On Some General Inequalities Related to Jensen's Inequality

Milica Klaričić Bakula, Marko Matić and Josip Pečarić

Abstract. We present several general inequalities related to Jensen's inequality and the Jensen-Steffensen inequality. Some recently proved results are obtained as special cases of these general inequalities.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 26D15.

Keywords. Convex functions, Jensen's inequality, Jensen-Steffensen inequality, Slater's inequality, Mercer's inequality.

1. Introduction

Let the real function φ be defined on some nonempty interval I of the real line R. We say that φ is *convex* on I if

$$
\varphi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y) \leq \lambda \varphi(x) + (1 - \lambda) \varphi(y)
$$

holds for all $x, y \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

An important property of convex functions is the existence of the left and the right derivative on the interior \tilde{I} of I (see [11]). If $\varphi: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex then for any $x \in \mathring{I}$ the left derivative $\varphi'_{-}(x)$ and the right derivative $\varphi'_{+}(x)$ are increasing on \check{I} and

 $\varphi'_{-}(x) \leq \varphi'_{+}(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathring{I}.$

It can be also proved that for any convex function $\varphi: I \to \mathbb{R}$ the inequalities

$$
\varphi(z) + c(z) (y - z) \le \varphi(y), \quad c(z) \in [\varphi'_{-}(z), \varphi'_{+}(z)] \tag{1.1}
$$

$$
\varphi(y) \leq \varphi(z) + c(y)(y - z), \quad c(y) \in [\varphi'_{-}(y), \varphi'_{+}(y)] \tag{1.2}
$$

hold for all $y, z \in I$.

One consequence of (1.1) and (1.2) is that $\varphi: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if and only if there is at least one line of support for φ at each $x_0 \in I$. Furthermore, φ is differentiable if and only if the line of support at $x_0 \in I$ is unique. In this case, the line of support is

$$
A(x) = \varphi(x_0) + \varphi'(x_0)(x - x_0).
$$

There are many known inequalities for convex functions, but surely the most important of them is Jensen's inequality. In its integral form it is stated as follows (see [10, p. 45]).

Theorem A. (*Jensen*) Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space with $0 < \mu(\Omega) < \infty$, and *let* $u : \Omega \to I$, $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, *be a function from* $L^1(u)$ *. Then for any convex function* $\varphi: I \to \mathbb{R}$ the inequality

$$
\varphi\left(\frac{1}{\mu\left(\Omega\right)}\int_{\Omega}ud\mu\right)\leq\frac{1}{\mu\left(\Omega\right)}\int_{\Omega}\left(\varphi\circ u\right)d\mu\tag{1.3}
$$

holds.

One of the inequalities which are strongly related to Jensen's inequality is the Jensen-Steffensen inequality for convex functions. An integral version was proved by Steffensen, but here we consider a variant given by R.P. Boas in [3].

Theorem B. (*Steffensen-Boas*) Let $f : [\alpha, \beta] \to (a, b)$ be a continuous and mono*tonic function, where* $-\infty < \alpha < \beta < +\infty$ *and* $-\infty \le a < b \le +\infty$ *, and let* $\varphi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a convex function. If* $\lambda : [\alpha, \beta] \to \mathbb{R}$ *is either continuous or of bounded variation satisfying*

$$
(\forall x \in [\alpha, \beta]) \quad \lambda(\alpha) \le \lambda(x) \le \lambda(\beta), \quad \lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha) > 0,
$$
 (1.4)

then

$$
\varphi\left(\frac{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) \,d\lambda(t)}{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\lambda(t)}\right) \le \frac{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi\left(f(t)\right) \,d\lambda(t)}{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\lambda(t)}.
$$
\n(1.5)

In [7] a couple of companion inequalities to Jensen's inequality in its discrete and integral form were proved. The main result in its discrete form is stated as follows.

Theorem C. (*Matić, Pečarić*) *Let* φ : $C \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a convex function defined on an open convex subset* C *in a normed real linear space* X. For the given vectors $x_i \in$ $\tilde{C}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and a nonnegative real n-tuple **p** such that $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i > 0$ *let*

$$
\overline{x} = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i, \quad \overline{y} = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \varphi(x_i).
$$

If $c, d \in C$ *are arbitrarily chosen vectors, then*

$$
\varphi(c) + a^*(c; \overline{x} - c) \leq \overline{y} \leq \varphi(d) + \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a^*(x_i; x_i - d).
$$
 (1.6)

Also, when φ *is strictly convex we have equality in the first inequality in* (1.6) *if and only if* $x_i = c$ *for all indices i with* $p_i > 0$ *, while equality holds in the second inequality in* (1.6) *if and only if* $x_i = d$ *for all indices i with* $p_i > 0$.

In the rest of the paper without any loss of generality for the convex function $\varphi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ we denote

$$
\varphi'(x) := \varphi'_+(x), \quad x \in (a, b).
$$

Theorem D. (*Klaričić, Matić, Pečarić*) *Let* φ : $(a, b) \to \mathbb{R}, -\infty \le a < b \le +\infty$, *be a convex function and* $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ *such that*

$$
0 \le P_k = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \le P_n, \ k = 1, \dots, n, \quad P_n > 0. \tag{1.7}
$$

Then for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in (a, b)^n$ *such that*

$$
x_1 \le x_2 \le \dots \le x_n \quad or \quad x_1 \ge x_2 \ge \dots \ge x_n
$$

the inequalities

$$
\varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) \left(\overline{x} - c\right) \le \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \varphi(x_i) \le \varphi(d) + \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \varphi'(x_i) \left(x_i - d\right) \tag{1.8}
$$

hold for all $c, d \in (a, b)$.

Under the stated assumptions on x and p the inequalities in (1.8) are valid for all $c, d \in (a, b)$, so in the first inequality in (1.8) we may choose $c = \overline{x}$ thus obtaining the discrete Jensen-Steffensen inequality. Moreover, the choice $c = \overline{x}$ is the best possible since

$$
\varphi\left(c\right) + \varphi'\left(c\right)\left(\overline{x} - c\right) \leq \varphi\left(\overline{x}\right)
$$

for all $c \in (a, b)$.

The integral version of Theorem D, stated in Theorem E, has been also proved in [6].

Theorem E. (*Klaričić, Matić, Pečarić*) *Suppose that* f, φ *and* λ *are as in Theorem B. Then* \overline{x} *and* \overline{y} *given by*

$$
\overline{x} = \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) d\lambda(t),
$$

$$
\overline{y} = \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi(f(t)) d\lambda(t)
$$

are well defined and $\overline{x} \in (a, b)$. *Furthermore, if* $\varphi'(f)$ *and* λ *have no common discontinuity points, then the inequalities*

$$
\varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) (\overline{x} - c)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \overline{y} \leq \varphi(d) + \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi'(f(t)) [f(t) - d] d\lambda(t)
$$
 (1.9)

hold for each $c, d \in (a, b)$.

In [9] the following theorem was proved.

Theorem F*.* (*Pečarić*) *Suppose that* φ *is convex on* (a, b) *and* $a < x_1 \leq \cdots \leq$ $x_n < b$. If p_1, \ldots, p_n are real numbers such that the conditions (1.7) hold and if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \varphi'(x_i) \neq 0, \quad \widetilde{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i \varphi'(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \varphi'(x_i)} \in (a, b),
$$

then

$$
\frac{1}{P_n}\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}_i) \leq \varphi(\widetilde{x}).
$$

In paper [8] A. Mercer proved the following variant of Jensen's inequality:

$$
\varphi\left(x_1 + x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i\right) \leq \varphi\left(x_1\right) + \varphi\left(x_n\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \varphi\left(x_i\right) ,\qquad (1.10)
$$

which holds whenever φ is a convex function on an interval containing the *n*-tuple x such that $0 < x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_n$ and where w is a positive *n*-tuple with $\sum_{n=1}^n$ is negative number of the set of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$. His result was generalized for weights satisfying the conditions as in the Jensen-Steffensen inequality in $[1]$, and two alternative proofs of (1.10) were given in $[13]$ and $[2]$.

2. The results

The goal of this paper is to obtain Mercer-type variants of Theorems C, D, E and F.

In the following with $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ we denote a measure space with $0 < \mu(\Omega) < \infty$ and for $a, b, m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ we always assume $\infty \le a < m < M < b \le \infty$.

Theorem 1. Let $\varphi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and let $u : \Omega \to [m, M]$ be a *measurable function such that* $\varphi' \circ u$ *belongs to* $L^1(\mu)$. *Then the inequalities*

$$
\varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) \left(m + M - c - \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} u d\mu \right)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} (\varphi \circ u) d\mu
$$
\n(2.1)

$$
\leq \varphi(d) + \varphi'(m)(m-d) + \varphi'(M)(M-d) - \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} (u(t) - d)(\varphi' \circ u) d\mu
$$

hold for all $c, d \in [m, M]$.

Proof. We prove the first inequality in (2.1) .

For all $u(t) \in [m, M]$, $t \in \Omega$, we can write

$$
u(t) = \lambda_t m + (1 - \lambda_t) M, \quad \lambda_t \in [0, 1]
$$

hence

$$
(\varphi \circ u)(t) = \varphi(\lambda_t m + (1 - \lambda_t) M) \leq \lambda_t \varphi(m) + (1 - \lambda_t) \varphi(M)
$$

for all $t \in \Omega$. Also

$$
\varphi(m+M-u(t)) = \varphi((1-\lambda_t)m+\lambda_tM) \le (1-\lambda_t)\varphi(m)+\lambda_t\varphi(M)
$$

= $\varphi(m)+\varphi(M)-[\lambda_t\varphi(m)+(1-\lambda_t)\varphi(M)]$
 $\le \varphi(m)+\varphi(M)-(\varphi\circ u)(t).$

If in (1.1) we choose $z = c$ and $y = m + M - u(t)$ we obtain

$$
\varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) (m + M - u(t) - c)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \varphi(m + M - u(t)) \leq \varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - (\varphi \circ u)(t).
$$
\n(2.2)

Integrating over Ω and dividing by $\mu(\Omega)$ we obtain

$$
\varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) \left(m + M - c - \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} u d\mu \right)
$$

\$\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(m + M - u(t)) d\mu \leq \varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} (\varphi \circ u) d\mu\$.

Now it remains to prove the second inequality in (2.1) . Let $d, u(t) \in [m, M]$, $t \in \Omega$.

We consider two cases.

Case 1. $u(t) \geq d$. From (1.2) we have

$$
\varphi(m) - \varphi(d) \leq \varphi'(m) (m - d),
$$

$$
\varphi(M) - (\varphi \circ u) (t) \leq \varphi'(M) (M - u(t)),
$$

hence

$$
\varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - (\varphi \circ u)(t)
$$

= $\varphi(d) + \varphi(m) - \varphi(d) + \varphi(M) - (\varphi \circ u)(t)$
 $\leq \varphi(d) + \varphi'(m)(m - d) + \varphi'(M)(M - u(t))$
= $\varphi(d) + \varphi'(m)(m - d) + \varphi'(M)(M - d) - \varphi'(M)(u(t) - d).$ (2.3)

Since φ is convex the derivative φ' is nondecreasing and we know that from $u(t) \leq$ M follows $(\varphi' \circ u)(t) \leq \varphi'(M)$, hence (2.3) implies

$$
\varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - (\varphi \circ u)(t)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \varphi(d) + \varphi'(m)(m - d) + \varphi'(M)(M - d) - (\varphi' \circ u)(t)(u(t) - d). \tag{2.4}
$$

Case 2. $u(t) < d$. Similarly as in the previous case we can write

$$
\varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - (\varphi \circ u)(t)
$$

= $\varphi(d) + \varphi(m) - (\varphi \circ u)(t) + \varphi(M) - \varphi(d)$
 $\leq \varphi(d) + \varphi'(m)(m - u(t)) + \varphi'(M)(M - d)$
= $\varphi(d) + \varphi'(m)(m - d) + \varphi'(M)(M - d) + \varphi'(m)(d - u(t)).$

From $m \leq u(t)$ we have $\varphi'(m) \leq (\varphi' \circ u)(t)$, hence

$$
\varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - (\varphi \circ u)(t)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \varphi(d) + \varphi'(m)(m - d) + \varphi'(M)(M - d) + (\varphi' \circ u)(t) (d - u(t))
$$

\n
$$
= \varphi(d) + \varphi'(m)(m - d) + \varphi'(M)(M - d) - (\varphi' \circ u)(t) (u(t) - d),
$$

which is again (2.4) .

In other words, for any $d, u(t) \in [m, M]$ the inequality in (2.4) holds. Integrating (2.4) over Ω and dividing by $\mu(\Omega)$ we obtain the second inequality in (2.1) . The proof is complete. \Box

Corollary 1. Let $\varphi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. If $p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $x \in [m, M]^n$ *then the inequalities*

$$
\varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) \left(m + M - c - \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \varphi(x_i)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \varphi(d) + \varphi'(m) (m - d) + \varphi'(M) (M - d) - \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \varphi'(x_i) (x_i - d)
$$
\n(2.5)

hold for all $c, d \in [m, M]$.

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 1. We simply choose

$$
\Omega = \{1, 2, ..., n\},
$$

\n
$$
\mu (\{i\}) = p_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n,
$$

\n
$$
u (i) = x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n.
$$

Corollary 2. *The following inequalities are valid under the assumptions of Corollary* 1*:*

$$
0 \leq \varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \varphi(x_i) - \varphi(\overline{x})
$$

$$
\leq \varphi'(m) (m - \overline{x}) + \varphi'(M) (M - \overline{x}) - \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \varphi'(x_i) (x_i - \overline{x}),
$$

where $\overline{x} = m + M - 1/P_n \sum_{n=1}^{n}$ $i=1$ p_ix_i . **Corollary 3.** *Suppose that the conditions of Corollary* 1 *are satisfied and additionally assume*

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \varphi'(x_i) \neq P_n \left[\varphi'(m) + \varphi'(M) \right],
$$

$$
\widetilde{x} = \frac{P_n \left[m \varphi'(m) + M \varphi'(M) \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i \varphi'(x_i)}{P_n \left[\varphi'(m) + \varphi'(M) \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \varphi'(x_i)} \in [m, M].
$$

Then

$$
\varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \varphi(x_i) \leq \varphi(\widetilde{x}).
$$

The inequalities obtained in Corollary 2 and 3 are the Mercer-type variants of the corresponding inequalities given in [4] and [12].

Theorem 2. *Let* φ : $(a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a convex function and* $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^l$ *such that*

$$
0 \le W_k = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \le W_l, \ k = 1, ..., l, \quad W_l > 0.
$$

Let $\xi \in [m, M]^l$ be such that $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \cdots \leq \xi_l$ or $\xi_1 \geq \xi_2 \geq \cdots \geq \xi_l$. Then the *inequalities*

$$
\varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) \left(m + M - c - \frac{1}{W_l} \sum_{i=1}^l w_i \xi_i \right)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \frac{1}{W_l} \sum_{i=1}^l w_i \varphi(\xi_i)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \varphi(d) + \varphi'(m) (m - d) + \varphi'(M) (M - d) - \frac{1}{W_l} \sum_{i=1}^l w_i \varphi'(\xi_i) (\xi_i - d)
$$
\n(2.6)

hold for all $c, d \in [m, M]$.

Proof. For $n = l + 2$ we define

$$
x_1 = m
$$
, $x_2 = \xi_1$, $x_3 = \xi_2$, ..., $x_{n-1} = \xi_l$, $x_n = M$
\n $p_1 = 1$, $p_2 = -w_1/W_l$, $p_2 = -w_2/W_l$, ..., $p_{n-1} = -w_l/W_l$, $p_n = 1$

It is obvious that $x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_n$ if $\xi_1 \le \xi_2 \le \cdots \le \xi_l$ or $x_1 \ge x_2 \ge \cdots \ge x_n$ x_n if $\xi_1 \geq \xi_2 \geq \cdots \geq \xi_l$ and that

$$
0 \le P_k = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \le P_n, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad P_n = 1 > 0,
$$

hence we can apply Theorem D on φ , \boldsymbol{x} and \boldsymbol{p} thus obtaining (2.6).

Note that under the conditions of Theorem 2 we also have

$$
\overline{\xi} = m + M - \frac{1}{W_l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \xi_i \in [m, M],
$$

which means that in (2.6) we can choose $c = \overline{\xi}$ in which case the first inequality in (2.6) becomes the generalized Mercer inequality as it was stated in [1]. Mercer's inequality itself can be obtained in the same way as a special case of Corollary 1.

Corollary 4. *The following inequalities are valid under the assumptions of Theorem* 2*:*

$$
0 \leq \varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \frac{1}{W_l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \varphi(\xi_i) - \varphi(\overline{\xi})
$$

$$
\leq \varphi'(m) \left(m - \overline{\xi}\right) + \varphi'(M) \left(M - \overline{\xi}\right) - \frac{1}{W_l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \varphi'(\xi_i) \left(\xi_i - \overline{\xi}\right),
$$

where

$$
\overline{\xi} = m + M - \frac{1}{W_l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \xi_i.
$$

Corollary 5. *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem* 2 *are satisfied and additionally assume*

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \varphi'(\xi_i) \neq W_l \left[\varphi'(m) + \varphi'(M) \right],
$$

$$
\tilde{\xi} = \frac{W_l \left[m \varphi'(m) + M \varphi'(M) \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \xi_i \varphi'(\xi_i)}{W_l \left[\varphi'(m) + \varphi'(M) \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \varphi'(\xi_i)} \in (m, M).
$$

Then

$$
\varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \frac{1}{W_l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \varphi(\xi_i) \leq \varphi(\tilde{\xi}).
$$

The inequalities given in Corollary 4 are the Mercer type variants of a result from [5] and the inequality given in Corollary 5 is the Mercer type variant of Theorem F.

Now we prove the integral case of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that* $f : [\alpha, \beta] \to [m, M]$, $\varphi, \lambda, \overline{x}$ *and* \overline{y} *are all as in Theorem E* and additionally assume that φ is continuously differentiable. Then the inequalities

$$
\varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) \left(m + M - c - \overline{x} \right) \leq \varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \overline{y}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \varphi(d) + \varphi'(m) \left(m - d \right) + \varphi'(M) \left(M - d \right)
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi'(f(t)) \left[f(t) - d \right] d\lambda(t) \tag{2.7}
$$

hold for each $c, d \in [m, M]$.

Proof. Suppose that f is nondecreasing (for f nonincreasing the proof is analogous). For arbitrary $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{\alpha} < \alpha$ and $\tilde{\beta} > \beta$ we define a new function $\tilde{f}: [\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}] \rightarrow [m, M]$ by

$$
\tilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases}\n m + \frac{f(\alpha) - m}{\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}} (t - \tilde{\alpha}), & t \in [\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha], \\
 f(t), & t \in [\alpha, \beta], \\
 M + \frac{M - f(\beta)}{\tilde{\beta} - \beta} (t - \tilde{\beta}), & t \in [\beta, \tilde{\beta}].\n\end{cases}
$$

It can be easily seen that the function \tilde{f} is continuous and nondecreasing.

Next we define two new functions $\tilde{\lambda}_s : [\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_c : [\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_s(t) = \begin{cases}\n1, & t = \tilde{\alpha}, \\
0, & t \in (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}), \\
-1, & t = \tilde{\beta},\n\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{c}(t) = \begin{cases}\n1, & t \in [\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha], \\
\frac{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(t)}{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha)}, & t \in [\alpha, \beta], \\
0, & t \in [\beta, \tilde{\beta}].\n\end{cases}
$$

Note that for any function $g : [\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}] \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous at the points $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ we have

$$
\int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}_s(t) = g(\tilde{\alpha}) [\tilde{\lambda}_s (\tilde{\alpha} + 0) - \tilde{\lambda}_s (\tilde{\alpha})] + g(\tilde{\beta}) [\tilde{\lambda}_s (\tilde{\beta}) - \tilde{\lambda}_s (\tilde{\beta} - 0)]
$$

= $-g(\tilde{\alpha}) - g(\tilde{\beta}).$ (2.8)

Also, if λ is continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$ then $\tilde{\lambda}_c$ is continuous on $[\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}]$, and if λ is of bounded variation on $[\alpha, \beta]$ then $\tilde{\lambda}_c$ is of bounded variation on $[\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}]$. This means that for any continuous and piecewise monotonic function $g : [\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}] \to \mathbb{R}$ the integral $\int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}_c(t)$ is well defined and

$$
\int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}_c(t) = \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\alpha} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}_c(t) + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}_c(t) + \int_{\beta}^{\tilde{\beta}} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}_c(t)
$$

$$
= \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}_c(t) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g(t) d\left[\frac{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(t)}{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha)}\right]
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g(t) d\lambda(t).
$$
(2.9)

Now we define $\tilde{\lambda}: [\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\tilde{\lambda}(t) = \tilde{\lambda}_c(t) - \tilde{\lambda}_s(t), \quad t \in [\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}].
$$

From (2.8) and (2.9) we conclude that the integral $\int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}(t)$ is well defined for any continuous and piecewise monotonic function $g : [\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}] \to \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}(t) = \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}_c(t) - \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} g(t) d\tilde{\lambda}_s(t)
$$

=
$$
-\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g(t) d\lambda(t) + g(\tilde{\alpha}) + g(\tilde{\beta}).
$$
 (2.10)

We also have

$$
\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\beta}) - \tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\lambda}_c(\tilde{\beta}) - \tilde{\lambda}_c(\tilde{\alpha}) - \tilde{\lambda}_s(\tilde{\beta}) + \tilde{\lambda}_s(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0 - 1 + 1 + 1 = 1.
$$

If we apply Theorem E on the functions \tilde{f}, φ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ (we can do that even if the function $\tilde{\lambda}$ is neither continuous nor of bounded variation since all the integrals are well defined) we obtain

$$
\varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) (\tilde{x} - c)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \tilde{y} \leq \varphi(d) + \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}(\beta) - \tilde{\lambda}(\alpha)} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} \varphi'(\tilde{f}(t)) [\tilde{f}(t) - d] d\tilde{\lambda}(t)
$$

where

$$
\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\beta}) - \tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\alpha})} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} \tilde{f}(t) d\tilde{\lambda}(t) = \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} \tilde{f}(t) d\tilde{\lambda}(t)
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta) - \lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) d\lambda(t) + \tilde{f}(\tilde{\alpha}) + \tilde{f}(\tilde{\beta})
$$

$$
= m + M - \bar{x}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{y} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\beta}) - \tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\alpha})} \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} \varphi\left(\tilde{f}(t)\right) d\tilde{\lambda}(t) = \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} \varphi\left(\tilde{f}(t)\right) d\tilde{\lambda}(t)
$$

$$
= \varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \overline{y}.
$$

Now we have

$$
\varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) \left(m + M - c - \overline{x} \right) \leq \varphi(m) + \varphi(M) - \overline{y}
$$

$$
\leq \varphi(d) + \int_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\tilde{\beta}} \varphi' \left(\tilde{f}(t) \right) \left[\tilde{f}(t) - d \right] d\tilde{\lambda}(t), \qquad (2.11)
$$

and if in the second inequality in (2.11) we apply (2.10) for the function $g : [\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}] \rightarrow$ R defined by

$$
g(t) = \varphi' \left(\tilde{f}(t) \right) \left[\tilde{f}(t) - d \right]
$$

we obtain (2.7) . The proof is complete. \Box

References

- [1] S. Abramovich, M. Klaričić Bakula, M. Matić, J. Pečarić, A variant of Jensen-Steffensen's inequality and quasi-arithmetic means, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **307**(3) (2005), 370–376.
- [2] S. Abramovich, M. Klaričić Bakula, S. Banić, A variant of Jensen-Steffensen's inequality for convex and superquadratic functions, JIPAM **7** (2) (2006), Article 70.
- [3] R.P. Boas, The Jensen-Steffensen inequality, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. **302-319** (1970), 1–8.
- [4] S.S. Dragomir, C.J. Goh, A counterpart of Jensen's inequality, Math. Comput. Modelling **24**(2) (1996), 1–11.
- [5] N. Elezović, J. Pečarić, A counterpart to Jensen-Steffensen's discrete inequality for differentiable convex mappings and applications in information theory, Rad HAZU **481**, Mat. znan. 14 (2003), 25–28.
- [6] M. Klaričić Bakula, M. Matić, J. Pečarić, *Generalizations of the Jensen-Steffensen* and related inequalities, submitted for publication.
- [7] M. Matić, J. Pečarić, Some companion inequalities to Jensen's inequality, Math. Ineq. Appl. **3**(3) (2000), 355–368.
- [8] A. McD. Mercer, A variant of Jensen's inequality, JIPAM **4** (4) (2003), Article 73.
- [9] J. Pečarić, A companion to Jensen-Steffensen's inequality, J. Approx. Theory 44(3) (1985), 289–291.
- [10] J.E. Pečarić, F. Proschan and Y.L. Tong, Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications, Academic Press, Inc., 1992.
- [11] A.W. Roberts, D.E. Varberg, Convex Functions, Academic Press, 1973.
- [12] M.L. Slater, A companion inequality to Jensen's inequality, J. Aprox. Theory **32**(2) (1981), 160–166.
- [13] A. Witkowski, A new Proof of the Monotonicity Property of Power Means, JIPAM **5**(3) (2004), Article 73.

Milica Klaričić Bakula and Marko Matić Department of Mathematics Faculty of Natural Sciences, Mathematics and Education University of Split Teslina 12 21000 Split, Croatia e-mail: milica@pmfst.hr e-mail: mmatic@fesb.hr

Josip Pečarić Faculty of Textile Technology University of Zagreb Prilaz Baruna Filipovića 30 10000 Zagreb, Croatia e-mail: pecaric@hazu.hr