Chapter 5 Hugo Grotius in the Contemporary Memory of International Law: Secularism, Liberalism, and the Politics of Restatement and Denial

John D. Haskell

Abstract The specter of Hugo Grotius remains an important reference point within the conceptual vocabulary of international law. In this paper, I provide a brief analysis of how Grotius' legacy functions within the rhetoric of international legal texts via lessons from the 'New Approaches to International Law' tradition (e.g., David Kennedy, TWAIL), as well as other heterodoxical sources that found a place within international legal scholarship through advances by the 'critical studies' movement more generally (e.g., Alain Badiou, Judith Bulter). In particular, the paper critically takes up the rhetoric of Grotius in relation to two mainstream claims: first, that modern international law is 'secular', and second, that international law, in relation to this turn to a secular orientation, is committed to some cosmopolitan ethic of 'tolerance'. Offering alternative readings of Grotius in light of these claims, I conclude the paper with some brief suggestions about how we might participate in a new tradition of remembering Hugo Grotius.

I am deeply grateful to the generosity, encouragement, and thoughts of first and foremost Peter Fitzpatrick and Mark Janis, as well as Jason Beckett, Jose Maria Beneyto, Bill Bowring, Stephen Chan, Justo Corti, Matthew Craven, Catriona Drew, Florian Hoffmann, David Kennedy, Rob Knox, Boris Mamlyuk, Susan Marks, Anne-Charlotte Martineau, Ugo Mattei, Scott Newton, Reut Paz, Ignacio de la Rasilla del Moral, Akbar Rasulov, and Joseph Singer. I also wish to express my thanks to the Institute for Global Law and Policy (IGLP), the International University College, Turin (IUC), the Centre for the study of Colonialism, Empire and International Law (CCEIL), the Erik Castrén Institute of International Law and Human Rights (ECI), the Institute for the study of Political Economy and Law (IPEL), the editorial staff at Emory International Law Review (where an earlier but similar version of this paper was published in 2011), and my colleagues at Durham Law School and Mississippi College School of Law. The views expressed in this article are, of course, solely my own.

J. D. Haskell (⊠)

Mississippi College School of Law, 151 East Griffith Street, Jackson,

MA, USA

e-mail: johndhaskell@gmail.com

Contents

5.1	Introduction Grotius as Narrative	124
5.2	The Secularization of International Law	125
5.3	The Rise of Political Liberalism.	133
5.4	The Politics of Restatement and Denial	139
5.5	Conclusion: A Politics of Truth	145
Refe	erences	147

5.1 Introduction Grotius as Narrative

Hugo Grotius (1583–1645) frequently occupies the title, 'father of international law'. While the origins of professional lineage were a source of professional and personal conflict for jurists in the nineteenth century, scholars today tend to treat Grotius as either a symbolic marker of changing historical thought, or the symbolic figure of a style or school of global governance. In the first instance, Grotius is important because he is said to have made a methodological leap in one form or another from a theological to a secular frame of jurisprudential thinking, and in so doing, characterizes the dilemmas of governance in terms familiar to modernity. For other authors, the legacy of Grotius is not directly this shift from ecclesiastic to secular authority, but rather that his efforts are remembered to spark the political aspiration, implied to be at the core of international law itself, toward a more liberal tolerance of difference and a sentiment of restraint toward over-aggrandizing political agendas.

These two contemporary streams of remembrance operate within a dense background of assumptions about the nature and possibilities of the global order, which raise at least three sets of curiosities. First, in light of nuanced scholarship of Grotius' primary materials in recent decades, what does an emphasis on the actual content of Grotius' work impart about the character of his times, and through what

¹ In the 1870s, international jurists entered into heated contests over who deserved the right to be claimed the 'father' of international law. For instance, a group of jurists, including T. Asser, T. Holland, G. Macinni, and T. Twiss, drafted a resolution and formed a committee to erect a national monument in honor of A. Gentili. Pilgrimages were made to Gentili's hometown, and the Italian government officially requested the U.K. for his remains (the grave, however, was unable to be located). Others, such as A.J. Levy objected, arguing that Grotius should have the honor of having his statue erected first. An English committee was formed in 1875 to add their weight, with Prince Leopold sitting as the honorary president (Phillimore carrying out the actual presidential duties). See der Molen 1937, 63.

² In the nineteenth century, Grotius was primarily remembered for a theory of human sociability whereby cosmopolitan society stood in for the 'state of nature'. In the twentieth century, Grotius is often recalled as a narrative devise to capture what is seen as the historical shift from the insulated hierarchical authority of the Church and Emperor to a rapidly expanding international system of formally equal sovereign states based on normative rules of general agreement.

lens should we organize our understanding (e.g., political, juridical, theological, and so on)? Second, what inspires the almost cyclical (or perhaps more perversely, fetishistic) attraction to Grotius in the fields of international law and politics, and how might this help us better understand both the psychological and structural underpinnings of contemporary practice, or even the nature and trajectory of the profession in a broader sense? And third, in lieu of any findings, what if any possibility does this attraction to Grotius open up for future strategic, or even imaginative engagement? In sum, what stories do the Grotius rhetoric allow us to tell about the international legal order, and do such stories carry any political, if not personal, impact?³

It is these questions that I attempt to grapple with in this paper in the hopes of providing a concise synthesis of the various engagements within the Grotian tradition to better understand the imaginative contours of our contemporary professional vocabularies and reflect on any emancipatory possibilities this might open up. What seems particularly striking is while ever more scholarship exposes a strong empirical dissonance in respect to the memory of Grotius, such representations continue to exercise powerful sway over ongoing discussions about the past, present, and future of global governance. In response, I have organized the paper into three themes, which overlapping in some respects, are nevertheless helpful in parceling out the various approaches and motivations at work in the literature. The first and second sections provide an overview and then a revisionist account of the claims to what might be labeled the turn to 'the secular' and 'liberal tolerance'. In the third section, the paper moves to reflect more broadly upon the implications of this attraction, attempting particularly to deduce some possible motivations for the continuous misreading of Grotius' actual work. In conclusion, I briefly trace out some initial suggestions about an alternative future toward the legacy of the Grotian tradition, what might be characterized as a shift from a politics of restatement and denial to a politics of truth.

5.2 The Secularization of International Law

In the first contemporary stream of argument, Grotius is viewed as setting forth a secularized restatement of natural law whereby political ethics now become capable of articulation independent of any theological premise. In the first half of the

³ Without playing into any post-modern angst, it is productive, I think, to keep in mind David Kennedy's injunction that the very act of analyzing the past (not to mention casting judgment) is to do violence to the doctrinal and theoretical content of earlier scholarship, and can often misguide us to think that our vision is somehow more sophisticated and less contradictory. See Kennedy 1986, 98.

twentieth century, though still prevalent in the mainstream literature, ⁴ this claim was typically supported by pointing to an early quotation from De Iure Belli ac Pacis: '[w]hat we have been saying would have a degree of validity even if we should concede that which cannot be conceded without the utmost wickedness, that there is no God, or that the affairs of men are of no concern to Him'. 5 More recent scholarship has radically undermined this line of argument, pointing out that Grotius' intent here was not to push Christendom toward some agnostic reappraisal of political order, but quite paradoxically, to silence the seventeenth century Pyrrhonic skepticism of God's existence by demonstrating that Christianity was left unscathed even through the use of human 'right reason'. 6 In addition to his voluminous work in the field of Christian apologetics and tragedy dramas based on Biblical figures,⁷ scholars have typically pointed to the very next line following Grotius' famous passage as proof of his religious conviction: 'The very opposite of this view [that there is no God, or that the affairs of men are of no concern to Him] has been implanted in us partly by reason, partly by unbroken tradition, and confirmed by many proofs as well as by miracles attested by all ages...[so that] it follows that we must without exception render obedience to God as our Creator.'8 In response,

⁴ See e.g., Baumgold 1993, 9; see also Kunz 1961, 951–52 (stating that "[t]he Protestant Grotius, who wrote the first treatise on international law, was still strongly influenced by the traditional natural law, but he secularized it by stating that natural law would be valid even if there were no God. This secularization profoundly changed the character of natural law... the Catholic natural law is ... discovered by man's recta ratio—a term stemming from the Stoics... [yet] necessarily presupposes the Christian faith in the Creator... with Grotius this right reason becomes the basis of natural law"); Nussbaum 1947, 105 (claiming that "Grotius made an important step toward the emancipation of international law from theology by his famous pronouncement [about] the law of nature"); Nussbaum 1943, 466 (stating that Grotius "claimed in earnest that the law of nations and international law derived there from could subsist without a divine foundation"); and Pound 1925, 686 (arguing that Grotius, along with other Protestant jurists, helped severe theology from jurisprudential thought).

⁵ See Grotius 1925, para II.

⁶ See George 1999, 605 (providing a useful overview of the various positions and issues without falling into more traditional misreadings of Grotius, and situating Grotius within a larger return of interest in international legal history and religion); see also Kennedy 1986, 79; Schneewind 1998, 66–81.

⁷ Among Grotius' religious work, include the tragedies, The Exile of Adam (1601) and The Passion of Christ (1608), his Commentaries on the Old Testament (1644) and New Testament (1641–50), and his Christian apologetics, On the Satisfaction of Christ against Faustus Socinus (1617) and The Truth of the Christian Religion (1627).

⁸ See Grotius 1925, para II. In fact, this mode of argument did not originate with Grotius, but was a common technique for earlier Catholic jurists. For instance, in making his case for the theologian as the authoritative final word of the law of nature, Suarez writes, "that even if God did not exist, or if He did not make use of reason, or if He did not judge of things correctly, nevertheless, if the same dictates of right reason dwelt within man, constantly assuring him, for example, that lying is evil, those dictates would still have the same legal character which they actually possess because they would constitute a law pointing out that evil exists intrinsically in the object." See Schneewind 1998, 60 (quoting Francisco Suarez's 1612 On Law and On God the Lawgiver).

scholars that mark off Grotius as a "great pioneer of modern thinking" maintain that these various appeals to Christianity are not confessions of deep religiosity, but an agnostic strategy to win over Christian populations and their leaders. For legal historians, such as Richard Tuck, Grotius' work falls within the humanist tradition and its emphasis upon the inescapability of the aggressive and self-interested nature of humanity, and in fact, was therefore by no surprise largely motivated by Grotius' personal commitments to Dutch colonialism (which, in its expansion, was increasingly coming into contact with foreign cultures) and his own families' economic advantage (Dutch shareholders in the East Indies' Company). 10

To some extent, at least at first glance, Grotius' minimal Christianity does seem an agnostic effort to find a common settlement between societies with competing jurisdictions, laws and religious doctrines. In laying out the fundamental tenets of Christianity, Grotius shies away from all sectarian doctrines that might upset relationships between Dutch Protestants and Spanish and Portuguese Catholics whereby in place of any talk about the Trinity or the need for redemption, all that remains are a relatively tame set of tenets: there is a single God that actually cares for all humanity and sits unseen in judgment over their behavior, that Jesus is the resurrected Son of God, and that the faithful will enjoy everlasting life after death, while the wicked will be punished. 11 Moreover, his theorizing on the laws pertaining to warfare do not follow the scholastic tradition of limiting (or even prohibiting) war, but on the contrary, seems to argue adamantly for rather cynical, almost amoral principles of behavior for both individuals and states: states and individuals aspire to sociability, but are equally prone to violent clashes of selfinterest; the possession of natural rights allows for autonomous free will, but also the legitimacy of contracting oneself or community into slavery; and though Christian sovereigns are to refrain from barbarity in their military hostilities with one another, in a move foreshadowing later French colonial justifications, they have both the divine and natural sanction to invade and conquer foreign territory by whatever means necessary under the pretext of the 'benefit of human Society'. Thus, Grotius' willingness to discover natural law in the practices of sovereigns, the subjectivization of just cause rationale in warfare, as well as his prolific use of ancient classical authorities to arrive at conflicting opinions, suggest on some level that his ultimate decision to locate normative authority in a universal, divinely

⁹ This is a common implicit and explicit theme taken up by a great number of authors. See e.g., Cassirer 1946, 172; see also Koskenniemi 2005, 95–108; Schneewind 1998, 65–81; Tuck 1999, 78–108.

¹⁰ See Tuck, 1999, 78–108. Others have also adopted more cynical readings of Grotius, arguing that his reasoning was not founded on any ideological, intellectual, or ethical basis, but more directly, to serve his own material and political interests. See e.g., Schwarzenberger 1990, 301–312.

¹¹ For a useful, but concise overview of Grotius' religious tenets, see Schneewind 1998, 65–81.

willed framework was less his agreement with prior scholastic theologians and jurists, than it was the afterthought of a humanist to make his theories palpable to a Christian-colored political order.¹²

Upon closer inspection, however, to claim Grotius as some 'avant-garde of secular jurisprudence' is forced to suppress the overall tenor of his writings and personal beliefs, as well as miss strong thematic linkages between his 'secular' work and the "profoundly Christian" traditions of the Protestant humanists and late medieval Catholic jurists. First, the minimal Christianity he expounds in both his political polemic concerning warfare, *De Iure Belli ac Pacis*, and his Christian apologetics, *The Truth of the Christian Religion*, is not simply the work of an agnostic strategically wooing Christian states, but very much in keeping with his own personal religious convictions as a Remonstrant and a pupil in Leiden under Arminius. As both a diplomat and theologian by professional calling, and politically repressed along with other Remonstrant members by Calvinist forces in the Netherlands and condemned to life imprisonment (though his wife engineered his daring escape, being smuggled out of prison in a suitcase), Grotius' avoidance of points of doctrine was not conceived as a neutral position, but firmly understood to be a religious polemic in favor of a broad understanding of Christendom. ¹⁴

Second, Grotius' rather 'thin' conception of human sociability—whereby human nature wars between the desire for rational order amongst each other and conflicting self-interests—was not some nascent twentieth century 'realpolitik' that he applied evenly across the global spectrum, but aimed particularly at accentuating the difference between Christian and non-Christian societies in accordance with the Protestant and Catholic humanist traditions from the late medieval and Reformation/Renaissance eras. In the Catholic tradition, the propaganda campaign for the anti-Turkish Crusades carried out by Pope Leo X (1513–21) produced a robust corpus of humanist jurisprudence that condemned warfare between Christian powers while justifying unrestrained warfare upon non-Christian societies. ¹⁵ Humanist jurists by the early sixteenth century viewed

¹² The humanist, or 'oratorical', tradition 'drew most extensively on the literary and rhetorical writings of the ancient world', and, in a skeptical register, commonly employed the rhetorical technique of 'leaving the reader rather unclear about where the author stood'. See Tuck 1999, 16–17 (referencing Seigel's 1968, Rhetoric and Philosophy in Renaissance Humanism: The Union of Eloquence and Wisdom, Petrarch to Valla). See generally Tuck 1977.

¹³ See generally Grewe 2005; see also Kennedy 1986, 79.

¹⁴ See e.g., Janis 2004, 121–126; see generally Stompf 2006.

¹⁵ For instance, Erasmus is remembered as a passionate advocate for peace, but in fact espoused a militant antipathy to non-Christians. "France alone remains not infected with heretics, with Bohemian schismatics, with Jews, with half-Jewish marranos, and untouched by the contagion of Turkish neighbours." See Tuck 1999, 30 (quoting from Vol. 4 of Erasmus' Collected Works). In relation to a war against the Turks, Erasmus adopts a Machiavellian tone, "[I]f war... is not wholly avoidable, that kind would be a lesser evil than the present unholy conflicts and clashes between Christians. If mutual love does not bind them together, a common enemy will surely unite them after a fashion, and there will be a sort of a common purpose, even if true harmony is lacking." Ibid.

inter-Christian warfare as potentially just for both Catholic and Protestant camps, falling into an almost Darwinian explanation of Providence's design—the humanist jurist, François Connan, for instance, echoing his master, Andrea Alciato that "you can investigate and speculate all you like, but you will find no other reason ... [than that] by a tacit law of nature the weaker give way to the more powerful; from which single principle all the laws of war derive." ¹⁶ In other words, Grotius' distinction between just and formally legal wars was not by any means a 'significant' leap from a unified conception of faith to a subjective agnosticism, ¹⁷ but actually a popular technique among Christian jurists and theologians to unify the populations and leaders around an external enemy, thereby preserving the balance of power within Christendom itself and guarding against any revolutionary uprising within its own territories. Legitimate warfare to dismantle the status quo was bracketed outside of the domestic realm of Europe, whereas internal conflict could be characterized as illegitimate violence that demanded immediate police action to preserve what was in fact some mythical homogeneous stability (though keeping open the possibility of exceptions, e.g., the Dutch toward the Spanish). 18

It is within his passages concerning the justifications and conditions of warfare, especially in relation to foreign non-European territories, that Grotius is perhaps most often misread to announce the dawning of the modern secular regime of international law. In a characteristic excerpt that touches on some of the more popular themes said to point toward a secular understanding of politics and law, Grotius writes:

Kings, and those who are invested with a Power equal to that of Kings, have a Right to exact Punishments, not only for Injuries committed against themselves, or their Subjects, but likewise, for those which do not peculiarly concern them, but which are, in any Persons whatsoever, grievous Violations of the Law of Nature or Nations. For the Liberty of consulting the Benefit of human Society, by Punishments, which at first, as we have seen, was in every particular Person, does now, since Civil Societies, and Courts of Justice, have been instituted, reside in those who are possessed of the supreme power... we follow [the tradition of writers] who hold that War is lawful against those who offend against Nature; which is contrary to the Opinion of Vitoria, Vasquez, Azorius, Molina, and

¹⁶ See Tuck 1999, 33 (quoting from Andrea Alciato's 1571 Paradoxorum Juris Civilis Libros).

¹⁷ See Koskenniemi, 2005, 103–104.

¹⁸ See e.g., Wight 2005, 29–62 (covering a number of seminal themes in Grotius' work, and describing Grotius' conception of an inner and outer conception of political identity: the outer circle that which embraces all humanity under natural law, and an inner circle of the corpus Christianorum bound by laws of Christ, and at least in part defined in their unity against Turkish populations). Though not typically brought together, Grotius' understanding and strategy of political identity seems to bear a close relationship to Carl Schmitt, another figure who has become a trendy academic figure of study over the past two decades. See e.g., Schmitt 2005; see also Schmitt 2003.

others, who seem to require, towards making a War just, that he who undertakes it be injured in himself, or in his State, or that he has some jurisdiction over the Person against whom the War is made. For they assert, that the Power of Punishing is properly an Effect of Civil Jurisdiction; whereas our Opinion is, that it proceeds from the Law of Nature.¹⁹

The passage carries a distinctly modern feel a la Clausewitz: warfare is not simply a necessary evil that comes with humanity's sinful nature as would be the case with Hobbes, rather it is the very continuation of law by other means. War is litigation, or at the very least, wrapped up in juristic exercises—what David Kennedy has discussed in the modern context of the American military as 'lawfare'. Moreover, the rejection of the neo-scholastic tradition suggests both a prima facie rejection of faith in the hierarchies and dogma of medieval Christendom, and at least a hesitant step away from any overarching normative natural order toward a positivist regime of subjective reciprocal rights held by competing sovereign entities. To be sure, the 'law of nature' is still referenced, but it is now coterminous with the 'law of nations', and in the place of ecclesiastic authorities imposing the wishes of the divine, sovereignty now seems to take an anthropological turn whereby it is left to secular rulers to mete out material punishments on behalf of the 'benefit of human society'.

This, however, does not seem to actually be the case; the turn to subjectivity and the emphasis on state sovereignty had nothing to do with any atheistic or agnostic turn in intellectual disposition, but in fact orthodoxies of the Protestant Reformation initiated by Luther and his jurist comrades. Concerning subjectivity and the distancing from ecclesiastic authority, Luther argued against the Church as mediator between the sinner and God to instead present the laity itself as the living Church, and each believer their own priest. On the one hand, this meant that each individual, as their own "lord", was granted "the splendid privilege" of "inesti-

¹⁹ See Grotius 1925, para II, 20.40.

²⁰ See generally Clausewitz 1989.

²¹ See generally Kennedy 2006; see also Berman 2004, 1.

²² 'Grotius' most important contribution to modern theory was his theory of rights... Instead of being something... a person has... [t]he concept becomes subjectivized, centered on the person...'. See Haakonssen 1985, 240; see generally Tuck 1977.

mable power and liberty". ²³ Drawing here upon the tradition set by thirteenth and fourteenth century Catholic theologian reformers, 24 Luther anticipates Grotius (if not Kierkegaard), to celebrate the subjective Christian experience of "the inner man" who nurtures faith away from the sinful crowd. In this sense, the Lutheran movement (and which would be carried further in Calvinism) swept away the Church as the locus and vehicle of the sacred with its emphasis on the necessity of salvation through a wholehearted personal adhesion. On the other hand, however, the priestly calling brought not only freedom, but also the responsibility to imitate the example of Christ in everyday life, a duty of sociability and positive reciprocal care. "Christ has made it possible for us, provided we believe in him, to be not only his brethren, co-heirs, and fellow-kings, but also his fellow-priests," reminds Luther, "A man does not live for himself alone, he lives only for others"—and not only for the living, but also to owe fidelity, an almost Aristotelian sociability so famous in Grotius' own legacy, to all the Christian believers who had already died, the "saints in heaven". 25 Thus, while the church itself had lost is central mediating role, salvation continued to be at least implicitly premised on connections to a wider order, only the sacramental life was now brought into the daily vocations and relationships of the citizenry and its government whereby our understanding of 'the good' would only be discoverable within human life.

By denying the distinction between the sacred and profane, the anthropological turn that we begin to witness coming into focus more fully with Grotius, therefore,

²³ See Witte Jr. 2002, 95; see generally Berman 2003.

²⁴ At least as early as Norman Anonymous and John de Salisbury, various more critical theological traditions within Catholicism (and in early Protestant with the writings of authors like Johann Oldendorp who would provide detailed lists of instances where the citizen's conscience might require disobedience of civil authorities) emphasized the role of the citizen in both political and religious life. See generally O'Donovan and O'Donovan 1999; see also Berman 1983, 1-3. In the dominant historical and legal literature, the rise of the individual is conveniently located somewhere in the fifteenth or sixteenth century, helping us to mark the transition into the modern era of some emancipated existence (whether that is articulated on the formal horizontal equality of sovereign states or the subjectivity of personal experience that undermines any objective normative order). When scholars do talk about the pre-modern era, the concepts of the individual and community are said to be largely non-existent, either suppressed beneath an imperial-religious logic or simply not yet even within the imaginative framework to be grasped in the first place. However, what we in fact witness in the pre-modern period is not only an awareness of both individual and social components of political life, but a militancy that feels shockingly radical to standard liberal democratic notions of civil society, at least since the aftermath of the French and American Revolutions. While individuals were to submit to the authority of their leaders, even when they strongly disagreed, they also had the divine obligation to excommunicate their leadership, body, and/or soul, under a variety of conditions. Emphasizing seventeenth century notions of social contract (e.g., Locke, organic political theory) or placing absolute sovereign authority in the nation state (e.g., Bodin, Hobbes) potentially robs the vitality and agency given to people in older, more radical traditions of individualism and social consciousness.

²⁵ See Witte 2002, 98 (citing Luther, and referencing Paul Althaus' 1966 The Theology of Martin Luther). In some respects, this seems to anticipate later jurists in the nineteenth century who claimed their work as part of an organic heritage of the dead, the living, and future generations.

does not mark a turning away from Christian thought, but rather its interpenetration into the routine activities of this life. Instrumental rationality takes on fresh importance as the very act of coming to God, as well as the marker of actively belonging to a shared political community. 26 As Kennedy observes, Grotius' willingness to 'find natural law in the practices of sovereigns' is not so much any 'relocation of normative authority from divine to sovereign will' but that 'natural law accords with and is binding as a matter of divine law' whereby the sovereign may be the source or vehicle, but by no means the origin of the law of nations.²⁷ Writing during what was in many ways the tail end of the Protestant Reformation. the turn to secular authorities in Grotius' text again displays a characteristically Lutheran tenant: that the specific practices and rules of the territorialized secular administrations were in fact the albeit imperfect manifestation, or 'mask', of God's will.²⁸ Breaking from the scholastic tradition that human reason could prove the divine sanctity of moral propositions, Luther's colleague, the eminent Philip Melanchthon argued that God has implanted certain 'elements of knowledge', whereby humanity could use reason to discern the general principles of God's will, but only imperfectly. For Melanchthon, the state had the duty "of transforming the general principles of natural law into detailed rules of positive law" that could meet the 'practical considerations of social utility and the common good', what he called nothing other than 'rational positive law'. 29 In other words, 'rational positive law' straddled the line between earth and heaven: on the one hand, the divine will of God manifest through the deliberate labor of the faithful; on the other hand, carried out under the limitations of humanity's sinful nature, an always

²⁶ This all looks increasingly familiar to our own contemporary period: sober, disciplined production and an attitude of civility that makes possible a life of commerce and acquisition now replace the aristocratic celebration of undisciplined ease and the warrior ethos of seeking personal glory. For studies that circle around this theme in relation to capitalism and religion, see generally Goodchild 2002; see also Hilton 1991; Piggin 1985 (arguing that missionaries played a significant role in the Enlightenment confidence in human reason and the ethical value of efficiency and usefulness); Tawney 1926 (tracing the rise of capitalism back to the medieval era); Taylor 1989, 211–247; Weber 1905; Wright 1988.

²⁷ See Kennedy 1986, 79.

²⁸ For Luther, God is 'hidden' in the earthy kingdom and only appears to humanity through the 'masks' of human reason and will, the rule of law and its political officers, and in the conscientious work of believers. The civil law, therefore, not only expresses the natural limitations and needs of humanity, but also serves, in the words of St. Paul, as "our schoolmaster to bring us unto Christ", teaching and coercing us both to civil and spiritual morality. See Witte 2002, 92–175 (discussing these ideas in the teachings of Luther, Melanchthon, Eisermann, and Oldendrop).

²⁹ Melanchthon was perhaps the leading jurist of the Reformation, drafting the chief declaration of Lutheran theology, the Augsburg Confession and its Apology, and a co-author of the Scmalkaldic Articles, along with writing dozens of instruction books and biblical commentaries. For a discussion of Melanchthon's legal theory, see Berman 2003, 77–87, 405–411; see also Witte 2002, 121–141.

incomplete quest for perfection, which could only seek the eternal through its preoccupation with the "political, economic, and social needs in given times and places". Thus, for the Protestant lineage of jurists, including Grotius, the violation of the fundamental laws of nature or of nations is therefore not an end in itself, but rather an extension of some violation against the divine foundation of existence.

5.3 The Rise of Political Liberalism

In the second stream of argument, Grotius earns the title "father of international law" for advocating what is said to be a liberal universalism that embraced diverse religious and political forms (often claimed nowadays as a central tenet of modern international law), sometimes called the 'Grotian tradition'. In this vision, the losers are the medieval res publica Christiana, symbolized by the Holy Roman Empire and the Catholic Church, as its "objective hierarchy of normative meaning" gives way to a pristine European order of nation-states premised on "sovereign equality, religious agnosticism and balance of powers." In a classic description of this claim in relation to Grotius' political liberalism, Hersh Lauterpacht writes in the immediate aftermath of the Second World War:

[Let us] explain the significance ... of the Grotian tradition in the history of the law of nations. He secularized the law of nature. He gave it added authority and dignity by making it an integral part of the exposition of a system of law which became essential to

³⁰ Reut Paz's study of early twentieth century and interwar Jewish-German jurists provides an interesting discussion of the idea of international law as a 'ladder' between humanity and God, drawing persuasively upon a mix of Jewish religious thought, philosophy, and socio-historical archival materials—and more generally, innovative in its focus on the relationship between Judaism and modern international law. See generally Paz 2008.

³¹ See Koskenniemi 2005, at 71–157 (encoding a series of linear movements, from the ancient to the modern, from belief to rationality, from objectivity to subjectivity, from hierarchical to democratic/plural models of authority, and so on). For an emphasis on a similar set of founding positions, see also Grewe 2005, 20–29, 143, 170, 291 (noting the importance of the balance of power, formal equality and religious tolerance in de-centering the authority of the Pope and Emperor); Schmitt 2005, at 140–154 (focusing on the 'detheologization of public life' in response to "creedal civil wars" through a horizontal organization of formally equal territorial European states intent to maintain a balance of power).

civilized life... distinguished not only by the fact of its recognition of a source of law different from and, in proper cases, superior to the will of sovereign states... [but] largely based on and deduced form the nature of man as a being intrinsically moved by a desire for social life, endowed with an ample measure of goodness, altruism, and morality, and capable of acting on general principles of learning from experience... [desiring] peaceful and organized life according to the measure of his intelligence ... ³²

In what must have felt particularly relevant to a European landscape torn asunder by nationalist passion and violent superstitions, Lauterpacht sees the Grotian spirit of international law to function as a restraining influence on political aggression, as well as the source and articulation of morality ('endowed with ... goodness, altruism and morality') and knowledge ('learning from experience'). Here, Lauterpacht adopts the traditional narrative that Grotius "secularized" the law of nations, which in turn, provides the groundwork for claiming international law as a detached process of peaceful resolution. In light of our discussion so far, we might be suspicious about Lauterpacht's claim that international law emerged in the waning twilight of religion as a neutral, deliberative process devoid of religious or political prejudices. However, other scholars have specifically appealed to the religious, or at least spiritual character, of the Grotian tradition to claim international law as a tolerant, universalizing project in otherwise strikingly similar terms to Lauterpacht's image. Writing only a few years after Lauterpacht, the British jurist and legal historian Arthur Nussbaum emphasizes the close link between the spiritual and liberal character of Grotius' work:

A cognate trait of great importance was Grotius' tolerance... Grotius a pious Protestant writing at the time of the most savage of religious wars, refrained from any word which might have offended Catholic feeling... want[ing] to find a synthesis for Protestant and Catholics alike... [and thereby] opened a new path by the doctrine of what he called the temperament of warfare ... urg[ing] moderation for reasons of humanity, religion and farsighted policy... From [his writing] emerges the picture of a man absorbed in his ideals, of a devout seeker after truth and right, and of a passionate and unswerving advocate of humaneness and conciliation—a picture borne out of his life. The spiritual light shining through the fabric of his work explains the success of his undertaking... His work has remained a living force... the essence of his thought has passed into the conscience of the civilized world. His name has become a symbol of justice in international relations. 33

The claim being made here, I believe, is more radical that what Lauterpacht proposed, though it equally results in support of the idea that international law

³² See Lauterpacht 1946, 24–25. Resurrecting the Grotian tradition of Hersh Lauterpacht, Martti Koskenniemi has characterized it as "a morality of attitude ... of seriousness... a morality of tolerance and of personal and professional virtue... a morality of scales, controlled by the attempt to balance right with duty and freedom with reason... a morality of control and self control, for which the greatest desire is the end of desire... [taking] for granted the intrinsic rationality of a morality of sweet reasonableness, the non-metaphysical doctrine of the golden middle." See Koskenniemi 1997, 215; see also Jeffery 2006, 223–250 Hersh Lauterpacht, the Realist Challenge and the Grotian Tradition in twentieth century International Relations, 12 European Journal of International Relations 223–250 (2006).

³³ See Nussbaum 1947, 105–112.

expounds a universalizing faith that can tolerate religious and political diversity. The argument is not the traditional claim made by international lawyers that the discipline became secular over time, and thereby was able to engage with religious passions in a detached, more enlightened way. Rather, in Nussbaum' strain of argument, international law is part of a living Christian lineage, but it is now this very religiosity itself which is now seen as one of the key elements in international law's ability to embrace a more universalist vision of emancipation and brotherhood. In more recent years, the American legal historian Mark Janis has eloquently argued for this version of the Grotian tradition:

It was the imprisonment and exile of this religious and political liberal that both provided the time to write and inspired the theme of De Jure Belli ac Pacis... Trained and famed as a theologian as well as a jurist, Grotius unashamedly brought the Bible to the law of nations. It is important to note that Grotius brought religion to the discipline not to exclude other religious groups (be they Calvinists, Catholics, Jews or Moslems), but to show that his religion, a liberal and universal faith, proved that the law of nations was meant to include all peoples... His... [a] liberal Arminian universalism... [at] a time of religious wars among fiercely conservative faiths... was a more forgiving faith, but a faith none-theless, that could tolerate religious diversity. Grotius employed this liberal religion as a critical source for proof of legal principles which could tolerate both religious and political diversity among the nations.³⁴

At first glance, the secular and religious version of the Grotian tradition of international law as a tolerant, civilizing force upon global governance seems to be affirmed in selective passages from *De Jure Belli Pacis*. Within the more secularist version of the tradition, Grotius seems to occasionally sweep away the primacy of the entire Christian normative order in favor of a formally equal, yet divergent array of sovereign authorities:

Just as, in fact, there are many ways of living, one being better than another, and out of so many ways of living each is free to select that which he prefers, so also a people can select the form of government it wishes; and the extent of its legal right in the matter is not to be measured by the superior excellence of this or that form of government, in regard to which different men hold different views, but by its free choice.³⁵

Likewise, this same sort of liberalism also seems to crop up in more religious readings of the Grotian tradition, especially in his polemics in favor of the legitimacy of agreements between Christian and heathen states:

Do we perhaps believe that we have nothing in common with persons who have not accepted the Christian faith? Such a belief would be very far removed from the pious doctrine of Augustine, who declares (in his interpretation of the precept of Our Lord whereby we are bidden to love our neighbors) that the term 'neighbors' obviously includes every human being... Accordingly, not only is it universally admitted that the protection of infidels from injury (even from injury by Christians) is never unjust, but it is furthermore maintained, by authorities who have examined this particular point (including Vitoria) that alliances and treaties with infidels may in many cases by justly contracted, for

³⁴ See Janis 2004, 121–126.

³⁵ See Schneewind 1998, 73 (quoting Grotius).

the purpose of defending one's own rights, too. Such a course of action was adopted (so we are told) by Abraham, Isaac, David, Solomon, and the Maccabees...³⁶

It is tempting to read these passages (as so many do) as the initial soundings of liberal political thought, sowing the first kernels of what have become the familiar ethics of disengaged, subjective rationality and formal equality of sovereign nation-states so important nowadays in the daily posture of international law. This temptation contains some validity, for Grotius was in some regards original in reconciling the nominalist tradition of William of Occam and Duns Scotus (arguing that the normative order originates in the will rather some natural order) with the Thomist tradition espoused by late medieval scholastics (that the law of nature was absolutely perfect and did not allow for even divine derogation).³⁷ In Grotius' solution, the normative order still originates in the willed actions of the sovereign, but the will itself is now constrained to a procedural conception of reason and consent. However, we should be careful not to read this anachronistically through some modern liberal democratic conviction. On the one hand, Grotius' passage advocating the freedom of society to choose its form of government was conditioned upon the understanding that society was premised on 'rational order', which was almost exclusively reserved to the practice and customs of Christian states (e.g., Dutch federation of states, the French state). Thus, for instance, if a foreign territory did not structure land distribution around recognizable forms of industry and private property, Grotius argued the legal, if not moral, right of a conquering power to seize and use that land:

[O]ur natural needs are satisfied with only a few things, which may be easily had without great labor or cost. As for what god has granted us in addition, we are commanded not to throw it into the sea (as some Philosophers foolishly asserted), nor to leave it unproductive, nor to waste it, but to use it to meet the needs of other men, either by giving it away, or by lending it to those who ask; as is appropriate for those who believe themselves to be not owners of those things, but representatives or stewards of God the Father. 38

On the other hand, the argument that Christian states could enter into alliances and even protect infidels from violations against the laws of nature/nations was not a novel gesture of benevolent universalism, but firmly rooted within the Catholic humanist tradition (in direct contrast to the Thomist/Aristotelian/Lutheran argument for smaller communities) that came to prominence with the papacy of Leo X. As Richard Tuck has unearthed, at the Colonial Conference of 1613 at London, an English diplomat sardonically noted in the margins of a pamphlet prepared by Grotius containing this very same argument, 'we think it very honest

³⁶ See Tuck 1999, 94 (quoting Grotius).

³⁷ See generally O'Donovan 1999; see also Tuck 1999, 78–108.

³⁸ See Tuck 1999, 105 (Grotius' 1627 The Truth of the Christian Religion). "If there by any waste or barren Land within our Dominions, that also is to be given to strangers, at their Request, or may be lawfully possessed by them, because whatever remains uncultivated, is not to be esteemed a Property, only so far as concerns Jurisdiction, which always continues the Right of the ancient People." See Grotius 1925, II.2.17.

to defend oppressed people... against their wills'.³⁹ Indeed, for Grotius, not only could Christian nations conquer foreign lands for their own use, but also enslave them for their own good. '[Aristotle is correct] when he says that certain persons are by nature slaves,' Grotius argues, '[N]ot because God did not create man a free being, but because there are some individuals whose character is such that it is expedient for them to be governed by another's sovereign will rather than by their own'. ⁴⁰ While Grotius contemplated that these liberties were reserved primarily in relation to European sovereign powers over non-Europeans, and especially Islamic populations, the rights of domination were not geographically delineated, and applied to non-Christians within the domestic borders of a nascent Western Europe.

In *The Truth of the Christian Religion*, Grotius presents a detailed series of arguments specifically condemning the religious and political systems of 'Heathenism', Judaism, and Islam. When Grotius turns to the 'Heathen' religions, for instance, he justifies the superiority of Christianity on the basis of its rationality, virtue and civility—to deny Christianity in favor of Heathenism, therefore, is to choose crude barbarism over a "delightful" and refined civility, wickedness over goodness, and ignorance over reason. ⁴¹ In similar measure, the Jews and Islamic populations are seen as dissonant, if not outright dangerous, elements within the emerging sovereign order of nation-states that must be routinized, if not eradicated.

The Jews, in his view, were 'a people of so obstinate a disposition', and their laws, archaic and absurd, to have 'taken so deep root in the minds of all the Hebrews, as never to be faced out'. 42 Observing that 'they have been driven out of their country, [that] they have continued vagabonds and despised, no prophet has come to them, no signs of their future return; their teachers, as if they were inspired with a spirit of giddiness, have sunk into low fables and ridiculous opinions, with which the books of the Talmud abound', Grotius argues that the reason the Jews are not "heard [by God]... we must of necessity conclude one of these two things, that either that covenant made by Moses is entirely dissolved, or that the whole body of the [I]ews are guilty of some grievous sin, which has continued for so many ages: and what that is, let them tell us themselves; or, if they cannot say what, let them believe us, that that sin is the despising the Messiah, who came before these evils began to befall them'. 43 In other words, the systematic persecution of Jews carried throughout the history of the res publica Christiania—whether under the guise of the Roman Catholic Church, the Emperor, Protestant princes, or the emerging state entities—did not reflect its own moral failing, but due to the internal chemistry of

³⁹ See Tuck 1999, at 94.

⁴⁰ Ibid. at 89 (quoting Grotius).

⁴¹ See Grotius 1925, 173–181.

⁴² Ibid. at 20–21.

⁴³ Ibid. at 212–213.

both the Jewish psychology and institutional/cultural heritage, which bore the stain of God's curse.

Grotius professed a similar disdain for Islam, 'the Mehometan Religion'. To Grotius, Islam 'was bred in arms, breathes nothing else; and is propagated by such means only... many times very unjust[ly]... against a people who no ways disturbed them, nor were distinguished for any injury they had done; so that they could have no pretence for their arms, but religion, which is the most prophane thing that can be, for there is no worship of God, but such as proceeds from a willing mind'. 44 Here, we could juxtapose these comments to Grotius' early justifications for Christian nations to conquer and subjugate foreign people, for violating laws of Nature or Nations. On the one hand, Grotius might have cynically been maintaining a rather crude double standard whereby regular Christian warfare was nevertheless an unrelated aberration to its core tenets and only mobilized when absolutely necessary to maintain what was a by and large peaceful communal existence. On the other hand, the turn to 'naturalizing' Christian doctrine as 'secular' may also have allowed him to differentiate between 'religious' injury (which was not an acceptable pretext) and injury against the laws of Nature and Nations. Whatever the case, for Grotius, 'Mahomet' himself was not only 'a long time ... robber, and always effeminate', who only attracted 'men void of humanity and piety', and orchestrated a false religion, 'which was plainly calculated for bloodshed, delights much in ceremonies, and would be believed, without allowing liberty to inquire into it...'. Just as Grotius' disposition toward the Jewish populations intimates the violent logic that would manifest itself in mutated form in Hitler's death camps, many of the prejudices toward Islam in Grotius' work seem to bear a resemblance to the rhetoric surrounding the ongoing 'war on terror', and more generally, the struggle for liberal democratic governments throughout Islamic countries: the idea that Islamic forms of government possess an almost innately violent character, the juxtaposition between liberal democratic models and intolerant totalitarian regimes, or alternatively secularism versus rigid dogmatisms, and so on. 46 In this sense, perhaps writers like Lauterpacht and Nussbaum are right when they assert that the Grotian tradition lives on at the spiritual core of international law, but in a more perverse manner than either would care to openly contemplate.

⁴⁴ Ibid. at 100-101, 241.

⁴⁵ Ibid. at 235, 238–240.

⁴⁶ In contemporary global governance, this theme is addressed by authors across a wide spectrum of academic disciplines, from international relations scholars (e.g., Elizabeth Hurd), to social anthropologists (e.g., Talal Asad) and philosophers (e.g., Alain Badiou). See e.g., Hurd 2007; see also Asad 2003; Badiou 2007. Institutions within American foreign policy have also started to recognize this as an imminent strategic concern—what goes by the coinage, the 'God Gap'. See Waters 2010..

5.4 The Politics of Restatement and Denial

The 1999 inauguration of the Grotius Lecture Series by the American Society of International Law opened with a speech by the Vice President of the International Court of Justice, Judge Christopher Weeramantry, reflecting on the purpose of the lecture series and its namesake. ⁴⁷ In turning to recap the current atmosphere of the Grotian tradition, and turn to assessing its limitations and possibilities, it is worthwhile to reflect a moment upon a longer passage from this event:

The inaugural Grotius lecture ... is an occasion of deep reflection on the fundamentals of our discipline... A moment to attempt to recapture the spirit of inspiration that moved this great pioneer of our discipline to struggle out of the limitations of the thought-frame of his times and carve out new pathways for international relations in the unchartered waters lying ahead...Grotius rose to the occasion—a towering intellect with a passionate vision of an ordered relationship among states—a relationship based not on the dogma of religion or the sword of conquest, but on human reason and experience... For Grotius' contribution, all succeeding generations are in his debt. The new world order of European states that Grotius envisaged became a reality ... The nation-state system took over the world... It was an eminently successful system for those nation states, but it was dangerous. Some would misread Grotius' system as prescribing a lighted area of law and order for those within and fold, and an area of outer darkness for those without... That is now a past chapter. We are left with the aftermath of empire and task of cleaning up its problems... Like Grotius, we are seeking the friendly association of states and the peaceful resolution of disputes; we are also searching for principles of stability amidst the chaos of competing state interest... like Grotius, we are experiencing a sudden expansion of knowledge and power never seen before... 48 Colonialism was a dark chapter in global history and it has fortunately ended. After the long twilight struggle of dying empires, we must prepare ourselves ... international lawyers, to rise to the task... [and] sail beyond the sunset of that world order and into the sunrise of a new world order of justice, peace and reconciliation.49

The text above is a brilliant synthesis of traditional and contemporary feelings to explain the almost fetishistic hold of Grotius on the imagination of international

⁴⁷ See Weeramantry 1998a, 1515–1520. Both Weeramantry and Berman situate their conversation of international law in the tradition of Third World Approaches to International Law (TWAIL) scholars.

⁴⁸ Ibid. at 1515-1520.

⁴⁹ Ibid. at 1569.

lawyers. In Judge Weeramantry's depiction, Grotius stands as a shining example of what international lawyers are at their best-drawing upon human reason, intelligence, and experience to civilize the 'dogma of religion' and the 'sword of conquest' through a 'friendly association' of nation-states. This figure embodies the 'sweet reasonableness' that Koskenniemi ascribed to Lauterpacht's depiction of Grotius—the Victorian political reformer, armed with knowledge and compassion, searching out a 'golden middle' between the vagaries of apology and utopia. 50 At the same time, however, the traditional emphasis on curbing 'natural' anarchy through the self-enlightened cooperation and regulated intercourse of rules, norms, and institutions of sovereign nation-states is moderated by the shame and horrors of European imperialism, the 'dark chapter is global history'. ⁵¹ The importance of Grotius, therefore, is to remind international lawyers that the responsibility of the profession is to balance the contradictory needs of freedom and order, at once promoting political ambitions toward greater sociability (e.g., a fully inclusive global cosmopolitan order) while checking the excesses of political ambition (e.g., cultural or material imperialism)—just as Grotius stood against the tides of religious fundamentalism and violent political ambition, so too must international lawyers today transcend the allure of any form of zealous certainty (whether religious, national, and so on). Grotius comes to international lawyers

⁵⁰ See Koskenniemi 1997, 215. Koskenniemi's resuscitation of a Victorian reading of the Grotian tradition seems to self-consciously situate itself as the heir to the eclectic British jurist, Thomas Baty. 'We are slipping into some state of anarchic practice... The task of any modern prophet of International Law... should seek to repeat for our age the achievement of Grotius... [though it is] immeasurably harder than his... The modern Grotius can find no... irrecusable authorities to which to appeal... There is no vision. The world lies in twilight. International law... rests on the world's common convictions... If that twilight is not to deepened into dusk and darkness some unifying principle must be found... Shall we be wrong in saying that Sweetness, Beauty and Honour make as wide an appeal to the common mind as anything else today?' See Baty 1954, 9–1515. Baty cites the reader interested in following this theme to the work of his alter-ego, Irene Clyde, who wrote extensively on Victorian manners (e.g., the unseemliness of not only nudity or scant dress, but even sex of any persuasion) and the importance of a feminine ideal for personal and political governance. See generally Clyde 1934.

TWAIL scholars, as well as their counterparts in the field of international relations, have followed upon the postcolonial literary tradition to bring the issue of cultural antagonism and ongoing forms of colonialism/imperialism to the forefront of international legal theory. See e.g., Anghie and Chimni 2003, 77; see also Anghie et al. 2003; Craven 2008; Fidler 2003, 29; Fitzpatrick and Darian-Smith 1999; Gathii 2000, 263; Keene 2002; Mickelson 2008, 355; Mutua 2000, 31; Wilde 2008. At the same time, however, the genre is plagued by ambivalence toward the nature of its critique and the way forward—in particular, whether the issue is inclusion/exclusion from the current global order, or instead, some more fundamental structural critique. Here, post-development studies have for the most part remained neglected int the literature, though post-development itself has failed to offer an alternative proposal. See e.g., Sachs 1999 (frequently criticized itself for not offering a programmatic/systemic alternative vision of global order). In relation to TWAIL, these tendencies are perhaps in part due to an over-reliance on European versus non-European antagonisms rather than looking at how the idea of Europe itself has historically always covered over deep 'internal' hegemonic rivalries and competing ideological visions. But see Harvey 1972, 1–13.

who are searching for guidance like the voice of God out of the wilderness, giving clarity to past, present, and future, and calling upon the profession to restate its (almost messianic) mission in an ever-ascending progression upwards, 'into the sunrise of a new world order of justice, peace and reconciliation'.⁵² At the end of the day, though not above the messy realities of human frailty and desire, international law is remembered in the dominant account of the Grotian tradition as a universalizing force of renewal and progress.⁵³

And yet, in light of the unearthed realities of Grotius' positions, the curiosity remains: why does the literature of international law repeatedly fasten around his figure, continually misreading his efforts and his legacy in a consistent story of professional hope and affirmation? Indeed, if the Grotian tradition advocates international law as a politics of emancipation and restatement, it does so only by maintaining a politics of denial, not only concerning Grotius himself, but more importantly, about the nature and track record of its liberal cosmopolitanism project. To borrow from Lacanian terminology, we might say that the symbolic order that colors our argumentative patterns and imagination—the secular order and its professed formal agnosticism toward competing hegemonic claims⁵⁴—is itself a response to some underlying trauma, that something which resists symbolization: namely, a non-eclipsed background that not only threatens western rationalities by 'heightening contradictions and suppressions involved in their construction', 55 but more perversely, takes on the role of the Freudian death-instinct, compelling international law into an endlessly repetitive circular movement around a constitutive object that it dares not speak. 56 Here, law functions as a sort of feedback loop that allows society to rationalize and sustain the violence and failures of its past and present, as the necessary limitations of human understanding, while holding on to the belief that its principles are fundamentally sound and coherent, a veritable standard to understand ourselves in the world.⁵⁷ In such a Lacanian understanding of the rule of law, the Grotian tradition is nothing short of the symbol of the

⁵² See generally Skouteris 2010.

⁵³ See Berman 1989–1990, 1521 (juxtaposing a 'critical genealogist' voice to the standard progress narrative expounded by the 'renewer/restater').

⁵⁴ See e.g., Laclau 1996; see also Koskenniemi 2001, Chap. 6; Koskenniemi 2005.

⁵⁵ See Fitzpatrick 1992, 13. For Fitzpatrick, a founding figure in the British Critical Legal Studies movement, the effort to bring out these sublimated traumas are political acts of "internal decolonization" against the "white mythology" of mainstream international law. Ibid. at x, 13. There is, however, both a contemporary and historical challenge to this aspiration. See Danchin 2008 (arguing persuasively that liberalism is founded on illiberal historical core of genocide and expulsion in the creation of sovereign nation-states); see also Orford 2007, 353 (pointing out more or less often in her work generally how the violence often decried in poor, or non-Western countries, is often the very sort of violence that European nation-states found instrumental in their formations).

See Butler et al. 2000; Butler 2003, Butler 2005, 25–27, 95–100; Freud 1990 (discussing the 'death instinct'); Lacan 1993)(introducing the idea of the 'forced choice'); Zizek 2001, 103–105.
 I am grateful for the many conversation on the topic with Akbar Rasulov, and his useful guidance in understanding these ideas—what he has called, the 'Feuerbach effect'.

existential anxiety among international lawyers over the complex array of human (and more importantly, Western) limitations, both personal and at large.

What makes this trauma so difficult to disclose? I believe the answer contains both a material and symbolic element. On the one hand, the emergence of international law cannot be discussed as a professional, modern discourse without turning to the nineteenth century experiences of both internal (domestic) and external (foreign) colonialism; at home, the violent suppression of working class needs, ⁵⁸ the brutality described by William Blake within industry's 'satanic' mills, famines and bloody intercontinental warfare⁵⁹; and abroad, the imperial conquest of foreign land through superior warfare technology and resources, 60 the sanctimonious genocide of defeated populations, ⁶¹ colonialists and missionaries racked with disease in pursuit of fantasies. ⁶² For international legal theorists and historians, like Nathaniel Berman and Antony Anghie, the same international law that we typically hold out as the protector of cultural respect and to check political aggression was itself forged in the fires of colonial conquest, ⁶³ far too savage and absolute to allow for any wishful reconciliatory redemption. ⁶⁴ Here, this nightmarish awakening is not only that we recognize the horrors committed by and upon our fathers and grandfathers, nor that its legacy lingers on despite our best efforts of structural adjustment and ethical denunciation—the trauma that we are almost compelled to

⁵⁸ See e.g., Thompson 1963; see also Zinn 1980.

⁵⁹ See e.g., Allen 1994; Hobsbawm 1962. In contrast to these texts, the dominant trend in historical literature—both legal and otherwise—is to stress the relatively peaceful, at least 'stable' character of the early to middle nineteenth century, which contributes to a pacified version about the coming together of the Western European system—a sort of 'mythic' Europe that distances any critiques of endemic, or systemic violence at the core of the European state-order.

⁶⁰ See e.g., Anaya 2000; Spicer (1962).

⁶¹ See e.g., Hochchild 1998.

⁶² See e.g., Oren 2007.

⁶³ "Sovereignty emerged out of the colonial encounter... Colonialism was central to the constitution of international law in that many of the basic doctrines of international law... were forged out of the attempt to create a legal system that could account for relations between the European and non-European worlds in the colonial confrontation... these origins create a set of structures that continuously repeat themselves." See Anghie 2005, 2–3; see also Berman 1989–1990, 1521–1554.

⁶⁴ Drawing upon Edouard Glissant's writings about Caribbean history, Berman argues for a "fierce, even brutal honesty, refusing all redemptive consolations", which rejects all "heroic", "foundational" myths in favor of a "naked self-examination". "Can international law, written by history's victors," he asks, "muster the courage to look frankly, painfully, at the horrors of its own past?" See Berman 1989–1990, 1554.

disavow is that this past is not simply to be shunned, but also exists as our most intimate, secret dream:

Colonialism is never the other, never the past, it is always with us ... because it has made the world we live in, both in the ex-metropoles and the ex-colonies. Our culture, our economy, our very languages are imbued with the colonial past. With us, because trauma of destruction or of guilt never really leaves an individual or a culture. And finally, with us, because colonialism is not only the shame of the West, it's violent, shadow side, but rather it also expressed some of Western culture's highest ideals that even today we cherish. This is the real challenge... The horror and the dream.⁶⁵

The violence here carries an overt materialist content, its scandalous political ramifications (e.g., structurally sustained gross inequality, cultural imperialism/ genocide, policing actions, and warfare) the very stuff that everyone from neocolonial critics and human rights activists to global policy makers and bureaucrats seek to (often very self-consciously) struggle against on one level or another. ⁶⁶ Yet, what we are also touching upon is a more insidious form of violence, a symbolic violence, which is both more elusive, and which actually enlists and assigns our own desires by telling us what can and cannot be said and accomplished. ⁶⁷ In other words, the rules and historical manifestations of authority, behavior, and beliefs that we live by may very well be experienced as the taken for granted, axiomatic necessity of objective reality, but are in fact culturally arbitrary

⁶⁵ See Nathaniel Berman, The Alchemy of Empire, or Of Power and Primitivism, inaugural lecture for the Centre for the study of Colonialism, Empire and International Law (CCEIL) at the School of Oriental and African Studies (SOAS) transcribed recording of the lecture on file with author, and any errors solely my fault.

⁶⁶ See Kennedy 2001, 463–497.

⁶⁷ Symbolic violence is particularly apt in the context of law, which may be itself in some respects the concrete objectification of our anxieties—what the German philosopher Ernst Cassirer called, a "metamorphosis of fear". Unlike Spencer's law of nervous discharge where we enjoy release from a sudden explosion of physical reaction, this metamorphosis into law may actually defer, and thereby intensify, our anxieties. Thus, the Goethe-like tendency to retreat into law to establish order—the "tendency to turn into an image...everything that delight[s] or trouble[s]" us—does not rectify our conceptions to the external world but actually incarnates, and heightens through repetition, our instincts of fear. See Cassirer 1946, 46–48.

phenomena in that they have no privileged connection to some natural or transcendent/universal truth. ⁶⁸ These circumscribed moments are thereby transmitted to us through both historical baggage (diachronically) and our everyday discourses (synchronically) as an almost unconscious background logic of understanding compelling us to act and speak not so much unwillingly (for it is exactly our inability to separate our knowledge and desires from these conditions) as unwittingly. ⁶⁹ What makes it so difficult to locate these moments is that they appear immediately 'naturalized' through stylized acts of repetition that are reciprocated, and ultimately lead to the appearance of an essentially ontological core. ⁷⁰ In short, our understanding of what we ought to do and the parameters we work within are aesthetically determined: less a matter of what actually exists, than how we perform and experience the various forms, images, tropes, perceptions, and sensibilities that we identify with international law. ⁷¹

In this sense, the anxiety that repeatedly draws international lawyers to Grotius is an underlying feeling of being caught in a catch-22 of fears. On the one hand, international lawyers labor under the 'anxiety of influence', that our vision and projects cannot live up to the creative victories of our ancestors, that global governance has become far too complex and uncertain to imagine any truly new

⁶⁸ The term itself, symbolic violence, was coined by Pierre Bourdieu, the late French sociologist and theorist, to denote how impositions of systems of symbolism and meaning (e.g., culture) upon groups and classes would be accepted as legitimate. Bourdieu was particularly interested in the role of 'pedagogic action' in the French university system, which he believed functioned to perpetuate the advantages of privileged class relationships through inculcating students into processes of self-limitation and self-censorship. See Bourdieu 1990; see also Bourdieu and Wacquant 1992, 65–259; Jenkins 1992; Shusterman 1999.

⁶⁹ Diachronic refers to the historically constituted, or developed, nature of meaning and interpretation. Synchronic denotes meaning produced by a system at any given point in time. See Eagleton 1996, 96–97. For many authors, these two approaches go hand in hand. See e.g., Bakhtin 1997. For a legal discussion of the synchronic play of structuralists, and how it may be engaged in legal analysis, see Kennedy 1985–1986, 248–266 (also providing an extensive list of relevant materials for further research).

⁷⁰ This idea is indebted to the notion of the American philosopher and cultural/feminist theorist, Judith Butler's idea of 'performativity'. See Bulter 1990. In her 1993 book, Bodies That Matter, Judith Butler links the idea of performativity to the idea of 'iterability' in the work of French literary theorist and philosopher, Jacques Derrida. "Performativity cannot be understood outside of a process of iterability, a regularized and constrained repetition of norms," writes Butler. "[T]his repetition... constitutes the temporal condition for the subject ... [and] implies that performance is not a single act... but ritualized production, a ritual reiterated under and through constraint, under and through the force of prohibition and taboo, with the threat of ostracism and even death controlling and compelling the shape of the production, but not... determining it fully in advance. See Butler 1993, 95; see also Derrida 1988.

⁷¹ See Schlag 2002, 1047. Schlag takes care to distance himself from an understanding of aesthetics as "the appreciation of art and beauty" to offer four 'aesthetic' models which account for the various ways American lawyers perceive and arrive at outcomes in law, which he believes acts as a formal enterprise whereby 'ethical dreams and political ambitions ... do their work'. Ibid. at 1050–52.

world order.⁷² On the other hand, even if such a possibility existed, the lessons of the past are not completely lost in the subconscious of the discipline, that any political order, whether it expresses itself as totalitarian or liberal, is dependent on some original (and maintained) condition of violent, exclusionary force. Tolerance, in this respect, is not so much a virtue or goal, as it is the condition of a particular situation of comfort or authority, the rationalization of how violence will be organized and directed once a system disclaims its propensity to violence. In response to these anxieties, international lawyers have sought Grotius to either 'obscure the historically exclusionary origins of the Western liberal state' by emphasizing his magnanimous advocacy of liberal tolerance and formal equality, or alternatively, decried his theory as self-serving justifications for empire, thereby eliciting a subtle polemic for an acceptance of the status quo one or two degrees to the left, some cautious politics of piecemeal reform and mutual distrust.

5.5 Conclusion: A Politics of Truth⁷³

And yet, in the wake of what would otherwise look like false celebration or grim resignation, the words of Judge Weeramantry still carry a portion of hope. To remember Grotius is, for Weeramantry (and I hope for the rest of us), a 'moment to recapture the spirit of inspiration ... to struggle out of the limitations of the thoughframe of [one's] times and carve out new pathways... in the unchartered waters lying ahead...'⁷⁴ And so here, I would like to conclude by offering two brief suggestions for how we might seize upon this appeal and answer the Grotian tradition in a new light. First, rather than shy away from the partisan nature of Grotius' convictions, we might instead accept them as the very conditions of any

⁷² See generally Bloom 1973; see also Bloom 1975.

⁷³ This might be juxtaposed with the various calls of 'radical democracy' (e.g., Ernesto Laclau), or their legal equivalent, the 'culture of formalism' (e.g., Martti Koskenniemi). At its most appealing, the call for a 'culture of formalism' challenges the international legal community to rise above the 'politics of the possible' to embrace a higher standard, though like its American humanitarian rule of law counterpart, carries the tendency to recognize itself as a restraining, or gentle, civilizing force outside the auspices of power, and more specifically, war. Instead, what I am searching for here is something more akin to a 'politics of truth', which seems to occasionally manifest both within and outside of legal theory—in nineteenth century European political philosophy (Giuseppi Mazzini), in the nineteenth and twentieth century American pragmatic 'cando' ethos (as drawn out by authors within international law, particularly Mark Janis and David Kennedy), in the liberation struggles in Latin America (Gustavo Gutierrez Merino) and anticolonial struggles of the twentieth century (emphasized in legal work particularly by TWAIL authors), and in more recent years, within the philosophical writings of authors such as Alain Badiou and Alberto Toscano.

⁷⁴ See Weeramantry 1998a, 1515–1520.

emancipatory politics.⁷⁵ No victory, no good, came to any group of humans without what was most often passionate and protracted struggle, usually with countless and unsung casualties. That there will be losers and excluded parties are not an unfortunate by-product of the political world, but the very purpose of struggle: to fight for some condition that will change the distribution and well-being of particular individuals and communities always comes as theft and sacrifice to others. To open up patents on necessary drugs to poor countries, for instance, will mean the loss of a particular form of property and profit for shareholders invested in the pharmaceutical industry. Let us say, so be it. As progressive international lawyers committed to a better world, let us leave the comforts of the condemnation of warfare and venture forward into some battle, whatever that might be.⁷⁶

Second, contemporary international lawyers sympathize with Grotius' eclectic use of sources (e.g., nowadays under the rubric of inter-disciplinarity), but too often 'appear both ashamed of their inability to propound doctrine in the imperious tone of tradition texts' and 'proud of having avoided the [methodological] difficulties plaguing each traditional scheme of authority'. The While international law should by no means lose sight of the lessons and (partial) victories against oppression (e.g., whether that was expressed as child labor, homophobia, racism, sexism, and so on), too often the condition of accepting any principle only when methodologically defensible leads to a retreat into some politics not simply of humility, but deferral.⁷⁸ In contrast, for Grotius, authoritative diversity was neither virtue nor vice, but simply a fact of life. To put this in a more contemporary register, any principle or truth is ultimately unanswerable according to any empirical or rational basis, but simply 'evident' to its adherents. Instead of remaining caught in the spurious infinity of negation that haunts the post-foundational landscape of politics and law, the memory of Grotius and his eclectic boldness directs international lawyers to proclaim a fidelity to a truth without apology. 79 The Grotian tradition calls us to shake off the Victorian pieties that shackle the emancipatory potential of our discipline so that we might return to what our ancestors understood: that to be part of

⁷⁵ See generally Kennedy 2006 (drawing out an ethical appeal for a partisan courage to face the 'dark sides' of progressive struggle as the very basis of personal and political freedom); see also Badiou 2003; Toscano 2006.

⁷⁶ Ibid.

⁷⁷ See Kennedy 1986, 5–7.

⁷⁸ This politics of deferral seems to me less constructed on a sentiment of humility but resignation, and which tacitly accepts the 'false necessity' about the nature and outcomes of global governance. See Unger 2004; see also Meillassoux 2008. Arguing against Kantian subjectivity, Meillassoux argues provocatively for us to accept arbitrariness as the sole and necessary absolute of existence—what he terms, a 'radical contingency'. 'We are no longer upholding a variant of the principle of sufficient reason... but rather the absolute truth of a principle of unreason. There is no reason for anything to be or to remain the way it is; everything must, without reason, be able not to be and/or be able to be other than it is.' Ibid. at 48–49, 60. For an experiment to bring Meillassoux's argument into legal human rights theory, see Bowring 2008, Chap. 5; but see Marks 2009.

⁷⁹ See generally Badiou 2003; see also Badiou 2009.

the world in our times and to struggle for righteousness is to wager upon some current impossibility that seems against all empirical odds and against all reasonable hope. It is in this faith that I hope we can begin to more fully join together.

References

Allen T (1994) The invention of the white race: the origin of racial oppression in Anglo-America, vol I. Verso Books, London

Anaya S (2000) Indigenous peoples of international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford

Anghie A (2005) Imperialism, sovereignty and the making of international law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Anghie A, Chimni BS (2003) Third world approaches to international law and individual responsibility in internal conflicts. CJIL 2:77–103

Anghie A, Chimni BS, Mickelson K and Okafor O (eds.) (2003) Third world and international order: law, politics and globalization. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Leiden

Anne Orford (2007) Reading Humanitarian Intervention: Human Rights and the Use of Force in International Law (Cambridge Studies in International and Comparative Law)

Asad T (2003) Formations of the secular: christianity, islam, modernity. Stanford University Press, Stanford

Badiou A (2003) St. Paul: the foundation of universalism. Stanford University Press, Stanford (Engl. ed.)

Badiou A (ed) (2007) The century. Polity, Cambridge Engl. ed.

Badiou A (2009) Theory of the subject. Continuum, London (Engl. ed.)

Bakhtin M (1997) The Bakhtin reader: selected writings of Bakhtin, Medvedev, Voloshinov. Bloomsbury Publishing PLC, London

Baty T (1954) International law in twilight. Tokyo Maruzen Company, Tokyo

Baumgold D (1993) Pacifying politics: resistance, violence, and accountability in seventeenth-century contract theory. Political Theory 21:6–27

Berman H (1983) Law and revolution: the formation of the western legal tradition, vol I. Harvard University Press, Cambridge

Berman N (1989-1990) In the wake of empire. AUILR 14:1521-554

Berman H (2003) Law and revolution: the impact of the protestant reformation on the western legal tradition, vol II. Harvard University Press, Cambridge

Berman N (2004) Privileging combat? Contemporary conflict and legal construction of war. CJTL 43(1)

Bloom H (1973) The anxiety of influence: a theory of poetry. Oxford University Press, Oxford Bloom H (1975) Kaballah and criticism. Continuum International Publishing Group Ltd., London Bourdieu P (1990) Homo academicus. Standford University Press, Stanford (Engl. ed.)

Bourdieu P, Wacquant L (1992) An invitation to reflective sociology. University of Chicago Press, Chicago

Bowring B (2008) The degradation of the international legal order?: The rehabilitation of law and the possibility of politics. Routledge-Cavendish, New York

Butler J (1990) Gender trouble. Routledge, New York

Butler J (1993) Bodies that matter: on the discursive limits of sex. Routledge, New York

Butler R (2003) Zizek: live theory. Continuum, London

Butler J, Laclau E, Zizek S (2000) Contingency, hegemony, universality: contemporary dialogues on the left. Verso Books, London

Cassirer E (1946) The myth of the state. Yale University Press, New Haven

Clyde I (1934) Eve's sour apples. Urania, London

Craven M (2008) The decolonization of international law: state succession and the law of treaties. Oxford University Press, Oxford

Danchin P (2008) The emergence and structure of religious freedom in international law reconsidered. JLR 23:455-534

Derrida J (1988) Limited Inc. Northwestern University Press, Evanston (Engl. ed.)

Eagleton T (1996) Literary theory: an introduction. Blackwell Publishing, Malden

Fidler D (2003) Revolt against or from within the west? TWAIL, the developing world, and the future direction of international law. CJIL 2:29–76

Fitzpatrick P (1992) The mythology of modern law. Routledge, London

Fitzpatrick P, Darian-Smith E (1999) Laws of the post-colonial. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor

Freud S (1990) Beyond the pleasure principle. W.W. Norton and Company, London (Standard Edition ed.)

Gathii J (2000) Alternative and critical: the contribution of research and scholarship on developing countries to international legal theory. HILJ 41:263–275

George W (1999) Grotius, theology, and international law: overcoming textbook bias. JLR 14:605-631

Goodchild P (2002) Capitalism and religion: the price of piety. Routledge, New York

Grewe W (2005) The epochs of international law. Walter de Gruyter GmbH and Company, Berlin (Engl. ed.)

Grotius H (1925) De Jure Belli ac Pacis Libri TresIn. In: Scott JB (ed.) The classics of international law. Carnegie Institution, Oxford-London

Haakonssen K (1985) Hugo grotius and the history of political thought. Political theory 13:239–265 Harvey D (1972) Revolutionary and counter revolutionary theory in geography and the problem of ghetto formation. Antipode 4:1–13

Hilton B (1991) The age of atonement: the influence of evangelicalism on social and economic thought 1785–1865. Oxford University Press, New York

Hobsbawm E (1962) The age of revolution: Europe 1789–1848. Weidenfeld and Nicholson Ltd, London

Hochchild A (1998) King Leopold's ghost: a story of greed, terror, and heroism in colonial Africa. Houghton Mifflin Company, New York

Hurd E (2007) The politics of secularism in international relations. Princeton University Press, Princeton

Janis M (2004) Religion and the literature of international law: some standard texts. In: Janis M, Evans C (eds) Religion and international law. MartinusNijhoff, Leiden, pp 121–126

Jeffery R (2006) Hersh Lauterpacht, the realist challenge and the grotian tradition in 20th century international relations. EJIR 12:223–250

Jenkins R (1992) Key sociologists: Pierre Bourdieu. Routledge, London

Keene E (2002) Beyond the anarchical society: grotius, colonialism and order in world politics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Kennedy D (1985–1986) Critical theory, structuralism and contemporary legal scholarship. NELR 21:248–266

Kennedy D (1986) Primitive legal scholarship. HILJ 27:1-98

Kennedy D (2001) The politics of the invisible college: international governance and the politics of expertise. EHRLR 5:463–497

Kennedy D (2006) Of war and law. Princeton University Press, Princeton

Koskenniemi M (1997) Lauterpacht: the Victorian tradition in international law. EJIL 2:215–263 Koskenniemi M (2001) The gentle civilizer of nations: the rise and fall of international law 1870–1960. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Koskenniemi M (2005) From apology to Utopia: the structure of international legal argument, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Koskenniemi M (2007) Formalism, fragmentation, freedom: kantian themes in today's international law. J extre_m Leg Positivism, No Foundations, pp 7–28

Kunz J (1961) Natural law thinking in the modern science of international law. AJIL 55:951-958

Lacan J (Engl. ed. 1993) Book III: The Psychoses, W.W. Norton and Company, New York Der Molen GHJ (1937) Alberico Gentili and the development of international law: his life, work and times. H.J. Paris, Amsterdam

Laclau E (1996) Emancipation(s). Verso Books, London (Engl. ed.)

Lauterpacht H (1946) Grotian tradition in international law. BYIL 23:1-53

Marks S (2009) False contingency. Current Legal Problems 62:1-21

Meillassoux Q (2008) After finitude: an essay on the necessity of contingency. Continuum, London (Engl. ed.)

Mickelson K (2008) Taking stock of TWAIL histories. ICLR 10:355-362

Mutua M (2000) What is TWAIL? AJIL 94:31-39

Nussbaum A (1943) Just war: a legal concept? MLRev 42:453-479

Nussbaum A (1947) A concise history of the law of nations. Macmillan Company, New York

O'Donovan O, O'Donovan J (1999) A sourcebook in christian political thought: from Irenaeus to Grotius. Wm. B Eerdmans Publishing Company, Grand Rapids

Oren M (2007) Power, faith and fantasy: America in the Middle East 1776 to the present. W.W Norton and Company, New York

Paz R (2008) A gateway between a distant god and a cruel world: the contribution of 20th century Jewish German speaking scholars to the professionalism of international law (unpublished dissertation)

Piggin S (1985) Making evangelical missionaries 1789–1858: the social background, motives and training of British protestant missionaries to India. Sutton Courtenay Press, Abingdon

Pound R (1925) Grotius in the science of law. AJIL 19:685-688

Sachs W (1999) The development dictionary: a guide to knowledge as power. Zed Books, London

Schlag P (2002) The aesthetics of American law. HLR 115:1047-1118

Schmitt C (2003) Nomos of the earth in the international law of Jus Publicum Europaeum. Telos Press Publishing, New York

Schmitt C (2005) The concept of the political. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (English ed.) Schneewind JB (1998) The invention of autonomy: a history of modern moral philosophy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Schwarzenberger G (1990) The Grotius factor in international law and international relations: a functional approach. In: Bull H, Kingsbury B, Roberts A (eds) Hugo Grotius and international relations. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp 301–312

Seigel J (1968) Rhetoric and philosophy in renaissance humanism: the union of eloquence and wisdom, Petrarch to Valla. Oxford University Press, Oxford

Shusterman R (1999) Bourdieu: a critical reader. Blackwell Publishers, Oxford

Skouteris T (2010) The notion of progress in international law discourse. T.M.C Asser Press, The Hague

Spicer E (1962) Cycles of conquest: the impact of Spain, Mexico, and the United States of the Indians of the southwest 1533–1960. University of Arizona Press, Tuscon

Stompf C (2006) The Grotian theology of international law: Hugo Grotius and the moral foundations of international relations. Walter De Gruyter Inc., Berlin

Tawney RH (1926) Religion and the rise of capitalism. Hazell, Watson and Viney, London Taylor C (1980) Sources of the self-the making of modern identity. Combridge University Pro-

Taylor C (1989) Sources of the self: the making of modern identity. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Thompson EP (1963) The making of the English working class. Penguin Books, New York

Toscano A (2006) Fanaticism: a brief history of the concept. http://www.eurozine.com/authors/toscano.html. Accessed 1 Mar 2010

Tuck R (1977) Natural rights theories: their origin and development. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Tuck R (1999) The rights of war and peace: political thought and the international order from Grotius to Kant. Oxford University Press, Oxford

Unger R (2004) False necessity: anti-necessity: anti-necessitarian social theory in the service of radical democracy, vol 1. Verso Books, London

Waters D (2010) The 'god gap' impedes U.S. foreign policy, task force says. http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/02/23/AR2010022305103.html. Accessed 1 Mar 2010

Weber M (1905) The protestant ethic and the spirit of capitalism. Courier Dover Publications, New York

Weeramantry C (1998–1999a) Opening tribute to Hugo Grotius. AUILR 14:1516–1520

Weeramantry C (1998–1999b) A response to Berman: in the wake of empire. AUILR 14:1555–1569 Wight M (2005) Four seminal thinkers in international theory: Machiavelli, Grotius, Kant and Mazzini. Oxford University Press. Oxford

Wilde R (2008) International territorial administration. Oxford University Press, Oxford

Witte J Jr (2002) Law and protestantism: the legal teachings of the Lutheran reformation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Wright W (1988) Capitalism, the state, and the Lutheran reformation. Ohio University Press, Athens

Zinn H (1980) A people's history of the United States: 1492-present. Pearson Education Ltd, Essex

Zizek S (2001) On belief. Routledge, London