

# Chapter 29

## Knowledge Management Model Supported by Social Networks. Case: University-Enterprise

Víctor Hugo Medina García, Ramón Ernesto Correa Amado  
and Liliana Contreras Callejas

**Abstract** This paper presents a knowledge management model to strengthen the relations between the university and the enterprise, and to facilitate joint strategies and interaction with social networks support. The use of social networks in this model focuses on creating bidirectional links between the university and the enterprise, based on informal exchange of knowledge and sharing information of interest to both actors. The expectations the model use is analyzed through the application of a survey of a population sample of Colombian university students, where the results show the good attitude of these to use social networks in knowledge management to support the relations between the university and the enterprise.

**Keywords** Social networks · Knowledge management · Entrepreneurial · University · Knowledge management model

### 29.1 Introduction

The boom of social networks worldwide is a phenomenon that involves the whole society, one of the social networks that has grown is Facebook, that has over one billion users worldwide, and the numbers continue to grow day by day. Due to the

---

V. H. M. García (✉) · R. E. C. Amado  
District University Francisco José de Caldas, Bogotá, Colombia  
e-mail: vmedina@udistrital.edu.co

R. E. C. Amado  
e-mail: recorrea@correo.udistrital.edu.co

L. C. Callejas  
Sergio Arboleda University, Bogotá, Colombia  
e-mail: lcontreras@usergio.edu.co

proliferation in the use of these networks, there is a need to involve the university academic community (professors, teachers, researchers, managers, etc.) and the business community to interact with each other through the use of available networks, to support and enhance knowledge management within the university, the enterprise and research.

When in universities knowledge management is not done correctly because of the problem with organizational learning processes. As a result, it does not have the intellectual capital expected. Hence, to develop these processes, the universities must be able to focus on a team approach, adopting a network model. Currently universities are providing social networking facility that supports the exchange of information and communication, becoming a source of learning and continuous improvement.

For these reasons, the KM-U model (Model of Knowledge Management in University) was supplemented [1], adding a node entrepreneurial with the influence of social networks, to support a more easy and effective knowledge management within universities and interrelationships with the enterprises.

## 29.2 Conceptualization


Although knowledge management, today is a booming business, but in the university, members of the academic community at large number do not really know what it involves when managing knowledge. Most of them use the information that flows through processes that support activities such as learning, problem solving, strategic planning and decision making but do really understand, what is involved thereby, not adding value to the organization processes.

Man uses knowledge management to interact with others, for example the simple fact of how a father teaches his son the most basic things of life or a teacher teaches his students a particular topic, make implicitly in use [2].

Organizations today no matter what they are engaged in, they have realized that there are intangible assets that must be well managed in order to create competitive advantage and to compete in a globalized economy. Within these assets we can find the information and knowledge what we call knowledge management. This is defined [3] as the set of processes that direct the analysis, dissemination, use and transfer of experiences, information and knowledge among all members of an organization to generate value Tables 29.1, 29.2, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7.


**Table 29.1** The active use of social networks

Questions	Answers	Percentage (%)
Yes	70	97
No	2	3



**Table 29.2** Good know social networks

Social network	Answers	Percentage (%)
Facebook	71	99
Tuenti	2	3
MySpace	35	49
Twitter	58	81
eLearningSocial	0	0
Netlog	9	13
Flickr	8	11
OdioAMiCompi	0	0
Xculpture	0	0
LiveSpaces	7	10
Other	2	3




**Table 29.3** Use of social networks academically

Questions	Answers	Percentage (%)
Yes	53	74
No	19	26




**Table 29.4** Time on-line daily

Duration in hours	Answers	Percentage (%)
Menos de 1 hora	15	21
Entre 1 y 3 horas	38	53
Entre 3 y 5 horas	9	13
Más de 5 horas	10	14




**Table 29.5** Preferences in use of the social networks

Social network	Answers	Percentage (%)
Facebook	47	65
Tuenti	3	4
MySpace	7	10
Twitter	43	60
eLearningSocial	4	6
Netlog	6	8
Flickr	7	10
OdioAMiCompi	1	1
Xculpture	4	6
LiveSpaces	2	3
Other	1	1




**Table 29.6** Activities of knowledge management that can be carried out through the social networks

Activities	Answers	Percentage (%)
To share document	58	81
To share pictures	35	49
To foment the communication among enterprise-university	53	74
To share knowledge among educational	28	39
To share knowledge among students	36	50
To share knowledge among educational and students	57	79
To share knowledge among users	24	33
To foment the communication	35	49
To share the knowledge that is generated between university and company	28	39
Other	0	0



**Table 29.7** Disposition in the use of the social networks

Questions	Answers	Percentage (%)
Yes	62	86
No	9	13



The use of social networks by the members of the academic community is a means of interaction among the academic community is known as digital culture. Certainly the role of social network in these virtual platforms between society and the possibilities they offer are many. Majority of them are only used as entertainment, fun and contact with friends and acquaintances. The question is why not using them as a support tool for managing knowledge within the university in a professional way.

To achieve this, we need a knowledge management model that links the academy, the research and the business sector with the support of ICT online with the use of social networks in order to manage information and knowledge to be generated as a result of the implementation of strategic plans in organizations.

### ***29.2.1 Social Networks and Knowledge Management***

Internet social networks are technological support tools for interactions between people who share a common interest. They are virtualization or the abstraction computing social networks previously built and maintained through face interaction. These social networking websites, represented through tools such as Facebook, MySpace, Twitter, LinkedIn, Blogger and others are just very evolved expression with a significant technological component (Web 2.0) of previously existing social networks, which have revolutionized our old forms of interaction with sociocultural change in people and society.

Knowledge has been channeled in a particular manner either in restricted, centralized, maximizing initiatives aimed to codify explicit knowledge, the ideal of conventional enterprise because it is easier to transfer, knowledge is often viewed as a resource or object and not an act. However, today it is more important to have the flow and exchange, of knowledge because of the rapid obsolescence of knowledge in all fields. This phenomenon requires us to have an organizational change that allows the activation of more channels of exchange and creation of knowledge for University-Enterprise relationship, as well as finding a way of renewal and sustainability [2]. These new approaches require new knowledge best practices aligned to facilitate generating knowledge and innovation in organizations at the university. Socialization, collaboration, solidarity and culture are key collective decisions that must be taken into account for this purpose.

Knowledge management has critical factors that determine their success; these are related to having an organizational culture that values cooperation, collaboration, diversity and complementarity, good levels of trust and communication among employees or members of the academic community. A full understanding of that knowledge depends exclusively on people and how they are interrelated, and appropriate technology and infrastructure to facilitate the flow of information and knowledge. In a broader sense, not just organizational, social networks today provide societies forming relationships that increase confidence and improve communication schemes, an interdependence between the processes of learning, problem solving and knowledge acquisition; a review of social relationships rather than individual, ease of finding information, or who has knowledge, motivation to cooperate, recognition and collective collaboration, social reputation and sense of belonging, and diversity and complementarity in information, knowledge, the perspectives and experiences of both the university and the enterprise.

Connecting people individually or in groups using a variety of forms can be an important source of new ideas and help organizations to extend and develop their skills. Social networks can be used to store all the relevant information and highlight the necessary information for a specific need or unusual information. Some organizations provide “yellow pages” of who knows what, while other key personnel identified what is connected to the social network and part of the work is to provide the appropriate links.




Fig. 29.1 Organizational model of knowledge management in university Source Medina [1]




Fig. 29.2 Organizational model of knowledge management in university (view details structural with subnodes) Source Medina [1]




Fig. 29.3 Knowledge management model support by social networks as facilitator the relations University-Enterprise. Source Adaptation and extensión GC-U Model

### 29.2.2 Analysis of the Study Case

Given that research previously undertaken have dealt with the analysis of business cases where it have implemented knowledge management programs in the last years, this research focused on analyzing the Knowledge Management Model in University - KM-U (see Fig. 29.1 and more details in Fig. 29.2) [1, 4] and then based on that, develop a model that integrates the enterprise with social networks.

### 29.3 Knowledge Management Model Proposed

The proposed model is based on an extension of the KM-U model analyzed previously by using social network.

The model expresses the need to connect the external environment through the internal management process based on *knowledge*. The model is based on four interconnected nodes, which are supported by different types of social networks. The nodes identified are: Academic, Organizational, technological and entrepreneurial, with the latter node the proposal to strengthen relations between the university and Enterprise (see Fig. 29.3).

The core of the model is *the knowledge*, which will be enriched by the experience-based *knowledge* that can bring in the entrepreneurial node. The nodes are fed by both internal and external facilitators. External facilitators comprising: (*Social environment, cultural knowledge, strategies and self-assessment*) focus on developing strategic action policies of the university in its socio environment. Internal facilitators also kept, by creating an additional one, called *overcoming technological deficiencies*. These facilitators are aimed at developing operational tactics and actions to achieve the principles and purposes of the educational projection specific to each university.

The characteristics of each of the elements contained in the model are described below.

### 29.3.1 Nodes and Subnodes

The nodes are knowledge-based resources, which generates, transfers knowledge and can perform various processing functions that required treatment internally or through network links. The *nodes* of the model are:

- *Knowledge Node or Core*: This is the main axis model since this node serves as a repository of knowledge. It shows how to generate and disseminate knowledge at the university and the enterprise.
- *Academic Node*: Refers to the activities of the academic area such as: the production of knowledge, professional training, and social culture. The teaching and research are part of these activities usually seek training in the service of human society and the expansion of human knowledge.
- *Organizational Node*: this node functions involve guidance and support to enable university activities and relate specifically to the leadership, direction, supervision and control of the financial and administrative affairs of the university and all its dependencies.

Knowledge and organization are two central aspects of the necessary transformation of the university, although theoretically these two aspects should be inextricably linked, the current situation shows that it is not. Therefore it is necessary to create new relationships between the different actors of the university as well as a different concept for the administration of resources, where knowledge is part of this vital, new ways to learn and to link with the surroundings.

- *Technology Node*: Is the infrastructure available that creates, access, and disseminate knowledge. This includes standard programs developed to measure access to telecommunications, intranet, extranet and user support and all locally or remotely. Using these tools and participating employees share the content on their daily work processes. They also facilitate the learning process by allowing the organization and storage of knowledge.



- *Entrepreneurial Node*: Universities and enterprises are primary actors in innovation processes and both possess skills and fundamental scientific and technological capabilities to generate knowledge that are often complementary. The knowledge generated in one area can be different and, therefore, complementary, in respect of what takes place in other business institutions. In order to develop science and technology, both universities and businesses require financial resources, infrastructure and human capital which often do not have partners or whose costs can't be afforded. In general, the research and development projects are very long term activities with uncertain results and involve large sums of money. Moreover, despite the need to share to develop and use capabilities to generate scientific and technological knowledge, the university and the company carried out this task with responsibility, goals and criteria very different and sometimes difficult to reconcile.

Generally, universities are dedicated to training and knowledge generation in science and technology through basic research, therefore establishing a virtuous synergy between these functions and financed with equity. The purpose and use of the results of such research are typically academics, which encourages its dissemination in the scientific community for evaluation and development of further research.

Enterprises, on the contrary, need to pursue innovation processes to increase productivity and competitiveness, especially in dynamic sectors, which are motivated by other interests. Most of the time, the market is the main incentive mechanism to the introduction of innovations originating in the results of applied research, and the appropriateness and cost-effectiveness of such innovations are the main criteria for evaluation.

The *subnodes* or detailed knowledge resources initial model described in Fig. 29.2, but the subnodes that could be implemented in the proposed *Entrepreneurial Node* can be summarized in:

- Services.
- Innovation.
- University-Enterprise projects.
- Research projects.
- Other that consider the organization.

For those nodes and subnodes facilitators agents and indicators are explained below.

### ***29.3.2 Agents Facilitators and Indicators***

Organizations need to obtain results that are coming through the behavior of their agents facilitators, that is to say, their personnel's performances and the operation of their processes [5].

In higher education institutions the agents facilitators are the elements that will promote activities that allowed the university organizational policy and management or compliance with actions that evaluated according to the behavior of a number of indicators that facilitate the identification, development and retention of knowledge and ultimately help sustain and fulfill the mission of the organization.

Because the entrepreneurial node was added to the model, some facilitators that arise for this node are:

- Flow of human resources.
- Informal contacts between professionals.
- Popularization activities and diffusion of the knowledge.
- Joint Projects.
- Technology-based companies.

### ***29.3.3 Interaction Model with Social Networks***

Informal networks of work, such as creating informal contacts between professionals, teachers, researchers, students and other members of the academic community involve bidirectional links between universities and industry, based on informal exchange of knowledge, information sharing. In these networks, the flow of knowledge is tacit and poorly coded and is incorporated in people, enabling knowledge sharing in a relationship, however, is less formalized in research projects and long-term development. Companies often use and positively assess these informal networks of professionals. Besides facilitating contact with qualified human resources, these channels are used to obtain information on trends in research and development and access to scientific and technological knowledge to develop professionals in universities. On the side of universities, these networks represent a way of circulating the progress of investigations and submit them for evaluation by professionals who are users of the applications of this knowledge. In this sense, informal networks and professionals can be a way to begin to articulate the range of scientific and technological knowledge built on experience of professionals with business requirements, constituting good channels to identify collaborative projects or future scientific joint research [6].

## **29.4 Attitudes and Expectations of the Use of Knowledge Management Model Supported by Social Networks**

Social networks can be used in education to help learning. It is particularly useful for collaborative work, understood as the exchange and development of knowledge on the part of small groups, aimed at achieving academic purposes, it can be used as a platform for consolidation of certain communities, which in our case would be a community made up of the academy and university. Using social networks in collaborative work

promotes motivation, higher levels of academic performance, enables individual learning and group feedback, improves retention of learning, critical thinking power, multiply the diversity of knowledge and experience acquired [7].

### ***29.4.1 Research Context***

This research was carried out to study the attitudes of students from different semesters at the School of Engineering at the University Sergio Arboleda, on social networks and their use in the context of knowledge management model supported by social networks. In this study, we sought to examine the degree of knowledge and use of social networks of our students, using a questionnaire sent by e-mail account to each student, designed specifically for this research. We want to and which in indicate the attitude of our students in the didactic use of these platforms for interaction.

### ***29.4.2 Data Analysis***

Of all students enrolled in the School of Engineering, a sample of 72 students was involved in the survey. Data analysis results were obtained from in the following questions:

- Is there any social network activity currently in use? The following information was obtained:
- What social networks do you know at the moment?
- Do you use the social network from your own initiative or from the professors? To the question it has used the social networks academically, for a professor's suggestion or for own initiative?
- How long do you connected to the social networks daily?
- What you would like to use for practical academic and managerial?
- What is the possibility that social networks can be used day by day in the academic processes?
- Are you willing to use the social nets to negotiate the knowledge generated by the daily activities of the university?

### ***29.4.3 Analysis of Results***

Analysis of the data from the answers received from the survey provides us the following results. On one hand, students have a good attitude about the use of social networks and many were users. Knowledge and use social networks by some of

students were especially high. This shows that there is an absence of mistrust for using them. In analyzing the use of social networks academically, it is clear that a very high percentage has been used for this purpose, either on students' own initiative or on the recommendation of teachers. As for the time spent online the highest percentage was in the range between one and three hours days it indicates that the time spent likely to suggested that knowledge management was indeed happened through this medium. The use of social networks was preference for more than 50 %. Of the people surveyed. To share knowledge regardless of where it is generated, it is necessary to use a social network to make this process efficient and effective.

The published form is available at the following link:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/viewform?formkey=dg9szzbzcvrxxmxhfnk5vwpia01mnke6mq>

## 29.5 Conclusions

It is important to understand that knowledge management will establish a knowledge center (brain) based on technology (hardware and software), rather it serves as the necessary routes (nervous system based on conversational networks) to the natural flow of knowledge within institutions. As we know the main goal of knowledge management resides in the creation of value (survival of the system).

The model has been developed thinking of the general requirement for organizations to create value and the disposition of the resource 'knowledge' as the key factor for generating of sustainable competitive advantages.

From the hypothesis outlined in this research we can reaffirm that, in implementing the model at any university and specifically in Colombia, one can overcome the gap between academia and business, using social networks to share information, knowledge and experiences obtained, in order to provide the country with better social and economic benefits. This is because any country that wants to incentives the cooperation of universities with industry must move simultaneously in the definition of productive structures that require a higher level of knowledge.

## References

1. Medina VH (2004) Modelo Organizacional y Tecnológico para la Gestión del Conocimiento en la Universidad: Aplicación en la Universidad Distrital. Tesis Doctoral. Universidad Pontificia de Salamanca Madrid
2. Arbonés Á (2006) Conocimiento para innovar: cómo evitar la miopía en la gestión de conocimiento Madrid
3. Davenport TH, Prusak L (1998) Working knowledge: how organizations manage what they know. Harvard Business School Press, Boston
4. Medina VH, Pérez MF (2013) Knowledge management model for fruit\_horticultural agroindustry. Case: córdoba-colombia, Int J Future Commun 2(6):617–622 ISSN 2010-3751, Edit for IACSIT Press <http://www.iacsitp.com>. Singapore, <http://www.ijfcc.org>

5. Benavides C, Quintana G (2003) Gestión del conocimiento y calidad total. Ed Díaz de Santos, Madrid
6. Santana M, Cabello J, Cubas R, Medina V (2011) Redes sociales como soporte a la gestión del conocimiento. Universidad ESAN, Lima
7. Horibe F (1999) Managing knowledge workers. Wiley, Canadá