RUNX in Invertebrates

S. Hughes and A. Woollard

Abstract

Runx genes have been identified in all metazoans and considerable conservation of function observed across a wide range of phyla. Thus, insight gained from studying simple model organisms is invaluable in understanding RUNX biology in higher animals. Consequently, this chapter will focus on the Runx genes in the diploblasts, which includes sea anemones and sponges, as well as the lower triploblasts, including the sea urchin, nematode, planaria and insect. Due to the high degree of functional redundancy amongst vertebrate Runx genes, simpler model organisms with a solo Runx gene, like C. elegans, are invaluable systems in which to probe the molecular basis of RUNX function within a whole organism. Additionally, comparative analyses of Runx sequence and function allows for the development of novel evolutionary insights. Strikingly, recent data has emerged that reveals the presence of a Runx gene in a protist, demonstrating even more widespread occurrence of Runx genes than was previously thought. This review will summarize recent progress in using invertebrate organisms to investigate RUNX function during development and regeneration, highlighting emerging unifying themes.

Keywords

Runx • Runt • rnt-1 • C. elegans • Planarian • Sea urchin • Drosophila

S. Hughes

A. Woollard (\boxtimes)

1.1 Introduction

Although the triploblasts (which include mammals, insects, nematodes and sea urchins) and the diploblasts (corals and jellyfish) diverged very early in evolution, there are striking similarities between both groups, suggesting that a simple genetic "toolkit" directed the development of the

Faculteit Techniek, Hogeschool van Arnhem en Nijmegen, Laan van Scheut 2, 6503 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QU, UK e-mail: alison.woollard@bioch.ox.ac.uk

[©] Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2017

Y. Groner et al. (eds.), *RUNX Proteins in Development and Cancer*, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 962, DOI 10.1007/978-981-10-3233-2_1

common ancestor (Schierwater et al. 2009). Indeed, developmentally important transcription factors originated early in evolution and underwent a rapid expansion in number during early eumetazoan evolution (Coffman 2009; Degnan et al. 2009; Sebe-Pedros et al. 2011).

Transcription factors play crucial roles in development, as evidenced by the fact that a large proportion of developmentally impaired mutants in model organisms such as Drosophila and C. *elegans* have lesions in transcription factor genes. RUNX transcription factors are known for their involvement in several different embryonic and adult developmental processes, centered on controlling developmental decisions between cell proliferation and differentiation via interaction with various signal transduction pathways (Duffy et al. 1991; Coffman 2003, 2009; Nimmo and Woollard 2008). In almost all cases, RUNX function has been shown to be dependent on binding to CBFbeta, which acts to increase the affinity and specificity of DNA binding to target genes (Golling et al. 1996; Adya et al. 2000; Kaminker et al. 2001; Kagoshima et al. 2007). RUNX factors are also associated with context-dependent regulation via interaction with co-activators (e.g. Core Binding Factor, CBF and acetyltransferases e.g. p300) and co-repressors (e.g. Groucho) (Ito 1999; Speck 2001; Coffman 2003; Durst and Hiebert 2004; Chang et al. 2013).

Although Runx genes have been identified in all metazoans (Fig. 1.1), this review will focus on Runx in invertebrates. The RUNX family of transcription factors is defined by the presence of a highly conserved 128 amino acid Runt domain (Kagoshima et al. 1993; Crute et al. 1996). The Runt domain contains sites that are required for DNA binding, dimerization of Runx proteins with their binding partners and a C-terminal WRPY motif that is required for the interaction with the Groucho/TLE co-repressor (Kamachi et al. 1990; Kagoshima et al. 1993; Ogawa et al. 1993; Ito 1999). Although *Runx* genes have been identified in all metazoa, the core WRPY motif is absent in the Runx homologs of the dermosponge, Amphimedon queenslandica, and one of the two planarian Schmidtea mediterranea Runx (Robertson et al. 2009). Surprisingly, although

Runx has until recently been considered to be specific to metazoa, two Runx homologs (Co_ Runx1 and Co_Runx2) have been identified in the unicellular amoeboid halozoan Capsaspora owczarzaki, (Sebe-Pedros et al. 2011). This suggests that *Runx* genes may actually have evolved prior to the divergence of protists from metazoans (Sebe-Pedros et al. 2011). Intriguingly, Capsaspora lacks any evidence of a CBFbeta homologue, suggesting RUNX may function independently in this organism. However, it is possible that sequence divergence makes the identification of a Capsaspora CBFbeta homologue particularly difficult, as CBFbeta homologues tend to be associated with a greater level of sequence divergence than *Runx* homologues. The functional significance of Capsaspora Runx genes remains to be elucidated. Likewise, very little functional information has been obtained from the solo sponge (Amphimedon queenslandica and Oscarella carmela) and sea squirt (Ciona intestinalis) Runx genes (Robertson et al. 2009), although these do provide valuable insights into the evolution of this important transcription factor family.

In contrast, several invertebrate phyla have *Runx* genes that have been subjected to extensive functional analysis, offering significant insights into molecular mechanism, functional conservation and possible links with human disease. The two premier model organisms for studying *Runx* are *Drosophila* and *C. elegans* although other useful insights have been gleaned from the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* and more recently from the planarian flatworm *Schmidtea mediterranea*.

1.2 Runx Genes in the Fruit Fly, Drosophila melanogaster

Runx genes have been extensively studied in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. In *Drosophila* as in other insects, four *Runx* genes have arisen as a consequence of gene duplication, independent of those that lead to the three vertebrate *Runx* genes (Rennert et al. 2003; Bao and Friedrich 2008). The first *Runx* family member to be



Fig. 1.1 *Runx* genes in the metazoa. *Runx* genes are represented in all major metazoan lineages, with a newly identified *Runx* gene in the unicellular protist *C. owczarzaki*.

Alignments of whole Runx protein sequences were undertaken in MAFFT using Neighbor-joining, substitution model JTT and a bootstrap value of 1000 (Katoh et al. 2002) extensively studied in Drosophila was runt, from which the whole gene family derived its name. DmRunt was isolated for its significant role in segmentation, with runt mutant flies being smaller due to the loss of segments (Nusslein-Volhard and Wieschaus 1980; Gergen and Wieschaus 1985). During Drosophila embryogenesis, at the mid-to-late blastoderm stage, the pair-rule genes form 7 stripes, whose precise pattern of expression will determine the one-cellwide stripes of expression of the segment polarity genes (Klinger and Gergen 1993). DmRunt is a primary pair-rule gene, which regulates the spatial expression of other pair-rule genes, as well as controlling segment polarity genes. DmRunt positively regulates the secondary pair-rule genes, fushi tarazu (ftz), and negatively regulates hairy, resulting in the resolution of stripes across the embryo such that *runt* and *ftz* are expressed in complementary stripes to hairy (Canon and Banerjee 2000). In addition, runt and hairy regulate each other independently of ftz. The result of this hierarchy, with runt at the top, is that the downstream segmentation genes convert positional information into patterns of gene expression, resulting in the generation of a regular and precise body plan.

DmRunt also plays a key role in embryonic neural development (Gergen and Butlet 1988; Kania et al. 1990; Duffy and Gergen 1991; Duffy et al. 1991; Canon and Banerjee 2000). Drosophila neurogenesis begins during embryogenesis when the neuroectoderm enlarges and delaminates to form the neuroblast stem cells. These stem cells will divide asymmetrically giving rise to a new neuroblast (self-renewal) and a ganglion mother cell, GMC (differentiated daughter cell), that will further divide to form neurons and/or glial cells (Campos-Ortega and Jan 1991). Expression of *runt* is observed in the GMC and neurons with its activity necessary for the proper expression of even-skipped (eve) and the formation of EL (even skipped (eve)-expressing lateral) neurons (Kania et al. 1990; Duffy et al. 1991). runt is necessary and sufficient to induce eve expression in the Drosophila nervous system, however the precise role for *runt* in the development of EL neurons is not fully understood.

Of the three other Drosophila Runx genes, the most significant is *lozenge*, *lz*, which was identified via genetic analysis through its contribution to eye development and its involvement in hematopoiesis. The eye develops from an epithelial structure (the eye imaginal disk) during the third larval stage, where an indentation in the epithelium marks the onset of differentiation (Daga et al. 1996). Precursor cells localized anterior to the indentation (the furrow) express eyeless while those in the posterior express lz (Daga et al. 1996; Yan et al. 2003). lz negatively regulates seven-up and *deadpan* while simultaneously up-regulating bar and prospero expression, resulting in the photoreceptors adopting their correct fate (Daga et al. 1996; Canon and Banerjee 2000; Yan et al. 2003). Thus, *lozenge* is crucial for the regulation of cell fate within the equivalence group of cells in the developing Drosophila eye.

lz is also a key regulator of cell fate and identity in Drosophila hematopoiesis. Multipotent blood cell progenitors are produced during two distinct time points in Drosophila development giving rise to three types of differentiated blood cell, collectively called hemocytes. The first wave of hematopoiesis occurs during embryogenesis, where prohemocytes arise from the head mesoderm and form two lateral clusters of cells, which will ultimately differentiate into plasmatocytes or crystal cells. The second wave of hematopoiesis comes during later larval stages, when blood cell progenitors arise from the lymph gland (Waltzer et al. 2010; Gold and Bruckner 2014). The final cell type that contributes to the blood cell population are lamellocytes, which are only produced upon immune challenge when foreign bodies are too large to be phagocytosed (Markus et al. 2009).

During the larval stage of hematopoiesis, there are distinct populations of cells with different differentiation potentials. The medullary zone (MZ) contains undifferentiated quiescent prohemocytes while the adjacent cortical zone (CZ) comprises of differentiated maturing hemocytes derived from the prohemocytes from the MZ



Fig. 1.2 Simplified diagram of the transcription factor network that controls cell fate in *Drosophila* hematopoiesis. (a) The prohemocytes are a stem cell population that express the GATA factor *serpent (srp)* that activates *ush (u-shaped,* friend of GATA (FOG) family) which will in turn function with *gcm/gcm2* (glial cells missing) to commit cells to the plasmatocyte lineage. In 60 % of the *srp+* prohemocytes, expression of *lozenge (lz)* will inhibit *gcm/gcm2*, and together with *srp*, will direct cells towards the crystal cell fate. (b) The regulation of cell differentiation

(Jung et al. 2005). lz is only expressed in the CZ by prohemocytes adopting the crystal cell fate (Lebestky et al. 2000; Gajewski et al. 2007). Although lz expression is activated in all prohemocytes, only 60 % of these lz^+ cells will maintain lz expression via a feedback loop and differentiate into crystal cells while the remaining 40 % of cells are $lz^{a^{-1}}$ and thus differentiate into plasmatocyes (Fig. 1.2a) (Bataille et al. 2005). The molecular mechanism by which lzexpression translates to the lineage commitment of prohemocytes to either crystal cells or plasmatocytes involves a complex transcriptional circuit (Muratoglu et al. 2006, 2007). lz expression

by *lz/srp/ush* is dynamic, involving a bi-potential regulatory state that resolves two distinct cell populations; the crystal cells and the plasmatocytes. *srp* initiates and maintains *lz* expression. The SRP:LZ complex activates *ush* which will compete with LZ for binding to SRP. The SRP:USH complex negatively regulates both *lz* and *ush*, while GCM/GCM2 will independently suppress *lz* transcription (Adapted from Muratoglu et al. 2007; Braun and Woollard 2009; Wang et al. 2014)

is regulated by a feedback loop involving the pan-hematopoietic GATA factor *serpent*, promoting crystal cell differentiation (Bataille et al. 2005), while expression of *ush* (friend-of-GATA family of transcription factors, *u-shaped*) in lz^+ prohaemocytes is required, together with *serpent*, to direct plasmatocyte cell fate (Fig. 1.2b) (Muratoglu et al. 2007). The complex regulation of *lz*, *srp* and *ush* is dynamic and results in two distinct cell populations, the plasmatocytes (*srp*⁺*ush*⁺) and crystal cells (*srp*⁺*lz*⁺). Several aspects of this circuitry remain to be elucidated, including the mechanism by which *ush* is turned off in crystal cells.

Additional antagonists of lz which direct crystal cell fate are the transcription factors gcm (glial cells missing) and its homologue gcm2, which act with reciprocal asymmetry with lz limiting the expression of lz and therefore reducing the production of crystal cells (Alfonso and Jones 2002; Bataille et al. 2005). The mechanism by which gcm/gcm2 and ush act in combination to regulate lz expression and maintenance is unclear, but recent work has identified other candidates in the regulation of lineage commitment. Through the Salvador-Warts-Hippo pathway, yorki acts in a complex with *scalloped* to control the expression of lz and therefore regulate the proliferation and terminal differentiation of progenitor cells into crystal cells (Milton et al. 2014). Thus, lz is at the hub of an increasingly complex transcriptional network directing Drosophila hematopoiesis.

1.3 *Runx* Genes in the Nematode, *Caenorhabditis elegans*

The single C. elegans Runx homolog, rnt-1, is an important regulator of the balance between proliferation/self-renewal and differentiation in the lateral neuroectodermal seam cells (Kagoshima et al. 2005; Nimmo et al. 2005; Xia et al. 2007). The seam cells are a group of multipotent stem-cell like cells formed during embryogenesis that divide in a stereotypical pattern throughout larval development. Animals hatch with 10 seam cells per lateral side of the animal, most of which proceed through a re-iterative series of asymmetric divisions, interspersed by the odd symmetrical division in order to expand the number of progenitor cells. In this sense, the seam cells provide a useful paradigm for the stem cell mode of division. In general, at each larval molt there is an asymmetric division producing a posterior daughter cell that retains the ability to self-renew, and an anterior daughter cell that differentiates into either a hypodermal cell, a glial cell or a neuronal cell (Fig. 1.3a) (Sulston and Horvitz 1977). In addition, there is a single symmetrical (proliferative) division at the L2 stage whereby both daughter cells retain the proliferative ability and consequently expand the pool of seam cells so that adult worms have 16 seam cells per side (Fig. 1.3b). At the last larval stage (L4), after the final round of cell division, the seam cells terminally differentiate and fuse into a syncytium. However, although the terminal differentiation of the seam cells occurs at the start of adulthood, the cells are capable of further divisions under certain circumstances, as evidenced in heterochronic mutants (Nimmo and Slack 2009; Harandi and Ambros 2015).

The regulation of this division pattern is controlled by *rnt-1*. In *rnt-1* mutant animals, there are fewer seam cells due to the failure of divisions, specifically the symmetrical L2 division (Nimmo et al. 2005). A similar phenotype was observed in *bro-1* mutants, *bro-1* being the sole *C. elegans* homolog of CBFbeta necessary for correct RNT-1 function (Kagoshima et al. 2007; Xia et al. 2007). BRO-1 enhances the binding affinity and specificity of RNT-1, and is itself regulated by the GATA transcription factor, ELT-1 which acts as a direct activator of *bro-1* to promote seam cell proliferation (Brabin et al. 2011).

In contrast to the mutant phenotype of fewer seam cells at adulthood, overexpressing *rnt-1* and *bro-1* leads to seam cell hyperplasia at the expense of other differentiated cell types (Kagoshima et al. 2007). This is in large part due to the symmeterisation of normally asymmetric divisions, leading to the production of two proliferative daughters rather than a single one, and resulting in the tumourous appearance of the seam tissue (Nimmo et al. 2005; Kagoshima et al. 2007).

Expression of *rnt-1* is observed in the seam cells during embryogenesis and throughout larval development, where it is normally restricted to the proliferative (posterior, seam) daughter and not the hypodermal (anterior, differentiated) daughter cell (Kagoshima et al. 2005, 2007). Thus *rnt-1* expression is closely associated with, and crucial for, the promotion of the proliferative fate, at the expense of the differentiative fate. The molecular mechanism by which *rnt-1* promotes proliferation likely involves repression of the CIP/KIP CDK inhibitor *cki-1* in the posterior daughter destined to proliferate further (Nimmo et al. 2005).

Fig. 1.3 Seam cells in Caenorhabditis elegans. (a) Lineage diagrams of the anterior V seam cells, which most obviously display the stem-like mode of division. The asymmetric divisions occur at each larval stage with an additional symmetric division at the L2 stage. In general, at adulthood, each V cell will have given rise to seven hypodermal nuclei and two seam cells that will terminally differentiate in adulthood. (b) An image of an early adult C. elegans which expresses a seam cell marker, scm::gfp (Strain, JR667). There are 16 seam cell nuclei running along each side of the animal at the end of development. Scale bar is 100 I¹/4m



A major player in *rnt-1* regulation in C. elegans is the ceh-20/unc-62 transcriptional partnership (homologous to the Pbx/Meis complex in mammals). Both *ceh-20* and *unc-62* mutants display seam cell hyperplasia, caused, like rnt-1/bro-1 overexpression, by the symmetrisation of seam cell divisions such that both daughters adopt the proliferative fate (Hughes et al. 2013). ceh-20/ unc-62 seam hyperplasia is completely supressed in *rnt-1/bro-1* mutants, suggesting that *rnt-1* likely operates downstream of ceh-20/unc-62 to promote proliferation. The fact that *rnt-1* expression appears to be de-repressed in anterior daughters (that would normally differentiate) when ceh-20/unc-62 are silenced, suggests that ceh-20/ *unc-62* function upstream to repress *rnt-1* expression in cells that normally quit the cell cycle in order to differentiate (Hughes et al. 2013).

The expression of *rnt-1* has also been observed in intestinal cells. Although RNT-1::GFP is undetectable in the intestine at adulthood, *rnt-1* mRNA is present in the adult intestine, suggestive of post-transcriptional regulation (Lee et al. 2012). Indeed, RNT-1 has been shown to be stabilized in the intestine following oxidative stress, with *rnt-1* mutants displaying increased sensitivity to these conditions (Lee et al. 2012). Given that the intestine is the first line of defence against the environment, it is possible that the post-transcriptional control of RNT-1 provides a mechanism for a rapid response to environmental changes. The p38 MAP kinase pathway plays an important function in stress response in *C. elegans* (Inoue et al. 2005) and acts to directly phosphorylate RNT-1, stabilising it via inhibition of degradation (Lee et al. 2012).

1.4 *Runx* Genes in the Sea Urchin, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*

Strongylocentrotus purpuratus has two Runx genes with the sole characterized Runx, SpRunt-1, expressed during embryogenesis and transiently expressed in adult coelomocytes as a consequence of immune challenge (Coffman et al. 1996; Pancer et al. 1999; Robertson et al. 2002). During embryogenesis, SpRunt-1 promotes the expression of a number of zygotically induced Wnt genes, in particular wnt6 and wnt8 (Robertson et al. 2008). Indeed, morphillinoantisense silencing of SpRunt-1 results in impaired cell proliferation during late blastula development and widespread apoptosis as a consequence of the down regulation of these Wnts (Coffman et al. 2004; Dickey-Sims et al. 2005; Robertson et al. 2008). The reverse of this, where wnt6 and wnt8 are silenced, phenocopies the proliferation defect of the SpRunt-1 morphant. Evidence for the direct regulation of Wnt by Runt-1 comes from mutational analysis of a wnt8 cis-regulatory module (Minokawa et al. 2005). SpRunt-1 cooperates with the effectors Tcf/Lef and Krox/Blimp-1 at the cis-regulatory region ('module C') of wnt8, which is necessary for the beta-catenin dependent maintenance of wnt8 activity in the endomesoderm (Minokawa et al. 2005; Robertson et al. 2008). Additionally, GSK-3beta (the sole sea urchin glycogen synthase kinase that targets mitogenic proteins for ubiquitination), which itself is negatively regulated by Wnt signaling, is able to stabilize SpRunt-1 when inhibited, highlighting the complex interplay between RUNX and Wnt (Fig. 1.4) (Robertson et al. 2008).

Recent evidence has implicated the serine/ threonine kinase, AKT, as a key mediator of mitogenic RUNX function in sea urchin, via phosphorylation and inhibition of GSK-3 (Robertson et al. 2013), with *akt-2* morphant animals phenocopying *SpRunt-1* morphants (Dickey-Sims et al. 2005; Robertson et al. 2013). In a further complication it is thought that RUNX also activates PKC in a positive feedback loop to inhibit GSK-3beta (Dickey-Sims et al. 2005; Robertson et al. 2008, 2013). Overall, SpRunt-1 appears to have a number of distinct roles depending on developmental stage, but as in *C. elegans*, with an emphasis on promoting cell proliferation.



Fig. 1.4 Regulatory circuit through which *runx* regulates cell proliferation in the sea urchin embryo. The transcription factor Runx directly activates embryonic *wnt8* that is necessary for the beta-catenin dependence maintenance of *wnt8* activity. *SpRunt-1* is as an anti-apoptotic factor that, together with AKT functions through the direct regulation of PKC and GSK-3. RUNX and GSK-3 function in a mutually antagonistic regulatory pathway suggesting that, in sea urchin, RUNX promotes somatic cell proliferation by activating genes, including *pkc*, in a positive feedback loop to inhibit GSK-3 (Adapted from Robertson et al. 2002, 2008; Dickey-Sims et al. 2005, 2013)

1.5 Runx Genes in the Planarian Flatworm, Schmidtea mediterranea

Planarians are relatively simple free-living platyhelminthes that lie at an important juncture of the evolution of the basal metazoans (Newmark and Sanchez-Alvarado 2002). Planarians such as *Schmidtea mediterranea*, have amazing developmental plasticity due to the presence of a large population of pluripotent stem cells called neoblasts, with the striking ability to regenerate missing body parts following injury (Newmark and Sanchez-Alvarado 2002; Reddien and Sanchez-Alvarado 2004; Sanchez-Alvarado and Tsonis 2006; Forsthoefel and Newmark 2009; Salo et al. 2009; Wagner et al. 2011). After wounding, the neoblasts respond by undergoing proliferation, followed by migration to the wound site and finally local differentiation into the specific cell types required to generate new tissue (Eisenhoffer et al. 2008; Wenemoser and Reddien 2010; Lapan and Reddien 2011; Scimone et al. 2014).

Transcriptome analysis has revealed a number of genes that are significantly upregulated during the period of neoblast self-renewal as a response to damage (Sandmann et al. 2011; Wenemoser et al. 2012). runt-1 is one such gene, being expressed within 30 min of wounding, likely as an immediate response to the injury. A second wave of *runt-1* expression is induced 3-12 hours post wounding (Wenemoser et al. 2012; Wurtzel et al. 2015). The role of *runt-1* in the planarian response to injury is to firstly direct the proliferation of cells, followed by the differentiation of these cells into lineage restricted precursors. Following wounding, knockdown of Smed-*Runt-1* by RNAi results in defects in cell positioning and photoreceptor phenotypes in the eye (Sandmann et al. 2011; Wenemoser et al. 2012), indicative of Smed-Runt-1 promoting the formation of fate restricted neoblasts in the anterior of the animal following wounding to form eyes.

1.6 Runx Genes in the Cnidaria

A similar upregulation of *runt-1* has been observed following injury and during regeneration in the sea anemone (*Nematostella vecterisis*) (DuBuc et al. 2014) where *NvRunt-1* is localized to the pluripotent progenitors of the sensory neurons in ectodermal cells of the tentacle tips (Sullivan et al. 2008). Hydra, like sea anemones, are members of the phylum Cnidaria and are freshwater polyps with a symmetrical tubular body. As in *S. mediterranea*, a pool of heteroge-

neic stem cells have been identified in hydra (Govindasamy et al. 2014). These stem cells are quiescent until they become activated to enter the cell cycle following removal of the head (Govindasamy et al. 2014) with *runt-1* upregulated following decapitation (DuBuc et al. 2014; Petersen et al. 2015).

Thus, a role for *runt-1* in regeneration in planarians and cnidarians such as sea anemone and hydra appears to be associated with the stimulation of both cell proliferation and subsequent differentiation following injury. In this way, RUNX may play a key role in the transition of undifferentiated cells into committed lineage precursors, and therefore provide new insights into the control of regenerative processes.

1.7 Comparative Analysis Delineates Emerging Themes in RUNX Biology

Establishing functional relationships between genes in very diverse organisms is a daunting, yet appealing task, beset with problems of interpretation and translation between systems. Nevertheless, any systematic examination of RUNX biology throws up some immediate areas of commonality, both in terms of biological processes as well as molecular pathways, and it is these areas of commonality that may hold the key to unlocking a broader understanding of RUNX biology in increasingly complex organisms.

1.8 Conserved RUNX-Associated Biological Processes

1.8.1 Regulation of the Transition from Quiescence into Proliferation

Runx genes have an obvious role in promoting cell proliferation in many species. The function of *rnt-1* in *C. elegans* seam cells to promote proliferation bears a remarkable similarity to the role of mammalian *Runx1* in hair follicle stem cells (HFSC). Both stem cell systems are comprised of

epidermal cells where divisions occur after long quiescent phases. In the worm, seam cells are quiescent until the molt preceding each larval transition when the cells divide in a rnt-1dependent manner. Similarly, in mammals, Runx1 activates quiescent stem cells in the hair follicle, with Runx1 mutant mice having an extended quiescent phase and defects in HFSC colony formation (Osoiro et al. 2008). Further invertebrate examples of Runx genes functioning in cell proliferation include the sea urchin, where inactivation of SpRunt-1 is associated with proliferation defects and both hydra and planaria, where runt-1 appears to be involved in promoting cell proliferation following injury (Sandmann et al. 2011; Wenemoser et al. 2012; DuBuc et al. 2014; Govindasamy et al. 2014; Petersen et al. 2015). These latter observations support the idea that *Runx* genes may have a general role to play in regeneration. An additional example of RUNX-dependent proliferation in mammals is in the nervous system, where Runx1 is required to sustain the proliferation of olfactory receptor neuron (ORN) precursors (Theriault et al. 2005). Indeed, in this example, over-expression of Runx1 increased the number of proliferating cells, much like the over-expression of *rnt-1* in C. elegans seam cells, causing hyperplasia of this cell type (Nimmo et al. 2005; Kagoshima et al. 2007).

Moving from quiescence into proliferation involves transduction of growth factor signalling, and Runx genes appear generally to have an important role in this process. For example, in the HFSC system, Runx1 mutants do not respond properly to a growth signal, thus proliferation fails. Intriguingly, in C. elegans which are starved, the *rnt-1* mutant phenotype is enhanced (Nimmo et al. 2005), and *rnt-1* was found to be one of the most highly up-regulated genes following re-feeding after starvation (Baugh et al. 2009), consistent with an important role for Runx genes in transducing environmental information to achieve properly coordinated growth and development. Furthermore, the role of C. elegans rnt-1 in regulating stress response (Lee et al. 2012) is intriguing in the light of recent data suggesting that mammalian Runx1 deficient hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) display increased stress resistance (Cai et al. 2015), together with lower rates of translation, attenuated p53 signalling and a decrease in ribosome biosynthesis. Understanding the molecular pathways that result in the altered metabolic profile of *Runx1*-deficient HSC will have significant implications in treating leukaemia.

Finally, the important role for *Runx* genes in controlling cell number in invertebrate models by promoting, or even repressing in some examples, (Kramer et al. 2006; Murthy et al. 2014) cell proliferation resonates strongly with the well-characterised role of *Runx* genes as oncogenes or tumour suppressors, depending on context (Strom et al. 2000; Cameron and Neil 2004; Ito 2004; Wotton et al. 2004; Keita et al. 2013; Wysokinski et al. 2015). This suggests that invertebrate model systems have useful contributions to make the field of Runx-associated carcinogenesis.

1.8.2 Lineage Commitment and Cell Fate Determination

Runx genes have been described as molecular switches coordinating the developmental balance between proliferation and differentiation (Nimmo and Woollard 2008). There are certainly many examples of Runx genes acting to promote proliferation, as we have seen, and there are several examples of Runx genes acting in lineage commitment and cell fate decisions; there are two examples of *Runx* genes being required for eye development (planaria and fly), two examples of a requirement during haematopoiesis (mammals and fly) and several examples of a role in neurogenesis (fly, worm, mammals, planaria). But whether these shared functions are orthologous, in the sense that they indicate an ancient origin, or whether they are examples of Runx genes being co-opted during evolution for different purposes, some common between different organisms and some not, is difficult to determine.

The most intriguing shared function is surely haematopoiesis. Runx1 has long been known to regulate the differentiation of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) from myeloid precursors in mammals (Tanaka et al. 1995; Ahn et al. 1998; Yokomizo et al. 2001). In fact, RUNX proteins are expressed throughout all hematopoietic lineages, being necessary for the emergence of the first HSCs through to their terminal differentiation. In Drosophila, the hemocytes formed during larval development (in a process resembling vertebrate definitive hematopoiesis) most closely resemble vertebrate myeloid linages (Waltzer et al. 2010), with the plasmatocytes having a similar function to vertebrate macrophages (Lanot et al. 2001; Wood and Jacinto 2007). The parallels between the complex network of transcription factors regulating lineage commitment in Drosophila crystal cells and human thymocytes are striking, with co-factors such as GATA factors figuring prominently in both cases. In recent years, there is increasing evidence for a role of RUNX in the immune system beyond haematopoiesis (Ito et al. 2008; Kitoh et al. 2009; Wong et al. 2011, 2012, 2014; Lotem et al. 2013). It has long been known that sea urchin Runx is expressed as a consequence of immune challenge, and more evidence is emerging for the function of RUNX in the mammalian immune system (reviewed in Voon et al. 2015) that may allow for future comparative analysis.

1.9 Conserved RUNX Molecular Pathways

Evaluating conservation of molecular mechanisms involving RUNX is perhaps even more difficult than assessing conserved processes. Firstly, experiments may be difficult to translate between organisms. Secondly, transcription factors can be co-opted into many different signalling pathways over the course of evolution, and adopt many different target genes depending on the context of their precise role. Finally, Runx genes have emerged, been lost, multiplied and diverged, so that evolutionary history presents many molecular fossils that are hard to interpret, and there is the additional confounding factor of convergent evolution. Nevertheless, certain similarities in the molecular architecture of RUNX function across highly divergent groups appear to stand out. One example is the interaction of RUNX with cell cycle genes, and other examples include interactions with Wnt signalling and GATA factors.

1.9.1 Interaction with Cell Cycle Genes

The role of *Runx* genes in the transition from quiescence to proliferation is associated in several cases with the direct regulation of the cell cycle. In C. elegans rnt-1 mutants, expression of cki-1 (cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor of the CIP/ KIP family) is upregulated in seam cells, and depleting cki-1 in these animals rescues the seam cell proliferation defect (Nimmo et al. 2005). RNT-1 is therefore acting (directly or indirectly) to repress the expression of *cki-1* in seam cells destined to divide. With striking similarity, RUNX1 and RUNX2 have been shown to repress the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 in mammalian cell culture (Strom et al. 2000; Bernardin and Friedman 2002; Westendorf et al. 2002; Bernardin-Fried et al. 2004). Similarly, in sea urchin, RUNX induces cyclinD during embryogenesis leading to cell cycle progression (Coffman et al. 2004; Dickey-Sims et al. 2005; Robertson et al. 2008).

1.9.2 Interaction with Wnt Signalling

In the sea urchin, experiments show that SpRunt1 binds directly to *wnt6* and *wnt8* in the late blastula stage of embryogenesis (Robertson et al. 2008), and depletion of SpRunt1 is associated with a decrease in Wnt signalling. This is also the case in mammals where *wnt4* gene expression is reduced in *Runx1* knockout mice (Naillat et al. 2015), although the mechanism in this latter case likely involves TCF/LEF (T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancer factor) binding to RUNX1 in order to attenuate Wnt signalling. Indeed, there are several examples of TCF interactions with *Runx2* genes, including the binding of TCF1 to RUNX2 during osteoblast development (Kahler and Westendorf 2003), the interaction of TCF7 and

RUNX1 in haematopoiesis (Wu et al. 2012) and the interaction of TCF4 with RUNX3 to regulate Wnt signalling, which has been linked to gastric cancer (Ito et al. 2008, 2011). Overall, RUNX, TCF/LEF and Wnt signalling have been shown to act together in a context dependent manner to activate or repress transcription of genes to control cell fate choice in a variety of tissues. However, although interactions between Wnt signaling and Runt have been demonstrated in sea urchin, there is little to support this in Drosophila or C. elegans. Indeed, in nematodes it is likely that, at least in the stem cell-like seam cells, *rnt-1* acts in a parallel pathway to Wnt (Gleason and Eisenmann 2010; Hughes et al. 2013; Gorrepati et al. 2015).

1.9.3 Interaction with GATA Factors

In the nematode RNT-1 and BRO-1 regulate the proliferation of seam cells, with the GATA transcription factor ELT-1 directly regulating *bro-1* (Brabin et al. 2011). The function of RNT-1, BRO-1 and ELT-1 in the worm directly reflect the roles of RUNX, CBFbeta and GATA in stem cells in other systems. The interaction of these transcription factors is reminiscent of the situation in *Drosophila* and mammalian haematopoiesis where GATA/Serpent, RUNX/Lozenge and CBFbeta/Brother tightly control cell fate choice (Li and Gergen 1999; Waltzer et al. 2003; 2010; Pencovich et al. 2011).

1.10 Conclusion

There are intriguing connections between RUNX functions in mammals and invertebrates, centering on the regulation of cell proliferation and lineage commitment. Invertebrate models such as *C. elegans, Drosophila* and the sea urchin are useful in the study of RUNX function because they offer unique options in relation to genetic manipulation and ease and speed of experimentation. Work in *C. elegans* offers the particular advantage of the lack of functional redundancy issues, as it contains a solo *Runx* homologue.

However, it does not appear to be the case that research in invertebrate models will necessarily uncover a single ancestral function of *Runx* genes that explains the range of functions documented in mammals. On the contrary, different invertebrate models have proved invaluable to highlight and investigate specific functions of *Runx* genes reported in vertebrates. Taken together, studies of invertebrate RUNX biology provides a wealth of information that will be instrumental in our understanding of the importance of *Runx* genes in developmental control and in the fight against disease.

References

- Adya, N., Castilla, L. H., & Liu, P. P. (2000). Function of CBFb/Bro proteins. Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology, 11(5), 361–368.
- Ahn, M. Y., Huang, G., Bae, S. C., Wee, H. J., Kim, W. Y., & Ito, Y. (1998). Negative regulation of granulocytic differentiation in the myeloid precursor cell line by 32DcI3 by *ear-2*, a mammalian homolog of *Drosophila seven-up*, and a chimeric leukemogenic gene, AML1/ ETO. *PNAS*, 95(4), 1812–1817.
- Alfonso, T. B., & Jones, B. W. (2002). gcm2 promotes glial cell differentiation and is required with glial cells missing for macrophage development in Drosophila. Developmental Biology, 248(2), 369–383.
- Bao, R., & Friedrich, M. (2008). Conserved cluster organisation of insect *Runx* genes. *Development Genes and Evolution*, 218(10), 567–574.
- Bataille, L., Auge, B., Ferjoux, G., Haenlin, M., & Waltzer, L. (2005). Resolving embryonic blood cell fate choice in *Drosophila*: Interplay of GCM and RUNX factors. *Development*, 132, 4635–4644.
- Baugh, L. R., Demodena, J., & Sternberg, P. W. (2009). RNA Pol II accumulates at promoters of growth genes during developmental arrest. *Science*, 324(5923), 92–94.
- Bernardin, F., & Friedman, A. D. (2002). AML1 stimulates G1 to S progression via its transactivation domain. Oncogene, 21(20), 3247–3252.
- Bernardin-Fried, F., Kummalue, T., Leijen, S., Collector, M. I., Ravid, K., & Friedman, A. D. (2004). AML1/ RUNX1 increases during G1 to S cell cycle progression independent of cytokine-dependent phosphorylation and induces cyclin D3 gene expression. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 279(15), 15678–15687.
- Brabin, C., Appleford, P. J., & Woollard, A. (2011). The *Caenorhabditis elegans* GATA factor ELT-1 works through the cell proliferation regulator BRO-1 and the fusogen EFF-1 to maintain the seam stem-like fate. *PLoS Genetics*, 7(8), e1002200.

- Braun, T., & Woollard, A. (2009). RUNX factors in development: Lessons from invertebrate model systems. *Blood cells, Molecules and Diseases, 43*, 43–48.
- Cai, X., Gao, L., Teng, L., Ge, J., Oo, Z. M., Kumar, A. R., et al. (2015). *Runx1* deficiency decrease ribosome biogenesis and confers stress resistance to hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. *Cell Stem Cell*, *17*(2), 165–177.
- Cameron, E. R., & Neil, J. C. (2004). The *Runx* genes: Lineage-specific oncogenes and tumor suppressors. *Oncogene*, 23, 4308–4314.
- Campos-Ortega, J. A., & Jan, Y. N. (1991). Genetic and molecular bases of neurogenesis in *Drosophila mela*nogaster. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 14, 399–420.
- Canon, J., & Banerjee, U. (2000). Runt and Lozenge function in *Drosophila* development. *Cell and Developmental Biology*, 11, 327–336.
- Chang, L. S. H., Ito, K., & Ito, Y. (2013). RUNX family: Regulation and diversification of roles through interacting proteins. *International Journal of Cancer*, 132, 1260–1271.
- Coffman, J. A. (2003). Runx transcription factors and the developmental balance between cell proliferation and differentiation. *Cell Biology International*, 27, 315–324.
- Coffman, J. A. (2009). Is Runx a linchpin for developmental signalling in metazoans? *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 107, 194–202.
- Coffman, J. A., Kirchhamer, C. V., Harrington, M. G., & Davidson, E. H. (1996). SpRunt-1, a new member of the runt domain family of transcription factors, is a positive regulator of the aboral ectoderm-specific CyIIIA gene in sea urchin embryos. Developmental Biology, 174, 43–54.
- Coffman, J. A., Dickey-Sims, C., Haug, J. S., McCarthy, J. J., & Robertson, A. J. (2004). Evaluation of developmental phenotypes produced by morpholino antisense targeting of a sea urchin *Runx* gene. *BMC Biology*, 2, 6–15.
- Crute, B. E., Lewis, A. F., Wu, Z., Bushweller, J. H., & Speck, N. A. (1996). Biochemical and biophysical properties of the core-binding factor alpha2 (AML2) DNA binding domain. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 271, 26251–26260.
- Daga, A., Karlovich, C. A., Dumstrei, K., & Banerjee, U. (1996). Patterning of cells in the *Drosophila* eye by *Lozenge*, which shares homologous domains with AML1. *Genes and Development*, 10, 1194–1205.
- Degnan, B. M., Vervoor, M., Larroux, C., & Richards, G. S. (2009). Early evolution of metazoan transcription factors. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, 19, 591–599.
- Dickey-Sims, C., Robertson, A. J., Rupp, D. E., McCarthy, J. J., & Coffman, J. A. (2005). Runx-dependent expression of PKC is criticla for cell survival in the sea urchin embryo. *BMC Biology*, *3*, 18–30.
- DuBuc, T. Q., Traylor-Knowles, N., & Martindate, M. Q. (2014). Initiating a regenerative response; cellular and

molecular features of wound healing in the cnidarian Nematostella vectensis. BMC Biology, 12, 24.

- Duffy, J. B., & Gergen, J. P. (1991). The Drosophila segmentation gene runt acts as a position-specific numerator element necessary for the uniform expression of the sex-determining gene Sex-lethal. Genes and Development, 5(12A), 2176–2187.
- Duffy, J. B., Kania, M. A., & Gergen, J. P. (1991). Expression and function of the *Drosophila* gene *runt* in early stages of neural development. *Development*, 114(4), 1223–1230.
- Durst, K. L., & Hiebert, S. W. (2004). Role of RUNX family members in transcriptional repression and gene silencing. *Oncogene*, 23, 4220–4224.
- Eisenhoffer, G. T., Kang, H., & Sanchez-Alvarado, A. (2008). A molecular analysis of stem cells and their descendants during cell turnover and regeneration in the planarian *Schmidtea mediterranea*. *Cell Stem Cell*, *3*, 327–339.
- Forsthoefel, D. J., & Newmark, P. J. (2009). Emerging patterns in planarian regeneration. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, 19, 412–420.
- Gajewski, K. M., Sorrentino, R. P., Lee, J. H., Zhang, Q., Russell, M., & Schulz, P. A. (2007). Identification of a crystal cell-specific enhancer of the black cells prophenoloxidase gene in *Drosophila. Genesis*, 45(4), 200–207.
- Gergen, J. P., & Butlet, B. A. (1988). Isolation of the Drosophila segmentation gene runt and analysis of its expression during embryogenesis. Genes and Development, 2, 1179–1193.
- Gergen, J. P., & Wieschaus, E. F. (1985). The localised requirements for a gene affecting segmentation in *Drosophila*: Analysis of larvae mosaic for *runt*. *Developmental Biology*, 109, 321–335.
- Gleason, J. E., & Eisenmann, D. M. (2010). Wnt signalling controls the stem cell-like asymmetric division of the epithelial seam cells during *C. elegans* larval development. *Developmental Biology*, 348(1), 58–66.
- Gold, K. S., & Bruckner, K. (2014). Drosophila as a model for the two myeloid blood cell systems in vertebrates. Experimental Hematology, 42, 717–727.
- Golling, G., Li, L., Pepling, M., Stebbins, M., & Gergen, J. P. (1996). *Drosophila* homologs of the protooncogene product PEBP2/CBF beta regulate the DNA-binding properties of Runt. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 16(3), 932–942.
- Gorrepati, L., Krause, M. W., Chen, W., Brodigan, T. M., Correa-Mendez, M., & Eisenmann, D. M. (2015). Identification of Wnt pathway target genes regulating the division and differentiation of larval seam cells and vulval precursor cells in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *G3*, *5*, 1551–1566.
- Govindasamy, N., Murthy, S., & Ghanekar, Y. (2014). Slow-cycling stem cells in hydra contribute to head regeneration. *Biology Open*, 3, 1236–1244.
- Harandi, O. F., & Ambros, V. R. (2015). Control of stem cell self-renewal and differentation by the heterochronic genes and the cellular asymmetry

machinary in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PNAS, 112, E287–E296.

- Hughes, S., Brabin, C., Appleford, P. J., & Woollard, A. (2013). CEH-20/Pbx and UNC-62/Meis function upstream of *rnt-1*/Runx to regulate asymmetric divisions of the *C. elegans* stem-like seam cells. *Biology Open*, 2(7), 718–727.
- Inoue, H., Hisamoto, N., An, J. H., Oliveira, R. P., Nishida, E., Blackwell, T. K., & Matsumoto, K. (2005). The *C. elegans* p38 MAPK pathway regulates nuclear localisation of the transcription factor SKN-1 in oxidative stress response. *Genes and Development*, 19(19), 2278–27783.
- Ito, Y. (1999). Molecular basis of tissue-specific gene expression mediated by the Runt domain transcription factor PEBP2/CBF. *Genes and Cells*, 4, 685–696.
- Ito, Y. (2004). Onocgenic potential of the RUNX gene family: 'Overview'. Oncogene, 23, 4198–4208.
- Ito, K., Lim, A. C., Salto-Tellez, M., Motoda, L., Osato, M., Chuang, L. S., et al. (2008). RUNX3 attenuates beta-catenin/T cell factors in intestinal tumorigenesis. *Cancer Cell*, 14(3), 226–237.
- Ito, K., Chuang, L. S., Ito, T., Chang, T. L., Fukamachi, H., Salto-Tellez, M., & Ito, Y. (2011). Loss of *Runx3* is a key event in inducing precancerous state of the stomach. *Gasterienterology*, 140(5), 1536–1546.
- Jung, S. H., Evans, C. J., Uemura, C., & Banerjee, U. (2005). The *Drosophila* lymph gland as a developmental model of hematopoiesis. *Development*, 132, 2521–2533.
- Kagoshima, H., Shigesada, K., Satake, M., Ito, Y., Miyoshi, H., Ohki, M., et al. (1993). The Runt domain identifies a new family of heteromeric transcriptional regulators. *Trends in Genetics*, 9(10), 338–341.
- Kagoshima, H., Sawa, S., Mitnai, T. R., Burglin, K., Shigesada, K., & Kohara, Y. (2005). The *C. elegans* RUNX transcription factor RNT-1/MAB-2 is required for asymmetrical cell division of the T blast cell. *Developmental Biology*, 287, 262–273.
- Kagoshima, H., Nimmo, R. A., Saad, N., Tanaka, J., Miwa, Y., Mitani, S., et al. (2007). The *C. elegans* CBFbeta homologue BRO-1 interacts with the Runx factor, RNT-1, to promote stem cell proliferation and self-renewal. *Development*, 134(21), 3905–3015.
- Kahler, R. A., & Westendorf, J. J. (2003). Lymphoid enhancer factor-1 and beta-catenin inhibit Runx2dependent transcriptional activation of the osteocalcin promoter. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 278(14), 11937–11944.
- Kamachi, Y., Ogawa, E., Asano, M., Ishida, S., Murakami, Y., Satake, M., et al. (1990). Purification of a mouse nuclear factor that binds to both the A and B cores of the polyomavirus enhancer. *Journal of Virology*, 64, 4808–4819.
- Kaminker, J. S., Singh, R., Lebestky, T., Yan, H., & Banerjee, U. (2001). Redendant function of Runt domain binding partners, Big Brother and Brother, during *Drosophila* development. *Development*, 128(14), 2639–2648.

- Kania, M. A., Bonner, A. S., Duffy, J. B., & Gergen, J. P. (1990). The *Drosophila* segmentatio gene *runt* encodes a novel nuclear regulatory protein that is also expressed in the developing nervous system. *Genes* and Development, 4(10), 1701–1713.
- Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K., & Miyata, T. (2002). MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 30, 3059–3066.
- Keita, M., Bachvarova, M., Morin, C., Plante, M., Gregoire, J., Renaud, M.-C., et al. (2013). The RUNX1 transcription factor is expresed in serious epithelial ovarina carcinoma and contributes to cell proliferation, migration and invasion. *Cell Cycle*, 12(6), 972–986.
- Kitoh, A., Ono, M., Naoe, Y., Ohkura, N., Yamaguchi, T., Yaguchi, H., et al. (2009). Indispensale role of the Runx1-Cbfbeta transcription complex for *in vivo*suppressive function of FoxP3⁺ regulator T cells. *Immunity*, 31, 609–620.
- Klinger, M., & Gergen, J. P. (1993). Regulation of *runt* transcription by *Drosophila* segmentation genes. *Mechanisms of Development*, 43, 3–19.
- Kramer, I., Sigrist, M., de Nooij, J. C., Taniuchi, I., HJessell, T. M., & Arber, S. (2006). A role for Runx transcription factor signalling in dorsal root ganglion sensory neurone diversification. *Neurone*, 49(3), 379–393.
- Lanot, R., Zachary, D., Holder, F., & Meister, M. (2001). Postembryonic hematopoiesis in *Drosophila*. *Developmental Biology*, 230(2), 243–257.
- Lapan, S. W., & Reddien, P. W. (2011). dlx and sp6-9 control optic cup regeneratin in prototypic eye. PLoS Genetics, 7(8), e1002226.
- Lebestky, T., Chang, T., Hartenstein, V., & Banerjee, U. (2000). Specification of *Drosophila* hematopoietic lineage by conserved transcription factors. *Science*, 288, 146–149.
- Lee, K., Shim, J., Bae, J., Kim, Y.-J., & Lee, J. (2012). Stabilization of RNT-1 protein, Runt-related transcription factor (RUNX) protein homolog of *Caenorhabditis elegans*, by oxaditive stress through mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 287(13), 10444–10452.
- Li, L.-H., & Gergen, J. P. (1999). Differential interactions between Brother proteins and Runt domain proteins in the *Drosophila* embryo and eye. *Development*, 126, 3313–3322.
- Lotem, J., Levanon, D., Negreanu, V., Leshkowitz, D., Friedlander, G., & Groner, Y. (2013). Runx3-mediated transcriptional progeam in cytotoxic lymphocytes. *PLoS One*, 8(11), e80467.
- Markus, R., Laurinyecz, B., Kurucz, E., Honti, V., Bajusz, I., Sipos, B., et al. (2009). Sessile hemocytes as a hematopoietic compartment in *Drosophila melano*gaster. PNAS, 106(12), 4805–4809.
- Milton, C. C., Grusche, F. A., Degoutin, J. L., Yu, E., Dai, Q., Lai, E. C., & Harvey, K. F. (2014). The Hippo

pathway regulates hematopoiesis in Drosophila melanogaster. Current Biology, 24, 2673–2680.

- Minokawa, T., Wikramanayake, A. H., & Davidson, E. H. (2005). *cis*-regulatory inputs of the *wnt8* gene in the sea urchin endomesoderm network. *Developmental Biology*, 288, 545–558.
- Muratoglu, S., Garratt, G., Hyman, K., Gajewski, K., Schulz, R. A., & Fossett, N. (2006). Regulation of *Drosophila* friend of GATA gene, *u-shaped*, during hematopoiesis: a direct role for *serpent* and *lozenge*. *Developmental Biology*, 296, 561–579.
- Muratoglu, S., Hough, B., Mon, S. T., & Fossett, N. (2007). The GATA factor Serpent cross-regulates *loz-enge* and *u-shaped* expression during *Drosophila* blood cell development. *Developmental Biology*, 311, 636–649.
- Murthy, M., Bocking, S., Verginelli, F., & Stifani, S. (2014). Transcription factor Runx1 inhibits proliferation and promotes developmental maturation in a selected population of inner olfactory nerve layer olfactory ensheathing cells. *Gene*, 540(2), 191–200.
- Naillat, F., Yan, W., Karjalainen, R., Liakhovitskaia, A., Samoylenko, A., Xu, Q., et al. (2015). Indentification of the gene regulated by Wnt-4, a critical signal for commitment of the ovary. *Experimental Cell Research*, 332, 163–178.
- Newmark, P. J., & Sanchez-Alvarado, A. (2002). Not your father's panarian: a classic model enters the era of functional genomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 3, 210–219.
- Nimmo, R. A., & Slack, F. J. (2009). An elegant miRror: microRNAs in stem cells, developmental timing and cancer. *Chromosoma*, 118, 405–418.
- Nimmo, R. A., & Woollard, A. (2008). Worming out the biology of Runx. *Developmental Biology*, 313, 492–500.
- Nimmo, R. A., Antebi, A., & Woollard, A. (2005). *mab-2* encodes RNT-1, a *C. elegans* Runx homologue essential for controlling cell proliferation in a stem cell-like developmental lineage. *Development*, 132, 5034–5054.
- Nusslein-Volhard, C., & Wieschaus, E. F. (1980). Mutations affecting segment number and polarity in *Drosophila. Nature*, 287, 795–801.
- Ogawa, E., Maruyama, M., Kagoshima, H., Inuzuka, M., Lu, J., Satake, M., et al. (1993). PEBP2/PEA2 represents a family of transcription factors homologous to the products of the *Drosophila runt* gene and the human AML1 gene. *PNAS*, 90, 6859–6863.
- Osoiro, K. M., Lee, S. E., McDermitt, D. J., Waghmare, S. K., Zhang, Y. V., Woo, H. N., & Tumbar, T. (2008). Runx1 mediates developmental, but not injury-driven, hair follicle stem cell activation. *Development*, 135, 1059–1068.
- Pancer, Z., Rast, J. P., & Davidson, E. H. (1999). Origins of immunity: transcription factors and homologues of effector genes of the vertebrate immune system expressed in sea urchin coelomocytes. *Immunogenetics*, 49, 773–786.

- Pencovich, N., Jaschek, R., Tanay, A., & Groner, Y. (2011). Dynamic combinatorial interactions of RUNX1 and cooperating partners regulates megakaryocytic differentiation in cell line models. *Blood*, *117*(1), e1–14.
- Petersen, H. O., Hoger, S. K., Looso, M., Lengfeld, T., Khn, A., Warnken, U., et al. (2015). A comprehensive transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of hydra head regeneration. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32(8), 1928–1947.
- Reddien, P. W., & Sanchez-Alvarado, A. (2004). Fundamentals of planarian regeneration. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology, 20, 725–757.
- Rennert, J., Coffman, J. A., Mushegian, A. R., & Robertson, A. J. (2003). The evolution of *Runx* genes I. A comparative study of sequences from phylogenetically diverse model organisms. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *3*, 4–15.
- Robertson, A. J., Dickey, C. E., McCarthy, J. J., & Coffman, J. A. (2002). The expression of *SpRunt* during sea urchin embryogenesis. *Mechanisms of Development*, 117, 327–330.
- Robertson, A. J., Coluccio, A., Knowlton, P., Dickey-Sims, C., & Coffman, J. A. (2008). *Runx* expression is mitogenic and mutually linked to Wnt activity in blastula-stage sea urchin embryos. *PLoS One*, 3(11), e3770.
- Robertson, A. J., Larroux, C., Degnan, B. M., & Coffman, J. A. (2009). The evolution of *Runx* genes II. The C-terminal Groucho recruitment motif is present in both eumetazoans and homoscleromorphs but absent in a haplosclerid demosponge. *BMC Research Notes*, 2, 59–68.
- Robertson, A. J., Coluccio, A., Jensen, S., Rydlizky, K., & Coffman, J. A. (2013). Sea urchin *akt* activity is Runxdependent and required for post-cleavage stage cell division. *Biology Open*, 2, 472–478.
- Salo, E., Abril, J. F., Cebria, F., Eckelt, K., Fernandez-Taboada, E., Handberg-Thorsager, M., et al. (2009). Planarian regeneration: achivements and future directions after 20 years of research. *International Journal* of Developmental Biology, 58(8-10), 1317–1327.
- Sanchez-Alvarado, A., & Tsonis, P. A. (2006). Bridging the regeneration gap: genetic insights from diverse animal models. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 7, 873–884.
- Sandmann, T., Vogg, M. C., Owlarn, S., Boutros, M., & Bartscherer, K. (2011). The head-regeneration transcriptome of the planarian *Schmidtea mediterranea*. *Genome Biology*, 12, R76.
- Schierwater, B., Eitel, M., Jakob, W., Osigus, H.-J., Hadrys, H., Dellaporta, S. L., et al. (2009). Concatenated analysis sheds light on early metazoan evolution and fuels a modern "Urmetazoon" hypothesis. *PLoS Biology*, 7(1), e1000020.
- Scimone, M. L., Kravarik, K. M., Lapan, S. W., & Reddien, P. W. (2014). Neoblast specialisation in regeneration of the planarian *Schmidtea mediterranea*. *Stem Cell Reports*, *3*, 339–352.

- Sebe-Pedros, A., de Mendoza, A., Lang, B. F., Degnan, B. M., & Ruiz-Trillo, L. (2011). Unexpected repertoire of metazoan transcription factors in the unicellular holozoan *Capsaspora owczarzaki. Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 28(3), 1241–1254.
- Speck, N. A. (2001). Core Binding Factor and its role in normal hematopoietic development. *Current Opinion* in *Hematology*, 8(4), 192–196.
- Strom, D. K., Nip, J., Westendorf, J. J., Linggi, B., Lutterbach, B., Downing, J. R., et al. (2000). Expression of the AML-1 oncogene shortens the G(1) phase of the cell cycle. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 275, 3438–3445.
- Sullivan, J. C., Sher, D., Eisenstein, M., Shigesada, K., Reitzek, A. M., Marlow, H., et al. (2008). The evolutionary origin of the Runx/CBFbeta transcription factors - Studies of the most basal metazoans. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 8, 228–248.
- Sulston, J. E., & Horvitz, H. R. (1977). Post-embryonic cell lineages of the nematode, *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Developmental Biology*, 56(1), 110–156.
- Tanaka, T., Tanaka, K., Ogawa, S., Kurokawa, M., Mitani, K., Nishida, J., et al. (1995). An acute myeloid leukemia gene, AML1, regulates hemopoietci myeloid cell differentiation and transcriptional activation antagonistically by two alternative spliced forms. *EMBO Journal*, 14(2), 341–350.
- Theriault, F. M., Nuthall, H. N., Dong, Z., Lo, R., Barnabe-Heider, F., Miller, F. D., & Stifani, S. (2005). Role for Runx1 in the proliferation and neuronal differentation of selected progenitor cells in the mammalian nervous system. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 25(8), 2050–2061.
- Voon, D. C., Hor, Y. T., & Ito, Y. (2015). The RUNX complex: Reaching beyond haematopoiesis into immunity. *Immunology*, 146(4), 523–536.
- Wagner, D. E., Wang, I. E., & Reddien, P. W. (2011). Clonogenic neoblasts are pluripotent adult stem cells that underlie planarian regeneration. *Science*, 332(6031), 811–816.
- Waltzer, L., Ferjoux, G., Bataille, L., & Haenlin, M. (2003). Cooperation between the GATA and RUNX factors Serpent and Lozenge during *Drosophia* hematopoiesis. *EMBO Journal*, 22(24), 6516–6525.
- Waltzer, L., Gobert, V., Osman, D., & Haenlin, M. (2010). Transcription factor interplay during *Drosophila* haemoatopoiesis. *International Journal of Developmental Biology*, 54, 1107–1115.
- Wang, L., Kounatidis, I., & Ligoxygakis, P. (2014a). Drosophila as a model to study the role of blood cells in inflammation, innate immunity and cancer. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, 3(113), 1–17.
- Wang, Y., Godec, J., Ben-Aissa, K., Cui, K., Zhao, K., Pucsek, A. C., et al. (2014b). The transcription factors T-bet and Runx are required for the ontogeny of pathogenic interferon-gamma-producing T helper 17 cells. *Immunity*, 40(3), 355–366.
- Wenemoser, D., & Reddien, P. W. (2010). Planarian regeneration involves distinct stem cell responses to

wounds and tissue absence. *Developmental Biology*, 344(2), 979–991.

- Wenemoser, D., Lapan, S. W., Wilkinson, A. W., Bell, G. W., & Reddien, P. W. (2012). A molecular wound response program assocaited with regeneration initation in planarians. *Genes and Development*, 26, 988–1002.
- Westendorf, J. J., Zaidi, S. K., Cascino, J. E., Kahler, R., van Wijen, A. J., Lian, J. B., et al. (2002). Runx2 (CbfaI, AML-3) interacts with histone deacetylase 6 and represses the p21 (CIP1/WAF1) promoter. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 22(22), 9782–7992.
- Wong, F. W., Kurokawa, M., Satake, M., & Kohu, K. (2011). Down-regulation of *Runx1* expression by TCR signal involves an autoregulatory mechanism and contributes to IL-2 production. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 286(13), 11110–11118.
- Wong, F. W., Kohu, K., Nakamura, A., Ebina, M., Kikuchi, T., Tazawa, R., et al. (2012). *Runx1* deficiency in CD4⁺ T cells causes fatal autoimmune inflammatory lung disease due to spontaneous hyperactivation of cells. *The Journal of Immunology*, 188, 5408–5420.
- Wood, W., & Jacinto, A. (2007). Drosophila melanogaster embryonic haemocytes: masters of multitasking. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 8(7), 542–551.
- Wotton, S., Blyth, K., Kilbey, A., Jenkins, A., Terry, A., Bernardin-Fried, F., et al. (2004). RUNX1 transformation of primary embryonic fibroblasts is revealed in the absence of p53. *Oncogene*, 23, 5476–5486.
- Wu, J. Q., Seay, M., Schulz, V. P., Hariharan, M., Tuck, D., Lian, J., et al. (2012). Tcf7 is an important regulator of the switch of self-renewal and differentiation in a multipotential hematopoietic cell line. *PLoS Genetics*, 8(3), e1002565.
- Wurtzel, O., Cote, L. E., Poririer, A., Satjia, R., Regev, A., & Reddien, P. W. (2015). A generic and cell-type specific wound response precedes regeneration in planarians. *Developmental Cell*, 35, 632–645.
- Wysokinski, D., Pawlowska, E., & Blasiak, J. (2015). RUNX2: A master bone growth regulator that may be involved in the DNA damage response. *DNA and Cell Biology*, 34(5), 305–315.
- Xia, D., Zhnag, Y., Huang, X., Sun, Y., & Zhnag, H. (2007). The *C. elegans* CBFbeta homolog, BRO-1, regulates the proliferation, differentiation and specification of the stem cell-like seam cell lineages. *Developmental Biology*, 309(2), 259–272.
- Yan, H., Canon, J., & Banerjee, U. (2003). A transcriptional chain linking eye specification to terminal determination of cone cells in the *Drosophila* eye. *Developmental Biology*, 263, 323–329.
- Yokomizo, T., Ogawa, M., Osato, M., Kanno, T., Yoshida, H., Fujimoto, T., et al. (2001). Requirement of Runx1/ AML1/PEBP2alphaB for the generation of haematopoietic cells from endothelial cells. *Genes and Cells*, 6(1), 13–23.