# **Carbon Dioxide Sequestration by Microalgae: Biorefinery Approach for Clean Energy and Environment**

Abhishek Guldhe, Virthie Bhola, Ismail Rawat, and Faizal Bux

## **1 Introduction**

 Global warming is currently at a disturbing level owing to the rise in anthropogenic greenhouse gases of which  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ contributes up to 68 % of total emissions. Power plants are responsible for more than 22 % of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions worldwide as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is the primary emission of flue gases (Radmann et al. [2012](#page-7-0)). Flue gas emitted from fossil fuels usually contains  $N_2$ ,  $CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>$ , and water vapor as well as minor quantities of CO,  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ ,  $SO<sub>x</sub>$ , and particulate matters (Kumar et al. [2011](#page-7-0)). Carbon dioxide mitigation strategies employed globally thus far can broadly be grouped into physical and biological methods (Kumar et al. [2010](#page-7-0), 2011; Ho et al. 2011). However, due to various problems associated with physical techniques, there is a demand to develop other appropriate technologies (Kumar et al. [2010](#page-7-0), [2011](#page-7-0)).

 Biological carbon sequestration could offer advantages as an intermediate solution for reduced carbon emissions. Carbon dioxide, which is a necessary compound in the formation of complex sugars by green plants and microalgae through photosynthesis, has also shown much potential in significantly increasing the growth rates of most microalgal species (Kumar et al. [2010](#page-7-0), [2011](#page-7-0); Ho et al. 2011). This application could therefore prove useful for closed systems, using specific microalgal strains to maximize  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion to biomass thus absorbing this greenhouse gas. Microalgal biomass could thus represent a natural sink for carbon. Furthermore, such systems could minimize capital and operating costs, complexity, and energy required to transport  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ to other places. However, further research is required on various fronts, such as: separation of flue gas or direct utilization,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture, introduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to the photosynthetic system and the physiological response this would have on cells, supply and distribution of light, as well as tempera-ture requirements (Kumar et al. [2010](#page-7-0), [2011](#page-7-0)).

## **2 Environmental Implications of Carbon Dioxide Gas**

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is the major contributor of the greenhouse gases (GHG). Greenhouse gas emission is primarily responsible for the global warming. The rise in the temperature is associated with many environmental implications and disturbance in the climate. Global warming is directly related to glacial melting and rise in the ocean level. Climate changes are also associated with the reduced agricultural productivities. Irregular rainfall due to climate change causes the water shortage. Environmental and climate irregularities are also associated with the species extinctions. The United Nations has established the Kyoto Protocol setting the objective of decreasing the GHG emissions by 5.2 % based on 1990 emissions (Pires et al.  $2012$ ). Thus, it becomes imperative to reduce the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels in the atmosphere for sustainable future of the planet.

## **3** Existing Technologies for CO<sub>2</sub> **Sequestration and Their Limitations**

 There are numerous techniques that are currently been employed globally to limit the amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  escaping into the atmosphere. However, over the years there has been much debate on the selection of the most appropriate technology. Carbon dioxide alleviation strategies that are currently been applied worldwide can broadly be grouped into physical or biological techniques. Physical-based methods essentially comprise three important steps: capture, transpor-

A. Guldhe  $(\boxtimes) \cdot V$ . Bhola  $\cdot I$ . Rawat

Institute for Water and Wastewater Technology , Durban University of Technology, Durban, South Africa e-mail: [abhiguldhe@gmail.com](mailto:abhiguldhe@gmail.com)

F. Bux

Institute for Water and Wastewater Technology , Durban University of Technology, P.O. Box 1334, Durban 4000, South Africa

tation, and storage. Physical methods begin with the collection of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from a fixed source. Examples of fixed sources that release large amounts of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  daily into the atmosphere include power plants and cement manufacturing facilities. After capture of a sufficient amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , the gas is then converted into a supercritical fluid. Conversion to a supercritical fluid enables simple transportation by ship or pipeline to a safe place of storage. Common storage methods utilized include injection into deep geological or oceanic trenches and mineralization (Khoo et al. [2011](#page-7-0); Pires et al. [2012](#page-7-0)). These disposal techniques are energy intensive and expensive, require large amounts of land, and eventually lead to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  leakage over time. Hence, these methods are often considered unsustainable (Stewart and Hessami 2005). This technology, however, still remains a popular choice, even with the abovementioned drawbacks. This could be attributed to the fact that this technique allows communities to sustain their current carbon-based infrastructure while aiming to lessen the outcomes of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on global warming (Pires et al. [2012](#page-7-0)). Communities at large are also often nervous and skeptical to venture out and try new techniques.

 Another popular alternative would be to make use of the accumulated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . For instance, precipitated calcium carbonate (PCC) can be formed by managing the reaction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ with lime. This can then be utilized as a replacement for titanium dioxide or kaolin in the production of paper products. Carbon dioxide can also be used in the production of paint, plastic, solvent, and packaging. However, this would use relatively minute amounts of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , when compared to the large quantities released into the atmosphere annually.

 Monoethanolamine (MEA) scrubbing is a popular method whereby  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is removed from flue gases during the combustion process. This method involves a chemical absorption process together with the use of a MEA solvent. During this procedure, the MEA solution makes contact with the flue gases and mixes in the absorber. The MEA solution that is now rich in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is then transported to a stripper. It is then reheated to discharge almost pure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . This captured pure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  can then be utilized for various industrial processes. The MEA solution can be recycled to the absorber. This process requires large equipment sizes and high regeneration energy requirements; therefore, it is considered to be uneconomic. Other technologies that have been investigated (such as membrane separation, cryogenic fractionation, and adsorption using molecular sieves) are even less energy efficient for them to be considered economically viable (Stewart and Hessami [2005](#page-7-0)).

A carbon fiber composite molecular sieve was developed by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. This carbon monolith was capable of separating  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from  $CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>$  from air, and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $CO$ ,  $H<sub>2</sub>S$ , and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  from a mixture of gases. This separation technology displayed some potential as it proved to be cost effective, produced minimal waste, and could be adapted to numerous carbon sequestration strategies (Stewart and Hessami 2005).

 Desiccant adsorption is another process that can be employed. This is often termed a pressure and temperature swing adsorption (PTSA) that could possibly be applied to electric power plant flue gas. Carbon dioxide can be adsorbed at near normal pressure using zeolite or alumina as the desiccant. Target removal efficiencies of 90–99 % purity of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ removed were achieved using this technology. A major problem with this method, however, is the reaction of the desiccant with  $SO<sub>x</sub>$  present in the flue gas (Stewart and Hessami 2005).

Biological  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixation, which can be accomplished during the photosynthesis of terrestrial plants and photosynthetic microorganisms, seems to be the only economical and environmentally viable technique of the future without the aforementioned shortcomings (Kumar et al. 2010, 2011; Ho et al.  $2011$ ). Even though terrestrial plants fix around 500 billion tons of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  per annum, they are anticipated to play a minor role  $(3-6\%)$  in the overall reduction of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Skjanes et al. 2007). Microalgae and cyanobacteria have rapid growth rates as opposed to terrestrial plants; their  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ fixation efficiency is about  $10-50$  times better, and they are also known to have higher tolerance to extreme environments (Costa et al.  $2000$ ; Ho et al.  $2011$ ). These microorganisms have therefore come to the forefront of studies as they offer greater possibility in the long run. The biological mitigation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  using autotrophic microalgae offers numerous advantages: no additional  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is created, while nutrient utilization can be accomplished in a continuous manner leading to the production of biofuels and other secondary metabolites (Kumar et al.  $2010$ ,  $2011$ ). In 2010, Sydney confirmed that carbon uptake by microalgae is essentially dependent on the metabolic activity of the particular microalgal strain. However, research has shown that microalgae supplied with higher levels of  $CO<sub>2</sub> (>5 %)$  respond much better (on a biomass basis), as opposed to microalgae exposed to ambient air only (Kumar et al.  $2010$ ,  $2011$ ; Ho et al.  $2011$ ). Microalgae have the ability to generate approximately 280 tons of dry biomass per ha per year by utilizing only 9 % of the freely available solar energy. During this process, roughly 513 tons of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  can be seques-tered (Sydney [2010](#page-7-0)). A study by Borkenstein and Knoblechner in [2011](#page-6-0) investigated the growth of *C. emersonii* for 30 days with both pure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and flue gas. Results revealed that when the *Chlorella* strain was supplied with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  containing flue gas, it produced 2.06 g  $L^{-1}$  biomass.

#### **4** Bio-mitigation of CO<sub>2</sub> by Microalgae

#### **4.1 CO<sub>2</sub> Capture**

 Microalgae are capable of accumulating large amounts of inorganic carbon in their cytoplasm. Most often, these carbon concentrations are much higher when compared to concentrations on the outside. This process is referred to as a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentrating mechanism (CCM). An important factor in photosynthesis is the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration. If there is a very high concentration of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , this would increase the mass transfer mechanism from the gas mixture to the medium. This would eventually lead to a drastic drop in pH. The pH reductions often adversely affect the growth and productivity of most microalgal species. Oxygen, produced during photosynthesis, is another important factor that can hinder microalgal growth. Therefore, it is imperative that gas is regularly removed from a microalgal system and not allowed to accumulate (Pires et al. 2012).

## **4.2** Fate of CO<sub>2</sub> in Microalgal Physiology

 Photosynthesis occurs in two stages within microalgae cells. The first stage only occurs when cells are exposed to light and involve light-dependent reactions. This step utilizes light energy to produce the energy-storage molecules adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH). Dark reactions (carbon-fixation reactions) transpire during the second stage of photosynthesis. These reactions can occur both in the presence and in the absence of light. It is at this stage that energy-storage products produced during light reactions are employed to capture and reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (Calvin [1989](#page-6-0)). The two major photoactive complexes (photosystem I (PSI) and photosystem II (PSII)) transfer sunlight into the electron trans-port chain using the excited chlorophyll dimer (Calvin [1989](#page-6-0); Iverson [2006](#page-7-0); Ho et al. 2011). Photosynthesis starts in the PSII complex. An electron is then transferred to the primary electron acceptor molecule once a chlorophyll molecule at the center of the PSII complex attains ample excitation energy. This process is referred to as photoinduced charge separation. An electron transport chain is then responsible for transporting electrons across the membrane. PSI then takes up the electrons from PSII and moves them via the P700 dimer of chlorophyll, which is oxidized from light-excited antenna chlorophyll to strongly reducing ferredoxin and NADPH (Cerveny et al. [2009](#page-6-0); Ho et al. [2011](#page-7-0)). During photophosphorylation, energy harvested during the light reactions can be stored by the formation of ATP (Yang et al. [2000](#page-7-0)). Research has found that 1.3 ATP molecules are created per pair of electrons moving through the photosynthetic electron transport chain (Yang et al. 2000).

Dark reactions or carbon-fixation reactions involve the Calvin cycle (Calvin [1989](#page-6-0); Iverson [2006](#page-7-0); Yang et al. [2000](#page-7-0)). It is during this cycle that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is converted into the enzyme RuBisCO (Ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase). Ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase is also involved in oxygenase activity and forms glycolate 2-phosphate as an end product. This product and its synthesis consume considerable amounts of cellular energy, yet it is of no use to the cell. The oxygenase activity of RuBisCO is known to hinder around 50 % of biomass formation (Giordano et al. 2005; Kumar et al. 2011).

 Photosynthesis in microalgae is often measured as rates of carbon accumulation or  $O_2$  evolution. Either measurement can be converted into the other using the photosynthetic quotient (Pires et al.  $2012$ ). In  $2010$ , Jacob-Lopes et al. studied the influence of photoperiods on the rates of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration using a cyanobacterial strain in both BGN medium and refinery wastewater. They observed a linear decrease in biomass productivity during a longer dark period when cultivated in BGN medium. When the strain was grown in refinery wastewater, a photosynthetic quotient of 0.74 was recorded. This reading basically means that  $1 \text{ g of } CO_2$  utilized corresponds to the release of 0.74 g of  $O_2$ . This study showed that the gas-exchange pattern within a system is greatly influenced by the intermittent light cycle. During the dark phase, the microalgal cells were able to consume organic carbon through heterotrophic metabolism and release  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in the process.

*Chlorococcum littorale* is an extremophile that has the ability to grow well at  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels up to 60 % (Miyachi et al. [2003](#page-7-0)). Research conducted on this microalga demonstrated that when exposed to high levels of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , there is a rapid state transition of the photosynthetic apparatus (Demidov et al. [2000](#page-6-0); Miyachi et al. 2003; Solovchenko and Khozin-Goldberg 2013). A state transition is often caused due to a reduction in the plastoquinone pool owing to accumulation of NADPH. This shift in the photosynthetic apparatus from state I to state II causes an increase in the cyclic electron transport over PSI. The additional ATP generated during this change is used to sustain pH homeostasis in the microalgal cell (Miyachi et al. [2003](#page-7-0)). In 2004, Muradyan et al. stated that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ intolerant species often exhibit signs of PSI damage when exposed to high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conditions as they lack the state transition response (Solovchenko and Khozin-Goldberg 2013).

## **4.3** Microalgal Strains for CO<sub>2</sub> **Sequestration**

 Microalgae and cyanobacterial species that are often employed for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration include *Anabaena* sp., *Botryococcus braunii* , *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* , *Chlorella* sp., *Chlorococcum littorale* , *Scenedesmus* sp., and *Spirulina* sp. (de Morais and Costa [2007](#page-6-0); Ota et al. [2009](#page-7-0); Packer 2009; Chen et al. 2010; Chiang et al. [2011](#page-6-0); Ho et al.  $2011$ ). Green microalgae that are efficient carbon sequesters usually belong to the genera *Chlorococcum*, *Chlorella*, *Scenedesmus*, and *Euglena*. In [1970](#page-7-0), Seckbach and Libby isolated microalgal species from the abovementioned genera. These strains were even able to tolerate pure (100 %) CO<sub>2</sub>. Research on the *Scenedesmus* culture showed that this strain was capable of flourishing under a 100 %  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  level cell concentration increased for up to 30 days, achieving 3.65 g  $L^{-1}$ . This proved to be a considerable increase in cell concentration, when compared to the 1.19 g  $L^{-1}$  recorded during exposure to atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (0.036 %).

 In another study, A *Chlorella* TX 71105 strain was supplemented with pure  $CO_2$  at a rate of 3.3 mL min<sup>-1</sup> over a 28-day period. It was observed that during the first 6 days, the effluent gas collected contained more than 96  $\%$  O<sub>2</sub>. On the 12th day of cultivation, an increase in temperature from 37 to 39 °C was recorded. A 32 %  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration was noted in the effluent gas on the 13th day. The gas flow was then turned off, and the culture was not supplied with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ for 3.5 h. There were no adjustments to the experiment during the last 6 days. For the duration of this period, it was observed that the effluent gas contained 18  $%$  CO<sub>2</sub>. When this strain was grown under 41, 71, and 100 %  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , the mean biomass concentration noted was 3.15, 2.71, and 2.49 g L day<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These cell concentrations achieved are reasonably similar to those obtained with other *Chlorella* species (Geckler et al. 1962).

 In [2011 ,](#page-7-0) Zhao et al. did a comparative study of the growth and CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation of a *Chlorella* sp. under two different modes of cultivations. Results obtained suggest that closed cultivation significantly enhanced microalgal performance with regard to growth and carbon biofixation. During conditions of closed cultivation, specific growth rate and CO2fixation rate were observed to be 1.78 and 5.39 times higher, respectively, when compared to that of open cultivation. Closed systems permit effective gas bubble motion, which plays an essential role in displacing dissolved  $O_2$  buildup. Under appropriate cultivation modes, *Chlorella* sp. display much potential as effective carbon sequesters. Kurano et al. ( $1995$ ) demonstrated that at a 20 %  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration, *C*. *littorale* was able to attain a high cell concentration of 4.9 g  $L^{-1}$ . A short lag phase prior to active photosynthesis was observed when this strain was exposed to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations of greater than 20 %. It is important to note that the performance of microalgal strains is not solely influenced on  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations, but also on experimental and culture conditions (culture medium, temperature, light intensity, as well as reactor design). Variation in any of these conditions could have an adverse effect on the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -fixation efficiency of the strains (Ho et al. [2011](#page-7-0)).

#### **5** Making CO<sub>2</sub> Available for Microalgae

#### **5.1 Cultivation Systems**

 Once an appropriate strain has been selected, the next step would be suitable cultivation of the microalgae. It is essential that artificial propagation of microalgae both mimics and enhances the optimum natural growth conditions (Brennan and Owende 2010; Vasumathi et al. 2012). Open ponds and closed photobioreactors (PBR) have been extensively exploited for the growth of microalgae (Molina et al. [2001](#page-7-0); Suh and Lee 2003; Chisti 2008; Brennan and Owende [2010](#page-6-0)). However, with regard to utilizing microalgae for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  mitigation, there has been ongoing debate as to which would be a better cultivation system. Raceway ponds are the most popular growth systems as they are cost effective and relatively simple to maintain. These systems, however, utilize  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ much less efficiently when compared to PBRs as there is a significant loss of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to the atmosphere (Borowitzka [1999](#page-6-0); Chisti 2007; Brennan and Owende [2010](#page-6-0)).

 Over the years, much research into PBR technology has been conducted to overcome some of the important problems linked with open pond production systems. Photobioreactors are more advantageous than open systems as they allow for culture of single species of microalgae for long durations with lower risk of contamination (Brennan and Owende [2010](#page-6-0)). Due to higher cell mass productivities when using a PBR system, harvesting costs are also often reduced. Carbon dioxide is also utilized more effectively using these systems (Chisti  $2007$ ; Brennan and Owende  $2010$ ; Vasumathi et al.  $2012$ ). Even though a great deal of work has been done to design and produce PBRs for microalgal propagation, and effective  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ consumption, more research is still needed to improve PBR technologies and know-how of microalgal cultures. For the efficient mass cultivation of microalgae for carbon sequestration, PBR design and development is possibly one of the first major steps that should be undertaken (Ugwu et al. 2008).

 Photobioreactors equipped with unique designed light systems have been examined for effective  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration and greater biomass productivities (Lee 2001). In 2003, Suh and Lee designed and operated an internally illuminated airlift PBR. This reactor was constructed to study the light distribution and to ultimately maximize the photosynthetic efficiency to promote greater carbon uptake by a *Synechococcus* sp. Another important feature when developing a closed reactor is the volumetric gas transfer coefficient. By increasing the gas transfer coefficient, cell growth rate can be enhanced (Kumar et al. 2011). A series of trials was conducted by Zhang et al. in [2002](#page-7-0) to comparatively investigate gas transfer in different PBRs at varying  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ percentages. From the results obtained, they were able to conclude that a decrease in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration from the inlet gas stream leads to an increase in the gas transfer coefficient. Furthermore, it is imperative that the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  solubility within the cultivation media is established as this will give the researcher an idea on the amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  available for growth.

Borkenstein and Knoblechner  $(2011)$  investigated the growth of *C. emersonii* in 5.5 L airlift PBRs using both flue gas and pure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . The experiment lasted 30 days. At the end of experimentation, it was noted that there was no significant difference in biomass yields obtained. When the *Chlorella* sp. was supplied with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  containing flue gas, it produced 2.00 g  $L^{-1}$  biomass, and when cultivated with pure CO<sub>2</sub>, a biomass yield of 2.06 g  $L^{-1}$  was recorded. However, an important conclusion to this study was that when supplied with flue gas, *C. emersonii* was able to grow as successfully as when it was purged with pure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

## **5.2** Parameters Affecting CO<sub>2</sub> Uptake

 Carbon dioxide can be an effective supplement to promote microalgal growth. However, high levels of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (5 %) can often hinder growth of certain microalgal strains. High percentages of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  can cause acidification of the cellular content, which eventually hampers growth and productivity (Lee and Lee 2003; Solovchenko and Khozin-Goldberg [2013](#page-7-0)). In [2000](#page-7-0), Watanabe et al. demonstrated that microalgal cultures that grew well at  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels between 5 and 10 % had significant reductions in their growth rates at  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  percentages above 20  $\%$ . Elevated levels of CO<sub>2</sub> often lead to drastic drops in pH, due to the formation of large amounts of bicarbonate. pH can drop to 5 or even lower in some cases. Extreme decreases in pH cause an environmental stress that leads to a biological reduction in the ability of microalgal cells to sequester  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Microalgal growth is only slightly affected when there is a small drop in pH, but extreme pH changes could possibly inhibit all growth (Kumar et al. [2011](#page-7-0) ; Solovchenko and Khozin-Goldberg [2013](#page-7-0)). Screening studies have identified microalgae that are capable of tolerating and flourishing under  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations between 30 and 70 % (Hanagata et al. [1992](#page-7-0); Iwasaki et al. [1996](#page-7-0); Sung et al. [1999](#page-7-0)). In [2003](#page-7-0), Olaizola demonstrated that microalgal growth could even be sustained at a 100 %  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  level. This can only be achieved if changes in pH were monitored strictly and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ was only supplied to the strain on demand.

 Light is a necessary requirement for photosynthesis. The photosynthesis-irradiance response (P-I) curve accurately depicts the relationship between light and photosynthesis. This curve has three distinct regions: light-limited photosynthesis, light-saturated photosynthesis, and photoinhibition (Ralph and Gademann 2003). Optimum light intensity is essential for effective  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixation and biomass production. Light intensity below the optimum becomes the limiting factor for microalgal growth, whereas too high light intensity causes photoinhibition to microalgal cells. Photoinhibition occurs when there is damage to the PSII repair mechanism – this also causes inactivation of other systems (electron carriers, oxygen-evolving systems, and the related D1/D2 proteins). Light intensity is dependent on numerous factors (wavelength, cell concentration, and the penetrating distance of light as well as then geometry of the system) (Kumar et al. 2011).

## **5.3 Novel Techniques for Facilitating Supply**

The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -fixation rate can be improved if research was conducted on the Calvin cycle, PEP carboxylase, and/or through synthetic pathways (Rosgaard et al. 2012; Gimpel et al. [2013](#page-6-0)). Previous research efforts have met with varying degrees of success. Studies have focused on the following aspects: engineering RuBisCO to promote higher catalysis rates of carboxylation and decreases in the oxygenation reactions, improving the activation state of RuBisCO, accelerating the regeneration phase of the Calvin cycle, and enriching  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  around RuBisCO in an attempt to inhibit the oxygenase reaction. From these studies it was concluded that the challenge lies in the activity of RuBisCO for carbon flux through the carbon flux when the media is not enriched with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  or during extreme temperature/light conditions. *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* are ideal candidates for RuBisCO engineering as they are RuBisCO deficient and therefore able to complete their life cycle heterotrophically. *Chlamydomonas* sp. has been engineered for the efficient exploitation of energy, carbon and nitrogen. This has been accomplished using the nuclear genome of an MRL1 deficient strain and expressing the rbcl mRNA maturation factor MRL1 at varying levels. As opposed to the wild type, results for the deficient strain illustrated that RuBisCO could sustain phototrophic growth even when it was lowered up to 15 %. Based on these findings, it can be concluded that depending on the culture conditions (light intensity or  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ) concentration), an inducible promoter for MRL1 could successfully be applied to modify RuBisCO accumulation (Rosgaard et al.  $2012$ ; Gimpel et al.  $2013$ ).

 As discussed above (section on photosynthesis), an increase in the PSI/II ratio suggests that microalgal species require an increase in their PSI light-harvesting antenna in order to grow at high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations. However, it must be noted that these changes can often be reversible. A reduction in the ATP demand caused by the overall acclimation of the microalgal cell to high levels of  $CO<sub>2</sub>/or$  a drop in the CCM activity often leads to the ratio returning to its original level (Miyachi et al. 2003; Solovchenko and Khozin-Goldberg 2013).

## **6** Integrated Biorefinery: From Waste **to Value Addition**

An integrated biorefinery has been considered as the sustainable and economical approach for the cultivation of microalgae for generation of biomass. In this approach maximum outputs and goals can be achieved in the single integrated cultivation system (Singh et al. [2015](#page-7-0)). Wastewater can be utilized as the growth medium for microalgae; flue gases can be used to provide the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . The microalgal biomass thus generated can be utilized for a number of purposes such as biofuels (biodiesel, bioethanol, biomethane, etc.), pigments (carotenes), therapeutic biomolecules, animal or fish feed, etc.

## **6.1 Waste Utilization: Flue Gas and Wastewater**

The ability to fix atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  via photosynthesis by microalgae is 10 times more efficient than the terrestrial plants (Pires et al. [2012](#page-7-0)). Carbon is key component which constitutes 36–56 % of dry matter of microalgal cell. For per kg of dry biomass generation, 1.3–2.4 kg  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is fixed by microalgae (Van Den Hende et al. 2012). Microalgae can be cultivated by supplying  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from flue gases. Direct flue gases or CO<sub>2</sub> separated from flue gases can be applied for cultivation of microalgae. Direct use of flue gases is energyand cost-saving approach. Microalgal strain should be resistant toward the high percentage of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (15 %) and presence of  $SO<sub>x</sub>$  and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  for application of flue gases in the cultivation (Maeda et al. 1995). Maeda et al. (1995) investigated several microalgal species for flue gas application and observed a *Chlorella* sp. strain with high growth rate at temperature of 35 °C and 15 %  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration. Table [12.1](#page-6-0) depicts the microalgal species cultivated using flue gases. Flue gases composed of several compounds like  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $SO<sub>X</sub>$ ,  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ , CO,  $C<sub>x</sub>H<sub>y</sub>$ , halogen acids, and particulate matter. Some of these contents could be toxic for the microalgal growth. Microalgae can be grown in open or closed system. Delivery of the flue gases to the cultivation system and its proper mixing have several challenges which need to be addressed for successful implementation of this technology. This biorefinery approach of utilization of flue gases for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  can minimize environmental concerns as well as earn carbon credits.

 Industrial and domestic wastewater discharges to the environment are adding organic and inorganic nutrients, pathogens, heavy metals, suspended solids, and oxygendemanding material to the water bodies. Freshwater resource is limited to cater industrialization and socioeconomic developments. Cultivation of microalgae in open ponds needs around 11–13 million L ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> freshwater (Chinnasamy et al. 2010). In biorefinery concept, utilization of wastewater for cultivation of microalgae serves dual purpose of biomass generation as well as nutrient removal from the final effluent (Rawat et al.  $2011$ ; Singh et al.  $2014$ ). The microalgal biomass can be utilized for several purposes, viz., energy, valueadded products, and feed production. Utilization of wastewater for microalgal cultivation reduces the freshwater footprint and also provides treated water for other uses. Microalgal growth needs inorganic nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorous. Nutrient supplementation is the contributor to the cultivation cost. This cost is reduced if the waste-

water is utilized as the source. Various microalgal strains have been investigated using wastewater nutrient medium (Ramanna et al.  $2014$ ).

## **6.2 Utilization of Microalgal Biomass: Energy, Bioproducts, and Feed**

 Microalgal biomass can be utilized for several applications like renewable energy generation, bioproducts such as pigments and therapeutic biomolecules, and also as fish or animal feed. In biorefinery approach, focus is on deriving as many products as possible from the microalgal biomass. Multiproduct approach is favorable for economics, as microalgal biomass produces lipids, carbohydrates, and proteins which can be used for various purposes. Biodiesel is most studied biofuel from the microalgae. Microalgae have capabilities of fast growth rates and high lipid accumulation (Guldhe et al.  $2015$ ). Microalgal lipids are used as feedstock for biodiesel production. Anaerobic digestion of microalgae for biomethane generation is also another approach of biofuels generation. Either whole microalgal biomass or the lipidextracted residue can be used for biomethane generation from microalgae. Lipid-extracted algae are nitrogen rich as protein content is high; thus, it can be co-digested with other carbon-rich substrates such as primary sewage sludge to maintain the desired C/N ratio (Sahu et al. [2013](#page-7-0)). Carbohydrate constituent of microalgae can be used for bioethanol production. Several microalgae can store energy in the form of starch, which can be used as a substrate for bioethanol generation. Biohydrogen is considered as the cleaner renewable fuel. Microalgae can be utilized for biohydrogen generation. Microalgae can photosynthetically produce the biohydrogen or microalgal biomass can be used as substrate for dark fermentation using bacteria for biohydrogen pro-duction (Batista et al. [2014](#page-6-0)).

 Microalgae are diverse group of organisms which produces numerous secondary metabolites. Primary metabolites like carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins from microalgae can be used to produce numeral commercial products. The secondary metabolites from microalgae like fatty acids, sterols, carotenoids, phycocolloids, lectins, mycosporine-like amino acids, halogenated compounds, polyketides, and toxins have also numerous commercial applications (Singh et al. [2015](#page-7-0)). In an integrated biorefinery approach, microalgal biomass can be utilized for generation of various products of therapeutic and cosmetic significance, health food, coloring agents, and aquaculture and animal feed. The biomolecules from microalgae having therapeutic and cosmetic significance are high-value products. A biorefinery based on  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ sequestration, wastewater utilization for growth of microalgal biomass for energy, bioproducts, and feed generation is a sustainable and economical approach.

Microalgae	$\mathcal{C}O_2$ concentration $(\% )$	Biomass $(gL^{-1} d^{-1})$	References
Chlorella sp. MTF7		0.48	Chiu et al. $(2011)$
Scenedesmus obliquus SJTU-3	10	0.155	Tang et al. $(2011)$
Chlorella pyrenoidosa SJTU-2	10	0.144	Tang et al. $(2011)$
Chlorella sp.	$6 - 8$	$19.4 - 22.8$ <sup>a</sup>	Doucha et al. $(2005)$

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 12.1** Microalgae grown using flue gases and their biomass yields

 $a^a$ Biomass in gm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>

## **7 Challenges and Future Prospects for CO 2 Sequestration by Microalgae**

The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration by microalgae is an environmentally friendly method, as the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  captured is converted to valuable biomass while the oceanic or geological storage of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ only delays its release in the environment. However, cultivation of microalgae and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  supply to cultivation system have several challenges which need to be addressed. The mass transfer coefficient of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is low, and thus mass transfer from gaseous phase to liquid phase is a major bottleneck in application of this technology. Microalgae can be cultivated in closed or open system. In closed system maintaining the high flow rate could alleviate this mass transfer problem. Efficient mixing and aeration in open pond system facilitate the  $CO_2$  mass transfer (Pires et al. 2012). Delivery of the  $CO_2$ to the microalgal cultivation system is also cost incurring and thus needs economical and efficient technologies to overcome this barrier. When the flue gases are used for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  supply, it composed of several other components which could be toxic to the microalgal growth. Thus, further investigation is important assessing the effect of each component from the flue gases on the microalgal growth physiology. The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ sequestration technology by microalgae is still in its early stages. Engineering of the photosynthetic mechanism of microalgae could also improve the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture efficiency. Designing efficient closed or open cultivation system at the site of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  generation such as power plants and cement factories could reduce the transportation cost. Biorefinery concept where waste refusals from industry are used to cultivate microalgae and subsequent biomass utilized for maximum applications could make  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration by microalgae economically feasible.

#### **References**

- Batista AP, Ambrosano L, Graca S, Sousa C, Marques PA, Ribeiro B, Botrel EP, Castro Neto P, Gouveia L (2014) Combining urban wastewater treatment with biohydrogen production – an integrated microalgae-based approach. Bioresour Technol 184:230–235
- Borkenstein CG, Knoblechner J (2011) Cultivation of *Chlorella emer*sonii with flue gas derived from a cement plant. J Appl Phycol 23:131–135
- Borowitzka MA (1999) Commercial production of microalgae: ponds, tanks, tubes and fermenters. J Biotechnol 70:313–321

 Brennan L, Owende P (2010) Biofuels from microalgae – a review of technologies for production, processing, and extractions of biofuels and co-products. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 14:557–577

- Calvin M (1989) 40 years of photosynthesis and related activities. Photosynth Res 21:3–16
- Cerveny J, Setlik I, Trtilek M, Nedbal L (2009) Photobioreactor for cultivation and real-time, in situ measurement of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$ exchange rates, growth dynamics, and of chlorophyll fluorescence emission of photoautotrophic microorganisms. Eng Life Sci 9:247–253
- Chen CY, Yeh KL, Su HM, Lo YC, Chen WM, Chang JS (2010) Strategies to enhance cell growth and achieve high-level oil production of a *Chlorella vulgaris* isolate. Biotechnol Prog 26:679–686
- Chiang CL, Lee CM, Chen PC (2011) Utilization of the cyanobacteria *Anabaena* sp. CH1 in biological carbon dioxide mitigation processes. Bioresour Technol 102:5400–5405
- Chinnasamy S, Bhatnagar A, Hunt RW, Das KC (2010) Microalgae cultivation in a wastewater dominated by carpet mill effluents for biofuel applications. Bioresour Technol 101:3097–3105
- Chisti Y (2007) Biodiesel from microalgae. Biotechnol Adv 25:294–306
- Chisti Y (2008) Biodiesel from microalgae beats bioethanol. Trends Biotechnol 26:126–131
- Chiu SY, Kao CY, Huang TT, Lin CJ, Ong SC, Chen CD, Chang JS, Lin CS (2011) Microalgal biomass production and on-site bioremediation of carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxide and sulfur dioxide from flue gas using *Chlorella* sp. cultures. Bioresour Technol 102:9135–9142
- Costa JAV, Linde GA, Atala DIP (2000) Modelling of growth conditions for cyanobacterium *Spirulina platensis* in microcosms. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 16:15–18
- de Morais MG, Costa JAV (2007) Biofixation of carbon dioxide by *Spirulina sp.* and *Scenedesmus obliquus* cultivated in a three-stage serial tubular photobioreactor. J Biotechnol 129:439–445
- Demidov E, Iwasaki I, Satoh A, Kurano N, Miyachi S (2000) Shortterm responses of photosynthetic reactions to extremely high-CO<sub>2</sub> stress in a "High-CO<sub>2</sub>" tolerant green alga, *Chlorococcum littorale* and an intolerant green alga *Stichococcus bacillaris* . Russ J Plant Physiol 47:622–631
- Doucha J, Straka F, Lívanský K (2005) Utilization of flue gas for cultivation of microalgae *Chlorella* sp.) in an outdoor open thin-layer photobioreactor. J Appl Phycol 17:403–412
- Geckler RP, Sane JO, Tew RW (1962) Highly concentrated carbon dioxide as a carbon source for continuous algae cultures [Online].  [http://contrails.iit.edu/DigitalCollection/1962/AMRLTDR62-](http://contrails.iit.edu/DigitalCollection/1962/AMRLTDR62-116article06.pdf) [116article06.pdf](http://contrails.iit.edu/DigitalCollection/1962/AMRLTDR62-116article06.pdf). [2013/03/06]
- Gimpel JA, Specht EA, Georgianna DR, Mayfield SP (2013) Advances in microalgae engineering and synthetic biology applications for biofuel production. Curr Opin Chem Biol 17:1–7
- Giordano M, Beardall J, Raven JA (2005) Mechanisms in algae: mechanisms, environmental modulation, and evolution. Annu Rev Plant Biol 56:99–131
- Guldhe A, Singh B, Rawat I, Permaul K, Bux F (2015) Biocatalytic conversion of lipids from microalgae Scenedesmus obliquus to biodiesel using Pseudomonas fluorescens lipase. Fuel 147: 117-124
- <span id="page-7-0"></span> Hanagata N, Takeuchi T, Fukuju Y, Barnes DJ, Karube I (1992) Tolerance of microalgae to high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and high-temperature. Phytochemistry 31:3345–3348
- Ho SH, Chen CY, Lee DJ, Chang JS (2011) Perspectives on microalgal  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -emission mitigation systems – a review. Biotechnol Adv 29:189–198
- Iverson TM (2006) Evolution and unique bioenergetic mechanisms in oxygenic photosynthesis. Curr Opin Chem Biol 10:91–100
- Iwasaki I, Kurano N, Miyachi S (1996) Effects of high-CO<sub>2</sub> stress on photosystem II in a green alga, *Chlorococcum littorale* , which has a tolerance to high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . J Photochem Photobiol B Biol 36:327–332
- Jacob-Lopes E, Scoparo CHG, Queiroz MI, Franco TT (2010) Biotransformations of carbon dioxide in photobioreactors. Energy Convers Manage 51:894–900
- Khoo HH, Sharratt PN, Das P, Balasubramanian RK, Naraharisetti PK, Shaik S (2011) Life cycle energy and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  analysis of microalgaeto- biodiesel: preliminary results and comparisons. Bioresour Technol 102:5800–5807
- Kumar A, Ergas S, Yuan X, Sahu A, Zhang Q, Dewulf J, Malcata FX, Langenhove HV (2010) Enhanced  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixation and biofuels production via microalgae: recent developments and future directions. Trends Biotechnol 28:371–380
- Kumar K, Dasgupta CN, Nayak B, Lindblad P, Das D (2011) Development of suitable photobioreactors for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration addressing global warming using green algae and cyanobacteria. Bioresour Technol 102:4945–4953
- Kurano N, Ikemoto H, Miyashita H, Hasegawa T, Hata H, Miyachi S (1995) Fixation and utilization of carbon dioxide by microalgal photosynthesis. Energy Convers Manag 36:689–692
- Lee YK (2001) Microalgal mass culture systems and methods: their limitation and potential. J Appl Phycol 13:307–315
- Lee JS, Lee JP (2003) Review of advances in biological  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  mitigation technology. Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng 8:354–359
- Maeda K, Owada M, KimurA N, Omata K, Karube I (1995) CO<sub>2</sub> fixation from the flue gas on coal-fired thermal power plant by microalgae. Energy Convers Manage 36:717–720
- Miyachi S, Iwasaki I, Shiraiwa Y, Yoshihiro S (2003) Historical perspective on microalgal and cyanobacterial acclimation to low- and extremely high- $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conditions. Photosynth Res 77:139–153
- Molina GE, Belarbi EH, Fernandez FG, Medina AR, Chisti Y (2001) Tubular photobioreactor design for algal cultures. J Biotechnol 92:113–131
- Muradyan EA, Klyachko-Gurvich GL, Tsoglin LN, Sergeyenko TV, Pronina NA (2004) Changes in lipid metabolism during adaptation of the *Dunaliella salina* photosynthetic apparatus to high CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Russ J Plant Physiol 51:53–62
- Olaizola M (2003) Commercial development of microalgal biotechnology: from the test tube to the marketplace. Biomol Eng 20:459–466
- Ota M, Kato Y, Watanabe H, Watanabe M, Sato Y, Smith RL Jr, Inomata H (2009) Effect of inorganic carbon on photoautotrophic growth of microalgae *Chlorococcum littorale* . Biotechnol Prog 25:492–498
- Packer M (2009) Algal capture of carbon dioxide; biomass generation as a tool for greenhouse gas mitigation with reference to New Zealand energy strategy and policy. Energy Policy 37:3428–3437
- Pires JCM, Alvim-Ferraz MCM, Martins FG, Simoes M (2012) Carbon dioxide capture from flue gases using microalgae: engineering aspects and biorefinery concept. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 16:3043–3053
- Radmann EM, Camerini FV, Santos TD, Costa JAV (2012) Isolation and application of  $SO_x$  and  $NO_x$  resistant microalgae in biofixation of CO<sub>2</sub> from thermoelectricity plants. Energy Convers Manage 52:3132–3136
- Ralph PJ, Gademann R (2003) Rapid light curves: a powerful tool to assess photosynthetic activity. Aquat Biol 82:222–237
- Ramanna L, Guldhe A, Rawat I, Bux F (2014) The optimization of biomass and lipid yields of Chlorella sorokiniana when using wastewater supplemented with different nitrogen sources. Bioresour Technol 168:127–135
- Rawat I, Ranjith Kumar R, Mutanda T, Bux F (2011) Dual role of microalgae: phycoremediation of domestic wastewater and biomass production for sustainable biofuels production. Appl Energy 88:3411–3424
- Rosgaard L, de Porcellinis AJ, Jacobsen JH, Frigaard NU, Sakuragi Y (2012) Bioengineering of carbon fixation, biofuels, and biochemicals in cyanobacteria and plants. J Biotechnol 162:134–147
- Sahu AK, Siljudalen J, Trydal T, Rusten B (2013) Utilisation of wastewater nutrients for microalgae growth for anaerobic co-digestion. J Environ Manage 122:113–120
- Seckbach J, Libby WF (1970) Vegetative life on Venus? Or investigations with algae which grow under pure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in hot acid media at elevated pressures. Origins Life Evol Biospheres 2:121–143
- Singh B, Guldhe A, Rawat I, Bux F (2014) Towards a sustainable approach for development of biodiesel from plant and microalgae. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 29:216–245
- Singh B, Guldhe A, Singh P, Singh A, Rawat I, Bux F (2015) Sustainable production of biofuels from microalgae using a biorefinary approach. In: Kaushik G (ed) Applied environmental biotechnology: present scenario and future trends. Springer, New Delhi
- Skjanes K, Lindblad P, Muller J (2007) BioCO<sub>2</sub> a multidisciplinary, biological approach using solar energy to capture  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  while producing  $H_2$  and high value products. Biomol Eng 24:405-413
- Solovchenko A, Khozin-Goldberg I (2013) High-CO<sub>2</sub> tolerance in microalgae: possible mechanisms and implications for biotechnology and bioremediation. Biotechnol Lett 35:1745–1752
- Stewart C, Hessami MA (2005) A study of methods of carbon dioxide capture and sequestration-the sustainability of a photosynthetic bioreactor approach. Energy Convers Manage 46:403–420
- Suh IS, Lee CG (2003) Photobioreactor engineering: design and performance. Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng 8:313–321
- Sung KD, Lee JS, Shin CS, Park SC, Choi MJ (1999) CO<sub>2</sub> fixation by *Chlorella* sp. KR-1 and its cultural characteristics. Bioresour Technol 68:269–273
- Sydney EB (2010) Potential carbon dioxide fixation by industrially important microalgae. Bioresour Technol 101:5892–5896
- Tang D, Han W, Li P, Miao X, Zhong J (2011) CO<sub>2</sub> biofixation and fatty acid composition of Scenedesmus obliquus and *Chlorella pyre* $noidosa$  in response to different  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels. Bioresour Technol 102:3071–3076
- Ugwu CU, Aoyagi H, Uchiyama H (2008) Photobioreactors for mass cultivation of algae. Bioresour Technol 99:4021–4028
- Van Den Hende S, Vervaeren H, Boon N (2012) Flue gas compounds and microalgae: (bio-)chemical interactions leading to biotechnological opportunities. Biotechnol Adv 30:1405–1424
- Vasumathi KK, Premalatha M, Subramanian P (2012) Parameters influencing the design of photobioreactors for the growth of microalgae. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 16:5443–5450
- Watanabe MM, Kawachi M, Hiroki M, Kasai F (2000) NIES-collection list of strains, microalgae and protozoa. In: Microbial culture collections. National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba
- Yang C, Hua Q, Shimizu K (2000) Energetics and carbon metabolism during growth of microalgal cells under photoautotrophic, mixotrophic and cyclic light-autotrophic/dark-heterotrophic conditions. Biochem Eng J 6:87–102
- Zhang L, Happe T, Melis A (2002) Biochemical and morphological  $characterization$  of sulfur-deprived and  $H_2$ -producing *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (green alga). Planta 214:552–561
- Zhao B, Zhang Y, Xiong K, Zhang Z, Hao X, Liu T (2011) Effect of cultivation mode on microalgal growth and CO<sub>2</sub> fixation. Chem Eng Res Design 9:1758–1762