (40%), *ARID1A* (30%), *PTEN* (17%), *KRAS* (33%), *PPP2R1A* (17%), and *TP53* (7%) in low-grade (grade 1 and 2) ovarian endometrioid carcinomas (n = 30) [29]. Activating mutations of the *CTNNB1* gene is associated with squamous differentiation [30].

High-grade endometrioid carcinoma tumors with TP53 mutations have expression profiles similar to those of high-grade serous carcinoma [31]. However, these tumors may have been misclassified, as suggested by more recent studies reporting a subset of high-grade serous carcinomas that display a pseudoendometrioid pattern [32] (see also Sect. 3.4.2 in Chap. 3).

# 7.4.2 Mouse Models of Ovarian Endometrioid Carcinoma

Like ovarian clear cell carcinomas, ovarian endometrioid carcinomas are frequently associated with endometriosis. Peritoneal endometriosis occurs in mice by the activation of an oncogenic K-ras. Additionally, expression of oncogenic K-ras and Pten deletion within the ovarian surface epithelium leads to the induction of adenocarcinomas similar to human ovarian endometrioid carcinomas [33]. In another study, inactivation of the *Pten* and *Apc* in murine ovaries resulted in the formation of endometrioid adenocarcinomas [28]. More recently, codeletion of *Arid1a* and *Pten* resulted in ovarian endometrioid carcinoma [34].

# 7.4.3 Microsatellite Instability (MSI) in Ovarian Endometrioid Carcinoma

Ovarian cancer, particularly endometrioid adenocarcinoma, is associated with Lynch syndrome, although the risk is much smaller than for uterine cancer. Among 71 cases with ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinoma, 7 (10%) tumors had abnormal mismatch repair (MMR) protein status, defined as complete loss of expression of MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and/or PMS2. Each of these tumors with abnormal MMR status demonstrated MSI. Importantly, concurrent uterine tumor was present in 5/7 patients whose ovarian tumor had abnormal MMR/MSI [35].

# 7.4.4 Genetic Analysis of Synchronous Endometrial and Ovarian Carcinoma

Five to ten percent of women with ovarian endometrioid carcinomas present with concurrent endometrial carcinoma. Based on both targeted and exome sequencing of 18 synchronous endometrial and ovarian tumors, most (17/18) cases showed evidence of clonality. Importantly, 10 of 11 cases that fulfilled clinicopathological

criteria that would lead to classification as independent endometrial and ovarian primary carcinomas showed evidence of clonality [36]. Therefore, the genome-wide analysis demonstrated that most synchronous endometrial and ovarian carcinoma tumors develop from a clonal origin.

## 7.5 Mucinous Ovarian Tumors

### 7.5.1 Origin of Mucinous Ovarian Tumors

Mucinous ovarian carcinomas typically display heterogeneity, with lesion of mucinous cystadenoma admixed with borderline tumor and carcinoma. The identical KRAS mutation in these components provides strong evidence that mucinous cystadenomas are the precursor lesions of mucinous carcinoma [37, 38].

In terms of the origin of mucinous cystadenoma, a subset develops from mucinous epithelium in mature teratomas. A microsatellite genotyping analysis of mucinous tumors associated with a teratoma revealed five of six pairs of tumors with teratoma showed a high or complete degree of allelotype matching, which differed from the somatic allelotypes of the normal control tissue [39].

It has been proposed that many of nongerm cell mucinous tumors are derived from Brenner tumors. In a study of 40 mucinous cystadenomas, 67 Brenner tumors, and 13 combined tumors, a total of 25% of tumors with a mucinous component contained a Brenner component, and 16% of tumors with a Brenner component contained a mucinous component. Mucinous tumors are typically large, whereas Brenner tumors tend to be smaller. Accordingly, the Brenner tumor is compressed by the large mucinous cystadenoma and may be overlooked [40]. This hypothesis was supported by a recent study showing that, in combined Brenner and mucinous tumors, the Brenner and mucinous components are clonally related [41] (see also Sect. 3.4.4 in Chap. 3).

#### 7.5.2 Genetic Features of Mucinous Ovarian Tumors

*KRAS*-activating mutation is the most common single molecular genetic alteration in mucinous carcinomas, occurring in 65% of cases [42]. Another study identified mutations in a novel gene, *RNF43*, a zinc finger-dependent E3 ubiquitin protein ligase. *RNF43* mutations were observed with a frequency of 2/22 (9%) in mucinous ovarian borderline tumors and 6/29 (21%) in mucinous ovarian carcinomas [43]. In contrast to other type I ovarian carcinomas, *TP53* mutation is frequent in mucinous carcinomas, being present in approximately one-half of cases [42, 43]. In a genetic analysis of a total of 82 mucinous ovarian tumors, which included exome sequencing of 24 tumors and a validation cohort of benign 58 tumors for specific gene regions, benign, borderline, and carcinoma samples harbored mutations in *BRAF* (0%, 10%, 23%), *TP53* (9%, 14%, 52%), and *RNF43* (0%, 7%, 20%), respectively, which mutations were associated with progression of the disease. Other recurrent, but not associated with progression, mutations were found in *KRAS* (54%), *CDKN2A* (16%), *ARID1A* (8%), *ELF3* (6%), *GNAS* (6%), *ERBB3* (5%), and *KLF5* (5%) [44].

Overexpression and amplification of *ERRB2* was observed in 11/176 (6%) mucinous borderline tumors and 29/154 (19%) mucinous cancers. *KRAS* mutations and *ERRB2* amplification are near mutually exclusive (#41#). Thus, mutations in *KRAS*, *BRAF*, and/or *ERRB2* amplification are present in the majority of mucinous neoplasms, indicating RAS/RAF pathway activation is frequent in this tumor. (See also Sect. 3.4.4 in Chap. 3).

# 7.6 Serous Borderline Tumor and Low-Grade Serous Ovarian Carcinoma

It has been well established that low-grade serous ovarian carcinomas can develop from serous borderline tumor. Deletions of ch1p36 and ch9p21 are much more common in low-grade serous ovarian carcinomas than in serous borderline tumors [45]. The ch1p36 region contains several candidate tumor suppressor genes including miR-34a. Then, the ch9p21 region including the *CDKN2A/B* locus encodes three tumor suppressor proteins, p14 (Arf), p16, and p15. Thus, deletions of ch1p36 or ch9p21 may cause progression of some serous borderline tumors to low-grade serous carcinomas.

*KRAS* mutations occur in one-third of serous borderline tumors and log-grade serous ovarian carcinomas, and *BRAF* mutations occur in another one-third of serous borderline tumors but less commonly in low-grade serous ovarian carcinomas [46, 47]. *BRAF*-mutated advanced-stage low-grade serous ovarian carcinomas are much less common than are *BRAF*-mutated advanced-stage serous borderline tumors [48–50]. *ERBB2* and *NRAS* mutations are also detected in a small percentage of low-grade serous ovarian carcinomas [47, 51]. These mutations result in activation of the MAP kinase signal transduction pathway. Exome sequencing analyses also identified *BRAF* and *KRAS* as the most frequently mutated genes (#43#, #44#).

A better outcome has been reported for women whose tumors contain *BRAF* mutations than for women with *KRAS* mutations or wild-type *BRAF* and *KRAS* [48, 49, 52]. *BRAF* mutations correlate with the presence of cells with abundant eosino-philic cytoplasm, which may suggest cellular senescence caused by BRAF activation [53, 54] (see also Sect. 3.4.1.2 in Chap. 3).

#### Conclusion

Type I tumors, containing low-grade serous, clear cell, endometrioid, and mucinous cancers, are characterized by activating mutations in the ERRB2/KRAS/ BRAF/MEK pathway, PI3K/AKT pathway, and Wnt pathway and inactivation mutations in the *PTEN*- and ARID1A-related chromatin remodeling. In contrast, type II tumors, mainly high-grade serous cancers, are characterized by inactivation of the TP53, deficiency of the HR pathway, and extensive copy number alterations. Representative genetic alterations are summarized in Table 7.1. These findings would lead to discovery of effective molecularly targeted drugs and their biomarkers.

|                             | Gene mutation                      | Copy number<br>amplification               | Copy number loss              |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| High-grade serous           | TP53, BRCA1/2                      | CCNE1, MYC, MECOM                          | PTEN, RB1, NF1                |
| Clear cell                  | ARID1A, PIK3CA                     | MYC, ZNF217, ERBB2,<br>STAT3, HNF1B, PPM1D | SMARCA4, RB1,<br>SMAD4        |
| Endometrioid<br>(low-grade) | CTNNB1, PIK3CA,<br>KRAS, PTEN      |                                            |                               |
| Mucinous                    | KRAS, TP53, BRAF,<br>RNF43, CDKN2A | ERBB2                                      |                               |
| Low-grade serous            | KRAS, BRAF                         |                                            | <i>CDKN2A/2B</i> ,<br>miR-34a |

 Table 7.1
 Representative genetic alterations in epithelial ovarian cancer

# References

- 1. Kurman RJ, Shih IM. The dualistic model of ovarian carcinogenesis: revisited, revised, and expanded. Am J Pathol. 2016;186:733–47.
- Norquist BM, Harrell MI, Brady MF, et al. Inherited mutations in women with ovarian carcinoma. JAMA Oncol. 2016;2:482–90.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic analyses of ovarian carcinoma. Nature. 2011;474:609–15.
- 4. Vang R, Levine DA, Soslow RA, et al. Molecular alterations of TP53 are a defining feature of ovarian high-grade serous carcinoma: a rereview of cases lacking TP53 mutations in the cancer genome atlas ovarian study. Int J Gynecol Pathol. 2016;35:48–55.
- Etemadmoghadam D, deFazio A, Beroukhim R, et al. Integrated genome-wide DNA copy number and expression analysis identifies distinct mechanisms of primary chemoresistance in ovarian carcinomas. Clin Cancer Res. 2009;15:1417–27.
- Martins FC, Santiago ID, Trinh A, et al. Combined image and genomic analysis of high-grade serous ovarian cancer reveals PTEN loss as a common driver event and prognostic classifier. Genome Biol. 2014;15:526.
- Patch AM, Christie EL, Etemadmoghadam D, et al. Whole-genome characterization of chemoresistant ovarian cancer. Nature. 2015;521:489–94.
- Konstantinopoulos PA, Ceccaldi R, Shapiro GI, et al. Homologous recombination deficiency: exploiting the fundamental vulnerability of ovarian cancer. Cancer Discov. 2015;5:1137–54.
- Bajrami I, Frankum JR, Konde A, et al. Genome-wide profiling of genetic synthetic lethality identifies CDK12 as a novel determinant of PARP1/2 inhibitor sensitivity. Cancer Res. 2014;74:287–97.
- Joshi PM, Sutor SL, Huntoon CJ, et al. Ovarian cancer-associated mutations disable catalytic activity of CDK12, a kinase that promotes homologous recombination repair and resistance to cisplatin and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors. J Biol Chem. 2014;289:9247–53.
- 11. Mendes-Pereira AM, Martin SA, Brough R, et al. Synthetic lethal targeting of PTEN mutant cells with PARP inhibitors. EMBO Mol Med. 2009;1:315–22.
- Shen WH, Balajee AS, Wang J, et al. Essential role for nuclear PTEN in maintaining chromosomal integrity. Cell. 2007;128:157–70.
- Hughes-Davies L, Huntsman D, Ruas M, et al. EMSY links the BRCA2 pathway to sporadic breast and ovarian cancer. Cell. 2003;115:523–35.
- Moskwa P, Buffa FM, Pan Y, et al. miR-182-mediated downregulation of BRCA1 impacts DNA repair and sensitivity to PARP inhibitors. Mol Cell. 2011;41:210–20.
- 15. Choi YE, Pan Y, Park E, et al. MicroRNAs down-regulate homologous recombination in the G1 phase of cycling cells to maintain genomic stability. Elife. 2014;3:e02445.

- Bagheri-Yarmand R, Biernacka A, Hunt KK, et al. Low molecular weight cyclin E overexpression shortens mitosis, leading to chromosome missegregation and centrosome amplification. Cancer Res. 2010;70:5074–84.
- 17. Ceccaldi R, O'Connor KW, Mouw KW, et al. A unique subset of epithelial ovarian cancers with platinum sensitivity and PARP inhibitor resistance. Cancer Res. 2015;75:628–34.
- Perets R, Wyant GA, Muto KW, et al. Transformation of the fallopian tube secretory epithelium leads to high-grade serous ovarian cancer in Brca;Tp53;Pten models. Cancer Cell. 2013;24:751–65.
- 19. Flesken-Nikitin A, Hwang CI, Cheng CY, et al. Ovarian surface epithelium at the junction area contains a cancer-prone stem cell niche. Nature. 2013;495:241–5.
- Yamaguchi K, Mandai M, Oura T, et al. Identification of an ovarian clear cell carcinoma gene signature that reflects inherent disease biology and the carcinogenic processes. Oncogene. 2010;29:1741–52.
- Yamaguchi K, Huang Z, Matsumura N, et al. Epigenetic determinants of ovarian clear cell carcinoma biology. Int J Cancer. 2014;135:585–97.
- 22. Jones S, Wang TL, Shih IM, et al. Frequent mutations of chromatin remodeling gene ARID1A in ovarian clear cell carcinoma. Science. 2010;330:228–31.
- Wiegand KC, Shah SP, Al-Agha OM, et al. ARID1A mutations in endometriosis-associated ovarian carcinomas. N Engl J Med. 2010;363:1532–43.
- Matsumoto T, Yamazaki M, Takahashi H, et al. Distinct β-catenin and PIK3CA mutation profiles in endometriosis-associated ovarian endometrioid and clear cell carcinomas. Am J Clin Pathol. 2015;144:452–63.
- 25. Murakami R, Matsumura N, Higasa K, et al. Exome sequencing landscape analyses in ovarian clear cell carcinoma shed light on key chromosomal regions and mutation gene networks. Am J Pathol. (in press)
- Chandler RL, Damrauer JS, Raab JR, et al. Coexistent ARID1A-PIK3CA mutations promote ovarian clear-cell tumorigenesis through pro-tumorigenic inflammatory cytokine signalling. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6118.
- Kim M, Lu F, Zhang Y. Loss of HDAC-mediated repression and gain of NF-κB activation underlie cytokine induction in ARID1A- and PIK3CA-mutation-driven ovarian cancer. Cell Rep. 2016;17:275–88.
- Wu R, Hendrix-Lucas N, Kuick R, et al. Mouse model of human ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinoma based on somatic defects in the Wnt/beta-catenin and PI3K/Pten signaling pathways. Cancer Cell. 2007;11:321–33.
- 29. McConechy MK, Ding J, Senz J, et al. Ovarian and endometrial endometrioid carcinomas have distinct CTNNB1 and PTEN mutation profiles. Mod Pathol. 2014;27:128–34.
- Saegusa M, Hashimura M, Yoshida T, et al. Beta- Catenin mutations and aberrant nuclear expression during endometrial tumorigenesis. Br J Cancer. 2001;84:209–17.
- Tothill RW, Tinker AV, George J, et al. Novel molecular subtypes of serous and endometrioid ovarian cancer linked to clinical outcome. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14:5198–208.
- 32. Soslow RA, Han G, Park KJ, et al. Morphologic patterns associated with BRCA1 and BRCA2 genotype in ovarian carcinoma. Mod Pathol. 2012;25:625–36.
- Dinulescu DM, Ince TA, Quade BJ, et al. Role of K-ras and Pten in the development of mouse models of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian cancer. Nat Med. 2005;11:63–70.
- Guan B, Rahmanto YS, RC W, et al. Roles of deletion of Arid1a, a tumor suppressor, in mouse ovarian tumorigenesis. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2014;106:dju146.
- 35. Aysal A, Karnezis A, Medhi I, et al. Ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinoma: incidence and clinical significance of the morphologic and immunohistochemical markers of mismatch repair protein defects and tumor microsatellite instability. Am J Surg Pathol. 2012;36:163–72.
- 36. Anglesio MS, Wang YK, Maassen M, et al. Synchronous endometrial and ovarian carcinomas: evidence of clonality. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2016;108:djv428.
- Mok SC, Bell DA, Knapp RC, et al. Mutation of K-ras protooncogene in human ovarian epithelial tumors of borderline malignancy. Cancer Res. 1993;53:1489–92.

- 38. Cuatrecasas M, Villanueva A, Matias-Guiu X, et al. K-ras mutations in mucinous ovarian tumors: a clinicopathologic and molecular study of 95 cases. Cancer. 1997;79:1581–6.
- 39. Wang Y, Shwartz LE, Anderson D, et al. Molecular analysis of ovarian mucinous carcinoma reveals different cell of origins. Oncotarget. 2015;6:22949–58.
- 40. Seidman JD, Khedmati F. Exploring the histogenesis of ovarian mucinous and transitional cell (Brenner) neoplasms and their relationship with Walthard cell nests: a study of 120 tumors. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2008;132:1753–60.
- 41. Wang Y, RC W, Shwartz LE, et al. Clonality analysis of combined Brenner and mucinous tumours of the ovary reveals their monoclonal origin. J Pathol. 2015;237:146–51.
- 42. Mackenzie R, Kommoss S, Winterhoff BJ, et al. Targeted deep sequencing of mucinous ovarian tumors reveals multiple overlapping RAS-pathway activating mutations in borderline and cancerous neoplasms. BMC Cancer. 2015;15:415.
- 43. Ryland GL, Hunter SM, Doyle MA, et al. RNF43 is a tumour suppressor gene mutated in mucinous tumours of the ovary. J Pathol. 2013;229:469–76.
- 44. Ryland GL, Hunter SM, Doyle MA, et al. Mutational landscape of mucinous ovarian carcinoma and its neoplastic precursors. Genome Med. 2015;7:87.
- 45. Kuo KT, Guan B, Feng Y, et al. Analysis of DNA copy number alterations in ovarian serous tumors identifies new molecular genetic changes in low-grade and high-grade carcinomas. Cancer Res. 2009;69:4036–42.
- 46. Singer G, Oldt R 3rd, Cohen Y, et al. Mutations in BRAF and KRAS characterize the development of low-grade ovarian serous carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2003;95:484–6.
- 47. Jones S, Wang TL, Kurman RJ, et al. Low-grade serous carcinomas of the ovary contain very few point mutations. J Pathol. 2012;226:413–20.
- Grisham RN, Iyer G, Garg K, et al. BRAF mutation is associated with early stage disease and improved outcome in patients with low-grade serous ovarian cancer. Cancer. 2013;119:548–54.
- 49. Wong KK, Tsang YT, Deavers MT, et al. BRAF mutation is rare in advanced-stage low-grade ovarian serous carcinomas. Am J Pathol. 2010;177:1611–7.
- Ardighieri L, Zeppernick F, Hannibal CG, et al. Mutational analysis of BRAF and KRAS in ovarian serous borderline (atypical proliferative) tumours and associated peritoneal implants. J Pathol. 2014;232:16–22.
- 51. Emmanuel C, Chiew YE, George J, et al. Genomic classification of serous ovarian cancer with adjacent borderline differentiates RAS pathway and TP53-mutant tumors and identifies NRAS as an oncogenic driver. Clin Cancer Res. 2014;20:6618–30.
- 52. Tsang YT, Deavers MT, Sun CC, et al. KRAS (but not BRAF) mutations in ovarian serous borderline tumour are associated with recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma. J Pathol. 2013;231:449–56.
- 53. Zeppernick F, Ardighieri L, Hannibal CG, et al. BRAF mutation is associated with a specific cell type with features suggestive of senescence in ovarian serous borderline (atypical proliferative) tumors. Am J Surg Pathol. 2014;38:1603–11.
- 54. Maniar KP, Wang Y, Visvanathan K, et al. Evaluation of microinvasion and lymph node involvement in ovarian serous borderline/atypical proliferative serous tumors: a morphologic and immunohistochemical analysis of 37 cases. Am J Surg Pathol. 2014;38:743–55.