# Chapter 8 Comparative Analysis of the Current and Potential Role of Legumes in Integrated Soil Fertility Management in Southern Africa

**Paul Mapfumo** 

Abstract Food insecurity, arising from low and non-sustainable agricultural production systems under increasing climate variability is one of the major livelihood threats for the majority of households in Southern Africa. Nitrogen (N) is the most limiting nutrient in the predominantly smallholder maize-based cropping systems, with N inputs almost invariably constituting the largest cost of crop production. Traditional methods of soil fertility management are critically short of matching the increasing food demands of a growing human population against the rising cost of living. Limited access to affordable mineral fertilizers by farmers exacerbates inherent problems of a low soil nutrient capital. This paper explores the current and potential contribution of legume biological N2-fixation (BNF) to the N economy of cropping systems in southern African countries in the context of integrated soil fertility management (ISFM) approaches. There is potential for BNF to generate 200-300 kg N ha-1 under farmer management conditions, reducing N fertilizer inputs for subsequent maize by  $50-100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  in a single cropping season. Legumes derive 50–90% of their N requirements from N-fixation, nearly eliminating the need for external N fertilization. However, current N inputs from BNF on smallholder farms remain as low as 5 kg N ha-1 year-1 due to factors that include poor choices of legume types/varieties, small areas allocated for legume production, and poor soil fertility (particularly phosphorus [P] deficiency) and rainfall variability that lead to poor biomass accumulation. Application of P containing fertilizers was found to increase legume yields by 20-100% across dominant soils, while combinations of livestock manure and mineral fertilizer increased yields of grain legumes by 50–150% even poor sandy soils. Although legume green manures and agroforestry tree systems resulted in the highest maize yield responses of up to 400%, their lack

P. Mapfumo (🖂)

Soil Fertility Consortium for Southern Africa (SOFECSA),

CIMMYT Southern Africa, P.O. Box MP163, Mt Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe e-mail: p.mapfumo@cgiar.org

of short-term food and income benefits severely limits their preference and adoption by farmers. In contrast, grain legumes showed the highest potential in farming systems in Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia and Zimbabwe where they are used in rotations and/or intercrops depending on rainfall conditions. Grain legumes increased yields of subsequent cereals by 60% to >200% over non fertilized controls following addition of mineral fertilizer in modest amounts. There are, however, significant technical knowledge gaps among farmers, agro-input suppliers and extension agencies on management options for optimizing BNF. The study identified lack of suitable mechanisms to deliver smallholder communities out of an existing 'maize poverty trap' as an overaching challenge for intensification and diversification of the cereal-legume systems. Prevailing agricultural production circumstances of many smallholders favour maize monocropping. Research and development intitiatives have also tended to ignore the multiple roles of grain legumes as prioritized by communities, particularly women. Opportunities exist for multi-stakeholder platforms that generate technical and market innovations with communities to drive legume-based ISFM technologies for increased productivity and diversification of southern Africa's current farming systems.

#### 8.1 Introduction

Nitrogen (N) deficiency is arguably an incessant problem of tropical agriculture due to high rates of soil organic matter (SOM) turnover (Nye and Greenland 1960) coupled with low levels of organic inputs and high incidences of N loss principally due to leaching. This may largely explain the invariably high demand for N inputs in the predominantly maize (Zea mays L.)-based cropping systems of Southern Africa, particularly in the sub-humid zones where mean annual rainfall ranges from 800 mm to  $\pm 1,600$  mm. The growing human population and rising cost of living have critically diminished the scope for traditional methods of soil fertility maintenance or restoration. Consequently, food insecurity, arising from low and non-sustainable agricultural production systems under increasing climate variability, is one of the major livelihood threats for the majority of households in Southern Africa. The region is no exception to most parts of sub-Sahara Africa where per capita food production is declining (Sanchez et al. 1997). As farm sizes continue to shrink against the rising population pressure, continuous cropping has inevitably become dominant in the farming systems and there is currently a dire need for soil fertility management strategies and technologies that support intensification. For small land areas cropped every year, there are widespread reports of a downward trend in crop yields due to poor and declining soil fertility (Kumwenda et al. 1995; Sanchez et al. 1997; Waddington et al. 1998a, b). As such, scientific evidence suggests high rates of nutrient depletion in Southern Africa. Nitrogen balance estimates, for example, ranged from  $-31 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ for Zambia to worse than -60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for Malawi and Mozambique (Stoorvogel et al. 1993). These high levels of nutrient mining are largely driven by positive net nutrient exports from the fields in harvested crop products (grain, residues, fibre) since

most of the farmers in the region are predominantly smallholders with limited capacity to acquire adequate external nutrient inputs for use on an annual basis.

Limited availability of nutrient resources in Southern Africa exacerbates an already existing problem of an inherently low soil nutrient capital. The dominant soil types in the whole region comprise Lixisols, Arenosols, Acrisols and Ferralsols (FAO 1990) most of which have limited capacity in holding and supplying the major plant nutrients and water. The problem cuts across the two main agro-ecologies, namely, the sub-humid and semi-arid zones. While the most apparent and obvious constraint for crop production in the semi-arid tropics is soil moisture, poor soil fertility has over the years been identified as the single most important underlying cause for low crop yields for such low rainfall areas in Southern Africa (Mapfumo and Giller 2001). Apart from the highly priced mineral fertilizers such as ammonium nitrate (AN), calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN), urea, di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) and various compound fertilizers, N<sub>2</sub>-fixing legumes are probably the only other realistic option for supplying N and improving soil fertility in cropping systems of Southern Africa.

Leguminous plants that associate symbiotically with N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria, mainly of the genus Rhizobium, are able to capture atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> in amounts sufficient to meet their growth requirements (Peoples et al. 1989). The fixed N can significantly contribute to the N economy of agro-ecosystems (Giller 2001) and therefore constitute a renewable source of the most limiting nutrient in tropical agriculture. However, this attribute does not seem to be among the major driving factors behind the continued existence of various legume species found in the prevailing cropping systems, particularly on smallholder farms. A wide range of legumes, which include, groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.), Bambara groundnut (Vigna subterranea [L.] Verdc), cowpea (Vigna unguiculata [L.] Walp), pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan [L.] Millsp.), common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L.*) and soyabean (*Glycine max* [L.] Merr.) are commonly grown by smallholder farmers in Southern Africa. Legumes are used for various purposes that include improvement of human nutrition, cash generation at local (village) and national markets, soil fertility improvement and providing high quality (protein rich) forage. Out of these multiple uses, soil fertility impact of legumes in the cropping systems is very minimal (Mapfumo et al. 2001a). Most households in Southern Africa use maize for staple food, and cereals generally rank high when compared with legumes in terms of nutritional importance. For instance, maize contributes 80% of the daily food calories and is planted to over 76% of the total arable land in Malawi (Government of Malawi 1999). With the exception of soyabean which has gained significantly in economic significance (Mpepereki et al. 1996), most of the legumes have been relegated to minor crops and are generally considered as women's crops since they are often produced by women on small land areas meant primarily for home consumption (Mapfumo et al. 2001a, b). One of the most important questions is whether, and how, legumes are serving their purpose in cropping systems. This comes in the wake of an increasing realization that legumes can play a pivotal role in sustaining crop productivity in smallholder farming systems (Giller et al. 1994; Giller 2001). Soil fertility management is considered as a means for achieving food security in Southern Africa. However, there is little documentation on the potential and realities of legumes with respect to integrated soil fertility

management (ISFM). There is limited knowledge on how the other multiple functions of legumes can be turned into drivers for ISFM, particularly with reference to farming systems in Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

#### 8.2 Dominant Cropping Systems in Southern Africa

In general, most of the cropping systems in Southern Africa are maize (Zea mays L.) based, with maize accounting for an average of 60–90% of the seasonal cropped areas and providing the staple diet for the majority of people (Kumwenda et al. 1995). With respect to soil fertility management, however, the farming systems are as diverse as the socio-cultural and environmental settings that have dictated their evolution, ranging from the Chitemene and Fundikila systems of northern Zambia to the manure- and fertilizer-based management systems of Zimbabwe. Chitemene is a 'lop and burn' system characteristic of shifting cultivation, while Fundikila is basically an *in-field* composting of predominantly low quality veld grasses in small mounds (explained in detail later in this chapter). In most of the farming systems, the maize is either monocropped (predominantly), intercropped with legumes, rotated with legumes and small grain cereals or interrupted by periods of natural fallowing. Maize is sometimes replaced with pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum [L.] R.Br.) and sorghum (Sorghum bicolor [L.] Moench) in semi-arid zones (Chivasa 1995; Ahmed et al. 1996). Traditional intercrops involve, cowpea and Bambara groundnut with maize and sorghum. Cowpea has often been sparsely intercropped with the staple cereal crops. Dominance of any of these cropping systems partly depends on human population densities and hence land-holding, and also on availability of labour and draught power. Farm size in most areas average ~0.5 ha household in Malawi to >5 ha in Zambia. In Zimbabwe, Mozambique and southern Zambia, most households rely on animal draught power (particularly cattle) while hand-hoeing is predominantly used in Malawi (Waddington 1994; Scoones et al. 1996). Average population densities range from <45 persons km<sup>-2</sup> in parts of Zimbabwe (CSO 1998) to ~250 persons km<sup>-2</sup> in Malawi. With high population densities, periods of natural fallowing are reduced, and there is decreased availability of organic resources such as cattle manure. Although a wide range of nutrient resources are used, almost all are traditionally targeted towards the staple cereal crops, especially maize, with legumes and minor crops rarely receiving any external fertilization (Campbell et al. 1998; Mapfumo et al. 1999).

#### 8.3 Legumes as a Critical Source of N in Croppings Systems

The main sources of N inputs in farming systems are mineral fertilizers, legume BNF, organic resources transferred from outside the field such as animal manures and plant biomass, and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N reclaimed from subsoil by deep rooted shrubs

Legume system	%N derived from fixation	Amount fixed (kg Nha <sup>-1</sup> )	Time (days)
Grain	60–100	105-206	60-120
Pasture	45–98	115-280	120-365
Green manure	50-90	110-280	45-200
Trees	56-89	162–1,063	180-820

**Table 8.1** A summary of  $N_2$  fixation potential from different categories of tropical legumes

Adapted from Giller (2001)

and/or perennials. Of these, only mineral fertilizers and legumes (through BNF) effectively provide real N additions to the cropping systems. Nitrogen fixing legumes are, therefore, an essential driver of ISFM technologies for smallholder farming systems given the ever escalating costs of accessing mineral fertilizers. A synthesis of findings from decades of research based on controlled trials from both on-station and farmers' fields demonstrate a high potential of diverse legumes to fix large amounts of N under tropical environments (Giller 2001). Legume species commonly used for provision of grain, green manure and pastures have potential to fix between 100 and 300 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> from the atmosphere (Table 8.1).

Most annual legumes have a low C:N ratio and low levels of lignin and polyphenols, thereby satisfying the minimum chemical quality attributes required for netmineralization in the short-term (e.g. within a single cropping season). The relatively high amounts of N fixed in legume biomass can therefore be readily available to subsequent crop, substantially reducing annual demands for chemical fertilizer use at field and farm scales. Fertilizer equivalency values between 50 and 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> have been reported from regional field trials from both on- station and on-farm (e.g. Bogale et al. 2001; Bezner Kerr et al. 2007). Fertilizer equivalency for legume biomass of different quality was estimated at 16% to >100% from different on-farm experiments in east and southern Africa (Murwira et al. 2002). Mineral N fertilizers constitute the largest fertilizer cost in Southern Africa's predominantly cereal-based cropping systems, further suggesting that any small N contributions from BNF can translate into significant subsidies to prevailing production costs.

Main factors that determine the amount of N fixed by legumes include: (a) legume genotype (including cultivar); (b) establishment of an effective symbiosis between the legume (macro-symbiont) and the rhizobial bacteria (micro-symbiont), from which N is fixed; (c) the amount of N accumulated in the legume plant biomass during the growth cycle as influenced by agronomic management factors including soil fertility. For purposes of enhancing the N economy in cropping systems, the area cultivated to the legume constitutes the fourth factor (d). Optimization of BNF in smallholder cropping systems will therefore hinge on management strategies that are designed to address these four factors. For instance, inoculation usually becomes necessary when a new legume is introduced into an area where the soils do not contain rhizobial strains that can effectively nodulate that legume. Inoculation may also be necessary in soils that do not support a sufficiently large populations of the rhizobia nodulating a given legume species.

# 8.4 Estimated Amounts of N Fixed by Different Legumes on Farmers' Fields

To date, there are limited studies quantifying N2-fixation by different legumes in Southern Africa despite increasing awareness among research and development communities about their potential benefits to smallholder farming systems. Notably, there is a critical absence of BNF related studies from smallholder farming systems in Mozambique. However, the relatively few studies available have generated critical lessons and technical knowledge on the potential contributions of legumes to the region's farming systems. Most of the traditionally grown grain legumes that include cowpea, groundnut, Bambara groundnut and pigeonpea effectively nodulate with native soil rhizobia, and will not require inoculation across the diverse agro-ecologies (e.g. Mpepereki and Makonese 1994; Mapfumo et al. 2000). Similar patterns have been observed for most tropical legumes used for green manures such as sunnhemp species (Crotalaria spp.) and mucuna (Mucuna pruriens [L.] DC.), and a wide range of agroforestry shrubs/trees. There is therefore enormous potential for tapping the diversity of both the legumes and native rhizobia to increase BNF contributions to smallholder farming systems for increased crop productivity and enhanced nutrition of humans and livestock. Contrary to the above-mentioned legumes, soyabean generally requires inoculation in most of the region's soils, with the exception of known promiscuous varieties (Giller 2001; Musiyiwa et al. 2005). Soyabean is arguably the most researched grain legume in the region because of its economic value as a cash crop, and available national innoculant factories are basically build around production of suitable *Bradyrhizobium* strains. Thus, technically, there is a broad scope for enhancing BNF driven soyabean production for smallholders.

Field studies have shown that commonly grown grain and green manure legumes derive most of their N from N<sub>2</sub>-fixation (Table 8.2). On granitic sandy soils with <10% clay, the legumes depend almost entirely on BNF, deriving ~90% of their N (e.g. Mapfumo et al. 1999; Kasasa 1999). Tree legume species derive about 50% of their N from fixation (Table 8.2), and it is not clear how much N<sub>2</sub>-fixation is influenced by their ability to access subsoil N that is otherwise leached beyond the rooting zone of most annual crops. The limited studies quantifying BNF on farmers' fields seem to suggest a relatively higher dependence on N<sub>2</sub>-fixation by annual legumes grown on poorer soils and in intercrops than those in sole cropping (e.g. Giller 2001; Giller et al. 2002). Further quantitative research is necessary to determine the implications for sustainability of some of traditional and promising (community prioritized) intercrops such as the maize/pigeopea in southern Malawi and the maize/cowpea and maize/groundnut systems in Mozambique.

Against the potential for annual fixation rates of 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, the amounts measured on farmer's fields are still very low (Table 8.2). The high rates of N<sub>2</sub>-fixation of about 70–90% for most annual grain legumes do not translate into effectively high amounts N fixed at farm and field scales. Based on studies conducted mainly in Malawi, Zambia and Zimbabwe, only soyabean fixes relatively high amounts of N per unit of land, yielding between 100 and 260 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> within periods of no more than 3 months (Table 8.2). Most of the tested legumes fixed as low as 6 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> to

Table 8.2 Estimated amoun	its of nitrogen fixe	d by different leg	umes under smallholder	farmer management conditions in	n Southern Africa
	%N from	N fixed			
Legume type	N <sub>2</sub> -fixation	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil texture	Location/country of study	References
(a) Annual grain and green	manure legumes				
Cowpea (Vigna	76%	73	Coarse sand	Chinamora, Zimbabwe	Mapfumo et al. (2001a, b)
anguiculata)	92%	79	Coarse sand	Chinyika, Zimbabwe	
	65%	54	Coarse sand	Murehwa, Zimbabwe	
	58%	28	Sandy clay loam	Domboshava, Zimbabwe	Chikowo et al. (2003)
	15-56%	21 - 109	Sandy loam	Matopos, Zimbabwe	Ncube et al. (2007)
Bambara groundnut	75%	47	Coarse sand	Chinamora, Zimbabwe	Mapfumo et al. (2001a, b)
(Vigna subterranea)	88%	54	Coarse sand	Chinyika, Zimbabwe	
	72%	57	Coarse sand	Murehwa, Zimbabwe	
	34-61%	2–72	Sandy loam	Matopos, Zimbabwe	Ncube et al. (2007)
Groundnut (Arachis	52%	28	Coarse sand	Chinamora, Zimbabwe	Mapfumo et al. (2001a, b)
hypogaea)	68%	46	Coarse sand	Chinyika, Zimbabwe	
	59%	50	Coarse sand	Murehwa, Zimbabwe	
	19–83%	7–86	Sandy loam	Matopos, Zimbabwe	Ncube et al. (2007)
Pigeonpea (Cajanus	42%	13	Sandy	Lisasadzi, Malawi	Sakala et al. (2001)
cajan)	85%	163	Sandy loam-clay	Chitedze, Malawi	
	84%	67	Sandy clay loam	Domboshava, Zimbabwe	Chikowo et al. (2003)
	81%	9	Coarse sandy	Murehwa, Zimbabwe	Mapfumo et al. (1999)
	91%	43	Coarse sandy	Murehwa, Zimbabwe	
	92%	16	Coarse sandy	Murehwa, Zimbabwe	
	28–57%	25-56	Sandy loam	Matopos, Zimbabwe	Ncube et al. (2007)
Soyabean (Glycine	92%	115	Sandy loam	Hurungwe, Zimbabwe	Kasasa (1999)
max)	93%	127	Sandy loam	Hurungwe, Zimbabwe	
	93%	128	Sandy loam	Hurungwe, Zimbabwe	
	88%	258	Clay	UZ farm (on-station)	
					(continued)

8 Comparative Analysis of the Current and Potential Role of Legumes in Integrated...

Table 8.2 (continued)					
	%N from	N fixed			
Legume type	N <sub>2</sub> -fixation	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil texture	Location/country of study	References
Sunnhemp (Crotalaria	48%	16	Sandy loam – clay	Malawi	Giller (2001), Giller et al. (2002)
juncea)	49%	43		Malawi	
Mucuna (Mucuna	42%	58	Sandy loam	Malawi	Giller (2001)
pruriens)	73%	78	Sandy loam	Malawi	
(b) Agroforestry tree legume	es (1 year)				
Tephrosia vogelii	37–78%	12-45	Clay	Malawi	Giller (2001)
Faidherbia albida	32-52%	nd	Sandy loam	Malawi	
Acacia angustisima	52%	210	Sandy loam	Kalichero, Zambia	Mafongoya et al. (2003)
Acacia angustisima	56%	122	Sandy loam	Domboshava, Zimbabwe	Chikowo et al. (2003)
Calliandra calothyrsus	44%	214	Sandy loam	Kalunga, Zambia	Mafongoya et al. (2003)
Sesbania sesban	55%	84	Sandy loam	Domboshava, Zimbabwe	Chikowo et al. (2003)

182

Legume type	N <sub>2</sub> fixed (kg Nha <sup>-1</sup> )	Area allocated to legume (ha farm <sup>-1</sup> )	Total N fixed per farm (kg N farm <sup>-1</sup> )
Groundnut	52	0.08	4.2
Cowpea	47	0.03	1.4
Bambara nut	33	0.22	7.3
Pigeonpea	39	0.34	13.3

 Table 8.3
 Amounts of N Fixed and land areas commonly allocated to different grain legumes on smallholder farms in Zimbabwe

Adapted from Mapfumo et al. (2001a, b)

a maximum that rarely exceeded 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, medium to long-term pigeonpea fixed up to 163 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> when grown on soils with more than 20% clay. Agroforestry tree crops fixed up to 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, and this can be largely attributed to their superior biomass accumulation due to the relatively long growth duration of these semi perennial and perennial crops. For both annual and perennial legumes, the actual amounts of N available to enhance soil fertility are undermined by small areas allocated to legumes at farm scales. A field survey in Zimbabwe showed that legumes were allocated to 3–15% of farm arable areas (Mapfumo et al. 2001a, b). The actual amounts of N fixed per farm are effectively reduced to an average of ~5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> (Table 8.3). The practical realities of BNF contribution to cropping systems at farm scales will not therefore likely happen if constraints to legume integration are not addressed.

#### 8.5 Opportunities for Increasing Legume Productivity

Apart from small areas allocated to legumes at farm level, some of the commonly cited biophysical reasons behind low amounts of N fixed include poor fertility of soils, rainfall related constraints, lack of improved crop varieties and limited capacity of farmers. Under favourable rainfall conditions ( $<750 \text{ mm year}^{-1}$ ) and relatively clayey soils (>15% clay), most of the commonly grown legumes are able to accumulate high amounts of biomass that often exceed 2 tha-1. Biomass yields of 3.5-8 tha<sup>-1</sup> have been reported for annual legumes under researcher-managed trials in Zambia and Malawi where soils are relatively fertile (e.g. Kumwenda and Gilbert 1998). Such yields would be sufficient to positively impact on N economy of the cropping systems. However, major soil parent materials in Southern Africa are well known for their limited phosphorus availability (Brown and Young 1965; FAO 1990; Nyamapfene 1991; Maria and Yost 2006). Most of the farming systems are characterised by decades of monocropping and associated nutrient mining, exacerbating an already inherent problem of a low nutrient capital, and often causing multiple nutrient deficiencies (Stoorvogel et al. 1993; Mapfumo and Giller 2001). Phosphorus deficiency is known to undermine nodulation and N<sub>2</sub>-fixation processes, and limit biomass accumulation of the candidate legumes (Giller 2001). Responses of different legume types and varieties to P and other forms of fertilization in the dominant soils of southern Africa has, however, not been systematically investigated. Legume

Table 8.4 Respons	se of different legumes to fertilization under smallholder	sr farm manag	gement conditio	us	
		Yield	% yield		
		attained	change over		
Legume type	Fertilizer added	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	control (%)	Location of study	Reference
Sunnhemp ( <i>Crotalaria</i> <i>juncea</i> ) green manure	100 kg $P_2O_5$ ha <sup>-1</sup> as single super phosphate (SSP)	1.7ª	+97	Gokwe South, Zimbabwe (on-farm)	Hikwa et al. (1998)
Velvet bean	100 kg $P_2O_5$ ha <sup>-1</sup> as single super phosphate (SSP)	$2.4^{a}$	+24	Gokwe South, Zimbabwe (on-farm)	Hikwa et al. (1998)
Soyabean	Mono-ammonium phosphate at 60 kg $P_2O_5$ ha <sup>-1</sup> +70 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> +26 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> +24 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> +360 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> of lime	4.4ª	+92	Chibwe, Zambia (on-station)	Lungu and Munyinda (2003)
Soyabean	$26 \text{ kg P ha}^{-1}$ + cattle manure @ $6.5 \text{ t dry matter ha}^{-1}$	$3.8^{a}$	+153	Chinyika, Zimbabwe	Kanonge et al. (2009)
Cowpea	Mono-ammonium phosphate at 120 kg $P_2O_s$ +70 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> +26 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> + 24 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.1 <sup>a</sup>	+19	Mwanachingw-ala, Zambia (on-farm)	Lungu and Munyinda (2003)
Cowpea	Partially acidulated phosphate rock at 60 kg $P_2O_5$ +120 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> +26 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> +24 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	$2.3^{\mathrm{a}}$	+35	Mwanachingwa-la (on-farm)	Lungu and Munyinda (2003)
Cowpea	26 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup> + cattle manure @ 6.5 t dry matter ha <sup>-1</sup>	$2.2^{\rm a}$	+82	Chinyika, Zimbabwe	Kanonge et al. (2009)
Groundnut	Partially acidulated phosphate rock at 60 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> +70 kg K ha <sup>-1</sup> +26 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> +24 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> +360 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> lime	1.7 <sup>a</sup>	+70	Mwanachingwala (on-farm)	Lungu and Munyinda (2003)
Groundnut	8.5 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.6	39	Marange, Zimbabwe	Mupangwa and
	34 kg P ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.9	51		Tagwira (2005)
<sup>a</sup> Biomass yield					

184

biomass productivity on most of the smallholders' fields requiring soil fertility improvement has remained below 2 tha<sup>-1</sup>, limiting the scope for significant rotational influence to subsequent cereals as well as the much needed markatable yield incentives for farmers. The limited research findings available are nonetheless indicative of significant increases in both biomass and grain yield of different legumes following P application. Modest amounts of P, ranging from ~10 to 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> have been shown to increase legume productivity by about 20–100% (Table 8.4). Studies from different agro-ecologies in Zimbabwe, Zambia (Table 8.4) and more recently Mozambique (Mapfumo et al. 2009a, b) underscore the challenges of addressing poor soil fertility in order to 'kick-start' a meaningful production of legumes and derive the associated benefits.

Significantly high yield improvements have been attained following application of combinations of P containing inorganic fertilizers with organic nutrient sources, and in some cases lime. On some of Southern Africa's challenging sandy soils which occur widely in Zimbabwe, application of P at 26 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and organic manure (cattle manure or woodland litter) at ~5 tha-1 increased cowpea and soyabean biomass yields by 50–150% with effective yields>2–4 tha<sup>-1</sup> (Kanonge et al. 2009). Yields from farmers' fields often range from total crop failure to 1 tha<sup>-1</sup>. Combinations of cattle manure and a compound fertilizer which provided P and starter N, consistently gave superior legume yields in Zimbabwe (Fig. 8.1), suggesting a possibility of multiple nutrient deficiencies among other soil fertility constraints. Mupangwa and Tagwira (2005) achieved groundnut yield increases of 39-51% on similar soils when P was applied at rates between 8.5 and 34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, yields increased by 57-81% when calcitic lime (200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was combined with P, and by 50-90% when gypsum (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was used in place of lime. These findings were consistent with results from Zambia where combinations of acidulated phosphate rock and lime increased cowpea and groundnut biomass vields by 35% and 70% respectively (Table 8.4).

### 8.6 Rotational Benefits to Subsequent Cereal Crops

Research over the past two decades has investigated soil fertility benefits of technology options based on rotations and intercrops involving  $N_2$ -fixing green manure and grain legumes (Snapp et al. 1998; Waddington 2003) as well as agroforestry-based crop management systems (Mafongoya et al. 2006; Akinnifesi et al. 2006). Overall, there is overwhelming evidence of cereal yield benefits under these soil fertility management options, and numerous examples can be cited of grain yield increases from 50% to >400%. However, the magnitude of yield benefits, particularly for maize, vary considerably according to agro-ecology, field and farm types, farmer resource endowment, and whether the legume is used for green manuring or grain crop and in a rotation or intercrop. While the multiplicity of scenarios arising from these factors present considerable challenges for researchers to integrate legumes into the farming systems, it also broadens opportunities for



**Fig. 8.1** Grain and stover yields from cowpea as affected by soil fertility management practice, in Makoni District, Zimbabwe (*SED- Standard error of the difference between means*) (Kanonge et al. 2009)

satisfying the need for the widely diverse and heterogeneous smallholder farmer circumstances.

Green manures and agroforestry biomass transfer systems have often registered the highest yield contributions to beneficiary cereals crop (Table 8.5), but have increasingly emerged as the least prioritized by smallholders farmers under their prevailing production circumstances. This can be largely attributed to their lack of short-term benefits in terms of both food and income. Critical technical lessons can, however, be drawn from research experiences in the region. In central and southern Malawi, sunnhemp (Crotalaria juncea), tephrosia (Tephrosia vogelii) and velvet bean (Mucuna pruriens) green manure often resulted in maize yields of 3–6 tha<sup>-1</sup> even with no additional mineral N fertilizer added (Kumwenda et al. 1998), and were able to generate critical biomass yields of  $\geq 2$  tha<sup>-1</sup> to influence cereal yields in rotation (Gilbert 1998). However, the same species had mixed results on granite-derived sandy soils of Zimbabwe (see Waddington et al. 1998a, b), even as they have remained the prioritized 'best-bet' green manure legumes. Higher biomass yields of green manure legumes are achieved under sole stands than when the legumes are either intercropped or relay cropped. This is often reflected in yields of the subsequent maize (Table 8.5). In trials where maize following a legume green manure was fertilized,

	Attained	% yield		
	maize grain	increase (+)		
Legume technology	yield	or decrease (–)	Study area/	
option	$(\text{kg ha}^{-1})$	over controls (%)	Country	Reference
Legume green manure - rol	tations			
Maize following	4.3	+230 ª	Harare research	Agronomy
sunnhemp/ cowpea		+148 a	station	(1993)
green manure			(on-station)	
Maize following cowpea	6.2	+280 ª	Mangwende,	Chibudu (1998)
green manure			Zimbabwe	
			(on-farm)	
Maize follwing mucuna	1.6	+252 ª	Chihota,	Murata et al.
green manure +100 kg		+140 <sup>b</sup>	Zimbabwe	(2000)
$P ha^{-1} + 45 kg N ha^{-1}$			(on-farm)	
Maize mucuna green	2.4	+170 <sup>a</sup>	Muswishi,	Mwale et al.
manure $+23 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$		+13 <sup>b</sup>	Zambia	(2003)
			(on-farm)	
Sunnhemp green manure	2.5	+449ª	Chihota,	Murata et al.
+100 Kg P		+273 6	Zimbabwe	(2000)
$na^{-1} + 45 \text{ kg N} na^{-1}$	1.6	. 45 .	(on-farm)	011 / 1
Maize following mucuna	1.6	+45 ª	Ntecheu, Malawi	Sakala et al.
green manure		-125*	(on-tarin)	(2005a, b)
Maize following mucuna	0.7	+7/5 ª	Angalala, Malawi	Sakala et al.
green manure		-100 <sup>b</sup>	(on-farm)	(2003a, b)
4th year fertilized maize following mucuna	5.8	+21 <sup>b</sup>	Chitedze, Malawi	Kumwenda et al. $(2001)$
green manure			(on station)	(2001)
Lagumalmaiza intercrops				
Maize relay intercropped	13	_12 <sup>b</sup>	Domboshawa	Iaranyama at al
with suppharm	1.5	-12*	Zimbabwa	(1008)
with summerip			(on-station)	(1990)
Maize relay intercronned	45	-32 <sup>b</sup>	Domboshawa	Ieranyama et al
cownea +120 kg N ha-1	ч.5	52	Zimbabwe	(1998)
competent 120 kg 10 kg			(on-station)	(1))0)
Maize undersown in	0.4	-14 <sup>b</sup>	Chinvika	Nyakanda et al
pigeonpea in year of			Zimbabwe	(1998)
improved fallow			(on-farm)	
Maize after maize/	2.0	+116ª	Muswishi.	Mwale et al.
mucuna intercrop		-6 <sup>b</sup>	Zambia	(2003)
+Compound D		-	(on-farm)	. ,
Maize/ mucuna intercrop	1.0	+25 ª	Mangwende,	Chibudu (1998)
•		-110 <sup>b</sup>	Zimbabwe	
			(on-farm)	
Maize/ cowpea intercrop	1.5	+88 <sup>a</sup>	Mangwende,	Chibudu (1998)
-		-75 <sup>b</sup>	Zimbabwe	
Maize/Sesbania sesban	1.7	+244 ª	Malosa, Zomba,	Phiri et al. (1999)
intercrop		-25 <sup>b</sup>	Malawi	
			(on-farm)	

 Table 8.5
 Maize yields following different legume-based soil fertility technology options in

 Southern Africa

(continued)

;	Attained	% yield		
Legume technology option	yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	or decrease (-) over controls (%)	Study area/ Country	Reference
Maize/pigeonpea intercrop	1.2	-150 <sup>b</sup>	Chitedze, Malawi (on-station)	Kumwenda et al. (1998)
Maize/sunnhemp intercrop	1.8	-67 <sup>b</sup>	Chitedze, Malawi (on-station)	Kumwenda et al. (1998)
Agroforestry tree crops				
S. seban improved fallow	2.1	+162 <sup>a</sup> +17 <sup>b</sup>	Chinyika, Zimbabwe (on-farm)	Nyakanda et al. (2002)
<i>Tithonia diversifolia</i> biomass transfer (without fertilizer)	3.8	+100 <sup>a</sup> +111 <sup>b</sup>	Bembeke, Malawi (on-farm)	Ganunga et al. (1998)
<i>T.diversifolia</i> biomass transfer (without fertilizer)	3.8	+100 <sup>a</sup> +111 <sup>b</sup>	Bembeke, Malawi (on-farm)	Ganunga et al. (1998)
1-year S. sesban improved fallow	3.6	+350 <sup>a</sup> -10 <sup>b</sup>	Eastern Zambia (on-farm)	Mafongoya et al. (2003)
1-year <i>Tephrosia vogelli</i> improved fallow	3.1	+288 <sup>a</sup> -35 <sup>b</sup>	Eastern Zambia (on-farm)	Mafongoya et al. (2003)

#### Table 8.5 (continued)

 $^{\mathrm{a}}\,\%$  increase (+) or decrease (–) over continuous unfertilized maize

<sup>b</sup>% increase (+) or decrease (-) over continuous fertilized maize

grain yield gains up to four times higher than unfertilized maize were achieved, and these yields were also superior to those of maize receiving fertilizer at the prevailing recommended rates. In contrast, both intercropped grain and green manure legumes resulted in mixed yield benefits depending on quality of rainfall season. Maize grain yields following these intercrops were found to be higher than those of unfertilized maize, but often significantly lower than fertilized maize (e.g. Table 8.5). Several multilocational trials over the years have demonstrated the limited scope for legume green manuring in semi-arid areas where constraining factors of both low soil moisture and poor soil fertility are often simultaneously at play.

Most of the research studies in Malawi and Zambia demonstrated high technical feasibility of agroforestry-based soil fertility technologies, particularly with options involving biomass transfer, coppicing fallows and 2–3 year improved fallows. One-year improved fallows tended to give lower maize yields compared to continuous fertilized maize (Table 8.5). For example, in Malawi, over four consecutive cropping seasons, grain yields of maize increased by 343%, from 0.94 tha<sup>-1</sup> in unfertilized sole maize to 4.17 tha<sup>-1</sup> in gliricidia/maize intercropping (Akinnifesi et al. 2007). Despite the apparently attractive benefits of agroforestry technologies, which include improved crop yields associated with enhanced soil fertility and provision of forage and wood, there is no clear evidence to suggest sustained adoption of the technologies in the region. One underlying reason for success of agroforestry

interventions in eastern Zambia and central and southern Malawi (Table 8.5) is the relatively favourable amount and distribution of rainfall received, as well as the comparatively fertile soils often with clay content >180 gkg<sup>-1</sup> soil. In contrast, Zimbabwe receives less and more erratic rainfall, with poorer soils (~100 gkg<sup>-1</sup> soil) predominating in the smallholder areas. Farmers' concerns about the high establishment costs (and often replacement) costs (including labour), general incompatibility with free ranging livestock management and lack of short-term food security benefits of agroforestry cropping systems will continue to haunt their large scale adoption in the foreseeable future.

Grain legume rotations and intercrops hold the most promise for diverse environments and farmer categories in all Southern African countries because of their potential short contribution to household food, nutrition and income security. Soil fertility benefits of grain legumes, though often only modest compared with those registered for green manures and agroforestry systems, can be substantially enhanced on the basis of prevailing knowledge gains from regional research. Grain yields for subsequent cereal are largely dependent on crop productivity during the legume phase, as well as rainfall distribution during the cereal phase. For instance, under semi-arid environments on southern Zimbabwe, sorghum yields increased by >200% following cowpea, Bambara groundnut, groundnut and pigeonpea during a relatively wet season (~650 mm year<sup>-1</sup>), while only 30-100% yield increases were obtained during a drier year (~300 mm of rainfall). Cereal yield increases of 100-200% are commonly reported from research trials, with substantial yield gains usually realized when mineral fertilizers are additionally applied in the cereal phase. Much of the yield increases are attributable to improved N supply from the preceding legume crop, with N fertilizer equivalency values ranging from 50 to ~100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for most of cases where high cereal yield responses are reported (e.g. Bogale et al. 2001; Bezner Kerr et al. 2007). Improved supply of base nutrients, particularly calcium and magnesium, following legumes have has also been found to contribute to some of the non-N benefits of the rotations (Mapfumo and Mtambanengwe 2004).

Major determinants of N contribution to subsequent crops under grain legume rotations include (i) the proportion of N removed in harvested materials, (ii) the amount of plant materials/residues retained in the soil, (iii) the quality of these residues, and (iv) whether or not mineral fertilizer is used on the beneficiary crop. Legume genotypes differ in their capacity to remobilize and translocate assimilates from leaves and other plant tissue into grain. The proportion of N contained in grain relative to the rest of the plant tissue constitutes the grain N harvest index. In the commonly grown soyabean genotypes, most of the fixed N is contained in grain, with N harvest index as high as 88% (Giller 2001). In contrast, N harvest indices are notably low in most varieties of cowpea, groundnut and pigeonpea, with the latter reported to have values as low as 21% (Mapfumo 2000). Promiscuous soyabean was also found to have a low N harvest relative to other soyabean varieties (Kasasa 1999). Despite high variability in measured values of net N benefits to cropping systems by different legumes, cowpea, groundnut pigeonpea often record some of the highest maize grain yields in rotations. While a high N harvest index often implies high grain protein content, as in the case of soyabean, the plant residues may effectively contribute negligible amounts of N to subsequent crops. Reports of negative N benefits to subsequent cereals are common in soyabean and *Phaseolus* bean rotational systems (Giller 2001) as the resultant low N containing residues tend to immobilize externally added and/or native soil N during cropping. On both coarse sands and sandy clay soils in Zimbabwe, Kasasa (1999) almost doubled maize yield levels obtained from unfertilized control plots following incorporation of promiscuous soyabean residues, while no yield differences were obtained with hybrid cultivars.

Most smallholder farmers in Southern Africa either burn crop residues, incorporate then into soil at the beginning of the next cropping season or feed them to livestock by leaving them in the field for free grazing or deliberately collecting them for controlled feeding during the dry season. Farmers in Mozambique burn most of the crops residues as part of land preparation for a new cropping season, while most farmers in Zimbabwe feed crop residues to livestock. Livestock are highly valued in farming systems of Zimbabwe, southern Zambia and parts of Mozambique where they provide a variety of services including draught power, transport, manure, meat, milk and insurance against risk associated with crop failure and lack of income. In Malawi, about 55% of farmers were found to burn crop residues (Bezner Kerr et al. 2007). These management practices effectively enlarge the loop of N management beyond the field scale, increasing potential loss pathways (e.g. farmers getting residue indirectly through manure). Most grain legumes produce high quality biomass due to their high tissue N concentration, and mineralize in soils to render most of their N available for uptake by subsequent cereal crops within a relatively short period (e.g. within a single cropping season). However, high harvest indices often result in relatively low quality crop residues, causing temporary N immobilization when they are incorporated into soil. Applications of small amounts of mineral N are often adequate to offset this immobilization and increase cereal yields in rotation. Several studies have demonstrated significant increases in yields of beneficiary cereal crops following application on mineral N during the cereal phase (e.g. Mapfumo 2000; Sakala et al. 2000). In Malawi, application of small amounts of mineral fertilizer in a legume rotations resulted in maize yields 60-110% higher than non-fertilized maize (Snapp et al. 2002). In such rotations, legumes often contribute N in amounts critical for early establishment and growth of the cereal crop, eliminating the need for supply of high rates of N in basal fertilizer or early topdressing. The non-N benefits associated with legume rotations have, however, not been adequately studied to enhance decisions on options to improve management of legume-based rotations.

# 8.7 Potential Entry Points for Intensification and Diversification of Legume-Cereal Systems in Southern Africa

While appropriate field, farm and socio-ecological niches exist for legume green manure and agroforestry tree crops in southern African countries, the biggest opportunity for harnessing BNF sustainably to increase productivity of the farming systems lies in grain legume - cereal systems. Emphasis should be put on increasing the capacity of farmers, local institutions and agro-service providers to support sciencebased approaches in boosting productivity of staple food crops, as a precursor to sustainable natural resource use and diversification into higher value crop enterprises. Work of the Soil Fertility Consortium in Southern Africa (SOFECSA) revealed the importance that farmers in Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia and Zimbabwe place on grain legumes because of their high contribution to household nutrition, food and income (Mapfumo 2009a, b). The work revealed that local level trading of legume grains, though in small quantities, is a critical source of income for women. Legumes are commonly considered as women's crops in southern Africa (Mapfumo et al. 2001a, b). Increasingly, there is improved awareness among farmers across the different countries about the potential role of legumes, not only in enhancing food security, but also in soil fertility management. For example, in a health survey in Malawi, resource-constrained farmers admitted to hospital with malnourished children clearly identified poor soil fertility as a major source of food insecurity (Bezner Kerr et al. 2007).

In recent SOFECSA participatory action research intiatives in Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia and Zimbabwe, farmers were able to prioritize legume-based cropping systems that they considered critical in the employment of ISFM technology options to enhance soil productivity and household food, nutritional and income security (Table 8.6). Among the four countries, landholding per household is lowest in Malawi (<0.5-1 ha household<sup>-1</sup>) and highest in Zambia and Mozambique (>5 ha). This influences the choice of legume cropping preferred by, and technically suitable for, different farmer categories. Strategies for intensifying grain legume-cereal intercrops and rotations on small land areas are particularly critical for Malawi. However, opportunities for intensification exist given the relatively good soils with potential for building carbon and nutrient stocks. In Mozambique, current use of mineral fertilizers is still low among smallholders and this undermines productivity of legume-cereal intercops that are currently given high priority by farmers (Table 8.6). The problem is worsened by lack of access to improved seed, including poor promotion of selected traditional/local varieties whose attributes constitute farmers' preferred criteria for domestic consumption. Systematic promotion of rotations could increase general productivity and enable diversification in Mozambique. A major challenge is the current lack of empirical agricultural research information in Mozambique, particularly quantitative data on legume-based cropping systems.

In Zambia, legume integration if faced with different problems between the northern and southern regions of the country. Northern Zambia has some of SSA's most acid soils and ISFM options integrating lime application and management provide a promising entry point for increased legume productivity and diversification. In the southern regions soil acidity in less of problem, but livestock is a strong component of the farming system. Farmers in this region prioritized options for fertilization of legumes based rotations through combinations of mineral and organic fertilizers. This was the same for Zimbabwe where similar agro-ecologies are shared. Zimbabwean farmer almost exclusively prioritized rotations as opposed to intercrops (Table 8.6), primarily due to rainfall limitations and inherently low nutrient capital of soils.

Country	Prioritized technology options	Comment
Malawi	<ol> <li>Grain legume – cereal rotations dominated by groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.), soyabear (Glycine max [L.] Merr.) or cowpea (Vigna unguiculata [L.] Walp.) in rotation with maize (Zea mays L.)</li> </ol>	There was special focus on: (i) increasing food security, nutrition and income base for households (ii) increased availability of high quality legume seed for small- holder communities through NGO driven seed pass-on
	2. Grain legume/cereal intercrops involving pigeonpea ( <i>Cajanus</i> <i>cajan</i> [L.] Millsp.), cowpea and common bean ( <i>Phaseolus</i> <i>vulgaris</i> L.)	schemes (iii) Increasing the diversity of grain legumes under cultivation in response to market demands
	3. Intercrops involving pigeonpea with either groudnut or soyabean ( <i>termed double</i> <i>legume intercropping</i> )	
	<ol> <li>Mineral fertilizers in combina- tion with organic nutrient sources (compost)</li> </ol>	
	<ol> <li>Mineral fertilizer application to legumes, especially P, N and S containing formulations</li> </ol>	
Mozambique	<ol> <li>Legume/cereal intercropping, particularly cowpea/ maize; groundnut/maize; pigeonpea/maize</li> </ol>	<ul><li>Key areas of focus included:</li><li>(i) Increasing legume contribution to household food and nutrition security</li></ul>
	<ol> <li>Legume – cereal rotations involving soyabean (<i>Glycine</i> max [L.] Merr.), cowpea (<i>Vigna</i> unguiculata [L.] Walp.), groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.), bambara nut (<i>Vigna</i></li> </ol>	<ul> <li>(ii) Strengthening partnerships among extension, researchers agro-service providers and farmers for improved seed and fertilizer supply as well as marketing</li> </ul>
	subterranea [L.] Verdc) followed by maize (Zea mays L.) 3 Mineral fertilizers on legumes	<ul> <li>(iii) Optimising mineral N and P fertilization for increased productivity of intercrops and rotations</li> </ul>
	and cereals	<ul><li>(iv) Increasing the use of manures</li><li>(v) Home processing of legumes</li></ul>
Zambia	<ol> <li>Liming of maize, groundnuts, cowpea, soyabean and sunflower (<i>Helianthus annus</i> L.) on acid soils</li> </ol>	Main focus was on : (i) Enabling farmers to readily access quality seed, fertilizer and lime
	2. Intercropping of maize with either groundnut, beans or pigeonpea	<ul> <li>(ii) Evaluating different liming packages promoted by NGOs, farmers' unions and research institutions for increased legume productivity on acid soils</li> </ul>

 Table 8.6
 Legume-based entry points for integrated soil fertility management (ISFM) technology options as prioritized by smallholder farmers under SOFECSA research initiatives in Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia and Zimbabwe

(continued)

Country	Prioritized technology options	Comment
	<ol> <li>Cover crops involving yellow sunnhemp (<i>Crotalaria juncea</i> L.) red sunnhemp (<i>C. ochro- leuca</i> G. Don) and mucuna to support conservation agriculture innovations</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>(iii) Inorganic x organic fertilizer combinations to support legume cereal rotations and intercrops particularly in southern region</li> </ul>
	<ol> <li>Legume green manure based rotations involving yellow and red sunnhemps as well as mucuna</li> </ol>	
Zimbabwe	<ol> <li>Mineral fertilizer x organic (cattle manure, woodland litter, compost, crop residues) combinations for legume-based rotations</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>There was a particular focus on:</li> <li>(i) Establishing and supporting farmer-centred co-learning and innovation platforms that enhance diversification and market</li> </ul>
	<ol> <li>Grain legume – cereal rotations, involving soyabean (<i>Glycine</i> max [L.] Merr.), cowpea (<i>Vigna</i> unguiculata [L.] Walp.), groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.), bambara nut (<i>Vigna</i> subterranea [L.] Verdc) and common bean (<i>Phaseolus</i> vulgaris L.)</li> <li>Green manure legume - cereal rotations involving sunnhemp (<i>Crotalaria juncea</i> L.) and</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>linkages</li> <li>(ii) Developing new basal fertilizer formulations to enable legume integration</li> <li>(iii) increasing efficiency of nutrient use by targeting specific ISFM technology combinations to different farmer resource groups and field environments</li> <li>(iv) integrating water conservation techniques in semi-arid environments</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>mucuna (<i>Mucuna pruriens</i> L.) for specific farm niches</li> <li>4. Indigenous legumes (mixed species) for restoration of degraded (non-responsive) soils</li> </ul>	

 Table 8.6 (continued)

Source: Adapted from Mapfumo et al. (2001a, b)

The over-arching challenge for intensification and diversification of legume-cereal farming systems in southern Africa is the lack of suitable mechanisms to deliver smallholder communities out of the '*maize poverty trap*' (Mapfumo 2009b). Experience has shown that unless there is self-sufficiency in maize at household and community levels, either through local production or sustainable marketing systems, smallholders will continue to grow maize year after year regardless of yield levels. Most of the households in southern Africa are recipients of food handouts, either through local social safety nets or food aid from donors and governments. Under prevailing intricacies of social safety net arrangements at local level, family dignity is often upheld when a household is 'seen to attempt to produce its own maize (food)' before asking for assistance, even if an outcome of total crop failure is most probable. Enhanced and sustained capacity for increased productivity of maize is therefore an apparent pre-requisite for any meaningful

legume intensification and diversification. This requires broader national policy and institutional support frameworks that incorporate mechanisms for improved supply of inputs and promotion of farmer-centred co-learning processes as well as co-innovation platforms among communities and diverse stakeholders.

Other major factors constraining adoption of legumes for improved food security and livelihoods in the region include:

- (i) Lack of information, knowledge and technical support: Education and capacity building of farmers, extension agencies and other development partners on legume-based ISFM technologies and livelihood benefits will enhance meaningful participation by farmers. For example, in their recent work to address food, nutritional and income security with smallholder communities, Mtambanengwe and Mapfumo (2009) revealed some of the prevailing misconceptions among farmers about the role of legumes in soil fertility management. Legumes are often allocated to some of the poorest soils on the farm with no external fertilization, and expected to improve soil productivity at the end of the season. Resource-constrained farmers, especially women-headed households, whose fields were poorest, could not access quality seed and the minimum levels of nutrient inputs required to boost legume productivity. More quantitative research on N2-fixation, fertilization strategies and quantification of N and non-N rotational benefits is required, particularly under Mozambican smallholder systems. Priority should also be given to farmers' local or indigenous knowledge on sustainability of legume-based systems. For example, there is greater potential for improvement of pigeonpea/maize intercropping in Malawi than any efforts to replace it with alternative systems such as the Gliricidia/ maize intercrop suggested by Chirwa et al. (2003). Following 12 years of evaluating maize-groundnut rotations, Waddington et al. (2007) found justification in farmers' current preference for maize monocropping with mineral fertilization in Zimbabwe.
- (ii) Lack of timely and ready access to quality legume seed and appropriate fertilizers by farmers: It is apparent that currently there are limited opportunities for farmers to access legume seeds of their desired choice or characteristics. For instance, most legume seed varieties on the market tend to address industrial needs (legumes as cash crops) more than home consumption. Participatory breeding and germplasm selection initiatives should be given priority in the medium to long term strategies for legume promotion. Farmers' preferred legume genotypes tend to be ignored in current research, yet these food legumes could play a critical role in local social safety net systems in terms of enhancing food, nutritional and income security. Thus research has largely ignored the multi-functionality and gender dimension of legumes in smallholder livelihood systems.
- (iii) Increasing rainfall variability: Although research results have shown some grain legumes (e.g. groundnut, cowpea and pigeonpea) as having high resilience in  $N_2$ -fixation and net N input across the agro-ecological and soil fertility gradients, there are concerns about increasing frequency of droughts in southern Africa. The IPCC (2007) has also projected significant reduction in rainfall amounts in the

region as one of the negative impacts of climate change. The prospects of enhanced contributions of intercropping systems to smallholder farming systems are therefore somewhat limited under low and moderate rainfall areas.

- (iv) Poor soil fertility and land gedradation : Following decades of monocropping with sub-optimal inputs, some of southern Africa's soils are now extremely poor and do not readily respond to external fertilization. Increasingly, such soils are abandoned or simply cropped as part of farmers' desparate measures to produce food, even as crop failure is often a known outcome. These 'nonresponsive soils' will require non-conventional and innovative interventions, and deliberate sowing of indigenous legumes has proved a worthwhile entry point (Mapfumo et al. 2005; Nezomba et al. 2010).
- (v) Identification and development of markets for legume products: Promotion of both local and national/international markets for legumes can potentially drive intensified production and diversification of legume-cereal systems in southern Africa by attracting the participation of agro-dealers, traders, and private agro-processors in smallholder agriculture. Currently, limited and uncertain market access, coupled to poor and highly variable prices, has remained a major disincentive for farmers to diversify (Bezner Kerr et al. 2007). There is currently a critical lack of research to determine opportunities for developing local (community and regional) markets for legumes.

#### References

- Agronomy Institute (1993) Effect of green manure crops on the performance of a second season maize test crop. Agronomy Institute annual technical report for 1989/90, Department of research and specialist services, Ministry of Agriculture, Harare, pp 160–164
- Ahmed MM, Rohrbach DD, Gono LT, Mazhangara EP, Mugwira L, Masendeke DD, Alibaba S (1996) Soil fertility management in the communal areas of Zimbabwe: current practices, constraints and opportunities for changes. Results of a diagnostic survey. Southern and Eastern Africa Regional paper no. 6, ICRISAT-Southern and Eastern Africa Region, 27 pp
- Akinnifesi FK, Makumba W, Kwesiga FR (2006) Sustainable maize production using gliricidia/ maize intercropping in southern Malawi. Exp Agric 42:1–17
- Akinnifesi FK, Makumba W, Sileshi G, Ajayi OC, Mweta D (2007) Synergistic effect of inorganic N and P fertilizers and organic inputs from Gliricidia sepium on productivity of intercropped maize in Southern Malawi. Plant Soil 294:203–217
- Bezner Kerr R, Snapp S, Chirwa M, Shumba L, Msachi R (2007) Participatory research on legume diversification with Malawian smallholder farmers for improved human nutrition and soil fertility. Exp Agric 43:437–453
- Bogale T, Assenga RH, Mmbaga TE, Friesen DK, Kikafunda J, Ransom JK (2001) Legume fallow formaize-based systems in Eastern Africa: contribution of legumes to enhanced maize productivity and reduced nitrogen requirements. Seventh Eastern and Southern Africa regional maize conference. CIMMYT Int., Mexico, pp 324–329
- Brown P, Young A (1965) The physical environment of Central Malawi with special reference to soils and agriculture. Government Printers, Zomba
- Campbell BM, Frost PGH, Kirchmann H, Swift MJ (1998) A survey of soil fertility management in small-scale farming systems on north-eastern Zimbabwe. J Sustain Agric 11:19–39

- Chibudu C (1998) Green manuring crops in a maize based communal area, Mangwende: experiences using participatory approaches. In: Waddington SR, Murwira HK, Kumwenda JDT, Hikwa D, Tagwira F (eds.) The soil fertility network for maize-based cropping systems in Malawi and Zimbabwe. Proceedings of the soil fertility network results and planning workshop held from 7 to 11 July 1997 at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, pp 87–90
- Chikowo R, Mapfumo P, Nyamugafata P, Nyamadzawo G, Giller KE (2003) Nitrate-N dynamics following improved fallows and maize root development in a Zimbabwean sandy clay loam. Agrofor Sys 59:187–195
- Chirwa PW, Black CR, Ong CK, Maghembe JA (2003) Tree and crop productivity in gliricidia/ maize/pigeonpea cropping systems in southern Malawi. Agrofor Syst 59:265–277
- Chivasa W (1995) Comparative performance of sunflower, sorghum and maize hybrids in Matibi II. Special study report in partial fulfilment of the B.Sc. (Hons.) Degree in Agriculture, Department of Crop Science, University of Zimbabwe, Harare 75 pp
- CSO (1998) Poverty in Zimbabwe. Central Statistics Office, Harare, 129 pp
- FAO (1990) Soil map of the world. FAO/UNESC/ISRIC. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 119 pp
- Ganunga T, Terokun O, Kumwenda JD (1998) Tithonia diversifolia: An organic source of nitrogen and phosphorous for maize in Malawi. In: Waddington SR (ed) Grain legumes and green manures for soil fertility in Southern Africa: taking stock of progress., Proceedings of a conference (8-11 October, 2002) Leopard Rock Hotel, Vumba, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe. pp 191–194
- Gilbert RA (1998) Undersowing green manures for soil fertility enhancement in the maize-based cropping systems of Malawi. In: Waddington SR, Murwira HK, Kumwenda JDT, Hikwa D, Tagwira F (eds.) The soil fertility network for maize-based cropping systems in Malawi and Zimbabwe. Proceedings of the soil fertility network results and planning workshop held from 7 to 11 July 1997 at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, pp 73–80
- Giller KE (2001) Nitrogen fixation in tropical cropping systems, 2nd edn. CAB International, Wallingford, 458 pp
- Giller KE, McDonagh JF, Cadisch G (1994) Can biological nitrogen fixation sustain agriculture in the tropics? In: Syers PK, Rimmer DL (eds.) Soil science and sustainable land management in the tropics. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 173–191
- Giller KE, Mpepereki S, Mapfumo P, Kasasa P, Sakala WP, Pombeya H, Itimu O, Cadisch G, Gilbert R, Waddington SR (2002) Putting legume N<sub>2</sub>-fixation to work in cropping systems of southern Africa. In: Pedrosa F, Hungria M, Yates MG, Newton WE (eds.) Nitogen fixation: from molecules to crop productivity. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 525–530
- Government of Malawi (1999) Population housing census. National Statistics Office Zomba, Malawi
- Hikwa D, Murata M, Tagwira F, Chiduza C, Murwira H, Muza L, Waddington SR (1998) Performance of green manure legumes on exhausted soils in Northern Zimbabwe: A soil fertility network trial. In: Waddington SR, Murwira HK, Kumwenda JDT, Hikwa D, Tagwira F (eds.) The soil fertility network for maize-based cropping systems in Malawi and Zimbabwe. Proceedings of the soil fertility network results and planning workshop held from 7 to 11 July 1997 at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, pp 73–80
- IPCC (2007) Climate change: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability, Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fourth assessment report. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
- Jeranyama P, Hesterman OB, Waddington SR (1998) The impact of legumes relay-intercropped into maize at Domboshawa, Zimbabwe. In: Waddington SR, Murwira HK, Kumwenda JDT, Hikwa D, Tagwira F (eds.) The soil fertility network for maize-based cropping systems in Malawi and Zimbabwe. Proceedings of the soil fertility network results and planning workshop held from 7 to 11 July 1997 at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, pp 31–34

- Kanonge G, Nezomba H, Chikowo R, Mtambanengwe F, Mapfumo P (2009) Assessing the potential benefits of organic and mineral fertilizer combinations on maize and legume productivity under smallholder management in Zimbabwe. Afr Crop Sci Conf Proc 9:63–70
- Kasasa P (1999) Biological nitrogen fixation by promiscuous nodulating soyabean (*Glycine max* [L.] merr.) varieties in communal area soils of Zimbabwe. MPhil thesis submitted to the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering, University of Zimbabwe, MP 167, Mount Pleasant, pp 118
- Kumwenda JDT, Gilbert R (1998) Biomass production by legume green manures on exhausted soils in Malawi: a soil fertility network trial. In: Waddington SR, Murwira HK, Kumwenda JDT, Hikwa D, Tagwira F (eds.) Soil fertility research for smallholder maize-based systems in Malawi and Zimbabwe. SoilFertNet/CIMMYT, Harare, pp 85–86
- Kumwenda JDT, Waddington SR, Snapp SS, Jones RB, Blackie MJ (1995) Soil fertility management for smallholder maize-based cropping systems of Southern African: a review. Network working paper No. 1. Soil fertility network for maize-based cropping systems in countries of Southern Africa. CIMMYT, Harare, 34 pp
- Kumwenda JDT, Saka AR, Snapp SS, Ganunga RP, Benson T (1998) Effects of organic legume residues and inorganic fertilizer nitrogen on maize yield in Malawi. In: Waddington SR, Murwira HK, Kumwenda JDT, Hikwa D, Tagwira F (eds.) The soil fertility network for maizebased cropping systems in Malawi and Zimbabwe. Proceedings of the soil fertility network results and planning workshop held from 7 to 11 July 1997 at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, pp 165–171
- Kumwenda JDT, Saka AR, Sakala WD, Kabambe VH, Ganunga RP (2001) Legume manure cropsmaize rotation trial for the 1997/98 and 1998/99 cropping seasons. In: Kumwenda JDT, Ngwira P, Kabambe VH, Komwa MKM (eds.) Annual research project report for the 1997/98 season for the Cereals Commodity Group, Chitedze Research Station, Lilongwe: Malawi, pp 125–164
- Lungu OI, Munyinda M (2003) Agronomic effectiveness of phosphate rock products, monoammonium phosphate and lime on grain legumes in some Zambian soils. In: Waddington SR (ed.) Grain legumes and green manures for soil fertility in Southern Africa: taking stock of progress. Proceedings of a conference held 8–11 Oct 2002 at the Leopard Rock Hotel, Vumba, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, pp 39–44
- Mafongoya PL, Kuntashula E, Kwesiga F, Chirwa T, Chintu R, Sileshi G, Matibini J (2003) Leguminous agroforestry options for replenishing soil fertility in Southern Africa. In: Waddington SR (ed.) Grain legumes and green manures for soil fertility in Southern Africa: taking stock of progress. Proceedings of a conference held 8–11 Oct 2002 at the Leopard Rock Hotel, Vumba, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, pp 141–154
- Mafongoya PL, Bationo A, Kihara J, Waswa BS (2006) Appropriate technologies to replenish soil fertility in southern Africa. Nutr Cycling Agroecosyst 76:137–151
- Mapfumo P (2000) Potential contribution of legumes to soil fertility management in smallholder farming systems of Zimbabwe: the case of pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan [L] Millsp.). D.Phil thesis. Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Engineering. University of Zimbabwe, Harare, 198 pp
- Mapfumo P (2009a) Developing a soil fertility consortium for Southern Africa (SOFECSA): a final project technical report prepared for the Rockefeller Foundation. SOFECSA, CIMMYT Southern Africa, Harare, 57 pp
- Mapfumo P (2009b) Integrating sustainable soil fertility management innovations in staple cereal systems and other value chains to enhance livelihoods and environmental systems in Southern Africa. A SOFECSA technical annual report for the sub-Saharan Challenges Program (SSA-CP) prepared for the Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa (FARA). Soil Fertility Consortium for Southern Africa (SOFECSA), CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, 26 p
- Mapfumo P, Giller KE (2001) Soil fertility management strategies and practices by smallholder farmers in semi-arid areas of Zimbabwe. In: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) with permission from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 60 pp

- Mapfumo P, Mtambanengwe F (2004) Base nutrient dynamics and productivity of sandy soils under maize pigeonpea rotational systems in Zimbabwe. In: Bationo A (ed.) Managing nutrient cycles to sustain soil fertility in Sub-Sahara Africa. Academy Science Publishers/TSBF-CIAT, Nairobi, pp 225–238
- Mapfumo P, Giller KE, Mpepereki S, Mafongoya PL (1999) Dinitrogen fixation by pigeonpea of different maturity types on granitic sandy soils in Zimbabwe. Symbiosis 27:305–318
- Mapfumo P, Mpepereki S, Mafongoya P (2000) Pigeonpea-nodulating rhizobia prevalence and effect on inoculation response in smallholder-managed soils in Zimbabwe. Exp Agric 36:423–434
- Mapfumo P, Campbell BM, Mpepereki S, Mafongoya P (2001a) Legumes in soil fertility management: the case of pigeonpea in smallholder farming systems of Zimbabwe. Afr Crop Sci J 9:629–644
- Mapfumo P, Chikowo R, Giller K, Mugendi D, Kimetu JM, Palm C, Mutuo P (2001b) Closing the loop: identifying N sources and minimizing N losses in leguminous cropping systems. Proceedings of the Rockefeller Foundation nitrogen exploration project review workshop, 22–24 Nov 2000, Mazwikadei Resort, Banket, 70 pp
- Mapfumo P, Mtambanengwe F, Giller KE, Mpepereki S (2005) Tapping indigenous herbaceous legumes for soil fertility management by resource poor farmers in Zimbabwe. Agric Ecosyst Environ 109:221–233
- Maria MR, Yost R (2006) A survey of soil fertility status of four agroecological zones of Mozambique. Soil Sci 171:902–914
- Mpepereki S, Makonese F (1994) Inoculation response of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata), soybean (Glycine max L. Merr) and dry bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) in soils with low populations of indigenous rhizobia. In: Sadiki M, Hilali A (eds.) Recent developments in biological nitrogen fixation research in Africa. IAV Hassan II, Rabat, pp 32–44
- Mpepereki S, Makonese F, Giller KE (1996) Soybeans in smallholder farming systems in Zimbabwe. CIMMYT, Harare
- Mtambanengwe F, Mapfumo P (2009) Combating food insecurity on sandy soils in Zimbabwe: the legume challenge. Symbiosis 48:25–36
- Mupangwa WT, Tagwira F (2005) Groundnut yield response to single superphosphate, calcitic lime and gypsum on acid granitic sandy soil. Nutr Cycling Agroecosyst 73:161–169
- Murata M, Waddington S, Murwira H (2000) Rehabilitation of degraded sandy soils using annual green manures in Zimbabwe. In: Target (The newsletter of the soil fertility research network for maize-based cropping systems in countries of Southern Africa), vol 21, p 4
- Murwira HK, Mutuo P, Nhamo N, Marandu AE, Rabeson R, Mwale M, Palm CA (2002) Fertiliser equivalency values of organic materials of differing quality. In: Vanlauwe B, Diels J, Sanginga N, Merkx R (eds.) Integrated nutrient management in sub-Saharan Africa. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK, pp 113–122
- Musiyiwa K, Mpepereki S, Giller KE (2005) Symbiotic effectiveness and host ranges of indigenous rhizobia nodulating promiscuous soyabean varieties in Zimbabwean soil. Soil Biol Biochem 37:1169–1176
- Mwale M, Cassim M, Kabongo J, Phiri LK (2003) Soil fertility improvement through the use of green manure in central Zambia. In: Waddington SR (ed.) Grain legumes and green manures for soil fertility in Southern Africa: taking stock of progress. Proceedings of a conference held 8–11 Oct 2002 at the Leopard Rock Hotel, Vumba, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, pp 173–177
- Ncube B, Twomlow SJ, van Wijk MT, Dimes JP, Giller KE (2007) Productivity and residual benefits of grain legumes to sorghum under semi-arid conditions in southwestern Zimbabwe. Plant Soil 299:1–15
- Nezomba H, Tauro TP, Mtambanengwe F, Mapfumo P (2010) Indigenous legume fallows (indifallows) as an alternative soil fertility resource in smallholder maize cropping systems. Field Crops Res 115:149–157
- Nyakanda C, Maringa IK, Dzowela BH, Murwira H (1998) Biomass production and maize yield under a tree-based improved fallow of *Sesbania* and pigeonpea. In: Waddington SR, Murwira HK, Kumwenda JDT, Hikwa D, Tagwira F (eds.) Soil fertility research for the maize-based

farming systems in Malawi and Zimbabwe. Proceedings of the Soil fertility Network Results and Planning Workshop, Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe, 7–11 July 1997. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe, pp 115–119

- Nyakanda C, Mariga IK, Murwira HK, Nyamugafata P, Salimfu D (2002) The status of existing set aside fallows in smallholder farming: a case of two contrasting agricultural land-use systems in Zimbabwe. In: Rao MR, Kwesiga FR (eds.) Agroforestry impacts on livelihoods in Southern Africa: putting research into practice. Aventura Warmbaths, South Africa, 20–24 May 2002
- Nyamapfene K (1991) Soils of Zimbabwe. Nehanda Publishers, Harare, 179 pp
- Nye PH, Greenland DJ (1960) The soil under shifting cultivation. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux Technical Communication 51, Harpenden
- Peoples MB, Faizah AW, Rerkasem B, Herridge DF (1989) Methods for evaluating nitrogen fixation by nodulated legumes in the field. ACIAR Monograph No. 11, vii + 76 p
- Phiri ADK, Kanyama-Phiri GY, Snapp S (1999) Maize and Sesbania production in relay cropping at three landscape positions in Malawi. Agrofor Syst 47:153–162
- Sakala WD, Cadisch G, Giller KE (2000) Interactions between residues of maize and pigeonpea and mineral fertilizers during decomposition and N mineralization. Soil Biol Biochem 32:699–706
- Sakala WD, Kumwenda JDT, Saka AR, Kabambe VH (2001) The potential of legume green manured to increase soil fertility and maize yields under smallholder farm conditions. In: Phiri IMG, Saka AR, Chilembwe EHC (eds.) Agricultural Technologies for Sustainable Development in Malawi. DARTS Annual Scientific Proceedings No. 1, pp 34–40
- Sakala WD, Ligowe I, Kayira D (2003a) Mucuna-maize rotations and short fallows to rehabilitate smallholder farms in Malawi. In: Waddington SR (ed.) Grain legumes and green manures for soil fertility in Southern Africa: taking stock of progress. Proceedings of a conference held 8–11 Oct 2002 at the Leopard Rock Hotel, Vumba, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, pp 161–163
- Sakala WD, Kumwenda JDT, Saka AR (2003b) The potential of green manures to increase soil fertility and maize yields in Malawi. Biol Agric Hortic 21:121–130
- Sanchez PA, Shephard KD, Soule MJ, Place FM, Buresh RJ, Izac AN, Mokunye AU, Kwesiga FR, Ndiritu CG, Woomer PL (1997) Soil fertility replenishment in Africa: An investment in natural resource capital. In: Buresh JR, Sanchez PA, Calhoun F (eds.) Replenishing soil fertility in Africa. SSSA special publication 51, SSSA, Madison, pp 1–46
- Scoones I, Chibudu C, Chikura S, Jeranyama P, Machaka D, Machanja W, Mavedzenge B, Mombeshora B, Mudhara M, Mudziwo C, Murimbarimba F, Zirereza B (1996) Hazards and opportunities. Farming livelihoods in dryland Africa: lessons from Zimbabwe. Zed Books Ltd, London/New Jersey, in association with International Institute for Environment and Development, London, 267 pp
- Snapp SS, Mafongoya PL, Waddington S (1998) Organic matter technologies for integrated nutrient management in smallholder cropping systems of southern Africa. Agric Ecosyst Environ 71:185–200
- Snapp SS, Rohrbach DD, Simtowe F, Freeman HA (2002) Sustainable soil management options for Malawi: can smallholder farmers grow more legumes? Agric Ecosyst Environ 19:159–174
- Stoorvogel JJ, Smaling EMA, Janssen BH (1993) Calculating soil nutrient balances in Africa at different scales. I. Supranational scale. Fertil Res 35:227–235
- Waddington SR (1994) Overview of the current situation and previous impact of adaptive agricultural research in southern Africa In: Craswell ET and Simpson J (eds.) Soil fertility and climatic constraints in dryland agriculture. ACIAR Proceedings No.54. Canberra, Australia: Australian council for international agricultural research (ACIAR), pp 7–14
- Waddington SR (2003) Grain legumes and green manures for soil fertility in Southern Africa: taking stock of progress. Proceedings of a conference held 8–11 Oct 2002 at the Leopard Rock Hotel, Vumba, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, 246 p
- Waddington SR, Murwira HK, Kumwenda JDT, Hikwa D, Tagwira F (eds.) (1998a) Soil fertility research for maize-based farming systems in Malawi and Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, 312 p

- Waddington SR, Karigwindi J, Chifamba J (1998b) Productivity and profitability of maize+groundnut rotations when compared to continuous maize under smallholder management in Zimbabwe. In: Waddington SR, Murwira HK, Kumwenda JDT, Hikwa D, Tagwira F (eds.) The soil fertility network for maize-based cropping systems in Malawi and Zimbabwe. Proceedings of the soil fertility network results and planning workshop held from 7 to 11 July 1997 at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe. Soil Fertility Network and CIMMYT-Zimbabwe, Harare, pp 43–52
- Waddington SR, Mekuria M, Siziba S, Karigwindi J (2007) Long-term sustainability and financial returns from grain legume-maize intercrops on a sandy soil in subhumid north central Zimbabwe. Exp Agric 43:489–503